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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Recent data from the CDC's Behavior Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) and Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) provide an opportunity to update prior population estimates of the number of adults and youth who identify as transgender in the U.S. In 2016 and 2017, the Williams Institute used data from the 2014-15 BRFSS to estimate the number of adults (ages 18 and older) and youth (ages 13 to 17) who identify as transgender. Since then, a total of 43 states have used the BRFSS optional gender identity module for at least one year, providing more years of data from more states since these initial estimates. Additionally, in 2017, the YRBS, a national survey of high school students, began asking respondents if they are transgender. Since 2017, fifteen states have included this question in their YRBS statewide questionnaire. In this study, we use data from the 2017 and 2019 YRBS and the 20172020 BRFSS to find that:

- Over 1.6 million adults (ages 18 and older) and youth (ages 13 to 17) identify as transgender in the United States, or $0.6 \%$ of those ages 13 and older.
- Among U.S. adults, $0.5 \%$ (about 1.3 million adults) identify as transgender. Among youth ages 13 to 17 in the U.S., $1.4 \%$ (about 300,000 youth) identify as transgender.
- Of the 1.3 million adults who identify as transgender, $38.5 \%(515,200)$ are transgender women, $35.9 \%(480,000)$ are transgender men, and $25.6 \%(341,800)$ reported they are gender nonconforming.
- Research shows transgender individuals are younger on average than the U.S. population. We find that youth ages 13 to 17 are significantly more likely to identify as transgender (1.4\%) than adults ages 65 or older (0.3\%).
- The racial/ethnic distribution of youth and adults who identify as transgender appears generally similar to the U.S. population, though our estimates mirror prior research that found transgender youth and adults are more likely to report being Latinx and less likely to report being White compared to the U.S. population.
- Our estimates of the percent of residents in U.S. regions who identify as transgender range from $1.8 \%$ in the Northeast to $1.2 \%$ in the Midwest for youth ages 13 to 17, and range from $0.6 \%$ in the Northeast to $0.4 \%$ in the Midwest for adults.
- At the state level, our estimates range from $3.0 \%$ of youth ages 13 to 17 identifying as transgender in New York to $0.6 \%$ in Wyoming. Our estimates for the percentage of adults who identify as transgender range from $0.9 \%$ in North Carolina to $0.2 \%$ in Missouri.

Overall, based on our estimates from 2016-2017 and the current report, we find that the percentage and number of adults who identify as transgender has remained steady over time. The availability of the YRBS data has given us a more direct look into youth gender identity and provides better data than was previously available to us for estimating the size and characteristics of the youth population. Youth ages 13 to 17 comprise a larger share of the transgender-identified population than we previously estimated, currently comprising about $18 \%$ of the transgender-identified population in the U.S., up from $10 \%$ previously.

## INTRODUCTION

A growing number of population-based surveys in the United States, and internationally, ask questions to identify transgender people, including surveys conducted by the U.S. federal government. ${ }^{1}$ In 2014, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) began offering an optional module on the Behavior Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) for states to use to ask respondents if they consider themselves to be transgender. ${ }^{2}$ In 2016, the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) included a two-step approach to identify those whose gender identity differs from their sex assigned at birth. ${ }^{3}$ In 2017, the CDC's Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS), a national survey of high school students, began asking respondents if they are transgender. ${ }^{4}$ In July 2021, the U.S. Census Bureau's Household Pulse Survey adopted the two-step approach. ${ }^{5}$ Internationally, Canada added the two-step approach to their national census in 2021, while Belgium and New Zealand have also included measures to identify transgender people in population-based surveys. ${ }^{6}$

With new and emerging data sources, like the NCVS and Household Pulse Survey, researchers will have new opportunities to expand our knowledge about the characteristics and experiences of the transgender population. In the U.S., we have found from the NCVS that transgender people are more likely to experience violent victimization compared to cisgender people. ${ }^{7}$ Household Pulse data allowed us to assess disparities in food insecurity among transgender people during the COVID-19 pandemic. ${ }^{8}$ Yet, limitations exist among these data sources that affect the ability to create estimates of the size and demographic characteristics of the transgender population in the U.S. In 2019, NCVS began asking questions to identify transgender people only among victims of violence, which

[^0]undermines the ability to make population-level estimates. ${ }^{9}$ The U.S. Census Bureau is fielding the Household Pulse Survey as a part of their Experimental Data Series. ${ }^{10}$ We continue to learn about the Household Pulse Survey methods and its promise and limitations as a data source to study the population size and characteristics of transgender people in the U.S. ${ }^{11}$ Although they do not yet collect data about gender identity in all U.S. states, the CDC's BRFSS and YRBS currently provide the best available data to generate estimates of the number of adults and youth who identify as transgender.

In 2016 and 2017, the Williams Institute used data from the CDC's 2014-15 BRFSS to estimate the number of adults (ages 18 and older) and youth (ages 13 to 17) who identify as transgender. ${ }^{12}$ Since then, a total of 43 states have used the BRFSS optional gender identity module for at least one year, providing more years of data from more states since these initial estimates. Additionally, since 2017, 15 states have included a question to identify transgender youth in their YRBS statewide questionnaire. ${ }^{13}$ These more recent data from the BRFSS and the YRBS provide an opportunity to update our prior population estimates of the number of adults and youth who identify as transgender in the U.S. In this report, we describe our updated estimates, including estimates regarding gender, age, and race/ ethnicity at the national level and age and race/ethnicity at the regional and state levels. A detailed description of our methods and accompanying appendix can be found at the end of this report.

[^1]
## FINDINGS

## NATIONAL POPULATION ESTIMATES BY GENDER IDENTITY, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

Nationally, we estimate that $0.6 \%$ of those ages 13 and older identify as transgender in the United States, which is about 1.6 million individuals based on current U.S. population size. Among adults, $0.5 \%$ (over 1.3 million adults) identify as transgender. Among youth ages 13 to 17, 1.4\% (about 300,000 youth) identify as transgender. The BRFSS and YRBS data allow us to further describe gender identity for adults, age categories for individuals ages 13 and older, and race/ethnicity separately for youth and adults.

## Gender Identity

The BRFSS optional gender identity module includes a follow-up question of adults who identify as transgender to further describe their gender identity. ${ }^{14}$ Based on that follow-up question, we find that of adults who identify as transgender, $38.5 \%(515,200)$ are transgender women, $35.9 \%(480,000)$ are transgender men, and $25.6 \%(341,800)$ reported they are gender nonconforming. It is possible that transgender adults who identify as nonbinary may have reported their gender in the BRFSS as gender nonconforming. A recent study estimated that nearly one-third of transgender adults identify as nonbinary, which is similar to our finding of $25.6 \% .{ }^{15}$ The YRBS does not include a follow-up question to allow respondents to further describe their gender identity. Therefore, we are unable to provide a more detailed description of gender identities among youth.

Figure 1. Gender identity of adults who identify as transgender in the U.S.


[^2]
## Age

We describe the age of individuals who identify as transgender in two ways: the percentage of each age group that identifies as transgender and the age distribution of the transgender-identified population compared to the age distribution of the U.S. population. When looking at the percentage in each age group that identifies as transgender, those in the youngest age groups appear to have a higher percentage of those who identity as transgender. For instance, 1.4\% of those ages 13 to 17 identify as transgender whereas $0.3 \%$ of those ages 65 and older identify as transgender. While these age group differences appear to be only statistically significant between the oldest and youngest age groups, this age trend among transgender individuals is consistently found in studies using population-based samples. ${ }^{16}$

Table 1. Percent of each age group that identifies as transgender in the U.S.

|  | PERCENT | NUMBER |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 13 to 17 | $1.4 \%$ | 300,100 |
| 18 to 24 | $1.3 \%$ | 398,900 |
| 25 to 64 | $0.5 \%$ | 766,500 |
| 65 and older | $0.3 \%$ | 171,700 |
| 13 and older | $0.6 \%$ | $1,637,200$ |

When looking at the age distribution of those who identify as transgender, it appears that the age distribution of transgender-identified individuals (ages 13 and older) is younger compared to the U.S. population. For instance, those ages 13 to 17 comprise $18.3 \%$ of transgender-identified individuals (ages 13 and older), whereas that age group comprises $7.6 \%$ of the U.S. population (ages 13 and older). This age trend is consistent with prior research that has found transgender individuals have a lower mean age than cisgender individuals. ${ }^{17}$

[^3]Figure 2. Age distribution among those who identify as transgender and among the U.S. population (ages 13 and older)


## Race/Ethnicity

Similar to age, we look at race and ethnicity of individuals who identify as transgender in two different ways: the percentage of each race/ethnicity group that identifies as transgender and the racial and ethnic distribution of the transgender-identified population compared to the racial and ethnic distribution of the U.S. population. We stratify this analysis by age, separately describing the race/ ethnicity of youth and adults. Tables 2 and 3 describe the percentage of each racial/ethnic group that identifies as transgender, along with the population estimate. Differences between racial/ethnic groups are not statistically significant, but our findings do reflect prior research with population-based samples that have found that Latinx people, American Indian or Alaska Native, and biracial/multiracial groups appear more likely than White people to identify as transgender. ${ }^{18}$

Table 2. Percent of each racial/ethnic group that identifies as transgender in the U.S., among adults (ages 18 and older)

|  | PERCENT | NUMBER |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| White | $0.5 \%$ | 731,200 |
| Black | $0.6 \%$ | 173,500 |
| Asian | $0.5 \%$ | 77,300 |
| AIAN | $0.9 \%$ | 14,500 |
| Latinx | $0.7 \%$ | 289,700 |
| Biracial, Multiracial, or <br> Other Race/Ethnicity | $1.0 \%$ | 50,900 |

Note: White, Black, Asian, and American Indian or Alaska Native (AIAN) are non-Hispanic. The Latinx category includes Hispanic and Latinx people of any race. Biracial, multiracial, and other race/ethnicity are non-Hispanic.

[^4]Table 3. Percent of each racial/ethnic group that identifies as transgender in the U.S., among youth (ages 13 to 17)

|  | PERCENT | NUMBER |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| White | $1.3 \%$ | 138,800 |
| Black | $1.4 \%$ | 39,600 |
| Asian | $1.0 \%$ | 10,800 |
| AIAN | $1.8 \%$ | 3,000 |
| Latinx | $1.8 \%$ | 92,900 |
| Biracial, Multiracial, or | $1.5 \%$ | 15,000 |
| Other Race/Ethnicity |  |  |

Note: White, Black, Asian, and American Indian or Alaska Native (AIAN) are non-Hispanic. The Latinx category includes Hispanic and Latinx people of any race. Biracial, multiracial, and other race/ethnicity are non-Hispanic.

The racial and ethnic distribution of adults and youth appear generally similar to the racial/ethnic distribution of the U.S. population. However, transgender-identified youth and adults appear more likely to report being Latinx and less likely to report being White, as compared to the U.S. population (see Figures 3 and 4). As described above, this trend is in keeping with prior research. ${ }^{19}$

Figure 3. Race/ethnicity of adults who identify as transgender and of the U.S. population (ages 18 and older)


Figure 4. Race/ethnicity of youth who identify as transgender and of the U.S. population (ages 13-17)


## REGIONAL AND STATE POPULATION ESTIMATES, BY AGE AND RACE

Adults and youth who identify as transgender in the U.S. reside in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Table 4 describes the percentage of each age group that identifies as transgender, and the population estimate for each, in the four U.S. regions, and in each state within each region. Overall, for youth ages 13 to 17, we find that 1.4\% identify as transgender, which is about 300,000 youth. Our estimates of youth ages 13 to 17 who identify as transgender are similar across U.S. regions, ranging from $1.8 \%$ in the Northeast to $1.2 \%$ in the Midwest. At the state level, our estimates range from 3.0\% of youth ages 13 to 17 identifying as transgender in New York to $0.6 \%$ in Wyoming. ${ }^{20}$ Among all adults, we find that $0.5 \%$, or over 1.3 million, identifies as transgender. Our estimates of adults in U.S. regions who identify as transgender range from $0.6 \%$ in the Northeast to $0.4 \%$ in the Midwest. At the state level, our estimates range from $0.9 \%$ of adults identifying as transgender in North Carolina to $0.2 \%$ in Missouri. ${ }^{21}$

[^5]Table 4. Regional and state-level estimates of those who identify as transgender in the U.S. population by age group (ages 13 and older)

|  | 13-17 |  | 18-24 |  | 25-64 |  | 65+ |  | ALL ADULTS 18+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER |
| United States | 1.43\% | 300,100 | 1.31\% | 398,900 | 0.45\% | 766,500 | 0.32\% | 171,700 | 0.52\% | 1,337,100 |
| WEST | 1.62\% | 81,700 | 1.14\% | 82,600 | 0.51\% | 209,400 | 0.30\% | 36,400 | 0.54\% | 328,500 |
| Alaska | 1.23\% | 500 | 1.51\% | 1,000 | 0.65\% | 2,500 | 0.34\% | 300 | 0.70\% | 3,900 |
| Arizona | 1.54\% | 7,300 | 1.92\% | 13,000 | 0.71\% | 25,200 | 0.23\% | 3,000 | 0.73\% | 41,200 |
| California | 1.93\% | 49,100 | 0.70\% | 25,500 | 0.50\% | 105,100 | 0.34\% | 19,500 | 0.49\% | 150,100 |
| Colorado | 1.14\% | 4,200 | 2.09\% | 10,800 | 0.51\% | 15,800 | 0.06\% | 500 | 0.60\% | 27,000 |
| Hawaii | 2.15\% | 1,700 | 1.50\% | 1,800 | 0.66\% | 4,800 | 0.44\% | 1,200 | 0.70\% | 7,800 |
| Idaho | 0.76\% | 1,000 | 0.92\% | 1,500 | 0.51\% | 4,500 | 0.36\% | 1,000 | 0.52\% | 7,000 |
| Montana | 0.78\% | 500 | 0.70\% | 700 | 0.47\% | 2,500 | 0.13\% | 300 | 0.41\% | 3,400 |
| Nevada | 1.67\% | 3,300 | 0.87\% | 2,200 | 0.35\% | 5,700 | 0.04\% | 200 | 0.34\% | 8,100 |
| New Mexico | 2.62\% | 3,700 | 0.81\% | 1,600 | 0.62\% | 6,500 | 0.73\% | 2,800 | 0.67\% | 10,900 |
| Oregon | 1.18\% | 2,900 | 1.57\% | 5,700 | 0.52\% | 11,500 | 0.35\% | 2,700 | 0.59\% | 19,900 |
| Utah | 0.83\% | 2,100 | 1.34\% | 4,800 | 0.47\% | 7,300 | 0.43\% | 1,600 | 0.60\% | 13,700 |
| Washington | 1.09\% | 5,000 | 2.01\% | 13,300 | 0.41\% | 16,900 | 0.26\% | 3,200 | 0.56\% | 33,300 |
| Wyoming | 0.56\% | 200 | 1.21\% | 700 | 0.41\% | 1,200 | 0.29\% | 300 | 0.48\% | 2,100 |
| MIDWEST | 1.24\% | 54,500 | 1.27\% | 81,200 | 0.34\% | 119,900 | 0.26\% | 30,100 | 0.44\% | 231,200 |
| Illinois | 1.66\% | 13,700 | 1.94\% | 22,300 | 0.24\% | 16,300 | 0.24\% | 4,800 | 0.44\% | 43,400 |
| Indiana | 0.91\% | 4,100 | 1.18\% | 7,800 | 0.45\% | 15,100 | 0.27\% | 2,900 | 0.50\% | 25,800 |
| Iowa | 1.07\% | 2,100 | 0.45\% | 1,400 | 0.28\% | 4,400 | 0.23\% | 1,200 | 0.29\% | 7,100 |
| Kansas | 1.05\% | 2,100 | 1.92\% | 5,700 | 0.35\% | 5,000 | 0.34\% | 1,600 | 0.56\% | 12,400 |
| Michigan | 1.41\% | 8,900 | 1.13\% | 10,800 | 0.38\% | 19,600 | 0.14\% | 2,600 | 0.42\% | 33,000 |
| Minnesota | 0.94\% | 3,500 | 1.62\% | 7,900 | 0.52\% | 15,200 | 0.32\% | 2,900 | 0.60\% | 26,000 |
| Missouri | 0.75\% | 2,900 | 0.71\% | 3,900 | 0.07\% | 2,100 | 0.33\% | 3,500 | 0.20\% | 9,500 |
| Nebraska | 0.94\% | 1,200 | 1.12\% | 2,100 | 0.37\% | 3,600 | 0.28\% | 900 | 0.45\% | 6,600 |
| North Dakota | 1.16\% | 500 | 1.02\% | 800 | 0.36\% | 1,400 | 0.26\% | 300 | 0.43\% | 2,500 |
| Ohio | 1.15\% | 8,500 | 1.14\% | 12,200 | 0.45\% | 27,100 | 0.35\% | 7,200 | 0.51\% | 46,500 |
| South Dakota | 0.90\% | 500 | 1.12\% | 900 | 0.37\% | 1,600 | 0.27\% | 400 | 0.44\% | 2,900 |
| Wisconsin | 1.75\% | 6,400 | 0.99\% | 5,300 | 0.29\% | 8,500 | 0.17\% | 1,700 | 0.34\% | 15,500 |
| SOUTH | 1.25\% | 102,200 | 1.33\% | 154,500 | 0.45\% | 295,500 | 0.36\% | 73,600 | 0.54\% | 523,600 |
| Alabama | 1.08\% | 3,400 | 1.18\% | 5,400 | 0.42\% | 10,400 | 0.30\% | 2,500 | 0.48\% | 18,400 |
| Arkansas | 0.88\% | 1,800 | 3.59\% | 9,800 | 0.24\% | 3,500 | 0.58\% | 2,900 | 0.70\% | 16,200 |
| Delaware | 0.96\% | 600 | 2.36\% | 2,000 | 0.69\% | 3,400 | 0.49\% | 900 | 0.82\% | 6,300 |
| District of Columbia | 2.11\% | 600 | 2.21\% | 1,600 | 0.77\% | 3,200 | 0.56\% | 500 | 0.92\% | 5,300 |
| Florida | 1.32\% | 16,200 | 1.28\% | 22,400 | 0.49\% | 53,900 | 0.41\% | 18,600 | 0.55\% | 94,900 |
| Georgia | 1.18\% | 8,500 | 1.24\% | 12,700 | 0.48\% | 26,800 | 0.61\% | 9,200 | 0.60\% | 48,700 |
| Kentucky | 0.68\% | 2,000 | 1.27\% | 5,300 | 0.43\% | 9,900 | 0.32\% | 2,400 | 0.51\% | 17,700 |
| Louisiana | 1.30\% | 4,000 | 0.79\% | 3,300 | 0.45\% | 10,700 | 0.23\% | 1,700 | 0.44\% | 15,700 |
| Maryland | 2.08\% | 8,000 | 1.90\% | 10,100 | 0.38\% | 12,200 | 0.18\% | 1,700 | 0.51\% | 24,000 |
| Mississippi | 1.20\% | 2,400 | 0.81\% | 2,400 | 0.37\% | 5,500 | 0.33\% | 1,600 | 0.42\% | 9,600 |


|  | 13-17 |  | 18-24 |  | 25-64 |  | 65+ |  | ALL ADULTS 18+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER |
| North Carolina | 1.27\% | 8,500 | 2.46\% | 24,000 | 0.73\% | 38,400 | 0.53\% | 8,900 | 0.87\% | 71,300 |
| Oklahoma | 1.00\% | 2,600 | 2.52\% | 9,300 | 0.44\% | 8,500 | 0.19\% | 1,100 | 0.63\% | 18,900 |
| South Carolina | 1.14\% | 3,700 | 0.87\% | 4,100 | 0.43\% | 11,300 | 0.38\% | 3,500 | 0.47\% | 19,000 |
| Tennessee | 0.74\% | 3,100 | 1.95\% | 11,700 | 0.44\% | 15,000 | 0.09\% | 1,000 | 0.52\% | 27,700 |
| Texas | 1.42\% | 29,800 | 0.71\% | 19,800 | 0.42\% | 61,500 | 0.31\% | 11,600 | 0.43\% | 92,900 |
| Virginia | 1.18\% | 6,200 | 1.11\% | 8,800 | 0.40\% | 18,000 | 0.34\% | 4,600 | 0.47\% | 31,400 |
| West Virginia | 0.68\% | 700 | 1.18\% | 1,800 | 0.36\% | 3,200 | 0.22\% | 800 | 0.40\% | 5,700 |
| NORTHEAST | 1.82\% | 61,700 | 1.58\% | 80,600 | 0.48\% | 141,600 | 0.32\% | 31,600 | 0.57\% | 253,800 |
| Connecticut | 1.64\% | 3,700 | 1.35\% | 4,600 | 0.45\% | 8,300 | 0.38\% | 2,400 | 0.54\% | 15,300 |
| Maine | 1.59\% | 1,200 | 1.44\% | 1,600 | 0.47\% | 3,300 | 0.34\% | 1,000 | 0.53\% | 5,900 |
| Massachusetts | 1.44\% | 5,900 | 2.30\% | 15,700 | 0.44\% | 16,100 | 0.46\% | 5,400 | 0.67\% | 37,100 |
| New Hampshire | 0.84\% | 700 | 1.53\% | 1,900 | 0.48\% | 3,500 | 0.34\% | 900 | 0.57\% | 6,300 |
| New Jersey | 0.67\% | 3,800 | 1.67\% | 12,700 | 0.52\% | 24,800 | 0.38\% | 5,600 | 0.62\% | 43,100 |
| New York | 3.00\% | 34,800 | 1.37\% | 24,100 | 0.46\% | 47,600 | 0.31\% | 10,100 | 0.53\% | 81,800 |
| Pennsylvania | 1.30\% | 10,000 | 1.50\% | 16,900 | 0.51\% | 33,400 | 0.24\% | 5,600 | 0.55\% | 56,000 |
| Rhode Island | 1.93\% | 1,200 | 2.11\% | 2,300 | 0.54\% | 3,000 | 0.21\% | 400 | 0.66\% | 5,700 |
| Vermont | 1.33\% | 500 | 1.26\% | 800 | 0.48\% | 1,500 | 0.29\% | 400 | 0.53\% | 2,700 |



Table 5 describes the percentage and the population estimate of each racial/ethnic group that identifies as transgender nationally, in the four U.S. regions, and in each state within each region. Due to sample size limitations, our estimates are limited only to adults. Furthermore, we must combine into one heterogenous category all those reporting a race or ethnicity other than White, Black, Asian, and Latinx, which includes Native American, Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander, biracial, multiracial, and individuals with other racial/ethnic identities.

Table 5. Regional and state-level estimates of those who identify as transgender in the U.S. population by race/ethnicity (adults ages 18+ only)

|  | WHITE |  | BLACK |  | ASIAN |  | LATINX |  | ALL OTHER RACE/ ETHNICITY GROUPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER |
| United States | 0.46\% | 731,200 | 0.56\% | 173,500 | 0.50\% | 77,300 | 0.69\% | 289,700 | 0.94\% | 65,400 |
| WEST | 0.45\% | 142,500 | 0.51\% | 14,100 | 0.48\% | 33,200 | 0.70\% | 115,500 | 0.91\% | 23,100 |
| Alaska | 0.49\% | 1,900 | 0.70\% | 100 | 0.67\% | 300 | 0.78\% | 300 | 1.12\% | 1,200 |
| Arizona | 0.52\% | 18,700 | 0.66\% | 1,800 | 0.63\% | 1,400 | 0.91\% | 15,600 | 1.17\% | 3,700 |
| California | 0.40\% | 44,200 | 0.50\% | 8,000 | 0.47\% | 20,900 | 0.70\% | 69,900 | 0.74\% | 7,100 |
| Colorado | 0.50\% | 16,200 | 0.64\% | 1,100 | 0.61\% | 1,000 | 0.86\% | 7,500 | 1.04\% | 1,300 |
| Hawaii | 0.50\% | 1,400 | 0.59\% | 100 | 0.58\% | 3,500 | 0.80\% | 800 | 1.08\% | 1,900 |
| Idaho | 0.46\% | 5,300 | 0.63\% | <100 | 0.49\% | 100 | 0.76\% | 1,200 | 0.82\% | 300 |
| Montana | 0.38\% | 2,800 | 0.57\% | <100 | 0.38\% | <100 | 0.62\% | 200 | 0.68\% | 400 |
| Nevada | 0.39\% | 3,300 | 0.50\% | 700 | 0.45\% | 700 | 0.69\% | 2,900 | 0.54\% | 500 |
| New Mexico | 0.47\% | 3,200 | 0.63\% | 200 | 0.56\% | 200 | 0.76\% | 5,800 | 0.95\% | 1,500 |
| Oregon | 0.53\% | 13,700 | 0.65\% | 400 | 0.64\% | 1,100 | 0.89\% | 3,300 | 1.02\% | 1,400 |
| Utah | 0.54\% | 9,800 | 0.66\% | 100 | 0.67\% | 500 | 0.82\% | 2,400 | 1.06\% | 800 |
| Washington | 0.49\% | 20,300 | 0.61\% | 1,400 | 0.58\% | 3,400 | 0.86\% | 5,400 | 0.98\% | 2,900 |
| Wyoming | 0.44\% | 1,700 | 0.58\% | <100 | 0.59\% | <100 | 0.75\% | 300 | 0.85\% | 100 |
| MIDWEST | 0.40\% | 164,000 | 0.48\% | 25,100 | 0.48\% | 8,700 | 0.64\% | 23,100 | 0.87\% | 10,200 |
| Illinois | 0.40\% | 23,900 | 0.49\% | 6,300 | 0.43\% | 2,400 | 0.65\% | 9,400 | 0.85\% | 1,400 |
| Indiana | 0.46\% | 19,500 | 0.53\% | 2,500 | 0.56\% | 700 | 0.70\% | 2,200 | 1.03\% | 1,000 |
| Iowa | 0.31\% | 5,700 | 0.41\% | 300 | 0.41\% | 200 | 0.53\% | 600 | 0.56\% | 200 |
| Kansas | 0.49\% | 8,600 | 0.60\% | 800 | 0.61\% | 400 | 0.82\% | 1,900 | 1.04\% | 700 |
| Michigan | 0.40\% | 23,400 | 0.48\% | 4,700 | 0.46\% | 1,100 | 0.66\% | 2,200 | 0.79\% | 1,500 |
| Minnesota | 0.53\% | 19,300 | 0.71\% | 1,700 | 0.72\% | 1,500 | 0.88\% | 1,800 | 1.27\% | 1,600 |
| Missouri | 0.34\% | 7,300 | 0.41\% | 1,200 | 0.41\% | 200 | 0.52\% | 500 | 0.37\% | 400 |
| Nebraska | 0.40\% | 4,800 | 0.54\% | 400 | 0.53\% | 200 | 0.71\% | 1,000 | 0.89\% | 300 |
| North Dakota | 0.39\% | 2,000 | 0.49\% | 100 | 0.59\% | 100 | 0.74\% | 200 | 0.70\% | 200 |
| Ohio | 0.48\% | 35,400 | 0.56\% | 6,000 | 0.53\% | 1,200 | 0.70\% | 2,100 | 1.03\% | 1,900 |
| South Dakota | 0.39\% | 2,200 | 0.52\% | 100 | 0.61\% | 100 | 0.63\% | 100 | 0.82\% | 500 |
| Wisconsin | 0.35\% | 11,900 | 0.48\% | 1,100 | 0.47\% | 600 | 0.56\% | 1,300 | 0.65\% | 600 |
| SOUTH | 0.48\% | 273,900 | 0.58\% | 104,800 | 0.51\% | 17,800 | 0.66\% | 104,800 | 0.99\% | 22,300 |
| Alabama | 0.44\% | 11,200 | 0.54\% | 5,400 | 0.46\% | 200 | 0.72\% | 900 | 0.80\% | 600 |
| Arkansas | 0.55\% | 11,200 | 0.62\% | 2,600 | 0.72\% | 300 | 0.89\% | 1,500 | 1.16\% | 600 |
| Delaware | 0.65\% | 3,600 | 0.81\% | 1,400 | 0.70\% | 200 | 1.15\% | 800 | 1.77\% | 300 |
| District of Columbia | 0.77\% | 1,800 | 0.99\% | 2,400 | 0.98\% | 300 | 1.11\% | 600 | 1.42\% | 200 |
| Florida | 0.46\% | 44,300 | 0.62\% | 15,100 | 0.55\% | 2,700 | 0.69\% | 29,500 | 0.97\% | 3,300 |
| Georgia | 0.53\% | 23,700 | 0.61\% | 15,700 | 0.57\% | 2,000 | 0.84\% | 5,800 | 1.04\% | 1,600 |
| Kentucky | 0.49\% | 14,500 | 0.55\% | 1,500 | 0.53\% | 300 | 0.76\% | 800 | 1.09\% | 600 |
| Louisiana | 0.43\% | 8,700 | 0.51\% | 5,200 | 0.50\% | 300 | 0.60\% | 1,000 | 0.71\% | 500 |
| Maryland | 0.46\% | 11,200 | 0.52\% | 7,200 | 0.49\% | 1,500 | 0.75\% | 3,200 | 0.88\% | 1,100 |
| Mississippi | 0.40\% | 5,100 | 0.47\% | 3,800 | 0.43\% | 100 | 0.65\% | 400 | 0.77\% | 200 |


|  | WHITE |  | BLACK |  | ASIAN |  | LATINX |  | ALL OTHER RACE/ ETHNICITY GROUPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER | PERCENT | NUMBER |
| North Carolina | 0.71\% | 41,400 | 0.84\% | 15,700 | 0.80\% | 2,100 | 1.17\% | 8,200 | 1.59\% | 3,800 |
| Oklahoma | 0.53\% | 10,900 | 0.60\% | 1,300 | 0.66\% | 500 | 0.88\% | 2,400 | 1.00\% | 3,900 |
| South Carolina | 0.43\% | 11,300 | 0.53\% | 5,400 | 0.49\% | 300 | 0.69\% | 1,300 | 0.90\% | 600 |
| Tennessee | 0.48\% | 19,400 | 0.56\% | 4,900 | 0.55\% | 600 | 0.81\% | 1,900 | 0.96\% | 900 |
| Texas | 0.36\% | 32,500 | 0.44\% | 10,600 | 0.40\% | 4,300 | 0.58\% | 42,800 | 0.69\% | 2,700 |
| Virginia | 0.43\% | 17,900 | 0.51\% | 6,300 | 0.47\% | 2,100 | 0.66\% | 3,700 | 0.92\% | 1,500 |
| West Virginia | 0.42\% | 5,200 | 0.44\% | 200 | 0.40\% | <100 | 0.55\% | 100 | 0.75\% | 100 |
| NORTHEAST | 0.51\% | 150,800 | 0.61\% | 29,500 | 0.58\% | 17,600 | 0.78\% | 46,200 | 1.04\% | 9,700 |
| Connecticut | 0.46\% | 9,100 | 0.62\% | 1,700 | 0.55\% | 700 | 0.76\% | 3,200 | 0.96\% | 600 |
| Maine | 0.52\% | 5,300 | 0.63\% | 100 | 0.74\% | 100 | 0.80\% | 100 | 0.99\% | 200 |
| Massachusetts | 0.58\% | 23,900 | 0.74\% | 2,800 | 0.73\% | 2,800 | 0.96\% | 5,800 | 1.21\% | 1,800 |
| New Hampshire | 0.54\% | 5,500 | 0.63\% | 100 | 0.60\% | 200 | 0.86\% | 300 | 1.18\% | 200 |
| New Jersey | 0.49\% | 20,800 | 0.61\% | 5,800 | 0.52\% | 3,800 | 0.79\% | 11,200 | 1.11\% | 1,400 |
| New York | 0.46\% | 39,800 | 0.56\% | 12,100 | 0.55\% | 7,500 | 0.70\% | 19,100 | 0.92\% | 3,300 |
| Pennsylvania | 0.50\% | 40,200 | 0.61\% | 6,500 | 0.58\% | 2,100 | 0.78\% | 5,300 | 1.09\% | 1,800 |
| Rhode Island | 0.57\% | 3,700 | 0.71\% | 300 | 0.72\% | 200 | 0.89\% | 1,100 | 1.14\% | 300 |
| Vermont | 0.51\% | 2,400 | 0.67\% | <100 | 0.55\% | <100 | 0.91\% | 100 | 1.04\% | 100 |

Note: White, Black, and Asian are non-Hispanic. The Latinx category includes Hispanic and Latinx people of any race. All other race/ethnicity groups are non-Hispanic.


## CONCLUSION

Based on our estimates from 2016-2017 and the current report, the percentage and number of adults who identify as transgender has remained steady over time in the United States. The availability of the YRBS data has given us a more direct look into youth gender identity and provides better data than was previously available to us for estimating the size and characteristics of the youth population. Youth ages 13 to 17 comprise a larger share of the transgender-identified population than we previously estimated, currently comprising about $18 \%$ of the transgender-identified population in the U.S., up from 10\% previously. Our findings regarding gender, age, and race/ethnicity are in keeping with existing research, which has found that nonbinary adults comprise nearly a third of transgender adults, transgender people are on average younger than the general population, and transgender people are more likely to report being Latinx and less likely to report being White.

Our estimates described in this report were made possible by advances in gender identity data collection over the past five years. More states have included the BRFSS optional gender identity module over the years and the availability of YRBS data has given us a direct look into youth gender identity. In this study, we were also able, for the first time, to produce national and statelevel population estimates for Asian adults and national population estimates for American Indian and Alaska Native adults who identify as transgender. Despite these advances, our study required the use of advance statistical modeling in order to produce our estimates. This is because several states do not include the optional gender identity module in their BRFSS surveys. Other surveys that identify transgender respondents are still emerging as potential data sources for similar population estimates, like Household Pulse Survey, or do not yet exist. To improve the availability of data about the U.S. transgender population, and negate the need for advanced statistical modeling to overcome limitations in the current data, the CDC should make the BRFSS gender identity module part of the core survey rather than an optional module. Furthermore, the federal government should include questions to identify transgender people in all federal surveys. Visibility for the transgender people in our federal surveys would further bring to light the characteristics, experiences, well-being, and needs of the transgender population in the United States.

## METHODS

The BRFSS collects demographic and health information from representative samples at the state level. In addition to a core questionnaire provided by the CDC that coordinates the BRFSS, states can add optional modules that ask unique sets of questions. One module asks about sexual orientation and gender identity (SOGI). Similarly, the YRBS allows states to include a module that asks about SOGI. The BRFSS module asks, "Do you consider yourself to be transgender?" with response options, "Yes; No; Don't know/not sure" or respondents could refuse to answer. If a respondent expresses confusion, then interviewers provide definitions of transgender and/or gender nonconforming. If respondents affirmatively answer the question, they are then asked if they consider themselves to be male-to-female; female-to-male; or gender nonconforming. The YRBS module asks, "Some people describe themselves as transgender when their sex at birth does not match the way they think or feel about their gender. Are you transgender?" with response options, "No; Yes, I am transgender; Not sure if I am transgender, Don't know what the question is asking."

We pool the 2017-2020 BRFSS surveys; 41 states used the SOGI module one or more times in this timeframe ( $n=1,707,678$ ). We pool the 2017 and 2019 YRBS where 15 states used the module at least one during this time period $(n=372,214)$. We analyze adults and youth separately considering they come from different sources. All respondents who were asked whether they identify as transgender are coded as 1 if they did or 0 if they did not, which includes don't know responses, not sure responses, and refusals to answer.

We directly analyze the results from any state that implemented the sexual orientation and gender identity module. For example, the estimates for the 41 states in the BRFSS will be the same as the weighted results one would obtain from direct analyses of available 2017-2020 BRFSS data for that state. ${ }^{22}$ The pooled estimates do not account for various years.

The strategy we employ for states where transgender identification is not observed, because the SOGI module was not used, combines small area estimation strategies common in demographic research with poststratification techniques common in survey research. ${ }^{23}$ This strategy is called multilevel regression and poststratification (MRP). We fit a multilevel model relying on demographics and state of residence. The general model can be summarized in two stages. The first stage performs a multilevel regression to data. The following is the specification for the BRFSS:

$$
y_{i}=g\left(b_{0}+b_{1} * \text { cell_int }+\alpha_{\mathrm{race}_{i}}^{j}+\alpha_{\mathrm{age}_{i}}^{k}+\alpha_{\mathrm{educ}_{i}}^{l}+\alpha_{\mathrm{age.educ}_{i}}^{m}+\alpha_{\text {state }_{i}}^{S}\right) .
$$

where $g($.$) is a link function, and \alpha$ 's represent random coefficients for demographic and geographic predictors. All demographic random effects are distributed normally, $\alpha \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$.

In building our estimation models, we included covariates that are correlated with the percentage of transgender or LGBT people within a state and where there are population estimates from the United States Census Bureau. Individual-level and contextual covariates are related to identification, disclosure, and may be associated with migration to a state. Evaluations of models employing this estimation strategy for statewide estimates show that even using a single demographic predictor, such as race, in addition to geographic predictors produce estimates that out-perform disaggregated analysis. ${ }^{24}$ Studies document that LGBT and transgender populations tend to be younger, ${ }^{25}$ more

[^6]racially and ethnically diverse, ${ }^{26}$ and have levels of educational attainment that differ from nonLGBT ${ }^{27}$ or cisgender populations. ${ }^{28}$ Further, varying social contexts may create environments that are either more welcoming to LGBT people encouraging greater identity uptake or migration. ${ }^{29}$ Thus, the models rely on basic demographics and state-level contextual characteristics that may covary with transgender status.

We use six race and ethnicity categories. We also use 10 age categories ranging from 18 to over 65 years old. Educational attainment is comprised of four categories (i.e., less than a high school diploma or equivalent, a high school diploma or equivalent, some college education, and those with a college degree or more education). We also use the interaction of age and education categories, which is a standard procedure in survey weighting as age and educational attainment are interrelated. At times, the BRFSS module may or may not be used in a cell phone interview depending on a person's residency, ${ }^{30}$ so it is used as a covariate to account for a systematic missing data pattern. The geographic-level coefficients are given group-level covariates:

$$
\alpha_{s} \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(\alpha_{\text {region }_{s}}^{r}+G^{s} U, \sigma_{\text {state }}^{2}\right)
$$

where $G^{s}$ is a matrix of $(s \times j)$ matrix of $j$ group-level variables and $U$ is a vector of length $j$ regression coefficients. We include statewide contextual variables such as race/ethnic composition of the state, the percentage of same-sex couple households in the state, statewide measures of public opinion on LGBT rights, and median income in a state. In total, the percentage of same-sex couple households in the state was among the strongest predictors in the current model. We further add a third level to the model for regional groupings of the states, which is also distributed normally. ${ }^{31}$

The YRBS was analyzed with the same approach, except there were only two age groups (13-14; 15-17), and we do not use educational attainment or cell phone interviews. Our analyses use the sampling weights from both the BRFSS and YRBS. We rescale these weights to account for multilevel modeling using Carle's method A. ${ }^{32}$ All models are fit in R relying on maximum likelihood estimation. ${ }^{33}$ The second step of MRP is to use the fitted regression and generalize it over known population distributions. For example, if $g($.$) were a logistic regression, then the probabilities an individual$ identifies with a group can be predicted for each demographic and geographic characteristic ( $\theta_{C}$ ),

[^7]where max $(c)=j * k * l * s$. Every fitted value can then be weighted by the size of the population, $N_{C}$, and these weighted values summed by state for population size and further divided by the state's population for a population proportion:

We use the 2019 three-year estimates from the American Community Survey for our poststratification dataset, which we retrieved through IPUMS. For the states where data are observed, we multiply the 2019 3-year estimates to the proportion of people identifying as transgender, providing us with a population estimate. For the states where data are not observed, model-based estimates are used, and we incorporate model uncertainty in predictions when providing confidence intervals of our estimates. ${ }^{34}$

Since our estimation strategy produces two sets of estimates for states where data are observed (i.e., direct estimates and model-based estimates). We compared these two sets of estimates. Overall, they tended to strongly correlate with one another (e.g., correlation above 0.80 ), suggesting that the model-based estimates perform similar to direct estimation. Figure 5 compares model-based estimates to direct estimates at the state level. We see very few deviations that all fall beyond the margin of error. The three exceptions are Missouri and Nevada in the BRFSS and New Jersey in the YRBS, where the direct estimates are smaller than the model-based estimates. These deviations all fall well within confidence intervals. While we report direct estimates whenever possible, these discrepancies suggest that model-based estimates may better adjust weighted estimates to population targets without producing bias. We still opt to be conservative in our reporting and rely on direct estimates whenever the data are available.

Figure 5. Model-based estimates and direct estimates from BRFSS and YRBS

${ }^{34}$ There is no consensus about the best method for uncertainty estimation for multilevel models. We use the predictInterval function from the merTools package in R for uncertainty estimation. Ideally, a fully Bayesian model would be preferred, but we were limited by computing power.

To ensure subgroup estimates summed to national estimates, the subgroup counts of people who identify as transgender were divided by total counts of people who identify as transgender and the resulting percentage was then multiplied by the total population estimate to create an adjusted subgroup population estimate. For example, the population estimates of adults who identify as transgender by age group in California were added together to create a population estimate of the total number of adults who identify as transgender in California. The estimated number of 18- to 24-year-old transgender people in California is then divided by this total, to create an estimate of what percentage of transgender adults in California are 18 to 24 . This percentage is then multiplied by the total estimated number of adults who identify as transgender in California. The resulting population estimate for that subgroup is only slightly different than the original subgroup estimate but it now correctly adds to the total estimated number of adults who identify as transgender in California.

To create national estimates, count estimates for each state were summed and then divided by the total population estimate. For example, the estimated number of Black adults who identify as transgender in the United States was summed across all states and then divided by the total estimated number of Black adults in the U.S. This created a national estimate of the percentage of Black adults who identify as transgender. A similar approach was used to create regional estimates.

All numbers were rounded to the nearest 100th. Some lower-bound credible intervals reported below were negative; these were truncated to zero.
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## APPENDIX

Table A1. Percent of each age group that identifies as transgender in the U.S.

|  | PERCENT [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 13 to 17 | $[0.61 \%, 4.02 \%]$ | $[128,834,843,773]$ |
| 18 to 24 | $[0.43 \%, 2.43 \%]$ | $[130,902,736,873]$ |
| 25 to 64 | $[0.23 \%, 0.74 \%]$ | $[399,265,1,260,344]$ |
| 65 and older | $[0.12 \%, 0.57 \%]$ | $[64,824,310,718]$ |
| Total (ages 13+) | $[0.26 \%, 1.14 \%]$ | $[723,825,3,151,708]$ |

Table A2. Percent of each racial/ethnic group that identifies as transgender in the U.S., among adults (ages 18 and older)

|  | PERCENT [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| White | $[0.28 \%, 0.72 \%]$ | $[450,300,1,151,079]$ |
| Black | $[0.36 \%, 0.84 \%]$ | $[110,698,258,977]$ |
| Asian | $[0.31 \%, 0.74 \%]$ | $[47,451,113,294]$ |
| AIAN | $[0.50 \%, 1.39 \%]$ | $[8,327,23,097]$ |
| Latinx | $[0.41 \%, 1.00 \%]$ | $[172,709,420,079]$ |
| Biracial, multiracial, or other race/ethnicity | $[0.58 \%, 1.42 \%]$ | $[40,459,98,207]$ |
| Total | $[0.32 \%, 0.77 \%]$ | $[816,644,1,964,330]$ |

Note: White, Black, Asian, and American Indian or Alaska Native (AIAN) are non-Hispanic. The Latinx category includes Hispanic and Latinx people of any race. Biracial, multiracial, and other race/ethnicity are non-Hispanic.

Table A3. Percent of each racial/ethnic group that identifies as transgender in the U.S., among youth (ages 13 to 17)

|  | PERCENT [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| White | $[0.34 \%, 4.63 \%]$ | $[36,900,498,000]$ |
| Black | $[0.38 \%, 5.05 \%]$ | $[10,700,142,250]$ |
| Asian | $[0.28 \%, 3.80 \%]$ | $[2,900,39,800]$ |
| AIAN | $[0.48 \%, 6.46 \%]$ | $[800,10,900]$ |
| Latinx | $[0.49 \%, 6.34 \%]$ | $[25,600,330,650]$ |
| Biracial, multiracial, or other race/ethnicity | $[0.41 \%, 5.47 \%]$ | $[4,000,53,850]$ |
| Total | $[0.58 \%, 3.92 \%]$ | $[122,000,823,200]$ |

Note: White, Black, Asian, and American Indian or Alaska Native (AIAN) are non-Hispanic. The Latinx category includes Hispanic and Latinx people of any race. Biracial, multiracial, and other race/ethnicity are non-Hispanic.

Table A4. 95\% Credible Intervals for regional and state-level estimates of those who identify as transgender in the U.S. population by age group

|  | 13-17 |  | 18-24 |  | 25-64 |  | 65+ |  | ALL ADULTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] |
| United States | $\begin{gathered} {[0.61 \%,} \\ 4.02 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[128,834,} \\ 843,773] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.43 \%,} \\ 2.43 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[130,902} \\ 736,873] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.23 \%,} \\ 0.74 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[399,265,} \\ 1,260,344] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 0.57 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[64,824,} \\ 310,718] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.32 \%,} \\ 0.77 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[816,644} \\ 1,964,330] \end{array}$ |
| WEST | $\begin{gathered} {[0.51 \%,} \\ 5.48 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[25,784} \\ 277,035] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.35 \%,} \\ 2.16 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[25,647} \\ 156,784] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 0.92 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[73,888,} \\ 377,554] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.04 \%,} \\ 0.63 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[4,962,} \\ 76,784] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.27 \%,} \\ 0.76 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[162,515} \\ 461,338] \end{array}$ |
| Alaska | $\begin{gathered} {[0.33 \%,} \\ 4.44 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[143,} \\ 1,930] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ 3.50 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 2,345] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.21 \%,} \\ 1.09 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[837,} \\ 4,272] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.03 \%,} \\ 0.66 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[26,} \\ 616] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.31\%, } \\ \text { 1.10\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,715,} \\ 6,085] \end{array}$ |
| Arizona | $\begin{gathered} {[0.43 \%,} \\ 5.63 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,040,} \\ 26,881] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ 4.14 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 28,838] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 1.28 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,047} \\ 46,633] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.03 \%,} \\ 0.44 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[398,} \\ 5,734] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 1.20 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[16,921,} \\ 67,683] \end{gathered}$ |
| California | $\begin{gathered} {[0.54 \%,} \\ 7.01 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[13,828,} \\ 178,759] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 1.25 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,169} \\ 46,288] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 0.89 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[24,372} \\ 187,926] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ 0.70 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 40,614] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.21 \%,} \\ 0.77 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[64,328} \\ 235,869] \end{gathered}$ |
| Colorado | $\begin{gathered} {[0.68 \%,} \\ 1.60 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,484,} \\ 5,849] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1.08 \%,} \\ 3.09 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,686,} \\ 16,305] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.35 \%,} \\ 0.68 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[10,898,} \\ 21,338] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ 0.11 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 950] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.43 \%,} \\ 0.76 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[19,372} \\ 34,239] \end{gathered}$ |
| Hawaii | $\begin{gathered} {[1.66 \%,} \\ 2.63 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,330} \\ 2,104] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.77 \%,} \\ 2.22 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[905,} \\ 2,604] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.51\%, } \\ 0.81 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[3,698} \\ 5,928] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.26 \%,} \\ 0.62 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[707,} \\ 1,668] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.56 \%,} \\ 0.83 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,249,} \\ 9,262] \end{gathered}$ |
| Idaho | $\begin{gathered} {[0.20 \%,} \\ 2.85 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[261,} \\ 3,737] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 1.62 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[362,} \\ 2,632] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 0.77 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,249} \\ 6,823] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.09 \%,} \\ 0.62 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[262} \\ 1,797] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.33 \%,} \\ 0.72 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,414,} \\ 9,631] \end{gathered}$ |
| Montana | $\begin{gathered} {[0.21 \%,} \\ 3.00 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[137,} \\ 1,997] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.08 \%,} \\ 1.32 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[76,} \\ 1,334] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ 0.64 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,652} \\ 3,378] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.04 \%,} \\ 0.22 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[87,} \\ 464] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.29 \%,} \\ 0.54 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,440,} \\ 4,543] \end{gathered}$ |
| Nevada | $\begin{gathered} {[0.93 \%,} \\ 2.40 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,844,} \\ 4,746] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ 1.96 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 4,932] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 0.53 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,642,} \\ 8,772] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ 0.09 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 466] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 0.52 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[3,823,} \\ 12,425] \end{array}$ |
| New Mexico | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.71\%, } \\ 9.49 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[989,} \\ 13,308] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ 1.74 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 3,460] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 1.08 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,628,} \\ 11,274] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.00\%, } \\ \text { 1.46\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 5,537] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ \text { 1.03\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[5,032,} \\ & 16,718] \end{aligned}$ |
| Oregon | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ 4.21 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[778,} \\ 10,451] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.40 \%,} \\ 5.77 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,457} \\ 21,059] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.07 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,897} \\ 45,955] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.09 \%,} \\ 1.44 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[671} \\ 11,073] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.33 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[50,} \\ 781] \end{gathered}$ |
| Utah | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 3.02 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[568,} \\ 7,700] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.71 \%,} \\ 1.98 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,563,} \\ 7,142] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ 0.63 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[4,848,} \\ 9,763] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 0.62 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[899} \\ 2,270] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.45 \%,} \\ 0.75 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[10,244,} \\ 17,073] \end{gathered}$ |
| Washington | $\begin{gathered} {[0.29 \%,} \\ 4.06 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,325,} \\ 18,761] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1.41 \%,} \\ 2.62 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[9,262,} \\ 17,293] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ 0.51 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[12,818,} \\ 20,840] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 0.37 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,841,} \\ 4,471] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.46 \%,} \\ 0.65 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[27,386,} \\ 38,698] \end{gathered}$ |
| Wyoming | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ \text { 2.13\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[56,} \\ 814] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%} \\ 4.58 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[167,} \\ 2,553] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.61 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[302,} \\ 4,652] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.07 \%,} \\ 1.13 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[71,} \\ 1,124] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.88 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[540} \\ 8,330] \end{array}$ |
| MIDWEST | $\begin{gathered} {[0.49 \%,} \\ 3.67 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[21,739,} \\ 161,975] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.42 \%,} \\ 2.32 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[26,559} \\ 148,216] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.19\%, } \\ 0.54 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[67,789} \\ 188,624] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.11\%, } \\ 0.45 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[12,382} \\ 52,130] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.27 \%,} \\ 0.65 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[144,115,} \\ 344,082] \end{gathered}$ |
| Illinois | $\begin{gathered} {[0.46 \%,} \\ 5.85 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[3,836,} \\ 48,306] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.42 \%,} \\ 3.46 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,811,} \\ 39,925] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.11\%, } \\ 0.38 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[7,446,} \\ 25,144] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.07 \%,} \\ 0.40 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,407,} \\ 8,217] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.24 \%,} \\ 0.64 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[23,656,} \\ 63,082] \end{gathered}$ |
| Indiana | $\begin{gathered} {[0.24 \%,} \\ 3.42 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,097} \\ 15,534] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.44 \%,} \\ 1.92 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,946,} \\ 12,738] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.28 \%,} \\ 0.61 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[9,528,} \\ 20,945] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 0.43 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,270,} \\ 4,662] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.35 \%,} \\ 0.65 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[18,068} \\ 33,554] \end{gathered}$ |
| Iowa | $\begin{gathered} {[0.29 \%,} \\ 3.91 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[586} \\ 7,805] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 0.75 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[468,} \\ 2,364] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.19\%, } \\ 0.38 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,947,} \\ 5,947] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.11\%, } \\ 0.35 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[584,} \\ 1,913] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.21\%, } \\ 0.37 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[5,112,} \\ 9,006] \end{array}$ |
| Kansas | $\begin{gathered} {[0.28 \%,} \\ 3.90 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[559,} \\ 7,841] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1.15 \%,} \\ 2.70 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[3,395,} \\ 8,011] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.24 \%,} \\ 0.46 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[3,453} \\ 6,577] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.21 \%,} \\ 0.47 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[993,} \\ 2,234] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.42 \%,} \\ 0.68 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[9,294} \\ 15,048] \end{gathered}$ |
| Michigan | $\begin{gathered} {[1.02 \%,} \\ 1.79 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,432,} \\ 11,316] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ \text { 2.27\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[33,} \\ 21,458] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ 0.65 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,797} \\ 33,300] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ 0.32 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 5,577] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.19 \%,} \\ 0.64 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[14,909} \\ 50,221] \end{gathered}$ |


|  | 13-17 |  | 18-24 |  | 25-64 |  | 65+ |  | ALL ADULTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] |
| Minnesota | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 3.32 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[934,} \\ 12,413] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1.16 \%,} \\ \text { 2.08\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,675,} \\ 10,182] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.42 \%,} \\ 0.62 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[12,268,} \\ 18,075] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 0.42 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,028,} \\ 3,831] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.52 \%,} \\ 0.69 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[22,541,} \\ 29,910] \end{gathered}$ |
| Missouri | $\begin{gathered} {[0.20 \%,} \\ 2.70 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[778,} \\ 10,548] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ \text { 2.08\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 11,667] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ 0.16 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 5,189] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.05 \%,} \\ 0.62 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[480,} \\ 6,555] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.02 \%,} \\ 0.39 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[954,} \\ 18,595] \end{array}$ |
| Nebraska | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 3.47 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[324,} \\ 4,561] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 4.35 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[561,} \\ 8,234] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.09 \%,} \\ 1.52 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[909,} \\ 14,575] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.07 \%,} \\ 1.13 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[224,} \\ 3,537] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.80 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,694,} \\ 26,346] \end{gathered}$ |
| North Dakota | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 4.32 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[127,} \\ 1,842] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 3.93 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[204,} \\ 3,144] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.09 \%,} \\ \text { 1.43\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[347,} \\ 5,489] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.06 \%,} \\ \text { 1.02\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[76,} \\ 1,229] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.11\%, } \\ \text { 1.69\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[627,} \\ 9,862] \end{gathered}$ |
| Ohio | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 4.31 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,242,} \\ 31,883] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.62 \%,} \\ 1.66 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,661,} \\ 17,749] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.33 \%,} \\ 0.57 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[19,847,} \\ 34,509] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 0.48 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,536,} \\ 9,844] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.40 \%,} \\ 0.61 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[36,471,} \\ 55,618] \end{gathered}$ |
| South Dakota | $\begin{gathered} {[0.24 \%,} \\ 3.21 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[141,} \\ 1,875] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.29 \%,} \\ 4.35 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[238,} \\ 3,590] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.09 \%,} \\ 1.51 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[409,} \\ 6,536] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.07\%, } \\ \text { 1.12\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[110,} \\ 1,734] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ \text { 1.77\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[757,} \\ 11,860] \end{array}$ |
| Wisconsin | $\begin{gathered} {[1.29 \%,} \\ 2.21 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[4,683,} \\ 8,050] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.29 \%,} \\ 1.68 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,568,} \\ 9,155] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 0.41 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,838} \\ 12,337] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.07 \%} \\ 0.27 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[675} \\ 2,797] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 0.46 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[10,033,} \\ 20,979] \end{gathered}$ |
| SOUTH | $\begin{gathered} {[0.53 \%,} \\ 3.68 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[42,806,} \\ 299,986] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.45 \%,} \\ 2.50 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[51,865,} \\ 290,313] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.27 \%,} \\ 0.73 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[176,871,} \\ 475,755] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 0.62 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[33,661,} \\ 128,183] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.35 \%,} \\ 0.82 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[343,999,} \\ 793,395] \end{gathered}$ |
| Alabama | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 3.99 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[956,} \\ 12,593] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ 4.55 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,391} \\ 20,700] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.66 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,619,} \\ 41,531] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.08 \%,} \\ 1.20 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[657,} \\ 10,233] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.90 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,667,} \\ 72,464] \end{gathered}$ |
| Arkansas | $\begin{gathered} {[0.24 \%,} \\ 3.37 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[471,} \\ 6,712] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ 7.89 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 22,365] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.03 \%,} \\ 0.45 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[392,} \\ 6,878] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.04 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[618} \\ 5,458] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%,} \\ 1.20 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,943,} \\ 27,831] \end{gathered}$ |
| Delaware | $\begin{gathered} {[0.51 \%,} \\ \text { 1.40\%] } \end{gathered}$ | [305, 843] | $\begin{gathered} {[0.95 \%,} \\ 3.76 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[796,} \\ 3,155] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.42\%, } \\ 0.97 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,077,} \\ 4,827] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 0.79 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[335,} \\ 1,501] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.58 \%,} \\ 1.10 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,465,} \\ 8,468] \end{gathered}$ |
| District of Columbia | $\begin{gathered} {[0.46 \%,} \\ 9.29 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[130} \\ 2,603] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.54 \%,} \\ 8.51 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[392,} \\ 6,156] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 3.14 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[773,} \\ 13,125] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 2.20 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[121,} \\ 1,927] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 3.67 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,286,} \\ 21,207] \end{gathered}$ |
| Florida | $\begin{gathered} {[0.97 \%,} \\ \text { 1.67\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[11,898,} \\ 20,515] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.33 \%,} \\ 2.23 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,791} \\ 39,269] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.32 \%,} \\ 0.67 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[34,691,} \\ 73,562] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 0.58 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[11,041,} \\ 26,257] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.40 \%,} \\ 0.70 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[68,989,} \\ 120,730] \end{gathered}$ |
| Georgia | $\begin{gathered} {[0.32 \%,} \\ 4.54 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,307,} \\ 32,790] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.38 \%,} \\ 2.10 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,869} \\ 21,625] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 0.67 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[16,445,} \\ 37,242] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.32 \%,} \\ 0.89 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,878} \\ 13,621] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.43 \%,} \\ 0.77 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[34,896,} \\ 62,489] \end{gathered}$ |
| Kentucky | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ \text { 2.68\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[517,} \\ 7,813] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ 4.83 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,300,} \\ 20,252] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.11\%, } \\ \text { 1.72\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,505,} \\ 39,533] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.09 \%,} \\ 1.32 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[653,} \\ 9,997] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.01 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,457,} \\ 69,781] \end{gathered}$ |
| Louisiana | $\begin{gathered} {[0.35 \%,} \\ 4.77 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,062,} \\ 14,546] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 1.44 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[550,} \\ 6,102] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.27 \%,} \\ 0.64 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,464} \\ 15,288] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.08 \%,} \\ 0.37 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[569,} \\ 2,776] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.29 \%,} \\ 0.59 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[10,334,} \\ 21,025] \end{gathered}$ |
| Maryland | $\begin{gathered} \text { [1.95\%, } \\ \text { 2.22\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[7,507,} \\ 8,542] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.91 \%,} \\ 2.89 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[4,803,} \\ & 15,160] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.26 \%,} \\ 0.50 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[8,264,} \\ 16,046] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 0.26 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[948,} \\ 2,506] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.37 \%,} \\ 0.65 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[17,444,} \\ 30,644] \end{gathered}$ |
| Mississippi | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ 4.52 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[627,} \\ 9,213] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 1.47 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[463,} \\ 4,385] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 0.57 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,411,} \\ 8,575] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 0.56 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[474,} \\ 2,746] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 0.58 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,695,} \\ 13,211] \end{gathered}$ |
| North Carolina | $\begin{gathered} {[0.36 \%,} \\ 4.69 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,413,} \\ 31,369] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1.42 \%,} \\ 3.50 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[14,413,} \\ 35,472] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.52 \%,} \\ 0.95 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[28,416,} \\ 51,386] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ 0.75 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,355} \\ 13,123] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.68 \%,} \\ 1.05 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[55,724,} \\ 86,044] \end{gathered}$ |
| Oklahoma | $\begin{gathered} {[0.27 \%,} \\ 3.63 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[691} \\ 9,438] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1.36 \%,} \\ 3.68 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,236,} \\ 14,186] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 0.58 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,038,} \\ 11,532] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.06 \%,} \\ 0.32 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[381,} \\ \text { 1,999] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.46 \%,} \\ 0.80 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[13,830,} \\ 24,053] \end{gathered}$ |
| South Carolina | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 4.28 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[975,} \\ 13,788] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 1.50 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,186,} \\ 7,093] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.23 \%,} \\ 0.63 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,084,} \\ 16,683] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.23 \%,} \\ 0.53 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,186,} \\ 4,932] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.32 \%,} \\ 0.62 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[12,923,} \\ 25,038] \end{gathered}$ |
| Tennessee | $\begin{gathered} {[0.19 \%,} \\ \text { 2.79\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[822,} \\ 11,816] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.07 \%,} \\ 3.84 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[410,} \\ 24,084] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 0.70 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,535,} \\ 25,020] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.00 \%,} \\ 0.18 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0,} \\ 2,086] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 0.78 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[13,296,} \\ 41,484] \end{gathered}$ |


|  | 13-17 |  | 18-24 |  | 25-64 |  | 65+ |  | ALL ADULTS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] |
| Texas | $\begin{gathered} {[0.37 \%,} \\ 5.07 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[7,732,} \\ 106,687] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.27 \%,} \\ 1.15 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[7,577} \\ 32,579] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.26 \%,} \\ 0.57 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[38,793,} \\ 85,989] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.08 \%,} \\ 0.55 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,139,} \\ 20,418] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 0.56 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[64,803,} \\ 120,966] \end{gathered}$ |
| Virginia | $\begin{gathered} {[0.80 \%,} \\ 1.55 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,225,} \\ 8,149] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.40 \%,} \\ 1.82 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[3,198} \\ 14,624] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.28 \%,} \\ 0.53 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[12,515,} \\ 23,842] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 0.54 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,009} \\ 7,290] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.35 \%,} \\ 0.60 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[23,377,} \\ 40,074] \end{gathered}$ |
| West Virginia | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 2.47 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[168,} \\ 2,569] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.32 \%,} \\ 2.05 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[489,} \\ 3,107] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.20 \%,} \\ 0.51 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,851,} \\ 4,696] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.08 \%,} \\ 0.36 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[299,} \\ 1,316] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.27 \%,} \\ 0.55 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,870,} \\ 7,884] \end{gathered}$ |
| NORTHEAST | $\begin{gathered} {[1.14 \%,} \\ 3.10 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[38,504,} \\ 104,777] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.53 \%,} \\ 2.78 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[26,831,} \\ 141,561] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.27 \%,} \\ 0.74 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[80,717,} \\ 218,410] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 0.55 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[13,818,} \\ 53,622] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.37 \%,} \\ 0.82 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[166,015} \\ 365,516] \end{gathered}$ |
| Connecticut | $\begin{gathered} {[0.44 \%,} \\ 5.87 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[980,} \\ 13,222] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.54 \%,} \\ 2.15 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,882,} \\ 7,435] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ 0.59 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,789,} \\ 10,968] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.20 \%,} \\ 0.55 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,267,} \\ 3,475] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.40 \%,} \\ 0.68 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[11,351,} \\ 19,297] \end{gathered}$ |
| Maine | $\begin{gathered} {[1.39 \%,} \\ 1.80 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,021} \\ 1,322] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.36 \%,} \\ 5.00 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[386,} \\ 5,407] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.87 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[849,} \\ 13,159] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.09 \%,} \\ 1.28 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[254,} \\ 3,679] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 2.03 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,489,} \\ 22,246] \end{gathered}$ |
| Massachusetts | $\begin{array}{r} {[0.38 \%,} \\ 5.36 \%] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,571,} \\ 21,958] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.69 \%,} \\ 3.90 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,792} \\ 26,922] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.20 \%,} \\ 0.68 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[7,444,} \\ 24,975] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 0.81 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,411,} \\ 9,457] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.41 \%,} \\ 0.93 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[22,723,} \\ 51,543] \end{gathered}$ |
| New Hampshire | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 3.01 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[177,} \\ 2,414] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.36 \%,} \\ 5.78 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[443,} \\ 7,157] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.90 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[877,} \\ 13,793] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.09 \%,} \\ 1.38 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[225,} \\ 3,478] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 2.21 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,545,} \\ 24,427] \end{gathered}$ |
| New Jersey | $\begin{gathered} {[0.19 \%,} \\ 1.15 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,056,} \\ 6,521] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ 3.03 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,356} \\ 22,910] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 0.83 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[10,185,} \\ 39,143] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 0.59 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,433,} \\ 8,681] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.36 \%,} \\ 0.88 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[25,018,} \\ 61,156] \end{gathered}$ |
| New York | $\begin{gathered} {[2.28 \%,} \\ 3.72 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[26,448,} \\ 43,209] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.79 \%,} \\ 1.96 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[13,832,} \\ 34,452] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.37 \%,} \\ 0.55 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[37,926,} \\ 57,554] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.19 \%,} \\ 0.43 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,302,} \\ 14,018] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.43 \%,} \\ 0.62 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[66,374,} \\ 95,703] \end{gathered}$ |
| Pennsylvania | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.78\%, } \\ \text { 1.82\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[5,987,} \\ & 14,014] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ \text { 2.84\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,818,} \\ 32,295] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 0.79 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[14,634,} \\ 52,649] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.07 \%,} \\ 0.40 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,617,} \\ 9,626] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.31\%, } \\ 0.79 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[31,543,} \\ 80,383] \end{gathered}$ |
| Rhode Island | $\begin{gathered} {[1.32 \%,} \\ 2.54 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[828,} \\ 1,593] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.95 \%,} \\ 3.28 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,043,} \\ 3,613] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.35 \%,} \\ 0.73 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,977,} \\ 4,096] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.07 \%,} \\ 0.35 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[139,} \\ 647] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.47 \%,} \\ 0.85 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,029} \\ 7,286] \end{gathered}$ |
| Vermont | $\begin{gathered} {[1.21 \%,} \\ 1.45 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[435,} \\ 524] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.43 \%,} \\ 2.10 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[279,} \\ 1,371] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.32 \%,} \\ 0.65 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,036,} \\ 2,073] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 0.45 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[169,} \\ 560] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.38 \%,} \\ 0.68 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,942,} \\ 3,475] \end{gathered}$ |

Table A5. 95\% Credible Intervals for regional and state-level estimates of adults who identify as transgender in the U.S. by race/ethnicity

|  | WHITE |  | BLACK |  | ASIAN |  | LATINX |  | ALL OTHER RACE/ ETHNICITY GROUPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] |
| United States | $\begin{gathered} {[0.28 \%,} \\ 0.72 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[450,300} \\ \text { 1,151,079] } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.36 \%,} \\ 0.84 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[110,698,} \\ 258,977] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.31 \%,} \\ 0.74 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[47,451} \\ 113,294] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.41 \%,} \\ 1.00 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[172,709,} \\ & 420,079] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.58 \%,} \\ 1.42 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[40,459,} \\ 98,207] \end{gathered}$ |
| WEST | $\begin{gathered} {[0.24 \%,} \\ 0.76 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[77,004} \\ 243,246] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 0.81 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,967} \\ 22,361] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 0.74 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[17,511,} \\ 51,508] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.33 \%,} \\ 1.12 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[53,629,} \\ 184,482] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.49 \%,} \\ 1.45 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[12,378,} \\ 37,047] \end{gathered}$ |
| Alaska | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.92 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[855,} \\ 3,045] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%,} \\ 2.68 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[57,} \\ & \text { 202] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%,} \\ 2.59 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[144,} \\ 501] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.19 \%} \\ \text { 2.90\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[133,} \\ 459] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.49 \%,} \\ 1.76 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[526,} \\ 1,878] \end{gathered}$ |
| Arizona | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.03 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[7,583,} \\ 31,021] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%,} \\ 2.54 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[708,} \\ 2,866] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 2.40 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[555,} \\ 2,252] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.24 \%,} \\ 3.49 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[6,590} \\ 25,602] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.47 \%,} \\ 1.89 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,485,} \\ 5,942] \end{array}$ |
| California | $\begin{array}{r} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.58 \%] \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[19,339} \\ 69,353] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.03 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[3,503,} \\ 12,883] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.85 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[9,258} \\ 32,861] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%,} \\ 2.76 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[29,094} \\ 109,835] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.33 \%,} \\ 1.15 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,135,} \\ 10,936] \end{gathered}$ |
| Colorado | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ \text { 2.02\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[11,741,} \\ 20,909] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.45 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[744,} \\ 1,376] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 2.36 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[691,} \\ 1,201] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 3.28 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[5,262,} \\ 9,172] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.76 \%,} \\ 1.28 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[934,} \\ 1,581] \end{array}$ |
| Hawaii | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 1.97 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,152,} \\ 1,675] \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[0.15 \%,} \\ & \text { 2.34\%] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[104,} \\ 155] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.34 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,815,} \\ 4,269] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.20 \%} \\ 3.09 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[654,} \\ 946] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.86 \%,} \\ 1.25 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,524,} \\ 2,216] \end{gathered}$ |
| Idaho | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ 1.76 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,357} \\ 7,392] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 2.47 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[29,} \\ 63] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.94 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[60,} \\ 137] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.19 \%,} \\ 2.82 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[746,} \\ 1,555] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.53 \%,} \\ 1.16 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[223,} \\ & 484] \end{aligned}$ |
| Montana | $\begin{gathered} {[0.09 \%,} \\ 1.50 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,968,} \\ 3,707] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.12 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[16,} \\ 28] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.50 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[19,} \\ 35] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%,} \\ 2.43 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[131,} \\ 225] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.49 \%,} \\ 0.88 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[305,} \\ 548] \end{gathered}$ |
| Nevada | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.52 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,547,} \\ 5,032] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 1.93 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[352,} \\ 1,111] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ 1.74 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[329,} \\ 1,052] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%} \\ 2.71 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,352,} \\ 4,451] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.26 \%,} \\ 0.82 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[243,} \\ 778] \end{gathered}$ |
| New Mexico | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.83 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,483} \\ 5,024] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.45 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[97,} \\ 338] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 2.18 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[80,} \\ 271] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.19 \%,} \\ 2.83 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,671} \\ 8,773] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.45 \%,} \\ 1.48 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[701,} \\ 2,313] \end{gathered}$ |
| Oregon | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.06 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[3,463,} \\ 53,887] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 2.53 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[93,} \\ 1,454] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 2.51 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[276,} \\ 4,275] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 3.47 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[836,} \\ 12,993] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 3.91 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[357} \\ 5,477] \end{gathered}$ |
| Utah | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ \text { 2.07\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[7,306,} \\ 12,214] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[0.17 \%,} \\ & 2.47 \%] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[114,} \\ 181] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%,} \\ 2.58 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[412} \\ 677] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.21 \%,} \\ 3.15 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,855,} \\ 3,035] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.78 \%,} \\ 1.36 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[556,} \\ 966] \end{gathered}$ |
| Washington | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.87 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[16,788,} \\ 23,459] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.36 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,144,} \\ 1,604] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.18 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,868,} \\ 3,884] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.20 \%,} \\ 3.33 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[4,228,} \\ 6,304] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.81 \%,} \\ 1.18 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,358,} \\ 3,447] \end{gathered}$ |
| Wyoming | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ 1.71 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[422,} \\ 6,526] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ \text { 2.32\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[6,} \\ 100] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.36 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,} \\ 92] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.19 \%,} \\ 2.87 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[76,} \\ 1,132] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 3.36 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[31,} \\ 480] \end{gathered}$ |
| MIDWEST | $\begin{gathered} {[0.25 \%,} \\ 0.60 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[103,416,} \\ 245,223] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.29 \%,} \\ 0.68 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[15,082,} \\ 35,880] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 0.70 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,418} \\ 12,613] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.38 \%,} \\ 0.95 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[13,844,} \\ 34,444] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.54 \%,} \\ 1.35 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,356,} \\ 15,921] \end{gathered}$ |
| Illinois | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.60 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[13,094,} \\ 35,165] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.95 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,432,} \\ 9,223] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ 1.70 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,283,} \\ 3,432] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 2.51 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[5,044} \\ 13,364] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.49 \%,} \\ 1.16 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[802,} \\ 1,898] \end{array}$ |
| Indiana | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.75 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[13,594} \\ 25,148] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.11 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,727,} \\ 3,333] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.27 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[541,} \\ 1,007] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%} \\ 2.65 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,547} \\ 2,778] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.70 \%,} \\ 1.37 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[658} \\ 1,288] \end{array}$ |
| Iowa | $\begin{gathered} {[0.08 \%,} \\ 1.20 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[4,140} \\ 7,333] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ \text { 1.59\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[235,} \\ 398] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.56 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[154,} \\ 271] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 2.10 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[430,} \\ 742] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.42 \%,} \\ 0.71 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[152,} \\ 261] \end{gathered}$ |
| Kansas | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.83 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,458} \\ 10,452] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.33 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[559,} \\ 944] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.40 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[300,} \\ 510] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.21 \%,} \\ 3.07 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,447} \\ 2,269] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.77 \%,} \\ 1.26 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[530,} \\ 873] \end{gathered}$ |


|  | WHITE |  | BLACK |  | ASIAN |  | LATINX |  | ALL OTHER RACE/ ETHNICITY GROUPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] | PERCENT <br> [LB, UB] | NUMBER <br> [LB, UB] |
| Michigan | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.10\%, } \\ \text { 1.58\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[10,596,} \\ 35,680] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.89 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[2,129} \\ & 7,240] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.82 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[528,} \\ 1,765] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 2.50 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[960,} \\ 3,234] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0.36 \%,} \\ 1.19 \%] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[697,} \\ 2,302] \end{gathered}$ |
| Minnesota | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 2.10 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[16,873,} \\ 22,434] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ \text { 2.73\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,510,} \\ 1,961] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 2.76 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,281} \\ 1,734] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.23 \%,} \\ 3.46 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,510} \\ 2,009] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1.08 \%,} \\ 1.40 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,368,} \\ 1,772] \end{gathered}$ |
| Missouri | $\begin{gathered} {[0.08 \%,} \\ 1.40 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[723,} \\ 14,324] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.59 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[121,} \\ 2,219] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.57 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[24,} \\ 449] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.06 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[49,} \\ 930] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.04 \%,} \\ 0.70 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[36,} \\ 674] \end{gathered}$ |
| Nebraska | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.63 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,238,} \\ 19,344] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.14 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[88,} \\ 1,393] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ \text { 2.12\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[49,} \\ 784] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 2.74 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[246,} \\ 3,692] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 3.41 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[74,} \\ 1,134] \end{array}$ |
| North Dakota | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ \text { 1.54\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[492,} \\ 7,765] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.92 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[18,} \\ 291] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.27 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[17,} \\ 257] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.19 \%,} \\ 2.87 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[39,} \\ 596] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%,} \\ 2.73 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [61, } \\ 952] \end{gathered}$ |
| Ohio | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.87 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[27,892} \\ 42,266] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 2.15 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,542,} \\ 7,125 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.11 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[859,} \\ 1,414] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 2.78 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,689,} \\ 2,593] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.82 \%,} \\ 1.22 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,488} \\ 2,220] \end{gathered}$ |
| South Dakota | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.59 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[575,} \\ 9,025] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.00 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[18,} \\ 276] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.36 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[14,} \\ 225] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 2.47 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[34,} \\ 522] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.20 \%,} \\ 3.14 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[115,} \\ 1,812] \end{array}$ |
| Wisconsin | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.09\%, } \\ \text { 1.39\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[7,739,} \\ 16,287] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.83 \%] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[704,} \\ 1,475] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.90 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[367,} \\ 764] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 2.15 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[850} \\ \text { 1,717] } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.42 \%,} \\ 0.83 \%] \end{gathered}$ | [374, 736] |
| SOUTH | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 0.76 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[174,367,} \\ 433,893] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.38 \%,} \\ 0.90 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[68,709,} \\ 161,966] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.35 \%,} \\ 0.72 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[12,221,} \\ 25,405] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.46 \%,} \\ 0.88 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[73,399} \\ 140,419] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.67 \%,} \\ 1.40 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[15,303,} \\ 31,712] \end{gathered}$ |
| Alabama | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ 1.75 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,918,} \\ 44,892] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.08 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,307} \\ 20,777] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ 1.85 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[60,} \\ 970] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 2.77 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[236} \\ 3,612] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.21 \%} \\ 3.14 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[145,} \\ 2,213] \end{array}$ |
| Arkansas | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 2.17 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,728,} \\ 19,259] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[0.16 \%,} \\ & \text { 2.49\%] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[625,} \\ 4,448] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 2.73 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[83,} \\ 580] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 3.39 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[362} \\ 2,534] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.28 \%,} \\ 1.95 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[146,} \\ 1,009] \end{gathered}$ |
| Delaware | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%,} \\ 2.54 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,553,} \\ 4,789] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.20 \%,} \\ 3.16 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[999,} \\ 1,931] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%,} \\ 2.79 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[162,} \\ 318] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.29 \%,} \\ 4.64 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[545,} \\ 1,051] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1.26 \%,} \\ 2.33 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[205,} \\ 379] \end{gathered}$ |
| District of Columbia | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 3.08 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[426,} \\ 7,167] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.24 \%,} \\ 3.89 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[586,} \\ 9,573] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.23 \%,} \\ 4.23 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[61,} \\ 1,102] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.27 \%,} \\ 4.35 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[157,} \\ 2,516] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.35 \%,} \\ 5.32 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[55,} \\ 850] \end{array}$ |
| Florida | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.87 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[32,116,} \\ 58,022] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.39 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[10,771,} \\ 19,025] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 2.18 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,978,} \\ 3,461] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 2.60 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[21,699} \\ 36,102] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.73 \%,} \\ 1.24 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,424} \\ 4,121] \end{gathered}$ |
| Georgia | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.05 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[16,907,} \\ 30,596] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ \text { 2.33\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[11,308,} \\ 19,835] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.23 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,474,} \\ 2,590] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.21 \%,} \\ 3.27 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[4,031} \\ 7,398] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.78 \%,} \\ 1.37 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,175} \\ 2,069] \end{gathered}$ |
| Kentucky | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.92 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,654,} \\ 57,354] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.14 \%,} \\ 2.19 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[387,} \\ 6,048] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.05 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[72,} \\ 1,124] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [0.19\%, } \\ \text { 2.92\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[199,} \\ 3,055] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.28 \%,} \\ 4.24 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[146,} \\ 2,200] \end{array}$ |
| Louisiana | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ 1.67 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,647,} \\ 11,468] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ \text { 2.06\%] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,538,} \\ 7,257] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 1.93 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[189,} \\ 375] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.15 \%,} \\ 2.36 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[635,} \\ 1,280] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.48 \%,} \\ 0.95 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[325,} \\ 646] \end{gathered}$ |
| Maryland | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ 1.78 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[8,104} \\ 14,063] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.11 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[5,198,} \\ 9,412] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.91 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,079} \\ 1,893] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.19 \%,} \\ 2.91 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,298,} \\ 3,977] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.64 \%,} \\ 1.08 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[764,} \\ 1,298] \end{array}$ |
| Mississippi | $\begin{gathered} {[0.10 \%,} \\ 1.53 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,002,} \\ 7,046] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.81 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[2,273,} \\ 5,204] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ 1.74 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[54,} \\ 131] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[0.16 \%,} \\ & 2.53 \%] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[222,} \\ 511] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.47 \%,} \\ 1.04 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[144,} \\ 319] \end{gathered}$ |
| North Carolina | $\begin{gathered} {[0.18 \%,} \\ 2.71 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[32,262} \\ 49,657] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 3.41 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[12,387,} \\ 19,794] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.20 \%,} \\ 3.08 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[1,645} \\ 2,554] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.30 \%,} \\ 4.41 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[6,406,} \\ 9,643] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1.27 \%,} \\ 1.84 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,024,} \\ 4,397] \end{gathered}$ |
| Oklahoma | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.09 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[7,960,} \\ 14,042] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.16 \%,} \\ 2.36 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[961,} \\ 1,623] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.17 \%,} \\ 2.55 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[343,} \\ 584] \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.22 \%,} \\ 3.48 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[1,749,} \\ 3,065] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.72 \%,} \\ 1.21 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[2,818,} \\ 4,739] \end{gathered}$ |
| South Carolina | $\begin{gathered} {[0.11 \%,} \\ 1.64 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[7,647,} \\ 14,681] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.13 \%,} \\ 2.10 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[3,701} \\ 7,334] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.12 \%,} \\ 1.86 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[229,} \\ 442] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[0.18 \%,} \\ & 2.70 \%] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} {[916,} \\ \text { 1,759] } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[0.63 \%,} \\ 1.21 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[430,} \\ 823] \end{gathered}$ |



Note: White, Black, and Asian are non-Hispanic. The Latinx category includes Hispanic and Latinx people of any race. All other race/ethnicity groups are non-Hispanic.
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