UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Parallel changes in gut microbiome composition and function during colonization, local adaptation and ecological speciation.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4x62p9vh

Journal Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 286(1916)

Authors

Rennison, Diana Rudman, Seth Schluter, Dolph

Publication Date

2019-12-04

DOI

10.1098/rspb.2019.1911

Peer reviewed

PROCEEDINGS B

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb

Research



Cite this article: Rennison DJ, Rudman SM, Schluter D. 2019 Parallel changes in gut microbiome composition and function during colonization, local adaptation and ecological speciation. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **286**: 20191911. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.1911

Received: 28 August 2019 Accepted: 8 November 2019

Subject Category:

Evolution

Subject Areas: evolution, genomics, ecology

Keywords:

gut microbiome, adaptation, ecological speciation, parallel evolution, fish, threespine stickleback

Author for correspondence:

Diana J. Rennison e-mail: drennison@ucsd.edu

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work and are listed alphabetically. [‡]Present address: Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA. [¶]Present address: Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA.

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. c.4742096.

Parallel changes in gut microbiome composition and function during colonization, local adaptation and ecological speciation

Diana J. Rennison^{†,‡}, Seth M. Rudman^{†,¶} and Dolph Schluter

Department of Zoology and Biodiversity Research Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

(DJR, 0000-0002-5944-0743)

The processes of local adaptation and ecological speciation are often strongly shaped by biotic interactions such as competition and predation. One of the strongest lines of evidence that biotic interactions drive evolution comes from the repeated divergence of lineages in association with repeated changes in the community of interacting species. Yet relatively little is known about the repeatability of changes in gut microbial communities and their role in adaptation and divergence of host populations in nature. Here we use three cases of rapid, parallel adaptation and speciation in freshwater threespine stickleback to test for parallel changes in associated gut microbiomes. We find that features of the gut microbial communities have shifted repeatedly in the same direction in association with parallel divergence and speciation of stickleback hosts. These results suggest that changes to gut microbiomes can occur rapidly and predictably in conjunction with host evolution, and that host–microbe interactions might play an important role in host adaptation and diversification.

1. Background

Bacteria play a crucial role in the physiology, ecology and evolution of animals [1–4]. Like other interspecific interactions, the composition of affiliated microbial communities can impact host performance and relative fitness [5,6]. There is increasing appreciation for the role of gut microbiomes in the evolution of hosts [4,7]. Recent studies have demonstrated that patterns of gut microbial community composition largely reflect host phylogeny ('phylosymbiosis' [2,8–10]), and that gut microbiomes can contribute to reproductive isolation [11,12] and can drive rapid evolution in host populations [7]. The importance of microbiomes for host performance has led to the suggestion that host evolution cannot be understood without consideration of their associated microorganisms [13]. Despite the recognition of the importance of gut microbiomes in driving host evolution, relatively little is known about whether and how microbial changes affect local adaptation in natural host populations. This lack of knowledge stems in part from the inherent difficulty of studying the causes of adaptation and speciation in nature.

Cases of parallel evolution that are associated with repeated transitions in communities of interacting species have been vital in identifying how biotic interactions drive phenotypic and genomic change in nature [14–17]. Instances of parallel evolution might likewise be a useful tool for uncovering the relationship between host local adaptation and transitions in characteristics of gut microbial communities. If host evolution and gut microbiomes are linked, then a straightforward prediction is that local adaptation in hosts should be associated with repeatable changes in gut microbial communities [10,18]. These changes should be particularly repeatable in functionally important components of the gut microbiome, as microbial communities can exhibit parallelism in functional

composition but not taxonomic composition [19]. Diet is a major factor that shapes the vertebrate microbiome [2,18,20–22], so cases of parallel evolution that lead to convergence in diet create a particularly strong prediction of parallelism in the gut microbiome. Some prior work has assessed gut microbial divergence between ecotypes [23,24], but little is known about whether parallel host evolution is associated with parallel changes in gut microbial communities.

Determining the strength of the association between parallel evolution in hosts and parallel shifts in gut microbial communities is a crucial step towards understanding the role of host-microbe interactions in the adaptive process. Sister taxa occurring sympatrically are ideal for understanding whether and how genetically based differences in morphology, physiology or behavior shape gut microbiomes in a natural setting because the species inhabit a common environment. A common environment allows for an assessment of potential genetic differences in gut microbial communities in a natural context, where, unlike in most laboratory-rearing scenarios, heritable differences in host habitat choice and diet that influence gut microbial composition are expressed. If interactions with gut microbial communities have influenced the direction of host evolution or host evolution shapes host microbiomes, then we expect that features of microbial communities will reflect evolved differences between host populations in genotype and phenotype.

Threespine stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus) of British Columbia, Canada, are an ideal system in which to uncover the relationship between host local adaptation and gut microbial communities in nature. Stickleback are a textbook case of repeated local adaptation. Marine stickleback independently colonized and adapted to many freshwater environments at the end of the last ice age approximately 11 000 years ago [25]. The adaptation of marine populations to freshwater conditions is characterized by parallel genetic, morphological and physiological changes [16,25-28]. In five independent lakes, double colonization and natural selection have also driven the evolution of sympatric pairs of stickleback species [29]. The two species within each pair differ greatly in their morphology and diet, and mate assortatively in the wild [14,30,31]. One is deep-bodied and forages in the near shore environment primarily on aquatic larval insects and other invertebrates (termed the 'benthic ecotype'). The other sympatric ecotype is shallow-bodied and forages primarily in the pelagic zone on zooplankton (termed the 'limnetic ecotype') [14,31]. The repeated local adaptation and speciation in independent freshwater environments allows us to test the associations between environment, host ecotype and gut microbial communities in nature.

To test for repeated changes in gut microbial community composition in association with parallel evolution, we leverage the repeated evolution of benthic and limnetic stickleback ecotypes. We use both taxonomy and microbial function to test whether microbiomes show parallelism across independently evolved species pairs. In an additional contrast, we use the recent breakdown of one species pair into a population of advanced generation hybrids that are intermediate in morphology and diet (reverse speciation) [32,33] to examine whether the gut microbial community showed predictable changes. Finally, we use the marine to freshwater transition that many stickleback populations, including the sympatric species pairs, have undergone [25] to again test whether evolutionary parallelism leads to parallel gut microbial changes and to determine whether the diversity of gut microbial pathogens is reduced following colonization of a new habitat (i.e. the 'honeymoon hypothesis' [34]).

2. Material and methods

(a) Field collections

Field sampling of threespine stickleback from eight populations was done between April and May 2011, in the southwestern region of British Columbia, Canada (electronic supplementary material, table S1). Five marine individuals were sampled from Oyster Lagoon, representing the ancestral marine population that founded these freshwater populations approximately 11 000 years ago. In three lakes containing species pairs (Little Quarry, Paxton and Priest), we sampled five individuals of each ecotype (benthic and limnetic; 30 individuals total). In addition, we sampled five individuals from Enos Lake, which contained a species pair of stickleback until they underwent reverse speciation in 2000 [32]. Fish were captured using unbaited minnow traps, which were set for 10-15 h in high-usage foraging areas of each aquatic environment, prior to being euthanized with buffered MS-222 (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). To control for the effects of sex [35] and age, only adult male stickleback were included in the study.

(b) Laboratory rearing

Three benthic × benthic, three limnetic × limnetic and three benthic × limnetic crosses from Paxton Lake were raised in the common environment of the laboratory. Crosses were made in April 2011 using pure wild-caught parental fish. The offspring of these crosses were reared in 100 l freshwater tanks for eight months; during this time, all crosses were fed the same diet of brine shrimp *Artemia, Mysis* shrimp and chironomid larvae. At eight months of age, one male individual per family (nine individuals total) was euthanized using buffered MS-222 and prepared for DNA extraction.

(c) DNA extraction and sequencing

After euthanasia, the whole digestive tract was removed using sterile instruments. Any visible prey items within the digestive tract were removed. The posterior portion of the oesophagus, the entire stomach and the foremost anterior part of the intestine were triple rinsed using lysis buffer (approx. 2 ml rinse⁻¹) and all three rinses were combined. This lysis buffer solution was then immediately used in a standard phenol–chloroform DNA extraction protocol. The resulting DNA was amplified using the Earth microbiome 515F-806R 16S rRNA primers [36], with three separate PCR amplification reactions per sample. The three PCR reactions from each sample were pooled, then uniquely barcoded and sequenced paired end (151 bp × 151 bp reads) on a MiSeq platform. Negative controls were carried through the entire extraction process and showed no amplification.

(d) Bioinformatic analysis

MacQIIME version 1.9.1 was used for the analysis of raw Illumina sequence reads to identify operational taxonomic units (OTUs), determine a phylogenetic tree and calculate diversity metrics [37]. We followed the analysis pipeline outlined by Caporaso *et al.* [37,38], excluded reads with greater than 1 base pair error and picked OTUs using a 0.97 similarity threshold and default parameters from MacQIIME. The relative abundance of each taxon was estimated from the final OTU table, summarized at the level of order and plotted. From the OTU table, we calculated the core microbiome, which is a set of bacterial OTUs shared by 100% (strict) or 60% (relaxed) of the individuals in a group (limnetic,

benthic, hybrid, freshwater and marine) using the *compute_core_ microbiome.py* script in MacQIIME. We assessed the overlap in the core microbiome between each different ecotype.

To assess community composition and diversity, we rarefied the data 10 times to 90 000 sequences per sample (two samples with less than 90 000 reads were excluded), used each independent rarefaction to estimate alpha (richness, choa1 diversity and phylogenetic diversity) and beta diversity (Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, unweighted and weighted UniFrac [39]), and then averaged the estimates across the 10 replicates (see electronic supplementary material, figure S1 for rarefaction plots and table S2 for individual sequencing read counts). The final OTU dataset consisted of 14 991 OTUs. Downstream analyses were based on Bray-Curtis estimates of dissimilarity. However, additional figures constructed from unweighted and weighted UniFrac metrics are provided in electronic supplementary material, figures S2 and S3. Microbial function assignments were done using PICRUSt version 1.1.0 [40], OTUs were categorized by biological function and KEGG annotations recorded.

(e) Statistical analysis

We used linear mixed-effects models to examine species richness with fish ecotype as a fixed effect and lake of origin as a random effect. Gut microbial community composition was quantified using Bray–Curtis dissimilarity between individuals based on estimated microbial abundance. Dissimilarity values were analysed with NMDS in R using the *Vegan* package [38]. Only the first five NMDS axes were retained in subsequent analyses. Separate NMDS analyses were carried out to test for parallelism in taxonomic composition (OTUs) and microbial community function (KEGG designation). Independent evolutionary events of speciation and freshwater colonization were used as statistical replicates for all tests. Results were visualized using the *ggplot2* package [41].

To quantify parallelism in gut microbial composition and function, we measured the angles between multivariate vectors based on the first five NMDS axes that described the direction of the difference between populations in their gut microbiomes [42]. Each vector represents the direction and magnitude of divergence in gut microbiome composition (taxonomic diversity or function) between ecotypes (benthic and limnetic or marine and fresh). A small angle between the divergence vectors of two ecotype pairs represents a high degree of parallelism in gut microbial divergence. A 90° angle would indicate no parallelism in the pattern of gut microbial divergence, and a large angle (closer to 180°) indicates a dissimilar direction of divergence. This vector-based approach has previously been used to estimate parallelism in phenotypes and genotypes between populations diverging repeatedly across similar environments (e.g. [43]). We described the direction of divergence between ecotypes within each pair using a vector connecting the mean position (centroid) of individuals of one ecotype (e.g. limnetic) to the mean position of individuals of the other ecotype (e.g. benthic). We estimated the angle (θ , in degrees) between divergence vectors of each ecotype pair (from each lake) and calculated the average angle. To assess parallelism, we then tested whether the average angle between divergence vectors of different ecotype pairs was smaller than expected by chance. We used t-tests to determine significance and 90° as the null or random expectation.

To understand the taxonomic and functional underpinnings of any observed (or lack of) parallelism, we assessed differences between host populations in the abundance of specific taxonomic and functional microbial groups. We compared the relative abundance of each of the 87 bacterial orders between ecotypes in each population comparison (benthic–limnetic, marine–fresh, hybrid–benthic, hybrid–limnetic), highlighting the taxonomic groups where we found the largest differences between ecotypes (i.e. 10th and 90th quantiles for the difference in abundance). We tested for significant differences between ecotypes using Kruskal–Wallis tests for the relative abundance of microbes falling into the 41 KEGG gene function categories. Relative abundance for gene function is defined as the per cent of the predicted metagenome made up of a given KEGG functional module (category). The *p*-values resulting from each set of tests were corrected for multiple testing using the BH method [44] with the *p.adjust* function.

We also assessed the extent of gut microbial divergence between ecotypes measured as the distance in NMDS coordinates. We extracted NMDS coordinates for each individual within each population. We then calculated the average pairwise Euclidean distance between individuals within a population and compared this distance to the average pairwise distance between individuals in different populations in each population comparison (benthic–limnetic, marine–fresh, hybrid–benthic, hybrid–limnetic). To assess whether individuals from different ecotypes showed significant differences in gut microbiome composition, we conducted MANOVAs on the first five NMDS axes (to match parallelism analysis) with ecotype as a fixed effect.

To test whether more recently colonized freshwater populations carried lower pathogenic loads (i.e. the 'honeymoon hypothesis'), we estimated and compared the relative abundance of the bacterial families known to be pathogenic in fish between marine and freshwater ecotypes. Bacterial taxa were classified as pathogenic if they were identified as belonging to a bacterial family previously shown to cause disease in wild or farmed fish [45]. A two-sample *t*-test was used to test for a statistical difference in average pathogenic load between marine and freshwater fish.

3. Results

(a) Parallel shifts in function but not composition of microbial communities with repeated ecological speciation

We found considerable parallelism in the direction of the difference between independent limnetic and benthic ecotype pairs in the functional properties of the gut microbial communities. The average angle was 17.45° between divergence vectors for the three species pairs, which is significantly more parallel than expected by chance (p = 0.012, $T_2 = -23.71$). By contrast, the average angle between divergence vectors based on taxonomic composition shows substantially less parallelism and was not quite significantly different from the random expectation (76.4°, p = 0.06, $T_2 = -4.303$) (figure 1*a*).

Comparison of the proportion of the metagenome made up of a given KEGG functional module suggested several consistent differences between the microbiomes of benthic and limnetic ecotypes in multiple functional categories (figure 2*a*). After correction for multiple testing, 15 KEGG functional modules differed significantly (p < 0.05) in relative abundance between benthic and limnetic ecotypes (indicated with asterisks in figure 2*a*), eight of which pertained to metabolism.

Although we did not observe parallelism in the vectors based on taxonomic composition there were orders that were notably more enriched in each ecotype. Six orders (Acidimicrobiales, Caulobacterales, Chroococcales, Gloeobacterales, Rhodospirillales and Pseudanabaenales) were on average 20–492 times more abundant in limnetics than benthics and bacteria belonging to Neisseriales were on average 32 times more abundant in benthic than limnetic fish. Using the relaxed criterion for the composition of the core microbiome, we identified 212 core OTUs in the core microbiome of wild benthics



Figure 1. Differentiation of the (*a*) taxonomic composition and (*b*) functional composition of the gut microbiome of benthic and limnetic threespine stickle-back from Paxton, Priest and Little Quarry based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity. (Online version in colour.)

(3.3% of the 6347 OTUs found across all the benthic samples) and 282 core OTUs for wild limnetics (4.1% of the 6923 OTUs found across all limnetic samples). 49% of these core OTUs were shared between the benthic and limnetic ecotype cores. 168 OTUs were unique to either benthics or limnetics; the majority of which were proteobacteria or firmicutes. Using the strict criterion, wild benthic fish had 43 OTUs in their core microbiome, limnetics had 60 OTUs, and there was overlap in 32 OTUs (13 of which were Gammaproteobacteria). Using the strict criterion, there were 39 OTUs that were unique to either ecotype (figure 3 for the relative abundance of bacterial orders for each ecotype).

The composition of the gut microbial community was more similar between individuals from the same ecotype (distance: 0.33, s.d. = 0.10) than between individuals from different ecotypes (distance: 0.42, s.d. = 0.15) (figure 1). This similarity in gut microbial composition between individuals of the same ecotype was more pronounced in the limnetic ecotype and less so in the benthic (limnetic: x = 0.24, s.d. = 0.03; benthic: x = 0.42, s.d. = 0.06) which was driven by reduced variance within lakes in limnetic populations relative to benthic populations. Across individuals from all pairs, ecological speciation was associated with a significant shift in the gut microbiome taxonomic composition of benthic and limnetic stickleback ecotypes ($F_{1,22} = 3.08$, p = 0.030; electronic supplementary material, table S3). There was no significant difference between benthic and limnetic ecotypes in gut

microbial species richness ($F_{1,25} = 0.54$, p = 0.54). When reared in the laboratory environment, we did not observe any evidence that individuals from the same ecotype had more similar gut microbial communities than individuals from different ecotypes (within: 0.55 (s.d. = 0.21), between: 0.524 (s.d. = 0.13); electronic supplementary material, figure S4).

(b) When reproductive isolation breaks down, the gut microbiome also changes

The breakdown of reproductive isolation in Enos Lake (reverse speciation) largely led to a microbiome community that was intermediate in both composition and function relative to extant benthic and limnetic individuals (electronic supplementary material, figure S5). The gut microbiome composition of Enos hybrid fish was significantly different in composition than that found in extant benthic fish ($F_{1,12} = 4.22$, p = 0.019, electronic supplementary material, table S3) and marginally so from that found in extant limnetic fish ($F_{1,12} = 3.06$, p =0.052, electronic supplementary material, table S3). Gut microbe community composition differed less among Enos Lake hybrids than when compared to either benthic or limnetic individuals from intact species pairs (within: 0.228 (s.d. = 0.18), benthic: 0.416 (s.d. = 0.21), limnetic = 0.316 (s.d. = 0.16)). The aspects of the gut microbiome community of Enos Lake individuals that were different or unique relative to the intact species pairs includes 10 orders (Actinomycetales, Bdellovibrionales, Chroococcales, Chromatiales, Gaiellales, Methylococcales, Neisseriales, Pseudanabaenales, Solirubrobacterales and Synechococcales) that were enriched 23-586fold in the hybrid fish relative to intact benthics. Three orders were enriched 50-429-fold in the Enos hybrids relative to intact limnetics (Caldilineales, Chromatiales and Neisseriales) and there were two bacterial orders present in the Enos hybrids (Acidobacteriales and Methanocellales) that were absent from the intact limnetics or benthics.

Enos hybrids had microbiomes that were largely intermediate in function relative to intact benthics and limnetics (electronic supplementary material, figure S5). After correction for multiple testing, there were no significant differences (p > 0.05) in the abundance of taxa associated with any of the KEGG gene categories when comparing Enos fish to intact limnetics. Between Enos fish and benthics, there were trends (0.1 > p > 0.05 after multiple testing correction) towards differences in functional abundance, with nine categories enriched in Enos hybrids and five enriched in benthics (indicated by asterisks in electronic supplementary material, figure S6). Most of the relevant functional categories of these trends related to metabolism or biosynthesis.

A comparison of the core microbiome of Enos hybrids and extant species pairs also revealed unique aspects of the hybrid microbiome. There were 345 OTUs for Enos using the relaxed criteria (9.8% of the 3512 total OTUs found in Enos), and 115 with the strict criteria. The core microbiome of the Enos hybrids overlapped to the same degree with the benthic fish from the other three lakes (relaxed: 41%; strict: 39%) as with the limnetics (relaxed: 41%; strict: 38%). Using the relaxed criteria, 30% of OTUs were unique to the Enos hybrids (strict: 45%). Most unique taxa were Cyanobacteria (15%), Planctomycetes (19%) or Proteobacteria (49%) There was no significant difference between hybrids and extant species pairs in gut microbial species richness ($F_{2,4.65} = 0.57$, p = 0.59, electronic supplementary material, figure S7).



Figure 2. Results of PICRUSt analysis showing relative abundance of KEGG orthologues for stickleback (*a*) benthic and limnetic ecotypes and (*b*) marine and fresh ecotypes. Significant differences in abundance are indicated with an asterisk (p < 0.05 after correction for multiple testing).



Figure 3. Taxonomic composition of the gut bacterial communities of stickleback individuals as grouped by ecotype and lake of origin. Abundant microbial groups are classified to order or class by colour. Bar height indicates fraction of relative abundance. (Online version in colour.)

(c) Freshwater colonization—differentiation and a test of the honeymoon hypothesis

The direction of divergence in the taxonomic composition of the gut microbiome from the marine ancestral population to each of the seven freshwater populations was significantly parallel. On average, the angle (θ) between the divergence vectors of pairs of marine and freshwater populations was 38.18°, which is less than half the null expectation of 90° (p < 0.0001, $T_5 = -14.711$; figure 4*a*). Individual fish from marine and freshwater populations differed significantly in the composition of their gut microbiome ($F_{1,31} = 4.28$, p = 0.004; electronic supplementary material, table S3). As predicted by the 'honeymoon hypothesis', freshwater populations, on average, had a significant reduction in the abundance of pathogenic taxa relative to the marine population (p = 0.003, $T_6 = 4.71$), with an



Figure 4. Differentiation of the (*a*) taxonomic composition and (*b*) functional composition of the gut microbiome of marine and freshwater threespine stickleback based on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity. (Online version in colour.)

average of 16% fewer bacteria belonging to pathogenic genera. Divergence in the functional composition of the gut microbiome across the freshwater populations was more weakly parallel, with an average angle of 49.69° (p = 0.003, $T_5 = -5.41$).

The parallel divergence in the gut microbial community of populations found in freshwater was explained, in part, by large differences in the relative abundance of several orders. Of the orders found in both population types, three orders were substantially enriched (29-334-fold) in marine fish relative to freshwater fish (Desulfovibrionales, Mycoplasma and Vibrionales). Eight orders had a 20-665-fold enrichment (Chthoniobacterales, Clostridiales, in freshwater fish Cytophagales, Flavobacteriales, Gemmatales, Planctomycetales, Rickettsiales and Xanthomonadales). Similarly, analysis of the proportion of the metagenome made up of a given KEGG functional category revealed differences between marine and freshwater stickleback in the abundance of several categories, although only cell motility was significantly different after correction for multiple testing (figure 4b).

The core microbiome of marine stickleback had 453 OTUs using the relaxed criteria (22.5% of the 2014 OTUs identified in the marine samples) and 132 OTUs using the strict criteria. The core microbiome of freshwater fish had 222 OTUs (relaxed criteria) and 31 OTUs (strict criteria), respectively. Using the relaxed criteria, the core microbiome of marine and freshwater fish had a 35% overlap (strict: 20%); nearly half of the overlapping OTUs were Gammaproteobacterial orders. The taxa unique to the marine fish were largely

Psuedomonadales (61%). The microbiome composition of marine and freshwater stickleback was different ($F_{1,31}$ = 4.28, p = 0.004; electronic supplementary material, table S3) and we found that variation in gut microbial communities between marine and freshwater individuals exceeded the variation found between freshwater individuals (within freshwater: 0.31 (s.d. = 0.07), between freshwater and marines: 0.49 (s.d. = 0.15)). There was no significant difference in gut microbial species richness ($F_{1,3.88}$ = 1.05, p = 0.36; electronic supplementary material, figure S2).

4. Discussion

(a) Parallel host evolution and parallel shifts in gut microbial communities

We found evidence that independently evolved benthic and limnetic stickleback ecotype pairs show parallel changes in their gut microbiomes. There are examples where independent evolution of life-history strategy is associated with microbiome convergence in nature, including myrmecophagous mammals and sponges [18,46]. The diversification between benthic and limnetic ecotypes has occurred in parallel and each case of divergence is phylogenetically independent with both ecotypes originating from a common ancestor [29,47], making this system ideal to test for parallel changes with evolutionary replication. This study is the first to explicitly test for parallel changes in gut microbial composition or function using cases of repeated diversification. Prior studies examining the relationship between parallel host evolution and host gut microbiomes grouped independently evolved populations and used individuals as replicates in their tests of parallelism [23,24]. While previous studies demonstrated that local adaptation can alter gut and kidney microbial communities, they found little evidence that differences in microbiomes are parallel across independent cases of host adaptation [23,48]. For example, Trinidadian guppies (Poecilia reticulata), a wellstudied system for parallel phenotypic evolution [49], have different microbial community composition in upstream and downstream populations but these patterns appear not to have evolved in parallel across watersheds [23].

Host diet and host genotype are the most likely causes of the parallel shifts we observed in microbiome composition across stickleback species pairs. Independent benthic and limnetic ecotypes exhibit parallel shifts in diet and there are substantial differences in diet between ecotypes [14,31]. Diet has previously been shown to strongly influence gut microbial communities in a variety of species [2,10,18,50], including stickleback [21]. Benthic and limnetic individuals raised in a laboratory common garden and fed a common diet did not show substantial differences in microbial composition, reinforcing that diet may be an important factor in microbiome differentiation. Independently evolved ecotypes also show parallel genomic evolution, including remarkable parallelism in SNPs linked with genes that influence immune function [16]. The variation in diet between stickleback individuals also has a strong genetic basis [51]. As such, parallel allelic changes across replicate populations could contribute to the parallel differences we observed in gut microbial communities, as host genotypes strongly impact microbiome community composition [6,52]. Future work that uses reciprocal transplants within a natural environment, constraining each ecotype in

both the near-shore and open-water environments to control their diet, could help to disentangle the relationship between host diet and host genotype in driving gut microbial composition. Large-scale individual-level sequencing of microbiomes and host genotypes from genetically diverse host populations also presents the opportunity to find correlations between specific SNPs, windows or haplotypes and variation in gut microbiome composition.

Stickleback species pairs provide a potentially useful system for further investigation of how host-microbe interactions shape organismal performance, local adaptation and speciation. Parallelism across pairs of ecotypes was more pronounced in microbial function than taxonomic composition, providing some limited evidence that divergence between ecotypes may influence organismal performance. The gene functional categories of metabolism and biosynthesis were most differentiated between ecotypes, and previous work has found substantial population-level variation in stickleback metabolism [53,54]. KEGG functional inferences are based on the similarity of OTUs in a sample to those with known functions. 2-3% sequence divergence across microbial OTUs is typically considered to reflect species-level differences. Our KEGG functional inferences of OTUs were based on comparisons with an average of 8% divergence from reference taxa with known functions (based on NSTI scores). As such, it is possible that we are over- or under-estimating the degree of parallelism when using predicted KEGG functions. Measuring the contribution of the functional differences between ecotypes to fitness and ongoing ecological speciation presents some challenges. Assessing whether variation in the gut microbial community and function is correlated with differences in fitness within each ecotype could provide some correlative information on the links between gut microbial composition and fitness. If the gut microbial differences, we observed between ecotypes were found to enhance the fitness of each ecotype in its preferred environment, but not in the alternative environment, the gut microbiome could be implicated in maintaining reproductive isolation. Gut microbial communities can influence mate choice decisions [11], and the differences we observed between ecotypes could be a component of reproductive isolation by contributing directly to mate choice. Laboratory-based mate choice trials are useful in assessing reproductive isolation in stickleback [55] and manipulating the gut microbial community to test for effects of mate choice is feasible. These types of approaches assessing the relationship between microbiome composition and host evolution will be important for understanding the role that microbiomes play in the genesis of host diversity [3,7,11].

(b) Speciation, reverse speciation and shifts in the gut microbial community

Phenotypic evolution of keystone or dominant species can alter ecological patterns and processes, including community composition [33,56]. Ecological speciation in stickleback has been shown to impact community structure and ecosystem functions, with sympatric ecotypes having particularly strong effects on the prey community [57–59]. Our data demonstrate that the ecological effects of speciation also extend to repeated shifts in gut microbial communities (figure 1). Previous work on the ecological consequences of evolutionary change in stickleback suggests that some of the consequences are predictable based on the direction of evolution of functional traits [33]. Here we find similar patterns, with gut microbiomes showing divergence between benthic and limnetic ecotypes and with hybrid fish from Enos lake being intermediate and somewhat distinct from what is found in the extant pure limnetic and benthic ecotypes. This mimics the morphological evolution associated with speciation and reverse speciation across populations of stickleback. The general concordance between changes in gut microbiome and evolution suggests that gut microbiomes may shift both in a predictable direction relative to other populations based on rapid phenotypic evolution and diet shifts. Laboratory-reared F1 crosses between ecotypes did not show the same pattern of intermediate microbiome composition (electronic supplementary material, figure S4), as all individuals were outside the range of either parental species (i.e. transgressive), a pattern worthy of future study. More broadly, the environmental dependence of the hybrid microbiome composition relative to the parental species suggests diet shifts as a prominent component of concordance between evolution and microbiome compositional shifts in nature. Whether rapid morphological evolution often leads to predictable changes in microbiome composition is an open question worthy of investigation.

(c) Colonization of new environments and the gut microbiome

Marine stickleback have colonized many freshwater environments independently, yielding a well-replicated natural experiment on adaptation to freshwater environments [25]. Adaptation to freshwater involves substantial phenotypic and genomic parallelism, with a set of loci and inversions associated with adaptation to freshwater across independent populations. Marine populations colonizing freshwater environments face several physiological challenges [27] and changes in the microbiome could be a component of both acclimation and adaptation. We surveyed seven freshwater populations and found that the combination of freshwater colonization and adaptation to the freshwater environment has driven shifts in the gut microbiome. A previous metaanalysis across fish species documented that marine and freshwater fish often differ in their gut microbial communities [60]. Our results add to this finding, as we also found evidence that shifts in taxonomic composition were parallel among independently derived freshwater populations relative to their marine ancestors. However, previous work suggests that variation in environmental microbes is not a major factor influencing the microbiome of stickleback [22]. Our data do not allow us to examine the influence of differences in the microbial environment across aquatic habitats on stickleback microbiomes, as unfortunately, we did not collect environmental microbial samples taken from each aquatic environment. Without a comprehensive survey of the microbial environments in marine and freshwater it is difficult to determine the relative contribution of differences in the microbial environment and host genotype to microbiome composition. Translocation experiments, particularly reciprocal transplants, where marine fish are reared in freshwater and freshwater fish are reared in marine environments, could be used to determine the relative contribution of environment and host adaptation on the observed parallel shifts in microbiome composition of freshwater stickleback populations.

A component of the microbial differences between marine and freshwater stickleback is reduced gut microbial pathogen loads, as all of the surveyed freshwater populations had lower relative abundances of putatively pathogenic taxa than marine populations. Our results are consistent with previous work demonstrating that pathogen load and diversity decreases after host colonization of a novel environment and pathogen load lag during range expansion [34]. The reduction of pathogens when colonizing this novel freshwater environment could provide an energetic benefit that enhances fitness and increases the probability that colonizing populations persist. Future work to assess how quickly pathogens are lost and the size of the fitness benefit for the host population could provide insight into the dynamics of adaptation to novel environments. More broadly, experimental work that tracks how gut microbial communities change as local adaptation occurs could prove useful for understanding the role that host-microbe interactions play in host adaptation.

(d) Conclusions and outlooks for the future

Our results uncover a link between host adaptation, speciation and the gut microbiome. There is clear evidence in stickleback that resource competition has driven genetically determined shifts in diet and trophic morphology [31,51] and that this evolution can have effects on the ecology of the ecosystems in which stickleback occur [33,57–59]. Our findings suggest that this phenotypic evolution is also associated with ecological changes in the gut microbiome of these fish. Moreover, we detect parallel changes in the microbiome across evolutionary independent host lineages evolving in parallel. This concordance between host evolution and microbiome divergence creates an opportunity for future work to test the factors that drive this pattern and to determine how host-microbe interactions shape local adaptation and speciation. Particularly enticing and tractable are field translocation experiments that could be used to tease apart the effects of environment, diet and host genotype in driving patterns of gut microbial divergence between populations. This work would help build towards a more comprehensive understanding of the frequency and magnitude with which host-microbe relationships influence host adaptation, and could be transformative to our understanding of the process of local adaptation.

Ethics. Collections were made under the Species At Risk Act collection permit 236 and British Columbia Fish Collection permit NA-SU12-76311. Animals were treated in accordance with University of British Columbia Animal Care protocols (Animal Care permit A11-0402)

Data accessibility. The resulting sequencing data archived in the NCBI SRA under Bioproject PRJNA475955. The R code and underlying data files have been archived in the Dryad database: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.m8p3q04

Authors' contributions. D.J.R. and S.M.R. conceived of the project, carried out field collections, molecular work, analysis and wrote the manuscript together. D.S. advised the project at all stages and helped write the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors have no competing interests.

Funding. We thank the following agencies for funding: The Society for the Study of Evolution for funding the work through a Rosemary Grant Graduate Student Research Award (D.J.R.). S.M.R. and D.J.R. were supported by Four-Year Fellowships from the University of British Columbia. D.J.R. was also supported by a PGS-D Fellowship from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

- Turnbaugh P, Ley R, Mahowald M, Magrini V, Mardis E, Gordon J. 2006 An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. *Nature* 444, 1027–1131. (doi:10.1038/ nature05414)
- Ley RE *et al.* 2008 Evolution of mammals and their gut microbes. *Science* **320**, 1647–1651. (doi:10. 1126/science.1155725)
- Tremaroli V, Bäckhed F. 2012 Functional interactions between the gut microbiota and host metabolism. *Nature* 489, 242–249. (doi:10.1038/nature11552)
- McFall-Ngai M et al. 2013 Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, 3229–3236. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.1218525110)
- Gould AL *et al.* 2018 Microbiome interactions shape host fitness. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, E11951–E11960. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1809349115)
- Walters AW, Matthews MK, Hughes RC, Malcolm J, Rudman S, Newell PD, Douglas AE, Schmidt PS, Chaston J. 2018 The microbiota influences the *Drosophila melanogaster* life history strategy. *bioRxiv*, 471540. (doi:10.1101/471540)
- Rudman SM *et al.* 2019 Microbiome composition shapes rapid genomic adaptation of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **116**, 20 025–20 032. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1907787116)

- Ochman H, Worobey M, Kuo C-H, Ndjango J-BN, Peeters M, Hahn BH, Hugenholtz P. 2010 Evolutionary relationships of wild hominids recapitulated by gut microbial communities. *PLoS Biol.* 8, e1000546. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio. 1000546)
- Brooks AW, Kohl KD, Brucker RM, van Opstal EJ, Bordenstein SR. 2016 Phylosymbiosis: relationships and functional effects of microbial communities across host evolutionary history. *PLoS Biol.* 14, e2000225. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2000225)
- Kohl KD, Varner J, Wilkening JL, Dearing MD. 2018 Gut microbial communities of American pikas (*Ochotona princeps*): evidence for phylosymbiosis and adaptations to novel diets. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 87, 323–330. (doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12692)
- Sharon G, Segal D, Ringo JM, Hefetz A, Zilber-Rosenberg I, Rosenberg E. 2010 Commensal bacteria play a role in mating preference of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 20 051–20 056. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1009906107)
- Brucker RM, Bordenstein SR. 2013 The hologenomic basis of speciation: gut bacteria cause hybrid lethality in the genus *Nasonia. Science* 341, 667–669. (doi:10.1126/science.1240659)
- 13. Rosenberg E, Zilber-Rosenberg I. 2016 Microbes drive evolution of animals and plants: the

hologenome concept. *MBio* **7**, e01395. (doi:10. 1128/mBio.01395-15)

- Schluter D, McPhail JD. 1992 Ecological character displacement and speciation in sticklebacks. *Am. Nat.* **140**, 85–108. (doi:10.1086/285404)
- Losos JB, Jackman TR, Larson A, de Queiroz K, Rodriguez-Schettino L. 1998 Contingency and determinism in replicated adaptive radiations of island lizards. *Science* 279, 2115–2118. (doi:10. 1126/science.279.5359.2115)
- Jones FC *et al.* 2012 The genomic basis of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks. *Nature* 484, 55–61. (doi:10.1038/nature10944)
- Soria-Carrasco V *et al.* 2014 Stick insect genomes reveal natural selection's role in parallel speciation. *Science* **344**, 738–742. (doi:10.1126/science. 1252136)
- Delsuc F, Metcalf JL, Wegener Parfrey L, Song SJ, González A, Knight R. 2014 Convergence of gut microbiomes in myrmecophagous mammals. *Mol. Ecol.* 23, 1301–1317. (doi:10.1111/mec.12501)
- Louca S, Jacques SMS, Pires APF, Leal JS, Srivastava DS, Parfrey LW, Farjalla VF, Doebeli M. 2016 High taxonomic variability despite stable functional structure across microbial communities. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 15. (doi:10.1038/s41559-016-0015)

- Muegge BD, Kuczynski J, Knights D, Clemente JC, González A, Fontana L, Henrissat B, Knight R, Gordon JI. 2011 Diet drives convergence in gut microbiome functions across mammalian phylogeny and within humans. *Science* 332, 970–974. (doi:10. 1126/science.1198719)
- Bolnick DI, Snowberg LK, Hirsch PE, Lauber CL, Knight R, Caporaso JG, Svanbäck R. 2014 Individuals' diet diversity influences gut microbial diversity in two freshwater fish (threespine stickleback and Eurasian perch). *Ecol. Lett.* 17, 979–987. (doi:10.1111/ele.12301)
- Smith CCR, Snowberg LK, Gregory Caporaso J, Knight R, Bolnick DI. 2015 Dietary input of microbes and host genetic variation shape among-population differences in stickleback gut microbiota. *ISME J.* 9, 2515–2526. (doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.64)
- Sullam KE, Rubin BER, Dalton CM, Kilham SS, Flecker AS, Russell JA. 2015 Divergence across diet, time and populations rules out parallel evolution in the gut microbiomes of Trinidadian guppies. *ISME J.* 9, 1508–1522. (doi:10.1038/ismej.2014.231)
- Sevellec M, Derome N, Bernatchez L. 2018 Holobionts and ecological speciation: the intestinal microbiota of lake whitefish species pairs. *Microbiome* 6, 47. (doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0427-2)
- Bell MA, Foster SA. 1994 The evolutionary biology of the threespine stickleback. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Lescak EA, Bassham SL, Catchen J, Gelmond O, Sherbick ML, von Hippel FA, Cresko WA. 2015 Evolution of stickleback in 50 years on earthquakeuplifted islands. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, E7204–E7212. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1512020112)
- Gibbons TC, Rudman SM, Schulte PM. 2016 Responses to simulated winter conditions differ between threespine stickleback ecotypes. *Mol. Ecol.*
- Gibbons TC, Rudman SM, Schulte PM. 2017 Low temperature and low salinity drive putatively adaptive growth differences in populations of threespine stickleback. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 16766. (doi:10. 1038/s41598-017-16919-9)
- Taylor EB, McPhail JD. 1999 Evolutionary history of an adaptive radiation in species pairs of threespine sticklebacks (*Gasterosteus*): insights from mitochondrial DNA. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc. Lond.* 66, 271–291. (doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.1999.tb01891.x)
- Schluter D. 1995 Adaptive radiation in sticklebacks: trade-offs in feeding performance and growth. *Ecology* 76, 82–90. (doi:10.2307/1940633)
- Matthews B, Marchinko KB, Bolnick DI, Mazumder A. 2010 Specialization of trophic position and habitat use by sticklebacks in an adaptive radiation. *Ecology* **91**, 1025–1034. (doi:10.1890/09-0235.1)
- Taylor E, Boughman J, Groenenboom M, Sniatynski M, Schluter D, Gow J. 2005 Speciation in reverse: morphological and genetic evidence of the collapse of a three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) species pair. *Mol. Ecol.* **15**, 343–355. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02794.x)

- Rudman SM, Schluter D. 2016 Ecological impacts of reverse speciation in threespine stickleback. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 490–495. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.01.004)
- Phillips BL, Kelehear C, Pizzatto L, Brown GP, Barton D, Shine R. 2010 Parasites and pathogens lag behind their host during periods of host range advance. *Ecology* **91**, 872–881. (doi:10.1890/09-0530.1)
- Bolnick DI *et al.* 2014 Individual diet has sex-dependent effects on vertebrate gut microbiota. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 4500. (doi:10.1038/ ncomms5500)
- Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, Fierer N, Knight R. 2011 Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **108**(Suppl 1), 4516–4522. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1000080107)
- Caporaso JG *et al.* 2010 QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* 7, 335–336. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.f.303)
- Oksanen J *et al.* 2015 vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2–2.
- Lozupone C, Knight R. 2005 UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 8228–8235. (doi:10.1128/AEM.71.12.8228-8235.2005)
- Langille MGI *et al.* 2013 Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 814–821. (doi:10.1038/nbt.2676)
- 41. Wickham H. 2009 *Ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*, 2nd edn. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Adams DC, Collyer ML. 2009 A general framework for the analysis of phenotypic trajectories in evolutionary studies. *Evolution* 63, 1143–1154. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00649.x)
- Stuart YE *et al.* 2017 Contrasting effects of environment and genetics generate a continuum of parallel evolution. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 0158. (doi:10. 1038/s41559-017-0158)
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995 Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol.* 57, 289–300.
- 45. Austin B, Austin DA. 2016 *Bacterial fish pathogens: disease of farmed and wild fish*. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Thomas T *et al.* 2016 Diversity, structure and convergent evolution of the global sponge microbiome. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11870. (doi:10.1038/ ncomms11870)
- Jones FC *et al.* 2012 A genome-wide SNP genotyping array reveals patterns of global and repeated species-pair divergence in sticklebacks. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 83–90. (doi:10.1016/j.cub. 2011.11.045)
- 48. Sevellec M, Pavey SA, Boutin S, Filteau M, Derome N, Bernatchez L. 2014 Microbiome investigation in

the ecological speciation context of lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) using next-generation sequencing. *J. Evol. Biol.* **27**, 1029–1046. (doi:10. 1111/jeb.12374)

- Reznick DN, Rodd FH, Cardenas M. 1996 Life-history evolution in guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*: Poeciliidae).
 IV. Parallelism in life-history phenotypes. *Am. Nat.* 147, 319–338.
- Rothschild D *et al.* 2018 Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota. *Nature* 555, 210–215. (doi:10.1038/ nature25973)
- Arnegard ME *et al.* 2014 Genetics of ecological divergence during speciation. *Nature* **511**, 307–311. (doi:10.1038/nature13301)
- Spor A, Koren O, Ley R. 2011 Unravelling the effects of the environment and host genotype on the gut microbiome. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 9, 279–290. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro2540)
- Tudorache C, Blust R, De Boeck G. 2007 Swimming capacity and energetics of migrating and nonmigrating morphs of three-spined stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus* L. and their ecological implications. *J. Fish Biol.* **71**, 1448–1456. (doi:10. 1111/j.1095-8649.2007.01612.x)
- Dalziel AC, Ou M, Schulte PM. 2012 Mechanisms underlying parallel reductions in aerobic capacity in non-migratory threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) populations. *J. Exp. Biol.* **215**, 746–759. (doi:10.1242/jeb.065425)
- 55. Conte GL, Schluter D. 2013 Experimental confirmation that body size determines mate preference via phenotype matching in a stickleback species pair. *Evolution* **67**, 1477–1484.
- Farkas TE, Mononen T, Comeault AA, Hanski I, Nosil P. 2013 Evolution of camouflage drives rapid ecological change in an insect community. *Curr. Biol.* 23, 1835–1843. (doi:10.1016/j.cub. 2013.07.067)
- Harmon LJ, Matthews B, Des Roches S, Chase JM, Shurin JB, Schluter D. 2009 Evolutionary diversification in stickleback affects ecosystem functioning. *Nature* 458, 1167–1170. (doi:10.1038/ nature07974)
- Des Roches S, Shurin JB, Schluter D, Harmon LJ. 2013 Ecological and evolutionary effects of stickleback on community structure. *PLoS ONE* 8, e59644. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059644)
- Rudman SM, Rodriguez-Cabal MA, Stier A, Sato T, Heavyside J, El-Sabaawi RW, Crutsinger GM. 2015 Adaptive genetic variation mediates bottom-up and top-down control in an aquatic ecosystem. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 282, 20151234. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2015.1234)
- Sullam KE, Essinger SD, Lozupone CA, O'Connor MP, Rosen GL, Knight R, Kilham SS, Russell JA. 2012 Environmental and ecological factors that shape the gut bacterial communities of fish: a meta-analysis. *Mol. Ecol.* 21, 3363–3378. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05552.x)