### **Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory**

### **Recent Work**

### **Title**

A MECHANISM FOR ION-NEUTRAL ASSOCIATION REACTIONS

### **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4xq5d28r

### **Author**

Mahan, Bruce H.

### **Publication Date**

1965-07-01

## University of California

# Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

A MECHANISM FOR ION-NEUTRAL ASSOCIATION REACTIONS

### TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

Berkeley, California

### **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

### UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

A MECHANISM FOR ION-NEUTRAL ASSOCIATION REACTIONS

Bruce H. Mahan

JUL 1965

A Mechanism for Ion-Neutral Association Reactions

Bruce H. Mahan

Inorganic Materials Division of the Lawrence Radiation Laboratory and Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California

### Abstract

The formation of diatomic molecular ions from atomic ions in the presence of their parent atoms can take place by a three body association reaction that involves resonant charge transfer. An approximate rate constant for this process can be formulated simply, and calculated rates are in good agreement with experimental data. This mechanism should be an important loss process for ions moving rapidly in a low temperature gas.

Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow.

In investigations 1,2,3 of the diffusion and mobility of atomic ions in their parent vapor, fairly rapid formation of diatomic molecular ions has been observed. The association reaction is second order with respect to neutral atoms, and can be represented, for example, by

$$He^+ + He + He \longrightarrow He_2^+ + He$$
.

By analogy with other recombinations, it is natural to think of this three body process as one in which one member of an unbound ion-atom collision complex collides with the third particle, and thereby loses enough kinetic energy of relative motion to form a bound molecular ion. At least one estimate of the recombination rate has been made on this basis. However, in cases where an ion collides with a parent atom, there is another mechanism for molecule formation, possibly of more importance. The recombination rate associated with this mechanism can be estimated readily.

Consider an ion (particle 1) that undergoes a grazing collision with an identical neutral, particle 2. At some distance from both, there is another neutral of arbitrary identity, particle 3. Particles 1 and 2 may undergo a resonant charge transfer that leaves particle 2 charged. Because the principal contribution to the charge transfer cross section comes from collisions with relatively large impact parameters, in most cases the kinetic energy of particle 2 will be changed only slightly in the charge transfer process. If after the charge transfer the mutual potential energy of particles 2 and 3

is negative and larger in magnitude than their relative kinetic energy, the pair is a bound diatomic molecular ion. Since in the idealized process the velocities of all particles are relatively unaltered, the association can be said to occur by means of a potential energy transfer rather than by the more familiar approximation of an impulsive kinetic energy exchange.

To compute the rate of association by this mechanism, we assume that the final potential between particles 2 and 3 is of the ion-induced dipole type. Furthermore, we assume this potential does not act until after the charge transfer process This is surely a simplification, for during the is over. resonant charge transfer the charge oscillates between particles 1 and 2, and in a rough approximation may be thought of as located midway between them. If the distance between particles 1 and 2 is large, and the charge transfer collision of relatively short duration, then the idealization of having the charge appear suddenly at particle 2 may not be too serious an approximation. The large cross sections for resonant charge transfer, and relatively high primary ion velocities such as those found in mass spectrometer sources favor the assumptions made here.

Particles 2 and 3 will be bound if after the charge transfer

$$\frac{1}{2} \mu g^2 \leq \frac{\alpha e^2}{2r^4} , \qquad (1)$$

where  $\mu$  is the reduced mass, g is the relative speed, r is the separation of particles 2 and 3,  $\alpha$  is the polarizability of particle 3, and e is the electron charge. Equation (1) allows us to define for each value of g a maximum critical radius r given by

$$r_{c} = \left(\frac{\alpha e^{2}}{\mu g^{2}}\right)^{1/4} \tag{2}$$

If the separation of particles 2 and 3 is less than  $r_{\rm c}$ , a bound molecular ion is formed as a result of the charge transfer. The third order association rate is given by the rate of charge transfer collisions times the chance that the third particle lies within a sphere of radius  $r_{\rm c}$  centered on particle 2. The third order rate constant k' is accordingly

$$k' = k_{CT} \frac{4\pi}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{c}^{3}(g) f(g) dg$$
 (3)

where  $k_{\rm CT}$  is the rate constant for charge transfer collisions, and f(g) is the distribution function for relative speeds, which under the assumptions used here is the Maxwell-Boltzmann function.

It has been assumed that the charge transfer between particles 1 and 2 is of the resonant type; but this cannot strictly be true if particles 2 and 3 are close enough to interact. The error introduced by this assumption is rather small, however. Most of the contribution to the rate will come from configurations in which particles 2 and 3 eventually interact with an ion-induced dipole energy of the order of kT, and this energy can be taken as roughly equal to the resonance

defect of the charge transfer. Demkov<sup>5</sup> has shown that if the resonance defect is small compared to  $(2 \text{ mI})^{1/2} \text{ v}$ , where m is the atomic mass, I is the ionization energy, and v is the ion-neutral relative velocity, then the charge transfer probability does not differ markedly from that of the case of exact resonance. This condition is met for typical values of the ionization energy.

With these limitations in mind, we can evaluate Eq. (3). We have

$$\frac{k'}{k_{CT}} = \frac{16\pi^2}{3} \left(\frac{\mu}{2\pi kT}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{\alpha e^2}{\mu}\right)^{3/4} \int_{0}^{\infty} g^{1/2} e^{-\mu g^2/2kT} dg$$

$$k' = \frac{4}{3}\pi^{1/2}2^{1/4} \Gamma(\frac{3}{4}) k_{CT} \left(\frac{\alpha e^2}{kT}\right)^{3/4}$$
 (4)

There are rather few data with which calculations based on Eq. (4) can be compared. Careful measurements of the three body association rates in pure helium and neon have been made by Beaty and Patterson, and by Phelps and Brown for helium. Estimates of the rates of reactions that are evidently.

$$Ar^+ + Ar + He \longrightarrow ArHe^+ + Ar$$
  
 $A^+ + Ar + Ne \longrightarrow ArNe^+ + Ar$ 

have been made by Oskam. From these reactants the homonuclear ions may be formed also, but this must occur through a kinetic energy transfer mechanism rather than the charge transfer process discussed here. The kinetic energy transfer process is less likely to be important in situations where there is

a large mass discrepancy among the particles. Table 1 contains a comparison of the calculated and observed association rates. The polarizabilities were taken from Pitzer, 8 and the charge transfer rate constants were evaluated by multiplying the cross sections tabulated by Dalgarno 9 by the root-mean-square relative speed. The relatively good agreement between the calculations and the observations is encouraging, but considerably more data are required before the true importance of the charge transfer mechanism can be ascertained. The fact that the calculated rate constants do not substantially exceed the observed values suggests that this mechanism cannot be the only contributor to the association rate.

Since the foregoing formulation relates the recombination rate to the charge transfer cross section, it appears superficially similar to the arguments recently put forth by Beaty and Patterson. <sup>10</sup> The details and results of the two treatments are quite different, however, since Beaty and Patterson are not concerned with the details of the deactivation process, and in effect choose what we have called  $r_c$  always to be equal to  $(2Q/\pi)^{1/2}$ , where Q is the charge transfer cross section. This choice leads to calculated recombination rates that are smaller than found experimentally, and to a temperature dependence different from what we have found.

Recently, Niles and Robertson<sup>11</sup> showed that the rate constant for the termolecular formation of He<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> is inversely proportional to temperature in the range from 77° to 443°K,

and that this dependence can be explained in terms of a simple collisional recombination mechanism in which charge transfer effects and any specific velocity dependence of collision cross sections are ignored. In their derivation, Niles and Robertson assume that the important collisions that dissociate moleculeions are those in which the full dissociation energy is transferred in one event. This assumption is ultimately responsible for the derived inverse temperature dependence of the recombination rate constant. The "strong collision" mechanism is distinctly different from our point of view that collisions that produce weakly bound molecule-ions contribute significantly to the recombination rate, and that correspondingly, a "step ladder" mechanism for the dissociation process is important.

Equation (4) shows that the recombination rate constant for the charge transfer mechanism is proportional to  $T^{-3/4}$  times some temperature factor associated with the charge transfer rate constant. The latter involves at least a factor of  $T^{1/2}$  that corresponds to the velocity of relative motion. For relatively high ion energies, the charge transfer cross section depends on the logarithm of the relative velocity, and thus this factor should be rather insensitive to temperature. However, for thermal ions, the polarization correction to the charge transfer cross section can be appreciable, and the effective charge transfer cross section should be written as

$$Q = \frac{\pi b_{c}^{2}}{2} [1 + (\alpha e^{2}/2Eb_{c}^{4})]$$

Here b<sub>c</sub> is the (virtually temperature independent) impact

parameter that corresponds to collisions in which the charge transfer probability is one half, and E is the energy of relative motion of the ion and neutral. At low temperatures, the charge transfer cross section may eventually become inversely proportional to temperature. Thus the temperature dependence of the recombination rate constant may range from  $T^{-5/4}$  at low temperatures, to  $T^{-1/4}$  at high temperatures. In addition, redissociation of weakly bound molecule-ions, which we have not considered, can introduce additional inverse temperature dependence.

As a guide to future experiments in this area, it should be noted that at high field strengths, the ion velocity will be large, and association via the kinetic energy transfer mechanism will be rather improbable, since large amounts of kinetic energy must be transferred in the ion-third particle collision. The charge transfer mechanism is most apt to be important in these circumstances, for the resonant charge transfer process replaces a fast ion with a slow ion. Since the charge transfer cross section falls only logarithmically with increasing velocity, the product of ion velocity and transfer cross section will remain large even at moderately high field strengths.

Acknowledgement. This work was performed under the auspices of the United States Atomic Energy Commission.

#### References

- 1. E. C. Beaty and P. Patterson, <u>Proc. Sixth. Int. Conf.</u> on <u>Ionization Phenomena in Gases</u>, Paris, 1963, S.E.R.M.A., Paris, 1964, Vol. I, p. 311.
- 2. J. A. Hornbeck, Phys. Rev. 84, 615 (1951).
- J. S. Dahler, J. L. Franklin, M. S. B. Munson, and
   F. H. Field, J. Chem. Phys. 36, 3332 (1962).
- 4. D. R. Bates, Phys. Rev. 77, 718 (1950).
- 5. Y. N. Demkov, in <u>Atomic Collision Processes</u>, Ed. M. R. C. McDowell, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1964, p. 831.
- 6. A. V. Phelps and S. C. Brown, Phys. Rev. 86, 102 (1952).
- 7. H. J. Oskam, Philips. Res. Repts. 13, 401 (1958).
- 8. K. S. Pitzer, Quantum Chemistry, Prentice-Hall, New York, 1953, p. 339.
- 9. A. Dalgarno, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. (London), <u>A250</u>, 426 (1958).
- 10. E. C. Beaty and P. L. Patterson, Phys. Rev. 137, A347 (1965).
- 11. F. E. Niles and W. W. Robinson, J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3277 (1965).
- 12. J. W. Sheldon, J. Appl. Phys. 34, 444 (1963).

Table I

Third Order Association Rate Constants  $(cm^6/molecule^2 sec)$ 

						Experimental	Ref.	Calculation
He <sup>+</sup>	+	Нe	+	Не		10.8x10 <sup>-32</sup>	1	7.7x10 <sup>-32</sup>
						$6.3 \times 10^{-32}$	6	
Ne <sup>+</sup>	+	Ne	+	Ne		$5.8 \times 10^{-32}$	1	6.2x10 <sup>-32</sup>
Ar <sup>+</sup>	+	Ar	+	Не	• • •	7.5x10 <sup>-32</sup>	7	4.9x10 <sup>-32(a)</sup>
Ar <sup>+</sup>	+	Ar	+	Ne		25x10 <sup>-32</sup>	7	8.3x10 <sup>-32(a)</sup>

a. Calculated assuming heteronuclear ion is formed.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.