# UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

## Title

Changes over Time in COVID-19 Vaccination Inequalities in Eight Large U.S. Cities

# Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4vr7b4h2

# Authors

Gaddis, S Michael Carey, Colleen M DiRago, Nicholas V

# **Publication Date**

2023

# DOI

10.1177/23780231231161045

Peer reviewed



# Changes over Time in COVID-19 Vaccination Inequalities in Eight Large U.S. Cities



Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World Volume 9: 1–4 © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/23780231231161045 srd.sagepub.com

S. Michael Gaddis<sup>1,2</sup>, Colleen M. Carey<sup>3</sup>, and Nicholas V. DiRago<sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

The authors estimate the associations between community socioeconomic composition and changes in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccination levels in eight large cities at three time points. In March, communities with high socioeconomic status (SES) had significantly higher vaccination rates than low-SES communities. Between March and April, low-SES communities had significantly lower changes in percentage vaccinated than high-SES communities. Between April and May, this difference was not significant. Thus, the large vaccination gap between communities during restricted vaccine eligibility did not narrow when eligibility opened up. The link between COVID-19 vaccination and community disadvantage may lead to a bifurcated recovery whereby advantaged communities move on from the pandemic more quickly while disadvantaged communities continue to suffer.

## **Keywords**

COVID-19, vaccine, community, inequality, disparities, socioeconomic status

In early 2021, state and local authorities in the United States vaccinated millions of individuals weekly against coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). By late April, more than 80 million people, about one quarter of the U.S. population, were fully vaccinated, reducing their risk for symptomatic and asymptomatic infection, transmission, hospitalization, and death. In many urban areas, vaccine doses were scarce through April. Vaccine eligibility progressed in stages, starting with health care workers and proceeding, per state and local policy, to individuals of advanced age, in certain occupations, or with particular comorbidities. By May, vaccine supply approached demand in more places, and 44 states and the District of Columbia had expanded vaccine eligibility to everyone 16 years and older (Howard 2021).

We may expect that the response and recovery period of the COVID-19 pandemic has differentially affected individuals and communities on the basis of existing socioeconomic status (SES) disadvantage. As others have noted, populations facing disadvantage prior to a major public health crisis fare worst both during and after the crisis (DeBruin, Liaschenko, and Marshall 2012). To date, evidence from the COVID-19 pandemic suggests a similar story. First, neighborhoods and communities with higher levels of socioeconomic disadvantage were hardest hit during the earliest stages of the pandemic. Incidences of infection and mortality have been higher where low-SES individuals and people of color constitute more of the population (Wrigley-Field et al. 2021). Second, researchers have documented community inequalities in COVID-19 vaccinations by neighborhood disadvantage during early restricted vaccination eligibility periods (DiRago et al. 2022).

It is unclear, however, how much the recovery of disadvantaged neighborhoods has lagged after restricted vaccination eligibility periods. In this research, we examine whether existing gaps in vaccination rates between advantaged and disadvantaged neighborhoods closed as vaccine eligibility expanded. We examine this issue using vaccination data from eight cities over three time points between March 21 and May 3, 2021, capturing the onset of widespread eligibility. Our findings contribute to a rapidly growing body of literature examining inequities both due to the pandemic and as a result of the response and recovery phases.

<sup>1</sup>NWEA, Portland, OR, USA <sup>2</sup>University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA <sup>3</sup>Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

#### **Corresponding Author:**

S. Michael Gaddis, NWEA Research, 121 NW Everett St., Portland, OR 97209, USA. Email: michael.gaddis@nwea.org

Creative Commons CC BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).



**Figure 1.** Estimated coronavirus disease 2019 vaccination and change in vaccination by ZIP code population SES composition: (A) adjusted predictions for ZIP codes with a given socioeconomic composition and (B) changes over time in adjusted predictions for ZIP codes with a given socioeconomic composition.

Note: Color-coded points show the estimated percentage, thin lines show the 95 percent confidence interval (Cl), and thick lines show the 83.4 percent confidence interval. Alongside traditional 95 percent Cls, we show 83.4 percent Cls because these visually show significant differences at the p < .05 level with no overlap (Cumming 2009). We estimated three population-weighted linear regressions with each time point's vaccine rate as the dependent variable and all American Community Survey variables listed in the supplemental material as covariates. We then used the margins command in Stata/MP to estimate adjusted predictions at the means and adjusted predictions for four quartiles of socioeconomic status (SES) (Q1–Q4). We defined SES levels by setting all four SES variables to the same within-city quartiles within each scenario. We set other independent variables to within-city averages in each scenario.

In Figure 1A, we present adjusted predictions for percentage vaccinated in each period. In March, low-SES communities (23.01 percent; 95 percent confidence interval [CI] = 20.25 percent to 25.76 percent) had significantly lower percentage vaccinated than high-SES communities (34.73 percent; 95 percent CI = 32.19 percent to 37.27 percent). In April, low-SES communities (35.79 percent; 95 percent CI = 31.55 percent to 40.00 percent) had significantly lower percentage vaccinated than high-SES communities (51.65 percent; 95 percent CI = 48.44 percent to 54.85 percent). In May, low-SES communities (45.65 percent; 95 percent CI = 40.49 percent to 50.81 percent) had significantly lower percentage vaccinated than high-SES communities (60.46 percent; 95 percent CI = 57.82 percent to 63.10 percent).

In Figure 1B, we present adjusted predictions for the change in percentage vaccinated over time. Between March and April, low-SES communities (12.78 percent; 95 percent CI = 11.19 percent to 14.38 percent) had significantly lower change in percentage vaccinated than high-SES communities (16.92 percent; 95 percent CI = 15.38 percent to 18.45 percent). Between April and May, the difference between change in percentage vaccinated in low-SES communities (9.86 percent; 95 percent CI = 8.77 percent to 10.96 percent) and high-SES communities (8.82 percent; 95 percent CI = 7.39 percent to 10.25 percent) was not significant.

The percentage vaccinated in low-SES communities lagged that in high-SES communities in March, April, and May. Additionally, the large gap in percentage vaccinated between communities during the restricted vaccine eligibility period did not narrow when eligibility opened up in late April and early May. During the six weeks captured in our data, 64.5 million people received their first doses of vaccine, equal to 31.2 percent of all vaccinated individuals as of September 1, 2021. Thus, despite the rapid and widespread reach of vaccinations during this period, large inequalities persisted.

Our work suggests that a process of cumulative disadvantage at the community, and likely individual, level is unfolding because of the COVID-19 pandemic. The same communities that suffered the highest burdens of infection and mortality from COVID-19 before vaccines were available had lower levels of community vaccination during restricted vaccine eligibility and did not immediately close those gaps as eligibility opened up (Clouston, Natale, and Link 2021; Ransome et al. 2021). The link between COVID-19 vaccination and community disadvantage is concerning. Importantly, this continuing inequality may lead to a bifurcated recovery whereby advantaged communities move on from the pandemic more quickly while disadvantaged communities continue to suffer.

### Acknowledgments

Thanks to Meiying Li, Thalia Tom, Will Schupmann, and Yvonne Carrillo for research assistance.

### Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This project was supported in part by the California Center for Population Research at the University of California, Los Angeles, with training support (grant T32HD007545) and core support (grant P2CHD041022) from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development or the National Institutes of Health.

## **ORCID** iDs

S. Michael Gaddis (D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3467-878X Nicholas V. DiRago (D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5295-9251

### Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

#### References

- Clouston, Sean A. P., Ginny Natale, and Bruce G. Link. 2021. "Socioeconomic Inequalities in the Spread of Coronavirus-19 in the United States: A Examination of the Emergence of Social Inequalities." *Social Science & Medicine* 268:113554.
- Cumming, Geoff. 2009. "Inference by Eye: Reading the Overlap of Independent Confidence Intervals." *Statistics in Medicine* 28(2):205–20.
- DeBruin, Debra, Joan Liaschenko, and Mary Faith Marshall. 2012. "Social Justice in Pandemic Preparedness." *American Journal* of Public Health 102(4):586–91.
- DiRago, Nicholas V., Meiying Li, Thalia Tom, Will Schupmann, Yvonne Carrillo, Colleen M. Carey, and S. Michael Gaddis. 2022. "COVID-19 Vaccine Rollouts and the Reproduction of Urban Spatial Inequality: Disparities within Large US Cities in March and April 2021 by Racial/Ethnic and Socioeconomic Composition." Journal of Urban Health 99(2):191–207.
- Howard, Jacqueline. 2021. "All 50 States Now Have Expanded or Will Expand COVID Vaccine Eligibility to Everyone 16 and Up." CNN. Retrieved April 23, 2021. https://www.cnn. com/2021/03/30/health/states-covid-19-vaccine-eligibility-bn/ index.html.
- Ransome, Yusuf, Bisola O. Ojikutu, Morgan Buchanan, Demerise Johnston, and Ichiro Kawachi. 2021. "Neighborhood Social Cohesion and Inequalities in COVID-19 Diagnosis Rates by Area-Level Black/African American Racial Composition." *Journal of Urban Health* 98(2):222–32.
- Wrigley-Field, Elizabeth, Sarah Garcia, Jonathon P. Leider, and David Van Riper. 2021. "COVID-19 Mortality at the Neighborhood Level: Racial and Ethnic Inequalities Deepened in Minnesota in 2020." *Health Affairs* 40(10):1644–53.

#### Author Biographies

**S. Michael Gaddis** is a senior research scientist at NWEA and an associate professor of sociology at the University of California, Los Angeles. His research focuses on racial discrimination, education, and inequality. He often uses experiments to examine levels of discrimination in employment and housing as well as the conditions under which racial discrimination occurs. He published a book in 2018 on the experimental method used to investigate discrimination

titled *Audit Studies: Behind the Scenes with Theory, Method, and Nuance*. His research appears in the *American Journal of Sociology, Social Forces, Sociological Science,* and elsewhere. His Web site is at www.stevenmichaelgaddis.com.

**Colleen M. Carey** is an assistant professor of economics and public policy at Cornell University. Her work focuses on the U.S. health care industry. Her primary line of research examines government-managed markets for health insurance. A second line is focused on physician behavior, such as the role of financial relationships with drug firms or physician's response to demand shocks and price changes. She has written a number of articles examining the role of physicians in the U.S. opioid epidemic. She is a coeditor at the *American Journal of Health Economics*. She was previously a staff economist on the Council of Economic Advisers, a Robert Wood Johnson Scholar in Health Policy Research, and a visiting research scholar at Princeton University. She holds a PhD in economics from Johns Hopkins University and a BA from Yale University.

Nicholas V. DiRago is a doctoral candidate in the Department of Sociology and an affiliate of the California Center for Population Research at the University of California, Los Angeles. As an urban sociologist and social demographer, his research takes organizational and spatial approaches to housing, urban development, and neighborhood inequality in the United States. One set of his dissertation papers develops new methods of measuring organizational density and uses them to analyze how local organizations mediate socioeconomic outcomes for California social service recipients. Another dissertation paper evaluates the relationship between the organizational composition of low-income housing providers and the spatial distribution of subsidized housing across metropolitan areas. His research appears in Ethnic and Racial Studies, the Journal of Urban Health, and The Sociology of Housing (University of Chicago Press). He was awarded a T32 traineeship from the National Institutes of Health in 2020. His Web site is at www. dirago.me.