## Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**LBL Publications** 

## Title

Microbial diversity and functional capacity in polar soils.

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4vc8904n

## Authors

Makhalanyane, Thulani Peter Van Goethem, Marc Warwick Cowan, Don Arthur

### **Publication Date**

2016-04-01

## DOI

10.1016/j.copbio.2016.01.011

Peer reviewed



**ScienceDirect** 

# Biotechnology

## **Microbial diversity and functional capacity in polar soils** Thulani Peter Makhalanyane, Marc Warwick Van Goethem and Don Arthur Cowan



Global change is disproportionately affecting cold environments (polar and high elevation regions), with potentially negative impacts on microbial diversity and functional processes. In most cold environments the combination of low temperatures, and physical stressors, such as katabatic wind episodes and limited water availability result in biotic systems, which are in trophic terms very simple and primarily driven by microbial communities. Metagenomic approaches have provided key insights on microbial communities in these systems and how they may adapt to stressors and contribute towards mediating crucial biogeochemical cycles. Here we review, the current knowledge regarding edaphic-based microbial diversity and functional processes in Antarctica, and the Artic. Such insights are crucial and help to establish a baseline for understanding the impact of climate change on Polar Regions.

#### Address

Centre for Microbial Ecology and Genomics, Department of Genetics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0028, South Africa

Corresponding author: Cowan, Don Arthur (don.cowan@up.ac.za)

#### Current Opinion in Biotechnology 2016, 38:159-166

This review comes from a themed issue on **Environmental** biotechnology

Edited by Bernardo González and Regina-Michaela Wittich

For a complete overview see the <u>Issue</u> and the <u>Editorial</u>

Available online 26th February 2016

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2016.01.011

0958-1669/C 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### Introduction

The Congress of Parties (COP) 21 meeting in Paris (November 2015) highlighted the urgency of global climate change, and the critical need to reduce global average temperatures through curbing greenhouse gas emissions [1]. Nowhere are the effects of global change more apparent than in cold environments (polar and alpine regions), which are subject to accelerated rates of warming compared to other ecosystems [2<sup>•</sup>,3]. Climatic models have predicted that temperatures in high latitude regions of the Northern Hemisphere are likely to increase by between 0.3°C and 4.8°C before the end of the twenty first century [4]. There is also evidence that regions in the Southern Hemisphere have experienced the fastest warming globally, with average increases of as much as  $2.4^{\circ}$ C in the last fifty years [5].

A major consequence of climate change in cold environments is the thawing of submerged and surface ice, which alters the hydrology of the systems and may have adverse effects on microbial processes [6<sup>•</sup>]. In cold environments, microorganisms (bacteria, archaea and fungi) are major constituents of the total biomass, and are estimated to mediate the cycling of key biogeochemical elements such as nitrogen and carbon, with potentially important implications for the productivity of these systems [7]. Although the precise contribution of microbial processes to global change processes are not well known, there are efforts to incorporate biological processes into Earth systems models with the realization that they may be crucial in regulating soil organic matter (SOM) [8<sup>••</sup>]. For instance, permafrost (defined as ground which remains frozen for at least two years) in cold environments stores roughly 1600 Pg of carbon, which if released would significantly contribute towards increasing global CO<sub>2</sub> levels [9]. The current contribution of microbial communities to constraining carbon losses in permafrost and the influence on CO<sub>2</sub> levels remains virtually unknown. In contrast, the responses and adaptations of macro-organisms to climate change are reasonably well understood [10,11]. Overall, there is still a dearth of knowledge regarding the effects of anthropogenic processes on cold environments and how these effects may impact on important microbial derived ecosystem services.

The relationship between microbial biodiversity and functional processes remains ambiguous in most ecosystems, but particularly in low productivity systems [12,13]. Such knowledge is vital, given the known status of microbial communities as the main drivers of biogeochemical processes in polar ecosystems.

#### Microbial diversity in cold environments

Early approximations suggested that a gram of soil may harbour up to 10 billion microorganisms [14], possibly representing as many as  $10^4$  different microbial species [15]. Regardless of the actual figures, microorganisms are highly abundant and, thanks in part to culture independent methods and the so-called 'omic' approaches, we now have realistic estimates of the true depth of microbial diversity, and their functional capacity. Through application of metagenomic approaches (Figure 1), it is now known that most extreme environments harbour lower levels of microbial diversity (species richness and relative





Overview of studies published between 1999 and 2015, which focus on cold environments (Arctic and Antarctic). Key studies based on the average number of citations are shown.

abundance), than more 'benign' ecosystems [16,17]. This is thought to be due to the requirement for specific physiological adaptations, which allow organisms to exploit the combination of physical and biochemical stressors, but result in simplified ecosystems dominated by a relatively few taxa [18,19].

In contrast to many other extremophilic biomes, cold environments appear to have a higher level of spatial heterogeneity [20–22]. Within cold regions, both soils and permafrost niches appear to be dominated by bacterial (mainly Proteobacterial, Actinobacterial and Acidobacterial), archaeal (mostly Euryarchaeota) and fungal (dominated by Ascomycota) lineages [7,23,24,25<sup>••</sup>] (Table 1). While these studies have provided a comprehensive, and reasonably consistent, survey of microbial diversity at the specific sites sampled, few of these studies have any temporal component; that is, they are single time-point analyses which provide, at best, a baseline for future assessments of the effects of environmental change.

A recent (and unique) analysis of the temporal and spatial trends in Arctic heartland soils reported a change in microbial community structure in response to simulated climate change, with a general shift from *r*- to *K*-selected taxa [21]. Interestingly, the application of network analysis suggested that *Burkholderia* species might be keystone

species in Arctic soils [21]. This is consistent with previous observations that *Burkholderia* taxa may confer cold tolerance to plant species exposed to low temperatures [26]. Interestingly, cold adapted *Burkholderia* species have also been recovered from coastal regions of the Ross Sea in Antarctica [27]. Copiotrophic  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -Proteobacteria were more responsive to shifts in nutrient status in Arctic soils than other taxa [28], supporting their proposed role as keystone taxa. Whether similar microbial community structural changes might be expected in oligotrophic Antarctic desert soils remains uncertain.

Actinobacteria are prominent colonists of cold soil biotopes and have been linked to a range of functional processes such as stress response and nitrogen cycling [29,30,31°]. Recent draft genomes of Actinobacterial isolates from cold soil environments have provided some new insights into the metabolic adaptation of these bacteria to cold environments [32,33]. Actinobacteria are capable of maintaining metabolic activity and DNA repair processes [34] at low temperatures, critical adaptations to survival in polar soil habitats where the seasonal metabolic window is limited [7] and DNA damage from freeze-thaw, desiccation and associated oxidative processes and radiation damage is all thought to be one of the major impositions on survival [35]. A seminal study, which applied metatranscriptomics, metagenomics and

Table 1	
---------	--

Some of the most significant studies focused on soil and permafrost from Antarctica and the Arctic				
Niche/habitat	Location	Reference	Region	
Contaminated soils	Northwest Territories, Canada	Akbari <i>et al.</i> [36]	Arctic	
Permafrost	McGill Arctic Research Station, Expedition Fjord, Canada	Allan <i>et al.</i> [46]	Arctic	
Wetland sediment	Brøgger Peninsula, Svalbard, Norway	Blake et al. [67]	Arctic	
Permafrost	EML watershed, Healy, Alaska	Deng et al. [23]	Arctic	
Soil	Canada, Alaska and European Arctic	Feng <i>et al.</i> [68]	Arctic	
Soil	Svalbard Island, Norway	Ferrari et al. [69]	Arctic	
Active layer soils	Western Canadian Arctic, Herschel Island & Yukon Coast	Frank-Fahle et al. [70]	Arctic	
Soil	Abisko, Northern Sweden	Hill et al. [21]	Arctic	
Peat soils	Spitsbergen, Norway	Høj et al. [2°]	Arctic	
Permafrost	Fairbanks Alaska	Hultman <i>et al</i> . [25**]	Arctic	
Soil	Toolik Lake region, Brooks Range, Alaska	Koyama <i>et al.</i> [28]	Arctic	
Permafrost	McGill Arctic Research Station, Expedition Fjord, Canada	Lau et al. [22]	Arctic	
Tundra soil	Barrow, Alaska	Lipson <i>et al.</i> [66]	Arctic	
Snow pack	Svalbard, Norway	Maccario et al. [71]	Arctic	
Permafrost	Fairbanks, Alaska	Mackelprang et al. [42**]	Arctic	
Soil	Malla Nature Reserve, Kilpisjarvi, Finland	Männistö et al. [37]	Arctic	
Soil	McGill Arctic Research Station, Expedition Fjord, Canada	Martineau et al. [65]	Arctic	
Soil	Toolik Lake region, Brooks Range, Alaska	Morgado et al. [72]	Arctic	
Ectomycorrhizal	Isdammen, Svalbard, Norway	Mundra et al. [73]	Arctic	
Soil Ascomycetes	Toolik Lake region, Brooks Range, Alaska	Semenova et al. [74]	Arctic	
Tundra soil	Canada, Alaska and European Arctic	Shi et al. [75]	Arctic	
Tundra soil	Raisduoddar, Norway	Stark et al. [76]	Arctic	
Subglacial sediment	Canada, Greenland, Norway	Stibal et al. [77]	Arctic	
Peat soils	Svalbard, Knudseheia, Norway	Tveit et al. [63]	Arctic	
Microbial mats	Ward Ice Shelf, Markham Ice Shelf on Ellesmere Island	Varin <i>et al</i> . [78]	Arctic	
Microbial mats	Ward Ice Shelf, Markham Ice Shelf on Ellesmere Island	Varin <i>et al</i> . [31•]	Arctic	
Permafrost	Eureka, Canadian High Arctic	Yergeau et al. [58]	Arctic	
Soil and lithobionts	McKelvey Valley	Chan <i>et al</i> . [30°]	Antarctica	
Hypolith	Miers Valley	Cowan <i>et al.</i> [29]	Antarctica	
Soil	Mitchell Peninsula & Browning Peninsula	Ferrari et al. [69]	Antarctica	
Permafrost	University Valley	Goordial et al. [33]	Antarctica	
Soil	Darwin Mountains	Guerrero et al. [32]	Antarctica	
Soil	Miers Valley, Beacon Valley, Upper Wright Valley	Lee et al. [79]	Antarctica	
Hypolith and soil	Miers Valley	Makhalanyane et al. [20]	Antarctica	
Soil	Miers Lake, Buddha Lake, Miers Valley	Niederberger et al. [80]	Antarctica	
Surface soil	Mars Oasis, Antarctic Peninsula	Pearce et al. [81]	Antarctica	
Soil	Miers Valley, Marshall Valley, Garwood Valley, Shangri-La	Richter et al. [82]	Antarctica	
Subglacial sediment	Lower Wright Glacier, McMurdo Dry Valleys	Stibal et al. [77]	Antarctica	
Soil	Lake Fryxell, Taylor Valley	Van Horn et al. [6*]	Antarctica	
Microbial mats	McMurdo Ice Shelf	Varin et al. [31°]	Antarctica	
Chasmoendolith and soil	Miers Valley	Wei et al. [83]	Antarctica	
Soil	Falklands Island, Signy Island, Anchorage Island	Yergeau et al. [38]	Antarctica	

metaproteomics to permafrost, active layer and thermokarst bog soils [25<sup>••</sup>], demonstrated that Actinobacterial lineages were both numerically dominant and the most active members of the prokaryotic community in seasonally thawed soils [25<sup>••</sup>]. Actinobacteria also appear to be particularly resilient to both short- and long-term changes in environmental conditions [36]. Under the influence of diurnal temperature variations in oil contaminated sub-Arctic soils, Actinobacteria were consistently the most abundant taxa at all temperature regimes [36].

Acidobacteria have been found to be common in a wide range of Arctic and Antarctic soil biotopes [20,25<sup>••</sup>,37,38], consistent with their known ability to compete in oligotrophic environments [38]. A recent study, which assessed the active bacterial communities of Arctic tundra, found a shift from SD1 to SD2 Acidobacterial lineages as a consequence of changing environmental conditions, suggesting a change in functional diversity [37]. In Antarctic soils, it has been observed that warming leads to a shift in the relative abundance of Alphaproteobacteria to Acidobacteria due to increased soil carbon turnover [38].

Archaea have also been observed in Arctic and Antarctic soils, albeit at very low abundance [39–41]. Low abundance levels are not necessarily indicative of the functional importance of these taxa, as the archaeal taxa identified are typically associated with unique functional properties, such as methanogenesis [42<sup>••</sup>]. In cold soil environments, archaeal diversity appears to increase with soil depth, probably due to the increased anaerobic status of deeper soils [43]. In both Antarctic and Arctic soils, *Thaumarchoeota* dominate, with a high abundance of *Nitrososphaerales* lineages [44–46]. It has been speculated

that *Thaumarchoeota* may be important heterotrophs in depauperate soils, where their capacity to utilise recalcitrant organic substrates such as methane, short-chained alkanes, chlorinated ethanes and aromatic hydrocarbons may contribute significantly to the energy balance of the community [47]. The observation that the relative abundance of methanogens increased significantly when permafrost thawed emphasises the significant of these taxa as contributors to climate change.

Although Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Acidobacteria are the most numerically abundant, Cyanobacteria are also significant colonists of cold soils [16]. Cyanobacteria, mostly affiliated to *Nostoc commune*, are prevalent in both Arctic and Antarctic soils and appear to drive most functional processes related to carbon and nitrogen cycling [48–50].

Free-living fungi are generally of limited abundance in Antarctic and Arctic soils [41,51], and are primarily restricted to lithobionts niches [7]. However, as for archaeal lineages, low apparent fungal abundance does not necessarily imply that these organisms are not important in a physiological context. The known capacity of fungi to degrade of recalcitrant polymeric substrates [52] may give these organisms a particularly important role in community heterotrophy [38].

It has been proposed that one important consequence of increasing soil temperatures may be extended microbial growth periods. In some regions of the Arctic and Antarctic Peninsula, it is projected that ground cover may shift from mosses to vascular plants and active soil layers, where fungi are involved in soil organic carbon decomposition, may increase in volume [51]. However, this effect may be minimized in permafrost systems, where the proportion of genes assigned to fungal taxa is relatively small [25<sup>••</sup>]. A recent meta-analysis does suggest that warming of cold soils may substantially increase microbial abundance, which could significantly impact stored carbon [24]. In general, there is an urgent requirement for more extensive datasets on the effects of different climatic scenarios on microbial community composition and functional processes.

It has been speculated that viruses may play a very significant role in the microbial food webs of Arctic and Antarctic ecosystems, particularly by inducing species diversification and the consequent functionality [53]. However, very few studies have assessed the diversity of viruses in cold environments, let alone their functional roles. Of these published studies on polar habitats, mostly focus on lacustrine systems [54,55] with only very limited surveys of polar edaphic metaviromes [40,56]. The latter suggests that viruses in cold soil environments are highly diverse but are typically dominated by *Mycobacterium* phages [56]. The extent to which phages may influence

metabolic processes in cold soil environments remains effectively unknown.

# Metabolic capacity in cold temperature environments

Recent metagenomic studies have significantly contributed to our understanding of the functional capacity of microorganisms in cold soil environments [22,30°], through the identification of genes and pathways implicated in key biogeochemical cycles. The genes of nitrogen cycling have been extensively studied in both Arctic and Antarctic soils [57,58], primary by targeting the nitrogenase (*nif*H) gene (Figure 2). The capacity for diazotrophy is widespread in these soils, and appears to be linked primarily, but not exclusively, to cyanobacterial lineages [30°, 57, 58]. Genes implicated in nitrite oxidation and ammonia oxidation, the nxrA and amoA genes, respectively, have also been reported in Arctic and Antarctic soil metagenomes [30°,58]. In Arctic soils, amoA genes with homology to those found in archaea (Thaumarchaeota) appear to dominate [59], while ammonia oxidation genes in Antarctic soils are primarily of bacterial origin [30<sup>•</sup>]. Denitrification, a process which generates the 'greenhouse' gas N<sub>2</sub>O and for which the *nar*G gene is the genetic marker, is mostly linked to Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria in both Arctic and Antarctic soils [39,60]. However, PCR-dependent and metagenomic gene surveys have suggested that the nitrogen cycle is severely truncated in these soils, with key enzymes implicated in some crucial steps (such as dissimilatory nitrate reductase and nitrous oxide reductases) either present at very low abundance or undetectable [30<sup>•</sup>]. The abundance of genes linked to the nitrogen cycle appears to be strongly influenced by available soil moisture [61]. A likely consequence of increasing moisture input (i.e. from melting ice) may be higher rates of nitrogen cycling (and denitrification), which may further contribute to global warming.





Simplified nitrogen cycle in cold soils. Red ticks indicate marker genes amplified from Antarctic and Arctic soils.

The nitrogen and carbon cycling are intimately associated through feedback mechanisms [62]. However, due to the sheer number of genes and processes involved in the carbon cycling, it is challenging to understand how these complex processes may be impacted by global change. The methane cycle is probably the most studied of carbon cycle-related processes and has been shown to occur extensively in Arctic [25<sup>••</sup>,63] and Antarctic [64] soils. The balance of methanogenesis and methylotrophy dictates, in part, the carbon source/sink ratio [43]. In situ measurements suggests that polar soils are a net source of  $CO_2$  emissions, but a sink for methane [43]. However, there is evidence that increased soil temperatures (thaw) increased methanogen diversity in both active layer and permafrost soils [43], coupled with substantial increases in methane production [63]. Changes in methane productivity were linked to a shift from formate- and H<sub>2</sub>-using Methanobacteriales to Methanomicrobiales and from the acetotrophic *Methanosarcinaceae* to *Methanosaetaceae* [63]. In Arctic soils, methanogenesis is driven principally by Euryarchaeota, based on detection of mcrABG genes, although homologous genes assigned to  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -proteobacterial taxa also appear to be ubiquitous [22,65]. Methanogenic processes appear to be dominant in peat soils in the Arctic while methanogens are less abundant in permafrost soils [66]. Very little is known with respect to the distribution and abundance of methanogens in Antarctic soils, with the majority of positive reports derived from lacustrine biotopes. The highly aerobic nature of the upper horizons of Antarctic desert soils probably explains the typical failure to detect methanogenic archaeal phylotypic signals in metagenomic surveys of these biotopes. However, it is reasonable to predict that a warming climate may lead to more anaerobic soil conditions, which could ultimately result in these soils becoming net methane producers.

#### **Conclusion and future directions**

There can be little doubt that the application of metagenomics has greatly enhanced the understanding of microbial diversity and functional capacity in cold desert biotopes. Clearly, microbial communities in cold habitats are highly diverse and demonstrate the capacity for a very wide range of functional processes. However, there are clear gaps in the available knowledge.

Firstly, there is an inequity in available data, with the majority of comprehensive metagenomic studies focused on Arctic soil biotopes. Comparatively, very little is known of the microbial diversity and functional capacity of Antarctic permafrost. In order to better understand the impact of regional climate change processes on Antarctic edaphic systems, there is a need for a greater focus on the dominant ice-free areas, particularly the Antarctic Peninsula. Such studies should include a range of 'omics' methods; in order simultaneously assess microbial diversity, the functional fraction of the population and functional capacity of the

ecosystem. The weakness of these modern methods is, of course, their focus on functional capacity and their failure to quantify actual process rates. There is therefore also a critical need for more extensive *in situ* and *ex situ* analyses of key process kinetics and their responses to different microclimatic regimes.

Secondly, there is little information available on the interactions between organisms and trophic tiers in polar soil ecosystems. Interactions between microinvertebrates, bacteria, archaea, fungi and viruses may all significantly influence the balance between species biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Such studies are technically challenging, even *ex situ*, and particularly difficult under the physical and logistic constraints of the Polar Regions.

Finally, it is ultimately necessary to integrate taxonomic and functional data into climatic models to understand both the role of climate in dictating changes in the soil microbial community structure and function and the contributions of these communities to climate-linked processes. Here, a complex combination of diversity, abundance and rate data is required, data which are, at the current stage, largely lacking.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the following funding instruments of the South African National Research Foundation for supporting our research: the South African National Antarctic Program (DAC), the Foundational Biodiversity Program (TPM), Thuthuka (TPM), Innovation PhD Scholarship (MWvG). We also thank the University of Pretoria for funding through the Research Development Program (TPM) and the Genomics Research Institute (TPM, DAC).

#### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- 1. Jackson RB, Canadell JG, Le Quere C, Andrew RM, Korsbakken JI, Peters GP, Nakicenovic N: **Reaching peak** emissions. *Nat Clim Chang* 2016, **6**:7-10.
- Høj L, Olsen RA, Torsvik VL: Effects of temperature on the diversity and community structure of known methanogenic groups and other archaea in high Arctic peat. *ISME J* 2008, 2:37-48.

Study that underpins the effects of warming on archaeal community diversity in the Arctic, which is consistent with observed increases in methane production rates.

- Graversen RG, Mauritsen T, Tjernström M, Källén E, Svensson G: Vertical structure of recent Arctic warming. Nature 2008, 451:53-56.
- 4. Christensen JH, Kanikicharla KK, Marshall G, Turner J: Climate phenomena and their relevance for future regional climate change. In Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Edited by Stocker Thomas Foa, Qin h, Plattner an, Tignor Melinda MIna, Allen Simon KmnK, Boschung dt, Nauels ead, Xia, Bex net, Midgley Pauline Muie. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013:1217-1308.
- 5. Bromwich DH, Nicolas JP, Monaghan AJ, Lazzara MA, Keller LM, Weidner GA, Wilson AB: Central West Antarctica among the

most rapidly warming regions on Earth. Nat Geosci 2013, 6: 139-145.

- 6. Van Horn DJ, Okie JG, Buelow HN, Gooseff MN, Barrett JE,
- Takacs-Vesbach CD: Soil microbial responses to increased moisture and organic resources along a salinity gradient in a polar desert. Appl Environ Microbiol 2014:3034-3043.

This study shows that rapid changes in soil community structure in the McMurdo Dry Valleys on the basis of altered resource availability, and suggests that the communities endemic to Antarctic regions may undergo major compositional shifts as a result of predicted climatic changes.

- Cowan DA, Makhalanyane TP, Dennis PG, Hopkins DW: Microbial ecology and biogeochemistry of continental Antarctic soils. Front Microbiol 2014, 5:154.
- Zhou J, Xue K, Xie J, Deng Y, Wu L, Cheng X, Fei S, Deng S, He Z,
   Van Nostrand JD: Microbial mediation of carbon-cycle

feedbacks to climate warming. Nat Clim Chang 2012, 2:106-110. Metagenomic study that compares and contrasts the potential for stress response of Arctic and Antarctic microbial mat communities, and finds evidence that the unique characteristics of each biome has shaped the molecular adaptations of these consortia.

- 9. Zimov SA, Schuur EA, Chapin FS III: **Permafrost and the global** carbon budget. *Science (Washington)* 2006, **312**:1612-1613.
- 10. Naeem S, Duffy JE, Zavaleta E: The functions of biological diversity in an age of extinction. *Science* 2012, **336**:1401-1406.
- Loreau M, Naeem S, Inchausti P: Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning: Synthesis and Perspectives. Oxford University Press; 2002.
- 12. Perveen N, Barot S, Alvarez G, Klumpp K, Martin R, Rapaport A, Herfurth D, Louault F, Fontaine S: Priming effect and microbial diversity in ecosystem functioning and response to global change: a modeling approach using the SYMPHONY model. *Glob Chang Biol* 2014, **20**:1174-1190.
- Amend AS, Martiny AC, Allison SD, Berlemont R, Goulden ML, Lu Y, Treseder KK, Weihe C, Martiny JB: Microbial response to simulated global change is phylogenetically conserved and linked with functional potential. *ISME J* 2015, 10:109-118.
- 14. Rossello-Mora R, Amann R: The species concept for prokaryotes. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2001, 25:39-67.
- Gans J, Wolinsky M, Dunbar J: Computational improvements reveal great bacterial diversity and high metal toxicity in soil. Science 2005, 309:1387-1390.
- Cowan DA, Ramond J-B, Makhalanyane TP, De Maayer P: Metagenomics of extreme environments. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2015, 25:97-102.
- Fierer N, Leff JW, Adams BJ, Nielsen UN, Bates ST, Lauber CL, Owens S, Gilbert JA, Wall DH, Caporaso JG: Cross-biome metagenomic analyses of soil microbial communities and their functional attributes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012, 109:21390-21395.
- Callaghan TV, Björn LO, Chernov Y, Chapin T, Christensen TR, Huntley B, Ims RA, Johansson M, Jolly D, Jonasson S: Biodiversity, distributions and adaptations of arctic species in the context of environmental change. AMBIO 2004, 33:404-417.
- Casanueva A, Tuffin M, Cary C, Cowan DA: Molecular adaptations to psychrophily: the impact of 'omic' technologies. *Trends Microbiol* 2010, 18:374-381.
- Makhalanyane TP, Valverde A, Birkeland N-K, Cary SC, Marla Tuffin I, Cowan DA: Evidence for successional development in Antarctic hypolithic bacterial communities. *ISME J* 2013, 7:2080-2090.
- Hill R, Saetnan ER, Scullion J, Gwynn-Jones D, Ostle N, Edwards A: Temporal and spatial influences incur reconfiguration of Arctic heathland soil bacterial community structure. *Environ Microbiol* 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ 1462-2920.13017.
- Lau M, Stackhouse B, Layton A, Chauhan A, Vishnivetskaya T, Chourey K, Ronholm J, Mykytczuk N, Bennett P, Lamarche-Gagnon G: An active atmospheric methane sink in

high Arctic mineral cryosols. *ISME J* 2015 http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/ismej.2015.13.

- 23. Deng J, Gu Y, Zhang J, Xue K, Qin Y, Yuan M, Yin H, He Z, Wu L, Schuur EA: Shifts of tundra bacterial and archaeal communities along a permafrost thaw gradient in Alaska. *Mol Ecol* 2015, 24:222-234.
- Chen J, Luo Y, Xia J, Jiang L, Zhou X, Lu M, Liang J, Shi Z, Shelton S, Cao J: Stronger warming effects on microbial abundances in colder regions. *Sci Rep* 2015, 5:18032.
- 25. Hultman J, Waldrop MP, Mackelprang R, David MM, McFarland J,
- Blazewicz SJ, Harden J, Turetsky MR, McGuire AD, Shah MB: Multi-omics of permafrost, active layer and thermokarst bog soil microbiomes. *Nature* 2015, 521:208-212.

This elegant study uses a suite of 'multi-omics' tools to highlight major differences in functional potential and composition of microbial communities in permafrost, active layer and thermokarst bog soils of the Arctic. This approach also allowed for the completion of novel draft methanogen genomes, which are potentially important to methane cycling.

- 26. Theocharis A, Bordiec S, Fernandez O, Paquis S, Dhondt-Cordelier S, Baillieul F, Clément C, Barka EA: *Burkholderia phytofirmans* PsJN primes Vitis vinifera L. and confers a better tolerance to low nonfreezing temperatures. *MPMI* 2012, 25:241-249.
- Giudice AL, Fani R: Cold-adapted bacteria from a coastal area of the Ross Sea (Terra Nova Bay Antarctica): linking microbial ecology to biotechnology. *Hydrobiologia* 2015, 761:417-441.
- Koyama A, Wallenstein MD, Simpson RT, Moore JC: Soil bacterial community composition altered by increased nutrient availability in Arctic tundra soils. Front Microbiol 2014;5.
- Cowan DA, Sohm JA, Makhalanyane TP, Capone DG, Green TGA, Cary SC, Tuffin IM: Hypolithic communities: important nitrogen sources in Antarctic desert soils. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 2011, 3:581-586.
- 30. Chan Y, Van Nostrand JD, Zhou J, Pointing SB, Farrell RL:
- Functional ecology of an Antarctic Dry Valley. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013, 110:8990-8995.

A GeoChip study of the functional potentials of Dry Valley microbial communities. Identifies the likely bacterial drivers of important metabolic processes and explores the stress tolerance pathways employed by Antarctic microorganisms.

- 31. Varin T, Lovejoy C, Jungblut AD, Vincent WF, Corbeil J:
- Metagenomic analysis of stress genes in microbial mat communities from Antarctica and the High Arctic. Appl Environ Microbiol 2012, 78:549-559.

Metagenomic study that compares and contrasts the potential for stress response of Arctic and Antarctic microbial mat communities, and finds evidence that the unique characteristics of each biome has shaped the molecular adaptations of these consortia.

- Guerrero LD, Makhalanyane TP, Aislabie JM, Cowan DA: Draft genome sequence of Williamsia sp. strain D3, isolated from the Darwin Mountains, Antarctica. Genome Announc 2014:2.
- Goordial J, Raymond-Bouchard I, Ronholm J, Shapiro N, Woyke T, Whyte L, Bakermans C: Improved-high-quality draft genome sequence of *Rhodococcus* sp, JG-3, a eurypsychrophilic Actinobacteria from Antarctic Dry Valley permafrost. *Stand Genomic Sci* 2015, 10:1-6.
- Johnson SS, Hebsgaard MB, Christensen TR, Mastepanov M, Nielsen R, Munch K, Brand T, Gilbert MTP, Zuber MT, Bunce M: Ancient bacteria show evidence of DNA repair. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007, 104:14401-14405.
- 35. Cowan DA, Ah Tow LA: Endangered Antarctic environments. Annu Rev Microbiol 2004, 58:649-690.
- Akbari A, Ghoshal S: Effects of diurnal temperature variation on microbial community and petroleum hydrocarbon biodegradation in contaminated soils from a sub-Arctic site. *Environ Microbiol* 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12846.
- Männistö MK, Kurhela E, Tiirola M, Häggblom MM: Acidobacteria dominate the active bacterial communities of Arctic tundra with widely divergent winter-time snow accumulation and soil temperatures. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2013, 84:47-59.

- Yergeau E, Bokhorst S, Kang S, Zhou J, Greer CW, Aerts R, Kowalchuk GA: Shifts in soil microorganisms in response to warming are consistent across a range of Antarctic environments. ISME J 2012, 6:692-702.
- Jung J, Yeom J, Kim J, Han J, Lim HS, Park H, Hyun S, Park W: Change in gene abundance in the nitrogen biogeochemical cycle with temperature and nitrogen addition in Antarctic soils. *Res Microbiol* 2011, 162:1018-1026.
- Chauhan A, Layton AC, Vishnivetskaya TA, Williams D, Pfiffner SM, Rekepalli B, Stackhouse B, Lau MC, Phelps TJ, Mykytczuk N: Metagenomes from thawing low-soil-organic-carbon mineral cryosols and permafrost of the Canadian high Arctic. Genome Announ 2014, 2 e01217-01214.
- Rao S, Chan Y, Lacap D, Hyde K, Pointing S, Farrell R: Lowdiversity fungal assemblage in an Antarctic Dry Valleys soil. *Polar Biol* 2011:1-8.
- 42. Mackelprang R, Waldrop MP, DeAngelis KM, David MM,
   Chavarria KL, Blazewicz SJ, Rubin EM, Jansson JK:
- Chavarria KL, Blazewicz SJ, Rubin EM, Jansson JK: Metagenomic analysis of a permafrost microbial community reveals a rapid response to thaw. Nature 2011, 480:368-371.
   Metagenomic study that links permafrost thaw in the Arctic with changing community structure and functionality, and suggests that important biogeohere in the analysis of the lines between the structure and functionality and suggests that important biogeohere in the structure and functionality and suggests that important biogeohere in the structure and functionality.

chemical processes are susceptible to climatic perturbations. Assembled the first draft genome of a methanogen from a complex soil metagenome. 43. Allan J, Ronholm J, Mykytczuk N, Greer C, Onstott T, Whyte L:

- Methanogen community composition and rates of methane consumption in Canadian High Arctic permafrost soils. Environ Microbiol Rep 2014, 6:136-144.
- Tourna M, Stieglmeier M, Spang A, Könneke M, Schintlmeister A, Urich T, Engel M, Schloter M, Wagner M, Richter A: *Nitrososphaera viennensis*, an ammonia oxidizing archaeon from soil. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011, 108:8420-8425.
- Karaevskaya E, Demchenko L, Demidov N, Rivkina E, Bulat S, Gilichinsky D: Archaeal diversity in permafrost deposits of Bunger Hills Oasis and King George Island (Antarctica) according to the 16S rRNA gene sequencing. *Microbiology* 2014, 83:398-406.
- 46. Magalhães CM, Machado A, Frank-Fahle B, Lee CK, Cary SC: The ecological dichotomy of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria in the hyper-arid soils of the Antarctic Dry Valleys. Front Microbiol 2014:5.
- Pester M, Schleper C, Wagner M: The Thaumarchaeota: an emerging view of their phylogeny and ecophysiology. Curr Opin Microbiol 2011, 14:300-306.
- Rhodes M, Knelman J, Lynch R, Darcy J, Nemergut D, Schmidt S: Alpine and arctic soil microbial communities. *The Prokaryotes*. Springer; 2013:: 43-55.
- Makhalanyane TP, Pointing SB, Cowan DA: Lithobionts: cryptic and refuge niches. In Antarctic Terrestrial Microbiology: Physical and Biological Properties of Antarctic Soils. Edited by Cowan DA. Springer; 2014:163-179.
- Makhalanyane TP, Valverde A, Velázquez D, Gunnigle E, Van Goethem MW, Quesada A, Cowan DA: Ecology and biogeochemistry of cyanobacteria in soils, permafrost, aquatic and cryptic polar habitats. *Biodivers Conserv* 2015, 24:819-840.
- Tveit A, Schwacke R, Svenning MM, Urich T: Organic carbon transformations in high-Arctic peat soils: key functions and microorganisms. *ISME J* 2013, 7:299-311.
- Rodriguez A, Perestelo F, Carnicero A, Regalado V, Perez R, Falcon M: Degradation of natural lignins and lignocellulosic substrates by soil-inhabiting fungi imperfecti. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 1996, 21:213-219.
- Anesio AM, Bellas CM: Are low temperature habitats hot spots of microbial evolution driven by viruses? Trends Microbiol 2011, 19:52-57.
- Chénard C, Chan A, Vincent W, Suttle C: Polar freshwater cyanophage S-EIV1 represents a new widespread evolutionary lineage of phages. *ISME J* 2015 http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/ismej.2015.24.

- 55. Winter C, Matthews B, Suttle CA: Effects of environmental variation and spatial distance on bacteria, archaea and viruses in sub-polar and arctic waters. *ISME J* 2013, 7:1507-1518.
- Zablocki O, van Zyl L, Adriaenssens EM, Rubagotti E, Tuffin M, Cary C, Cowan D: High diversity of tailed phages, eukaryotic viruses and virophage-like elements in the metaviromes of Antarctic soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2014 http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1128/AEM.01525-14.
- Niederberger TD, Sohm JA, Tirindelli J, Gunderson T, Capone DG, Carpenter EJ, Cary SC: Diverse and highly active diazotrophic assemblages inhabit ephemerally wetted soils of the Antarctic Dry Valleys. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2012, 82:376-390.
- Yergeau E, Hogues H, Whyte LG, Greer CW: The functional potential of high Arctic permafrost revealed by metagenomic sequencing, qPCR and microarray analyses. *ISME J* 2010, 4:1206-1214.
- van Beilen JB, Panke S, Lucchini S, Franchini AG, Röthlisberger M, Witholt B: Analysis of *Pseudomonas putida* alkanedegradation gene clusters and flanking insertion sequences: evolution and regulation of the alk genes. *Microbiology* 2001, 147:1621-1630.
- Palmer K, Biasi C, Horn MA: Contrasting denitrifier communities relate to contrasting N<sub>2</sub>O emission patterns from acidic peat soils in arctic tundra. *ISME J* 2012, 6:1058-1077.
- Blaud A, Lerch TZ, Phoenix GK, Osborn AM: Arctic soil microbial diversity in a changing world. Res Microbiol 2015, 10:796-813.
- 62. Commichau FM, Forchhammer K, Stülke J: Regulatory links between carbon and nitrogen metabolism. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2006, **9**:167-172.
- Tveit AT, Urich T, Frenzel P, Svenning MM: Metabolic and trophic interactions modulate methane production by Arctic peat microbiota in response to warming. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015, 112:E2507-E2516.
- 64. Yergeau E, Kang S, He Z, Zhou J, Kowalchuk GA: Functional microarray analysis of nitrogen and carbon cycling genes across an Antarctic latitudinal transect. *ISME J* 2007, 1: 163-179.
- Martineau C, Pan Y, Bodrossy L, Yergeau E, Whyte LG, Greer CW: <u>Atmospheric methane oxidizers are present and active in</u> <u>Canadian high Arctic soils. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2014, 89: 257-269.
  </u>
- Lipson DA, Haggerty JM, Srinivas A, Raab TK, Sathe S, Dinsdale EA: Metagenomic insights into anaerobic metabolism along an Arctic peat soil profile. *PLoS One* 2013, 8:e64659 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0064659.
- Blake LI, Tveit A, Øvreås L, Head IM, Gray ND: Response of methanogens in Arctic sediments to temperature and methanogenic substrate availability. *PloS One* 2015, 10:e0129733.
- Feng Y, Grogan P, Caporaso JG, Zhang H, Lin X, Knight R, Chu H: pH is a good predictor of the distribution of anoxygenic purple phototrophic bacteria in Arctic soils. Soil Biol Biochem 2014, 74:193-200.
- Ferrari BC, Bissett A, Snape I, Dorst J, Palmer AS, Ji M, Siciliano SD, Stark JS, Winsley T, Brown MV: Geological connectivity drives microbial community structure and connectivity in polar, terrestrial ecosystems. *Environ Microbiol* 2015.
- Frank-Fahle BA, Yergeau É, Greer CW, Lantuit H, Wagner D: Microbial functional potential and community composition in permafrost-affected soils of the NW Canadian Arctic. PLoS One 2014, 9:e84761.
- Maccario L, Vogel TM, Larose C: Potential drivers of microbial community structure and function in Arctic spring snow. Front Microbiol 2014:5.
- Morgado LN, Semenova TA, Welker JM, Walker MD, Smets E, Geml J: Summer temperature increase has distinct effects on the ectomycorrhizal fungal communities of moist tussock and dry tundra in Arctic Alaska. *Glob Chang Biol* 2015, 21:959-972.

- Mundra S, Bahram M, Tedersoo L, Kauserud H, Halvorsen R, Eidesen PB: Temporal variation of Bistorta vivipara-associated ectomycorrhizal fungal communities in the High Arctic. *Mole Ecol* 2015, 24:6289-6302.
- Semenova TA, Morgado LN, Welker JM, Walker MD, Smets E, Geml J: Long-term experimental warming alters community composition of ascomycetes in Alaskan moist and dry arctic tundra. *Mole Ecol* 2015, 24:424-437.
- 75. Shi Y, Grogan P, Sun H, Xiong J, Yang Y, Zhou J, Chu H: Multiscale variability analysis reveals the importance of spatial distance in shaping Arctic soil microbial functional communities. Soil Biol Biochem 2015, 86:126-134.
- Stark S, Männistö MK, Ganzert L, Tiirola M, Häggblom MM: Grazing intensity in subarctic tundra affects the temperature adaptation of soil microbial communities. Soil Biol Biochem 2015, 84:147-157.
- 77. Stibal M, Wadham JL, Lis GP, Telling J, Pancost RD, Dubnick A, Sharp MJ, Lawson EC, Butler CE, Hasan F: Methanogenic potential of Arctic and Antarctic subglacial environments with contrasting organic carbon sources. *Glob Chang Biol* 2012, 18:3332-3345.
- 78. Varin T, Lovejoy C, Jungblut AD, Vincent WF, Corbeila J: Metagenomic profiling of Arctic microbial mat communities

as nutrient scavenging and recycling systems. *Limnol Oceanogr* 2010, **55**:1901-1911.

- 79. Lee CK, Barbier BA, Bottos EM, McDonald IR, Cary SC: The intervalley soil comparative survey: the ecology of Dry Valley edaphic microbial communities. *ISME J* 2012, 6:1046-1057.
- Niederberger T, Sohm J, Gunderson T, Parker A, Tirindelli J, Capone D, Carpenter E, Cary S: Microbial community composition of transiently wetted Antarctic Dry Valley soils. Front Microbiol 2015:6.
- Pearce DA, Newsham KK, Thorne MA, Calvo-Bado L, Krsek M, Laskaris P, Hodson A, Wellington EM: Metagenomic analysis of a southern maritime Antarctic soil. Front Microbiol 2012:3.
- Richter I, Herbold CW, Lee CK, McDonald IR, Barrett JE, Cary SC: Influence of soil properties on archaeal diversity and distribution in the McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2014, 89:347-359.
- Wei ST, Fernandez-Martinez M-A, Chan Y, Van Nostrand JD, de los Rios-Murillo A, Chiu JM, Ganeshram AM, Cary SC, Zhou J, Pointing SB: Diverse metabolic and stress-tolerance pathways in chasmoendolithic and soil communities of Miers Valley, McMurdo Dry Valleys, Antarctica. *Polar Biol* 2015, 38: 433-443.