UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Multiscale modeling of glioblastoma.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4wg851q3

Journal

Translational Cancer Research, 7(Suppl 1)

ISSN

2218-676X

Authors

Yan, Huaming Romero-López, Mónica Benitez, Lesly I <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2018-02-01

DOI 10.21037/tcr.2017.12.10

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Transl Cancer Res.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 September 10.

Published in final edited form as: *Transl Cancer Res.* 2018 February ; 7(Suppl 1): S96–S98. doi:10.21037/tcr.2017.12.10.

Multiscale modeling of glioblastoma

Huaming Yan^{#1}, Mónica Romero-López^{#2}, Lesly I. Benitez³, Kaijun Di⁴, Hermann B. Frieboes^{5,6}, Christopher C. W. Hughes^{2,3,7,8}, Daniela A. Bota^{4,7,9}, and John S. Lowengrub^{1,2,7,8} ¹Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, USA ²Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Irvine, USA ³Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, University of California, Irvine, USA ⁴Department of Neurology, University of California, Irvine, USA ⁵James Graham Brown Cancer Center, University of Louisville, Louisville, USA

⁶Department of Bioengineering, University of Louisville, Louisville, USA

⁷Chao Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Irvine, USA

⁸Center for Complex Biological Systems, University of California, Irvine, USA

⁹Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California, Irvine, USA

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

As reviewed in the Editorial "*Targeting glioblastoma stem-cells: a recurrent challenge in neuro-oncology*" (1), we recently developed a 3D mathematical model of glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) progression and response to therapy (2). The development of the model necessarily required assumptions to be made about which key biological processes to incorporate and which to exclude. We aimed to develop a model that extensively defined the main features of GBM, which, as described in the Editorial, include invasiveness, intense proliferation, necrosis and neovascularization, as well as the crosstalk among GBM cells and cells in the microenvironment, and to use this model to test the effectiveness of different anti-GBM treatment strategies available in the clinical practice.

In our mathematical model, we assumed that GBM had a hierarchical structure containing glioblastoma stem cells (GSCs), more rapidly dividing glioblastoma committed progenitor cells (GCPs) and post-mitotic glioblastoma terminally differentiated cells (GTDs). This mimics the underlying structure of the normal brain and a recent clonal analysis of human GBM supports this assumption (3). We also accounted for nonlinear interactions between

Correspondence to: John S. Lowengrub. University of California, Irvine, USA. jslowengrub@gmail.com; lowengrb@math.uci.edu. *Conflicts of Interest.* The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Provenance: This is an invited Editorial commissioned by the Section Editor Ning Huang (Department of Neurosurgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China).

Response to: Berzero G, Picca A, Sanson M. Targeting glioblastoma stem-cells: a recurrent challenge in neuro-oncology. Transl Cancer Res 2017;6:S1197–9.

Yan et al.

the GBM cellular populations and a tumor-induced neovascular network, which provides GBM cells with nutrients and oxygen as well as a perivascular niche for GSCs (4,5).

We were intrigued by the possibility that GSCs could transdifferentiate into vascular endothelial cells (GECs), as suggested by mouse xenograft models (6,7), thereby potentially providing an additional mechanism of neovascular development and of resistance to antiangiogenic therapy because it is thought that GECs are not VEGF-dependent (7). Further, GECs may also contribute to GBM resistance to anti-mitotic therapies such as radiation and chemotherapy (8) and GECs aid in maintaining GSCs, which are highly resistant to the currently used treatments. We thus incorporated a branch in the GBM lineage in our mathematical model to account for transdifferentiation of GSCs to GECs and tracked the spatiotemporal dynamics of all the cell types. We then tested the response of our simulated tumors to available monotherapies and combinatorial therapies. We found that monotherapies are ultimately limited in their effectiveness and that combinations of antimitotic, pro-differentiation (anti-GSC), and anti-angiogenesis and anti-GEC drugs already approved by the FDA could be used to target the major GBM and microenvironmental cell types, and could potentially lead to tumor eradication.

As the Editorial states, and as is discussed in our article, the presence of GECs in human tumors remains controversial as some studies have claimed that GEC are a rare population of cells in glioma in humans (9,10) making it questionable as to whether these GECs play a role in human GBMs. However, others claim that GECs may be found in clinical specimens (11) and it is our understanding that this is still an area of active research. For example, since the publication of our article, a new study revealed that 30 out of 64 clinical GBM patient samples showed evidence of GECs and in 21 of those 30 samples GECs were found to form vessels that constituted approximately 14–18% of the total number of vessels (12). The role of GEC vessels in resistance to anti-angiogenic treatment remains unknown.

Because the GSCs are relatively resistant to antimitotic therapies, but drive invasiveness of GBM, and may contribute to neovascular development, anti-GSC treatments should be tried. In our paper, we used pro-differentiation agents such as retinoic acid (RA) derivatives as an anti-GSC treatment. Such treatments have actually been tested in humans and clinical trials suggest that the best efficacy comes when RA derivatives are used in patients with recurrent GBM either as monotherapy using 13-cis-retinoic acid naphthalene triazole (13) or using 13-cis-retinoic acid in combination with temozolomide (14). More research in this direction is clearly merited.

The Editorial also discusses evidence for reprogramming of differentiated GBM cells to a tumor-propagating GSC-like state in *in vitro* models (15), and that this rate may be increased when GBM cells are subject to therapy (16). This could obviously confound our results. As mentioned in our article, we did explore therapy-induced reprogramming of GCP and GTDs although we did not explicitly present the results. The efficacy of the combination treatment we proposed depends on the dedifferentiation rates for which there is little experimental data, especially *in vivo* where the extent of reprogramming still needs to be investigated. When the reprogramming rates are sufficiently small, the combinatorial treatments we proposed are effective but when the rates are large, the therapies may fail due to a large

Transl Cancer Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 September 10.

Yan et al.

influx of GSCs, and in this case additional anti-reprogramming treatments (17) may be needed.

As stated in the Editorial, GBM (and microenvironmental) cells may acquire drug resistance and this may also confound our results. We acknowledge that we did not model specific celllevel genetic mutations that could give rise to resistant cell types. Instead, we modeled the cells as having different response rates to therapies. For example, the GSCs are the most resistant to anti-mitotic therapies. Thus, over time, anti-mitotic therapies will increase the fraction of GSCs in the GBM and will naturally lead to a decreased response of the tumor over time to anti-mitotic treatment. We did not model a variable response of cells to the other types of therapy we considered—anti-GSC, anti-angiogenic and anti-GEC—but our simulations show that the latter two treatments could enhance tumor invasiveness that ultimately makes the GBM refractory to these treatments. It would be interesting to decrease the response rates of the cells over time to the various therapies to mimic the development of drug resistance.

In summary, while the mathematical model we presented in (2) does not reproduce all the complexity of GBMs, we agree with the Editorial that the model does provide an elegant tool to test the nonlinear interactions between GBM cells, microenvironmental cells and their response to treatment in ways that are difficult to access experimentally. As new data becomes available the assumptions of the model can be updated to incorporate the new knowledge. Ultimately, the utility of the model is to develop hypotheses that can be experimentally tested, leading to new biological insight and more effective therapeutic strategies to be validated in future clinical trials.

Acknowledgements

Funding: This work is supported in part by the National Science Foundation Division of Mathematical Sciences (H Yan, JS Lowengrub), a UC-MEXUS fellowship (M Romero-López), a Miguel Velez fellowship at UCI (M Romero-López), the National Institutes of Health through grants U54CA143907 (HB Frieboes), R01HL60067 and R01CA180122 (CC Hughes), P50GM76516 for the Center of Excellence in Systems Biology at the University of California, Irvine (JS Lowengrub), and the National Institute for Neurological Diseases and Stroke Award (NINDS/NIH) NS072234 (DA Bota). JS Lowengrub, DA Bota and CC Hughes receive support from the Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center at University of California, Irvine through an NCI Center Grant Award, P30CA062203.

References

- Berzero G, Picca A, Sanson M. Targeting glioblastoma stem-cells: a recurrent challenge in neurooncology. Transl Cancer Res 2017;6:S1197–9.
- 2. Yan H, Romero-Lopez M, Benitez LI, et al. 3D Mathematical Modeling of Glioblastoma Suggests That Transdifferentiated Vascular Endothelial Cells Mediate Resistance to Current Standard-of-Care Therapy. Cancer Res 2017;77:4171–84. [PubMed: 28536277]
- 3. Lan X, Jorg DJ, Cavalli FM, et al. Fate mapping of human glioblastoma reveals an invariant stem cell hierarchy. Nature 2017;549:227–32. [PubMed: 28854171]
- Charles N, Ozawa T, Squatrito M, et al. Perivascular nitric oxide activates notch signaling and promotes stem-like character in PDGF-induced glioma cells. Cell Stem Cell 2010;6:141–52. [PubMed: 20144787]
- Calabrese C, Poppleton H, Kocak M, et al. A perivascular niche for brain tumor stem cells. Cancer Cell 2007;11:69–82. [PubMed: 17222791]

Transl Cancer Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 September 10.

Yan et al.

- Ricci-Vitiani L, Pallini R, Biffoni M, et al. Tumour vascularization via endothelial differentiation of glioblastoma stem-like cells. Nature 2010;468:824–8. [PubMed: 21102434]
- 7. Soda Y, Marumoto T, Friedmann-Morvinski D, et al. Transdifferentiation of glioblastoma cells into vascular endothelial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011;108:4274–80. [PubMed: 21262804]
- Borovski T, Beke P, van Tellingen O, et al. Therapy-resistant tumor microvascular endothelial cells contribute to treatment failure in glioblastoma multiforme. Oncogene 2013;32:1539–48. [PubMed: 22614016]
- 9. Rodriguez FJ, Orr BA, Ligon KL, et al. Neoplastic cells are a rare component in human glioblastoma microvasculature. Oncotarget 2012;3:98–106. [PubMed: 22298889]
- 10. Kulla A, Burkhardt K, Meyer-Puttlitz B, et al. Analysis of the TP53 gene in laser-microdissected glioblastoma vasculature. Acta Neuropathol 2003;105:328–32. [PubMed: 12624785]
- 11. Dong J, Zhao Y, Huang Q, et al. Glioma stem/progenitor cells contribute to neovascularization via transdifferentiation. Stem Cell Rev 2011;7:141–52. [PubMed: 20697979]
- Mei X, Chen YS, Chen FR, et al. Glioblastoma stem cell differentiation into endothelial cells evidenced through live-cell imaging. Neuro Oncol 2017;19:1109–18. [PubMed: 28340100]
- Jia PF, Gu WT, Zhang WF, et al. Treatment of recurrent malignant gliomas with 13-cis-retinoic acid naphthalene triazole. Neurol Sci 2015;36:717–21. [PubMed: 25560534]
- Jaeckle KA, Hess KR, Yung WK, et al. Phase II evaluation of temozolomide and 13-cis-retinoic acid for the treatment of recurrent and progressive malignant glioma: a North American Brain Tumor Consortium study. J Clin Oncol 2003;21:2305–11. [PubMed: 12805331]
- Suva ML, Rheinbay E, Gillespie SM, et al. Reconstructing and reprogramming the tumorpropagating potential of glioblastoma stem-like cells. Cell 2014;157:580–94. [PubMed: 24726434]
- Vlashi E, Pajonk F. Cancer stem cells, cancer cell plasticity and radiation therapy. Semin Cancer Biol 2015;31:28–35. [PubMed: 25025713]
- 17. Rhodes A, Hillen T. Mathematical Modeling of the Role of Survivin on Dedifferentiation and Radioresistance in Cancer. Bull Math Biol 2016;78:1162–88. [PubMed: 27271121]