UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Procedural precautions and personal protective equipment during head and neck instrumentation in the COVID-19 era

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4xf7h1zz

Journal Head & Neck, 42(7)

ISSN 1043-3074

Authors

Panuganti, Bharat A Pang, John Califano, Joseph <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2020-07-01

DOI

10.1002/hed.26220

Peer reviewed

DOI: 10.1002/hed.26220

SPECIAL ISSUE

Procedural precautions and personal protective equipment during head and neck instrumentation in the COVID-19 era

Bharat A. Panuganti $MD^1 \bigcirc |$ John Pang $MD^1 |$ Joseph Califano $MD^1 |$ Jason Y. K. Chan FRCSEd (ORL)² \oslash

¹Department of Surgery, Division of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, University of California-San Diego, San Diego, California

²Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong

Correspondence

Jason Y. K. Chan, FRCSEd (ORL), Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong. Email: jasonchan@ent.cuhk.edu.hk

Joseph Califano, Division of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of California–San Diego, 3855 Health Sciences Dr, MC 0803, La Jolla, CA 92037-0803. Email: jcalifano@ucsd.edu

Abstract

Background: Otolaryngologists represent a subset of health care workers uniquely vulnerable to COVID-19 transmission. Given the segmentation of extant guidelines concerning precautions and protective equipment for SARS-CoV2, we aimed to provide consolidated recommendations regarding appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) in head neck surgery during the COVID-19 era.

Methods: Guidelines published by international and US governing bodies were reviewed in conjunction with published literature concerning COVID-19 transmission risk, testing, and PPE, to compile situation-specific recommendations for head and neck providers managing COVID-19 patients.

Results: High-quality data regarding the aerosolization potential of head and neck instrumentation and appropriate PPE during head and neck surgeries are lacking. However, extrapolation of recommendations by governing bodies suggests strongly that head and neck mucosal instrumentation warrants strict adherence to airborne-level precautions.

Conclusion: We present a series of situation-specific recommendations for PPE use and other procedural precautions for otolaryngology providers to consider in the COVID-19 era.

K E Y W O R D S

COVID-19, high-risk procedures, perioperative protocols, personal protective equipment

1 | INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has afflicted more than 1.8 million individuals in over 183 countries and territories, prompting widespread debate about the mechanisms of disease transmission, personal protection against spread, and treatment methods.¹ Health care workers represent a uniquely vulnerable population, given their close proximity to infected patients in settings with abundant fomites,

Bharat A. Panuganti and John Pang are co-first authors.

with further increased risk in the setting of aerosolgenerating procedures (AGPs). Scope of practice in otolaryngology necessitates instrumentation of the upper aerodigestive tract, wherein significant viral loads are found in both symptomatic and asymptomatic patients. As such, in the absence of widespread screening, otolaryngologists are at heightened risk of SARS-CoV2 contraction in common practice. For health care workers, the use of adequate personal protective equipment (PPE) is crucial for the protection of both patients and providers. In fact, during the SARS-CoV1 epidemic, health care workers who

PANUGANTI ET AL.

used PPE inconsistently were more likely to develop SARS-CoV1.² In light of the widespread shortage of PPE and the high relative risk of transmission during head and neck procedures (via mucus, blood, or aerosolized virions), we present situation-specific guidelines for PPE use by oto-laryngologists during the COVID-19 pandemic, based on our compilation and collective interpretation of existing recommendations and data.³

2 | OVERVIEW OF METHOD OF TRANSMISSION/PPE

The World Health Organization (WHO) recognizes COVID-19 as a droplet-borne disease unless an AGP is performed (eg, noninvasive positive pressure ventilation, bronchoscopy, open suctioning, endotracheal intubation, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, tracheostomy, and administration of nebulized treatment). Currently, coughing and sneezing are categorized as droplet-producing, not aerosolizing, by both the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and WHO. Droplet transmission occurs via respiratory droplets between 5 and 10 µm when an at-risk individual is within 1 m of the source. Airborne transmission occurs in so-called droplet "nuclei" measuring fewer than 5 µm capable of traveling for distances greater than 1 m, such as the case during AGPs. Although several publications have reported SARS-CoV2 aerosolization risk with coughing or sneezing, although, so far, the WHO has maintained that additional research is necessary to verify these claims, citing issues either with using RNA detection as a proxy for the presence of viable virus, or the method by which inoculum was aerosolized.⁴⁻⁶

Loose-fitting, standard surgical facemasks, together with a face-shield or eye protection, are considered protective against droplets. Fit-tested N95 filtering facepiece respirators along with tight-fitting eye protection or faceshields with side protection to shield the conjunctiva are indicated for providers when there is a risk for airborne transmission. Powered air-purifying respirators (PAPR) represent a reusable alternative to N95s for protection against airborne transmission. Although there is a quantitative difference in the filtering efficacy of N95 filtration masks (assigned protection factor [APF] of 10, indicating that 90% of airborne particles are blocked from inhalation) vs PAPR (APF of up to 1000 for a full facepiece PAPR), neither the CDC nor the WHO have clearly characterized a clinically discernible difference with standard use.⁷ Although the N95 requires mask-fit testing, PAPR requires training to guide proper assembly and troubleshooting of components (eg, battery, hose, filter, etc), donning and doffing, and may pose unique visibility issues (eg, fogging, inability to use headlight) in the operating room. Moreover, headaches are common among health care workers wearing N95 masks,⁸ a phenomenon postulated to be related to impaired gas exchange with retention of carbon dioxide, or mechanical factors associated with prolonged N95 mask usage.⁹

3 | TESTING

History and physical exam alone are often insufficient to guide PPE and procedural decision making, as up to 80% of patients may be mildly symptomatic or asymptomatic COVID-19 carriers.^{10,11} Clear protocols to advise testing, with accommodations for limitations in resources, are therefore important to appropriately protect providers and patients against transmission.

As it stands, there is no "gold standard test"; negative results do not preclude SARS-CoV2 infection and should not be used as the sole basis for patient management decisions (West CP). Rather, symptoms, history, and test findings should be used together to guide assessment of COVID-19 status.¹² In otolaryngology, COVID-19 status (known or inferred) is integral to direct appropriate PPE for all involved staff in the operating room or clinic setting. Currently, the most available molecular diagnostic tests are real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction assays for two or more viral targets. However, as there are concerns for the low sensitivity and negative predictive value of a single test, a combination of two PCR tests or the addition of chest CT to the diagnostic algorithm have been suggested to improve sensitivity, although this combination may not be universally feasible in the preoperative setting.¹³ The current negative predictive value of each test is variable, but guidance for deisolation of infected patient includes at least two upper respiratory tract samples negative for SARS-CoV2 collected at least 24 hours apart by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control and the Department of Health of Hong Kong. This testing approach may potentially be used as testing kit availability and result turnaround times improve to screen patients for elective surgery.¹⁴

4 | PPE FOR AIRWAY PROCEDURES

Otolaryngologists are commonly involved in AGPs requiring open or endoscopic airway instrumentation, including tracheostomy, direct laryngoscopy with interventions, and endotracheal intubation. A systematic review meant to assess the relative risk of transmission of

²____WILEY_

SARS-CoV1 during a spectrum of AGPs found endotracheal intubation (odds ratio [OR] = 6.6) and tracheostomy (OR = 4.2) to be among the highest-risk procedures.¹⁵ On April 2, the American Academy of Otolaryngology released an updated position statement recommending against elective tracheostomy within the first 2 to 3 weeks following intubation, in patients with highpressure ventilatory requirements, and in patients without COVID-19 testing.¹⁶ Moreover, there is little existing evidence suggesting a definitive overall survival benefit associated with early tracheostomy among critically ill patients.¹⁷ As such, the theoretical remaining benefits of early, elective tracheostomy (eg, laryngotracheal morbidity) must be carefully weighed against the known risk of SARS-CoV2 transmission during tracheotomy procedures, when the option to bide time until viral clearance or ventilatory independence occurs. However, if patient circumstances (eg, bulky head and neck tumor) necessitate a tracheostomy or elective tracheostomy is performed, appropriate PPE is strictly necessary.

PPE for tracheostomy procedures should abide by airborne-level precautions, which include an N95 mask with eve protection or PAPR, surgical cap, long-sleeved waterproof surgical gowns, gloves, and shoe/leg covers to prevent tracking. Some groups, cultivating their experience from treatment of either SARS-CoV1 or SARS-CoV2 patients, have advocated for enhanced PPE during highrisk airway procedures, such as tracheostomy, including full face-shields or helmets with full face coverage and double gloves, or concomitant N95 and PAPR use.^{18,19} Other important considerations include (a) possibility of performing open tracheostomy in a negative-pressure room in the intensive care unit, to minimize unnecessary ventilatory circuit manipulation for patient transport; (b) complete muscular paralysis, when permitted (ie, not awake tracheostomy), during the procedure to minimize coughing with an open airway; (c) minimization of electrocautery to mitigate the potential transmission of aerosolized virions through smoke,²⁰ and (d) use of a closed tracheal suction system with a viral filter. Although percutaneous tracheostomy can be considered as an alternative to intraoperative open tracheostomy, there may be similar, if not more significant, risk of aerosolization given the need for bronchoscopy and serial instrumentation of the airway for dilation.²¹ If awake tracheostomy cannot be avoided, additional unique considerations include adequate local anesthesia to prevent patient movement during the procedure, and a patient surgical facemask, with low-flow oxygen administration via nasal cannula, if necessary, to reduce large droplet transmission with coughing. Routine tracheostomy tube exchanges should be delayed in COVID-19 patients to limit airway manipulation; instead, simple cuff deflation

should be considered to reduce mucosal irritation and facilitate phonation. If exchange is performed, standard airborne-level PPE (N95, eye protection or full faceshields, surgical gown, and gloves) should be donned by participating providers, including nurses and respiratory therapists.

Although specific guidelines have not been formulated for suspension microlaryngoscopy, the procedure exposes the distal airway with positive pressure ongoing (albeit with a seal created by the endotracheal tube cuff), and involves instrumentation of the respiratory mucosa. Given the CDC's current characterization of intubation and bronchoscopy as "high-risk" procedures (ie, either aerosolizing or associated with poor control of respiratory secretions), airborne precautions should be strongly considered for suspension microlaryngoscopy if surgery cannot be delayed (eg, laryngeal cancer with marginal endoscopic resectability).²² Use of laser is common during microlaryngoscopic procedures; the risk of human papilloma virus (HPV) transmission has been studied in this setting. A study by Kunachack et al found that no viable HPV virions could be cultured from CO₂ laser plume.²³ Another study by Ferenczy et al found HPV DNA in the prefilter section of the smoke evacuator used to clear the surgical field of the laser plume; the inner, postfilter sections of the smoke evacuator, however, were devoid of viral DNA.²⁴ Although iatrogenic inoculation of the surgeon is not a widely reported phenomenon in the treatment of HPV related disease, it is unclear if empirical measures, including the use of a smoke evacuator with a high-efficiency filter, or prior research concerning HPV would be applicable to SARS-CoV2. Lastly, jet ventilation should be avoided, if possible, given the procedure's high applied pressure without an inflated cuff as a barrier to aerosolized virions (Table 1).

Unless the otolaryngologist is required in the operating room during endotracheal intubation of COVIDpositive or suspicious patients (eg, if the risk of a surgical airway is high), only anesthesia providers should be present during intubation with appropriate PPE donned. A statement issued by the American Society of Anesthesiologists recommended standard airborne-level PPE (N95 respiratory with eye protection or facial protection covering the sides of the face vs PAPR, waterproof gown, and gloves) be worn by providers present during intubations for patients with confirmed or suspected COVID-19.25 Additional recommendations for the intubating provider include use of videolaryngoscopy to allow for greater distance between the provider and the patient and prompt inflation of the endotracheal tube cuff after passage through the vocal cords to create a seal before initiation of positive pressure ventilation.

TABLE 1	PPE guidelines in	the patient susp	vicious or positive	e for COVID ^a
---------	-------------------	------------------	---------------------	--------------------------

	CDC		who	
	Appropriate PPE	Level of evidence ^d	Appropriate PPE	Level of evidence
Head and neck examination	Droplet precautions (surgical mask and eye protection) ^b Plus gown, and gloves if anticipating body fluid contact (ie, standard precautions)	IB	Droplet precautions (surgical mask and eye protection) Plus gown, and gloves if anticipating body fluid contact (ie, standard precautions)	NG
Flexible laryngoscopy or nasal endoscopy without additional instrumentation (eg, biopsy or suctioning)	Droplet precautions, plus gown, and gloves if anticipating body fluid contact If instrumenting (nebulized treatment, biopsy, or open suctioning) follow airborne precautions (fit-tested N95 or higher level respirator with eye protection) ^c	IA/IC IB	Droplet precautions, plus gown, and gloves if anticipating body fluid contact If instrumenting (nebulized treatment, biopsy, or open suctioning) follow airborne precautions (fit-tested N95 or higher level respirator with eye protection) ^c	NG
Non-mucosal in-office procedures (eg, fine needle aspiration biopsy of neck mass)	Droplet precautions Plus gown, and gloves if anticipating body fluid contact (ie, standard precautions)	IB	Droplet precautions Plus gown, and gloves if anticipating body fluid contact (ie, standard precautions)	NG
Aerosol generating procedures	Airborne precautions Plus gown, and gloves in anticipation of body fluid contact (ie, standard precautions)	IB	Airborne precautions Plus eye protection, gown, and gloves in anticipation of body fluid contact (ie, standard precautions)	NG
Non-mucosal procedure in OR	Droplet precautions Plus gown, and gloves if anticipating body fluid contact (ie, standard precautions)	IB	Droplet precautions Plus gown, and gloves if anticipating body fluid contact (ie, standard precautions)	NG

Abbreviations: CDC, Centers for Disease Control; IA, strongly recommended for implementation and strongly supported by well-designed experimental, clinical, or epidemiologic studies; IB, strongly recommended for implementation and supported by some experimental, clinical, or epidemiologic studies and a strong theoretical rationale; IC, required for implementation, as mandated by federal and/or state regulation or standard; NG, not graded (https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/isolation/index.html); PPE, personal protective equipment; WHO, World Health Organization.

^aProviders should continue to exercise caution in treating patients categorized as COVID negative, given variable test sensitivities and high suspected proportion of asymptomatic carriers. Providers should consider both COVID test results and pretest suspicion for COVID when managing patients. ^bThe CDC recommends droplet precautions for COVID patients who are coughing, sneezing, or talking. A nonsterile, disposable patient isolation gown and nonsterile disposable examination gloves are appropriate for suspected or confirmed COVID. Hand hygiene and proper washing of hands before and after patient contact are also universal standard precautions.

^oThe WHO considers the following to be aerosol-generating procedures: endotracheal intubation, bronchoscopy, open suctioning, administration of nebulized treatment, manual ventilation before intubation, turning the patient to the prone position, disconnecting the patient from the ventilator, noninvasive positive-pressure ventilation, tracheostomy, and cardiopulmonary resuscitation. ^dEvidence levels contained herein were designated by the CDC.

5 | PPE FOR ENDOSCOPIC SINUS OR SKULL BASE SURGERY

The nasopharynx and nasal cavity are known to harbor significant viral loads, and in concentrations potentially proportionate to the severity of COVID-19 symptoms.²⁶ In a commonly cited case, a patient with either no symptoms or mild flu symptoms in Wuhan underwent a transsphenoidal pituitary surgery in January 2020; 14 health care workers who were involved in the patient's care

(either in the operating room or outside of it) were reported to have tested positive for COVID-19. The precise circumstances surrounding exposure of the 14 health care workers, however, are uncertain, and their COVID-19 positivity may or may not have been due to their interaction with the patient who underwent the transsphenoidal procedure. The CDC currently characterizes open suctioning in a COVID-19 positive patient as an AGP; as such, endoscopic transnasal procedures (eg, endoscopic sinus surgery), with suctioning and concomitant mucosal instrumentation in a florid field, should warrant at least standard airborne-level PPE. In fact, the CDC recommends an N95 or higher-level respiratory for providers obtaining nasopharyngeal swabs in COVID-19-suspicious patients, resources permitting, reflecting the greater relative degree of caution the CDC exercises with nasal or nasopharyngeal instrumentation²² (Table 1).

6 | PPE FOR SURGERY OF THE NECK WITHOUT AIRWAY OR MUCOSAL EXPOSURE

Although surgical procedures including cold steel incision and dissection, suction, and cautery can theoretically aerosolize blood and tissue particles,²⁷ the potential for SARS-CoV2 virus aerosolization appears to be minimal outside the setting of the upper aerodigestive tract. In fact, COVID-positive patients demonstrated no detectable serum SARS-CoV2 RNA, compared to sputum analysis which produced 7×10^6 to 2.35×10^9 copies/mL.²⁸ Therefore, the extant literature suggests that standard surgical precautions are sufficient for surgery involving the neck without mucosal membrane exposure in COVID-positive patients. Accordingly, it may be recommended that providers exposed to the surgical field (surgeons, surgical assistants, and surgical technologists) wear standard PPE for surgeries (eg, neck dissections) without airway or mucosal exposure (ie, loose-fitting surgical mask, gloves, eye shield, and surgical gowns) (Table 1).

7 | PPE FOR OTOLOGIC SURGERY

Data concerning viral burden in the middle ear and mastoid cavity are lacking, although historical studies have found coronavirus RNA in middle ear fluid.²⁹ Recommendations have been made for enhanced eye (ie, tight-fitting goggles or PAPR) protection when drilling is performed, which have been related to reports of transcorneal penetration of bone dust during mastoidectomy demonstrated in an experimental setting,³⁰ and the distinct uncertainty surrounding, but persistent suspicion of, viral aerosolization with bone drilling. In light of the available data, at least standard airborne precautions should be followed on COVID-positive or suspicious patients undergoing otologic surgery.

8 | OUTPATIENT CLINIC

The use of PPE for COVID-positive or suspected patients in the outpatient setting may be dictated by the nature of the patient interaction. As previously noted, the CDC advises airborne-level precautions (ie, N95 or higher-level respirator, eye protection, gloves, and gown), and consideration of negative-pressure room, when performing AGPs.⁷ Some in vitro evidence has been interpreted to suggest that flexible nasal endoscopy, for example, although not intrinsically an AGP, may lead to aerosol generation via coughing or sneezing.³¹ As previously mentioned, however, the WHO classifies coughing and sneezing as droplet-generating, not aerosolizing, events. However, nasal endoscopy with suctioning would be classified as an AGP by the CDC, warranting airborne-level precautions.²² Standard head and neck physical examinations of putative or positive COVID-19 patients require droplet-level precautions, ensuring appropriate eye protection, given the need for close inspection of the nasal and/or oral cavities and potential proximity to droplet transmission with expectoration (Table 1).

9 | CONCLUSION

Despite the persistent uncertainty surrounding the anticipated trajectory of COVID-19, and the precise risk profile associated with head and neck procedures, it behooves otolaryngologists to practice responsible PPE use and abide by procedural precautions (eg, active preoperative testing, delaying nonemergent cases) for the safety of both providers and patients. Herein, we present recommendations for otolaryngologists practicing in both the outpatient and inpatient settings that are summarized in Table 1. Although the medical community's understanding of SARS-CoV2 continues to evolve, the consistent observation of safety measures is critical to limit propagation of COVID-19 in the health care setting.

ORCID

Bharat A. Panuganti https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1149-9532

Jason Y. K. Chan D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9480-4637

• WILEY-

REFERENCES

- COVID-19 Map. Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center. https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html. Accessed April 14, 2020.
- Lau JTF, Fung KS, Wong TW, et al. SARS transmission among hospital workers in Hong Kong. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2004;10(2): 280-286. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1002.030534.
- Ranney ML, Griffeth V, Jha AK. Critical supply shortages the need for ventilators and personal protective equipment during the Covid-19 pandemic. *N Engl J Med.* 2020; 382:e41. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp2006141.
- Bourouiba L. A sneeze. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(8):e15. https:// doi.org/10.1056/NEJMicm1501197.
- van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, et al. Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. *N Engl J Med.* 2020; 382(16):1564–1567. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMc2004973.
- Modes of transmission of virus causing COVID-19: implications for IPC precaution recommendations. https://www.who.int/newsroom/commentaries/detail/modes-of-transmission-of-virus-causi ng-covid-19-implications-for-ipc-precaution-recommendations. Accessed April 7, 2020.
- Understanding respiratory protection options in Healthcare: the overlooked elastomeric | | blogs | CDC. https://blogs.cdc.gov/ niosh-science-blog/2017/07/06/elastomerics/. Accessed April 7, 2020.
- Lim EC, Seet RC, Lee KH, Wilder-Smith EP, Chuah BY, Ong BK. Headaches and the N95 face-mask amongst healthcare providers. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 2006;113(3):199-202.
- Tong PSY, Kale AS, Ng K, et al. Respiratory consequences of N95-type mask usage in pregnant healthcare workers—a controlled clinical study. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2015;4: 48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-015-0086-z.
- Q&A: similarities and differences COVID-19 and influenza. https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/q-a-similarities-anddifferences-covid-19-and-influenza. Accessed April 15, 2020.
- Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, et al. Presumed asymptomatic carrier transmission of COVID-19. Jama. 2020;323(14):1406-1407. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.2565.
- 12. LabCorp COVID. Accelerated emergency use authorization (EUA) summary COVID-19 RT-PCR test (Laboratory Corporation of America).
- Ai T, Yang Z, Hou H, et al. Correlation of chest CT and RT-PCR testing in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: a report of 1014 cases. *Radiology*. 2020. https://doi.org/ 10.1148/radiol.2020200642.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Discharge criteria for confirmed COVID-19 cases – When is it safe to discharge COVID-19 cases from the hospital or end home isolation? https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/ COVID-19-Discharge-criteria.pdf. Accessed April 14, 2020.
- Tran K, Cimon K, Severn M, Pessoa-Silva CL, Conly J. Aerosol generating procedures and risk of transmission of acute respiratory infections to healthcare workers: a systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(4):e35797. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0035797.
- Parker N. Tracheotomy recommendations during the COVID-19 pandemic. American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery. https://www.entnet.org/content/

tracheotomy-recommendations-during-covid-19-pandemic. Accessed April 7, 2020.

- Young D, Harrison DA, Cuthbertson BH, Rowan K. Effect of early vs late tracheostomy placement on survival in patients receiving mechanical ventilation: the TracMan randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2013;309(20):2121-2129. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2013.5154.
- Sorbello M, El-Boghdadly K, Giacinto ID, et al. The Italian coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak: recommendations from clinical practice. *Anaesthesia*. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1111/ anae.15049.
- Chee VWT, Khoo ML-C, Lee SF, Lai YC, Chin NM. Infection control measures for operative procedures in severe acute respiratory syndrome-related patients. *Anesthesiol J Am Soc Anesthesiol.* 2004;100(6):1394-1398.
- Kwak HD, Kim S-H, Seo YS, Song K-J. Detecting hepatitis B virus in surgical smoke emitted during laparoscopic surgery. *Occup Environ Med.* 2016;73(12):857-863. https://doi.org/10. 1136/oemed-2016-103724.
- Tay JK, Khoo ML-C, Loh WS. Surgical considerations for tracheostomy during the COVID-19 pandemic: lessons learned from the severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak. *JAMA Otolaryngol Neck Surg.* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto. 2020.0764.
- CDC. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Centers for disease control and prevention. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/guidance-risk-assesment-hcp.html. Accessed April 12, 2020.
- Kunachak S, Sithisarn P, Kulapaditharom B. Are laryngeal papilloma virus-infected cells viable in the plume derived from a continuous mode carbon dioxide laser, and are they infectious? A preliminary report on one laser mode. *J Laryngol Otol.* 1996;110(11):1031-1033. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022215100 135686.
- Ferenczy A, Bergeron C, Richart RM. Human papillomavirus DNA in CO₂ laser-generated plume of smoke and its consequences to the surgeon. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1990;75(1): 114-118.
- 25. American Society of Anesthesiologists. Update: the use of personal protective equipment by anesthesia professionals during the COVID-19 pandemic. https://www.asahq.org/about-asa/ newsroom/news-releases/2020/03/update-the-use-of-personal-pr otective-equipment-by-anesthesia-professionals-during-the-covid-19-pandemic. Accessed April 7, 2020.
- Liu Y, Yan L-M, Wan L, et al. Viral dynamics in mild and severe cases of COVID-19. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30232-2.
- Yeh HC, Turner RS, Jones RK, Muggenburg BA, Lundgren DL, Smith JP. Characterization of aerosols produced during surgical procedures in hospitals. *Aerosol Sci Tech.* 1995;22(2):151-161. https://doi.org/10.1080/02786829408959736.
- Wölfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. *Nature*. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x.
- Pitkäranta A, Virolainen A, Jero J, Arruda E, Hayden FG. Detection of rhinovirus, respiratory syncytial virus, and coronavirus infections in acute otitis media by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction. *Pediatrics*. 1998;102(2 Pt 1):291-295. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.102.2.291.

- Hilal A, Walshe P, Gendy S, Knowles S, Burns H. Mastoidectomy and trans-corneal viral transmission. *Laryngoscope*. 2005; 115(10):1873-1876. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.mlg.0000177459. 80574.2d.
- Workman AD, Welling DB, Carter BS, et al. Endonasal instrumentation and aerosolization risk in the era of COVID-19: simulation, literature review, and proposed mitigation strategies. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/alr. 22577.

How to cite this article: Panuganti BA, Pang J, Califano J, Chan JYK. Procedural precautions and personal protective equipment during head and neck instrumentation in the COVID-19 era. *Head* & Neck. 2020;1–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.</u> 26220