Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

RECENT RESULTS ON THE NEW PARTICLE STATES BELOW 3.7 GeV PPODUCED IN eV ANNIHILATIONS

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4wc5j4nw

Author

Goldhaber, G.

Publication Date

1976-05-01

Invited talk presented at the International Conference on Production of Particles with New Quantum Numbers, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, April 22-24, 1976

LBL-4884 C.

RECENT RESULTS ON THE NEW PARTICLE STATES BELOW 3.7 GeV PRODUCED IN e^+e^- ANNIHILATIONS

G. Goldhaber

RECEIVED

n and an Alton Documents in Station

May 1976

Prepared for the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

For Reference

한 관산 이용을 사람들이 많다.

Not to be taken from this room



LBL-4884 C.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBL-4884

RECENT RESULTS ON THE NEW PARTICLE STATES BELOW 3.7 GeV PRODUCED IN e⁺e⁻ ANNIHILATIONS^{*}

G. Goldhaber**

Department of Physics and Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

The results presented in this talk come from the SLAC-LBL magnetic detector experiment at SPEAR. The new features which give rise to what is "recent" in these results are the following:

(i) Additional running at SPEAR in the Fall of 1975 and Winter of 1976 has increased the integrated luminosity at the ψ/J and ψ' each by about a factor of three. The total hadron sample studied here is approximately 150,000 events at the ψ and 350,000 events at the ψ' .

(ii) The new running was carried out with a "relaxed trigger requirement." This allowed triggering on lower momentum particles.¹

(iii) By improved geometrical corrections and the application of bubblechamber kinematical fitting programs, a higher mass resolution of the X states, intermediate between the ψ and ψ' , was obtained.

(iv) The magnetic detector was used as a γ -ray pair spectrometer. By inclusion of the proportional chambers in track reconstruction, the measurements allowed the reconstruction of lower electron or positron momenta than possible in earlier work.

*This report was done with support from the United States Energy Research and Development Administration. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the United States Energy Research and Development Administration.

**Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science, Berkeley, California, 1975 - 76.

I will discuss the following topics in this talk:

A. Intermediate states between the ψ and ψ' observed via their hadronic decay modes.² We have observed three intermediate states, X(3415), X(3500), and X(3550). For X(3415), which is the most prominent, the following decay channels were observed³: 4π[±], 2π[±]2κ[±], 6π[±], 2π[±] or 2κ[±], and 2π[±]pp̄.
B. From an inclusive study of e⁺e⁻ pairs due to converted γ rays (and Dalitz

-2-

- pairs), the process $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma + \chi(3415)$ was observed.
- C. By further demanding a ψ in the final state with $\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ or $\mu^+\mu^-$ we have studied the reaction $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\psi$. While in our earlier work⁵ only the P_c was observed,⁶ we now find evidence for three distinct states at: 3455 MeV, 3505 MeV, and 3545 MeV. The first of these does not show any signal above background in the hadronic decay modes studied. The last two can be associated with the X's mentioned above. Taken altogether, we thus have evidence⁴ for four distinct intermediate states between the ψ and ψ' .
- D. We have made a search for the reaction

with M(pp) near 2800 MeV. Evidence for such a state was presented by the DASP group from DESY at the 1975 Photon Conference at SLAC. We find no clear signal for this reaction and present upper limits for it.⁷

 $\psi \rightarrow \gamma X$ $\downarrow \rightarrow p\overline{p}$

A. Intermediate States Between the ψ and ψ' Observed Via Their Hadronic Decay Modes

Following our original observation of the X states,² we have now studied a much larger sample of ψ ' decays. In this study corrections for some small residual geometrical distortions were made. The data we report here has also been fitted by the kinematical fitting program, SQUAW, to the hypotheses:

LBL-4884

$$-3-$$

$$\rightarrow \gamma + \pi^{\dagger}\pi^{-}\pi^{\dagger}\pi^{-}$$
(1)

$$\psi' \rightarrow \gamma + \pi^+ \pi^- \kappa^+ \kappa^-$$
(2)

$$\psi^{*} \rightarrow \gamma + 3\pi^{\dagger} 3\pi^{-} \qquad (3)$$

Figure 1 shows the missing mass squared distribution for reaction (1). As may be noted, a peak in missing mass squared (MM²) consistent with gamma

 π



The missing mass squared Fig. 1. distribution in $\psi^* \rightarrow 4\pi^{\pm} + X$ for two missing momentum intervals as shown.

production is observed. A cut was made to select $|MM^2| < 0.05 \text{ GeV/c}$. As we have shown earlier, a major decay mode of the ψ' is the cascade process:

$$\psi^{*} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-} + \psi \quad . \tag{4}$$

The missing mass against all combinations of the $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ systems is shown in Fig. 2a for four-prong events and in Fig. 2b for five- and six-prong events. To avoid the decay channel (4) in the study of the X final states, we make a

LBL-4884





10 MeV

БR

R P

NUMBE

XBL 764-1617

Fig. 2. Missing mass distributions against $\pi^+\pi^-$ systems in ψ^{\bullet} decay for four-prong and five- and six-prong events. This data corresponds to a partial sample only and is shown here to illustrate the "cascade cut."

LBL-4884

(6)

"cascade cut." This involves cutting out those events for which a missing mass against the $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ system lies within the energy band 3.05 to 3.20 GeV. This cut is indicated in Fig. 2. For the four-prong events a further cut of $M(\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) >$ 2.8 GeV was made to exclude events of the type $\psi' \rightarrow \psi + \eta \rightarrow \mu \mu \pi \pi \pi \pi^{\circ}$. In Fig. 3 is shown the resultant mass spectrum for channels (1), (2) and (3) respectively. All of these correspond to kinematically fitted data. Figures 4 and 5 show the data for the decay channels:

-5-

 $\Psi' \rightarrow \gamma + (\pi \pi^+ \sigma \kappa^+ \kappa^-)$ (5) $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma + \pi^+ \pi^- p \overline{p}$.

and

As may be noted from Fig. 3 there is good evidence in reactions (1) and (2) for three distinct X states, X(3415), X(3500), and X(3550). In addition we note a peak at (nearly) the full energy of the ψ '. This corresponds to the direct decay $\psi' \rightarrow 4\pi^{\pm}, \psi' \rightarrow 2\pi^{\pm}2\kappa^{\pm}$, etc. On careful inspection one can see that the mass lies .~ 30 MeV below the ψ ' mass. This arises because the fitting program for a 1C fit will still provide a "\-ray" for these events and this introduces an artificial shift which is always downwards from the ψ ' mass. In the unfitted data the events in these highest mass peaks do show the correct ψ ' mass. Furthermore we can note that the direct decay into an even number of pions is G-parity suppressed and occurs only via an intermediate virtual photon. On the other hand $\psi' \rightarrow 2\pi^{\pm} 2K^{\pm}$ and $\psi' \rightarrow 2\pi^{\pm} p\overline{p}$ can proceed via the strong interactions and thus appear relatively enhanced when compared to the corresponding X decays.

In Fig. 6 is shown the angular distribution of the γ rays from channel (1) for the three X states. Figure 7a shows $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ for reaction (1), while Fig. 7b shows $M(\kappa^{\pm}\pi^{\mp})$ for reaction (2). As may be noted, a strong ρ^{O} and $\kappa^{\star}(890)$ signal respectively is observed. The decay distributions for the other two X states are similar. Here it should also be noted that in Fig. 7a there are four $\pi^+\pi^-$ combinations per event, while in Fig. 7b there are only





-6-



 $M(pp\pi^{+}\pi^{-}) MeV$

Fig. 5. ψ' decay to $\pi^+\pi^-p\overline{p}$ with cuts as discussed in text, unfitted data.



Fig. 6. Angular distribution of the γ -rays for the three X states.

-8-

LBL-4884



two $K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}$ combinations per event. From a triangle plot in two dimensions, there is no evidence for double ρ or double $K^*(890)$ production respectively.

1. Branching Fractions for the X States

We have preliminary results on the branching fractions B_f for the X states. Here B_f is composed of two components $B_f = B_f B_h$ where B_γ is the branching ratio for $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma + \chi_i$ and B_h is the branching ratio for $\chi_i \rightarrow$ hadrons. The B_f values are given in Table I. These values are based on preliminary efficiency determinations and errors are estimated at $\pm 50\%$.

2. Quantum Numbers of the X States

From the fact the X states are produced by radiative decay of the ψ' , C = +1 for all of them. If I-spin and G-parity are good quantum numbers for these states, from the fact that we observe a decay into even number of pions, G = + for all of them, and from the relation G = C(-1)^I, we can infer that I = 0 for all of them. I = 2 is unlikely as it would involve Table I. Preliminary values for branching fractions in ψ ' decay. Uncertainties in these values are estimated at \pm 50%.



an unknown type of electromagnetic decay.

 $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{0}$

The γ -decay angular distribution shown in Fig. 6 can be expressed as:

a = 1

$$1 + a \cos^2 \theta$$
.

We then expect:

 $J = 1 \qquad a = -1/3 \\ J = 2 \qquad a = 0.06$ to lowest multipole order

-11-

LBL-4884

We note that the γ -decay distribution for $\chi(3415)$ is consistent with J = 0; on the other hand we observe $\chi(3415) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ or $\kappa^+\kappa^-$ from Fig. 4. This implies $J^P = 0^+$, 2^+ , etc. Combining this information we can conclude that for $\chi(3415)$ $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$. We cannot at this time draw any conclusions on J^P assignments for the other χ states.

B. The Inclusive γ -Ray Spectrum at the ψ and ψ'

We have studied the inclusive γ -ray spectrum from γ conversions in the 0.051 radiation length of material of the cylindrical scintillation counters, beam pipe, etc. Dalitz pairs (and internally converted γ -rays) also contribute to this sample. Figure 8 shows the γ -ray detection efficiency as a function of γ -ray energy. For e[±] momentum measurement the e[±] have to give at least one signal in the proportional chambers (R = 17, 22 cm) and one in each of the two innermost cylindrical spark chambers (R = 66, 91 cm). This implies a low momentum cutoff in perpendicular momentum (P₁) at ~ 50 MeV/c for each e[±] track. For definiteness in Monte-Carlo efficiency calculations we apply a safe cutoff at P₁ = 55 MeV/c in the software. As a consequence of this cutoff our efficiency for γ detection drops rapidly below 200 MeV γ -rays.



Fig. 8. SPEAR detector as γ spectrometer. Detection efficiency.

lbl~4884





-13-

LBL-4884

The measured γ -ray spectrum for ψ and ψ' decay is shown in Fig. 9. We note a clear signal in the ψ' decay spectrum at $E_{\gamma} = 263 \pm 10$. This gives a mass for the corresponding X states of ~ 3410 MeV. The branching ratio $B_{\psi'\gamma'}$ estimated for a γ decay angular distribution of $1 + \cos^2 \theta$, is $8.5 \pm 4\%$.

C. <u>The Reaction $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi$ </u>

By demanding a converted γ -ray together with final state ψ decay

$$\psi \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$$
(7)
$$\psi \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}$$
(8)

we have studied the reaction:

or

$$\Psi' \to \gamma \gamma \Psi \quad . \tag{9}$$

 $\rightarrow \gamma + P_{c}$

An example of this reaction with the ψ decay mode (7) is shown in Fig. 10.



Fig. 10. Computer reconstruction of an example of the reaction

Note that the first γ -ray converts in a shower counter.

In using the events with $\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ care must be taken to eliminate a background due to final state radiation in the decay $\psi' \rightarrow e^+e^-$. An example of this process is shown in Fig. 11. After elimination of events consistent with π^0 or η^0 production we remain with a sample of 21 events corresponding to reaction (9). There still remains the ambiguity as to which γ -ray comes from ψ' decay and which from the decay of possible intermediate states. We thus compute two solutions for each event: the "low mass $(\psi\gamma)$ " and "high mass $(\psi\gamma)$ " solutions.



1

Y



XBL 764-1622



е

$$\psi^{\dagger} \rightarrow e^{\dagger}e^{-} + \gamma$$

 $| \rightarrow e^{\dagger}e^{-}$

-15-

LBL-4884

These are given in a scatter plot in Fig. 12. As may be noted the events cluster in three regions and furthermore the projections on the "high mass solution" give three narrow peaks, while the other projection gives a broad distribution. This suggests that we are observing three narrow states at masses 3545, 3505, and 3415 MeV. In the projection on the y axis one is then presumably combining the ψ and a Doppler-shifted γ , emitted from the decay of a recoiling intermediate state. The expected Doppler broadening is ~ 50 MeV and is consistent with the observation. Table II gives the branching fractions where the errors are dominated by the statistical error of a small number of events.

Table II. Preliminary values for branching fractions of $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \psi$ with conversion of one γ -ray.

4-> 884 Lo ete Bf = Boy · Bf Byy MEV EVENTS Bf 1% 3545 3% 12 3505 1% 4 3455 0.25% 8.5±4% 3415

RESOLUTION ~ 8 MeV



-16-

LBL-4884



LBL-4884

(10)

D. Search for the η_{o} With pp Decay Mode

-17-

We do not observe any mass peak which could correspond to

Figure 13 shows a scatter plot of β vs p for two-prong events at the ψ . The data was preselected so that each event had at least one prong with mass measured from time of flight > 350 MeV. Thus the separation between the pion



Fig. 13. Scatter plot of β from time of flight versus momentum.

band and K band is in part artificial. However the separation between kaons and protons is real. Figure 14 shows a plot of p_p vs $p_{\overline{p}}$ for two-prong events. Figure 15 shows a plot of normalized missing momentum p/E_o vs normalized mass

of the $p\bar{p}$ system $M(p\bar{p})/(2E_0)$. The relevant features are marked on the plot. Parenthetically we note a large signal corresponding to

$$\psi \to p \bar{p} \eta$$
 $B = (1.9 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-3}$ (11)



Fig. 14. Scatter plot of proton momentum versus antiproton momentum.

714 فكمخ





 $B = (2.0 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}, \sim 300$ events. $\overline{q}q \leftarrow \psi$ (12)The line sketeched in Fig. 14 corresponds to the 2810 MeV mass region. As may be noted for both Figs. 14 and 16 which is the $M(p\overline{p})$ mass projection for low MM ($MM^2 < 0.03$ GeV), we see no mass peak near 2800 MeV. The upper limits corresponding to this data are given in Table III.



-20-

Fig. 16. Mass of the $p\bar{p}$ system for missing mass cut $MM^2 < 0.03 \text{ GeV}^2$.

The results presented here are the work of the LBL-SLAC Collaboration at SPEAR, whose members are: G. S. Abrams, S. Alam, A. M. Boyarski, M. Breidenbach, F. Bulos, W. Chinowsky, R. G. DeVoe, G. J. Feldman, G. E. Fischer, C. E. Friedberg, D. Fryberger, G. Goldhaber, G. Hanson, D. Hartill, B. Jean-Marie, A. D. Johnson, J. A. Kadyk, R. R. Larsen, A. Litke, D. Lüke, V. Lüth, H. L. Lynch, R. Madaras, C. C. Morehouse, H. K. Nguyen, J. M. Paterson, M. L. Perl,

-21-

LBL-4884

Table III. Upper limits in the branching ratio B for the reaction

$ \underbrace{\psi \rightarrow \gamma + x}_{\begin{array}{c} \rightarrow p\overline{p} \end{array}} $		
Energy of peak (GeV)	90% C.L. Upper limit Number of events	В
2.85 ± 0.05	4.0	< 3× 10 ⁻⁵
2.80±0.05	5.8	< 4 × 10 ⁻⁵
2.70±0.05	12.0	< 8× 10 ⁻⁵
2.65 ± 0.05	13.6	< 9× 10 ⁻⁵

I. Peruzzi, M. Piccolo, F. M. Pierre, P. Rapidis, B. Richter, B. Sadoulet, R. F. Schwitters, J. Siegrist, W. Tanenbaum, G. H. Trilling, F. Vannucci, J. S. Whitaker, F. C. Winkelmann, and J. E. Wiss.

FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

1. Our earlier trigger requirements consisted of a coincidence between the central cylindrical counters surrounding the beam pipe and two (or more) of the trigger counters at radius 1.5 meters, where at least two have an associated shower counter. The entire system was gated to the e⁺e⁻ collision time. Here an associated shower counter means a shower counter located within the immediate vicinity of the latched trigger counter. This trigger thus requires at least two charged particles to reach the shower counters. The new "relaxed" trigger requires a coincidence between the central cylindrical counters and any two (or more) of the trigger counter; in addition, at least one signal in the proportional chambers was required. Thus the new trigger requires one particle to penetrate to the shower counters but the second particle need only reach the trigger counters.

- 2. G. J. Feldman, B. Jean-Marie, B. Sadoulet, F. Vannucci et al., Phys. Rev. Letters <u>35</u>, 821 (1975).
- 3. G. H. Trilling, A. D. Johnson et al., in preparation.
- 4. J. S. Whitaker, W. Tanenbaum et al., in preparation.
- 5. W. Tanenbaum, J. S. Whitaker et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 35, 1323 (1975).

6. W. Braunschweig et al., Physics Letters <u>57B</u>, 407 (1975).

7. M. Piccolo, I. Peruzzi et al, in preparation.

LEGAL NOTICE -

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

N. 18 2.

ð

1.3