UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title The NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4tp8b5wx>

Author Vance, Russell E

Publication Date 2015-02-01

DOI 10.1016/j.coi.2015.01.010

Peer reviewed

NIH Public Access **Author Manuscript**

Curr Opin Immunol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 February 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2015 February ; 0: 84–89. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2015.01.010.

The NAIP/NLRC4 Inflammasomes

Russell E. Vance1,2,3

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94720 ²Department of Molecular and Cell Biology University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94720 ³ Cancer Research Laboratory University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94720

Abstract

Inflammasomes comprise a family of cytosolic multi-protein complexes that sense infection, or other threats, and initiate inflammation via the recruitment and activation of the Caspase-1 protease. Although the precise molecular mechanism by which most inflammasomes are activated remains a subject of considerable debate, the NAIP/NLRC4 sub-family of inflammasomes is increasingly well understood. A crystal structure of NLRC4 was recently reported, and a domain in NAIPs that recognizes bacterial ligands was identified. In addition, gain-of-function mutations in NLRC4 have been shown to cause an auto-inflammatory syndrome in humans. Lastly, the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome has been shown to provide a novel form of cell intrinsic defense against *Salmonella* infection, involving expulsion of infected cells from the intestinal epithelium.

Introduction

Nucleotide binding domain and leucine rich repeat containing proteins (NLRs) are a functionally diverse protein family that includes approximately 20 members in both mouse and humans. The NLR family of apoptosis inhibitory proteins (NAIPs) are one particularly well-characterized NLR sub-family. In the mouse, NAIPs are encoded within a small cluster of genes that vary significantly in number and nucleotide sequence among different inbred strains. Classic genetic studies published by the Dietrich and Gros groups in 2003 were the first to demonstrate the critical role of NAIPs in host defense against bacterial infection [1,2]. The Dietrich and Gros groups showed that one particular NAIP protein, encoded by the *Naip5* gene of the C57BL/6 mouse strain, functions to severely restrict intracellular replication of *Legionella pneumophila*, a bacterial pathogen that causes a severe pneumonia called Legionnaires' Disease. At the time, the mechanism by which NAIP5 restricts *L. pneumophila* replication was entirely unclear, as was the relevance of NAIPs for defense against other bacterial pathogens or human innate immunity. In the ensuing decade, however, work from many labs has revealed the critical role of NAIPs in innate immunity in

^{© 2015} Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: Russell E. Vance 415 Life Science Addition University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94720 USA +1 (510) 643-2795 rvance@berkeley.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

both mice and humans. There is now clear evidence that NAIPs function as cytosolic innate immune receptors for specific bacterial protein ligands. Upon detection of these ligands, NAIPs co-assemble with a downstream protein called NLRC4 to form a multiprotein complex called an inflammasome. Inflammasomes, in turn, function as platforms that activate inflammatory caspase proteases. In this review, I highlight several recent advances in our understanding of the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes, with a particular emphasis on how NAIP/NLRC4 can provide beneficial functions in host defense, and conversely, how inappropriate NAIP/NLRC4 activation can lead to inflammatory disease.

NAIP and NLRC4 proteins

NAIP proteins function as specific cytosolic receptors for a variety of bacterial protein ligands. Mouse NAIP5 recognizes flagellin [3-7], the primary protein constituent of the bacterial flagellum. Mouse NAIP1 and NAIP2 detect needle and inner rod proteins, respectively, which are key structural components of a bacterial secretion system called the type III secretion system (T3SS) [3,7-10] (Figure 1). Importantly, the single human NAIP protein that has been characterized appears only to recognize the T3SS needle protein and does not appear to respond to flagellin [10]. T3SSs are evolutionarily and structurally related to flagella, and are used by many gram negative bacterial pathogens to inject 'effector' proteins into the host cell cytosol. T3SS effector proteins vary significantly among bacteria but are typically enzymes that manipulate host cell biology to benefit the pathogen. Given the variability of T3SS effectors, innate immune detection of the more highly conserved needle or inner rod components may represent a strategy by which the NAIPs can respond to a wide range of bacterial pathogens. However, since needle, rod and flagellin proteins comprise structures on the bacterial surface, they are not likely to be abundantly secreted. Thus, NAIPs must be highly sensitive to the presumably low levels of their ligands that are apparently inadvertently released into the host cell cytosol.

Upon detection of their cognate ligands, NAIPs co-oligomerize with a downstream adaptor protein called NLRC4. Confusingly, many papers continue to refer to NLRC4 as a "sensor", despite a lack of evidence that NLRC4 binds or senses microbial ligands at all. Instead, NLRC4 is responsible for the recruitment and activation of the Caspase-1 (CASP1) protease, downstream of NAIP activation [11,12]. CASP1 orchestrates innate anti-bacterial responses by inducing a rapid lytic cell death, called pyroptosis, that is believed to expel bacteria from their protected intracellular niche [13,14]. In addition, CASP1 also mediates the processing and release of the pro-inflammatory interleukins-1 β and -18. A major innate function of IL-1β is to stimulate the recruitment of neutrophils that engulf and kill extracellular bacteria. Thus, two major effector functions of CASP1 — pyroptosis and neutrophil recruitment appear to function synergistically to eliminate bacteria [14].

NAIPs and NLRC4 are homologous to each other (~20% amino acid identity) and are members of the functionally diverse NLR superfamily, so-named because they contain a Nucleotide-binding domain (NBD) and a Leucine-rich Repeat (LRR) domain. (Despite continued confusion, NLR is not an abbreviation for Nod-like receptor [15]; indeed many NLRs are likely not receptors at all [16]). Many NLRs, including NAIPs, NLRC4, NLRP3 and NLRP1, assemble into multi-protein complexes called 'inflammasomes' that recruit and

activate CASP1 [16,17]. Importantly, however, not all NLRs form inflammasomes; thus, the possibility of caspase-independent functions for NAIP/NLRC4 should always be considered. In addition to the NBD and LRR domains, all NLRs contain three helical domains associated with the NBD: Helical Domain 1 (HD1), Winged Helix Domain (WHD), and Helical Domain 2 (HD2) (Figure 2). Together, the NBD-HD1-WHD-HD2 module is sometimes referred to as a NOD or NACHT domain. NAIPs are distinguished from other NLRs by the presence of three (misleadingly named) Baculovirus Inhibitor-of-apoptosis Repeats (BIRs) at the N-terminus of the protein. The function of the BIRs in NAIPs remains unknown, but they are not believed to play any role in inhibition of apoptosis [18]. NLRC4 lacks BIRs, but contains an N-terminal Caspase Activation and Recruitment Domain (CARD) that can directly recruit and activate CASP1, or can indirectly recruit CASP1 via a CARD-containing adaptor protein called ASC.

In addition to the presence of the BIR domains in NAIPs, the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes exhibit several unique characteristics that distinguish them from other NLR inflammasomes. First, the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes are the only NLR inflammasomes shown to bind and be activated by specific protein ligands. Thus NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes provide a unique experimental system for dissecting ligand-dependent NLR activation (see below). Second, NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes are unusual in involving the cooperative activity of two different NLRs: a NAIP protein that functions to sense ligands, and NLRC4 that recruits and activates CASP1. Most inflammasomes are believed to include only a single NLR, though other NLR-NLR interactions have been proposed [19]. Lastly, whereas most inflammasomes require an adaptor protein called ASC in order to recruit and activate CASP1, the presence of a CARD domain in NLRC4 allows NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes (and the NLRP1 inflammasome) to recruit and activate CASP1 directly, independent of ASC [20].

Unique roles of the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes in epithelial and other cells

Most studies to date have focused on the function of NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes in hematopoietic cells, especially macrophages and dendritic cells. In these cells, as described above, inflammasomes are believed to initiate host defense primarily by triggering pyroptosis and inflammatory cytokine processing. However, a new study [21] has now provided convincing evidence for an epithelial-intrinsic function for the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes. This study describes a novel mouse line in which the entire *Naip* locus is flanked by loxP sites and thus can be deleted either constitutively or conditionally in specific tissues (e.g., specifically in intestinal epithelial cells by use of a Villin-Cre transgene). The intestinal epithelial cells of the novel *Naip* $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ mice, as well as the previously described *Nlrc4*−/− mice, exhibit elevated *Salmonella* burdens at early stages of infection (i.e. 12-36h post infection). The mechanism of epithelial-intrinsic resistance does not appear to involve inflammasome-dependent cytokines, but instead appears to involve selective inflammasomedependent expulsion of infected epithelial cells into the intestinal lumen. The authors were careful not to call this unusual process 'pyroptosis' as their analysis suggests that it occurs without the overt and rapid cellular lysis that is a defining characteristic of pyroptosis in

macrophages. A similar mechanism may explain the apparent non-hematopoietic function of NLRC4 in resistance to *Citrobacter rodentium* infection [22].

The idea that NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes may exhibit unique effector functions in distinct cell types is supported by additional recent data. One report demonstrated that resident peritoneal macrophages (but not bone marrow-derived macrophages) produce significant amounts of pro-inflammatory signaling lipids (an 'eicosanoid storm') upon NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome activation [23]. Another very recent report found, surprisingly, that neutrophils do not undergo pyroptosis upon NAIP/NLRC4 activation [24]. An important conceptual advance emerging from these studies therefore appears to be that inflammasomes can be deployed for unique effector functions in distinct cell types. This insight may be important when considering the *in vivo* functions of other inflammasomes as well.

Human gain-of-function mutations in NLRC4

To date, our knowledge of the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome is based primarily on *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies in the mouse. These studies have provided an essential foundation for understanding human NAIP/NLRC4, but whether the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome is associated with human disease has been an open question. This has now changed with three recent reports [25-27] that characterize a newly described auto-inflammatory disease in several human patients harboring heterozygous gain-of-function mutations in NLRC4. Three distinct disease-associated mutations were described, each resulting in single amino acid substitutions (V341A, T337S, and H443P) in the NLRC4 NBD-associated HD1 or WHD domains. The mutations appear to disrupt the ability of NLRC4 to maintain itself in an autoinhibited state, resulting in an NLRC4 protein with an increased propensity for spontaneous activation of CASP1. The patients harboring these mutations presented with a novel autoinflammatory syndrome characterized by fever, diarrhea, splenomegaly, duodenal inflammation, anemia, hypertriglyceridemia, and elevated levels of inflammatory markers such as ferritin, C-reactive protein, and interleukin-18. The syndrome resulted in the death of a 23 day-old infant, but not his 43 year-old father, indicating that these heterozygous gain-of-function mutations in NLRC4 can produce variable clinical outcomes that in some cases can be tolerated into adulthood. The syndrome was termed SCAN4 (syndrome of enterocolitis and auto-inflammation associated with mutation in NLRC4) [27]) or NLRC4- MAS (NLRC4 macrophage activation syndrome) [25].

The presence of gastrointestinal symptoms is the most notable feature of the newly described syndrome that distinguishes it from the previously characterized autoinflammatory periodic fever syndromes associated with mutations in the related inflammasome component NLRP3 [28]. However, due to the limited experimental manipulations that are possible on rare human patients, it remains unclear precisely how spontaneous NLRC4 activation leads to these specific gastrointestinal symptoms or disease in general. In particular, although spontaneous NLRC4 activation was observed in macrophages derived from the patients, it is unclear whether NLRC4 activation in macrophages, or perhaps other cell types *in vivo*, is the primary driver of disease symptoms. In light of the reports discussed above that indicate that the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome is

highly expressed and functional in non-hematopoietic intestinal epithelial cells [21,22,26], it is tempting to speculate that some of the disease symptoms in the human patients are due to NLRC4 activation in these cells. It is also unclear whether disease in SCAN4/NLRC4-MAS is due primarily to the spontaneous production of inflammasome-dependent cytokines, such as IL-1β or IL-18, or whether pyroptotic cell death may also drive inflammation in these patients. One patient was treated with IL-1 receptor antagonist (anakinra) and this was found to relieve many clinical symptoms, implying that IL-1 is an important mediator of disease.

Specificity and assembly of the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes

The serious auto-inflammatory phenotype that results from spontaneous NLRC4 activation implies that the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes must normally be appropriately and strictly regulated. Thus, an important area of investigation is to understand how NLRs are maintained in an auto-inhibited state and are selectively activated only in response to appropriate cognate stimuli. Unfortunately, there are few biochemical studies of inflammasome activation. NLR proteins are relatively large (>100kDa) multi-domain proteins that tend to aggregate and have been difficult to purify. Thus, the recently reported 3.2Å crystal structure of NLRC4 in the closed (inactive and auto-inhibited) state represents an impressive technical achievement [29] (Figure 2). Removal of the CARD domain proved critical to permit crystallization, but nevertheless, the NLRC4 structure provides valuable insight into the mechanisms of NLR auto-inhibition. In general, the auto-inhibited structure of NLRC4 resembles that of the previously determined auto-inhibited structures of Apaf-1 [30,31], an NBD-containing protein that regulates apoptosis. Auto-inhibition of NLRC4 appears to involve inter-domain contacts that stabilize a compact tertiary fold. For example, the NBD of the auto-inhibited NLRC4 was found to be bound to ADP, and contacts between ADP and the WHD appear to help maintain NLRC4 in a closed compact state. Further interdomain contacts between the NBD and the HD2 and LRR domains appear to further stabilize a closed and inactive conformation. What remains unclear from the NLRC4 structure is how these auto-inhibitory inter-domain interactions are ultimately relieved to allow for NLRC4 activation. Although the authors speculate that ligand binding may disrupt the inter-domain contacts, as discussed above, there is as yet no evidence that NLRC4 binds a ligand. Instead ligand detection and binding appears to be a function encoded by NAIPs. How active NAIPs then bind and induce NLRC4 oligomerization remains obscure.

An unexpected feature of the NLRC4 structure is that the auto-inhibited form of the protein that was crystallized was found to contain serine 533 in the phosphorylated state [29]. This is interesting because it appears at odds with a previous proposal that phosphorylation on Serine 533 is required for *activation* of NLRC4 [32]. One resolution to this conundrum is to suggest that the form of NLRC4 that was crystallized is actually partially transitioning to an activated state [33]. Another resolution would be to suggest that perhaps phosphorylation of NLRC4 is not critical for its activation. Indeed a recent report could find no role for a proposed NLRC4 kinase, PKCδ, in NLRC4 activation [34]. Shao and colleagues similarly found no role for serine 533 phosphoylation in NAIP/NLRC4 activation in a reconstituted assay system [10].

In contrast to NLRC4, no crystal structure has been reported for any of the NAIP proteins. Thus the mechanism by which different NAIP proteins are able to detect specific bacterial ligands remains poorly understood. Based on analogy to the ligand-binding function of the LRRs in Toll-like receptors, it has been widely presumed that the LRR domains of NLRs would be responsible for ligand recognition. Therefore it was unexpected when an analysis of the specificity of chimeric NAIP proteins mapped ligand recognition to the NAIP helical domains and an unannotated region between the helical domains and the LRRs [35]. Interestingly, this region of the NAIPs also appears to be under positive (diversifying) selection, commensurate with its role in recognition of rapidly evolving microbial ligands [35]. Whether other NLRs use the helical domains for ligand recognition remains to be ascertained.

Conclusion

The recent work discussed above highlights the precarious function of the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome in the innate immune system. On one hand, NAIP/NLRC4 activation provides a robust early detection system that initiates rapid responses to eliminate bacterial intruders. On the other hand, the rare human patients harboring gain-of-function NLRC4 mutations illustrate the potentially severe auto-inflammatory disease that can result from inappropriate NLRC4 activation. The NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes therefore play a highstakes game in which inappropriate activation can produce autoimmune disease, but conversely, a lack of activation can increase susceptibility to infection. A future challenge is to understand the regulatory mechanisms that allow NAIP/NLRC4 to 'win' its high-stakes game and serve reliably in host defense.

Acknowledgements

Russell E. Vance is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and an Investigator in the Pathogenesis of Infectious Disease of the Burroughs Wellcome Fund. Research in his laboratory is funded by the National Institutes of Health (grants AI075039 and AI063302). R.E.V. gratefully acknowledges all his colleagues past and present that have contributed to the work in his laboratory on inflammasomes.

References

- 1. Diez E, Lee SH, Gauthier S, Yaraghi Z, Tremblay M, Vidal S, Gros P. Birc1e is the gene within the Lgn1 locus associated with resistance to Legionella pneumophila. Nat Genet. 2003; 33:55–60. [PubMed: 12483212]
- 2. Wright EK, Goodart SA, Growney JD, Hadinoto V, Endrizzi MG, Long EM, Sadigh K, Abney AL, Bernstein-Hanley I, Dietrich WF. Naip5 affects host susceptibility to the intracellular pathogen Legionella pneumophila. Curr Biol. 2003; 13:27–36. [PubMed: 12526741]
- 3. Kofoed EM, Vance RE. Innate immune recognition of bacterial ligands by NAIPs determines inflammasome specificity. Nature. 2011; 477:592–595. [PubMed: 21874021]
- 4. Lightfield KL, Persson J, Brubaker SW, Witte CE, von Moltke J, Dunipace EA, Henry T, Sun YH, Cado D, Dietrich WF, et al. Critical function for Naip5 in inflammasome activation by a conserved carboxy-terminal domain of flagellin. Nat Immunol. 2008; 9:1171–1178. [PubMed: 18724372]
- 5. Molofsky AB, Byrne BG, Whitfield NN, Madigan CA, Fuse ET, Tateda K, Swanson MS. Cytosolic recognition of flagellin by mouse macrophages restricts Legionella pneumophila infection. J Exp Med. 2006; 203:1093–1104. [PubMed: 16606669]

- 6. Ren T, Zamboni DS, Roy CR, Dietrich WF, Vance RE. Flagellin-deficient Legionella mutants evade caspase-1- and Naip5-mediated macrophage immunity. PLoS Pathog. 2006; 2:e18. [PubMed: 16552444]
- 7. Zhao Y, Yang J, Shi J, Gong YN, Lu Q, Xu H, Liu L, Shao F. The NLRC4 inflammasome receptors for bacterial flagellin and type III secretion apparatus. Nature. 2011; 477:596–600. [PubMed: 21918512]
- 8. Miao EA, Mao DP, Yudkovsky N, Bonneau R, Lorang CG, Warren SE, Leaf IA, Aderem A. Innate immune detection of the type III secretion apparatus through the NLRC4 inflammasome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010; 107:3076–3080. [PubMed: 20133635]
- 9. Rayamajhi M, Zak DE, Chavarria-Smith J, Vance RE, Miao EA. Cutting edge: Mouse NAIP1 detects the type III secretion system needle protein. J Immunol. 2013; 191:3986–3989. [PubMed: 24043898]
- 10. Yang J, Zhao Y, Shi J, Shao F. Human NAIP and mouse NAIP1 recognize bacterial type III secretion needle protein for inflammasome activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110:14408–14413. [PubMed: 23940371]
- 11. Mariathasan S, Weiss DS, Newton K, McBride J, O'Rourke K, Roose-Girma M, Lee WP, Weinrauch Y, Monack DM, Dixit VM. Cryopyrin activates the inflammasome in response to toxins and ATP. Nature. 2006; 440:228–232. [PubMed: 16407890]
- 12. Zamboni DS, Kobayashi KS, Kohlsdorf T, Ogura Y, Long EM, Vance RE, Kuida K, Mariathasan S, Dixit VM, Flavell RA, et al. The Birc1e cytosolic pattern-recognition receptor contributes to the detection and control of Legionella pneumophila infection. Nat Immunol. 2006; 7:318–325. [PubMed: 16444259]
- 13. Bergsbaken T, Fink SL, Cookson BT. Pyroptosis: host cell death and inflammation. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009; 7:99–109. [PubMed: 19148178]
- 14. Miao EA, Leaf IA, Treuting PM, Mao DP, Dors M, Sarkar A, Warren SE, Wewers MD, Aderem A. Caspase-1-induced pyroptosis is an innate immune effector mechanism against intracellular bacteria. Nat Immunol. 2010; 11:1136–1142. [PubMed: 21057511]
- 15. Ting JP, Lovering RC, Alnemri ES, Bertin J, Boss JM, Davis BK, Flavell RA, Girardin SE, Godzik A, Harton JA, et al. The NLR gene family: a standard nomenclature. Immunity. 2008; 28:285–287. [PubMed: 18341998]
- 16. von Moltke J, Ayres JS, Kofoed EM, Chavarria-Smith J, Vance RE. Recognition of bacteria by inflammasomes. Annu Rev Immunol. 2013; 31:73–106. [PubMed: 23215645]
- 17. Martinon F, Burns K, Tschopp J. The inflammasome: a molecular platform triggering activation of inflammatory caspases and processing of proIL-beta. Mol Cell. 2002; 10:417–426. [PubMed: 12191486]
- 18. Kofoed EM, Vance RE. NAIPs: Building an innate immune barrier against bacterial pathogens: NAIPs function as sensors that initiate innate immunity by detection of bacterial proteins in the host cell cytosol. Bioessays. 2012
- 19. Damiano JS, Oliveira V, Welsh K, Reed JC. Heterotypic interactions among NACHT domains: implications for regulation of innate immune responses. Biochem J. 2004; 381:213–219. [PubMed: 15107016]
- 20. Broz P, von Moltke J, Jones JW, Vance RE, Monack DM. Differential requirement for Caspase-1 autoproteolysis in pathogen-induced cell death and cytokine processing. Cell Host Microbe. 2010; 8:471–483. [PubMed: 21147462]
- ••21. Sellin ME, Muller AA, Felmy B, Dolowschiak T, Diard M, Tardivel A, Maslowski KM, Hardt WD. Epithelium-intrinsic NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome drives infected enterocyte expulsion to restrict Salmonella replication in the intestinal mucosa. Cell Host Microbe. 2014; 16:237–248. [PubMed: 25121751] This paper describes a novel epithelial intrinsic effector function of the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome that mediates resistance to *Salmonella* infection.
- •22. Nordlander S, Pott J, Maloy KJ. NLRC4 expression in intestinal epithelial cells mediates protection against an enteric pathogen. Mucosal Immunol. 2014; 7:775–785. [PubMed: 24280936] This paper reports an epithelial-intrinsic function of the NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasome in resistance to *Citrobacter rodentium* infection

Vance Page 8

- 23. von Moltke J, Trinidad NJ, Moayeri M, Kintzer AF, Wang SB, van Rooijen N, Brown CR, Krantz BA, Leppla SH, Gronert K, et al. Rapid induction of inflammatory lipid mediators by the inflammasome in vivo. Nature. 2012 accepted.
- 24. Chen KW, Gross CJ, Sotomayor FV, Stacey KJ, Tschopp J, Sweet MJ, Schroder K. The neutrophil NLRC4 inflammasome selectively promotes IL-1beta maturation without pyroptosis during acute Salmonella challenge. Cell Rep. 2014; 8:570–582. [PubMed: 25043180]
- ••25. Canna SW, de Jesus AA, Gouni S, Brooks SR, Marrero B, Liu Y, DiMattia MA, Zaal KJ, Sanchez GA, Kim H, et al. An activating NLRC4 inflammasome mutation causes autoinflammation with recurrent macrophage activation syndrome. Nat Genet. 2014; 46:1140– 1146. [PubMed: 25217959]
- ••26. Kitamura A, Sasaki Y, Abe T, Kano H, Yasutomo K. An inherited mutation in NLRC4 causes autoinflammation in human and mice. J Exp Med. 2014; 211:2385–2396. [PubMed: 25385754]
- ••27. Romberg N, Al Moussawi K, Nelson-Williams C, Stiegler AL, Loring E, Choi M, Overton J, Meffre E, Khokha MK, Huttner AJ, et al. Mutation of NLRC4 causes a syndrome of enterocolitis and autoinflammation. Nat Genet. 2014; 46:1135–1139. [PubMed: 25217960] References 25-27 describe a rare but serious auto-inflammatory disease in humans that is caused by gain-offunction mutations in NLRC4.
- 28. Hoffman HM, Simon A. Recurrent febrile syndromes: what a rheumatologist needs to know. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2009; 5:249–256. [PubMed: 19412191]
- ••29. Hu Z, Yan C, Liu P, Huang Z, Ma R, Zhang C, Wang R, Zhang Y, Martinon F, Miao D, et al. Crystal structure of NLRC4 reveals its autoinhibition mechanism. Science. 2013; 341:172–175. [PubMed: 23765277] This paper describes a 3.2Å crystal structure of NLRC4 in its autoinhibited conformation.
- 30. Riedl SJ, Li W, Chao Y, Schwarzenbacher R, Shi Y. Structure of the apoptotic protease-activating factor 1 bound to ADP. Nature. 2005; 434:926–933. [PubMed: 15829969]
- 31. Reubold TF, Wohlgemuth S, Eschenburg S. Crystal structure of full-length Apaf-1: how the death signal is relayed in the mitochondrial pathway of apoptosis. Structure. 2011; 19:1074–1083. [PubMed: 21827944]
- 32. Qu Y, Misaghi S, Izrael-Tomasevic A, Newton K, Gilmour LL, Lamkanfi M, Louie S, Kayagaki N, Liu J, Komuves L, et al. Phosphorylation of NLRC4 is critical for inflammasome activation. Nature. 2012; 490:539–542. [PubMed: 22885697]
- 33. Lechtenberg BC, Mace PD, Riedl SJ. Structural mechanisms in NLR inflammasome signaling. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2014; 29C:17–25. [PubMed: 25201319]
- 34. Suzuki S, Franchi L, He Y, Munoz-Planillo R, Mimuro H, Suzuki T, Sasakawa C, Nunez G. Shigella type III secretion protein MxiI is recognized by Naip2 to induce Nlrc4 inflammasome activation independently of Pkcdelta. PLoS Pathog. 2014; 10:e1003926. [PubMed: 24516390]
- •35. Tenthorey JL, Kofoed EM, Daugherty MD, Malik HS, Vance RE. Molecular basis for specific recognition of bacterial ligands by NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes. Mol Cell. 2014; 54:17–29. [PubMed: 24657167] This paper maps a domain in NAIPs responsible for specific detection of bacterial ligands.

Highlights

- **•** The NAIP/NLRC4 inflammasomes initiate inflammation in response to bacterial ligands
- **•** A crystal structure of NLRC4 provides structural insights into its regulation
- **•** NAIPs use their alpha helical domains to detect specific bacterial ligands
- **•** Gain-of-function mutations in NLRC4 cause a rare but serious autoinflammatory disease
- **•** NAIP/NLRC4 functions in epithelial cells to provide immune defense against *Salmonella*

Figure 1. Specific detection of bacterial ligands by NAIPs

The needle or rod components of bacterial type III secretion systems (T3SS) are detected in the host cell cytosol by mouse NAIP1 or mouse NAIP2, respectively. Flagellin, the main structural protein comprising the flagellum, is detected by mouse NAIP5 or NAIP6. Human NAIP (hNAIP) detects the T3SS needle only and does not detect flagellin. Once NAIPs are activated in the presence of their cognate ligands, they co-assemble with NLRC4 to form an inflammasome that recruits and activates Caspase-1 (CASP1). The precise mechanism by which the needle and rod proteins access the host cell cytosol remains to be determined and may not involve direct secretion via the T3SS as shown.

Figure 2. The NAIP and NLRC4 Proteins

A. Domain structures of mouse NAIPs and NLRC4. BIR, Baculovirus inhibitor-of-apoptosis repeat; NBD, nucleotide binding domain; H1, helical domain 1; WHD, winged helix domain; H2, helical domain 2; LRR, leucine rich repeat domain; CARD, caspase activation and recruitment domain. Numbers indicate the number of amino acids in the full length proteins.

B. Crystal structure of mouse NLRC4 in the auto-inhibited conformation. Structural coordinates are from the protein data bank (PDB accession number 4KXF) as reported by [29]. The NLRC4 CARD domain was truncated prior to crystallization and is therefore not shown. The positions of amino acids (Valine 341, Threonine 337, and Histidine 443) mutated in the recently reported human auto-inflammatory disease [25-27] are indicated, as is the phosphorylated serine 533.