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**In Brief**

Wasserman et al. report that a directionally selective wide-field motion-detecting neuron (Hx) in the fly increases response gain with paired odor. This multimodal interaction is dependent upon vesicle trafficking from octopaminergic neurons, which are themselves responsive to odor and make cell-cell contact with Hx.
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Summary

It is well established that perception is largely multisensory [1]; often served by modalities such as touch, vision, and hearing that detect stimuli emanating from a common point in space [2, 3]; and processed by brain tissue maps that are spatially aligned [4]. However, the neural interactions among modalities that share no spatial stimulus domain yet are essential for robust perception within noisy environments remain uncharacterized. Drosophila melanogaster makes its living navigating food odor plumes. Odor acts to increase the strength of gaze-stabilizing optomotor reflexes [5] to keep the animal aligned within an invisible plume, facilitating odor localization in free flight [6–8]. Here, we investigate the cellular mechanism for cross-modal behavioral interactions. We characterize a wide-field motion-selective interneuron of the lobula plate that shares anatomical and physiological similarities with the “Hx” neuron identified in larger flies [9, 10]. Drosophila Hx exhibits cross-modal enhancement of visual responses by paired odor, and presynaptic inputs to the lobula plate are required for behavioral odor tracking but are not themselves the target of odor modulation, nor is the neighboring wide-field “HSE” neuron [11]. Octopaminergic neurons mediating increased visual responses upon flight initiation [12] also show odor-evoked calcium modulations and form connections with Hx dendrites. Finally, restoring synaptic vesicle trafficking within the octopaminergic neurons of animals carrying a null mutation for all aminegic signaling [13] is sufficient to restore odor-tracking behavior. These results are the first to demonstrate cellular mechanisms underlying visual-olfactory integration required for odor localization in fruit flies, which may be representative of adaptive multisensory interactions across taxa.

Results and Discussion

In addition to feedback from head movements [14–18], a fly in flight stabilizes its gaze by optomotor steering movements of the wings that turn the whole body [19]. The strength of steering optomotor responses increases when flies experience an appetitive odor [5]. Here we tethered a fly rigidly within a flight simulator composed of a wrap-around electronic display and equipped with an odor port (Figure 1A) to measure the optomotor impulse response to a rapid rotation of the visual panorama [21]. Pairing an appetitive food odor (vaccine) with the visual stimulus results in a roughly 40% increase in the optomotor response (OMR), which is assessed by measuring the mean difference in wing beat amplitude across the two wings (\[\Delta WBA\]) elicited by an impulse in yaw velocity (Figure 1B), consistent with prior measurements [5].

Optomotor responses in Drosophila can be elicited by optogenetic activation of tangential wide-field collating neurons HSE and HSN housed in the third optic ganglion, the lobula plate [22]. To examine whether motion integrating circuitry of the lobula plate is involved in odor-enhanced ORs, we genetically hyperpolarized the small-field columnar neurons T4 and T5, which supply retinotopic motion signals to the lobula plate [23]. Using the same magnetic–tether flight simulator (Figure 1C) applied to demonstrate the dependence of self-generated visual motion signals for active plume tracking [24], we measured the animals’ ability to locate and stabilize their heading within a vinegar plume. We divided plume-tracking behavior into three components: (1) initial detection, defined by the proportion of flies that oriented themselves within ±10° of the odor nozzle—flies that did not do so were not included in the subsequent analysis; (2) acquisition, defined by time spent within the plume over the first 10 s of the trial; and (3) continuous tracking, defined by how much of the final 10 s of the trial the fly spent oriented within the plume (Figure 1D). We found no significant difference between the proportions of T4T5-blocked versus control flies that detected the plume (chi-square test, p > 0.05). Similarly, blocking T4T5 did not significantly alter the mean time spent in the plume during the acquisition phase, but T4T5-blocked flies were unable to sustain plume tracking for the duration of the trial, in comparison to controls (Figures 1D and 1E). This shows that whereas the lack of motion signals carried by T4T5 to the lobula plate does not compromise the animals’ ability to detect or initially localize an odor plume, local motion signals are required to stabilize flight heading within the plume. This is consistent with the finding that switching the high-contrast grating displayed in the flight arena to an equiluminant grayscale, thereby reducing optic flow generated by the fly’s own movements, eliminates its ability to remain within the plume [24].

A lobula plate tangential cell (LPTC) was recently identified anatomically in Drosophila, along with a number of neurons within higher-order olfactory regions of the mushroom bodies, by its shared expression of the Odd-skipped transcription factor [9]. The tangential dendritic arbor of this LPTC spans the dorsal projection of the lobula plate (Figure 2Bii), tightly restricted to layer 2 (Figures 2Bii and 2Biii), which is the layer receiving back-to-front directional motion input from the columnar T4T5 terminals [23]. The axon projects heterolateral to the cell body and dendrites [9]. To characterize its motion-coding properties, we expressed a genetically encoded calcium indicator, GCaMP6m [25], under the Odd-Gal4 driver [9] and recorded cellular activity under a two-photon excitation
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Figure 1. Visual and Olfactory Information Are Integrated to Generate More Robust Behavioral Outputs

(A) The electronic visual flight simulator records wing kinematics from a fixed fly in response to sensory stimuli. The difference in wing beat amplitude (ΔWBA) across the two wings is proportional to yaw torque. Steering torque is activated by movement of the panoramic grating projected on the circular display of light-emitting diodes (LEDs) [20]. The arena is equipped with a laminar flow octofactometer.

(B) Average modulation of ΔWBA optomotor response to a velocity impulse in the yaw axis with and without paired odor presentation. The sum of two exponential functions is fitted to the impulse responses (smooth line). Asterisk indicates two-way paired t test, p < 0.05 comparing peak amplitude values of fits to responses by individual flies. n = 15.

(C) Magnetic-tether flight simulator records body orientation in response to a spatially restricted odor plume. A video image tracks the fly’s angular heading changes on a magnetic tether allowing free movement in the yaw plane. A narrow plume of odor is delivered from one side of the arena.

(D) Exemplar flight orientation responses to an odor plume located at 180° (as in C) shown for T4T5-blocked flies (purple trace) and parental controls (black and gray traces).

(E) Inactivation of the T4T5 local motion-detecting neurons (T4T5-Gal4/UAS-Kir, n = 25) inhibits stabilization of odor plume tracking. Time in plume, for each category acquisition and tracking, is total time spent within ±10° of the odor nozzle over the time period defined imaging system equipped with an LED display [26] (Figure 2A). Imaging from dendritic regions of interest (ROIs) (see Experimental Procedures) in response to a narrow vertical bar, we demonstrate that this cell is excited by back-to-front motion across the ipsilateral eye within a 50° receptive field positioned just ipsilateral to the visual midline (Figure 2C) and is more excited by progressively wider randomly textured bars (Figure 2D). We found no systematic response differences within small ROIs spanning the tangential dendritic arbor (data not shown) and therefore focused subsequent imaging analysis on a primary dendritic branch that was identifiable in each preparation (Figure 2B, white box). To further explore wide-field response properties, we varied the orientation of a full-field grating, demonstrating that this cell is strongly tuned to front-to-back motion oriented along the horizontal body axis (Figure 2E) and, like other wide-field Drosophila LPTCs [11, 23], exhibits a 1 Hz temporal frequency optimum (Figure 2F). The matched directional preferences and layer specificity strongly suggest that Hx receives local motion signals from the T4T5 system but does not preclude other potential inputs. The neuronal morphology and receptive-field properties of this cell are strongly reminiscent of the Hx neuron characterized in blowflies [10], and we refer to it thusly hereafter.

Motivated by the transcription-factor spatial profile shared with higher-order olfactory projection neurons, we sought to determine whether Hx was cross-modally activated by odor. The two-photon recording preparation and LED display was equipped with a laminar flow octofactometer (Figure 2A). We presented a regime of five repeated 10-s epochs of back-to-front wide-field motion interspersed with rest periods. The second motion epoch was accompanied by a 10-s odor pulse (delivered bilaterally). There was a subtle yet significant increase in the motion-elicited excitatory response of Hx during paired odor presentation (epoch 2, Figure 3A), observed within each individual fly preparation tested (Figure 3B) but absent in water vapor controls (Figure 3C). To determine whether the primary site of visual-olfactory integration resided with Hx or the local motion detectors presynaptic to the lobula plate, we performed the same experiment and recorded the intracellular activity of T4T5 cells. The T4T5-Gal4 driver labels cell processes within the medulla, lobula, and lobula plate ([22, 23] and Figure 3D), and we found no differences between responses from ROIs imaged within the processes of these neuropils (chi-square test, p > 0.05), nor did we observe any changes in the excitatory motion responses of T4T5 ROIs found within the lobula plate upon paired odor presentation (Figures 3E and 3F). These results reject the possibility that odor-enhanced responses in Hx represent general arousal phenomena and confirm that the site of cross-modal interaction resides within wide-field-integrating lobula plate neuron rather than presynaptic local motion detectors. To assess whether odor activates all LPTCs, we examined the activity of HSE, a neighboring neuron to Hx that is selective for horizontal motion (HSE [11]; Figure 3G), but we did not observe odor-evoked changes in the visual responses of this cell (Figures 3H and 3I).

Visual responses by LPTCs are modulated by the onset of locomotion [27, 28], and this increase in response gain is mediated by octopaminergic innervation [29–31]. We reasoned that octopamine release might also be triggered by olfactory signaling within the visual system to modulate Hx responses.
We first determined that the octopaminergic terminals innervating the lobula plate show increased GCaMP fluorescence in response to an odor pulse (Figure 4A), which was demonstrated in each fly tested (Figure 4B). To examine whether these octopaminergic interneurons make synapses with Hx, we made use of a genetic construct that recombines GFP between two cells in close contact (GFP reconstitution across synaptic partners [GRASP] [32]). Expressing one inactive half of the split-GFP within the Tdc2 octopaminergic neurons and the other half within Hx resulted in GFP puncta distributed within the lobula plate (Figure 4C) in a pattern similar to the dendritic profile of Hx (Figure 4C, inset), indicating synapses or other close cell-cell connections such as gap junctions between Tdc2 and Hx. In addition to implicating Tdc2 in the olfactory modulation of Hx, our GRASP data also support prior findings demonstrating that octopaminergic signaling in the brain is necessary for locomotion-induced gain in LPTCs [12].

Likely owing to the role of Odd-skipped in development, driving neuronal inactivators with Hx-Ga4 is lethal and nevertheless would have been impossible to evaluate for visual-olfactory integration due to its expression in both visual and olfactory centers [25]. Therefore, we reasoned that if octopaminergic modulation of visual circuitry is important for odor-tracking behavior, then the absence of octopaminergic signaling throughout the brain should strongly perturb odor-tracking behavior. To test this hypothesis, we used a fly strain carrying a null (loss-of-function) mutation in the Drosophila vesicular monoamine transporter (dVMAT) [13]. Rescue with a DVMAT transgene in octopaminergic neurons, but not with dopaminergic or serotonergic neurons, is sufficient to restore plume-tracking behavior (Figure 4D). As a negative control, we tested animals rescued with a DVMAT trafficking mutant (Tdc2-Gal4/Δ3VMAT) [13]; these animals were unable to maintain their heading within the odor plume of the olfactory flight simulator (Figure 4D). These three lines of evidence—odor activation of Tdc2 cells, GFP puncta (GRASP) between Hx and Tdc2 neurons, and the rescue of olfactory tracking when synaptic release by octopaminergic cells is restored—provide a parsimonious interpretation that...
odor-driven octopamine release modulates the gain of visual circuitry.

Octopamine mediates locomotion-induced modulation of another LPTC, the HSE neuron [12], which is not activated by odor (Figure 3H). This provides an exciting experimental platform for broader investigation into how aminergic signaling differentially modulates postsynaptic targets within the same neuropil. It is possible that, like Hx, HSE is also modulated by odor, but that the effect is observable only when superposed with a flight-activated increase in visual response gain [33]. Additionally, like norepinephrine, octopamine acts through multiple receptor-signaling pathways having wide-ranging influences over cellular physiology. One receptor class (OCTα-R) increases calcium entry, while another (OCTβ-R) elevates intracellular cAMP levels [34] to act as either an agonist or an antagonist on synaptic and behavioral plasticity in an octopamine receptor-dependent fashion [34]. Differential receptor expression could in turn mediate differential octopaminergic neuromodulation of visual circuitry.

In summary, we have revealed a novel cellular cross-modal interaction that could support behavioral findings whereby food odor detection increases visual stability in an odor plume. Future work could elaborate additional neuronal pathways supporting related cross-modal behaviors such as enhanced salience of visual objects by odor [35]. These cross-modal interactions provide a mechanism to dynamically enhance sensory perception in a contextually appropriate manner.

Experimental Procedures

Animals
For behavior experiments, we used wild-type D. melanogaster, 3- to 6-day-old posteclosion females. Other lines used for behavior and imaging experiments included T4T5-Gal4 (Bloomington ID 40034), Tdc2-Gal4 (Bloomington ID 9313), UAS-Kir2.1-EGFP (Bloomington ID 6596), UAS-mCD8:: GFP (Bloomington ID 5137), HSE-Gal4 (Bloomington ID 49211), UAS-GCaMP6m (Bloomington ID 42748), UAS-GCaMP6s (Bloomington ID 42749), and Odd-Skipped-Gal4 [9]. GRASP constructs were generated using the transgenes Odd-Gal4 [9], Tdc2-LexA [38], and UAS-CD4::spGFP11-10; LexAop-CD4::spGFP11 [37]. Random individuals were selected from a population for each experimental group according to genotype. No experimenter blinding was done.

Behavior
Closed-Loop Magnetic-Tether Flight Simulator
The magnetic-tether flight arena allows a fly to steer freely in the yaw plane, allowing assessment of odor plume-tracking capability, and has been described in detail previously [6, 24, 38].

Rigid-Tether Flight Simulator
The rigid-tether arena records a fixed fly’s wing kinematic responses to visual stimuli, closing a feedback loop to allow the animal to control the velocity of image motion on the display or allow the assessment of visual response gain under open-loop feedback conditions, and has been described in detail previously [5, 20]. Odor was delivered through a narrow nozzle as described in detail previously [6, 24, 38].

Calcium Imaging
Adult female D. melanogaster expressing the genetically encoded calcium indicator GCamp6m [40] under one of the four Gal4 drivers were anesthetized under cold sedation. Imaging was performed with a two-photon
Figure 4. Octopaminergic Neurons Innervating the Lobula Plate Are Activated by Odor, Make Close Contact with Hx, and Are Required for Behavioral Plume Tracking

(A) Intracellular calcium dynamics (∆F/F, GCaMP6s) of octopaminergic terminals innervating the lobula plate in response to olfactory stimulation. Asterisks indicate significance between odor off (black line) and odor on (orange line) shown above the mean ∆F/F response (two-way paired t test, p < 0.005). n = 6 animals.

(B) Mean maximum ∆F/F for each individual animal during a period preceding the odor pulse (black) and during the odor pulse (orange). Horizontal bars over the ∆F/F response in (A) indicate the measurement epochs. n = 6 animals.

(C) GFP expression by GRASP indicates octopaminergic (Tdc2-Gal4) connections with Hx (Odd-Gal4). Inset shows Hx arborization pattern to highlight similarity in GFP profile between GRASP and the lobula plate tangential cell.

(D) Mean time ± SEM spent in odor plume during the duration of the experiment (olfactory flight simulator; Figure 1C) for flies carrying a null mutation in the Drosophila vesicular monoamine transporter dVMAT rescued with either a wild-type DVMAT transgene in octopaminergic neurons (Tdc2-Gal4/VMAT) or a DVMAT trafficking mutant (Tdc2-Gal4/Δ3VMAT). Asterisks indicate significant difference (two-way paired t test, p < 0.05) between VMAT (n = 32 animals) and Δ3VMAT (n = 21 animals). Also shown is mean time in plume for Tdc2-Gal4/VMAT-rescued flies exposed to water rather than vinegar (n = 32 animals, *p < 0.05 by two-way paired t test).
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