UC Riverside UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

Do thermoregulatory costs limit altitude distributions of Andean forest birds?

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4vk5f9vs

Journal

Functional Ecology, 31(1)

ISSN

0269-8463

Authors

Londoño, Gustavo A Chappell, Mark A Jankowski, Jill E <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2017

DOI

10.1111/1365-2435.12697

Peer reviewed

1	DO THERMOREGULATORY COSTS LIMIT ALTITUDE DISTRIBUTIONS OF ANDEAN
2	FOREST BIRDS?
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Gustavo A. Londoño ^{1,2} , Mark A. Chappell ² , Jill E. Jankowski ³ , and Scott K. Robinson ⁴
8	
9	
10	
11	¹ Departmento de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Icesi, Cali, Colombia
12	² Biology Department, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521 USA
13	³ Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia, BC V6T 1Z4 Canada
14	⁴ Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611 USA
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Corresponding author: Gustavo A. Londoño
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Running headline: Thermal physiology and altitude in tropical birds
26	
27	Keywords: Andes, elevation, thermal conductance, lower critical temperature, body
28	temperature

29 Summary

30 1. Along tropical mountains, species often occupy narrow altitude ranges. Numerous 31 biotic and abiotic factors have been proposed as determinants of altitude occupancy. 32 2. We measured several aspects of thermal physiology of 215 bird species across a 2.6 km 33 altitude gradient in the Peruvian Andes. We predicted that highland species would show 34 adaptation to the colder high-altitude climate, and that energy costs of thermoregulation 35 might limit up-slope dispersal of lowland natives. 36 3. We found reductions of thermal conductance, body temperature, and lower critical 37 temperature in highland birds compared to lowland species. These combine to make 38 highland natives more resistant to heat loss. 39 4. We did not find convincing evidence that acute thermal limits or energy costs of 40 thermoregulation constrained altitude distributions. Heat budget models predicted low 41 to moderate long-term costs at native altitudes. Costs increased for lowland natives 42 modeled in the highland climate, but for all but a few species, costs remained within 43 putative expenditure limits. 44 5. Although we did not test heat tolerances, we measured all species at temperatures 45 similar to the hottest air temperatures at the lowland site. There was no evidence that 46 high lowland temperatures preclude down-slope movements of highland birds. 47 6. While thermal tolerances probably do not directly determine altitude occupancy by 48 most species, the additional energy cost of thermoregulation experienced by lowland 49 species moving up-slope may trade off against investment in important life history 50 components such as breeding, and thereby affect altitude range limits. 51

52 **Introduction**

The tropical Andes are among the most species-rich regions on earth, particularly for birds
(Rahbek & Graves 2001, Cadena *et al.* 2012). That diversity reflects both high species numbers
within habitats and rapid turnover across elevations (Melo, Rangel & Diniz-Filho 2009), with
most Andean birds restricted to narrow elevation zones (e.g., Jankowski *et al.* 2013).
Understanding the mechanisms underlying that zonation has long been a focus of research.
Many studies implicate competition or aggression as barriers to occupancy of particular
altitudes (e.g., Terborgh & Weske 1975; Jankowski, Robinson & Levey 2010). Strong habitat

60 preferences, coupled with abrupt habitat transitions across altitudes, may also affect

- 61 distributions (Terborgh 1971; Jankowski et al. 2009, 2013). Other studies suggest predation,
- 62 parasites, or pathogens as limiting factors (e.g., van Riper III *et al.* 1986; Boyle 2008; Atkinson
- 63 & Samuel 2010; G.A. Londoño, *unpublished data*).

64 Abiotic factors may also be important. Janzen (1967) proposed that stable tropical 65 climates, coupled with minimal temperature overlap among elevations separated by more than 66 \sim 600 m, lead to thermal physiologies optimized for specific altitudes. This results in low 67 tolerance for temperatures outside the optimal range, inhibiting up- or downslope dispersal. 68 Some tropical ectotherms fit Janzen's predictions: these species have narrow temperature optima within which performance is maximal, and their thermal tolerances are often closely 69 70 matched to environmental temperatures (Huey et al. 2009). Therefore, species adapted to cool 71 mid- and high altitudes risk lethal hyperthermia if they move downslope, whereas warm-72 adapted lowland species risk compromised performance if they move upslope.

Whether similar constraints apply to endotherms – which almost by definition are more tolerant of temperature variation than ectotherms – is unclear (Ghalambor *et al.* 2006). For Andean birds, altitude distributions are unavoidably associated with temperature due to the adiabatic lapse rate of 5-6 °C per 1000 m of elevation (Rapp & Silman 2012). However, distribution models based on occupancy generally cannot reveal the causal factors underlying altitude limits, and hence do not indicate if a species can tolerate conditions at other elevations. That requires knowledge of relevant physiological traits in combination with microclimate.

80 For endotherms such as birds, thermal constraints could range from acute physiological 81 limits to indirect limits derived from life-history tradeoffs. Acute limits arise from 82 environmental temperatures that exceed a species' ability to keep body temperature within 83 tolerable bounds. Indirect limits result from energy costs or behavioral restrictions imposed by 84 stressful but nonlethal thermal conditions. At temperatures outside of thermoneutrality, 85 homeothermic endotherms increase metabolism above basal metabolic rate (BMR) to power 86 thermogenesis (in cold) or evaporative cooling (in heat). Those costs reduce the energy 87 available for crucial life history functions (growth, maintenance, reproduction) and may be 88 accompanied by behavioral shifts (e.g., microhabitat use or activity time) that impose further 89 trade-offs. Acute limits for maximal heat production have been described for a number of 90 tropical and temperate birds (McKechnie & Swanson 2010), but temperature tolerances per se

91 are less studied. Little is known about long-term limits, particularly how regulatory costs or 92 thermal constraints on behavior impact life history. However, daily energy expenditures during 93 the breeding season (presumably a time of high energy demand) seldom exceed 4X BMR 94 (Drent & Daan 1980), and this is often interpreted as an upper limit to energy flux over 95 extended periods. Costs of thermoregulation can comprise only part of that total and still allow 96 for energy allocation to other functions; therefore, long-term limits for thermoregulatory 97 expenditures are likely closer to 2 - 2.5X BMR. Estimated metabolic rates at the northern 98 boundaries of winter ranges are similar to these values (Canterbury 2002).

99 In this study of Andean birds, we had two main objectives. The first was to determine 100 if thermal physiology varied along a 2.6 km altitude gradient encompassing a 12 °C range of 101 annual temperature means (11-23 °C; Londoño et al. 2014). Most birds maintain body 102 temperatures (T_b) of 38-41 °C (Prinzinger, Pressmar & Schleucher 1991) and small species 103 typically have lower critical temperatures (LCT; below which elevated heat production is 104 necessary) of 25-30 °C (Calder & King 1974). Therefore, a decrease in environmental 105 temperature from 23 °C to 11 °C should greatly increase costs of regulatory thermogenesis. 106 Given the selection that should impose, we expected physiological differentiation across 107 altitudes. Previous work revealed no effect of altitude on BMR (Londoño et al. 2014), but 108 BMR is largely irrelevant to thermoregulatory costs at temperatures below the LCT. We 109 hypothesized that thermal conductance, LCT, and $T_{\rm b}$ would be reduced in high-altitude natives, 110 because those changes would decrease heat loss rates.

Our second goal was to test if thermal biology restricts altitude ranges. To do this we combined physiological and microclimate data in a heat-budget model to produce species- and habitat-specific estimates of energy costs of thermoregulation. Our hypothesis was that lowland species exposed to the high-altitude climate would require thermoregulatory expenditures exceeding the putative maxima for acute exposure (4 - 7 X BMR) or over extended periods (2 - 4X BMR). Finally, we considered potential barriers to down-slope movements of highland birds.

- 119 Materials and methods
- 120 FIELD SITES

121 We worked at three sites near Parque Nacional del Manu in southeastern Peru. Pantiacolla

(mean elevation ~400 m; 12° 39' S, 71° 13' W) is in lowland rainforest. San Pedro (1500 m; 122

123 13° 03' S, 71° 32' W) is in montane cloud forest. Wayqecha (3000 m; 13° 10' S, 71° 35' W) is

in highland cloud forest. San Pedro and Waygecha are on steep mountain slopes; Pantiacolla is 124

- 125 on more level terrain. The sites are roughly aligned along a northeast-southwest transect
- 126 extending about 70 km.
- 127

Physiological data were obtained between June and December in 2011 - 2014; 128 environmental temperatures were measured between September 2011 and December 2014.

129

130 BIRD CAPTURE AND HANDLING

131 We mist-netted birds in the afternoon (15:00 - 17:30 local time). Individuals in reproductive

132 condition were released; others were held in quiet cloth-covered cages with water but no food.

133 After dusk, birds were weighed and placed in metabolism chambers. Small nectar-feeding

134 species (flowerpiercers, hummingbirds) were fed to repletion with sugar water (~ 25% sucrose)

135 prior to insertion in chambers to reduce stress. Metabolic measurements did not begin until 1-2

136 h after birds were placed in chambers, sufficient time for sugar to be absorbed (Karasov et al.

1986). We first tested at 10 °C; subsequently all individuals were tested at 30 °C; most at 32-35 137

138 °C and some at 20 °C. Ambient temperatures (T_a) were maintained for at least 1 h before

139 sampling began. Immediately after the 10 °C test, $T_{\rm b}$ was measured within 1 min of initial

140 disturbance with a fine-gauge thermistor inserted at least 5-10 mm into the cloaca.

Measurements at warm T_a , intended to elicit basal metabolism, occurred > 5 h after the last 141

feeding opportunity; accordingly, we assume birds were postabsorptive. A final $T_{\rm b}$ and body 142

143 mass were obtained at the conclusion of measurements; we used the mean mass in calculations.

144 Birds were banded and released at the site of capture the following morning.

- 145 Procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at the
- 146 University of California Riverside (protocol # 0408026, 20070023, 20100048) and the
- University of Florida (Protocol #: 201106068), and by permits from the government of Peru 147

148 (0239-2013 MINAGRI-DGFFS/DGEFFS 2013).

149

150 THERMAL PHYSIOLOGY

151	We used open-circuit	t respirometry to	measure rates of oxygen	consumption (\dot{VO}_2) as
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

- 152 previously described (Londoño *et al.* 2014; Supplementary Material). We converted $\dot{V}O_2$ to
- 153 metabolic heat production (MHP; watts) using an oxycaloric value of 19.8 Joules/ml O₂.

154 Barometric pressure averaged at 521 torr at Waygecha and 723 torr at Pantiacolla. To 155 determine if lower O₂ partial pressure at Wayqecha affected thermoregulation of lowland birds, 156 we tested a subset of Pantiacolla species in acute hypobaria. We used a small vacuum pump to 157 pull air through the chamber against the resistance of the upstream flow controller. Chamber 158 pressure was measured with a manometer (Sper Scientific 840081, Scottsdale, Arizona). We 159 adjusted pump power and the flow controller until the desired pressure differential and flow 160 were achieved. Other aspects of the system were as in Londoño et al. (2014). During tests, we cooled the chamber to 10 °C at ambient pressure, measured $\dot{V}O_2$ after 1 h of acclimation, then 161 quickly reduced pressure by 200 torr and measured $\dot{V}O_2$ again. Results were compared with 162 163 paired t-tests.

164

165 THERMAL CONDUCTANCE AND LOWER CRITICAL TEMPERATURE

- We calculated thermal conductance (C; watts/°C) at 10 °C from MHP and the gradient between
 body and ambient temperatures:
- 168 C =

 $C = MHP / (T_b - T_a)$

1

- We estimated lower critical temperature (LCT) from C, basal metabolic rate (BMR, watts), andbody temperature at BMR:
- 171

2

- 172 In a few species we could not compute C or LCT because there was no increase in MHP at 10
- 173 °C (i.e. 10 °C was within thermoneturality).

 $LCT = T_b - (BMR/C)$

These calculations assume that T_b is constant and C is minimal at temperatures below the LCT, as in the classic "Scholander-Irving model" (Scholander *et al.* 1950). In our species, the change in T_b across T_a of 10 °C to 30-34 °C averaged 0.9 °C (see Results). Assuming a linear change, the T_b at the LCT would differ from that at BMR by ~0.5 °C or less.

- 178 Conductance at 20 °C did not differ from conductance at 10 °C (N = 435, P = .28;
- 179 Supplementary Materials). Accordingly we believe the computed LCT are acceptably
- accurate.

181

182 ENVIRONMENTAL TEMPERATURES

Shade temperatures (T_a) were monitored for 38 months with Hobo dataloggers (Pro v2; Onset Computers, Massachusetts, USA). At each site, several loggers were simultaneously deployed in different habitat types. There was little difference in T_a among habitats, so we computed a mean T_a for each site at intervals of 30 min.

187 The thermal environment of a bird exposed to wind and sun is more complex than can 188 be represented by T_a (Bakken 1976). There is little wind below the canopy in the densely 189 forested habitats at our sites (averaging < 0.3 m/s; unpublished data), but depending on 190 microhabitat, birds may experience sun exposure and in open areas, some wind. We used gray-191 painted hollow aluminum spheres with internal thermistors to estimate the integrated effects of 192 $T_{\rm a}$, wind, and sun (operative environmental temperature, $T_{\rm e}$). Spheres yield reliable $T_{\rm e}$ data 193 over extended periods (but not short intervals; Walsberg & Weathers 1986). We deployed two 194 spheres simultaneously (5 and 7 cm diameter) in open areas at each site in 2012 and 2013 (408 195 days at Pantiacolla, 383 days at San Pedro, 159 days at Waygecha), with T_e recorded every 30 196 min. There was little $T_{\rm e}$ difference between spheres so we used the higher value (assuming the 197 cooler sphere might have been shaded).

198 $T_{\rm e}$ and $T_{\rm b}$ specify the gradient driving heat flow, but do not account for the effect of199wind on conductance (Bakken 1976; Chappell, Morgan & Bucher 1990). Heat transfer200increases as wind speed increases, but that relationship is complex and dependent on size,201posture, turbulence, and plumage characteristics. Because wind speed was low inside the

202 canopy, where most of our species live, we did not estimate its effects in cost calculations.

203

204 ENERGY COSTS OF THERMOREGULATION

The energy an endotherm spends to thermoregulate is a function of physiology (C, T_b , BMR),

206 environment (*T*_a, wind, sun), behavior (microhabitat, posture, activity, use of torpor), and other

factors (e.g., plumage wetting or damage). Precise calculation of thermoregulatory costs

- 208 requires detailed data on all these factors, many of which were unavailable. We modeled
- 209 expenditures with the 'Thermoregulatory Costs' heat budget algorithm in LabAnalyst. For each
- 210 microclimate datum (i.e., every 30 min), this computes the heat production necessary to
- 211 maintain T_b (Supplementary Materials; Fig. S1). Over the duration of microclimate records, it

212 provides each species' mean cost of thermoregulation, highest single 30 min value, highest 6,

213 12, and 24 hour costs, and the fraction of time in thermoneutrality. Because the quantitative

- 214 details of sun use and torpor are unknown in the species we studied, we modeled heat budgets
- with and without these factors to estimate upper and lower bounds of thermoregulatory costs.
- 216

217 MINIMUM TOLERABLE $T_{\rm e}$

- Maximal cold-induced metabolic rate ('summit metabolism', MR_{sum} ; McKechnie & Swanson 2010) is a common index of cold resistance. Together, C, minimum T_b , and MR_{sum} are the main determinants of an endotherm's lowest tolerable T_e (T_e min). At our sites, power and logistical constraints precluded measurement of MR_{sum} . However, in 19 lowland bird species from Panama, MR_{sum} averaged 4.5 ± 0.3 X higher than BMR (Wiersma, Chappell & Williams 2007). Panamanian and Peruvian birds have similar BMR (Londoño *et al.* 2014), so we assumed PMR_C was also similar and estimated T_e min as:
- 225 $T_{\rm e}{\rm min} = T_{\rm b} (({\rm BMR} * 4.5)/{\rm C})$ 3

We measured C and T_b during the rest phase (night). Because active-phase C is about 1.4-fold higher than rest-phase C (Aschoff 1981; Schleucher & Withers 2001), and active-phase T_b averages 2 °C higher than rest-phase T_b (Prinzinger, Pressmar & Schleucher 1991), we estimated daytime minimal T_e as:

230
$$T_{e}\min = (T_{b} + 2) - ((BMR * 4.5)/(C * 1.4))$$
 4

In some small non-passerines, circadian T_b changes may exceed 2 °C (Aschoff 1982). If applicable to Peruvian birds, this would affect estimates of daytime LCT and T_e min. The impact on heat budgets is minor, because the highest costs occur at night, when T_e is lowest (Fig. 1) and we directly measured T_b . Accordingly, and because circadian T_b variance in Peruvian birds is unknown, we did not include mass scaling of T_b changes in our analyses.

236

237 ANALYSIS AND STATISTICS

238 Species means were used for all analyses except hypobaric and seasonality tests. Body mass

 (M_b) , BMR, and conductance were log-transformed to account for allometric scaling. To

- normalize distributions, we converted $T_{\rm e}$ min to K and applied either a log or square-root
- transform, and if necessary a further Box-Cox transform. Comparisons were performed with

- 242 ordinary least squares Analysis of Covariance (OLS ANCOVA) with altitude as a fixed factor
- and M_b as the covariate. Time in thermoneutrality was analysed with nonparametrics
- 244 (Wilcoxon and Tukey-Kramer HSD). Tests were performed with JMP Pro 11 (SAS Institute,
- 245 Cary, North Carolina, USA).
- 246 We estimated phylogenetic signal (Pagel 1999; Blomberg, Garland & Ives 2003) based
- on the avian 'tree of life' (Jetz *et al.* 2012; Londoño *et al.* 2014). When phylogenetic signal
- 248 was present, we used phylogenetic independent contrasts (Felsenstein 1985; Garland, Harvey
- & Ives 1992) and Phylogenetic Generalized Least Squares (PGLS) as implemented in R (R
- 250 Core Team 2013; Supplementary Materials). For all tests, the significance level was 0.05.
- 251

252 **Results**

253 ENVIRONMENTAL TEMPERATURES

Seasonal variation was small (Fig. 1), but air and sphere temperatures and high temperature
maxima averaged about 12 °C cooler at Wayqecha than at Pantiacolla (Table S1).

- 256 Temperatures at San Pedro were intermediate. Differences were smaller for low temperature
- 257 minima (Table S1). Air and sphere temperatures differed substantially when sunlight was
- 258 present, and daily temperature cycles were larger for spheres than for T_a (Fig. 1).
- 259

260 SEASONAL EFFECTS

- 261 Because seasonality may affect thermoregulatory traits, (Swanson 1991; McKechnie 2008), we
- tested for effects of sample date. Date could not be normalized, so we employed nonparametric
- 263 methods (Kendall's τ). To avoid bias from small sample sizes or clustered capture dates, we
- restricted analysis to 16 species with at least 10 (mean 22.6) individuals sampled across periods
- of at least 4 months (usually 5-6 months). There was no effect of date on BMR (P = 0.634), C
- 266 (P = 0.319), T_b at BMR (P = 0.120), T_b at 10 °C (P = 0.272), or LCT (P = 0.153). Accordingly,
- 267 we did not incorporate seasonality in analyses.
- 268

269 THERMAL PHYSIOLOGY

For T_b and LCT, we included data from birds with stable \dot{VO}_2 and $T_b \ge 35$ °C. Individuals

271 with lower T_b were considered to be in torpor (e.g., Bech *et al.* 1997). For calculation of C we

used data from birds with stable \dot{VO}_2 and $T_b \ge 33$ °C. Applying these criteria, we obtained 272 273 BMR, BMR T_b , LCT, C, and minimum tolerable T_e for 215 species, and 10 °C T_b for 206 species. In all analyses, phylogenetic signal was present in M_b ($\lambda = 1.00, P < 0.0001$) and most 274 275 physiological variables (Table 1). 276 We found no effect of altitude on BMR with either OLS ANCOVA (P = 0.27) or PGLS 277 (*P* = 0.91; Table 1; Londoño *et al.* 2014). OLS ANCOVA indicated that C was affected by both M_b ($F_{1,214} = 978$, P < 0.0001) and 278 altitude ($F_{2,213} = 4.09$, P = 0.018; Fig. 2A). Mass-adjusted C (least-square mean) was about 9% 279 280 higher at 400 m than at 3000 m. There was no M_b X altitude interaction (P = 0.28). Post-hoc 281 Tukey-Kramer comparisons revealed a difference between high- and low-altitude sites (P =0.0146); no other comparison was significant (P > 0.2). The pooled C data were correlated 282 with $M_{\rm b}$: C (watts/ °C) = 0.00359 · $M_{\rm b}^{.515 \pm .015 \text{ SE}}$ ($r^2 = 0.85$, P < 0.0001). That scaling is 283 284 consistent with previous analyses of avian conductance (mass exponent 0.48 to 0.52; 285 Schleucher & Withers 2001), and there was no difference in C (P = 0.265) between the Peru 286 species and rest-phase measurements of 35 temperate zone species from Aschoff (1981), 287 recommended as the best predictor of avian C by Schleucher & Withers (2001). Accounting for phylogeny, conductance and $M_{\rm b}$ were positively correlated ($r^2 = 0.58$: P 288 < 0.0001; 95% CI = 0.49 - 0.66) and PGLS revealed effects of M_b and altitude on C (P <289 290 0.0001; Table 1), with lower C at high altitude and at large $M_{\rm b}$. 291 In OLS ANCOVA, body temperature at $T_a = 10$ °C (T_b10) was positively correlated with M_b (F_{1,205} = 22.6, P < 0.0001) and varied among altitudes ($F_{2,204} = 7.74$, P = 0.0006; Fig. 292 293 2C). There was no M_b X altitude interaction (P = 0.96). Tukey-Kramer tests revealed 294 differences between high and low altitude sites (P = 0.0004), but not for other altitude 295 comparisons (P > 0.07). Mass-adjusted $T_{\rm b}10$ at high altitude was 37.7 ± 0.16 °C (mean \pm SE), 296 or 0.8 °C less than that of lowland species $(38.5 \pm 0.14 \text{ °C})$; the value for the mid-altitude site 297 was intermediate $(38.0 \pm 0.17 \text{ °C})$. Accounting for phylogeny, body mass and $T_{\rm b}10$ were positively correlated ($r^2 = 0.16$; P 298 299 = 0.015; 95% CI = 0.03 - 0.30). PGLS revealed the same qualitative results as OLS: $T_{\rm b}10$ varied with both M_b and altitude (P = 0.0009 and P = 0.0029, respectively; Table 1), increasing 300 301 as $M_{\rm b}$ increased and declining as altitude increased.

- 302 Body temperatures at BMR were obtained at T_a between 30 °C and 34 °C. There was 303 no effect of T_a on T_b at BMR (P = 0.86, ANCOVA with M_b and altitude as covariates); 304 therefore, we pooled these data as ' $T_{\rm b}$ BMR'. OLS ANCOVA revealed effects of $M_{\rm b}$ and 305 altitude on $T_{b}BMR$, with higher $T_{b}BMR$ at low altitude ($F_{2,210} = 9.99$, P < 0.0001) and at large $M_{\rm b}$ ($F_{1,211}$ = 33.3, P < 0.0001; Fig. 2D). The $M_{\rm b}$ X altitude interaction did not reach significance 306 $(F_{2,210} = 2.9, P = 0.056)$. Tukey-Kramer comparisons revealed differences between high- and 307 308 low-altitude sites (P < 0.0001) and low- and mid-altitude sites (P = 0.0047), but not mid- and 309 high-altitude sites (P = 0.69). Mass adjusted T_bBMR at high altitude averaged 38.5 ± 0.15 °C 310 (mean \pm SE), or 0.9 °C cooler than at low altitude (39.4 \pm 0.13 °C); T_bBMR at the mid-altitude
- 311 site was intermediate $(38.7 \pm 0.16 \text{ °C})$.
- PGLS revealed the same qualitative results as OLS: T_b BMR was positively correlated with body mass ($r^2 = 0.19$; P = 0.006; 95% CI = 0.05 - 0.32) and decreased with increasing altitude (P < 0.0001; Table 1).
- OLS ANCOVA indicated that LCT was not affected by M_b ($F_{1,211} = 0.20$, P = 0.65) but decreased with increasing altitude ($F_{2,210} = 12.9$, P < 0.0001; Fig. 2B) with no M_b X altitude interaction (P = 0.19). Tukey-Kramer tests revealed differences between high- and low-altitude sites (P < 0.0001) and low- and mid-altitude sites (P = 0.0002) but not mid- and high-altitude sites (P = 0.98). Mass-adjusted LCT at high altitude ($19.4 \pm .51$ °C; mean \pm SE) averaged 3.0 °C lower than at low altitude (22.4 ± 0.44 °C); the LCT at San Pedro (19.6 ± 0.53 °C) was close to that at high altitude.
- 322 As with OLS results, PGLS indicated that LCT was not correlated with M_b but declined 323 with increasing altitude (P = 0.78 and P = 0.0001, respectively; Table 1).
- 324

325 PRESSURE EFFECTS ON LOWLAND SPECIES

- 326 In 86 individuals of 57 Pantiacolla species tested at 10 °C at both the *in situ* barometric
- 327 pressure and the Wayqecha barometric pressure, there was no difference in $\dot{V}O_2$ (P = 0.84,
- 328 paired t-test; Supplementary Fig. S2).
- 329
- 330 MINIMUM TOLERABLE T_e
- 331 Minimum tolerable T_{e} (T_{e} min) lacked phylogenetic signal. Nightime T_{e} min was inversely
- related to altitude ($F_{2,210} = 5.61$; P = 0.0042) and declined as M_b increased ($F_{1,211} = 4.96$; P = 0.0042)

333 0.0269). Mass-adjusted $T_{\rm e}$ min was -47.0 °C ± 2.4 (SE) at the mid-altitude site, -45.5 ± 3.0 °C at

- the high-altitude site, and -37.6 ± 2.0 °C at the low altitude site. There was no M_b X altitude
- interaction (P = 0.06). Daytime T_{e} min (where T_{b} and C were higher) was affected by altitude
- 336 $(F_{2,210} = 6.01; P = 0.0029)$ and M_b $(F_{1,211} = 4.32; P = 0.0389)$, with no interaction (P = 0.07).
- 337 Daytime mass-adjusted T_e min was -20.0 ± 1.7 °C at the mid-altitude site, -19.5 ± 1.6 °C at the
- high-altitude site, and -13.6 ± 1.4 °C at the low altitude site.
- 339 At night, distributions of shade T_a had essentially no overlap with T_e min at any altitude 340 (Fig. 3). During the day, T_e min was roughly 23 °C higher, and for a few species, shade T_a at 341 Wayqecha was, on rare occasions, below T_e min (Fig. 3).
- 342

343 HEAT TOLERANCE

We exposed all species to T_a of 30 °C and most to 32-35 °C for several hours when measuring

BMR. Those T_a s are similar to the highest T_a at the low-altitude site (Fig. 1), and therefore

346 simulate the warmest conditions likely to be experienced in this habitat (exclusive of sun

347 exposure, which can be avoided behaviorally). Chamber relative humidity during BMR

348 measurements (mean 44.9 \pm 16.4% SD) varied between stations (F_{2,834} = 109, P < 0.0001),

ranging from $34.6 \pm 10.5\%$ at San Pedro to $51.4 \pm 16.6\%$ at Pantiacolla. There was no indication

- 350 of heat stress (panting, escape attempts, hyperthermia) in any mid- or high-altitude species and
- only one instance of T_b higher than 44 °C (44.4 °C in *Syndactyla ucayalae*, a lowland native).
- 352

353 THERMOREGULATORY COSTS AT NATIVE ALTITUDES

Phylogenetic signal was significant for all cost estimates (P < 0.038). Most costs, with or

355 without sun exposure and torpor, increased with increasing altitude and (expressed as multiples

of BMR) decreased with increasing M_b (Table 2). The fraction of time in thermoneutrality was

not affected by $M_{\rm b}$, but declined as altitude increased (Table 2).

At all altitudes, annual mean costs averaged below 2X BMR (Fig. 4, Table 2). The highest 24 h, 12 h, and 6 h costs averaged below 2.4X BMR at Pantiacolla and were somewhat greater at higher elevations. A few small species at Wayqecha had predicted costs exceeding 4X BMR and one exceeded 5X BMR for the highest 30 min. Pantiacolla birds experienced thermoneutral conditions almost 50% of the time, declining to 15% at Wayqecha.

- 363 Exclusion of sun exposure and torpor had little effect (Table 2). Differences were
- 364 substantial only for time in thermoneutrality, which decreased considerably.
- 365

366 THERMOREGULATORY COSTS IN THE HIGH-ALTITUDE CLIMATE

367 Thermoregulatory costs in the Wayqecha climate showed no phylogenetic signal and no effect

368 of $M_{\rm b}$, but increased for low- and mid-altitude species (Figs. 1, 5; Tables 2, 3). Native altitude

- affected costs, which averaged 11-20% larger for lowland species than for highland natives
- 370 (Table 3). Nevertheless, annual mean costs averaged less than 2.1X BMR across all native

altitudes, and even the highest 30 min costs averaged less than 3X BMR (Fig. 4). No species'

annual mean exceeded 4X BMR; only 5 species exceeded 4X BMR for intervals of 6 or 12 h

373 (one a high-altitude native), and only 3 species, including one high-altitude native, exceeded

- 4X BMR over 24 h. Highest 30-min costs equaled or exceeded 5X BMR for four species (onea high-altitude native).
- 376 Exclusion of sun exposure and torpor had minor effects on costs (Table 3). Differences
- were substantial only for time in thermoneutrality.
- 378

379 **Discussion**

380 The goals of our study are aligned with Janzen's (1967) concept that the striking altitudinal 381 zonation characteristic of tropical mountains occurs because up- or down-slope range 382 expansion is challenging for tropical animals. Janzen assumed that: (1) temperature 383 differences are effective barriers to dispersal; (2) seasonal stability in the tropics minimizes the 384 temperature 'overlap' between altitudes (i.e., temperatures differ across altitudes but have little 385 variation within altitudes); (3) organisms are unlikely to evolve tolerances to temperature they 386 do not experience. Compared to high-latitude species that experience strong seasonality, 387 tropical organisms should have narrow ranges of thermal tolerances and limited capacities for 388 acclimatization. Accordingly, dispersing up-or down-slope would bring them into intolerable 389 temperature regimes. Climates at our sites conform to expectations of seasonal stability within 390 altitudes but differences across altitudes (Fig. 1), and we hypothesized that (1) species native to 391 different altitudes (and hence different $T_{\rm e}$) will show adaptive specialization in thermal 392 physiology, and (2) such specialization, combined with the ~12 °C across-altitude temperature

393 gradient, precludes occupation of non-native altitudes.

394 Our results support the first hypothesis: we found significant differences across 395 altitudes in several important indices of thermal physiology (C, T_b and LCT; Table 1). These 396 'match' the thermal gradient, with highland birds more resistant to heat loss (Fig. 2). The 397 potential energetic benefit is shown in cost calculations for the highland climate (Table 3): the 398 combination of lower $T_{\rm b}$ and lower C in highland species produced savings of 11-20% 399 compared to lowland natives. In the context of a bird's overall energy budget (daily energy 400 expenditure; DEE), benefits are smaller because DEE includes substantial activity costs in 401 addition to expenditures for BMR and thermoregulation (Weathers & Sullivan 1993), but even 402 a 5-10% reduction in DEE could be ecologically important.

403 Those findings make intuitive sense, but there are caveats. First, trait differences 404 between altitudes may not be genetic adaptations, but instead may result from phenotypic 405 plasticity or flexibility. If so, lowland species moving up-slope would acclimatize and attain 406 physiological phenotypes similar to those of highland natives. Resolving that question requires 407 controls (common-garden breeding) beyond the scope of this project. Similarly, we have not 408 excluded seasonal acclimatization, which can induce substantial changes in cold tolerance 409 (e.g., Cooper & Swanson 1994, Piersma, Cadée & Daan 1995, Liknes, Scott & Swanson 2002, 410 McKechnie 2008, McKechnie & Lovegrove 2002). Many of those studies concerned species 411 that experience strong seasonality; in contrast, the Peruvian birds are exposed to little annual $T_{\rm e}$ 412 change (Fig. 1). We found no seasonal physiological variation in 16 species for which we have 413 measurements across 4-6 months (winter to late spring), but because we lack data for almost 414 half the year (January - May), some changes may not have been detected.

415 Second, our study had technical constraints due to difficult access and lack of mains 416 electricity, and the need to minimize stress on birds and release them the morning following capture. Therefore we could not test across the complete range of T_a at our sites. About 15% of 417 418 Wayqecha T_a were below our minimum test temperature of 10 °C, although less than 0.5% 419 were colder than 5 °C (Fig. 1). At San Pedro, less than 0.1% of T_a were lower than 10 °C; no T_a 420 at Pantiacolla were that cold. BMR testing (at 30-35 °C) included nearly all of the high T_a we observed: at Pantiacolla, 0.5% of T_a exceeded 30 °C (none exceeded 33 °C); no T_a exceeded 421 422 30 °C at San Pedro or Wayqecha.

423 Also, we could not measure summit metabolism (MR_{sum}), which generally requires 424 near- or sub-zero temperatures and a helium-oxygen atmosphere (Cooper & Swanson 1994;

425 Liknes, Scott & Swanson 2002). This was not feasible at our sites, but it seems questionable if 426 that level of thermogenesis is relevant to the majority of our species. Estimated cold tolerances 427 $(T_{e}min)$, based on C, T_{b} , and the MR_{sum} of 4.5 X BMR for Panamanian forest birds (Wiersma, Chappell & Williams 2007), are generally far colder than nighttime T_a, even at Wayqecha (Fig. 428 3). Paradoxically, there was a small overlap between daytime T_{e} min and shade T_{a} (Fig. 1), due 429 430 to higher $T_{\rm b}$ and C during the daytime active phase. Such overlap occurred rarely in a few 431 species in the Wayqecha climate, and for many of these, higher $T_{\rm e}$ were achievable 432 behaviorally via sun exposure (Fig. 1).

433 Finally, high-altitude hypoxia could limit the power output of lowland birds, rendering 434 them unable to generate sufficient heat to withstand $T_{\rm e}$ they tolerate at their native altitude. 435 Ambient oxygen partial pressure (pO₂) in dry air was about 38% higher at Pantiacolla than at 436 Wayqecha (152 versus 109 torr); the difference was 43% for moisture-saturated gas at lung 437 temperatures of ~ 38 °C (140 torr at Pantiacolla; 98 torr at Wayqecha). However, we found no difference in 10 °C \dot{VO}_2 in Pantiacolla species tested at both pO₂ (Fig. S2). Since most 438 Wayqecha T_e are warmer than 10 °C and nearly all are warmer than 5 °C (Fig. 1), that suggests 439 440 the highland pO₂ would not be a barrier to up-slope movement of lowland species.

441 To summarize, we found altitude variation in all measured thermophysiological traits 442 except BMR. While the differences are not large and their causality (genetic adaptation versus 443 phenotypic plasticity) is unknown, they combine to provide highland species substantial 444 savings in costs of regulatory thermogenesis. One unexpected finding was absence of an effect 445 of mass on LCT. Other factors being equal, the surface to volume ratio decreases and, 446 presumably, plumage thickness increases at larger M_b (Calder & King 1974). Both factors 447 reduce the potential for heat loss per unit mass, so we expected an inverse relationship between 448 $M_{\rm b}$ and LCT. However, LCT depends on $T_{\rm b}$ and BMR as well as C (equation 2). Body temperature varies only slightly with M_b (Fig. 2D), while in our dataset BMR scales to $M_b^{0.551}$ 449 and C scales to $M_{\rm b}^{0.515}$. Therefore, LCT should scale approximately to $M_{\rm b}^{0.036}$. Given the 450 variance in LCT (Fig. 2B), that did not statistically differ from scaling to M_b^0 (no effect of 451 mass). Presumably, LCT would decline at larger body sizes than the ~ 300 g maximum M_b in 452 453 our data (Calder & King 1974). 454 We found little support for our second hypothesis: that thermal physiology is so

454 we found fittle support for our second hypothesis. that thermal physiology is so 455 specialized that birds cannot disperse to non-native altitudes. As expected, thermoregulatory 456 costs increase with increasing altitude (Table 2), but even at Waygecha, these costs are 457 generally below levels expected to be restrictive. In birds from all latitudes, short-term limits to 458 metabolic heat production are usually 4-7 X BMR (McKechnie & Swanson 2010). Among the 459 Peruvian species, very few approached that limit even for brief periods (the largest estimated 460 cost, about 5X BMR for the highest 30 min, was for a small highland hummingbird). Similarly, 461 estimated minimum tolerable temperatures, with rare exceptions, were much colder than 462 measured $T_{\rm e}$ (Fig. 3). We conclude that limits to acute cold tolerance are unlikely to preclude up-slope movement for all but a few lowland natives, and even for these, intolerably low 463 464 temperatures occur infrequently.

465 Long-term constraints on metabolic power output (over days or weeks) may be more 466 important than acute cold tolerance in determining altitude occupancy, but are poorly 467 understood. Based largely on measurements during breeding, long-term maxima are often 468 assumed to be ~4X BMR (e.g., Drent & Daan 1980; Peterson, Nagy & Diamond 1990). 469 Because thermoregulatory costs cannot comprise the entire energy budget, we assumed they 470 can be at most 2.5 X BMR, similar to cost estimates for wintering temperate-zone birds 471 (Canterbury 2002). Some insight into the possibility that long-term costs preclude occupation 472 of non-native altitudes comes from modeling lowland birds in the Wayqecha climate (Table 3, 473 Fig. 4). Lowland species have less resistance to heat loss than highland birds, so it is 474 unsurprising that their costs were greater than those of highland natives (Table 3), and that 475 costs for lowland species increased considerably in the highland climate (e.g., 60% greater 476 annual means than at their native altitude). Nevertheless, maximal costs for lowland species 477 exceeded 2.5 X BMR only for short intervals (12 h or less), and annual mean costs averaged 478 only slightly above 2X BMR – again, inconsistent with the hypothesis that lowland species 479 cannot tolerate Wayqecha temperatures. Moreover, if high regulatory costs inhibit upward 480 migration, then lowland species should be disproportionately represented among species with 481 the highest long-term costs in the Wayqecha climate. This was not the case. In species for 482 which costs were 2.5X BMR or greater, there was no effect of native altitude on mean annual 483 costs (N = 23; P = 0.86), maximal 24 h costs (N = 54; P = 0.40), maximal 12 h costs (N = 77; P= 0.61), or maximal 6 hr costs (N = 86, P = 0.49). 484

485 It is worth noting that our proposed limit of 2.5 X BMR is subject to several potentially 486 important ameliorating factors. First, activity is a substantial portion of a typical bird's energy 487 budget (Weathers & Sullivan 1993), and exercise-generated heat can be substituted for 488 thermogenesis (Webster & Weathers 1990), lowering overall costs. Second, heat released 489 during digestion is also substitutive for thermogenesis, at least for high-protein foodstuffs (Chappell, Bachman & Hammond 1997; Bech & Praesteng 2004). Third, birds may save 490 491 energy behaviorally during the day by sun-basking or selecting warm microhabitats and at 492 night by using sheltered roosts (Buttemer 1985; Buttemer et al. 1987). Fourth, in species that 493 undergo torpor, the minimum observed $T_{\rm b}$, which we used in calculations, may not be the 494 lowest defended $T_{\rm b}$ in natural conditions, since we did not subject birds to torpor-inducing 495 temperatures for more than a few hours. Our heat budgets did not account for most of these 496 factors, so our cost estimates are probably worst-case scenarios.

497 We emphasize that while strong physiological constraints on upward dispersal are 498 unlikely, an ability to tolerate highland thermal conditions is only part of the challenge lowland 499 natives face if they move up-slope. Higher heat-production costs would probably trade off 500 against investment in critical life history traits – for example, reducing the energy available for 501 maintenance or reproduction, or requiring increased foraging effort. That is a much more 502 complex issue than thermal biology per se, and a robust understanding of these interactions 503 requires extensive knowledge of numerous factors, such as food availability and distribution, foraging efficiency, predation intensity, and reproductive physiology and behavior. 504

505 Three additional points merit discussion. First, are lowland temperatures a barrier to 506 down-slope movement? We did not measure heat tolerance but our data speak to this 507 possibility. Exposure to 30-35 °C for several hours during BMR tests did not elicit indications 508 of heat stress in mid- or high-altitude species. After BMR testing, $T_{\rm b}$ averaged 0.9 °C cooler in highland natives than in lowland natives (suggesting these T_a were not more stressful to 509 highland birds) (Fig. 2D). Test temperatures encompassed essentially all T_a at the lowland site 510 511 (Fig 1). While hotter T_e occur in direct sunlight (Fig. 1), shaded refugia are readily available in 512 these forested habitats. Because we perfused metabolism chambers with dry air, evaporative 513 cooling may have been facilitated compared to natural conditions. Chamber humidity during 514 BMR tests averaged 36-50 % (from ventilatory and transcutaneous water loss and evaporation from feces), less than maximal humidity at Pantiacolla. However, daytime T_b is generally 40 515 °C or higher (Aschoff 1982) and because no Pantiacolla T_a exceeded 33 C, there was always a 516 gradient for heat loss of $\sim 7 \,^{\circ}$ C (> 10 $^{\circ}$ C more than 99% of the time) and a substantial vapor 517

518 pressure deficit between T_b and T_a (~ 2.3 kilopascals even at 100% RH at 33 °C). Therefore, 519 even assuming that across-altitude physiological differences are genetically fixed, we found no 520 indication that warm lowland temperatures prevent down-slope dispersal. Moreover, we 521 measured birds at night, when C is minimal (Aschoff 1981). During the day, when T_a are 522 highest, C and T_b are elevated, so birds lose heat more readily and are better able to tolerate hot 523 conditions (if T_e remains below T_b).

524 Second, our findings apply to adults, but thermal factors may have stronger effects on 525 other life stages. Altitude limits could arise from tolerances of eggs or nestlings, or indirectly 526 through impacts on the energy budgets of parents and offspring. For example, a cool climate 527 may reduce incubation or body temperatures and hence developmental and growth rates of 528 eggs and young ectothermic nestlings, or require more parental time spent incubating or 529 brooding. Later in development, energy tradeoffs from a thermally demanding climate may 530 slow the growth of endothermic nestlings or make it difficult for parents to find sufficient food 531 for both themselves and their offspring.

Third, our three-year climate sample showed high seasonal stability, but does not exclude the possibility that rare weather events with extreme temperatures may impose stronger selection than the routine thermal milieu. We cannot address this possibility with our data, as the magnitude and duration of such events are difficult to predict, and it is unclear if species from different altitudes diverge in their abilities to withstand them.

537 To our knowledge no previous analysis of altitudinal distributions of tropical birds has 538 integrated physiological data from a large number of species with extensive microclimate 539 records. We found across-altitude differences in several key thermophysiological traits in 540 Andean birds, consistent with thermal specialization as predicted by Janzen (1967). However, 541 with few exceptions among 200+ tested species, we did not find convincing evidence that 542 limits to thermal tolerance –acute or long-term – are by themselves sufficient to preclude 543 colonization of non-native altitudes. That said, we believe that thermoregulatory costs, even if 544 not directly limiting, have important interactions with crucial ecological and life history 545 parameters that together determine altitude ranges.

- 546
- 547

548 Acknowledgments

549	More than 120 field and laboratory assistants were essential in obtaining the data used in this
550	paper. In particular, we thank Andres Chinome, Laura Gómez, Santiago David-Rivera, Jenny
551	Muñoz, Camilo Flórez, David Ocampo, Diego Rincon, Jaime Garizabal, Brandon
552	McLaughlin, Callum Kingwell, Tim Forrester, Rachel Grey, Katherine Fielding, Kristie
553	Hazelwood, Valerie Dean, Jessica Thompson, Nik Aspey, Catherine Klein, Wendy Vidal,
554	Juliana Soto, Gliselle Marin, Mario Loaiza, Stephanie Levins, Colin Fagan, Miranda Ciotti,
555	Justin Demianew, Nancy Chen, Justin Baldwin, Juliana Ceron, Dano Grayson, Thomas
556	Martin, Juan Diego Castaño, Pauline Pearse, Torin Heavyside, Meghan Conway, Simon
557	Nockold and Naman Goyal. Liam Revell and Juan Pablo Gomez helped with phylogenetic
558	analyses. The assistance of Marianne van Vlaardingen (Pantiacolla Lodge), Daniel Blanco
559	(Cock-of-the-Rock Lodge), and the Wayqecha Cloud Forest Biological Station was
560	invaluable. We thank SERNAP for permission to work in the Manu Park buffer zone.
561	Funding was provided by National Science Foundation Grant DEB-1120682, the University
562	of California, Riverside Academic Senate, and the Florida Museum of Natural History.
563	
564	Data accessibility
565	Data for this paper are deposited with the Dryad Digital Repository: (Londoño et al. 2014).
566	
567	References
568	A schoff I (1001) Themsel and hertenes in menurale and hinder Ite demondence on hertenise
569	Aschoff, J. (1981) Thermal conductance in mammals and birds: its dependence on body size
570	and circadian phase. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A, 69, 611–619.
5/1	Ascholl, J. (1982) The circadian mythm of body temperature as a function of body size. pp.
572	189-197 In A Companion to Animal Physiology, Taylor, C. R., Jonansen, K. and Bolls, L.,
5/3	eds. Cambridge University Press, New York.
574	Atkinson, C. I. & Samuel, M.D. (2010) Avian malaria <i>Plasmoatum relictum</i> in native
5/5	Hawaiian forest birds, epizootiology and demographic impacts on 'apapane <i>Himatione</i>
576	sanguinea. Journal of Avian Biology, 41 , 357–366.
577	Bakken, G.S. (1976) A heat transfer analysis of animals: unifying concepts and the
578	application of metabolism chamber data to field ecology. Journal of Theoretical Biology,
579	60 , 337–384.

- Bech, C., Abe, A.S., Steffensen, J.F., Berger, M. & Bicudo, J.E.P.W. (1997) Torpor in three
 species of Brazilian hummingbirds under semi-natural conditions. *Condor*, 99, 780–788.
- Bech, C. & Praesteng, K.E. (2004) Thermoregulatory use of heat increment of feeding in the
 tawny owl *(Strix aluco)*. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 29, 649–654.
- Blomberg, S.P., Garland, Jr., T. & Ives, A.R. (2003) Testing for phylogenetic signal in
 comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution*, 57, 717–745.
- 586 Boyle, W.A. (2008) Can variation in risk of nest predation explain altitudinal migration in
- 587 tropical birds? *Oecologia*, **155**, 397–403.
- Buttemer W.A. (1985) Energy relations of winter roost-site utilization by American
 goldfinches (*Carduelis tristis*). *Oecologia*, 68, 126–132.
- 590 Buttemer W.A., Astheimer, L.B., Weathers, W.W. & Hayworth, A.M. (1987) Energy savings
- attending winter-nest use by verdins (*Auriparus flaviceps*). *Auk*, **104**, 531–535.
- 592 Cadena, C.D., Kozak, K.H., Gomez, J.P., Parra, J.L., McCain, C.M., Bowie, R.C. et al. (2012)
- 593 Latitude, elevation climatic zonation and speciation in New World vertebrates.
- 594 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B*, **279**, 194–201.
- 595 Calder, W.A. & King, J.R. (1974) Thermal and caloric relations of birds. *Avian Biology* (eds
 596 D.S. Farner & J.R. King), pp. 260–425. Academic Press, New York.
- 597 Canterbury, G. (2002) Metabolic adaptation and climatic constraints on winter bird
- 598 distribution. *Ecology*, **83**, 946-957.
- 599 Chappell, M.A., Morgan, K.R., & Bucher, T.L. (1990) Weather, microclimate, and energy
- 600 costs of thermoregulation for breeding Adélie Penguins. *Oecologia*, **83**, 420–426.
- 601 Chappell, M.A., Bachman, G.C., & Hammond, K.A. (1997) The heat increment of feeding in
- house wren chicks: magnitude, duration, and substitution for thermostatic costs. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, **167**, 313–318.
- 604 Cooper, S.J. & Swanson, D.L. (1994) Seasonal acclimatization of thermoregulation in the
 605 black-capped chickadee. *Condor*, 96, 638–646.
- Drent, R.H. & Daan, S. (1980) The prudent parent: energetic adjustments in avian breeding. *Ardea*, 68, 225–252.
- Felsenstein, J. (1985) Phylogenies and the comparative method. *American Naturalist*, 125, 1–
 15.

- Garland, Jr., T., Harvey, P.H. & Ives, A.R. (1992) Procedures for the analysis of comparative
- 611 data using phylogenetically independent contrasts. *Systematic Biology*, **41**, 18–32.
- 612 Ghalambor, C.K., Huey, R.B., Martin, P.R., Tewksbury, J.J. & Wang, G. (2006) Are
- 613 mountain passes higher in the tropics? Janzen's hypothesis revisited. *Integrative and*
- 614 *Comparative Biology*, **46**, 5–17.
- Huey, R.B., Deutsch, C.A., Tewksbury, J.T., Vitt, L.J., Hertz, P.E., Alvarez Pérez, H.J. et al.
- 616 (2009) Why tropical forest lizards are vulnerable to climate warming. *Proceedings of the*
- 617 *Royal Society of London Series B*, **276**, 1939–1948.
- 618 Jankowski, J.E., Ciecka, A.L., Meyer, N.Y. & Rabenold, K.N. (2009) Beta diversity along
- 619 environmental gradients: implications of habitat specialization in tropical montane

620 landscapes. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **78**, 315–327.

- Jankowski, J.E., Robinson, S.K. & Levey, D.J. (2010) Squeezed at the top: interspecific
- aggression may constrain elevational ranges in tropical birds. *Ecology*, **91**, 1877–1884.
- 623 Jankowski, J.E., Merkord, C.L., Rios, W.F., Cabrera, K.G., Revilla, N.S. & Silman, M.R.
- (2013) The relationship of tropical bird communities to tree species composition and
 vegetation structure along an Andean elevational gradient. *Journal of Biogeography*, 40,
 950–962.
- Janzen, D.H. (1967) Why mountain passes are higher in the tropics. *American Naturalist*, 101,
 233–249.
- Jetz, W., Thomas, G.H., Joy, J.B., Hartmann, K. & Mooers, A.O. (2012) The global diversity
 of birds in space and time. *Nature*, 491, 444–448.
- Karasov, W.H., Phan, D., Diamond, J.M. & Carpenter, F.L. (1986) Food passage and
 intestinal nutrient absorption in hummingbirds. *Auk*, 103, 453–464.
- 633 Liknes, E.T., Scott, S.M. & Swanson, D.L. (2002) Seasonal acclimatization in the American
- goldfinch revisited: to what extent do metabolic rates vary seasonally? *Condor*, 104, 548–
 557.
- 636 Londoño, G.A., Chappell, M.A., Castañada, M.d.R., Jankowski, J.E. & Robinson, S.K. (2014)
- Basal metabolism in tropical birds: latitude, altitude, and the 'pace of life.' *Functional*
- 638 *Ecology*, **29**, 338–346.

- 639 McKechnie, A.E. (2008) Phenotypic flexibility in basal metabolic rate and the changing view
- of avian physiological diversity, a review. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, 178,
 235–247.
- 642 McKechnie, A.E. & Lovegrove, B.G. (2002) Avian facultative hypothermic responses: a
- 643 review. *Condor*, **104**, 705–724.
- McKechnie, A.E. & Swanson, D.L. (2010) Sources and significance of variation in basal,
 summit and maximal metabolic rates in birds. *Current Zoology*, 56, 1–31.
- Melo, A.S., Rangel, T.F.L.V.B & Diniz-Filho, J.A.F. (2009) Environmental drivers of betadiversity patterns in New-World birds and mammals. *Ecography*, **32**, 226–236.
- Pagel, M. (1999) Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature*, 401, 877–
 884.
- Peterson, C.C., Nagy, K.A. & Diamond, J. (1990) Sustained metabolic scope. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 87, 2324–2328.
- Piersma, T., Cadée, N. & Daan, S. (1995) Seasonality in basal metabolic rate and thermal
 conductance in a long distance migrant shorebird, the knot (*Calidris canutus*). *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, 165, 37–45.
- Prinzinger, R., Pressmar, A. & Schleucher, E. (1991) Body temperature in birds. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, 99, 499–506.
- 657 R Core Team. (2013) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
- 658 *Foundation for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org
- 659 Rahbek, C. & Graves, G.R. (2001) Multiscale assessment of patterns of avian species
- richness. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
 America, 98, 4534–4539.
- 662 Rapp, J.M. & Silman, M.R. (2012) Diurnal, seasonal, and altitudinal trends in microclimate
- across a tropical montane cloud forest. *Climate Research*, **55**, 17–32.
- 664 SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA. (CHECK)
- 665 Schleucher, E. & Withers, P.C. (2001) Re-evaluation of the allometry of wet thermal
- 666 conductance for birds. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, **129**, 821–827.
- 667 Scholander, P.F., Hock, R., Walters, V., Johnson, F. & Irving, L. (1950) Heat regulation in
- some arctic and tropical mammals and birds. *Biological Bulletin*, **99**, 237–258.

- 669 Swanson, D.L. (1991) Seasonal adjustments in metabolism and insulation in the dark-eyed
 670 junco. *Condor*, 93, 538–545.
- 671 Terborgh, J. (1971) Distributions on environmental gradients: theory and a preliminary
- 672 interpretation of distributional patterns in the avifauna of the Cordillera Vilcabamba, Peru.
- 673 *Ecology*, **52**, 23–40.
- 674 Terborgh, J. & Weske, J.S. (1975) The role of competition in the distribution of Andean birds.
 675 *Ecology*, 56, 562–576.
- van Riper III, C., van Riper, S.G., Goff, M.L. & Laird, M. (1986) The epizootiology and
 ecological significance of malaria in Hawaiian land birds. *Ecological Monographs*, 56,
 327–344.
- Walsberg, G.E. & Weathers W.W. (1986) A simple technique for estimating operative
 environmental temperature. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 11, 67–72.
- Weathers, W.W. & Sullivan, K.A. (1993) Seasonal patterns of time and energy allocation by
 birds. *Physiological Zoology*, 66, 511–536.
- Webster, M.D. & Weathers, W.W. (1990) Heat produced as a by-product of foraging activity
 contributes to thermoregulation by verdins, *Auriparus flaviceps. Physiological Zoology*,
 63, 777–794.
- 686

Table 1. Phylogenetic signal and effects of body mass and native altitude on physiological

variables. Body mass and conductance were log_{10} -transformed prior to analysis; a further

690 Box-Cox transform was needed to normalize body mass. Analyses were performed with

691 phylogenetic least squares methods (PGLS), with phylogenetic signal and its mass residuals

- tested with Pagel's λ . Five evolutionary models were evaluated and the best fit was
- 693 determined using AIC (see text).

	Body mass	Native altitude	Phylogenetic signal	Phylogenetic signal (resids)	PGLS best fit model
BMR	<i>F</i> _{1,211} = 626	$F_{2,210} = 0.09$	$\lambda = 0.953$	$\lambda = 0.304$	Pagel
	<i>P</i> < 0.0001	<i>P</i> = 0.91	<i>P</i> < 0.0001	<i>P</i> = 0.0004	
С	$F_{1,211} = 957$	$F_{2,210} = 21.5$	λ = 0.956	$\lambda \sim 0$	Ornstein-
	<i>P</i> < 0.0001	<i>P</i> < 0.0001	<i>P</i> < 0.0001	<i>P</i> ~ 1	Uhlenbeck $(\alpha = 5)$
<i>T</i> _b 10	$F_{1,202} = 11.4$	$F_{2,201} = 6.03$	$\lambda = 0.301$	$\lambda = 0.156$	Grafen
	<i>P</i> = 0.0009	<i>P</i> = 0.0029	<i>P</i> = 0.0014	<i>P</i> = 0.062	
<i>T</i> _b BMR	$F_{1,211} = 23.9$	$F_{2,210} = 11.7$	λ = 0.219	$\lambda = 0.068$	Pagel
	<i>P</i> < 0.0001	<i>P</i> < 0.0001	<i>P</i> = 0.0012	<i>P</i> = 0.21	
LCT	$F_{1,211} = 0.075$	$F_{2,210} = 10.1$	$\lambda = 0.31$	$\lambda = 0.31$	Pagel
	P = 0.78	<i>P</i> = 0.0001	<i>P</i> = 0.0027	<i>P</i> = 0.0026	

698 **Table 2.** Estimated thermoregulatory costs and percentage of time in thermoneutral conditions for

- Andean bird species from three altitudes (400, 1500, and 3000 meters) exposed to weather
- conditions at their native altitudes in 2011-2014. In each cell the upper value incorporates thermal
- ron effects of sun exposure and energy savings from use of torpor (in species that exhibited nocturnal
- hypothermia) and the lower value excludes sun exposure and torpor. Costs are expressed as
- factorial increases above basal metabolic rate and are shown as least-square mean \pm SE, obtained
- from ANCOVA with log mass as covariate. Phylogenetic signal was present in all
- thermoregulatory cost variables (P < 0.039) and P values for across-altitude cost comparisons are
- from PGLS. The percentage of time thermoneutral is shown as unadjusted means \pm SE;
- 707 comparisons among different altitudes were performed with nonparametric methods (Tukey-
- 708 Kramer HSD); there was no effect of mass (P > 0.4).
- 709
- 710

	Pantiacolla $(400 \text{ m}; N = 90)$	San Pedro (1500 m; <i>N</i> = 64)	Wayqecha (3000 m; <i>N</i> = 68)	P (body mass)	P (native altitude)
Annual mean	1.29 ± 0.037	1.47 ± 0.045	1.86 ± 0.042	0.042	< 0.0001
cost	1.31 ± 0.044	1.55 ± 0.052	2.01 ± 0.049	0.0452	0.0049
Highest 24 h	1.97 ± 0.057	2.07 ± 0.069	2.26 ± 0.064	0.0748	< 0.0001
cost	2.15 ± 0.061	2.19 ± 0.073	2.36 ± 0.068	0.0324	0.0040
Highest 12 h	2.19 ± 0.064	2.32 ± 0.077	2.44 ± 0.072	0.0684	< 0.0001
cost	2.41 ± 0.067	2.41 ± 0.080	2.55 ± 0.075	0.0285	0.0472
Highest 6 h	2.27 ± 0.066	2.38 ± 0.066	2.54 ± 0.073	0.0521	0.0001
cost	2.44 ± 0.068	2.47 ± 0.082	2.64 ± 0.076	0.0048	0.126
Highest 30	2.34 ± 0.072	2.47 ± 0.086	2.97 ± 0.080	0.0734	< 0.0001
min cost	2.50 ± 0.072	2.57 ± 0.087	2.91 ± 0.081	0.0419	< 0.0001
% of time	47.6 ± 3.2	25.3 ± 2.4	15.4 ± 1.0		< 0.0001
thermoneutral	43.5 ± 3.4	13.7 ± 2.7	1.7 ± 0.5		< 0.0001

711

Table 3. Estimated thermoregulatory costs and percentage of time in thermoneutral conditions for

Andean bird species from three native altitudes (400, 1500, and 3000 meters) exposed to weather

conditions at Wayqecha, Peru (3000 m) in 2011-2014. In each cell the upper value incorporates

effects of sun exposure and energy savings from use of torpor (in species that exhibited nocturnal

717 hypothermia) and the lower value excludes sun exposure and torpor. Costs are expressed as

factorial increases above basal metabolic rate and are shown as least-square mean \pm SE, obtained

from ANCOVA with log mass as covariate. Significant phylogenetic signal was absent. The

- percentage of time thermoneutral is shown as unadjusted means \pm SE; comparisons among
- 721 different altitudes were performed with nonparametric methods (Tukey-Kramer HSD); there was
- 722 no effect of mass (P > 0.4).
- 723
- 724

	Pantiacolla $(400 \text{ m}; N = 90)$	San Pedro (1500 m; <i>N</i> = 64)	Wayqecha (3000 m; <i>N</i> = 68)	P (body mass)	P (native altitude)
Annual mean cost	2.07 ± 0.051	1.73 ± 0.062	1.72 ± 0.059	0.418	< 0.0001
	2.11 ± 0.054	1.86 ± 0.064	1.88 ± 0.063	0.192	0.0049
Highest 24 h	2.40 ± 0.060	2.09 ± 0.072	2.11 ± 0.069	0.463	0.0015
cost	2.40 ± 0.062	2.12 ± 0.073	2.15 ± 0.071	0.145	0.0068
Highest 12 h	2.63 ± 0.067	2.28 ± 0.081	2.30 ± 0.078	0.204	0.0009
cost	2.66 ± 0.068	2.35 ± 0.082	2.38 ± 0.080	0.161	0.0060
Highest 6 h	2.67 ± 0.068	2.34 ± 0.083	2.37 ± 0.079	0.171	0.0037
cost	2.67 ± 0.069	2.35 ± 0.083	2.38 ± 0.079	0.161	0.0060
Highest 30	2.91 ± 0.075	2.57 ± 0.090	2.61 ± 0.087	0.138	0.0137
min cost	2.98 ± 0.078	2.69 ± 0.095	2.75 ± 0.090	0.414	0.0145
% of time	4.1 ± 0.7	7.9 ± 0.8	15.4 ± 1.0		< 0.0001
thermoneutral	0.4 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 0.5		0.0187

725

726

728 Figure Legends

Figure 1: Temperatures at our three field sites in southeastern Peru. Air temperature is shade

temperature; sphere temperature is the temperature of bird models (gray-painted aluminum

spheres) placed in open areas to receive sunlight and wind. The frequency of particular

temperatures is indicated by shading (pale = few, dark = many). Solid lines are smoothed

daily means (annual plots) or 30-min means (24-hour plots). Data were obtained in 2011-

734 2014. Mean air temperatures differ by about 12 °C between Pantiacolla and Wayqecha

735 (Table S1).



Figure 2: Thermal physiology of Andean birds from three fields sites at different altitudes (Pantiacolla, 400 m; San Pedro, 1500 m; Wayqecha, 3000 m). A: thermal conductance; B: lower critical temperature; C: body temperature at an ambient temperature of 10 °C; D: body temperature at BMR (ambient temperature 30 - 34 °C). All traits differ among altitudes; all but lower critical temperature are affected by body mass (Table 1). Basal metabolic rates (BMR, not shown) are strongly positively correlated with body mass but are not affected by altitude.



750 Figure 3: Relationship between the estimated minimum tolerable environmental 751 temperature (T_emin; gray shading) and shade temperature distributions (right) for 215 752 Andean bird species at three field sites, Wayqecha (W, 3000 m), San Pedro (SP, 1500 753 m), and Pantiacolla (P, 400 m). We computed T_emin from body temperature, 754 conductance, and a maximal thermogenic heat production of 4.5 X BMR (Wiersma, 755 Chappell & Williams 2007). T_emin was affected by native altitude (see text) but data 756 from different altitudes are pooled in this figure. Temin differed between night and day 757 because both body temperature and conductance are higher during the active phase 758 (day) of the diurnal cycle (Prinzinger, Pressmar & Schleucher 1991; Schleucher & Withers 759 2001).





Figure 4: Estimated thermoregulatory costs of bird species from low-, mid-, and high-elevation sites (*N* = 88, 61, and 66 species, respectively). Costs (x-axis) are expressed as factorial increases above basal metabolic rate (BMR). Black bars show the number of species for each metabolic cost at native altitudes; open bars show the number of species for each cost at the high-altitude site. The three columns show the mean thermoregulatory cost (left), the highest cost averaged over 12 h (middle) and the highest cost averaged over 30 min (right). Costs were computed with a heat-budget model (Fig. S1) and the weather data in Fig. 1.

