# UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

# Title

Matching diverse feedstocks to conversion processes for the future bioeconomy

# Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4vr8v2gh

# **Authors**

Scown, Corinne D Baral, Nawa R Tanjore, Deepti <u>et al.</u>

## **Publication Date**

2023-12-01

## DOI

10.1016/j.copbio.2023.103017

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed



**ScienceDirect** 

# Matching diverse feedstocks to conversion processes for the future bioeconomy

Corinne D Scown<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Nawa R Baral<sup>1,2</sup>, Deepti Tanjore<sup>2,5</sup> and Vi Rapp<sup>6</sup>



A wide variety of wasted or underutilized organic feedstocks can be leveraged to build a sustainable bioeconomy, ranging from crop residues to food processor residues and municipal wastes. Leveraging these feedstocks is both high-risk and high-reward. Converting mixed, variable, and/or highly contaminated feedstocks can pose engineering and economic challenges. However, converting these materials to fuels and chemicals can divert waste from landfills, reduce fugitive methane emissions, and enable more responsible forest management to reduce the frequency and severity of wildfires. Historically, low-value components, including ash and lignin, are poised to become valuable coproducts capable of supplementing cement and valuable chemicals. Here, we evaluate the challenges and opportunities associated with converting a range of feedstocks to renewable fuels and chemicals.

#### Addresses

<sup>1</sup> Life-cycle, Economics, and Agronomy Division, Joint BioEnergy Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, United States

<sup>2</sup> Biological Systems and Engineering Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, United States

<sup>3</sup> Energy Analysis and Environmental Impacts Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, United States

<sup>4</sup> Energy & Biosciences Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, United States

<sup>5</sup> Advanced Biofuels and Bioproducts Process Development Unit, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Emeryville, CA 94608, United States

<sup>6</sup> Building Technologies and Urban Systems Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, United States

Corresponding author: Scown, Corinne D (cdscown@lbl.gov)

### Current Opinion in Biotechnology 2023, 84:103017

This review comes from a themed issue on Energy Biotechnology

Edited by Thomas Eng, Michelle Omalley and Sudeep Agarwala

For complete overview of the section, please refer to the article collection, "Energy Biotechnology (2024)"

Available online 5 November 2023

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2023.103017

0958–1669/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### Introduction

The bioeconomy is poised to play a substantial role in deep decarbonization and the transition away from fossil-based fuels and products. Bio-based aviation fuels remain the most viable option for decarbonizing air travel and recent investments in alcohol-to-jet, hydroprocessing, and thermochemical processing routes suggest that rapid growth is possible, if not probable, in the next few decades [1]. Biomanufacturing promises to produce a wide array of drop-in replacements and bioadvantaged alternatives to conventional petrochemical products and fuels, which will be particularly essential to ensure an even drawdown of reliance on both fuels and products from the oil and gas industry. There has also been an increasing interest in the use of biomass for carbon removal and storage, typically relying on thermochemical pathways such as pyrolysis and gasification combined with capture and sequestration of  $CO_2$  [2]. All of these industries will require substantial quantities of bio-based feedstocks. In a follow-up to the United States (U.S.) White House's Executive Order 14081, a set of goals for harnessing biotechnology and biomanufacturing were established, one of which is to collect and process 1.2 billion metric tons of feedstocks within 20 years [3]. This ambitious goal will necessitate the development of processes capable of converting a wide variety of organic materials, ideally prioritizing beneficial use of waste streams and other products with little or no land-use impacts.

Studies focused on large-scale decarbonization and carbon removal strategies typically treat all organic feedstocks as interchangeable and functionally equivalent on a mass basis. The proliferation of techno-economic analyses, some of which are based on idealized production systems that have been simulated in software but not yet demonstrated, can inadvertently give the impression that high yields and minimal costs are possible even with very-contaminated feedstocks. However, there is ongoing research specifically devoted to addressing feedstock-specific challenges in bioprocessing, such as the U.S. Department of Energy-sponsored Feedstock-Conversion Interface Consortium [4]. Here, we review recent work on the conversion of a wide variety of organic feedstocks to renewable fuels and products and highlight the challenges and opportunities

Table 1					
Potential feedstocks	for biofuels, bioproduct	ts, and carbon removal.			
Type	Material	Products of deconstruction	Processing challenges	Environmental cobenefits or risks of utilization	Recent studies
Crop residues	Corn stover	C5/C6 sugars, lignin intermediates	Variability in composition if sourced from different locations and harvesting timetrame	Soil carbon loss if managed improperly	[30]
	Wheat straw	C5/C6 sugars, lignin intermediates	Variability in composition if sourced from different locations	Soil carbon loss if managed improperly	[15,31]
	Rice straw	C5/C6 sugars, lignin intermediates	High-ash content	Reduced on-field methane and air pollutant emissions	[18,19]
	Sugarcane bagasse	C5/C6 sugars, lignin	Elevated losses and acid accumulation during	Reduced air pollutant emissions from solid	[12,32]
	Orchard residues	intermediates C5/C6 suaars. lianin	storage due to free sugars High-lignin content, heterogeneous and	tuel combustion at biorefineries Avoided pile burning on fields	[17]
		intermediates	unpredictable composition	0	
Forest management	Hardwoods	C5/C6 sugars, lignin intermediates	High-lignin content, heterogeneous and	Wildfire risk reduction, avoided solid fuel	[17]
	Softwoods	C5/C6 sugars, lignin	High mannose content may not be utilized by	Wildfire risk reduction, avoided solid fuel	[17]
		intermediates	some strains, high-lignin content, heterogeneous and unpredictable	combustion	
			composition, and higher moisture content		
Farmed trees	Poplar	C5/C6 sugars, lignin	High-lignin content	Agricultural emissions and land-use	[33,34]
Dedicated crons	Switcharace	Intermediates C5/C6 surders lignin	Inhibitory compounds associated with stress	impilications Increased soil organic carbon stocks	[35 36]
		intermediates	and/or harvest timing		[pp; pp]
	Miscanthus	C5/C6 sugars, lignin intermediates	Potentially higher lignin than other grasses	Increased soil organic carbon stocks	[37–39]
			Farmer contribution and according and an	Perme increase in acil accordio acchange	[40 40]
	rorage sorgnam	co/co sugars, iigriiri intermediates	cruzyme cocktairs and process comigurations must be tailored to co-utilize starch and biomass, elevated losses, and acid	some morease in som organic carbon stocks, increased agricultural emissions, and land- use implications	[40-44]
			accumulation during storage due to free sugars		
Lipids	White grease	Esters and fatty acids	None	Diversion from beneficial uses, including	[43]
	Yellow grease	Esters and fatty acids	None	Diversion from beneficial uses, including	[43]
				animal feed	
	Brown grease (trap grease)	Esters and fatty acids	Heavy metals, bacteria, and viruses	Diversion from septage treatment	[44]
	Oils from oil crops	Esters and fatty acids	Variability in composition across different oil sources	Agricultural emissions and land-use implications	[43,45]
Manure	Dairy and swine manure	Mixed volatile solids	High nitrogen content, sulfur content	Diversion from high-emitting storage lagoons, need for supplemental synthetic fertilizer application on lands using manure as fertilizer	[46]
Municipal wastes	C&D organic waste	C5/C6 sugars, lignin intermediates	Contamination including plastics and metals	Landfill methane avoidance, diversion of waste from landfills or incinerators	[47]
	Mixed municipal organic waste	C5/C6 sugars, lignin intermediates. and proteins	Substantial contamination, including plastics and metals. rheological properties (stickiness)	Landfill methane emission avoidance, diversion of waste	[48,49]
	Nonrecyclable paper	C6 sugars	High-ash content originating from ink, glue,	Landfill methane emissions avoidance,	[20]
Gassonis faadstocks	Sunde or weets deserved	No further deconstruction of	wax, tood or product residuals, and dyes	diversion of waste Avoidance of flaring emissions potential	[61] (clean
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	טאוואמט עו מעניט אניטיט	CO, $H_2$ , and $CO_2$ needed	hazardous contaminants	impact on facility energy generation	synthetic mixture only)

Table 1 (continued)					
Type	Material	Products of deconstruction	Processing challenges	Environmental cobenefits or risks of utilization	Recent studies
	Biogas/landfill gas	No further deconstruction of CH <sub>4</sub> and CO <sub>2</sub> needed	Sulfur and siloxane contamination	Avoidance of flaring or emitting methane	[52]
Bioprocessing by- products	Residual lignin from bioprocessing	Lignin-derived monomers and oligomers, including aromatics	Lignin composition heterogeneity across feedstocks and pretreatment methods	Reduced air pollutant emissions from solid fuel combustion at biorefineries	[34,53]
	Black liquor	Smaller lignin-derived monomers and oligomers	Large inorganic fraction and high compositional variability, including large lignin molecules	Reduced air pollutant emissions from black liquor combustion at biorefineries	[54,55]
	Solid digestate/ sludge	Variety of organic compounds, inert compounds, and macronutrients	Depending on origin, may contain contamination including plastics, metals, pharmaceuticals, and other pollutants	Landfill methane avoidance, diversion of waste from landfills, and avoidance of water quality concerns from excessive land application	[56,57]

Diverse Feedstocks for the Future Bioeconomy Scown et al. 3

associated with different feedstock-conversion pairing strategies.

# Biomass feedstocks for biochemical conversion to fuels and chemicals

There are a wide variety of potential feedstocks available for conversion to biofuels and bioproducts (see Table 1). The total availability, moisture content, composition, and physical properties all factor into determining the best use(s) for these materials. Some, such as crop residues and organic wastes, are already produced but have not yet been collected and converted at meaningful scales. Other feedstocks are purpose-grown and would require large-scale adoption by farmers to enable their use in commercial biorefineries. Diversifying feedstock supply for individual facilities is one way that companies can mitigate supply chain risks [5], but utilizing a mixture of multiple feedstocks, particularly if the relative quantities vary, presents practical challenges. There are two primary categories of feedstockspecific processing considerations that arise, although they can share some common root causes: 1) mechanical properties, which have been reviewed in a recent article by Yan et al. [6] and 2) composition (including moisture content and inorganic contamination), which will be the primary focus of this review.

As of 2023, all available data suggest that corn stover is the single most widely produced crop residue in the United States [7–9]. Corn stover is amenable to a range of deconstruction processes [10], although its true availability is impacted by hesitance on the part of some farmers to allow stover removal from their fields [11]. Sugarcane bagasse, unlike corn stover, is readily available because it is a by-product of the sugarcane juice extraction step and commercial-scale bagasse-to-ethanol conversion is now occurring in Brazil [12]. The primary challenge associated with converting these commonly used biomass feedstocks is largely centered around the severity of the pretreatment process, often gauged by temperature; harsh conditions that produce high-sugar yields can also generate furfural, hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF), acetic acid, and phenolic compounds [12]. Acidbased pretreatment processes, while relatively low-cost, are the most problematic in terms of forming inhibitors, whose effects on downstream conversion will vary by microbial host [13,14]. Teramoto et al. used biomass that had undergone dilute-acid pretreatment at 160°C [13]. Steam explosion can also form these compounds, but at lower concentrations; Caporusso et al. noted that 5-HMF, furfural, acetic acid, and lignin-derived compounds produced during steam explosion at 203°C of wheat straw all negatively impacted yeast growth for downstream lipid production [15]. One option for addressing inhibitors is to select pretreatment processes known to generate less of them, in large part because

#### Box 1

Impacts of composition on thermochemical conversion

Unlike biochemical conversion routes, thermochemical conversion routes can use more severe processing conditions (namely higher temperatures) to overcome feedstock heterogeneity at the expense of efficiency. Gasification and pyrolysis can both be leveraged to produce liquid fuels or the processes can be designed with the goal of maximizing carbon dioxide removal, in the form of injectable  $CO_2$  (with  $H_2$  as the main energy product), char, and/or pyrolysis oil for sequestration [69]. Such approaches can be particularly helpful in reducing the mass of residual-mixed organics, such as sludge, that cannot be beneficially converted nor land-applied [70]. For these thermochemical processes, minimizing moisture content of the incoming feedstock is crucial, given water's high latent heat of vaporization. Many of the other composition and size adds further challenges. For example, a recent study by Lestander et al. explored the gasification of single components of spruce trees versus gasifying a mixture of stem wood, bark, branches, and needles. They found that even mixing parts of the same wood type could reduce the cold gas efficiency by more than 6% [71]. To avoid tars, fouling, and ash during the thermochemical conversion process, feedstocks shauld ideally have low mineral content and low-moisture content (less than 30%, see Figure 1) [72–74]. Additionally, feedstock shape, size, and density can impact char formation during the conversion process [74]. Organic wastes that contain a wider variety of plastic, paints, and other contamination present additional challenges. Processing chlorinated compounds, such as those originating from polyvinyl chloride (PVC) plastics and chloroprene (PCP), may be problematic depending on the reactor design, conditions, and level of preprocessing. Some chlorine-containing wastes can form HCl, posing corrosion concerns [75,76].

they can operate at lower temperatures: deacetylation and mechanical refining pretreatment (80–92°C) and ionic liquid pretreatment (up to 160°C) are two examples [16,17]. Alternatively, researchers have attempted to address the presence of inhibitors by either selecting HMF/furfural-tolerant microbial strains or adding detoxification strategies to the process [18,19]. Llano et al. used multicriteria analysis to explore different detoxification approaches, specifically targeting furan derivatives, phenolic compounds, and weak acids [20]. Unlike biochemical routes, thermochemical processes can increase operating temperatures to overcome some feedstock compositional variations (Box 1).

Even uncontaminated, single-source biomass feedstocks do pose challenges in bioprocessing based on their composition. Ash content can be inherent to the biomass and can also be introduced when harvesting practices disturb the soil and entrain it into baled biomass, thus introducing sand and other incombustible materials into the feedstock [21]. Although high-ash content is undesirable in bioprocessing - it translates to larger quantities of nonbioavailable material occupying space in pretreatment and bioconversion reactors and may cause corrosion issues - silica-rich feedstocks do offer the possibility of coproducing pozzolanic materials to decarbonize the cement industry by displacing the need for carbon-intensive portland cement. Rice straw ash, corn stalk ash, wheat straw ash, and forest residue ash are the four feedstocks with the largest global potential to yield secondary cementitious materials [22]. However, enabling coproduction of secondary cementitious materials would require combustion of residual solids at high temperatures following any biological conversion process because the silica is not reactive unless it is amorphous, or glassy.

### Lignin as a feedstock

Much like high-ash feedstocks, high-lignin feedstocks have long been considered undesirable for biochemical processes because they are more recalcitrant to deconstruction. Because cost-effectively converting lignin to products or bioavailable intermediates is challenging [23], residual solids are typically combusted for heat and power [24]. Lignin content in woody and herbaceous feedstocks can vary considerably, from 10% or less in low-lignin forage sorghum to more than 30% in some woody feedstocks [25,26]. There is continued progress in the development of conversion routes for lignin-derived intermediate compounds, such as phenol, to adipic acid [27] and other salable products. Much of the published work focused on biological conversion still relies on proxy compounds because lignin depolymerization can result in complex mixtures that are difficult to characterize and contain compounds toxic to microbial hosts. Ultimately, research is progressing along several paths: a 'biological funneling' approach in which hosts are capable of utilizing a wide variety of ligninderived compounds to produce aromatic platform chemicals [28] and a 'lignin first' chemical conversion approach in which lignin is separated and valorized [29]. This is not yet evidence to suggest that either of these approaches has become sufficiently efficient to advantage high-lignin feedstocks over lower-lignin feedstocks, provided both are available at comparable delivered prices.

### Organic wastes for bioprocessing

Compared to purpose-grown feedstocks and even many crop residues, the attractiveness of utilizing organic wastes is undeniable: they are currently available, may be acquired at low cost or negative cost if producers are willing to pay for its removal, and are not subject to the land-use concerns associated with dedicated crops. Additionally, some waste feedstocks have negative environmental impacts associated with their disposal, such as landfill methane emissions, so diverting them to biorefineries can vield considerable emission avoidance benefits (see Table 1). In other cases, the term 'waste' may be a misnomer. The uses of different organic wastes fall into a continuum between negative or very low-value fates to higher-value applications. Clean organic waste streams are often used in comparatively high-value applications. For example, almond hulls can be valuable animal feed, but if they absorb too much moisture during storage, both shells and hulls become a waste product in need of combustion or landfilling and would instead benefit from conversion to biofuels/bioproducts [58]. Some fats, oils, and greases (FOG) are used as animal feed supplements, while others serve as very attractive feedstocks for anaerobic digesters to boost biogas yield [59]. Brown grease (trap grease), conversely, can be contaminated with heavy metals and pathogens and does have a lower (or negative) value because it must be hauled away and treated as septage [60]. Thus, diverting brown grease for the production of biofuels and/or bioproducts (e.g. hydroprocessed esters and fatty acids) will have little-to-no opportunity cost [61].

Unlike separately collected wastes such as FOG, the composition of municipal wastes and the business-asusual management can vary depending on the level of source-separation implemented in each city. Even in municipalities that do not compost other materials, vard waste is typically collected separately and sent for composting. Yard waste is not generally used for highvalue applications but is an attractive input for composting facilities because of its low levels of inorganic contamination and minimal odor [62]. Mixed waste streams that include food and other municipal organics are more likely to be landfilled because they are unsuitable for animal feed and are similarly undesirable for composting facilities. This means facilities may receive mixed waste streams at little or no cost, or in some cases, they may even be paid to accept the material. For example, Smith et al. reported on the tipping fee structure for an anaerobic digestion facility in San Jose, California, where higher fractions of inorganic contamination corresponded to higher tipping fees paid to the facility to offset the cost of removing and landfilling the contaminants [63]. These materials can be deconstructed to yield sugars for bioconversion, as paper products (cellulose) make up a large fraction of the organic stream. Most research on conversion to biofuels has focused on blending municipal organics with cleaner feedstocks, such as crop residues [50], in part because the rheological properties of a 100% municipal organics stream make conversion challenging. These high-moisture wastes (see Figure 1) do come with a substantial downside. Unlike dry biomass, which can be stored for months, regulations often limit the time facilities can store new high-moisture waste shipments before processing. For example, waste at the anaerobic digestion facility analyzed in Smith et al. cannot be stored for more than 48 hours before being loaded into a digester [63]. Although these storage regulations minimize fugitive methane emissions, odor, and pests, they can increase costs if equipment must be sized to ensure adequate throughput of material.

Contamination in municipal waste streams (see Figure 1). which often comes in the form of plastic waste, adds cost at conversion facilities. Brown et al. developed cost estimates for different decontamination strategies, all of which were below the target cost of \$30/dry ton [48]. The impact on the cost per unit output from a conversion facility will be highly dependent on scale; many of the strategies for decontaminating feedstock involve equipment purchases that can increase the capital expenditures, particularly for smaller facilities. However, smaller decentralized facilities do have the advantage of tailoring preprocessing for a single locally sourced feedstock in some cases (Box 2). Decontamination processes also result in some losses. Press extrusion, for example, is a popular method for separating organic waste from plastic and metals, which involves forcing high-moisture waste at high pressure into a chamber with holes, leaving fibrous and other dry materials for separation and disposal [64].

Construction and demolition (C&D) wood waste, in contrast with mixed municipal organics, is relatively low-moisture and consequently is not subject to similar regulatory limits on storage duration. The fate of wood C&D waste is not well-documented at a U.S. national or global level, but estimates for California suggest that nearly 90% is landfilled and the remainder is combusted as fuel or reused [65]. As with other woody biomass, it can be deconstructed to sugars and lignin. The utilization of waste from C&D for production of fuels and/or chemicals appears to be mostly theoretical to-date, explored through modeling studies [66]. However, there is at least one recent study exploring gasification of construction wood waste in Brazil [67]. Inorganic contamination is likely to be a major challenge, particularly if nails and other items risk damage to equipment. Resins, paints, and other chemicals present may also impact conversion processes, particularly if they are toxic to host microbes. The degree to which C&D waste can be feasibly converted in commercial-scale biofuel or biochemical production facilities is still worthy of further exploration. There is intense interest in leveraging building materials as a mechanism for carbon sequestration [68] and the end-of-life fate of biogenic materials remains a limiting factor in the duration of carbon storage.





Compositional variability and moisture content of common organic feedstocks.

### Box 2

Depot model for heterogeneous feedstocks

An alternative to designing flexible conversion processes that are tolerant to variable composition and potentially high levels of contamination is to build out a network of infrastructure capable of processing individual feedstocks in smaller local facilities and shipping standardized intermediates to centralized conversion and upgrading facilities. The smaller size allows depots to tailor their preprocessing and downstream processing to the contamination and compositional challenges specific to their locally sourced feedstock. Depots can produce anything, ranging from pellets to sugars, aromatics, carboxylic acids, platform chemicals, H<sub>2</sub>, or their mixtures [77,78]. They can also enable blending of feedstocks to obtain a particular feedstock specification in composition and/or rheological properties that will enable higher conversion yields [79]. Even building facilities to densify biomass for shipping and storage can substantially reduce transportation costs [80]. This model is already taking hold in the biojet fuel industry, where preexisting corn-to-ethanol facilities are shipping ethanol to off-site alcohol-to-jet conversion facilities [78].

### Conclusions

Building the future circular bioeconomy will necessitate utilization of a wide variety of feedstocks, each with their own unique set of challenges. However, the potential environmental benefits are considerable: diverting forest residues can reduce wildfire risk and harmful smoke emissions, while diverting high-moisture organic waste from landfills can avoid fugitive emissions of potent greenhouse gases. By shedding light on the compositional differences and practical considerations associated with converting each feedstock type, it is possible to identify and prioritize research and development, demonstration, and deployment needs. Increasing demand for bio-based products and energy carriers presents a unique opportunity to address longstanding challenges in the management of waste from cities, agriculture, and food processing while reducing demand for fossil fuels and petrochemicals.

### **Data Availability**

No data were used for the research described in the article.

### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

N.R.B. has a financial interest in Erg Bio. All other authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Acknowledgements

This work was part of the DOE Joint BioEnergy Institute (http://www.jbei. org) supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, through contract DE-AC02-05CH11231 between Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and the U.S. Department of Energy. This study was also supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Bioenergy Technologies Office. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this paper, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. Figure 1 and the graphical abstract were created in part using Biorender.

### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

· of special interest

moval

- Ng KS, Farooq D, Yang A: Global biorenewable development strategies for sustainable aviation fuel production. *Renew* Sustain Energy Rev 2021, 150:111502, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. rser.2021.111502
- Dees JP, Sagues WJ, Woods E, Goldstein HM, Simon AJ, Sanchez
   DL: Leveraging the bioeconomy for carbon drawdown. Green Chem 2023, 25:2930-2957, https://doi.org/10.1039/D2GC02483G.
   Evaluated four options for carbon removal using biomass (bioenergy with carbon capture and sequestration, biopolyethylene, oriented strand board, and biochar soil amendment) and found that bioenergy with carbon capture and sequestration offers the most durable carbon re-
- Bold Goals for U.S. Biotechnology and Biomanufacturing. U.S. White House; 2023. (https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/ uploads/2023/03/Bold-Goals-for-U.S.-Biotechnology-and-Biomanufacturing-Harnessing-Research-and-Development-To-Further-Societal-Goals-FINAL.pdf) [Accessed 9 September 2023].
- Feedstock-Conversion Interface Consortium: Annual Review of Research – FY2020. EERE Publication and Product Library; 2021. doi:10.2172/1760303.
- Chen C-S, Narani A, Daniyar A, McCauley J, Brown S, Pray T, Tanjore D: Ensemble models of feedstock blend ratios to minimize supply chain risk in bio-based manufacturing. *Biochem Eng J* 2020, 181:107896, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej. 2020.107896
- Yan J, Oyedeji O, Leal JH, Donohoe BS, Semelsberger TA, Li C, Hoover AN, Webb E, Bose E, Zeng Y, et al.: Characterizing variability in lignocellulosic biomass — a review. ACS Sustain Chem Eng (22) 2020, 8:8059-8085, https://doi.org/10.1021/ acssuschemeng.9b06263
- Cui X, Kavvada O, Huntington T, Scown CD: Strategies for nearterm scale-up of cellulosic biofuel production using sorghum and crop residues in the US. Environ Res Lett 2018, 13:124002, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aae6e3
- Langholtz MH, Stokes BJ, Eaton LM: 2016 Billion-Ton Report: Advancing Domestic Resources for a Thriving Bioeconomy. EERE Publication and Product Library; 2016, https://doi.org/10. 2172/1271651
- U.S. Department of Agriculture: Farm Service Agency Crop Acreage Data [Internet]. (https://www.fsa.usda.gov/news-room/

efoia/electronic-reading-room/frequently-requested-information/ crop-acreage-data/index/ [Accessed 9 September 2023].

- Vincent Sahayaraj D, A L, Mitchell EM, Bai X, Tessonnier J-P: Comparative study of the solvolytic deconstruction of corn stover lignin in batch and flow-through reactors. Green Chem 2021, 23:7731-7742, https://doi.org/10.1039/D1GC02420E
- 11. Burli P, Lal P, Wolde B, Jose S, Bardhan S: Perceptions about switchgrass and land allocation decisions: evidence from a farmer survey in Missouri. Land Use Policy 2021, 109:105615, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105615
- Rech FR, Fontana RC, Rosa CA, Baudel HM, Ayub MAZ, Camassola M, Dillon AJA: Ethanol production via cofermentation of C6 and C5 sugars from steam-pretreated sugarcane bagasse hydrolysates using non-GM yeasts Saccharomyces cerevisiae CAT-1 and Spathaspora hagerdaliae UFMG-CMY-303. Biomass Conv Bioref 2022, https:// doi.org/10.1007/s13399-022-02751-3 https://link.springer.com/ article/10.1007/s13399-022-02751-3#citeas.
- Teramoto H, Suda M, Inui M: Effects of potential inhibitors present in dilute acid-pretreated corn stover on fermentative hydrogen production by *Escherichia coli*. Int J Hydrog Energy 2022, 47:29219-29229, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.06. 267
- Behera S, Arora R, Nandhagopal N, Kumar S: Importance of chemical pretreatment for bioconversion of lignocellulosic biomass. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2014, 36:91-106, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.04.047
- Caporusso A, De Bari I, Liuzzi F, Albergo R, Valerio V, Viola E, Pietrafesa R, Siesto G, Capece A: Optimized conversion of wheat straw into single cell oils by Yarrowia lipolytica and Lipomyces tetrasporus and synthesis of advanced biofuels. *Renew Energy* 2023, 202:184-195, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2022.11.059
- 16. Li Y, Tao L, Nagle N, Tucker M, Chen X, Kuhn EM: Effect of
   feedstock variability, feedstock blends, and pretreatment conditions on sugar yield and production costs. Front Energy Res 2022, 9:792216, https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2021.792216.
   Found that high-sugar yields can be achieved through deacetylation and

Found that high-sugar yields can be achieved through deacetylation and dilute-acid pretreatment and deacetylation and mechanical refining (DMR) pretreatment and increasing the severity of DMR pretreatment reduced sugar production costs.

- Barcelos CA, Oka AM, Yan J, Das L, Achinivu EC, Magurudeniya H, Dong J, Akdemir S, Baral NR, Yan C, et al.: High-efficiency conversion of ionic liquid-pretreated woody biomass to ethanol at the Pilot Scale. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 2021, 9:4042-4053, https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c07920
- Park GW, Shin S, Kim SJ, Lee J-S, Moon M, Min K: Rice strawderived lipid production by HMF/furfural-tolerant oleaginous yeast generated by adaptive laboratory evolution. *Bioresour Technol* 2023, 367:128220, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech. 2022.128220
- Tsai Y-C, Du Y-Q, Yang C-F: Anaerobic biohydrogen production from biodetoxified rice straw hydrolysate. J Taiwan Inst Chem Eng 2021, 123:134-140, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2021.05.034
- Llano T, Rueda C, Dosal E, Andrés A, Coz A: Multi-criteria analysis of detoxification alternatives: techno-economic and socio-environmental assessment. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2021, 154:106274, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2021.106274
- Bonner IJ, Smith WA, Einerson JJ, Kenney KL: Impact of harvest equipment on ash variability of baled corn stover biomass for bioenergy. *Bioenergy Res* 2014, 7:845-855, https://doi.org/10. 1007/s12155-014-9432-x
- Shah IH, Miller SA, Jiang D, Myers RJ: Cement substitution with
   secondary materials can reduce annual global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by up to 1.3 gigatons. Nat Commun 2022, 13:5758, https://doi. org/10.1038/s41467-022-33289-7.

Quantified potential secondary cementitious material production and found that one-quarter could be generated using ash from crop and forest residues globally.

23. Sethupathy S, Murillo Morales G, Gao L, Wang H, Yang B, Jiang J, Sun J, Zhu D: Lignin valorization: status, challenges and

opportunities. *Bioresour Technol* 2022, **347**:126696, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.126696

24. Bhatt A, Ravi V, Zhang Y, Heath G, Davis R, Tan ECD: Emission
factors of industrial boilers burning biomass-derived fuels. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc* 2023, 73:241-257, https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2023.2166158.

Provided useful air pollutant emission factors for combustion of a variety of mixed organic materials commonly found at bioprocessing facilities.

- 25. Wang Z, Zhu X, Deuss Peter J: The effect of ball milling on birch, pine, reed, walnut shell enzymatic hydrolysis recalcitrance and the structure of the isolated residual enzyme lignin. *Ind Crops Prod* 2021, **167**:113493, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021. 113493
- Yang M, Dahlberg J, Baral NR, Putnam D, Scown CD: Identifying forage sorghum ideotypes for advanced biorefineries. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 2021, 9:7873-7881, https://doi.org/10.1021/ acssuschemeng.1c01706
- Morejón MC, Franz A, Karande R, Harnisch F: Integrated electrosynthesis and biosynthesis for the production of adipic acid from lignin-derived phenols. *Green Chem* 2023, 25:4662-4666, https://doi.org/10.1039/D3GC01105D
- Liu Z-H, Li B-Z, Yuan JS, Yuan Y-J: Creative biological lignin conversion routes toward lignin valorization. *Trends Biotechnol* 2022, 40:1550-1566, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2022.09.014
- 29. Abu-Omar MM, Barta K, Beckham GT, Luterbacher JS, Ralph J,
   Rinaldi R, Román-Leshkov Y, Samec JSM, Sels BF, Wang F: Guidelines for performing lignin-first biorefining. *Energy Environ* Sci 2021, 14:262-292, https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EE02870C.

Established guidelines for best practices and minimum reporting to conduct and compare lignin-first biorefining research across a variety of feedstocks.

- Chen X, Yuan X, Chen S, Yu J, Zhai R, Xu Z, Jin M: Densifying lignocellulosic biomass with alkaline chemicals (DLC) pretreatment unlocks highly fermentable sugars for bioethanol production from corn stover. Green Chem 2021, 23:4828-4839, https://doi.org/10.1039/D1GC01362A
- El-Sheekh MM, Bedaiwy MY, El-Nagar AA, Elgammal EW: Saccharification of pre-treated wheat straw via optimized enzymatic production using Aspergillus niger : chemical analysis of lignocellulosic matrix. *Biocatal Biotransform* 2023, 41:309-321, https://doi.org/10.1080/10242422.2022.2087511
- 32. Sganzerla WG, Lachos-Perez D, Buller LS, Zabot GL, Forster-Carneiro T: Cost analysis of subcritical water pretreatment of sugarcane straw and bagasse for second-generation bioethanol production: a case study in a sugarcane mill. *Biofuels Bioprod Bioref* 2022, 16:435-450, https://doi.org/10.1002/ bbb.2332
- Kim KH, Mottiar Y, Jeong K, Tran PHN, Tran NT, Zhuang J, Kim CS, Lee H, Gong G, Ko JK, et al.: One-pot conversion of engineered poplar into biochemicals and biofuels using biocompatible deep eutectic solvents. Green Chem 2022, 24:9055-9068, https:// doi.org/10.1039/D2GC02774G
- Adler A, Kumaniaev I, Karacic A, Baddigam KR, Hanes RJ, Subbotina E, Bartling AW, Huertas-Alonso AJ, Moreno A, Håkansson H, et al.: Lignin-first biorefining of Nordic poplar to produce cellulose fibers could displace cotton production on agricultural lands. *Joule* 2022, 6:1845-1858, https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.joule.2022.06.021
- Chipkar S, Smith K, Whelan EM, Debrauske DJ, Jen A, Overmyer KA, Senyk A, Hooker-Moericke L, Gallmeyer M, Coon JJ, et al.: Water-soluble saponins accumulate in drought-stressed switchgrass and may inhibit yeast growth during bioethanol production. Biotechnol Biofuels Bioprod 2022, 15:116, https://doi. org/10.1186/s13068-022-02213-y
- Ong RG, Shinde S, da Costa Sousa L, Sanford GR: Presenescence harvest of switchgrass inhibits xylose utilization by engineered yeast. Front Energy Res 2018, 6:52, https://doi.org/10. 3389/fenrg.2018.00052
- 37. Banerjee S, Singh R, Eilts K, Sacks EJ, Singh V: Valorization of Miscanthus x giganteus for sustainable recovery of

anthocyanins and enhanced production of sugars. *J Clean Prod* 2022, **369**:133508, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.133508

- Dębowski M, Kazimierowicz J, Zieliński M, Bartkowska I: Cofermentation of microalgae biomass and Miscanthus × giganteus silage – assessment of the substrate, biogas production and digestate characteristics. *Appl Sci* 2022, 12:7291, https://doi.org/10.3390/app12147291
- Uludere Aragon NZ, Parker NC, VanLoocke A, Bagley J, Wang M,
   Georgescu M: Sustainable land use and viability of biojet fuels. Nat Sustain (2) 2022, 6:158-168, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00990-w.

Provided analysis to indicate that increasing the payments for soil carbon sequestration would incentivize more land for conversion to Miscanthus as a jet fuel feedstock.

- Magurudeniya HD, Baral NR, Rodriguez A, Scown CD, Dahlberg J, Putnam D, George A, Simmons BA, Gladden JM: Use of ensiled biomass sorghum increases ionic liquid pretreatment efficiency and reduces biofuel production cost and carbon footprint. *Green Chem* 2021, 23:3127-3140, https://doi.org/10. 1039/D0GC03260C
- Baral NR, Dahlberg J, Putnam D, Mortimer JC, Scown CD: Supply cost and life-cycle greenhouse gas footprint of dry and ensiled biomass sorghum for biofuel production. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 2020, 8:15855-15864, https://doi.org/10.1021/ acssuschemeng.0c03784
- 42. Yang M, Liu D, Baral NR, Lin C-Y, Simmons BA, Gladden JM, Eudes A, Scown CD: Comparing in planta accumulation with microbial routes to set targets for a cost-competitive bioeconomy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2022, **119**:e2122309119, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2122309119
- Masera K, Hossain AK: Advancement of biodiesel fuel quality and NOx emission control techniques. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2023, 178:113235, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.113235
- 44. Jariah NF, Roslan AM, Hassan MA, Taufiq-Yap YH: Biodiesel from high-acid-value grease trap waste: process optimization and purification using bio-based adsorbent. *Biofuels Bioprod Bioref* 2022, **16**:1696-1707, https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.2408
- 45. Sreeharsha RV, Dubey N, Mohan SV: Orienting biodiesel production towards sustainability and circularity by tailoring the feedstock and processes. J Clean Prod 2023, 414:137526, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137526
- Hilgert JE, Amon B, Amon T, Belik V, Dragoni F, Ammon C, Cárdenas A, Petersen SO, Herrmann C: Methane emissions from livestock slurry: effects of storage temperature and changes in chemical composition. Sustainability 2022, 14:9934, https://doi. org/10.3390/su14169934
- Akhtari S, Malladi KT, Sowlati T, Mirza F: Incorporating risk in multi-criteria decision making: the case study of biofuel production from construction and demolition wood waste. *Resour Conserv Recycl* 2021, 167:105233, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.resconrec.2020.105233
- Brown RM, Hoover AN, Klinger JL, Wahlen BD, Hartley D, Lee H, Thompson VS: Decontamination of mixed paper and plastic municipal solid waste increases low and high temperature conversion yields. Front Energy Res 2022, 10:834832, https://doi. org/10.3389/fenrg.2022.834832
- Meng F, Dornau A, Mcqueen Mason SJ, Thomas GH, Conradie A, McKechnie J: Bioethanol from autoclaved municipal solid waste: assessment of environmental and financial viability under policy contexts. *Appl Energy* 2021, 298:117118, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.117118
- Thompson VS, Ray AE, Hoover A, Emerson R, Hartley D, Lacey JA, Yancey N, Thompson DN: Assessment of municipal solid waste for valorization into biofuels. *Environ Prog Sustain Energy* (4) 2019, 39:e13290, https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.13290
- Liew FE, Nogle R, Abdalla T, Rasor BJ, Canter C, Jensen RO, Wang
   L, Strutz J, Chirania P, De Tissera S, et al.: Carbon-negative production of acetone and isopropanol by gas fermentation at industrial pilot scale. Nat Biotechnol 2022, 40:335-344, https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021-01195-w.

Demonstrated successful production of two non-native products (acetone and isopropanol) through microbial fermentation of synthetic waste gases containing CO,  $CO_2$ , and  $H_2$ .

- El Abbadi SH, Sherwin ED, Brandt AR, Luby SP, Criddle CS: Displacing fishmeal with protein derived from stranded methane. Nat Sustain 2021, 5:47-56, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41893-021-00796-2
- Peng W, Bao H, Wang Y, Cote E, Sagues WJ, Hagelin-Weaver H, Gao J, Xiao D, Tong Z: Selective depolymerization of lignin towards isolated phenolic acids under mild conditions. *ChemSusChem* 2023, 16:e2023007, https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc. 202300750
- Morya R, Kumar M, Tyagi I, Kumar Pandey A, Park J, Raj T, Sirohi R, Kumar V, Kim S-H: Recent advances in black liquor valorization. *Bioresour Technol* 2022, 350:126916, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.biortech.2022.126916
- 55. Gomes MG, de O. Paranhos AG, Camargos AB, Baêta BEL, Baffi MA, Gurgel LVA, Pasquini D: Pretreatment of sugarcane bagasse with dilute citric acid and enzymatic hydrolysis: use of black liquor and solid fraction for biogas production. *Renew Energy* 2022, 191:428-438, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2022.04.057
- Netzer C, Guo N, Ertesvåg IS, Løvås T: Feedstock flexible numerical analysis of sewage sludge gasification. *Fuel* 2023, 338:127297, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.127297
- D'Ambrosio V, di Bitonto L, Angelini A, Gallipoli A, Braguglia CM, Pastore C: Lipid extraction from sewage sludge using green biosolvent for sustainable biodiesel production. J Clean Prod 2021, 329:129643, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.129643
- Liu D, Baral NR, Liang L, Scown CD, Sun N: Torrefaction of almond shell as a renewable reinforcing agent for plastics: techno-economic analyses and comparison to bioethanol process. Environ Res: Infrastruct Sustain 2023, 3:015004, https:// doi.org/10.1088/2634-4505/acb5c0
- Wang Y, Huntington T, Scown CD: Tree-based automated machine learning to predict biogas production for anaerobic co-digestion of organic waste. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 2021, 9:12990-13000, https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c04612
- Merlin G, Outin J, Boileau H: Co-digestion of extended aeration sewage sludge with whey, grease and septage: experimental and modeling determination. Sustainability 2021, 13:9199, https://doi.org/10.3390/su13169199
- Bhatt AH, Zhang Y, Milbrandt A, Newes E, Moriarty K, Klein B, Tao L: Evaluation of performance variables to accelerate the deployment of sustainable aviation fuels at a regional scale. *Energy Convers Manag* 2023, 275:116441, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.enconman.2022.116441
- Nordahl SL, Preble CV, Kirchstetter TW, Scown CD: Greenhouse gas and air pollutant emissions from composting. *Environ Sci Technol* 2023, 57:2235-2247, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est. 2c05846
- Smith SJ, Satchwell AJ, Kirchstetter TW, Scown CD: The implications of facility design and enabling policies on the economics of dry anaerobic digestion. Waste Manag 2021, 128:122-131, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2021.04.048
- Cesaro A, Cieri V, Belgiorno V: Press-extrusion pretreatment of the organic fraction of municipal solid waste for enhanced methane production. J Mater Cycles Waste Manag 2021, 23:130-138, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10163-020-01105-3
- CalRecycle: Urban Wood Waste [Internet]. (https://calrecycle.ca. gov/condemo/wood/) [Accessed 9 September 2023].
- Rahmani Mokarrari K, Aghamohamadi-Bosjin S, Sowlati T, Akhtari S, Teja Malladi K, Mirza F: Techno-economic analysis of biofuel production from construction and demolition wood waste. Energy Sources Part B: Econ Plan Policy 2023, 18:2163723, https://doi.org/10.1080/15567249.2022.2163723

- Peres S, Loureiro E, Santos H, Vanderley e Silva F, Gusmao A: The production of gaseous biofuels using biomass waste from construction sites in Recife, Brazil. Processes 2020, 8:457, https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8040457
- Arehart JH, Hart J, Pomponi F, D'Amico B: Carbon sequestration and storage in the built environment. Sustain Prod Consum 2021, 27:1047-1063, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2021.02.028
- Cobo S, Negri V, Valente A, Reiner DM, Hamelin L, Dowell NM, Guillén-Gosálbez G: Sustainable scale-up of negative emissions technologies and practices: where to focus. *Environ Res Lett* 2023, 18:023001, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acacb3
- Li A, Han H, Hu S, Zhu M, Ren Q, Wang Y, Xu J, Jiang L, Su S, Xiang J: A novel sludge pyrolysis and biomass gasification integrated method to enhance hydrogen-rich gas generation. *Energy Convers Manag* 2022, 254:115205, https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.enconman.2022.115205
- T1. Lestander TA, Weiland F, Grimm A, Rudolfsson M, Wiinikka H:
   Gasification of pure and mixed feedstock components: effect on syngas composition and gasification efficiency. *J Clean Prod* 2022, 369:133330, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022. 133330.

Showed that mixtures of forest residues, including bark, pine needles, and other components reduced gasification efficiency relative to pure feedstocks.

- Tanger P, Field JL, Jahn CE, Defoort MW, Leach JE: Biomass for thermochemical conversion: targets and challenges. Front Plant Sci 2013, 4:218, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00218
- Sajid M, Raheem A, Ullah N, Asim M, Ur Rehman MS, Ali N: Gasification of municipal solid waste: progress, challenges, and prospects. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2022, 168:112815, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112815
- Rajasekhar Reddy B, Vinu R: Feedstock characterization for pyrolysis and gasification. In *Coal and Biomass Gasification*. Edited by De S, Agarwal AK, Moholkar VS, Thallada B. Springer; 2018:3-36, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7335-9\_1
- Ren Y, Cao C, Hu H, Lei S, Yuan X, Li X, Yao H: Transformation behavior and fate of chlorine in polychloroprene (PCP) during its pyrolysis. *Fuel* 2022, 317:123573, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel. 2022.123573
- Li H, Aguirre-Villegas HA, Allen RD, Bai X, Benson CH, Beckham GT, Bradshaw SL, Brown JL, Brown RC, Sanchez Castillo MA, et al.: Expanding plastics recycling technologies: chemical aspects, technology status and challenges. Green Chem 2022, 24:8899-9002, https://doi.org/10.1039/D2GC02588D
- 77. Lan K, Ou L, Park S, Kelley SS, English BC, Yu TE, Larson J, Yao Y: Techno-economic analysis of decentralized preprocessing systems for fast pyrolysis biorefineries with blended feedstocks in the southeastern United States. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2021, 143:110881, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021. 110881
- Rivas-Interian RM, Sanchez-Ramirez E, Quiroz-Ramírez JJ, Segovia-Hernandez JG: Feedstock planning and optimization of a sustainable distributed configuration biorefinery for biojet fuel production via ATJ process. *Biofuels Bioprod Bioref* 2023, 17:71-96, https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.2425
- 79. Narani A, Konda NVSNM, Chen C-S, Tachea F, Coffman P, Gardner J, Li C, Ray AE, Hartley DS, Simmons B, et al.: Simultaneous application of predictive model and least cost formulation can substantially benefit biorefineries outside Corn Belt in United States: a case study in Florida. *Bioresour Technol* 2019, 271:218-227, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech. 2018.09.103
- Geissler CH, Maravelias CT: Analysis of alternative bioenergy with carbon capture strategies: present and future. *Energy Environ Sci* (7) 2022, 15:2679-2689, https://doi.org/10.1039/ D2EE00625A