Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Chemical Sciences

Title

Theoretical insights into selective electrochemical conversion of carbon dioxide

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4tn42514

Journal Nano Convergence, 6(1)

ISSN 2196-5404

Authors

Lee, Chan Woo Kim, Chanyeon Min, Byoung Koun

Publication Date 2019-12-01

DOI

10.1186/s40580-019-0177-2

Peer reviewed

REVIEW

Open Access

Theoretical insights into selective electrochemical conversion of carbon dioxide



Chan Woo Lee^{1,2†}, Chanyeon Kim^{1†} and Byoung Koun Min^{1,3*}

Abstract

Electrochemical conversion of CO_2 and water to valuable chemicals and fuels is one of the promising alternatives to replace fossil fuel-based processes in realizing a carbon–neutral cycle. For practical application of such technologies, suppressing hydrogen evolution reaction and facilitating the activation of stable CO_2 molecules still remain major challenges. Furthermore, high production selectivity toward high-value chemicals such as ethylene, ethanol, and even *n*-propanol is also not easy task to achieve. To settle these challenges, deeper understanding on underlying basis of reactions such as how intermediate binding affinities can be engineered at catalyst surfaces need to be discussed. In this review, we briefly outline recent strategies to modulate the binding energies of key intermediates for CO_2 reduction reactions, based on theoretical insights from density functional theory calculation studies. In addition, important design principles of catalysts and electrolytes are also provided, which would contribute to the development of highly active catalysts for CO_2 electroreduction.

Keywords: Electrocatalysis, CO₂ reduction, Intermediate binding energy, Theoretical calculation

1 Introduction

Climate change due to greenhouse gases has been a critical issue that must be solved for human being's sustainable life [1]. Indiscriminate exploitation of fossil fuel has accelerated the global warming issue associated with greenhouse gas emission [2], therefore, clean energy generation technologies should be developed to mostly or partly replace the fossil fuel-based processes. Electrochemically converting CO₂ and water to valuable chemicals and fuels, using renewable energy resources such as solar energy, has been one of the promising strategies to realize carbon-neutral energy cycle [3, 4]. It can generate a variety of products including HCOOH, CO, CH₄, C_2H_4 , C_2H_5OH , and even C_3-C_4 chemicals under ambient conditions in a sustainable fashion [5-9]. However, for practical implementation of the electrochemical CO_2 conversion technology, suppressing hydrogen evolution reactions (HER) and enhancing selectivity for specific products remain challenges. In general, the HER, a

*Correspondence: bkmin@kist.re.kr

[†]Chan Woo Lee and Chanyeon Kim contributed equally to this work

¹ Clean Energy Research Center, Korea Institute Science and Technology, Seoul 02792, Republic of Korea

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



proton reduction reaction, easily occurs as a competing reaction of CO_2 reduction reactions (CO_2RR) because it is kinetically more facile [10]. In addition, the CO_2 can be reduced to various intermediates and products via multiple reaction pathways, resulting in poor selectivity of the reaction [6].

In the electrochemical reaction system, product selectivity of CO_2RR is deeply associated with the binding affinity of reaction intermediates on the catalyst surface. In specific, polycrystalline Au, Ag and Zn electrodes can evolve CO with high selectivity because these metals intrinsically possess low binding affinities for an adsorbed *CO intermediate [10–15]. In contrast, Pt, Ni, and Fe electrodes are poisoned by *CO owing to their strong binding affinities for *CO [16]. As a result, HER proceeds with high selectivity over CO_2RR . Meanwhile, high selectivity for HCOO⁻ production have been shown from the metals like Sn, Pb and Bi that relatively favor *OCHO over *COOH [17–19]. Therefore, engineering or controlling binding affinities of key intermediates is a critical issue in the product selectivity enhancement.

Recently, effective strategies to tune intermediate binding affinities have been suggested and demonstrated by several pioneering works. Representatively, it was

© The Author(s) 2019. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

reported that electrochemically reduced Cu oxides possess subsurface oxygens near the catalyst surface, which can increase the *CO binding affinity because the oxygens withdraw electrons from the Cu sp-band and reduce the σ -repulsion between a Cu atom and *CO molecule [20]. As a consequence, C–C coupling reactions by CO dimerization at the catalyst surface with high CO coverage can be kinetically promoted. Such a stronger CO binding through subsurface oxygens was also theoretically rationalized in Ag case [21]. In addition, it has been suggested that nanoneedle morphology can enhance electric field at the tip of nanostructure, thereby the alkali metal ions can be concentrated [22]. The solvated alkali metal cations selectively stabilize the polarizable intermediates like *CO₂, *CO, *OCCO and *OCCHO due to the electrostatic interactions while *H is insensitive to the cations due to negligible polarizability [23]. As a result, higher CO₂RR selectivity could be achieved.

Here, we first address intrinsic limitations of conventional pure metals in terms of binding affinity and product selectivity. Then, we review recent strategies to allow for the binding affinity modulation of CO_2 reduction intermediates. The strategies are classified into five groups: (1) oxygen incorporation, (2) electrolyte engineering, (3) nanostructuring, (4) introducing surface ligands, and (5) single-atom catalyst. In each subject, we cover theoretical insights into how the modifications can induce the tuning of binding affinity based on density functional theory (DFT) calculation studies. This review provides important design principles of electrocatalysts to promote CO_2RR over HER, as well as C–C coupling via CO dimerization pathway.

2 Challenges of electrochemical CO₂ reduction reactions

 CO_2 is a stable molecule ($\Delta G_f = -394.4 \text{ kJ/mol}$) that has electrophilic carbon center due to neighboring oxygen atoms with a linear configuration. The one-electron transfer to CO_2 to form the CO_2^- anion, an initially created intermediate in most cases, requires a very negative potential of -1.9 V vs. SHE in a neutral aqueous solution (Table 1) [24]. This thermodynamic limitation makes the overpotential for CO₂RR much larger than HER, leading to low selectivity for CO₂RR. Furthermore, although the CO2 molecule can be activated at more favorable overpotentials by the concerted proton-electron transfer pathway or the promotional effect of alkali metal cations contained in electrolytes [25, 26], a criticial issue is laid on controlling product selectivity. The multiple CO₂ reduction reactions that produce different chemicals including $HCOO^{-}$, CO, CH₄, C₂H₄ and C₂H₅OH can occur concurrently as described in Table 1. In addition, HER acts as a major competing reaction. The HER is kinetically more facile than CO₂RR because the number of electrons involved in the reaction is only two, and because the concentrations of proton sources such as water and bicarbonate ions are much higher than that of CO_2 in the neutral or basic aqueous solutions frequently used in CO_2RR experiments [27]. Due to the thermodynamic as well as kinetic reasons, selectively converting CO_2 to desirable products at low overpotential is challenging. To overcome the issues, we need to deeply understand crucial factors that affect the CO₂RR properties.

Previously, Hori et al. [16] have shown that the product selectivity changes with the type of transition metal

Table 1	l Standard	l reduction po	tential of e	lectrochemica	al CO ₂ reduction
---------	------------	----------------	--------------	---------------	------------------------------

Half reaction	Number of electron	Potential (V vs. SHE) at pH 7
$\frac{1}{CO_2(g) + e^- \rightarrow *COO^-}$	1	- 1.90
$CO_2(g) + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow HCOOH(I)$	2	- 0.61
$CO_2(g) + H_2O(I) + 2e^- \rightarrow HCOO^-(aq) + OH^-$	2	- 0.43
$CO_2(g) + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow CO(g) + H_2O(I)$	2	- 0.53
$CO_2(g) + H_2O(I) + 2e^- \rightarrow CO(g) + 2OH^-$	2	- 0.52
$CO_2 (g) + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow \text{HCHO} (I) + H_2O (I)$	4	- 0.48
$CO_2(g) + 3H_2O(I) + 4e^- \rightarrow HCHO(g) + 4OH^-$	4	- 0.89
$CO_2 (g) + 6H^+ + 6e^- \rightarrow CH_3OH (I) + H_2O (I)$	6	- 0.38
$CO_2(g) + 5H_2O(I) + 6e^- \rightarrow CH_3OH(I) + 6OH^-$	6	- 0.81
$CO_2 (g) + 8H^+ + 8e^- \rightarrow CH_4 (g) + 2H_2O (I)$	8	- 0.24
$CO_2(g) + 6H_2O(I) + 8e^- \rightarrow CH_3OH(I) + 8OH^-$	8	- 0.25
$2CO_2(g) + 12H^+ + 12e^- \rightarrow C_2H_4(g) + 4H_2O(I)$	12	0.06
$2CO_2(g) + 8H_2O(l) + 12e^- \rightarrow C_2H_4(g) + 12OH^-$	12	- 0.34
$2CO_2 (g) + 12H^+ + 12e^- \rightarrow C_2H_5OH (g) + 3H_2O (I)$	12	0.08
$2CO_2(g) + 9H_2O(l) + 12e^- \rightarrow C_2H_5OH(g) + 12OH^-$	12	- 0.33

electrodes, from the systematic investigation on the $\rm CO_2RR$ activity of various metal foils. They categorized transition metals into four groups on the basis of product distribution. The first group consists of metals producing H₂ gas dominantly like Pt, Ir, Fe, Co and Ni metals. The second group includes the metals of which primary product is CO such as Au, Ag and Zn. Third group includes metals such as Cd, In, Sn, etc. and their primary product is HCOO⁻. The last group contains only Cu which is a unique single metal that can produce various hydrocarbons and alcohols including CH₄, C₂H₄, C₂H₅OH and C₃H₇OH.

Recently, the experimental trend firstly demonstrated by Hori et al. could be understood from DFT calculation studies. The theoretical simulation results revealed that the intrinsic CO_2RR selectivity on various metal surfaces is closely related with the binding energy of reaction intermediates [28–30]. Norskov group explained that Au and Ag metals, representative catalysts for CO production, have weak *CO binding energy, which allows for *CO desorption rather than further hydrogenation toward *CHO and *COH as shown in Fig. 1 [30]. Additionally, the Au and Ag metal can show a low catalytic activity for HER because of the weak binding energy of *H. In contrast, the Ni, Pt, Pd and Rh metals which dominantly produce H_2 , have too strong *CO binding energy to evolve *CO, whereas HER is facilitated due to their ideal *H binding energy based on Sabatier principle. Exceptionally, the Cu metal has a medium *CO binding energy and relatively unfavorable limiting potential for HER. Thereby, further hydrogenation of *CO intermediates can proceed at the catalyst surface, generating various hydrocarbons and alcohols.

While the binding energy of the key reaction intermediates can give us important insights as a descriptor to understand intrinsic activity of various metals, enhancing their catalytic properties such as selectivity and overpotential is limited by scaling relations between the binding energies of various intermediates. For instance, the binding energies for *COOH and *CO intermediates are correlated on transition metals by the equation, $\Delta G_{\rm CO} = 1.31 \times \Delta G_{\rm COOH} - 0.47$ [5]. Thus, the binding energies are hard to be controlled independently although stronger binding of *COOH and weaker binding of *CO is required to efficiently promote CO production. In addition, the scaling relation also exists between



the intermediate binding energies of *COOH and *H based on the equation, $\Delta G_{COOH} = 1.65 \times \Delta G_{H} + 0.02$ [5]. which also makes it difficult to selectively suppress HER. In this regard, breaking these scaling relations is critical in enhancing the catalyst properties for CO₂RR. In the following chapter, we outline recent strategies that allow for the independent modulation of the binding energy for specific intermediate, in terms of catalyst development and eletrolyte engineering.

3 Strategies to tune intermediate binding

3.1 Oxygen incorporation

Electrochemically reduced copper oxides, i.e. oxidederived Cu (OD-Cu), have been intensively studied as an electrocatalyst for the production of C2+ chemicals from CO₂RR [20, 24, 31], since Li et al. [32] first reported that the OD-Cu electrode can promote C-C coupling reactions with the suppression of HER in CO reduction reactions (CORR). It is known that the C-C coupling reactions in CO₂RR actually proceed via similar pathways with those of CORR, such as CO dimerization [33, 34], therefore the OD-Cu electrode can show the enhanced C-C coupling capability even in CO₂RR. The promotional effect of the OD-Cu electrode has been explained based on several hypotheses. Feng et al. [35] demonstrated that surface density of grain boundary is correlated with the catalytic activity of CO reduction, suggesting that defective grain boundary formed by oxidation treatment is an active site for C-C coupling reactions. Meanwhile, Xiao et al. [36] showed from DFT calculations that the Cu₂O-Cu interface can lower the energetic barrier of C–C bond formation by attractive electrostatics between two carbon atoms bound to Cu⁺ and Cu⁰ atoms, respectively. Furthermore, it has been proposed that subsurface oxygen (O_{sub}) plays an important role in modulating the binding strength of CO molecules which are known to be a key intermediate for C–C coupling reaction [20]. Here, we explain the promotional effect of OD-Cu electrodes focusing on the subsurface oxygen.

Eilert et al. [20] performed in situ ambient pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (APXPS) analysis to identify that oxygen species can be stably maintained at the surface even after applying cathodic potentials enough to reduce Cu oxides. Figure 2a shows the O 1 s APXPS spectra of the initial, oxidized, and reduced Cu foil. After oxidation, much thicker water overlayer was formed and oxidized compounds assignable to CuCO₃, Cu(OH)₂ and Cu₂O were created. After re-reduction, they found that an oxygen peak increased much more in comparison with the initial spectrum of Cu foil. They hypothesized that the enhanced oxygen peak can be attributed to the formation of O_{sub} , based on the peak position and additional oxygen K-edge electron energy-loss spectra (EELS) analysis.

In order to elucidate the influence of O_{sub} in CO_2RR properties, the CO binding energy was calculated on clean Cu (100) and on Cu (100) with subsurface



Pig. 2 The direct observation of substrate oxygens (O_{sub}) and their effects on intermediate binding. **a** in situ O 15 APAP5 spectra of Cd for depending on electrochemical reduction/oxidation treatments. Oxidation of the reduced Cu foil leads to the formation of a thick water overlayer and oxidized compounds such as CuCO₃, Cu(OH)₂, and Cu₂O. Initially oxidized and then reduced Cu foil contains more adventitious oxygen (green). **b** Illustration of CO binding on Cu and oxide-derived Cu (OD-Cu) with O_{sub} . **c** Predicted structures of bent CO₂ (*b*-CO₂) and H₂O molecules on Cu(111) with different levels of O_{sub} . Free energies for CO₂ activation to *b*-CO₂ are calculated to be +1.07, -0.06, +0.28 eV on pristine Cu(111), Cu(111) with 1/4 ML O_{sub} , and Cu(111) with 1/2 ML O_{sub} **a**-**b** (Reproduced with permission from [20], copyright 2017 American Chemical Society. **c** Reproduced with permission from [37], copyright 2017 National Academy of Sciences)

oxygens in different layers below surface. The CO binding became stronger in the presence of subsurface oxygens. Such enhancement of CO binding was explained by local charge interaction between O_{sub} and a Cu atom as shown in Fig. 2b. The O_{sub} could withdraw electrons from Cu sp-band, and lower the σ -repulsion between a Cu atom and CO molecule. Ultimately, it was suggested that stronger CO binding leads to a higher CO coverage at the catalyst surface, and kinetically promotes C–C coupling reactions over hydrogenation reactions such as CH₄ and H₂ evolutions.

It is also reported that the O_{sub} can lower the activation barrier for the transition from a physisorbed linear CO_2 (*l*- CO_2) to a bent chemisorbed CO_2 (*b*- CO_2). Favaro et al. [37] predicted the binding structures of chemisorbed CO₂ and H₂O on Cu (111) with different levels of O_{sub} (Fig. 2c). Also, they identified that the free energies of the chemisorbed systems depend on O_{sub} levels. When 0.25 mono-layer (ML) O_{sub} was incorporated, the free energy was much lowered in comparison with unmodified Cu (111). The presence of O_{sub} generated a heterovalent surface composed of Cu⁰ and Cu^+ atoms, where the Cu^0 and Cu^+ centers were synergistically bound to the C and O atoms of the b-CO₂, respectively. The electronic interactions between the binding centers and the adsorbed species stabilized b-CO₂ structure significantly.

However, there are still on-going discussions as to whether the lattice oxygen is stable under highly cathodic conditions. Mandal et al. synthesized Cu₂O catalysts and investigated their reduction behavior using in situ Raman spectroscopy [38]. They found that Cu₂O signals completely disappeared during initial 3 min at CO₂RR conditions, and CO₂ reduction products were detected after complete reduction by selected-ion flow tube mass spectrometry (SIFT-MS). The experimental result was also supported by DFT calculation that Cu₂O reduction is energetically preferred over CO₂RR. Moreover, Lum et al. [39] also identified from ¹⁸O labelling experiments where the oxygen species of OD-Cu catalysts were observed to survive less than 1% of the original oxygen content. In contrast, it has been proposed that the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{sub}}$ can be stably sustained in (100) surfaces of Cu nanocubes and amorphous surface layers due to their disordered nature [40, 41], although O_{sub} is not stable in ordered Cu slab models. In fact, it has been reported that loss of Cu cube morphology by roughening during CO₂RR caused the absence of Cu⁺ signals and the increase in HER over $CO_2 RR$ [42]. The aggregated amorphous nanoclusters of Cu₂(OH)₃Cl were also found to have the mixed metal valency of Cu⁺ and Cu⁰ at highly cathodic potentials [33].

3.2 Electrolyte engineering

The cations and anions contained in an aqueous electrolyte also play an important role in controlling the product selectivity. Resasco et al. [23] measured the partial current densities of CO₂RR on Cu(111) using different alkali metal cations such as Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Rb⁺ and Cs⁺ as shown in Fig. 3a. Interestingly, as the cation size became larger, the partial current densities for $HCOO^{-}$, $C_{2}H_{4}$ and C₂H₅OH production increased while the production rates of H₂, CO and CH₄ were unchanged. Larger cation size facilitated the CO₂ activation over HER as well as the C_2 production over C_1 . The effect of cation on product distribution was explained by electrostatic interactions between the intermediates and the solvated cations at the outer Helmholtz plane. Based on DFT calculation, it was demonstrated that larger cations are more stabilized in the outer Helmholtz plane at the Cu (111) surface (Fig. 3b), and show higher coverage near the catalyst surface. The stabilized cations facilitated the adsorption of intermediates with large dipole moments (e.g., *CO₂, *CO, *OCCO) over *H intermediate with a negligible dipole moment through an electric field (Fig. 3c).

It has been also suggested that the other role of hydrated cations is to control the local CO₂ concentration near the catalyst surface. Singh et al. [43] reported that cation hydrolysis occurs near the cathode surface during CO₂ reduction. As the cation migrates toward the negatively polarized electrode, an increasing electrostatic interaction can reduce the pK_a for hydrolysis. If the local pH is higher than the pK_a of the hydrated cations, the dissociation of a water in the hydration shell releases protons, and buffers the change of local pH. The buffering ability declines in the order of $Cs^+ > Rb^+ > K^+ > Na^+ > Li^+$. As local CO₂ concentration competes with local pH due to the equilibrium reactions between CO_2 , HCO_3^- and CO₃²⁻ species, the pH decreases and the CO₂ concentration increases near the cathode with increasing cation size.

Furthermore, Dinh et al. [44] found that higher concentration of OH^- ions can facilitate CO_2 activation on the Cu-coated gas diffusion layer (GDL) electrodes. When linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves under CO_2 and Ar flow were scanned in the electrolytes of various KOH concentrations, the onset of LSV curves recorded under CO_2 shifted markedly to more positive potentials with increasing KOH concentration, whereas the onset under Ar remained similar. Furthermore, at 10 M KOH concentration, the onset potentials for CO and C_2H_4 production were similar, whereas the onset potential gap between CO and C_2H_4 is observed at low KOH concentration because the build-up of CO coverage is required to proceed CO dimerization. Therefore, high KOH concentration made CO dimerization much



on Cu (111) as a function of alkali metal cations included in an electrolyte. **b** The energy change occuring from the transport of a solvated cation from bulk electrolyte to the outer Helmholtz plane at the Cu (111) surface as a function of potential and cation type. **c** The effect of electric field on the adsorption free energies of various CO_2RR intermediates on Cu (111) (**a**–**c** Reproduced with permission from [23], copyright 2017 American Chemical Society)

easier. Based on DFT calculation, they proposed that the hydroxide adsorption increases the charge imbalance between carbon atoms in the *OCCO intermediate. As a result, the dipole interaction between the carbon atoms more stabilized the *OCCO, and reduced the activation barrier for CO dimerization step.

3.3 Nanostructuring

Sharpening metal can perturb the local electron density of metal surface and enhance the local electric field because free electrons migrate to sharp regions due to electrostatic repulsion. The field enhancement effect by tip electrode can be exploited for improving the CO_2RR properties. Liu et al. [22] simulated the electron density distribution on various electrode surfaces as a function of tip radius (Fig. 4a). It was shown that the tip-concentrated electron density dramatically increases as the electrodes sharpen. The tip sharpening from a radius of 140 to 5 nm enhanced electrostatic field intensity from approximately 3 to 43 MV m^{-1} . The enhancement of electric field also affected the concentration of surrounding alkali metal cations. The adsorbed K⁺ density at the tip elevated 20-fold due to the field enhancement (Fig. 4b). The highly concentrated cations can help to tune the free energy of adsorption of intermediates of CO₂RR through the electrostatic interaction. According to DFT calculation study, the K⁺ ions greatly lowered free energy of *COOH and *CO adsorption, in comparison with the case without K^+ ions (Fig. 4c). Based on these theoretical results, they attempted to measure the electrochemical CO₂ reduction properties of Au needles, rods and particles. Surprisingly, Au needle electrodes exhibited a dramatic enhancement in CO2RR selectivity, as well as the onset potential shift to lower overpotentials (Fig. 4d). From Kelvin probe atomic force microscopy and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES), it was also

confirmed that electric fields and adsorbed K^+ concentration are the highest for the needles.

Controlling the shape of catalysts has been reported to be effective even in C_{2+} production. Jiang et al. [45] prepared a Cu nanocube catalyst with exposed (100) facets through Cu²⁺ ion cycling method on Cu foil. When the cycle number increased from 0 to 100 cycles, the FE of C₂₊ products was dramatically enhanced from 26.0 to 60.6%. Concurrently, the FE of C_1 products decreased from 59.1 to 22.7%. The enhanced C-C coupling capability was attributed to the exposure of (100) facets in addition to local pH effect resulting from high surface area because activity enhancement in the C2+ production was observed in low overpotential regions where mass transport effects can be neglected. According to DFT calculation, the Cu (100) surface showed stronger CO binding as well as lower CO dimerization barrier compared to Cu (111) surface. As a result, higher selectivity toward C₂₊ products was achieved. In addition to the facet-controlling strategy, constructing nanocavities at the catalyst surface can promote C_2-C_1 coupling to form C₃ products. Zhuang et al. [34] simulated the spatial concentrations and flux distribution of CO, C2 and C₃ species using a hollow spherical shell with a circular





opening. They found that the inner cavity confines the mass transport of produced C_2 species into exterior electrolyte, which results in higher local concentration of C_2 species inside the cavity. Ultimately, the cavity structure increased surface coverage of C_2 intermediate, and elevated the production rate of C_3 species. To experimentally demonstrate the cavity effect, Cu catalysts with the cavity structure were prepared through acidic etching of Cu₂O nanoparticles and then electrochemical reduction. The nanocavity Cu catalyst exhibited the enhanced FE of 21.0% towards propanol production compared to closed Cu nanoparticles (NPs), which was in accordance with the simulation results.

3.4 Surface ligand effects

The ligand assembly can induce charge rearrangement, causing the difference in the intermediate stabilization and the adsorption configuration [46-48]. Kim et al. [46] synthesized Ag NP supported by carbon black in the presence of cysteamine as a ligand, and evaluated the electrocatalytic performance. The cysteamineanchored Ag NPs showed a higher maximum FE of 84.4% toward CO production in comparison with 70.5% FE of Ag foil. More importantly, the overpotential required to achieve the CO partial current density of 1 mA cm⁻² was reduced as 300 mV by the ligand assembly (Fig. 5a). By DFT calculation study, it was found that the binding energy of *COOH intermediate monotonically increases as the cysteamine coverage increases, while the CO binding energy exhibited marginal changes from the ligand assembly (Fig. 5b). Specifically, the binding energy of *COOH was enhanced up to 0.26 eV as the cysteamine/Ag ratio increases from 0 to 2.7%. The preferential stabilization of *COOH over *CO led to the reduction of overpotential. Deeper mechanistic insight was taken from the spatial electron spin density analysis. When cysteamine molecules were attached, spatial localization of the unpaired electron was stabilized particularly at the Ag surface, whereas the unpaired electrons were delocalized over the entire Ag atoms without the cysteamine. The unpaired electrons made the Ag–COOH bond more covalent, and stabilized the *COOH as a radical form.

The ligand effect has been also demonstrated with the different type of ligand for Au NP. Cao et al. [48] successfully prepared the porphyrin ligand-capped and naked Au NPs (denoted as P1-AuNP and naked-AuNP, respectively). The P1-AuNP showed higher electrocatalytic activity toward CO production compared to the naked-AuNP. At -0.45 V vs. RHE, the CO FE was measured to be 93% and 44% for P1-AuNP and naked-AuNP, respectively. Moreover, at -0.45 V vs. RHE, the partial current density for CO production was approximately sixfold higher on P1-AuNP than on naked-AuNP. Regarding the effect of porphyrin ligand, DFT calculation study showed that the formation of *COOH and *CO intermediates is more favorable as 0.55 and 0.08 eV on P1-Au(111) surfaces than on Au(111) surfaces. The porphyrin ligand dramatically lowered the energetic barrier for *COOH formation step.

3.5 Single-atom catalyst

Recently, single-atom catalysts have attracted great attention in the research field of electrochemical CO_2 reduction due to their totally different activity compared to conventional bulk metals [49, 50]. One of the representative single-atom catalysts is metal-incorporated N-doped





carbon (M–N–C) where a metal atom is coordinated by neighboring nitrogen atoms. Ju et al. [51] investigated the catalytic activity of M-N-C including various metals such as Mn, Co, Fe, Ni and Cu. They identified that the selectivity for CO production exhibit a strong dependence on the nature of metal center (Fig. 6a, b). The Co- N_x sites selectively produced H_2 with the FE of 90% while the Ni–N_x sites showed the highest selectivity of 85% for CO production. To understand the experimental trend, DFT calculation study was conducted (Fig. 6c). It was discovered that Co-N_x sites have energetic downhill for H₂ production, but represent too strong *CO adsorption to desorb the *CO. In contrast, on the Ni-N_x sites, the *H adsorption was energetically uphill reaction. Moreover, the formation and desorption process of the *CO intermediate had all-downhill energetics. In terms of the CO production rate, the Ni-NC catalyst outperformed the

The special catalytic performance of single-atom metal catalysts can be attributed to the unique electronic structure of the metal center affected by surrounding coordination atoms. According to a previous study [52], it was explained that the unstable Ni(I) atoms with an unpaired electron can be stabilized by pyridinic N with low electronegativity in an N-doped carbon matrix. The Ni(I) site can transfer charges spontaneously to the carbon 2p orbital in CO₂, forming a $CO_2^{\delta-}$ species (Fig. 6d). Such an electronic interaction helps to reduce the energy barrier for electrochemical CO₂ reduction. However, it should be also considered that various N species present in the N-doped carbons can act as an active site, in addition to M–N_x sites. Jung et al. investigated the catalytic



copyright 2018 Nature Publishing Group)

performance of various M–N–C (M=Fe, Co, Cu) catalysts for CO_2RR [53]. The maximum FE for CO production increased in the order of Cu–NC < Co–NC < Fe–NC. The maximum FE values were considered to be related with the atomic contents of pyridinic, pyrrolic, and graphitic N species based on XPS analysis. The catalysts containing higher pyridinic and graphitic N contents with lower pyrrolic N contents showed a higher selectivity toward CO production. Previous DFT calculation study also proposed that the N species in N-doped carbon nanotubes (NCNTs) can lower the energy barrier for *COOH formation because the N defects have lone-pair electrons and can donate electrons to the intermediate with strong binding, although the promotional effect depends on the type of N species [54].

4 Conclusions

In summary, we have reviewed recent strategies for enhancing electrocatalytic properties of CO₂ reduction reactions, and discussed new insights in terms of intermediate binding energy based on the DFT calculation results. Theoretically, suppressing the H₂ evolution and improving the selectivity for a specific product on pure metal surfaces are limited by scaling relations between the binding energies of reaction intermediates, whereas it has been demonstrated that the binding energies can be tuned independently by implementing electronic perturbation and electrostatic interaction. Specifically, incoporating oxygens into the Cu surface stabilized the bent chemisorbed CO₂ and strenghthened the CO binding, which kinetically promoted C-C coupling reaction for the production of C_2H_4 and C_2H_5OH . The utilization of larger alkali metal cations included in the electrolyte selectively enhanced the adsorption of intermediates with large dipole moments such as *CO₂, *CO and *OCCO. In addition, it was revealed that the field enhancement by tip electrode increased the concentration of surrounding alkali metal cations, dramaticlaly lowering the adsorption free energies of *COOH and *CO. Furthermore, introducing surface ligand on Au and Ag NPs stabilized *COOH over *CO, which greatly elevated the selectivity and reduced the overpotential toward CO production. Also, the single-atom catalysts like Ni–N–C showed the high CO selectivity which cannot be achieved from the bulk Ni foil owing to strong *CO binidng. We believe that this review not only provide deep understandings of CO_2 reduction reactions, but also help to create new ideas for future electrocatalyst developments.

Abbreviations

HER: hydrogen evolution reactions; $CO_2RR: CO_2$ reduction reactions; DFT: density functional theory; OD-Cu: oxide-derived Cu; O_{sub} : subsurface oxygen; APXPS: ambient pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy; EELS: electron energy-loss spectra; l-CO₂: a physisorbed linear CO₂; b-CO₂: a bent chemisorbed CO₂; SIFT-MS: selected-ion flow tube mass spectrometry; GDL: gas diffusion layer; LSV: linear sweep voltammetry; ICP-OES: inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry; M–N–C: metal-incorporated N-doped carbon; P1-AuNP: porphyrin ligand-capped Au NPs; NCNTs: N-doped carbon nanotubes.

Authors' contributions

CWL, CK and BKM wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manscript.

Author details

¹ Clean Energy Research Center, Korea Institute Science and Technology, Seoul 02792, Republic of Korea. ² Department of Applied Chemistry, Kookmin University, Seoul 02707, Republic of Korea. ³ Green School, Korea University, Seoul 02841, Republic of Korea.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

All data presented in this review article are included in the published article which can be found in the reference list.

Funding

This work was supported by the program of the Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST). This work was also supported by "Next Generation Carbon Upcycling Project" (Project No. 2017M1A2A2046713) through the National Research Foundation (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT, Republic of Korea.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 25 December 2018 Accepted: 22 February 2019 Published online: 12 March 2019

References

- M. Meinshausen, N. Meinshausen, W. Hare, S.C.B. Raper, K. Frieler, R. Knutti, D.J. Frame, M.R. Allen, Nature 458, 1158 (2009)
- C.N. Waters, J. Zalasiewicz, C. Summerhayes, A.D. Barnosky, C. Poirier, A. Gałuszka, A. Cearreta, M. Edgeworth, E.C. Ellis, M. Ellis, C. Jeandel, R. Leinfelder, J.R. McNeill, D.B. de Richter, W. Steffen, J. Syvitski, D. Vidas, M. Wagreich, M. Williams, A. Zhisheng, J. Grinevald, E. Odada, N. Oreskes, A.P. Wolfe, Science **351**, aad2622 (2016)
- 3. D.T. Whipple, P.J.A. Kenis, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 1, 3451 (2010)
- K.D. Yang, C.W. Lee, K. Jin, S.W. Im, K.T. Nam, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 8, 538 (2017)
- C.W. Lee, K.D. Yang, D.-H. Nam, J.H. Jang, N.H. Cho, S.W. Im, K.T. Nam, Adv. Mater. 30, 1704717 (2018)
- K.P. Kuhl, E.R. Cave, D.N. Abram, T.F. Jaramillo, Energy Environ. Sci. 5, 7050 (2012)
- K.U.D. Calvinho, A.B. Laursen, K.M.K. Yap, T.A. Goetjen, S. Hwang, N. Murali, B. Mejia-Sosa, A. Lubarski, K.M. Teeluck, E.S. Hall, E. Garfunkel, M. Greenblatt, G.C. Dismukes, Energy Environ. Sci. **11**, 2550 (2018)
- D.L.T. Nguyen, M.S. Jee, D.H. Won, H. Jung, H.-S. Oh, B.K. Min, Y.J. Hwang, A.C.S. Sustain, Chem. Eng. 5, 11377 (2017)
- F. Marques Mota, D.L.T. Nguyen, J.-E. Lee, H. Piao, J.-H. Choy, Y.J. Hwang, D.H. Kim, ACS Catal. 8, 4364 (2018)
- K.D. Yang, C.W. Lee, J.H. Jang, T.R. Ha, K.T. Nam, Nanotechnology 28, 352001 (2017)
- 11. K.P. Kuhl, T. Hatsukade, E.R. Cave, D.N. Abram, J. Kibsgaard, T.F. Jaramillo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **136**, 14107 (2014)

- H. Kim, H.S. Jeon, M.S. Jee, E.B. Nursanto, J.P. Singh, K. Chae, Y.J. Hwang, B.K. Min, Chemsuschem 9, 2097 (2016)
- H. Kim, H.S. Park, Y.J. Hwang, B.K. Min, J. Phys. Chem. C **121**, 22637 (2017)
 D.L.T. Nguyen, M.S. Jee, D.H. Won, H.-S. Oh, B.K. Min, Y.J. Hwang, Catal.
- Commun. **114**, 109 (2018)
- E.B. Nursanto, H.S. Jeon, C. Kim, M.S. Jee, J.H. Koh, Y.J. Hwang, B.K. Min, Catal. Today **260**, 107 (2016)
- 16. Y. Hori, H. Wakebe, T. Tsukamoto, O. Koga, Electrochim. Acta **39**, 1833 (1994)
- C.W. Lee, J.S. Hong, K.D. Yang, K. Jin, J.H. Lee, H.-Y. Ahn, H. Seo, N.-E. Sung, K.T. Nam, ACS Catal. 8, 931 (2018)
- 18. C.W. Lee, N.H. Cho, K.D. Yang, K.T. Nam, ChemElectroChem 4, 2130 (2017)
- 19. J.H. Koh, D.H. Won, T. Eom, N.K. Kim, K.D. Jung, H. Kim, Y.J. Hwang, B.K. Min, ACS Catal. 7, 5071 (2017)
- A. Eilert, F. Cavalca, F.S. Roberts, J. Osterwalder, C. Liu, M. Favaro, E.J. Crumlin, H. Ogasawara, D. Friebel, L.G.M. Pettersson, A. Nilsson, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 8, 285 (2017)
- Y.-C. Hsieh, S.D. Senanayake, Y. Zhang, W. Xu, D.E. Polyansky, ACS Catal. 5, 5349 (2015)
- M. Liu, Y. Pang, B. Zhang, P. De Luna, O. Voznyy, J. Xu, X. Zheng, C.T. Dinh, F. Fan, C. Cao, F.P.G. De Arquer, T.S. Safaei, A. Mepham, A. Klinkova, E. Kumacheva, T. Filleter, D. Sinton, S.O. Kelley, E.H. Sargent, Nature **537**, 382 (2016)
- 23. J. Resasco, L.D. Chen, E. Clark, C. Tsai, C. Hahn, T.F. Jaramillo, K. Chan, A.T. Bell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **139**, 11277 (2017)
- 24. W. Zhang, Y. Hu, L. Ma, G. Zhu, Y. Wang, X. Xue, R. Chen, S. Yang, Z. Jin, Adv. Sci. 5, 1700275 (2018)
- 25. T. Takashima, T. Suzuki, H. Irie, J. Photonics Energy 7, 012005 (2016)
- 26. L.D. Chen, M. Urushihara, K. Chan, J.K. Nørskov, ACS Catal. 6, 7133 (2016)
- 27. M.R. Singh, E.L. Clark, A.T. Bell, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 17, 18924 (2015)
- 28. C.W. Lee, N.H. Cho, S.W. Im, M.S. Jee, Y.J. Hwang, B.K. Min, K.T. Nam, J. Mater. Chem. A **6**, 14043 (2018)
- 29. J.T. Feaster, C. Shi, E.R. Cave, T. Hatsukade, D.N. Abram, K.P. Kuhl, C. Hahn, J.K. Nørskov, T.F. Jaramillo, ACS Catal. **7**, 4822 (2017)
- C. Shi, H.A. Hansen, A.C. Lausche, J.K. Nørskov, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 16, 4720 (2014)
- S.Y. Lee, H. Jung, N.-K. Kim, H.-S. Oh, B.K. Min, Y.J. Hwang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 8681 (2018)
- 32. C.W. Li, J. Ciston, M.W. Kanan, Nature 508, 504 (2014)
- P. De Luna, R. Quintero-Bermudez, C.-T. Dinh, M.B. Ross, O.S. Bushuyev, P. Todorović, T. Regier, S.O. Kelley, P. Yang, E.H. Sargent, Nat. Catal. 1, 103 (2018)
- T.-T. Zhuang, Y. Pang, Z.-Q. Liang, Z. Wang, Y. Li, C.-S. Tan, J. Li, C.T. Dinh, P.D. Luna, P.-L. Hsieh, T. Burdyny, H.-H. Li, M. Liu, Y. Wang, F. Li, A. Proppe, A.

Johnston, D.-H. Nam, Z.-Y. Wu, Y.-R. Zheng, A.H. Ip, H. Tan, L.-J. Chen, S.-H. Yu, S.O. Kelley, D. Sinton, E.H. Sargent, Nat. Catal. **1**, 946 (2018)

- 35. X. Feng, K. Jiang, S. Fan, M.W. Kanan, ACS Cent. Sci. 2, 169 (2016)
- H. Xiao, W.A. Goddard, T. Cheng, Y. Liu, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114, 6685 (2017)
- M. Favaro, H. Xiao, T. Cheng, W.A. Goddard, J. Yano, E.J. Crumlin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **114**, 6706 (2017)
- L. Mandal, K.R. Yang, M.R. Motapothula, D. Ren, P. Lobaccaro, A. Patra, M. Sherburne, V.S. Batista, B.S. Yeo, J.W. Ager, J. Martin, T. Venkatesan, A.C.S. Appl, Mater. Interfaces 10, 8574 (2018)
- 39. Y. Lum, J.W. Ager, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 57, 551 (2018)
- C. Liu, M.P. Lourenço, S. Hedström, F. Cavalca, O. Diaz-Morales, H.A. Duarte, A. Nilsson, L.G.M. Pettersson, J. Phys. Chem. C 121, 25010 (2017)
- F. Cavalca, R. Ferragut, S. Aghion, A. Eilert, O. Diaz-Morales, C. Liu, A.L. Koh, T.W. Hansen, L.G.M. Pettersson, A. Nilsson, J. Phys. Chem. C **121**, 25003 (2017)
- 42. P. Grosse, D. Gao, F. Scholten, I. Sinev, H. Mistry, B. Roldan Cuenya, Angew. Chem. **130**, 6300 (2018)
- M.R. Singh, Y. Kwon, Y. Lum, J.W. Ager, A.T. Bell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 13006 (2016)
- C.-T. Dinh, T. Burdyny, M.G. Kibria, A. Seifitokaldani, C.M. Gabardo, F.P. García de Arquer, A. Kiani, J.P. Edwards, P. De Luna, O.S. Bushuyev, C. Zou, R. Quintero-Bermudez, Y. Pang, D. Sinton, E.H. Sargent, Science 360, 783 (2018)
- 45. K. Jiang, R.B. Sandberg, A.J. Akey, X. Liu, D.C. Bell, J.K. Norskov, K. Chan, H. Wang, Nat. Catal. **1**, 111 (2018)
- C. Kim, H.S. Jeon, T. Eom, M.S. Jee, H. Kim, C.M. Friend, B.K. Min, Y.J. Hwang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 13844 (2015)
- 47. C. Kim, T. Eom, M.S. Jee, H. Jung, H. Kim, B.K. Min, Y.J. Hwang, ACS Catal. 7, 779 (2017)
- Z. Cao, S.B. Zacate, X. Sun, J. Liu, E.M. Hale, W.P. Carson, S.B. Tyndall, J. Xu, X. Liu, X. Liu, C. Song, J. Luo, M.-J. Cheng, X. Wen, W. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 57, 12675 (2018)
- 49. X. Cui, W. Li, P. Ryabchuk, K. Junge, M. Beller, Nat. Catal. 1, 385 (2018)
- 50. L. Liu, A. Corma, Chem. Rev. 118, 4981 (2018)
- W. Ju, A. Bagger, G.-P. Hao, A.S. Varela, I. Sinev, V. Bon, B. Roldan Cuenya, S. Kaskel, J. Rossmeisl, P. Strasser, Nat. Commun. 8, 944 (2017)
- H. Bin Yang, S.-F. Hung, S. Liu, K. Yuan, S. Miao, L. Zhang, X. Huang, H.-Y. Wang, W. Cai, R. Chen, J. Gao, X. Yang, W. Chen, Y. Huang, H.M. Chen, C.M. Li, T. Zhang, B. Liu, Nat. Energy **3**, 140 (2018)
- H. Jung, S.Y. Lee, D.H. Won, K.-J. Kim, S.Y. Chae, H.-S. Oh, B.K. Min, Y.J. Hwang, ChemElectroChem 5, 1615 (2018)
- P.P. Sharma, J. Wu, R.M. Yadav, M. Liu, C.J. Wright, C.S. Tiwary, B.I. Yakobson, J. Lou, P.M. Ajayan, X.-D. Zhou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54, 13701 (2015)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com