UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Introduction

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4x78j55d

ISBN

9780195385557

Author

Loewenberg, Gerhard

Publication Date

2009

DOI

10.3162/036298009787500312

Peer reviewed

Index	Contributors	19 Hisaye Yamamoto and Wakako Yamauchi Interview by King-Kok Cheung	18 Karen Tei Yamashita Interview by Michael S. Murashige	17 Gary Pak Interview by Brenda Kwon	16 Wendy Law-Yone Interview by Nancy Yoo and Tamara Ho	"I'm on the side of literature"	15 Li-Young Lee Interview by James Kyung-Jin Lee	14 Amy Uyematsu Interview by Scott Kurashige	13 Russell Leong Interview by Robert B. Ito	12 Gish Jen Interview by Rachel Lee	11 David Wong Louie Interview by Stacey Yukari Hirose	"It's like putting us in the Chinese laundries"	10 Philip Kan Gotanda Interview by Robert B. Ito	
387	383	343	320	303	283		270	252	233	215	189		173	

Introduction

KING-KOK CHEUNG

My work as an activist . . . is inextricable from what I write.

—Janice Mirikitani

The whole enterprise of writing for me is spiritual.
—Li-Young Lee

You write because you have no choice.
—Wendy Law-Yone

What does it mean to be an Asian American writer? Is it the same as being a writer of Asian descent? Or just a writer? As the epigraphs to this introduction demonstrate, the authors interviewed in this collection have remarkably different literary compulsions. Even more varied are their styles, their sensibilities, and the settings of their stories, which include Burma, Brazil, England, India, Japan, Korea, the Philippines, Sudan, Thailand, and Vietnam as well as California, Hawai'i, Kansas, and New York. Yet in this country these authors are all designated as Asian American writers by academics, publishers, the media—and in this volume.

Like most artists of color, authors of Asian ancestry in the

United States often face a host of assumptions and expectations. Because their number is still relatively small, those who draw inspiration from their experiences as members of a minority are often seen as speaking for their ethnic groups. Because their work is frequently treated as ethnography by mainstream reviewers, many in the Asian American communities hold them accountable for an authentic "representation." They also confront persistent stereotypes suggesting that Asian Americans may make top-notch engineers or kung fu fighters but surely not poets, playwrights, or novelists. Even writers whose works are widely read may feel ghettoized as second-class citizens in the publishing marketplace, which may accept them as good Asian American writers but still not regard them as good writers, period.

At a time when literature is largely defined by the market-place, the popular media, academe, and various ethnic communities, Words Matter invites twenty authors to comment on how they would like their works to be read. They are asked to speak openly about their aesthetics, their politics, and the difficulties they have encountered in pursuing a writing career: disapproval of parents who press them to engage in more practical pursuits; cultural prohibition against exposing oneself or one's family; the absence of literary predecessors; self-contempt associated with race, poverty, gender, or sexuality; or the toll exacted by the ravages of war, exclusion, and internment. They address, among other issues, the expectations attached to the label Asian American writer, the burden of representation shouldered by the ethnic artist, and the different demands of "mainstream" and ethnic audiences.

This project started as an experiment on my part to bridge research and teaching, to narrow the gap between theory and lived experience, and to connect literary scholarship—a discourse that can sometimes wax abstruse and impersonal—with what my students find compelling about the literature. With the exception of Zainab Ali's dialogue with Meena

Alexander, my exchange with Paul Stephen Lim, and my conversation with Hisaye Yamamoto and Wakako Yamauchi, the interviews were conducted by graduate students from the English Department and the Asian American Studies Center of the University of California, Los Angeles. Space limitations have meant that only a small proportion of the growing number of Asian American writers have been interviewed. For the most part the selection was made by the graduate students themselves and was governed by their own lines of inquiry. I merely ensured that the volume contain a mix of poets, playwrights, and fiction writers and include immigrant and American-born authors of different ethnic origins. Time and cost of travel account for the preponderance of subjects who reside in California. We hope that future volumes can make up for the imbalance.

otherwise tacitum subjects and deepen the conversation. In students, whose insights inform their introduction of the indithe writers whom they are interviewing, the interviews at questions and eliciting precious comments on specific texts students are thoroughly familiar with the works of the writers viewee is a distinctive feature of this collection. The graduate of the interviewers have themselves become instructors of that their passion and persistence have helped draw out some part seeking guidance from their chosen interviewees. I sense dent interviewers are aspiring writers or literary scholars in vidual authors and most of the questions. Many of the stuto intervene in academic debates but also gives voice to the times come across as a probing dialogue between generations Because most of these students are considerably younger than interviewed and are therefore capable of asking informed the time that has elapsed since the interviews took place, some Thus, the collection not only offers the writers an opportunity Asian American literature or published authors of poetry and The special relationship between interviewer and inter-

In addition to encouraging the writers to discuss their work, the interviewers were urged to modify and expand on the following list of sample questions:

- How did you become a writer? Was your family encouraging or discouraging along the way?
- 2. How comfortable are you with the label Asian American writer? Do you feel limited by such a term, or do you draw strength from it? Are there, in your view, particular obstacles or opportunities for writers so designated?
- 3. What kind of audience do you have in mind? How would you characterize the reception of your work? Do you feel a split between "mainstream" and "ethnic" readers?
- 4. Do you feel a sense of social purpose in your work? Do you believe in art for art's sake?
- 5. Does gender, class, or sexuality shape your writing? If so, in what way?
- 6. Which writers do you admire? Who have had the strongest influence on your work?
- 7. (For immigrant writers only:) Where do you consider to be your "home"? Do you feel an internal tug-of-war between your Asian homeland and the United States? Do you consider yourself to be an (Asian) American writer or a writer in exile?

The writers' divergent viewpoints—appreciating or recoiling from the label Asian American, claiming or disclaiming an "American" identity, accepting or rejecting social obligations—can certainly contribute to current rethinking within the field of Asian American studies. Historically, the appellation Oriental was used in North America both for peoples across the Pacific and for Asian inhabitants of the "New World." Asian American, on the other hand, is a self-designation that came into currency in the late 1960s in the wake of the civil rights and black power movements and that accen-

tuates the American status of immigrants from Asia and their descendants. The term grew out of the frustration felt by many American-born citizens of Asian extraction at being treated as perpetual foreigners in the United States, even if their roots in this country go back several generations. Such discriminatory treatment—along with Orientalist tendencies that exoticize Asian objects, customs, and persons—has also engendered in many Asian Americans an ambivalence about their Asian heritage. Because the dominant perception of what constitutes American is white, mainstream, and Western, Asian Americans' desire to reclaim a distinctive ethnic tradition can seem at odds with their desire to be recognized as fully American.

who take issue with their masculinist bias, their marginalizatheir criteria have subsequently been questioned by scholars Asian American literature, stressing American nativity and a American (as opposed to an Asian) identity. In Ailieeeee! An Asian Americans coalesced around the theme of claiming an workers,' usually closely associated with communities, and the late 1960s, "writers, artists, and musicians were 'cultural tion was part of the larger political struggle to gain visibility when the establishment of an Asian American cultural tradimition of what constitutes Asian American literature tion of immigrant writers, and their allegedly prescriptive defi (1974/1983, xxi) as the foremost criteria for such writing. But sensibility that is "neither Asian nor white American" forth one of the earliest and most influential definitions of Jeffery Paul Chan, Lawson Fusao Inada, and Shawn Wong set Anthology of Asian American Writers (1974), Frank Chin, saw their work as 'serving the people'" (1994, 28). Writing by and advance social justice. According to Glenn Omatsu, in The term Asian American literature first came into being

The editors of Aiiieeeee! considered "emasculation" to be one of the most damaging stereotypes about Asian Americans: "Good or bad, the stereotypical Asian is nothing

as a man. At worst, the Asian-American is contemptible because he is womanly, effeminate, devoid of all the traditionally masculine qualities of originality, daring, physical courage, and creativity" (Chin et al. 1974/1983, xxx). Because they saw this affront as bound up with the suppression of a distinctive vernacular, they resolved to reclaim a specifically masculine ethnopoetics. Their androcentric solution to racist representation has been challenged by feminist critics who take the editors to task for their preoccupation with rehabilitating Asian American manhood, their homophobia, and their classification of desirable attributes as masculine (see Cheung 1990; Kim 1990; Ling 1990).

and Tan have their Asian American admirers, too). nic and mainstream audiences where evaluation of Asian sometimes taken as definitive of the dichotomy between ethinfluence in Asian American literary circles, his judgment is attributes their "popularity among whites" to their distortion denounced as "fake" by Frank Chin (Chin 1991, 2), who writing must hark back to the ethos of these heroic tales tradition" and maintains that "authentic" Asian American of Asian American manhood" (1974/1983, xxxviii) in The Big good on their commitment to re-creating a "recognized style American writers is concerned (although Hwang, Kingston and fabrication of Chinese lore. Owing to Chin's stature and Hwang, Maxine Hong Kingston, and Amy Tan—are hotly Three famous Chinese American writers—David Henry and Japanese heroic epics as the sources of the "Asian heroic Aiiieeeee! (Chan et al. 1991), which presents selected Chinese Such efforts, however, had little effect. Chin et al. made

Demographic flux has meanwhile extended Asian American literary boundaries beyond the compass of works by American-born writers of mostly Chinese and Japanese ancestry. Largely as a result of the 1965 Immigration and Nationality Act, which abolished quotas favoring European nations, the number of Asian immigrants has risen so sharply that it is

no longer practical to insist on the primacy of American nativity. Furthermore, because of the diverse national origins of the new immigrants, the scope of the term Asian American literature has now been broadened to include writings by people of Bangladeshi, Burmese, Cambodian, Chinese, Filipino, Indian, Indonesian, Japanese, Korean, Laotian, Nepali, Pakistani, Sri Lankan, Thai, and Vietnamese descent.

groups (see Gonzalez and Campomanes 1997; Cheung 1997; only bolsters U.S. hegemony and squelches the heteroge-1997; Wong 1995). Koshy 1998; Lim 1997; Lowe 1991; San Juan 1995; Sumida neous concerns and sensibilities of different Asian American perpetual foreigners, others argue that "claiming America" reinforce the dominant perceptions of Asian Americans as tion. While some worry that asserting a diasporic identity may identity have been the subject of considerable scholarly attenclaiming an American as opposed to a "diasporic" or "exilic" liation with their Asian homeland as well. The implications of many immigrant writers and scholars prefer to maintain affi butions of people of Asian ancestry in this country. But today "claiming America"-highlighting the presence and contri-One of the earlier tenets of Asian American studies was Along with this expansion came shifts in critical paradigms

The most radical challenge to the earlier conceptions of Asian American literature has to do with whether this literature should be "defined" at all. Given the ideological genesis of the term Asian American—a self-designation that implies a certain political awareness—and its subsequent use as a neutral descriptive label, as in the U.S. census, the perspectives of those who expect Asian American literature to be socially dedicated and those who believe literature to be essentially personal and experimental inevitably clash. While many scholars and students continue to expect Asian American writers to furnish material that reflects ethnic experiences, some writers have begun to balk at these assumptions. Most vocal in

deploring any prescriptive formulations of Asian American literature is Garrett Hongo, who complains that writers of Asian descent are often subject to double censorship: from the mainstream society, which discourages minority protest, and from ethnic communities, which demand that these writers adopt "a predominantly political or sociological construction of Asian American identity" (1993, xxxiv). Furthermore, given the publishing establishment's tendency to value Asian American literature primarily as ethnography and the cultural nationalist emphasis in Asian American studies, works by writers who venture beyond issues of ethnicity tend to fall by the wayside—neglected by both the center and the margins. As Amy Uyematsu asks, "Why do only certain themes qualify as 'Asian American literature'?" (p. 265).

readers, that words carry both artistic nuances and materia that "words matter," not just to writers, but also to their divides the local community. The incident acutely reminds us her work reinforces the existing ethnic hierarchy and further tic defense patronizing and condescending and believe that an end to tribal policing, while her critics consider such artisdifference between author and fictional narrator, calling for of Yamanaka criticize her detractors for failing to discern the Filipino Americans, her award was twice revoked. Defenders Filipino Caucus, which found that her work denigrates American Studies selected one of her books as the recipient of the literary awards committees of the Association for Asian writer is evident in the recent controversy over the work of opposed to the artistic autonomy of the Asian American the fiction prize, but, largely because of protest from the Lois-Ann Yamanaka. For two successive years, 1997 and 1998, The continuing debate over the social responsibility as

Never have the words of Asian American writers reached as many people as they do today. The popularity of works by Americans of Asian descent has skyrocketed in the last few

decades, attracting non-Asian as well as Asian readers and making inroads into the American curriculum. High school and college instructors increasingly feel the need to include these works in their course offerings. Nor is the interest confined to the United States. Conferences on Asian American literature have been held in Berlin, Kyoto, Paris, and Taipei, and the number of overseas specialists in the field is mounting.

9

ence, will find this volume indispensable. questions of definition, identity, national allegiance, and audi-Specialists in the field, who have for some time tackled vexing to twenty writers. It enables general readers and instructors variety of authors and to develop an interest in their works. unfamiliar with this literature to become acquainted with a rial, this collection provides a valuable firsthand introduction In the light of the dearth of readily accessible secondary mateist, or Marxist critical jargon incomprehensible to lay readers. tered through or submerged in postmodernist, postcolonialcourse; when literary texts are tackled at all, they are often filanalysis have currently taken a backseat to theoretical disand biographical contextualization as well as close literary authors covered is still quite limited. Furthermore, historical often overlooked. Critics, too, have a difficult time keeping American literature has grown considerably, the range of pace with the expanding field. Although scholarship on Asian as the ones denounced by Chin; the tremendous difference and instructors are familiar with only a few "big" names, such lumped together under the label Asian American writers is among authors of various national origins and generations source material about these writers is still scarce. Most readers Despite widespread national and international interest,

The interviews presented in Words Matter were conducted against the backdrop of the rapid reconfiguration of Asian American studies, and American studies in general, to reflect global migrations and the diverse populations of the United

Asian American movement in the sixties and seventies (Leong dorn, Lim), the Vietnam War (Hayslip), and the rise of the Yone), the Philippines under American colonialism (Hage about the internment of Japanese Americans (Yamamoto and tions. Some of these interviews also double as oral history Mirikitani, Robles, Uyematsu). Yamauchi), Burma or Myanmar under totalitarianism (Law concerns about crossing cultures to linger on linguistic inflecof English and Korean in her poetry take us beyond thematic and Myung Mi Kim's observations regarding the confluence offers a useful angle for analyzing Typical American, which to tion, Gish Jen's discussion of "good" and "bad" assimilation and providing a forum for them to articulate their perspec date has been seen largely as a satire of the American dream of interpretation for critics and scholars. For example, despite tion of students and instructors and also open up new avenue collection serves several other purposes. The writers' comtives on knotty issues confronting Asian Americanists, this her problematic equation of assimilation and Americaniza ments on their own texts should enrich the literary apprecia States. Besides introducing twenty writers of Asian descent

This assemblage of the voices of twenty authors—individuals with unique histories and perspectives, thematic concerns and aesthetic priorities—should effectively dispel any stereotypes about people of Asian descent and testify to the difficulty, if not the impossibility, of representing the diverse groups presently collected under one heading. Can the label Asian American continue to bring together the many constituent groups it is meant to encompass, and is solidarity possible among them? This question is the flip side of the one raised by those critics of multiculturalism who worry about the "balkanization" of America. The multiethnic dimensions of Asian American literature and its crisis of identity also speak to the broader concerns of multicultural American studies. In

the contention about American and diasporic identities can be found a microcosm of larger academic and political debates over diversity and over the inclusion and exclusion of immigrant and refugee groups. These issues underlie the very basis on which literature, culture, and art are produced, taught, and critiqued. Although Asian American literature is still seen as a subcurrent of American literature, it is fast entering the mainstream, reshaping the canon.

=

there was no particular culture that was the way it had to els have a direct bearing on his choice of genre: "One of the on the perimeters of each culture." He believes that his travhas lived in England, Holland, Japan, and divers cities in the "is in America." S. P. Somtow, who is Thai by birth but who dents as 'you Americans.' ... I frequently talk about 'Us sity of Kansas, I sometimes find myself addressing the stuwhere: "When I am lecturing in the classroom at the Univerdescent-confesses that he never really feels "at home" any sense of a million worlds meeting in an airport." Paul Stephen "I'm almost happiest . . . in an airport, in between flights. The chological shuttling between an Asian homeland and the most disorienting things of my early life was my discovery that United States, compares himself to a "chameleon" that "existed Bulosan) thinks that "home is where the heart is" and that his house in Santa Mesa," Lim (consciously echoing Carlos believes that "home is in [her] head and includes forever that Chinese,' but never about 'Us Filipinos.'" Where Hagedorn first came to the United States she now finds exhilarating The displacement that Hagedorn found confusing when she and used as the title of part 1—captures the physical or psy now—here or there?"—a question posed by Jessica Hagedorn titles given to the four parts of the book. "Where do we live Lim—another writer from the Philippines, albeit of Chinese United States that some immigrant writers have experienced Some of these concerns are specifically highlighted in the

cultures by 'stealing' a little of this and that, mixing them up." in the science fiction field, where you could simply create new be.... Because of that, when I started writing, it tended to be

thinking to herself, "God, that could be my people, my vil-She recalls the traumatic experience of watching on television because 'the Vietnamese are our enemy.'" lage"; but "the Americans around me think I'm stupid the destruction of her homeland during the Vietnam War and West because I do not belong here and do not belong there." reminder of her diasporic identity: "I am between East and Korean." Le Ly Hayslip faces perhaps the most acute guages is a site of mutation between an English and a English shaped by a Korean. The space between the two lanticipate in-perhaps an English that behaves like Korean, ar poetry: "I am constantly aware of this particular English I parof being between cultures permeates the language of her lenge, where you're marked." For Myung Mi Kim, the sense category only...in a public sphere, where it's under chalnicity: "If I were living in Kerala, I wouldn't need to be Indian, I wouldn't need to be Asian. You exist as that ethnic crossing national boundaries that gives rise to a sense of eth-Meena Alexander believes that it is precisely the act of

gone "a cycle of violence," women whose life stories some times enter her own fiction and poetry. president of Maitri (a domestic violence help line for South poem if it works," if it touches others. Chitra Divakaruni of sexual abuse. She believes that "a good poem is a good she experienced as a child when she tries to help other victim: social change through the spoken or written word. Mirikitan Asians in the Bay Area), also helps women who have under come together as a group or a community and to inspire discloses how she comes to terms with the sexual molestation by those who have suffered personal or collective injuries to recovery"—used as the title of part 2—express the need felt Janice Mirikitani's words "We came into the circle of

> works—put your own works out there." wound" of the I-Hotel and keep alive the communal spirit community," "the poetry, the celebration," all were "woven prostitutes, and all those who used to inhabit the San Manongs, the Issei, the African Americans, the poor, the to speak out, to say what you have to say...to create new distort Asian images. For him, the only road to recovery "is zen" and by mainstream media that continue to shut out or munity who buy into "the idea of being a second-class citihe is also troubled by those in the Japanese American comracism of Asian American actors who accept demeaning roles; Playwright Philip Kan Gotanda laments the internalized believes that poetry and other art must bear witness to "the together"—before it was torn down by land developers. He Francisco I-Hotel-where "the birth of our people and the Al Robles deplores the dislocation and the dispersal of the

> > 7

writers. "I think that translates in some people's minds as are the apprentices because they're learning from us." America help validate American writing"; hence, "the editors patronizing." Leong himself believes that "writers of color in nicity and learning English, or learning how to write. . . . Very using the term to imply that "we are traveling beyond our eth referred to as an apprentice by the editors of the New Englana going to be ghettoized, and I wanted to get out." On being her book: "As an Asian American I understood that I was redefining the American tradition as well as making claims for American with the words "This is an American story," she is observes. Gish Jen explains that when she opens Typicai less than, something not as good, something inferior," Louie African American does still for some people—as something being invidiously categorized as ethnic or Asian American the writers interviewed in part 3 (as well as by many others) at used by David Wong Louie—conveys the frustration felt by Review, Russell Leong comments that they were probably "It's like putting us in the Chinese laundries"—a simile

in America we have poets and then we have Asian American writers who are overlooked because they're Asian American poets....It's so important for an artist of any kind not to But, ultimately, if we're not careful, it can be a prison because "That classification can bring attention to Asian American going to write about everything." Li-Young Lee is most poems about Native Americans from the manuscript of 30 also suspects that, when her editors asked her to remove where I've submitted-Asian American journals or ethnic identify with a group." Lee wishes to "live in a state of emphatic about his desire not to be tagged as Asian American territory where I shouldn't be." However, "as a poet I'm on a racial theme, my work wouldn't get considered." She journals—where, if I didn't do something that was specifically 'nobodyhood,' . . . to live a life without paradigms." Miles from J-Town, "it was because . . . I was venturing into lishers, according to Amy Uyematsu: "There are a few places The tendency to ghettoize is not confined to white pub-

past allows her...to return to her homeland and seek... She does not become a CEO. But holding on to the painful not a successful immigrant in the generally understood sense unfulfilled lives and offered a deeper understanding of a given franchised. Asked about the maligned gay protagonist in the dreams, ideals, and strengths residing in these failed or cry from traditional historical heroes, yet we are made to see Major Restitution." Gary Pak also roots for the disenreveals: "I like to focus on stories about failure. . . . [Tango] is Speaking of the protagonist of Irrawaddy Tango, Law-Yone time and place than that afforded by official chronicles. acters created by the authors interviewed in this part are a far ature"—a tag line that we take as the title of part 4. The charments the version of the conquered. I'm on the side of literversion of the victors....Literature, on other hand, docutional historiography. "History, after all," she notes, "is the Wendy Law-Yone resists ethnic classification and conven-

> dreams of going back to Korea in a plane of his own making symbolizes the aspirations of a Korean American pioneer who and the honesty and pureness in his heart." Pak also reveals replies: "The demeaning part came from the other characters have to keep on with those dreams." "Some of these dreams have been huge failures...[but] we that the title of his recent novel—A Ricepaper Airplane through a much fresher perspective, by seeing what he did the kids' point of view. . . . Yet they were able to see Rositz looking at Rosita. Rosita was strong. The story was told from "A Toast for Rosita," a story in The Watcher of Waipuna, he

although they charge in different directions. writers interviewed here all share this unbridled impulse no matter what; wild horses can't stop them." The twenty advice on writing: "If people have this urge to write, they will, as it's honest, it's valid." Yamamoto desists from giving any believes in telling "whatever story you have to tell"—"as long based on lived experiences, their own or others'. Yamauchi lives on the fringe of mainstream annals, but their stories are both excel in capturing that special feeling. They often depict those extra things that illustrate history.... I also wanted to you . . . couldn't express the emotion. You couldn't express Yamashita prefers literature to history: "With straight history, exploitation of Mexican labor. Like Law-Yone, however, history, such as the dispossession of the urban poor and the ible workforce that sustains communal living. Similarly, in a commune and the Japanese women who made up the invistory. Within the bigger picture delineating the little-known The veteran writers Hisaye Yamamoto and Wakako Yamauchi bring in a feeling for the sense of place, that scene, the smell." Tropic of Orange, Yamashita unfurls the seamy side of U.S Japanese men who opted out of the middle class to establish Japanese emigration to Brazil, Brazil-Maru zooms in on the Yamashita's Brazil-Maru, which uncovers layers of buried his-Big dreams likewise turn into magnificent failures in Karen

Introduction

round. The choice is not up to me. It's up to the reader." tastes. We need all kinds of thinking to make the world go choice morsels. As Hayslip puts it, "We need all kinds of interviews, each of which covers a wide range of topics. they agree with each other. Nor are they meant to limit the distinct personalities of the authors, who disagree as often as concerns of the writers, they are not meant to downplay the Readers will naturally discover for themselves many other breadth and depth of what readers can take away from the While the part titles highlight some salient attributes and

Acknowledgments

and the two readers for the University of Hawai'i Press for intelligent and exhaustive research and editorial assistance. I stages of the manuscript; and to Dominika Ferens for her also thank Joseph H. Brown for his meticulous copyediting their encouragement and constructive criticism Itagaki for her genius and devotion in overseeing the final miscellaneous queries regarding Japanese Americans; to Lynn gestions; to Brian Niiya for his encyclopedic answers to my for his trust, guidance, detailed comments, and inspiring sug-Don Nakanishi for his continuous support; to Russell Leong Asian American Studies Center. I am particularly grateful to This project was made possible by funding from the UCLA

Works Cited

- Chan, Jeffery Paul, Frank Chin, Lawson Fusao Inada, and Shawn Wong, eds. The Big Ailieeeee! An Anthology of Chinese American Library-Meridian, 1991. and Japanese American Literature. New York: New American
- Cheung, King-Kok. "The Woman Warrior versus the Chinaman Pacific: Must a Chinese American Critic Choose between Feminism and Heroism?" In Conflicts in Feminism, ed. Marianne Hirsch and Evelyn Fox Keller. New York: Routledge, 1990.

- Kok Cheung. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1997. Interethnic Companion to Asian American Literature, ed. King-"Re-viewing Asian American Literary Studies." In An
- Chin, Frank. "Come All Ye Asian American Writers of the Real and York: New American Library-Meridian, 1991. Chan, Frank Chin, Lawson Fusao Inada, and Shawn Wong. New the Fake." In The Big Aiiieeeee! An Anthology of Chinese American and Japanese American Literature, ed. Jeffety Paul

17

- Chin, Frank, Jeffery Paul Chan, Lawson Fusao Inada, and Shawn (1974). Rev. ed. Washington, D.C.: Howard University Press, Wong, eds. Aiiieeeee! An Anthology of Asian-American Writers
- Gonzalez, N. V. M., and Oscar V. Campomanes. "Filipino American University Press, 1997. Literature." In An Interethnic Companion to Asian American Literature, ed. King-Kok Cheung. New York: Cambridge
- Hongo, Garrett, ed. The Open Boat: Poems from Asian America. New York: Anchor/Doubleday, 1993.
- Kim, Elaine. "'Such Opposite Creatures': Men and Women in Asian American Literature." Michigan Quarterly Review 29, no. 1
- Koshy, Susan. 1998. "The Fiction of Asian American Literature." Tale Journal of Criticism 9, no. 2 (1996): 315-346.
- Lim, Shirley Geok-lin. "Immigration and Diaspora." In An Kok Cheung. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1997 Interethnic Companion to Asian American Literature, ed. King-
- Ling, Amy. Between Worlds: Women Writers of Chinese Ancestry New York: Pergamon, 1990.
- Lowe, Lisa. "Heterogeneity, Hybridity, Multiplicity: Marking Asian American Differences." Diaspora 1, no. 1 (1991): 24-43.
- Omatsu, Glenn. "The 'Four Prisons' and the Movements of the 1990s, ed. Karin Aguilar-San Juan. Boston: South End, 1994. 1990s." In The State of Asian America: Activism and Resistance in Liberation: Asian American Activism from the 1960s to the
- San Juan, E., Jr. "In Search of Filipino Writing: Reclaiming Whose of Minnesota Press, 1995 Interventions, ed. David Palumbo-Liu. Minneapolis: University America?" In The Ethnic Canon: Histories, Institutions, and



Asian and Pacific American Transcultural Studies

Russell C. Leong General Editor

Words

Matter

CONVERSATIONS

HIIM

ASIAN AMERICAN

WRITERS

Edited by King-Kok Cheung

Unipersity of Hawai'i

Pres

Honolulu

in association with UCLA

Asian American

Studies Center

Los Angeles

05 04 03 02 01 00 All rights reserved Printed in the United States of America © 2000 University of Hawai'i Press 5432

Library of Congress

Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Words matter: conversations with Asian American writers / edited by King-Kok Cheung

cm. -- (Intersections)

ISBN 0-8248-2216-1 (pbk.: acid-free paper). ISBN 0-8248-2134-3 (acid-free paper). --Includes bibliographical references and index.

6. Authorship. I. Cheung, King-Kok, 1954in literature. 5. Asian Americans—Interviews. PS153.A84W67 II. Series: Intersections (Honolulu, Hawaii) Americans—Intellectual life. 4. Asian Americans American—20th century—Interviews. 3. Asian History and criticism—Theory, etc. 2. Authors, American literature—Asian American authors— 99-36654

810.9'895'0904-dc21

durability of the Council on Library Resources. free paper and meet the guidelines for permanence and University of Hawai'i Press books are printed on acid-

Designed by Barbara Pope Book Design

Printed by The Maple-Vail Book Manufacturing Group

Interview by Darlene Rodrigues

King-Kok Cheung Introduction

Ĵ	"Where do we live now—here or there?"	
_	Jessica Hagedorn Interview by Emily Porcincula Lawsin	21
7	Paul Stephen Lim Interview by King-Kok Cheung	40
w	S. P. Somtow Interview by Rahpee Thongthiraj	\$8
42	Meena Alexander Interview by Zainab Ali and Dharini Rasiah	69
O1	Myung Mi Kim Interview by James Kyung-Jin Lee	92
υ,	<i>Le Ly Hayslip</i> Interview by Khanh Ho	SoI
ਚੀ	We came into the circle of recovery."	
•	Janice Mirikitani Interview by Grace Kyungwon Hong	123
w	Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni Interview by Dharini Rasiah	140
•	Al Robles	154