UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Reply to "Comment on 'Ultrafast terahertz-field-driven ionic response in ferroelectric BaTiO3' "

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4vj5h11r

Journal

Physical Review B, 97(22)

ISSN

2469-9950

Authors

Chen, F Zhu, Y Liu, S <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2018-06-01

DOI

10.1103/physrevb.97.226102

Peer reviewed

Reply to "Comment on 'Ultrafast terahertz-field-driven ionic response in ferroelectric BaTiO₃'"

F. Chen,^{1,2} Y. Zhu,³ S. Liu,^{4,5} Y. Qi,⁴ H.Y. Hwang,⁶ N.C. Brandt,⁶ J. Lu,⁶ F. Quirin,⁷ H. Enquist,⁸ P. Zalden,² T. Hu,⁹ J. Goodfellow,⁹ M.-J. Sher,^{9,2} M.C. Hoffmann,¹⁰ D. Zhu,¹⁰ H. Lemke,¹⁰ J. Glownia,¹⁰ M. Chollet,¹⁰ A. R. Damodaran,¹¹ J. Park,¹² Z. Cai,³ I.W. Jung,¹³ M.J. Highland,¹⁴ D.A. Walko,³ J. W. Freeland,³ P.G. Evans,¹² A. Vailionis,¹⁵ J. Larsson,¹⁶ K.A. Nelson,⁶ A.M. Rappe,⁴ K. Sokolowski-Tinten,⁷ L. W. Martin,^{11,17} H. Wen,^{3,*} and A.M. Lindenberg^{2,9,18,†} ¹Department of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA ²SIMES Institute for Materials and Energy Sciences, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA ³Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA ⁴The Makineni Theoretical Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323, USA ⁵Geophysical Lab, Carnegie Institute for Science, Washington, DC 20015, USA ⁶Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA ⁷Faculty of Physics and Center for Nanointegration Duisburg-Essen (CENIDE), University of Duisburg-Essen, Lotharstrasse 1, 47048 Duisburg, Germany ⁸MAX IV Laboratory, Lund University, S-22100 Lund, Sweden ⁹Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA ¹⁰Linac Coherent Light Source, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA ¹¹Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ¹²Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA ¹³Center for Nanoscale Materials, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA ¹⁴Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA ¹⁵Geballe Laboratory for Advanced Materials, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA ¹⁶Department of Physics, Lund University, S-22100 Lund, Sweden ¹⁷Materials Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ¹⁸PULSE Institute, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, California 94025, USA

(Received 13 May 2018; published 15 June 2018)

In this reply to S. Durbin's comment on our original paper "Ultrafast terahertz-field-driven ionic response in ferroelectric $BaTiO_3$," we concur that his final equations 8 and 9 more accurately describe the change in diffracted intensity as a function of Ti displacement. We also provide an alternative derivation based on an ensemble average over unit cells. The conclusions of the paper are unaffected by this correction.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.97.226102

We thank Durbin for his comment on the paper, "Ultrafast terahertz-field-driven ionic response in ferroelectric BaTiO₃" [1]. We are in agreement that his final Eqs. (8) and (9) more accurately describe the change in diffracted intensity as a function of the THz-driven Ti atom displacement. We first emphasize that this result does not change the conclusions of the paper, only slightly modifying the estimated incoherent Ti atom displacement required to explain the observed results; the rms time-dependent displacement is still 0.03 Å within experimental resolution.

Since extraction of the THz-driven time-dependent displacement is a somewhat subtle calculation which has not appeared previously, we explain briefly in the following an alternative explanation that we believe more transparently leads to the correct result, consistent with Durbin's final equations. We begin from Eq. (2) of Ref. [1] for the time-dependent structure factor with

$$F = f_{\rm Ba} - f_{\rm Ti} e^{-6\pi i \delta} e^{-6\pi i A(t)},$$
 (1)

where f_{Ba} and f_{Ti} are the scattering factors for the Ba and Ti atoms, δ is the static displacement of the central Ti atom, and A(t) is the induced time-dependent Ti atom displacement. One needs to first average this structure factor over many unit cells in the case of an incoherent response. This is analogous to adding the scattered electric fields from each unit cell first to allow for potential interference. In this case, one then finds

$$\langle F(t)\rangle = f_{\rm Ba} - f_{\rm Ti}e^{-6\pi i\delta} \langle e^{-6\pi iA(t)}\rangle.$$
 (2)

If the time-dependent displacements A(t) are distributed spatially in a roughly Gaussian manner [2], then $\langle e^{-6\pi i A(t)} \rangle = e^{-36\pi^2 \langle A^2(t) \rangle}$ and one obtains for the unit cell structure factor averaged over all unit cells:

$$\langle F(t)\rangle = f_{\rm Ba} - f_{\rm Ti}e^{-6\pi i\delta}e^{-M_{\rm Ti}},\tag{3}$$

where $M_{Ti} = 18\pi^2 \langle A^2(t) \rangle$, consistent with Eq. (6) of Durbin. We note, however, that no time average comes into this

^{*}Corresponding author: wen@aps.anl.gov

[†]Corresponding author: aaron1@stanford.edu

calculation. Given the time-resolved nature of the experiment, it is much more straightforward to consider this as an incoherent spatial average over unit cells. From Eq. (3), one then obtains the final result for the induced time-dependent scattered intensity, i.e., the measured signal from $I = |\langle F(t) \rangle|^2$.

In conclusion, the final result of Durbin more precisely quantifies the induced Ti atom displacement. In the derivation above, the ensemble average is performed over the spatial distribution of atomic displacements rather than over time as is required for the time-resolved measurements described.

[1] F. Chen, Y. Zhu, S. Liu, Y. Qi, H. Y. Hwang, N. C. Brandt, J. Lu, F. Quirin, H. Enquist, P. Zalden, T. Hu, J. Goodfellow, M.-J. Sher, M. C. Hoffmann, D. Zhu, H. Lemke, J. Glownia, M. Chollet, A. R. Damodaran, J. Park, Z. Cai, I. W. Jung, M. J. Highland, D. A. Walko, J. W. Freeland, P. G. Evans, A. Vailionis, J. Larsson, K. A. Nelson, A. M. Rappe, K. Sokolowski-Tinten, L. W. Martin, H. Wen, and A. M. Lindenberg, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 180104 (2016).

[2] J. Als-Nielsen and D. McMorrow, *Elements of Modern X-ray Physics* (Wiley, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2011), p. 173.