UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

3D small-scale dosimetry and tumor control of 225Ac radiopharmaceuticals for prostate cancer

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4tq3r4ts

Journal Scientific Reports, 14(1)

ISSN

2045-2322

Authors

Peter, Robin Bidkar, Anil P Bobba, Kondapa Naidu et al.

Publication Date

2024

DOI

10.1038/s41598-024-70417-3

Peer reviewed

scientific reports



OPEN 3D small-scale dosimetry and tumor control of ²²⁵Ac radiopharmaceuticals for prostate cancer

Robin Peter^{1,2,7^I}, Anil P. Bidkar^{2,7}, Kondapa Naidu Bobba², Luann Zerefa², Chandrashekhar Dasari³, Niranjan Meher², Anju Wadhwa², Adam Oskowitz³, Bin Liu⁴, Brian W. Miller⁵, Kai Vetter¹, Robert R. Flavell^{2,6} & Youngho Seo^{1,2}

Radiopharmaceutical therapy using α -emitting ²²⁵Ac is an emerging treatment for patients with advanced metastatic cancers. Measurement of the spatial dose distribution in organs and tumors is needed to inform treatment dose prescription and reduce off-target toxicity, at not only organ but also sub-organ scales. Digital autoradiography with α-sensitive detection devices can measure radioactivity distributions at 20–40 µm resolution, but anatomical characterization is typically limited to 2D. We collected digital autoradiographs across whole tissues to generate 3D dose volumes and used them to evaluate the simultaneous tumor control and regional kidney dosimetry of a novel therapeutic radiopharmaceutical for prostate cancer, [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5, in mice. 22Rv1 xenograft-bearing mice treated with 18.5 kBg of [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 were sacrificed at 24 h and 168 h post-injection for quantitative α -particle digital autoradiography and hematoxylin and eosin staining. Gamma-ray spectroscopy of biodistribution data was used to determine temporal dynamics and ²¹³Bi redistribution. Tumor control probability and sub-kidney dosimetry were assessed. Heterogeneous ²²⁵Ac spatial distribution was observed in both tumors and kidneys. Tumor control was maintained despite heterogeneity if cold spots coincided with necrotic regions. ²²⁵Ac dose-rate was highest in the cortex and renal vasculature. Extrapolation of tumor control suggested that kidney absorbed dose could be reduced by 41% while maintaining 90% TCP. The 3D dosimetry methods described allow for whole tumor and organ dose measurements following ²²⁵Ac radiopharmaceutical therapy, which correlate to tumor control and toxicity outcomes.

Keywords Radiopharmaceutical therapy, Digital autoradiography, Alpha particle therapy, Microdosimetry/ small-scale dosimetry

Radiopharmaceutical therapy (RPT) is a cancer treatment modality that utilizes a molecular targeting strategy to deliver a therapeutic radioisotopic emission to tumor cells. Both α - and β -particle modes have demonstrated therapeutic effects in clinical trials for a range of oncologic diseases, including metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC), an aggressive disease with a 2–3 year survival rate and 50% 6-month mortality^{1,2}. β -emitting [177Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 is already in use for mCRPC patient care, but 20-40% of treated patients do not respond to it³. α -particle RPT (α RPT) is an alternative with shorter range (< 100 μ m) and higher linear energy transfer that may overcome improve therapeutic outcomes even in cases of β-particle radioresistance^{4,5}.

The word *dose* in this manuscript refers to the radiation absorbed dose (J/kg or Gy), which in radiation-based therapies is the key metric that links the intensity of administered treatment to tumor- and organ-effects. Despite evidence of dose-effect relationships for a variety of radiopharmaceuticals (RPs), de-/escalation strategies based

¹Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA. ²Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. ³Department of Surgery, Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁴Department of Anesthesia, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁵Departments of Radiation Oncology and Medical Imaging, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA. ⁶Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁷These authors contributed equally: Robin Peter and Anil P. Bidkar. ^[2]email: rpeter@berkeley.edu; Robert.Flavell@ucsf.edu; Youngho.Seo@ucsf.edu

on quantitative dosimetry and accepted biological effect metrics such as tumor control probability (TCP) are still scarce⁶. For α RPT, dose-effect dosimetry is confounded by the often heterogeneous distribution of absorbed dose in tumors and tissues resulting from the short range of α -particles and nonuniform expression of molecular targets^{7,8}. These effects occur at scales smaller than the resolution of clinical PET and SPECT (< 100 μ m). Additionally, for α -emitters with long-lived radioactive progeny such as ²²⁵Ac (progeny ²¹³Bi , $t_{1/2} = 45.6$ min), the release of free radionuclides through nuclear recoil poses a toxicity risk independent of the targeting efficacy⁹.

Digital autoradiography (DAR) is a common ex vivo tool to assess activity distributions and dosimetry of preclinical samples and patient biopsies at the microscale $(20-40 \,\mu\text{m})^{10,11}$. Compared with in vivo preclinical PET or SPECT imaging, DAR offers higher spatial resolution and sensitivity (up to 50% geometric efficiency) due to the contact imaging geometry and high efficiency for alpha particle detection. The ability to directly image the therapeutic alpha-particle also simplifies quantitative dosimetry and avoids inaccuracies from the use of imaging surrogates¹². Autoradiography is therefore the primary tool to study intratumoral and sub-organ dose heterogeneity in preclinical α RPT studies at therapeutic injected activities (< 37 kBq).

Digital autoradiographs (DARs) have been correlated with histological stains (typically with hematoxylin and eosin, or H&E), gamma-ray spectroscopy, and even high-resolution MRI data to interrogate sub-organ and sub-tumor effects^{13,14}, typically using one or a few representative slices due to labor or instrument field-of-view constraints. Observable features are thus limited to a single plane per slice due to the 2D nature of the modality. The potential benefit to full 3D investigation of α -radiopharmaceutical agents (α RPs) in tissues and tumors has not been assessed, nor is the method straightforward. It is theoretically possible to collect enough consecutive DARs from a tissue to assemble a 3D absorbed dose rate distribution¹⁵, but the procedure is practically prohibitive: imaging a typical 5-mm diameter tissue at 10 μ m slice thickness would require 500 cryotome sections. Sparse sampling results in loss of the 3D activity information necessary to calculate absorbed dose. We propose that if adjacent slices are assumed to be similar, as is common in analysis of 2D DARs, 3D quantitative digital autoradiography is feasible with sampling.

Here, we combine quantitative methods for DAR dosimetry, gamma-ray biodistribution, and H&E stain analysis with our proposed 3D DAR method to study [225 Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 in murine tumors and kidneys and relate the 3D sub-organ absorbed dose distribution to predicted biological outcome. YS5 is a human monoclonal antibody that we identified previously that binds to a tumor-selective epitope of CD46¹⁶. Our group has developed several novel α RPs utilizing YS5, including [225 Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5, which has shown specific uptake in prostate cancer models and demonstrates promise for 134 Ce/ 225 Ac theranostic development with 134 Ce as the PET diagnostic and 225 Ac as the therapeutic agent^{17,18}.

This work comprises 3D volumetric DAR dosimetry for spatial information, gamma-ray spectroscopy for temporal information, and co-registration and segmentation of H&E images for morphological information. It is a start-to-finish methodological framework for the assessment of small-scale effects (sub-organ dosimetry and voxel-based TCP) when studying the efficacy and toxicity of α RPs.

Methods

Ethics approval

The animal experiments were approved by and carried out in compliance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and established guidelines at the Laboratory Animal Resource Center (LARC), University of California, San Francisco, CA. The study design and methods follow recommendations in the ARRIVE guidelines.

Experimental design

Immunocompromised Nu/nu mice (5–6 weeks old, Strain: 002019, Jackson Laboratories) were used for subcutaneous xenografts. Each mouse was subcutaneously inoculated with 2.5 million 22Rv1 cells mixed with Matrigel (Corning, #354230) in a 1:1 ratio. Tumor growth was monitored for 21 days until the tumors reached a volume of 0.4–0.6 cc. All animals for prostate cancer models used in our studies were male mice.

22Rv1 xenograft-bearing mice received an intravenous injection of 18.5 kBq of [225 Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 via the tail vein and were sacrificed at two time points: 24 h post-injection (p.i.) and 168 h (7 d) postinjection. 225 Ac was in equilibrium at the time of injection. Euthanization was performed with a high dose of isoflurane (5% for 10 min), followed by cervical dislocation. Blood, tumors, kidneys, and other selected organs were collected for biodistribution (BioD: NaI automatic gamma counter, Hidex), and only tumors and kidneys were subjected for autoradiography (iQID: ionizing-radiation quantum imaging detector, QScint Imaging Solutions, LLC). Consecutive tissue slices were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) using a standard protocol. Antibody conjugation, 225 Ac radiolabeling, and [225 Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 synthesis followed the procedure described previously¹⁷.

In total, four cohorts of identically prepared mice are described in this study: animals for DAR method comparison (N = 4), animals for 3D DAR (N = 4), animals for BioD-based ²¹³Bi corrections (N = 8), and animals in a 7-d BioD study to determine the time-dose-rate curves for [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 in the mice (N = 17).

iQID digital autoradiography imaging

An ionizing-radiation quantum imaging detector (iQID) camera DAR device (QScint Imaging Solutions, LLC) was used to obtain high-resolution (voxel size 39 μ m × 39 μ m × 210 μ m) images of the instantaneous spatial distribution of α -particle emissions in tissues at the start of the acquisition. The iQID camera can be used at 10–40 μ m effective voxel size. In this study, a larger stage (80 mm diameter) was used to increase the number of tissue samples that could be measured simultaneously, with the trade-off of increasing the effective voxel size.

After sacrifice, tissue samples were prepared in an Optimum Cutting Temperature (OCT) medium, sliced using a cryotome to 10 μ m thickness, and mounted on the iQID camera for imaging. The iQID camera uses a disposable α -sensitive scintillator (ZnS:Ag film EJ-440; Eljen Technology) and light-amplifying optical components to image scintillation light onto a 2448 × 2048 px CMOS camera with a CMOSIS CMV4000 CMOS sensor (Grasshopper© 3, FLIR Integrated Imaging). Activity images are obtained from single-particle event maps using the ROI segmentation and registration procedures as published in our open-source Python toolkit¹⁹, then decay-corrected to the time of sacrifice. An additional correction factor for the device frame-rate (1.09) was derived for ²²⁵Ac due to the rapid decay of progeny ²¹⁷At ($t_{1/2} = 32$ ms), which occurs on the order of the iQID frame rate (25 FPS = 40 ms/frame) (see Supplement).

As in previous work with ²¹¹At¹⁹, 10⁷ α -particle primaries were generated in Monte Carlo framework GATE v9.0²⁰ to simulate the decay of ²²⁵Ac. Alpha-particle emissions from the ²²⁵Ac decay chain were simulated in a 181- μ m cube of 1- μ m water voxels using the emstandard_opt3 physics list and 10 nm range cuts. The energies and branching ratios of the alpha-particle primaries were provided by the Lund/LBNL Nuclear Data Search (²²⁵Ac: 25%, ²²¹Fr: 25%, ²¹⁷At: 25%, ²¹³Bi: 0.52%, ²¹³Po: 24.48%)²¹. Progeny were assumed to be in secular equilibrium, since iQID measurements were taken long enough after sacrifice for free ²¹³Bi to decay significantly (> 5 h). Only the alpha particles were generated as primaries. The kernel was averaged radially and binned to the voxel size of the iQID image stack (XY: 39 μ m; Z: 10 μ m).

iQID calibration

The quantitative accuracy of ²²⁵Ac measurements using iQID was calibrated using droplet samples of known radioactivity. Solutions of 185 Bq/µL were prepared and serially diluted by factors of two down to 5.78 Bq/µL with small volumes reserved at each dilution. 2-µL droplets of each concentration were prepared (N = 3 per concentration), counted in a Hidex NaI(Tl) automatic gamma counter (60 s, 175–250 keV and 385–490 keV windows with Gaussian and linear background fits), pipetted onto ZnS:Ag scintillator paper, and evaporated in a fume hood at room temperature, leaving circular samples of 370, 185, 92.5, 46.25, 23.13, and 11.56 Bq as calculated from the stock dilution. ²²⁵Ac was provided as a dissolved chloride salt in water, and therefore it does not vaporize at room temperature during the procedure. The swatch was measured in iQID at 25 FPS for 24 h. For activities below 46.25 Bq, the mean spatial pileup loss was 23.8% ± 0.7%, yielding an absolute efficiency of 38% when including 50% geometric efficiency. Although greater saturation occurred at higher activities, these are beyond the range of tissue measurements in this study. The complete calibration results are shown in Supplementary Fig. S4.

2D to 3D DAR

DAR-based α RP dosimetry conventionally requires a series of around 10 consecutive slices to be imaged per dose-rate measurement^{15,22}. The co-registered activity volume is used for dose-point kernel (DPK) convolution or Monte Carlo (MC) simulation. If one assumes that neighboring slices are nearly identical since the slice thickness is small (10 µm), absorbed dose-rate can be estimated with only one slice by digitally duplicating the measured slice to generate the DPK convolution input volume¹⁹. To validate the technique ("cloning method") for [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 in a mouse model, ten consecutive slices (10 × 10 µm = 100 µm total) from each mouse kidney and tumor were cut, imaged, and digitally re-registered (N = 4 mice). The dose rate of the central slice was compared between the sequential and cloning methods.

To assess 3D dose volumes, mice were identically prepared (N = 4), but instead single tissue slices were extracted at 200 µm intervals from kidneys and tumors to yield 3D volumes of 20–30 slices per tissue (voxel size 39 µm × 39 µm × 210 µm). Spatial dose rates were estimated in each slice using the cloning method and DPK convolution. This procedure will be referred to as 3D digital autoradiography (3D DAR) and produces 3D digital autoradiographs (3D DARs). At 200 µm sampling rate in a 5-mm diameter tissue, the cloning method reduces the slices that must be prepared for 3D DAR from 250 to 25. 3D DAR figures were rendered with 3D Slicer, an open-source image analysis software package²³.

Tumor control probability

Tumor control probability (TCP) is a statistical predictor of treatment efficacy based on whether tumor cells survive the treatment, where TCP = 1 indicates that all malignant cells die. We use the formalism reviewed by Spoormans et al¹⁰, where TCP in a heterogeneous DAR is the product of voxel control probabilities (VCPs). The VCP nomenclature is discussed further in the Supplement. A voxel *i* containing n_i cells is assumed to contain uniform dose D_i , and the surviving fraction *S* is based on the linear quadratic (LQ) probability model:

$$S = e^{-\alpha D - \beta D^2} \approx e^{-\alpha D}$$

$$VCP(D_i) = e^{-n_i S(D_i)}$$

$$TCP = \prod_i VCP(D_i).$$
(1)

The above simplification is reasonable for ²²⁵Ac RPs, which mainly deliver dose through high-LET α -particle emissions for which the radiosensitivity parameter $\alpha >> \beta$. We used $\alpha = 1.8 \text{ Gy}^{-1}$, based on an in vitro survival assay with [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 (Supplementary Fig. S1)¹⁷.

²²⁵Ac decay chain

Redistribution of free ²¹³Bi was measured with time-sensitive gamma-counting (²¹³Bi correction cohort: N = 4 at each of 24 h and 168 h p.i.), similar to Seoane et al²⁴. We assumed secular equilibrium between ²²⁵Ac ($t_{1/2} = 9.9$ d) and ²²¹Fr ($t_{1/2} = 4.8$ min), but not between ²²⁵Ac and ²¹³Bi ($t_{1/2} = 45.6$ min), since measurements occurred > 30 min after sacrifice. Derivations and some nuances are discussed in the Supplement.

A measurement at time t post-sacrifice provided $A_a(t)$ and $A_b(t)$, the respective ²²⁵Ac and ²¹³Bi activities, which were related to $A_a(0)$ and $A_b(0)$ at the instant of sacrifice (t = 0) by:

$$\frac{A_b(t)}{A_a(t)} = \left(\frac{A_b(0)}{A_a(0)} - \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_b - \lambda_a}\right) e^{-(\lambda_b - \lambda_a)t} + \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_b - \lambda_a} \tag{2}$$

All measurements corresponded to animals sacrificed at the same time post-injection. Equation (2) is equivalent to

$$A_b(0) = A_b(t)e^{\lambda_b t} - \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_b - \lambda_a}A_a(t)\left(e^{\lambda_b t} - e^{\lambda_a t}\right).$$
(3)

 $A_b(t)/A_a(t) = 1$ is the condition that describes secular equilibrium between ²²⁵Ac and ²¹³Bi at any time *t*. For tissues with $A_b(t)/A_a(t) > 1$ (Fig. S2), the difference between the total activities at sacrifice, $A_b(0) - A_a(0)$, was the quantity of free ²¹³Bi present at that moment (e.g. due to redistribution from other tissues).

Gamma-ray spectroscopy

Gamma-ray emissions from organs and tumors were counted in a Hidex NaI(Tl) automatic gamma counter between 0.5 and 3 h post-sacrifice, allowing 60 s active counting time per tissue. Net counts were recorded in energy windows corresponding to ²²¹Fr (168–268 keV) and ²¹³Bi (370–510 keV), using a least-squares Gaussian distribution with linear background to correct for ambient background (²¹³Bi) and down-scatter (²²¹Fr) in each energy window (Supplementary Fig. S2). Counts were corrected by their respective branching ratios, decay times, and energy-dependent detector efficiencies, determined by a known-activity detector calibration using the same procedures.

Macro-to-micro dosimetry

The temporal evolution of activity was estimated using macroscopic gamma-counting measurements and a macro-to-micro approach²⁵. The absorbed dose value in each DAR voxel was extrapolated by scaling the dose-rate curve measured within whole tumors and kidneys by a factor *c* based on the mean dose-rate of the DAR measurement at one time-point (24 h or 168 h p.i.), assuming that the activity does not significantly redistribute over time. The dose-rate curve was modelled with time-dependent BioD from 1 d, 2 d, 4 d, and 7 d p.i. (N = 17).

Energy from the ²²⁵Ac decay chain was assumed to deposit entirely within the tumor (which showed $A_b(t)/A_a(t) = 1$ within uncertainties), but in kidneys, we separated ²²⁵Ac contributions from free ²¹³Bi and its products. Resulting dose-rates were fit to bi-exponential curves using least-squares optimization. We extracted extrapolation factors c_{24h} and c_{168h} .

$$c_{24h} = D/\dot{D}_{24h}, \qquad c_{168h} = D/\dot{D}_{168h},$$
(4)

where *D* was the total integrated dose under the time-rate curve (TRC) to six half-lives, and \dot{D}_{24h} (for example) was the dose-rate measured at 24 h p.i by BioD. iQID dose-rate DARs from 24 h p.i. were scaled by c_{24h} to obtain the voxel distribution of total absorbed dose D_i , and similarly for 168 h p.i. DARs by c_{168h} .

Histological staining

Tissue slices consecutive with each sample series (sequential-method validation mice) or with each tissue slice (3D DAR mice) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) using a standard protocol. Images were acquired with Octopus-Versa Slide Scanner (Leica).

Image segmentation and registration

Tumors

Cell nuclei in tumor H&E images were segmented using a custom ImageJ-Fiji macro based on watershed segmentation and the Analyze Particles function (Supplementary Fig. S3). These cell nuclei maps and iQID images were initially co-registered using automated rigid-body transformations with mean-squared-error intensity comparison, as described previously¹⁹, but more precise registration was needed to match n_i cells in a voxel to dose D_i to calculate TCP. After the initial rigid-body registration, the two images were manually aligned with affine transformations using Bigwarp²⁶, a landmark-based deformation tool in ImageJ-Fiji²⁷. To minimize interpolation errors, the DAR was treated as the reference image where possible. When DARs were transformed, the sum of pixel values was preserved using a scaling factor according to the difference before and after transformation. External edges of the tissue were preferred as landmarks to avoid biasing co-registration of internal structures receiving dose.

Tissue slices from both modalities (iQID and H&E) sometimes contained damaged or folded sections from the cryosectioning procedure. Identifiable damage was masked out of the TCP calculations, but the difference in total tissue extent sometimes hindered the registration. If a visibly adequate co-registration could not be achieved, the slice was omitted from analysis. To reduce the sensitivity of the calculation to registration error, we applied a 5 px × 5 px erosion mask to the edge of the contour outlining $n_i > 0$ pixels.

Kidneys

Kidney H&E images were manually segmented into four regions: cortex; the combined inner and outer stripes of medulla (ISOM/OSOM); the combined inner medulla and papilla (IM/Pa); and the combined vasculature and renal pelvis (V/Pe), using the reference histology images provided by NIH's National Toxicology Program²⁸. We reduced the uncertainty in segmentation by combining the outer and inner stripes of medulla into one segment, and defined the boundary with the cortex as the presence or absence of glomerules. Similarly, we did not distinguish where the inner medulla and papilla ended or began and masked them as one segment. Automated rigid-body transformations were sufficient for approximate alignment of the sub-organ regions when registering anatomical masks with 3D DARs.

We evaluated our DAR-based sub-organ dosimetry results from 24 h p.i. next to a regional S-value dosimetry model developed by Vargas et al.¹⁴. This model takes the total activity as measured by BioD and distributes it according to the relative activity ratios in each compartment. We calculated this ratio using DARs from across the whole kidney volume and applied the published S-value calculations according to the procedure described by the authors. Since we did not separate the ISOM and OSOM, or the IM from the papilla, these segments were combined and their S-values averaged. Vasculature was assigned to the compartment within which it was found for this analysis, since it was not segmented in the reference.

Statistical analysis

Results reported as $x \pm \sigma$ describe the mean value *x* and one standard deviation σ . Results of the form *x* (x_1 , x_2) show asymmetric uncertainties, where x_1 is the lower bound and x_2 is the upper bound propagated from dose calculations. For sub-organ dosimetry using H&E stains, damage to the kidneys during cryotome slicing resulted in only N = 1 mouse per time point, for which *x* and σ are calculated using contralateral samples (left and right kidneys).

Results

All mentions of *dose* refer to absorbed dose (Gy), with no radiation weighting or relative biological effectiveness factor.

2D to 3D DAR

Figure 1A-B shows an example comparison between the absorbed-dose-rate DAR calculated using the sequential and cloning methods for a 24 h p.i. tumour. Across subjects (kidneys and tumors at both time points), the cloning method calculated the mean dose-rate of the sequential method with an accuracy of $4.1\% \pm 3.7\%$ (Fig. 1C). Gross features were captured, but the approximation was noisier and over- or under-emphasized high-activity regions. We assessed the spatial accuracy with γ analysis, a difference- and distance-based metric for similarity between two dose distributions that is used to evaluate clinical external-beam radiation therapy plans^{29,30}. 97% \pm 3% of dose-rate pixels in kidneys were accurately calculated ($\gamma < 1$), using a tolerance of 10% within three pixels (117 µm) and local normalization (Fig. 1D). Higher discrepancy was observed in tumors ($\gamma < 1$: 87% \pm 6%), which reflects the fact that heterogeneities between slices are not preserved when using the cloning method approximation.

²¹³Bi spectroscopy

BioD results from 24 h p.i. are shown in Fig. 2A (N = 4). The ratio $A_b(t)/A_a(t)$ was compared to unity at t = 1h post-sacrifice (first bar in each 4-bar set) to determine deviation from secular equilibrium in tissues. In kidneys, $A_b/A_a = 6.1 \pm 0.3 > 1$ indicated substantial free redistributed ²¹³Bi. Blood measurements were deficient in ²¹³Bi ($A_b/A_a = 0.62 \pm 0.01 < 1$), which suggests that ²¹³Bi was cleared from blood through the kidneys. Tumors were in secular equilibrium (0.97 ± 0.04). At 168 h p.i., A_b/A_a for tumor, kidney, and blood was 1.06 ± 0.04 , 5.30 ± 0.56 , and 1.03 ± 0.10 , respectively. Both early and late time points showed tumors in secular equilibrium between ²²⁵Ac and ²¹³Bi, while kidneys collected redistributed ²¹³Bi. Lowered levels of ²¹³Bi in the blood at the early time point had equilibrated by the late time point.

The decay of $A_b(t)/A_a(t)$ in kidneys post-sacrifice followed Eq. (2) with a goodness-of-fit coefficient of determination $r^2 = 0.996$ (N = 4 mice, 60 s active counting per tissue). The decreasing signal in the kidneys was used to measure the free ²¹³Bi using Eqs. (2) and (3). $A_b(0)/A_a(0)$ was calculated from Eqs. (2) and (3) for 24 h p.i. mice as 14.7 ± 0.2 and 14.0 ± 1.2 , respectively, which agree within 1σ . Agreement was also observed at 168 h p.i. (17.1 \pm 1.8 and 15.6 \pm 2.4). In both cases, the more precise result was used for subsequent analyses. Greater uncertainties for the experimental fit at 168 h p.i. are attributable to the lower overall activities remaining in the system and a poorer exponential fit due to an oversight in the 168 h p.i. data that resulted in a narrower time window for the measurements (only 8 min between mice).

Single-time-point dosimetry

Figure 2B shows time-dose-rate curves (TRCs) using the approach illustrated in Fig. 2A to separate kidney absorbed dose contributions into ²²⁵Ac and free ²¹³Bi components. No ²¹³Bi data from 24 h p.i. were available from this cohort due to a 7-h delay in measurement. The 24 h p.i. data shown were extrapolated from the measured ²²⁵Ac activity A_a and the calculated correction factor $A_b(0)/A_a(0)$.

The dominant uncertainty in the TRCs was the variable uptake between animal subjects, shown as 1σ error bars around the mean absorbed dose rate. Individual subject data points are shown instead of the mean dose rates themselves. We calculated the total absorbed dose for each TRC as the integrated area, with uncertainties as the dose from upper- and lower-bound curves defined by modulating the fitting parameters by $\pm 1\sigma$. Table 1 summarizes the calculated doses and conversion factors *c* for each TRC with bound-based uncertainties.



Fig. 1. Cloning method characterization. (**A**) Example dose-rate images (24 h p.i. tumor) calculated using the sequential (**i**) and cloning (**ii**) methods. (**B**) Gamma index analysis (local normalization, 0.1 mm, 10%). (**C**) Percentage error of the mean voxel dose-rate of cloning method compared to sequential method images from DAR mouse studies at 1 d (subjects M1, M2) and 7 d (M3, M4) post-injection. (**D**) Gamma index passing rates.

Use of the extrapolation factor assumes that the intra-organ and intra-tumor spatial activity do not change over time. Figure 2C shows representative DARs for kidneys and tumors at 24 h and 168 h post-injection. The ratio between mean absorbed dose-rates in each renal compartment (cortex, ISOM/OSOM, IM/papilla, and V/ Pe) was (1, 0.50, 0.88, 1.19) at 24 h p.i., and (1, 0.60, 0.83, 1.06) at 168 h p.i., indicating that similar compartmental distribution was preserved. In tumors, both time-points exhibited morphology-dependent activity distribution with low dose in the necrotic core, but higher tumor saturation was observed at 168 h post-injection.

3D kidney dosimetry

The mean kidney absorbed dose from 18.5 kBq [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 was 6.4 (4.6, 8.9) Gy and 7.5 \pm 2.2 Gy from DAR and BioD, respectively (Table 2). 75% (73%, 78%) (DAR) and 78 \pm 7% (BioD) of the total mean dose was due to the decay of free ²¹³Bi, where the ²¹³Bi correction to iQID DARs was provided by the $A_b(0)/A_a(0)$ ratio. The spatial distribution of free ²¹³Bi was not obtained from these DARs, which were imaged several days post-sacrifice. The reported mean values of the two modalities differed by 15% and agree within 1 σ of BioD statistical uncertainties.

Figure 3A illustrates an example H&E stained slice, the anatomically segmented regions (cortex, ISOM/ OSOM, IM/papilla, and V/Pe), and the corresponding iQID DAR. All 23 slices from a 24 h p.i. kidney were combined to create the 3D DAR and co-registered 3D anatomical model in Fig. 3B.

Dose-rate volume histograms (DrVHs) from each anatomical compartment are shown in Fig. 3C. Average ²²⁵ Ac dose-rate in the renal cortex doubled that in the medulla at 24 h p.i. (16.6 ± 0.1 mGy/h vs. 8.2 ± 0.1 mGy/h) and was 67% higher at 168 h p.i. (4.24 ± 0.04 mGy/h vs. 2.53 ± 0.07 mGy/h). The inner medulla and papilla mean dose-rate was similar to that in the cortex (13%, 18% less for respective time-points). Blood vessels and the renal pelvis collected comparatively high amounts of ²²⁵Ac at the two time points (20 ± 1 mGy/h, 4 ± 1 mGy/h). These results show high ²²⁵Ac concentrations at key transport locations: blood vessels, cortex, and the renal pelvis. Intact antibody-based radiopharmaceutical compounds such as [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 may be too large for filtration and thus stagnate in the glomeruli or remain in the blood.

The iQID DAR and regional S-value methods agreed within uncertainties for cortex and ISOM/OSOM regions (Fig. 3D). However, the S-value calculation indicated an IM/Pa dose rate exceeding 5 times that of the DAR method (bar extends beyond figure limits). The BioD mean dose rate (23.1 ± 3.6 mGy/h), which assumed full energy deposition of all decay products within the tissue, was naturally uniform and higher than the iQID and S-value estimates, except for the IM/Pa (Fig. 3D).



Figure 2. Temporal studies of [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 in 22Rv1 xenograft-bearing mice. (**A**) ²¹³ Bi correction example using gamma-ray spectroscopy for 24 h p.i. mice (subjects M5-M8). Activity ratios of the two ²²⁵Ac daughters demonstrates clearance of free ²¹³Bi in the blood through the kidneys (N = 4). The decay of the $A_b(t)/A_a(t)$ ratio following sacrifice follows Eq. (2) despite variable uptake between subjects. (**B**) Time-dose-rate curves (18.5 kBq, N = 17), with contributions from free ²¹³Bi and ²²⁵Ac in kidneys separated. (**C**) Representative DARs from kidneys and tumors at two time points.

Tissue	Radioisotope	Dose (mGy/kBq)	$c_{24h} = D/\dot{D}_{24h} (\mathrm{mGy/mGy-h})$	$c_{168h} = D/\dot{D}_{168h} (\text{mGy/mGy-h})$
Tumor	²²⁵ Ac	3540 (2120, 4840)	870 (520, 1190)	474 (283, 647)
Kidneys	²²⁵ Ac	142 (121, 168)	114 (97, 135)	406 (345, 480)
Kidneys	²¹³ Bi	466 (349, 627)	84 (63, 113)	361 (271, 486)

Table 1. Absorbed dose calculations and correction factors from integration of BioD dose-rate curves(Fig. 2B).

Tumor dosimetry and TCP

Tumors received an average of 50.8 ± 4.1 Gy (DAR) and 57.4 ± 18.5 Gy (BioD) between the two modalities (2.8 ± 0.2 Gy/kBq or 3.1 ± 1.0 Gy/kBq: Table 2). Figure 4A–D summarizes tumor dosimetry and the TCP calculation process for a 24 h p.i. tumor, including the 3D DAR (Fig. 4A), example registered iQID and cell density images from H&E (Fig. 4B), TCP values for individual slices (Fig. 4C), and DrVHs for individual slices and the total volume for an example 24 h p.i. tumor (Fig. 4D). This analysis was conducted for N = 3 mice (labelled M9,

Tissue	Modality	²²⁵ Ac Dose (Gy/kBq)	²¹³ Bi Dose (Gy/kBq)*	Total Dose (Gy/kBq)
Kidneys	DAR ($N = 2$)	0.09 (0.07, 0.11)	0.26 (0.18, 0.37)	0.35 (0.25, 0.48)
Kidneys	BioD ($N = 8$)	0.08 ± 0.02	0.32 ± 0.14	0.40±0.14
Tumor	DAR ($N = 3$)	2.8 ± 0.2	-	2.8 ± 0.2
Tumor	BioD ($N = 8$)	3.1 ± 1.0	-	3.1 ± 1.0

Table 2. Absorbed dose comparisons between modalities and tissues using single-point dosimetry. *Dose due to α -particles from redistributed free ²¹³Bi and its associated progeny ²¹³Po. For DAR, separation of ²¹³Bi (and ²¹³Po) dose is based on $A_b(0)/A_a(0)$ ratio calculated in the ²¹³Bi spectroscopy section. Significant redistribution was not observed to tumors (Fig. 2A)



Fig. 3. 3D kidney dosimetry and segmentation. (**A**) Example sub-organ dosimetry slice from a 24 h p.i. kidney, showing H&E image, anatomical mask, and 2D iQID DAR. (**B**) 3D DAR and H&E-based anatomical mask for the whole kidney, comprising 23 slices. (**C**) Dose-rate volume histogram (DrVH) due to ²²⁵Ac for segmented regions of both kidneys at 24 h p.i. (**D**) Comparison between iQID DAR, regional S-values¹⁴, and BioD for kidney dosimetry.

M10, and M11), including two at 24 h p.i. (TCP: 1.00 ± 0.01 , 0.88 ± 0.25) and one at 7 d p.i. (0.71 ± 0.39). Values are cited as the mean and standard deviation of TCP calculated for individual slices.

Despite heterogeneous dose distribution and cold spots, high tumor control is attained in mouse M9 because low-dose regions correspond to the necrotic core of the tissue with few cell nuclei (Fig. 4B). Between the two 24 h p.i. mice, lower mean dose (17% less) and heterogeneous uptake of the radiopharmaceutical in non-necrotic regions resulted in decreased TCP. The red dashed circle in the 3D DAR (Fig. 4E) indicates a region of reduced uptake in one lobe of the tumor. Figure 4F shows a representative gray-scale DAR with low slice-TCP (0.00), with voxels with VCP_i < 0.95 indicated in red. Fig. 4G shows the same for a low-TCP slice from 7 d post-injection.

The mean slice-TCP and kidney dose were estimated for a range of injected activities (IA) from 0 to 18.5 kBq, assuming that dose scales linearly with IA and maintains the same organ and sub-organ spatial distribution



Fig. 4. Tumor dosimetry and TCP calculation. (**A**) 3D DAR of 24 h p.i tumor (M9). (**B**) Co-registered iQID dose-rate DAR with corresponding cell nucleus density image segmented from H&E. (**C**) Slice-by-slice TCP (N = 3 mice with 21-27 slices each). (**D**) Tumor DrVH for individual slices compared to 3D DAR volume. (**E**) 3D DAR of 24 h p.i. tumor (M11). (**F**-**G**) Illustrative low-TCP slices. Dose-rate DAR is shown in gray-scale, with underdosed voxels (< 0.95 VCP) indicated.

(Fig. 4A). We then calculated a predictive de-escalation scheme using the highest TCP tumor (M9, Fig. 4B). In this simple model, a reduced IA of 10.9 kBq maintained a TCP of 0.9 with a 41% reduction in kidney dose. 75%

IA reduction (4.625 kBq) was predicted to yield non-controlled tumors (TCP = 0). To test this, one additional mouse was prepared and analyzed with 4.625 kBq IA. We observed sparse radiopharmaceutical uptake, in comparison to the 18.5 kBq cohort, and TCP was calculated as zero for all slices in agreement with the model (Fig. 5B–C). This calculation is consistent with a previous therapy and survival study with [225 Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 in mice, which found that 4.625 IA treatment extended survival and inhibited tumor growth for 41 days compared to saline, followed by tumor regrowth¹⁷. Subjects M10 and M11 did not reach 0.9 TCP and were not evaluated for de-escalation.

Discussion

Microdosimetry and small-scale dosimetry are crucial to understanding the biological effect and treatment strategy of α -particle radiopharmaceuticals by linking spatial absorbed dose distribution to tumor kill or organ toxicity^{8,31,32}. In this work, we have demonstrated how three staples of pre-clinical RP studies—gamma-ray biodistribution, immunohistological stains, and digital autoradiography—may be combined to assess tumor control probability and produce DrVHs of anatomical compartments. To our knowledge, this is the first study to generate and analyze 3D-DAR in entire organs and tumors, and to calculate voxel-based TCP for experimental ²²⁵Ac α RP measurements simultaneously with sub-organ kidney dosimetry. Since tumor dose from radiotherapeutics is limited by the tolerance of normal tissues, it is necessary and natural that small-scale tumor and organ dosimetry should be evaluated concurrently.

The study is mainly limited by the fact that no direct-comparison survival-and-treatment study of [²²⁵Ac] Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 was conducted at the 18.5 kBq IA level, and therefore we cannot draw final conclusions about the treatment outcome and toxicity. However, results from 4.625 kBq treatments are available and can provide some insight to our results¹⁷. The 4.625 kBq [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 treatment extended survival and inhibited tumor growth for 41 days compared to saline, but tumors ultimately regrew. This is consistent with our simple predictive model and the added 4.625 kBq mouse assessed with the DAR-TCP method. For sub-organ dosimetry, we found that [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 was predominantly in the cortex, vasculature, and pelvis-adjacent structures. This agrees with the survival study, which observed mild to moderate renal toxicity and histopathological reduction and atrophy of the cortical glomerules and tubules. The simple de-escalation scheme was calculated for only one mouse with high TCP (> 0.9), since de-escalation is not a goal for sub-controlled tumors. Therefore, we can only treat this method as a proof of concept. With the DAR-TCP and sub-organ methodological framework established, future treatment studies can both utilize and validate the method by calculating TCP in cohorts treated at several injected activity levels and identifying potential correlation to disease progression and mortality. Exploration of these methods is increasingly relevant given the positive outcomes reported by clinical de-escalation trials³³.

We found several important nuances when using VCP-product TCP as a metric for α RP treatment efficacy. In this framework, if every 10- μ m slice has a high TCP = 0.95, where TCP = 1 is complete tumor control, then the cumulative 3D TCP of a 5-mm tumor would be (0.95)⁵⁰ = 0.08. Given the tumor inhibition observed in treatment studies, we suspect that the mean TCP per slice may better reflect the treatment efficacy¹⁷. The TCP metric is conservative, formulated based on the probability of 100% cell kill, and thus does not necessarily capture meaningful outcomes such as prolonged survival, as we found with our comparison to the 4.625 kBq study. Additionally, we used conservative assumptions to estimate the radiosensitivity parameter α (see Supplement), so the actual biological impact and tumor control are likely to be higher than predicted when correcting for





-

binding saturation, sterilized cells, well geometry, and dose delivered by recoiling nuclei. We have not considered hypoxia, variable radiosensitivity, or repopulation and repair¹⁰.

An inherent drawback of ex vivo DAR and BioD studies is that the animal must be sacrificed to conduct a measurement, precluding monitoring of the same animal over time and demanding costly increases in sample size to minimize inter-subject variability. Moreover, the treatment cannot be adjusted on a subject-specific basis unless tissue biopsies are obtained. In this study, we utilized the minimum number of mice feasible to obtain a representative data set, resulting in large uncertainties attributable to single-point pharmacokinetics and the resulting inter-subject variability (Fig. 2B). These challenges are characteristic of the macro-to-micro dosimetry approach. Although the animal cohort was small, each tissue or tumor was assessed over 20-30 independent slices to evaluate intra-subject variability and the benefit of a 3D DAR approach. Fig. 4C and the 3D DAR panels in the Supplement demonstrate that a single 2D slice might not include structural or dose-distribution features present elsewhere in the 3D volume.

An in vivo study of the α RP characterizing the continuous pharmacokinetics over time within subjects, if one can be achieved given the low administered activities, could improve the precision of the macro-tomicro approach. Our group is working to develop ultra-high-sensitivity gamma-ray imaging techniques for α RPT^{12,34-36}. In vivo PET and SPECT imaging surrogates for ²²⁵Ac RPs are also under investigation in our group and elsewhere^{17,37}. An imaging surrogate is a chemically similar diagnostic radiopharmaceutical that may be injected at higher activities to obtain a tracer distribution correlated with the α RP kinetics at millimeter or submillimeter resolution (e.g., replacement of ²²⁵Ac with ¹³³La or ¹³⁴Ce/¹³⁴La for PET, or ²²⁶Ac for SPECT). This spatial resolution may be sufficient to observe gross sub-organ features such as renal cortex-medulla separation, with the advantage of monitoring the same subject over time. The utility of surrogate imaging techniques is limited by the chemical similarity of the surrogate to the therapeutic isotope, the complication of dosimetry (requiring studies to correlate imaging biokinetics to therapeutic absorbed dose), and the lack of information provided by the surrogate about the distribution of recoil progeny. These imaging strategies are complementary to the methods described here, and can be used for in vivo imaging and ultimately for applications in clinical dosimetry^{31,38}.

This work complements the study by Mellhammar et al, who used a MC-simulation DAR-TCP approach with ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 extrapolated to [²²⁵Ac]Ac-PSMA-617¹³. We demonstrated experimentally that, as the authors simulated, low tumor penetration reduces TCP for the same IA level. Our approach differed in that quantitative single-particle DARs of the α -emitting RP were measured, instead of using a relative-intensity β -particle DAR as a proxy for the spatial distribution of α -particles. We also used 3D DAR for TCP (improving statistical count and morphological assessment), included concurrent sub-organ kidney dosimetry, and accounted for both biological and physical clearance with an experimental TAC curve for the macro-to-micro conversion.

These voxel-TCP approaches may help estimate the biological outcome of doses in de-escalation studies. Although tumor dose is heterogeneous in Fig. 4B, the low-dose region corresponds to the necrotic core of the tissue with few cell nuclei, resulting in high tumor control. Conversely, de-escalation reduces tumor penetration and increases RP sparsity, affecting tumor management, as shown in Fig. 5C. DAR-based studies can explore these effects during drug development. Although we demonstrated the concept for de-escalation analysis in Fig. 5, a rigorous de-escalation model would require more refined uncertainty analysis and a larger cohort, as described above.

For ²²⁵Ac RPT, off-target toxicity rather than cytotoxic efficacy may be the current challenge limiting routine clinical use. The high tumor control observed here was accompanied by 350–400 mGy/kBq (6.4–7.5 Gy) absorbed dose to kidneys. Gamma-ray spectroscopy suggested that this was largely attributable to redistributed ²¹³Bi. Inclusion of a biological weighting factor such as relative biological effectiveness (RBE) or radiation weighting (w_R) representing the double-strand breaks from α -particles could bring the 6.4–7.5 Gy α -particle dose above the 15 Gy threshold associated with nepropathy in external-beam radiation therapy³⁹. Since our spectroscopy approach only predicts the total activity correction and not the sub-organ spatial distribution of free ²¹³Bi, early-time DAR or other separation techniques⁴⁰ might reveal different critical sub-structures than those we identified.

We characterized the cloning method performance to evaluate the assumption in DAR dosimetry that adjacent slices are functionally identical. The method is accurate within 10% for mean dose-rates, but differences between slices do affect spatial dose distribution in tumors ($87\% \pm 6\% \gamma$ -passing rate). Still, the approximation procedure allowed the rapid collection of 3D DARs, which revealed spatial variation in [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5 dose within tissues. The identical-slices assumption thus appears suitable, and perhaps necessary, to generate 3D DARs for sub-organ anatomical dosimetry, but stochastic cellular microdosimetry would require a high-resolution, multi-slice source volume for accurate results. We speculate that this method only holds because of the "small-scale" (not truly microdosimetric) nature of the analysis. If a higher-resolution iQID setting or device were used, such that individual kidney tubules or glomeruli were identifiable, then the assumption that adjacent slices are replicates of each other is unlikely to be true.

Conclusion

We developed a method for 3D digital autoradiography (3D DAR) and combined it with advanced gamma-ray spectroscopy and histological segmentation to conduct small-scale dosimetry in murine studies of α -emitting [²²⁵Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5. Tumor control and 3D sub-organ kidney absorbed dose distributions were evaluated at the voxel level (39 μ m × 39 μ m × 210 μ m). These methods provide an important framework to assess treatment outcomes and organ risk for ²²⁵Ac radiopharmaceutical studies.

Data availability

All analyzed data (digital autoradiograph images, H&E binary images, biodistribution data) needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the main text or the Supplementary Materials. Unprocessed data (raw

digital autoradiography list-mode data, full-resolution color H&E images, and raw biodistribution spectra) are archived locally on long-term data storage and are available upon request. Some data may be subject to materials transfer agreements (MTA) between institutions or individual organizations. All code is available upon request and/or is being maintained at https://github.com/robin-peter/iqid-alphas.

Received: 2 May 2024; Accepted: 16 August 2024 Published online: 27 August 2024

References

- 1. Jang, A., Kendi, A. & Sartor, O. Status of PSMA-targeted radioligand therapy in prostate cancer: Current data and future trials. *Ther. Adv. Med. Oncol.* **15**, 17588359 (2023).
- 2. Wallace, K., Landsteiner, A., Bunner, S., Engel-Nitz, N. & Luckenbaugh, A. Epidemiology and mortality of metastatic castrationresistant pProstate cancer (mCRPC) in a managed care population in the United States. JCO 38, e13592–e13592 (2020).
- 3. Sun, M., Niaz, M., Nelson, A., Skafida, M. & Niaz, M. Review of 177Lu-PSMA-617 in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Curcus* 12, e8921 (2020).
- Kratochwil, C. *et al.*²¹³Bi-DOTATOC receptor-targeted alpha-radionuclide therapy induces remission in neuroendocrine tumours refractory to beta radiation: A first-in-human experience. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 41, 2106–2119 (2014).
- 5. Kauffman, N., Morrison, J., Orien, K., Fan, J. & Zinn, K. Intra-arterial delivery of radiopharmaceuticals in oncology: Current trends and the future of alpha-particle therapeutics. *Pharmaceutics* **15**, 1138 (2023).
- Strigari, L. et al. The evidence base for the use of internal dosimetry in the clinical practice of molecular radiotherapy. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 41, 1976–1988 (2014).
- Li, W. B., Bouvier-Capely, C., Saldarriaga-Vargas, C., Andersson, M. & Madas, B. Heterogeneity of dose distribution in normal tissues in case of radiopharmaceutical therapy with alpha-emitting radionuclides. *Radiat. Environ. Biophys.* 61, 579–596 (2022).
- 8. Tronchin, S., Forster, J. C., Hickson, K. & Bezak, E. Dosimetry in targeted alpha therapy. A systematic review current Findings and what is needed. *Phys. Med. Biol.* **67**, 09TR01 (2022).
- 9. De-Kruijff, R. M., Wolterbeek, H. T. & Denkova, A. G. A critical review of alpha radionuclide therapy-how to deal with recoiling daughters?. *Pharmaceuticals* 8, 321–336 (2015).
- 10. Spoormans, K., Crabbé, M., Struelens, L., De Saint-Hubert, M. & Koole, M. A review on tumor control probability (TCP) and preclinical dosimetry in targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT). *Pharmaceutics* 14, 563 (2022).
- 11. Miller, B. W. Radiation imagers for quantitative, single-particle digital autoradiography of alpha- and beta-particle emitters. *Semin. Nucl. Med. Instrum.* **48**, 367–376 (2018).
- 12. Seo, Y. Quantitative imaging of alpha-emitting therapeutic radiopharmaceuticals. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 53, 182-188 (2019).
- Mellhammar, E., Dahlbom, M., Vilhelmsson-Timmermand, O. & Strand, S.-E. Tumor control probability and small-scale Monte Carlo dosimetry: Effects of heterogenous intratumoral activity distribution in radiopharmaceutical therapy. J. Nucl. Med. 2023, 896 (2023).
- Vargas, C. S., Struelens, L., D'Huyvetter, M., Caveliers, V. & Covens, P. A realistic multiregion mouse kidney dosimetry model to support the preclinical evaluation of potential nephrotoxicity of radiopharmaceutical therapy. J. Nucl. Med. 64, 493–499 (2023).
- Timmermand, O. V., Nilsson, J., Strand, S.-E. & Elgqvist, J. high resolution digital autoradiographic and dosimetric analysis of heterogeneous radioactivity distribution in xenografted prostate tumors digital autoradiographic and dosimetric analysis of prostate tumors. *Med. Phys.* 43, 6632–6643 (2016).
- 16. Su, Y. et al. Targeting CD46 for both adenocarcinoma and neuroendocrine prostate cancer. JCI Insight 3, e121497 (2018).
- 17. Bobba, K. N. *et al.* Development of CD46 targeted alpha theranostics in prostate cancer using 134Ce/225Ac-Macropa-PEG4-YS5. *Theranostics* 14, 1344–1360 (2024).
- Bidkar, A. P. et al. Treatment of prostate cancer with CD46-targeted 225Ac alpha particle radioimmunotherapy. Clin. Cancer Res. 2023, 1–13 (2023).
- Peter, R. et al. Small-scale (sub-organ and cellular level) alpha-particle dosimetry methods using an iQID digital autoradiography imaging system. Sci. Rep. 12, 17934 (2022).
- 20. Jan, S. et al. GATE: A simulation toolkit for PET and SPECT. Phys. Med. Biol. 49, 4543-4561 (2004).
- 21. Chu, S., Ekstrom, L. & Firestone. The Lund/LBNL Nuclear Data Search (Springer, 1999).
- 22. Bäck, T. et al. Image-based small-scale 3d-dosimetry in targeted alpha therapy using voxel dose-point kernels and alpha camera imaging of serial tissue sections. J. Nucl. Med. 55, 50–50 (2014).
- Kikinis, R., Pieper, S. D. & Vosburgh, K. G. Intraoperative Imaging and Image-Guided Therapy (ed. Jolesz, F. A.) 277–289 (Springer, 2014).
- 24. Castillo Seoane, D. *et al.* Gamma counting protocols for the accurate quantification of 225Ac and 213Bi without the need for a secular equilibrium between parent and gamma-emitting daughter. *EJNMMI Radiopharm. Chem.* **7**, 28 (2022).
- 25. Hobbs, R. F., Song, H., Huso, D. L., Sundel, M. H. & Sgouros, G. A nephron-based model of the kidneys for macro-to-micro *α* -particle dosimetry. *Phys. Med. Biol.* **57**, 4403 (2012).
- Bogovic, J. A., Hanslovsky, P., Wong, A. & Saalfeld, S. Robust registration of calcium images by learned contrast synthesis. In 2016 IEEE 13th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI) 1123–1126 (2016).
- 27. Schindelin, J. et al. Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 676-682 (2012).
- 28. Kidney-Nonneoplastic Lesion Atlas. https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/atlas/nnl/urinary-system/kidney (2023).
- 29. Low, D. A., Harms, W. B., Mutic, S. & Purdy, J. A. A technique for the quantitative evaluation of dose distributions. *Med. Phys.* 25, 656–661 (1998).
- Miften, M. et al. Tolerance limits and methodologies for IMRT measurement-based verification QA: Recommendations of AAPM task group No. 218. Med. Phys. 45, e53–e83 (2018).
- Lawhn-Heath, C. et al. Dosimetry in radionuclide therapy: The clinical role of measuring radiation dose. Lancet Oncol. 23, e75–e87 (2022).
- Dieudonné, A. *et al.* Dosimetry for targeted radionuclide therapy in routine clinical practice: Experts advice vs clinical evidence. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 51, 947–950 (2024).
- Rathke, H. et al. Deescalated 225Ac-PSMA-617 Versus 177Lu/225Ac-PSMA-617 cocktail therapy: A single-center retrospective analysis of 233 patients. J. Nucl. Med. 65, 1057–1063 (2024).
- Frame, E. et al. Coded aperture and Compton imaging for the development of 225Ac-based radiopharmaceuticals. Med. Phys. 2023, 85 (2023).
- Caravaca, J., Huh, Y., Gullberg, G. T. & Seo, Y. Compton and proximity imaging of 225Ac in vivo with a CZT gamma camera: A proof of principle with simulations. *IEEE Trans. Radiat. Plasma Med. Sci.* 2022, 1–1 (2022).
- Caravaca, J. et al. A technique to quantify very low activities in regions of interest with a collimatorless detector. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 2024, 1–1 (2024).
- Nelson, B. J. B., Wilson, J., Andersson, J. D. & Wuest, F. Theranostic imaging surrogates for targeted alpha therapy: Progress in production, purification, and applications. *Pharmaceuticals* 16, 1622 (2023).

- Delker, A. *et al.* Biodistribution and dosimetry for combined [177Lu]Lu-PSMA-I &T/[225Ac]Ac-PSMA-I &T therapy using multiisotope quantitative SPECT imaging. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* 50, 1280–1290 (2023).
- Wessels, B. W. et al. MIRD pamphlet no. 20: The effect of model assumptions on kidney dosimetry and response-implications for radionuclide therapy. J. Nucl. Med. 49, 1884–1899 (2008).
- 40. Schwartz, J. *et al.* Renal uptake of Bismuth-213 and its contribution to kidney radiation dose following administration of actinium-225-labeled antibody. *Phys. Med. Biol.* **56**, 721 (2011).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Javier Caravaca for mentorship and guidance during this study and manuscript preparation, and Dr. Nancy Greenland for technical advice regarding kidney H&E segmentation.

Author contributions

All authors contributed significantly to this manuscript under the CRediT taxonomy as described: Conceptualization: RP, APB, KV, RRF, YS. Methodology: APB, KNB, BL, BWM, RRF, YS. Investigation: APB, KNB, RP, LZ, CD, NM, AW. Software, Formal Analysis, Visualization: RP. Supervision and Resources: BL, BWM, AO, KV, RRF, YS. Writing—original draft: RP. Writing—review & editing: All authors.

Funding

This work was supported by NIH R01CA279203 (RRF, YS), R01CA223767 (BL), R01EB026331 (YS), P30CA082103 (RRF), DOD PCRP W81XWH2110792 (RRF), pilot funding from the UCSF Imaging of Cancer and Therapy Program (RRF), and the Simon Memorial Fund awarded via UCSF Resource Allocation Program (YS).

Competing interests

BWM is founder of QScint Imaging Solutions, LLC, which has commercialized the iQID camera for digital autoradiography applications. RRF and KNB disclose patents related to the development of chelator and linker combinations employed in the synthesis of [225 Ac]Ac-Macropa-PEG₄-YS5. No other known potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article exist.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-024-70417-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.P., R.R.F. or Y.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024