

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Associations between classroom climate and children's externalizing symptoms: The moderating effect of kindergarten children's parasympathetic reactivity

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4xz5v6cf>

Journal

Development and Psychopathology, 32(2)

ISSN

0954-5794

Authors

Roubinov, Danielle S
Bush, Nicole R
Hagan, Melissa J
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2020-05-01

DOI

10.1017/s095457941900052x

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Dev Psychopathol. 2020 May ; 32(2): 661–672. doi:10.1017/S095457941900052X.

Associations between classroom climate and children's externalizing symptoms: The moderating effect of kindergarten children's parasympathetic reactivity

Danielle S. Roubinov, PhD*,

University of California, San Francisco

Nicole R. Bush, PhD,

University of California, San Francisco

Melissa J. Hagan, PhD, MPH,

San Francisco State University & University of California, San Francisco

Jason Thompson, PhD**,

University of California, San Francisco

W. Thomas Boyce, MD

University of California, San Francisco

Abstract

Classrooms are key social settings that impact children's mental health, though individual differences in physiological reactivity may render children more or less susceptible to classroom environments. In a diverse sample of children from 19 kindergarten classrooms ($N = 338$, 48% female, M age = 5.32 years), we examined whether children's parasympathetic reactivity moderated the association between classroom climate and externalizing symptoms. Independent observers coded teachers' use of child-centered and teacher-directed instructional practices across classroom *social* and *management* domains. Children's respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA) reactivity to challenge tasks was assessed in fall and a multi-informant measure of externalizing was collected in fall and spring. Both the social and management domains of classroom climate significantly interacted with children's RSA reactivity to predict spring externalizing symptoms, controlling for fall symptoms. For more reactive children, as classrooms shifted towards greater proportional use of child-centered methods, externalizing symptoms declined, whereas greater use of teacher-dominated practices was associated with increased symptoms. Conversely, among less reactive children, exposure to more teacher-dominated classroom management practices was associated with lower externalizing. Consistent with the theory of *biological sensitivity to context*, considering variability in children's physiological reactivity aids understanding of the salience of the classroom environment for children's mental health.

*Corresponding Author: Danielle S. Roubinov, University of California, San Francisco, Department of Psychiatry, 3333 California Avenue, Suite 465, San Francisco, CA, 94118; Phone: (415) 476-7285; Fax: (415) 476-7744; danielle.roubinov@ucsf.edu.

**Dr. Thompson is now at Marin City Health & Wellness

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

School classrooms, and the psychological and learning climates within, are environments enriched with possibilities for the promotion of children's socioemotional and behavioral health (Hamre & Pianta, 2001; Mashburn et al., 2008). As structured forums for learning, classrooms are unique environments that intentionally bring together a diverse group of children and adults for a specific period of time on most days of the week. Although the children are active participants, teachers guide processes to establish a "climate" in the classroom that facilitates the learning of facts as well as children's social, emotional, and behavioral development. Children's response or receptivity to a classroom climate depends on a number of factors, including individual differences in neurobiological stress responsivity. In particular, children with heightened levels of physiological reactivity may be *biologically sensitive to context* such that they are more susceptible to the effects of both positive and negative environments (Boyce & Ellis, 2005; Bush & Boyce, 2016; Ellis, Boyce, Belsky, Bakermans-Kranenburg, & van Ijzendoorn, 2011), including the classroom.

The study of these developmental processes is particularly important during the initiation of formal schooling, as children are required to shift from the less structured environments of home or preschool to a more regimented schedule expected within kindergarten classrooms (La Paro, Rimm-Kaufman, & Pianta, 2006; Sink, Edwards, & Weir, 2007). Moreover, children's adjustment to kindergarten has been shown to exert lasting consequences on a range of behavioral outcomes through middle childhood and adolescence (Hamre & Pianta, 2001; Myers & Pianta, 2008). Indeed, among the most well-documented findings from *developmental cascades* research are the consequences of failures in developmental transitions on longer-term psychological outcomes (Masten & Cicchetti, 2010). In combination with research on the rapidly increasing rates of early school expulsion (Zinsler, Zulauf, Das, & Silver, in press) and grade retention (Davoudzadeh, McTernan, & Grimm, 2015), there are compelling reasons to identify the range of conditions that assist children in adapting to and thriving in kindergarten. The present study examined the potential moderating influence of children's biological sensitivity to context, as measured by their autonomic nervous system reactivity to challenge, on the relation between classroom climate and changes in children's externalizing symptoms across the school year.

Core features of classroom climate: Instructional styles

Climate is understood to encompass both social-emotional and instructional features of the classroom environment (Matsumura, Slater, & Crosson, 2008; Rucinski, Brown, & Downer, in press). For the purposes of the present study, we highlight the role of teachers' instructional practices because they are central to the determination of the classroom climate. Decades of prior research indicate a relation between classroom climate and an array of academic outcomes during childhood, including student performance, motivation to learn, and absenteeism (Thapa, Cohen, Higgins-D'Alessandro, & Guffey, 2012). Complementary to this body of literature are studies that have observed the classroom climate to relate to outcomes beyond the educational realm, including children's socioemotional and behavioral health. Structured, well-managed classrooms establish clear expectations for appropriate behavior and have been associated with lower levels of aggression and other externalizing problems, as well as greater social competence (Brophy-Herb, Lee, Nievar, & Stollak, 2007; Thomas, Bierman, Powers, & Conduct Problems

Prevention Research Group, 2011). Lower levels of problem behavior have also been observed within classrooms where teachers and students share warm relationships and open communication styles (Pianta, Steinberg, & Rollins, 1995).

Child-centered and *teacher-directed* are two prominent categorizations of classroom instructional practices (Driscoll & Pianta, 2010; Stipek & Byler, 2004; Tang et al., 2017) with theoretical roots in constructivism and behaviorism, respectively (Daniels & Shumow, 2003). When using a child-centered approach, teachers view children as active participants in their own learning and engage in instructional practices that facilitate children's self-directed, autonomous efforts to acquire knowledge. Child-centered instructional methods are responsive to the needs of individual children and account for variation in children's interests, motivations, and learning styles (McCombs, 2010). In contrast, teacher-directed styles are more didactic in character and promote teachers' managerial role in learning activities as children passively receive information. In a teacher-directed approach, greater emphasis is placed on attaining predetermined goals than the process through which learning occurs (Lerikkanen et al., 2016; Woolfolk Hoy & Weinstein, 2006).

Child-centered and teacher-directed practices have previously been conceptualized as mutually exclusive such that teachers could be classified as engaging in the former *or* the latter (Pianta, La Paro, Payne, Cox, & Bradley, 2002). Child-centered practices are consistent with the professional guidelines outlined by the National Association for the Education of Young Children, however conclusions regarding the optimal instructional approach have been equivocal. Examined primarily in regard to children's academic performance, some studies have touted the superiority of child-centered practices (Cornelius-White, 2007; Stipek et al., 1998), whereas others have argued that children's outcomes are improved with use of teacher-directed styles (Crosnoe et al., 2010; Kikas, Peets, & Hodges, 2014).

Reconciliation of these conflicting findings may come from recent recognition that there is not a clear dichotomy in the real-world application of these instructional styles (Chiatovich & Stipek, 2016). Rather, child-centered and teacher-directed practices co-exist in the classroom setting and are utilized in varying proportions that are "continuously negotiated" between teachers and students (Tzuo, 2007). Thus, what is of greater utility is the *relative use* of child-centered versus teacher-directed practices. Moreover, there is evidence that the extent to which this relative use of child-centered versus teacher-directed pedagogical components associates with student outcomes is contingent on the individual characteristics of the children in those classrooms (Stipek, Feiler, Daniels, & Milburn, 1995; Stipek & Byler, 2004; Kikas, Peets, & Hodges, 2014). Biological reactivity to challenge (often understood as "stress reactivity") may serve as one such individual characteristic, rendering children more sensitive to qualities of social environments, including instructional practices within the classroom environment.

The autonomic nervous system and biological sensitivity to context in the classroom

The autonomic nervous system (ANS) is comprised of two branches, the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and the parasympathetic nervous system (PNS). The PNS dampens SNS activity through activation of the 10th cranial (vagus) nerve, which inhibits the firing rate of the sinoatrial node, the natural pacemaker tissue of the heart. It can be conceptualized as a brake that when released, allows the heart to accelerate (Porges, Doussard-Roosevelt, Portales & Greenspan, 1996). The extent to which this vagal mechanism exerts inhibitory pressure on the heart is measured by respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA), a naturally occurring variation in heart rate that occurs during the breathing cycle (Hayano, Yasuma, Okada, Mukai, & Fujinami, 1996). Changes in RSA from baseline in response to challenging conditions (i.e., RSA reactivity) are conceptualized as indices of children's stress regulatory capacities (Beauchaine, Gatzke-Kopp, & Mead, 2007). Vagal withdrawal (i.e., decreases in RSA reflective of increased reactivity) accelerates heart rate and allows greater SNS input to the heart while vagal augmentation (i.e., increases in RSA reflective of lower reactivity) slows the heart rate and inhibits the input of the SNS to the heart (Porges, 2007). Broadly, higher RSA reactivity is considered a functional physiological state for responding to moderately challenging environmental stressors and has been associated with better emotion regulation, social skills, and problem solving (Beauchaine, 2001; Fox & Calkins, 2003). Lower levels of RSA reactivity may represent a less adaptive response to emotionally-evocative stressors, though positive RSA change scores (vagal augmentation) have also been associated with greater sustained attention and social engagement during challenges, particularly those that are more cognitive in nature (Beauchaine, 2001). Notably, there are no absolute thresholds that define hyper- or hypo-responsive patterns of RSA in relation to adjustment, and such associations may change with age and risk level (Zisner & Beauchaine, 2016).

Polyvagal theory describes the functional role of the vagal system in regulating attention, emotion, and social processes when individuals encounter challenging environments (Porges, 1995). This theory has provided a context for understanding the relation of dysregulated RSA reactivity to forms of children's psychopathology that are characterized by poor behavioral and emotional self-regulation, including externalizing problems (Beauchaine, Gatzke-Kopp, & Mead, 2007). Interestingly, empirical research has observed associations between externalizing symptoms and both higher and lower levels of RSA reactivity, with divergent findings that may be attributed to differences between clinical and normative samples and variation in the type of laboratory stressors used to evoke reactivity (for a review, see Zisner & Beauchaine, 2016).

Notwithstanding empirical evidence for main effects between RSA reactivity and externalizing outcomes, the theory of biological sensitivity to context (BSC) suggests such relations are more complex than the presumed uniform pathogenic effects of heightened reactivity (Ellis et al., 2011). Rather, BSC posits that heightened biological reactivity promotes children's capacity to benefit from positive, supportive, and nurturing conditions, but increases the risk for children's maladaptive outcomes under negative, risky, and adverse

conditions (Boyce & Ellis, 2005; Ellis, Essex, & Boyce, 2005; Ellis & Boyce, 2008). Empirical studies of biological reactivity as a marker of sensitivity to context have focused primarily on influences of the familial environment. For example, compared to children lower in RSA reactivity, more reactive children have been found to exhibit fewer externalizing symptoms when reared in family environments with lower adversity (Obradovi , Bush, Stamplerdahl, Adler, & Boyce, 2010). Greater RSA reactivity has also been associated with greater school engagement for children in the context of low family adversity, but low levels of engagement when family adversity was high (Obradovi , Bush, Stamplerdahl, Adler, & Boyce, 2010). Heightened autonomic reactivity has been associated with greater emotion regulation (Skowron, Cipriano-Essel, Gatzke-Kopp, Teti, & Ammerman, 2014) and lower externalizing (Boyce et al., 2006) and internalizing (Wetter & El-Sheikh, 2014) symptoms among children living within more supportive family environments compared to highly reactive children reared in contexts of greater family adversity. As compared to more highly reactive children, BSC suggests that lower levels of physiological reactivity (i.e., moderately reactive or non-reactive) “buffer individuals against the chronic stressors encountered in a world that is neither highly threatening or consistently safe” (Ellis & Boyce, 2008, p. 185). The BSC hypotheses regarding less reactive children should not to be interpreted through the same lens as research on maladaptively low levels of physiological reactivity. Rather, studies of BSC have generally observed no significant associations between adversity and mental health or school functioning among children with lower than average reactivity (or RSA augmentation), which supports the notion that those children are “less sensitive” to environmental influences (Essex, Armstrong, Burk, Goldsmith, & Boyce, 2011; Obradovi , Bush, Stamplerdahl, Adler, & Boyce, 2010; Rudolph, Troop-Gordon, & Granger, 2011).

The BSC framework may also be applicable to understanding children’s adjustment within important developmental contexts beyond the family environment. Given the considerable quantity of time children spend at school and engaged with teachers/peers, children may be differentially susceptible to classroom conditions, much like they are differentially susceptible to qualities of the family context. To our knowledge, only one prior study has examined relations between the classroom environment and children’s mental health outcomes from this more nuanced perspective. In support of BSC, Essex and colleagues observed that children with higher levels of biological reactivity exhibited the greatest mental health symptoms under conditions of high conflict or low closeness with their teacher and the fewest symptoms in the context of more supportive, less conflictual teacher-child relationships. Children with lower reactivity appeared “buffered,” with low levels of symptoms that did not vary with qualities of conflict or support in the teacher-child relationship (Essex, Armstrong, Burk, Goldsmith, & Boyce, 2011). As argued by leaders in the field of educational research, such findings suggest the importance of examining neurobiologically-mediated sensitivity to measures of the teacher-relationship and classroom environment (Pianta, 2016).

The present study addressed gaps in prior research by examining the moderating effect of children’s parasympathetic reactivity on the relation between class climate and children’s externalizing symptoms. We evaluated these relations during the transition to kindergarten, focusing on this developmental period because of its salience in terms of socioemotional and

behavioral development and its prognostic implications for school success. Guided by the BSC framework (Boyce & Ellis, 2005), we hypothesized that parasympathetic reactivity would moderate the association between the classroom climate (proportion of child-centered to teacher-directed practices) and student externalizing mental health symptoms. We expected a significant relation of classroom climate to externalizing symptoms among children with higher reactivity, such that more reactive children would develop increased symptoms across the school year in classrooms characterized by greater proportional use of teacher-directed instructional practices and decreased symptoms in classrooms with greater use of child-centered practices. Externalizing symptoms were not expected to be associated with the relative use of child-centered to teacher-directed practices for low-reactive children.

Methods

Participants

The current study consisted of 338 children (48% female, M age = 5.32 years, SD = 0.32) who were recruited for a longitudinal examination of the family and school environments, social status, physiological functioning, and mental and physical health outcomes (Bush, Obradovi, Adler, & Boyce, 2011). The sample was diverse in race/ethnicity (19% African American, 11% Asian, 43% European or Caucasian, 4% Latino, 22% Multiethnic, and 2% Other) and socioeconomic status ($Range$ = \$10,000 - \$400,000; M = \$60,000-\$79,000; Mdn = \$80,000-\$99,999), representing the sociodemographic characteristics of the geographic area from which families were drawn. Biological mothers were the primary source of caregiver-reported data (87%), followed by biological fathers (9%), adoptive mothers (2.5%), biological grandmothers, (0.6%), and individuals with other relations to the child (0.9%).

Procedures

Families were recruited in fall from six public schools in the San Francisco Bay Area selected to provide a heterogeneous sample. Data collection occurred across three successive waves from children across 29 kindergarten classrooms taught by 17 teachers (several teachers participated in more than one year of data collection). The study was open to all families with a child who was a student in a participating classroom. Families were only excluded if lack of fluency in English or Spanish precluded sufficient comprehension of the questionnaires.

Parents and teachers provided informed consent and children provided assent to participate. To provide compensation for time and effort, parents and children were given \$100 in gift certificates over the course of the school year, teachers were given \$30 for each completed child assessment, and schools were given \$20 for each child enrolled in the study. This study was approved by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects of the University of California, Berkeley, and the Committee on Human Subjects of the University of California, San Francisco.

Measures

Demographics.—During fall of the kindergarten year, parents provided data on demographic characteristics, including socioeconomic status, ethnicity, household structure, and other background information.

Classroom climate.—The Early Childhood Classroom Observation Measure (ECCOM; Stipek & Byler, 2004) evaluated teachers' use of child-centered and teacher-directed instructional styles related to social climate and classroom management. During spring of the kindergarten year (March-April), trained research assistants conducted 60 minutes of observation within each classroom using procedures outlined in the ECCOM manual. Following observation sessions, research assistants independently coded the extent to which teachers utilized various instructional methods (described below) on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (0 to 20% of the time) to 5 (81–100% of the time). Each classroom was observed and coded by two research assistants that demonstrated high reliability with each other (classroom social climate ICC = 0.84; classroom management ICC = 0.83) with the exception of four classrooms that were coded by one observer, however this individual was highly reliable with other coders (ICCs = 0.91). Across the sample, most children (85%) were enrolled in half-day kindergarten classes. The remainder of children (15%) were in full-day kindergarten classes. Classrooms were observed for the same amount of time during a prespecified observation window irrespective of the length of the kindergarten day.

The social climate dimension of the ECCOM reflects interpersonal and communication practices, teacher warmth, student engagement, and the extent of individualization of learning activities. The classroom management dimension of the ECCOM captures rules, routines, discipline, and children's level of responsibility in the classroom. Child-centered and teacher-directed styles may co-occur within each of these dimensions of the classroom environment (Kikas et al., 2014). Using the ECCOM, teachers received four separate ratings of child-centered and teacher-directed practices in the management climate and social climate domains of the classroom environment (1. child-centered social climate, 2. teacher-directed social climate, 3. child-centered classroom management, 4. teacher-directed classroom management). For example, child-centered social climate codings were applied when teachers provide opportunities for children to speak in front their peer group and asked each for help on tasks. A more teacher-directed social climate was coded when teachers directed children to work individually or in teacher-directed groups and when children were instructed to mainly rely on the teacher for assistance. Child-centered management practices were coded when teachers used clear, consistently applied, flexible rules, whereas teacher-directed class management practices reflected instances when teachers used more detailed, rigid rules.

The present study focused on the amount of time teachers spent using child-centered instructional practices relative to teacher-directed practices, rather than the absolute amount of time spent using each style. Building upon previous work, we used this ratio calculation in order to create an arithmetic proxy for the dynamic interrelationship of constructivist (child-centered) versus didactic (teacher-directed) components that characterize the lived reality of classroom instructional environments (Tzuo, 2007; Kikas et al., 2014). We

calculated a ratio score for each ECCOM dimension, yielding one child-centered/teacher-directed ratio for classroom social climate and one child-centered/teacher-directed ratio for classroom management. The range of ECCOM scores in our sample was such that higher scores indicated that teachers used a greater proportion of child-centered methods relative to teacher-directed methods, and lower scores indicated that teachers used a child-centered and teacher-directed practices in relatively equal proportions. There were no classrooms predominated by teacher-directed practices relative to child-centered styles.

Respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA) reactivity.—During fall of the kindergarten year, children’s autonomic reactivity was evaluating by computing changes in RSA as children completed four, developmentally-appropriate challenge tasks that have been shown to reliably elicit moderate stress responses. A brief description of the protocol follows; for more detailed information, the reader is referred to: Bush, Alkon, Obradovi , Stamperdahl, & Boyce, 2011.

Children were read a calming story aloud during a two-minute baseline period, after which the challenge tasks began. Each stress-eliciting task was paired with a non-stressful control condition that had parallel motor and engagement demands. Control conditions provided baseline reference values that were used to derive reactivity scores without the confounds of motor activity. The protocol consisted of: 1. Social challenge (structured interview) and social control (naming common animals and colors), 2. Cognitive challenge (digit span) and cognitive control (one and two digit repetition), 3. Sensory challenge (taste identification of two drops of concentrated lemon juice) and sensory control (two drops of water on the tongue), and 4. Emotional challenge (watching an emotionally-evocative movie clip) and emotional control (emotionally-neutral movie clip).

RSA was monitored continuously throughout the challenge protocol using the Biopac MP150 (Biopac Systems, Santa Barbara, CA) interfaced to a PC-based computer. Children were familiarized with the equipment, then four spot electrodes were affixed in the standard tetrapolar configuration on the child’s neck and chest and ECG electrodes were placed on the right clavicle and lower left rib. Analog data were monitored on the computer for signal and noise and digitized data were stored for offline analysis. RSA reactivity was derived using recommendations set forth by the Society for Psychophysiological Research Committee on heart rate variability (Berntson et al., 2007). The sampling frequency was 1 kHz. Prior to completing analyses, each waveform was verified, IBIs were checked visually, and artifacts were identified using a MindWare software program algorithm (<http://www.mindwaretech.com>). RSA was estimated as the natural logarithm of the variance of heart period within the high-frequency bandpass associated with respiration at this age (i.e., 0.15–0.80 Hz) (Bar-Haim, Marshall, & Fox, 2000; Rudolph, Rudolph, Hostetter, Lister, & Siegel, 2003). Outlier data (>3 SD from the group mean) were checked and verified minute-by-minute.

We calculated a composite, cross-task measure of reactivity given the nature of the classroom as a dynamic environment in which children are exposed to a variety of different stressor types (e.g., relational, cognitive, emotional). Notably, this strategy has also been employed in prior research of childhood environments, autonomic reactivity, and mental

health (Alkon, Goldstein, Smider, Essex, Kupfer & Boyce, 2003; Calkins, Graziano, & Keane, 2007; Essex, Armstrong, Burk, Goldsmith, & Boyce, 2011). Thus, mean RSA magnitude was calculated for each 1-minute interval within each task and then averaged to create an overall task mean (correlations among tasks ranged from 0.29 to 0.63; all p values < .01). Using RSA measured during the control conditions, four task-specific reactivity scores were created (control mean subtracted from task mean) and then averaged again to create one index of RSA reactivity. Negative reactivity scores were indicative of greater RSA reactivity (a decrease in RSA) and positive scores were indicative of lower RSA reactivity (an increase in RSA).¹ Across the four tasks, 47.1% of the sample had negative reactivity scores and 52.9% of the sample had positive reactivity scores (see Bush et al., 2011 for more information).

Externalizing symptoms. Children's externalizing symptoms were assessed in fall and spring of the kindergarten year using a composite of parent-, teacher, and child-reported measures. Parents and teachers completed the Externalizing scale from the Health and Behavior Questionnaire (Essex et al., 2002), which assesses children's oppositional symptoms, conduct problems, overt hostility, and relational aggression. The Berkeley Puppet Interview (Ablow & Measelle, 2003) evaluated children's own perceptions of their externalizing symptoms with items that parallel those on the HBQ. The BPI is administered in a standardized fashion during which children are presented with opposing statements from two puppets that represent positive and negative dimensions of different behaviors and attributes. Children are asked to indicate the puppet (i.e., dimension) with which they most identify. A counterbalanced order of positive and negative items was allocated equally between the two puppets to prevent children's stronger identification with one puppet over the other. Children's responses were videotaped and coded by trained research assistants using a 7-point scale that reflected the specific statement that was endorsed and degree of endorsement. Interrater reliability based on double-coded data was high for both fall (ICCs = 0.91) and spring (ICCs = 0.92).

Multi-informant methods offer advantages over single-reporter measures of children's psychological symptoms (Kraemer et al., 2003), and may be particularly beneficial when gathering information on symptoms of externalizing (Angold & Costello, 1996; Costello, Mustillo, Erkanli, Keeler, & Angold, 2003). Following procedures outlined by Kraemer et al. (2003), we used principal components analysis (PCA) to integrate parent- and teacher-reports from the HBQ and children's report from the BPI. Three components were extracted: 1. A trait component, reflecting individual differences in externalizing symptoms, 2. An informant component that captured differences due to parent, teacher, and child perspectives of symptoms, and 3. A context component that reflected differences due to the context or environment in which symptoms are expressed (for more information, see Obradovi, Bush,

¹We acknowledge that there are differences in how RSA reactivity is defined/calculated in the literature. Our description of positive scores as reflective of lower RSA reactivity is consistent with how it is most frequently represented within the field of psychophysiology (Obradovi, Bush, Stamerdahl, Adler, & Boyce, 2010). However, we acknowledge that the increases in RSA that yield positive scores also reflect RSA augmentation, which may be distinct from a conceptualization of lower reactivity. RSA reactivity has also been operationalized in terms of any change from baseline (withdrawal or augmentation; Beauchaine, Gatzke-Kopp, & Mead, 2007; El-Sheikh et al., 2009; Kuhn, Ahles, Aldrich, Wielgus, & Mezulis, 2018; Fagan, Zhang, & Gao, 2017). We also note that residualized change scores may be used as an alternative to difference scores in the calculation of RSA reactivity. In our models, the pattern and significance of our results did not change when residualized change scores were used.

Stamperdahl, Adler, & Boyce, 2010). Analyses for the present study used externalizing symptom scores based on the trait (first) component of the PCA.

Statistical Analysis Plan

Due to the hierarchical structure of the data (children nested within teachers' classrooms), analyses were conducted in a multilevel framework using SPSS MIXED. Two models of moderation separately examined the interaction of children's fall RSA reactivity and indices of the classroom environment (classroom management ratio or social climate ratio) on children's externalizing symptoms in the spring, controlling for gender, socioeconomic status (a composite of standardized parental income and education), ethnicity (dummy coded variables; Caucasian and ethnic minority), and children's externalizing symptoms in the fall. Class duration (half-or full-day) and the number of children in the classroom were also evaluated for inclusion in the models as potential covariates. These classroom structural variables were not significant in any of our models (p -values ranging from 0.27 to 0.93) nor did their inclusion change the pattern or significance of our findings. Thus, they are not included in the final models presented below.

Results

See Table 1 for zero-order correlations between key study variables. Of note, examining bivariate correlations of the primary predictors and outcome, RSA reactivity was not associated with externalizing problems in fall or spring of the school year, and measures of the classroom environment were only weakly correlated with externalizing problems.

Child-centered to teacher-directed ratio of classroom social climate.

The first model investigated the interactive effects of the classroom social climate ratio and children's RSA reactivity on externalizing symptoms in spring of the kindergarten year, controlling for symptoms at the beginning of the school year. As indicated in Table 2, there was a significant interaction between the ratio of child-centered to teacher-directed social climate practices and children's RSA reactivity on children's externalizing symptoms ($b = .221$, $SE = .082$, $p = .007$). Analysis of simple slopes indicated that the classroom social climate practices ratio negatively influenced prospective changes in externalizing symptoms among children with greater RSA reactivity ($b = -.145$, $SE = .047$, $p = .002$). There was no significant association between social climate and externalizing symptoms at lower levels of RSA reactivity ($p = .95$; see Figure 1). To complement these results, we computed the regions of significance for the interaction effect, which identify the specific values of RSA reactivity at which the slope between the social climate ratio and changes in children's externalizing symptoms is significant (Preacher, Curran, & Bauer, 2006). Findings from these additional analyses indicated that the classroom social climate ratio was significantly associated with externalizing for approximately 25% of our sample (when children's RSA reactivity (mean-centered) was < -0.22 , representing those with greater parasympathetic withdrawal reactivity to challenge; see Figure 1b).

It is important to contextualize the association among high reactive children within our sample's distribution on the classroom social climate ratio (i.e., higher ratio values reflect

more use of child-centered practices relative to teacher-directed practices and lower ratio values reflect generally comparable use of child- and teacher-directed practices). Our results indicate that children with heightened levels of RSA reactivity exhibited decreases in externalizing symptoms over the course of the school year when teachers engaged in greater proportional use of child-centered methods but exhibited increases in externalizing problems when in classrooms with higher use of teacher-dominated practices (those with nearly equal use of child-centered and teacher-dominated practices).

Child-centered to teacher-directed ratio of classroom management.

The second model investigated the interactive effects of the classroom management ratio and children's RSA reactivity on externalizing symptoms. The ratio of child-centered to teacher-dominated classroom management practices interacted with children's RSA reactivity to predict externalizing symptoms in the spring, above and beyond symptoms in the fall ($b = .24$, $SE = .11$, $p < .03$; see Table 2). Figure 2. provides a graphical illustration of the analysis of simple slopes plotted at one standard deviation above and below the mean of RSA reactivity. The classroom management pattern of findings was similar to the social climate model, although this association manifested as a full crossover interaction wherein an interaction term can be significant despite lack of significance for simple slopes at one standard deviation above and below the mean (Baron & Kenny, 1986). Illustratively, when plotted for children with higher reactivity (one standard deviation above the mean), the classroom management ratio was negatively associated with changes in children's externalizing symptoms, however this simple slope was not significant ($p = .25$). Among children with low RSA reactivity (one standard deviation below the mean), the management ratio was associated with increases in externalizing symptoms in the spring, although this simple slope was also not significant ($p = .10$). The regions of significance framework indicated that the relation between the classroom management ratio and children's externalizing symptoms was significant only at particularly low levels of RSA reactivity, reflective of vagal augmentation to challenge (RSA reactivity > 0.56 , approximately 3% of our sample; see Figure 2b).

Given the results of the regions of significance plots, we are cautious to further describe and interpret the results of the classroom management model. However, we have opted to do so because of our desire to compare the pattern to that found in the social climate model, the potential for this effect to be more robust in samples with greater extremes of classroom environment, and the interest in how these relations may unfold in under-aroused children. Among children with higher RSA reactivity, classrooms characterized by greater child-centered management practices relative to teacher-directed styles were negatively associated with changes in spring externalizing symptoms, controlling for initial symptom levels in the fall. However, use of approximately equal proportion of child-centered and teacher-directed approaches were associated with increased externalizing symptoms for more reactive children. An opposite pattern of relations emerged among low reactive children. Greater relative use of child-centered versus teacher-directed approaches was associated with increases in spring externalizing symptoms among children with lower RSA reactivity, while equivalent use of these styles was associated with decreases in spring levels of externalizing among less reactive children, above and beyond fall symptoms.

Discussion

Evidence of the long-term implications of children's initiation to formal schooling has fostered increased efforts to promote success during this developmental transition (McIntyre, Eckert, Arbolino, Reed, & Fiese, 2014). Despite expansive school transition initiatives and policies, it has been reported that nearly half of children experience moderate to high levels of difficulty in kindergarten (Rimm-Kaufman, Pianta, & Cox, 2000). Notably, deficits in regulatory capacities were the most frequently cited source for such difficulties and were more commonly reported than deficits in academic skills or lack of formal preschool experience (Rimm-Kaufman et al., 2000). There is robust evidence indicating that particular characteristics of the teacher-child relationship and the classroom climate promote self-regulation and social competence (e.g., Skinner, Zimmer-Gembeck, & Connell, 1998; Wilson, Pianta, & Stuhlman, 2007; Boyce et al., 2012). However, any one type of instructional approach is never "one size fits all," raising the critical question of which children may be more or less likely to benefit from particular classroom climates. The present study examined the potential moderating role of individual differences in children's physiological stress reactivity (specifically, parasympathetic response to challenging tasks) on the relation between classroom climate and externalizing symptoms. As hypothesized, findings indicated a significant interaction between the ratio of child-centered to teacher-directed instructional practices in the classroom and children's RSA reactivity on externalizing symptoms in spring of the kindergarten year, controlling for baseline levels of externalizing symptoms measured in the fall.

Two separate models were tested to explore the ratio of instructional styles in classroom social climate versus classroom management. Although teachers' practices in the social and management domains of the classroom environment are often associated (and were highly correlated in the present study²), empirical and theoretical research suggests these two domains uniquely influence the effectiveness of instruction as well as children's school adjustment (Pianta, 2003; Stipek & Byler, 2004). As discussed at length by Evans and colleagues (2009) and demonstrated empirically by Hamre & Pianta (2005) and Buyse et al. (2008), children vary significantly in their classroom needs, with some children demonstrating a greater need for rules and routines (classroom management; Pekrun, 2006) and others responding more profoundly to teacher warmth (social; Ahnert, Pinquart, & Lamb, 2006; Jennings & Greenberg, 2009). As such, even if teachers who use more of one particular type of practice (i.e., child-centered or teacher-directed) in the classroom social climate are more likely to employ the same type of instructional practices in the management domain (and vice versa), the two domains reflect different aspects of the classroom milieu (e.g., discipline versus relational) that can vary in their relations to children's behavior. Analogous to measures of parental discipline and warmth that provide

²The high correlation between the classroom social climate and classroom management ratios is due, at least in part, to our use of a ratio score and the greater use of child-centered techniques in general, rather than due to specific overlap between the nature of the two classroom domains. Illustratively, when we average the child-centered and teacher-centered social and classroom management scores (rather than create a ratio), the correlation coefficient is markedly lower ($r = 0.36, p < 0.01$). When classroom climate and classroom management were combined into a single overall dimension and entered into our model, the interaction of classroom quality x RSA reactivity was significant, however the simple slopes were not significant at the conventional levels of \pm one SD above and below the mean because of the crossover nature of this interaction. We refer the reader to our discussion of crossover interactions in the context of our classroom management model.

unique, non-overlapping information about the family environment, measures of teachers' behavior across the climate and management domains may capture related but distinct aspects of the classroom environment.

Indeed, there were both similar and unique aspects of findings across the two dimensions of the classroom climate. Greater proportional use of child-centered social climate practices relative to teacher-directed social climate practices was associated with significantly lower levels of externalizing symptoms for children who exhibited heightened RSA reactivity, whereas a more equal use of child-centered and teacher-directed instructional practices was associated with greater externalizing symptoms among more reactive children. There was no association between social climate instructional practices and externalizing problems among children who showed lower or more moderate levels of stress reactivity. As such, greater proportional use of child-centered approaches in the construction of the classroom's social climate appears to not be uniformly promotive of better behavior for all students, but may play a beneficial role in the promotion of emotion regulation and preventing the development of externalizing problems in highly physiologically reactive children.

This pattern of findings is aligned with the theory of biological sensitivity to context (Boyce & Ellis, 2005), which posits that heightened stress physiology signifies children's unique susceptibility to characteristics of the social environment, while lower or more moderate reactivity levels render children less vulnerable to environmentally-induced effects on health and development. There is an impressive body of theoretical literature and empirical evidence in support of these proposed individual differences in BSC. Numerous studies have demonstrated that children with high physiological reactivity (i.e. heightened biological sensitivity) do exceptionally well in positive family environments and significantly worse in adverse family environments, relative to children who exhibit moderate or low stress reactivity (Bush & Boyce, 2016; Ellis et al., 2011; Hartman & Belsky, 2016). The current study extends the application of this theoretical framework beyond its predominant empirical roots in studies of the family environment by demonstrating that heightened RSA reactivity rendered children more sensitive to the contextual features of the classroom climate – particularly social climate characteristics.

Child-centered social climate practices involve the display of positive affect and teacher behaviors that communicate acceptance, nurturance, and respect to each individual child. In contrast, a more teacher-directed social climate allocates praise and rewards conditional on reaching a preset goal and consists of teacher-child interactions that are more directed toward the acquisition of basic skills (Stipek & Byler, 2004). Physiologically reactive children may be especially sensitive to the ratio of child-centered to teacher-directed social climate strategies, such that high levels of acceptance and positive affect engender greater motivation to self-regulate in an effort to please the teacher, whereas use of conditional rewards may not have this same effect. As described by applications of self-determination theory to the classroom environment, teachers' unconditional warmth facilitates student engagement, enhances motivation, and promotes positive outcomes by meeting the basic psychological need for relatedness (Nie & Lau, 2009; Ryan & Deci, 2000). Although there were no classrooms in our sample in which teacher-directed strategies predominated over

child-directed behaviors, it is plausible that use of teacher-directed strategies could undermine the effectiveness of child-centered practices in the classroom.

In the classroom management model, there was a negative relation between a reliance on child-centered classroom management practices and externalizing problems for more reactive children consistent with the social climate model, though the simple slope did not reach statistical significance. It may be the case that the environment-dependent implications of heightened stress reactivity emerge more unequivocally when evaluating the interpersonal or affective components of a particular context such as those reflected in the social climate domain. Indeed, in the only other study of BSC to address the classroom environment, Essex and colleagues (2011) found that highly reactive children were sensitive to the affective components of the teacher-child relationship; teacher practices in regard to classroom management were not examined.

Specific to the classroom management model and not present in the social climate model, greater proportional use of teacher-directed classroom management strategies was associated with lower externalizing problems for children exhibiting low RSA reactivity. It should be noted that the simple slope of this effect was only marginally significant in the full sample (with regions of significance testing that indicated it was significant primarily for children with the very lowest reactivity). We were thus cautious to interpret such findings, but we chose to do so because the results of the classroom management model reflect a crossover interaction where simple slopes can be non-significant despite the presence of a true conditional effect (Baron & Kenny, 1986). Further, this pattern of relations can be understood in the context of extant research on the environmental and behavioral correlates of low reactive children. Under-arousal of the parasympathetic nervous system can reflect deficits in emotion regulation and attention that preclude adaptive engagement with the environment (Beauchaine, 2001) and promote impulsive, riskier behaviors to increase arousal (Raine, 2002). Children lower in RSA reactivity may benefit behaviorally from greater classroom structure and more stringent teacher management, which is well-aligned with research on parental management strategies for low-reactive children (Degnan, Calkins, Keane, & Hill-Sotherland, 2008; Tu, Erath, & El-Sheik, 2017). For example, when reared in family environments characterized by higher levels of parental control and directiveness, children lower in RSA reactivity engage in less disruptive behavior (Degnan et al., 2008) and have fewer peer problems (Tu et al., 2017). Similar processes may be operating in the classroom environment: low-reactive children may benefit from the firm boundaries, structured routine and transitions, and greater teacher direction of classrooms in which teacher-directed and child-centered management practices are used in generally equal proportions.

To provide quantitative support for BSC and clearly distinguish such effects from theories of diathesis-stress, we conducted additional analyses guided by Roisman et al. (2012). Briefly, we calculated the *proportion affected (PA) index* for the classroom social climate model (PA = 37%) and the classroom management model (PA = 39%). These values exceeded the suggested cut-off of 16% for drawing conclusions of BSC. Roisman et al. also suggest that erroneous conclusions of differential susceptibility may emerge when linear relations are misspecified for non-linear models, however we found no evidence of curvilinear main or

moderated effects among our variables. Thus, additional tests provide increased support for consideration of the results of the present study within the BSC framework.

Results of the current study should be considered in the context of several limitations. First, instructional styles in the current study ranged from generally equal use of child-centered and teacher-directed practices to classrooms in which child-centered styles predominated. Though our classrooms were representative of the larger geographic area from which our sample was drawn, we did not capture classrooms in which teacher-directed instructional styles predominated greatly over child-centered practices. Thus, we are unable to comment on how such a primarily teacher-directed style may interact with children's physiological reactivity to influence externalizing symptoms. Prior research has described the limited ability of any one study to capture the full range of observations that underlie interactions between the environment and children's physiological sensitivities (Bush & Boyce, 2016). As such, we cannot generalize our results to other classroom environments with broader distributions of ratios of child-centered to teacher-directed practices (or different ranges of physiological reactivity and externalizing symptoms). Second, analyses in the present study examined the influence of teachers' instructional styles at one time point during the school year, but we recognize teachers may shift their proportional use of various practices in response to student learning and outcomes over the course of the school year. Future studies with repeated measures of teachers and students may elucidate these transactional relations. Finally, qualities of the classroom environment and children's RSA reactivity are part of a larger constellation of contextual and biological factors that underlie the development of externalizing disorders, including harsh parenting (Roubinov, Boyce, & Bush, 2018). Further examination of aspects of the classroom environment and children's stress physiology in relation to known parenting risk factors for externalizing would be informative to further elucidate how these relations unfold under particular conditions of early family adversity.

The functions of formal schooling extend far beyond equipping children with specific learning or academic skills. The beginning of kindergarten has been described as children's first major ecological transition (Bronfenbrenner, 1979) and one in which they acquire the new social role of student and its associated rules and responsibilities (Seung Lam & Pollard, 2006). Moreover, the age of 5 to 6 years is a key period of brain development during which children's executive functioning rapidly advances in response to environmental influences, with significant downstream effects on early behavioral and emotional self-regulation (Thompson & Nelson, 2001). The classroom climate has a central role in promoting children's well-being during this time and, in particular, it has been argued that one of its most important tasks is "to promote children's self-regulation through instructional and emotional support" (Blair & Diamond, 2008, p. 907). Indeed, there is growing epidemiological and public policy interest in promoting children's socioemotional and behavioral competencies as part of broad educational reform in the United States (Jennings & Greenberg, 2009). Schools are a natural and accessible venue for increasing access to early supportive services for children, though are often underutilized (Ko et al., 2008; Werner-Seidler et al., 2017).

Results of the current study suggest that understanding and attending to individual differences in stress physiology may not only help identify children at heightened risk, but

can also assist in meeting the diverse instructional needs of kindergarten children for whom a more universal approach exacerbates risk or fails to capitalize on potential protective factors. Although the present study focused on externalizing problems, such early childhood disruptive behavior has broad impacts on children's learning, peer and teacher relationships, school engagement, and risk for longer-term health and functioning outcomes (Aebi, Plattner, Metzke, Bessler, & Steinhausen, 2013). Our results add to the compelling body of research that indicates the importance of examining children's physiological reactivity as a potential moderator of early environmental effects on a range of important outcomes relevant to developmental psychopathology (see for review, Bush & Boyce, 2016). The present study focused on relations with externalizing behavior, however the relevance of RSA reactivity to children's broad emotion regulation skills and other psychopathology (e.g., internalizing) suggests the interactive effects of classroom characteristics and RSA reactivity may extend to other behavioral and mental health outcomes early in life.

School settings are increasingly valued as contexts in which processes of maladaptive and adaptive development can be understood and shaped, yet the use of informative biometrics in developmental science-based research of educational settings remains more of an exception rather than the norm (Pianta, 2016). Just as parents can benefit from information about temperamental/physiological differences in children and "goodness of fit" in terms of parenting (Newland & Crnic, 2017) and neighborhood (Colder, Lengua, Fite, Mott & Bush, 2006) effects on children's development, providing teachers with training that promotes understanding of individual differences in children's stress biology and responsiveness to classroom climate factors can provide benefits to teachers and the children who require educational intervention. Although expecting teachers to calibrate their classroom styles to individual children's reactivity phenotypes may be impractical for a variety of reasons, enhanced knowledge can improve teachers' interpretation of children's behavioral responses to classroom practices and aid in decisions about how to respond. Moreover, inclusion of biological measures can enhance understanding of prevention efforts in schools and highlight the conditions under which interventions are optimally effective (Cicchetti & Gunnar, 2008). Greater integration of biologically-informed theory and evidence into classroom teaching and learning infrastructure is an important next step on the path towards the interdisciplinary integration of education and human development.

Acknowledgments

Source of Funding:

This study was supported by grants from the National Institute of Mental Health (R01MH62320 to Boyce and 5K23MH113709 to Roubinov), the MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Psychopathology and Development (Boyce), and the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research (Boyce).

References

- Ablow J, & Measelle J. (2003). The Berkeley Puppet Interview (BPI): Interviewing and coding system manuals. Berkeley, CA: University of California, Department of Psychology.
- Aebi M, Plattner B, Metzke CW, Bessler C, & Steinhausen HC (2013). Parent-and self-reported dimensions of oppositionality in youth: construct validity, concurrent validity, and the prediction of criminal outcomes in adulthood. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54(9), 941–949. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12039 [PubMed: 23356718]

- Alkon A, Goldstein LH, Smider N, Essex MJ, Kupfer DJ, & Boyce WT (2003). Developmental and contextual influences on autonomic reactivity in young children. *Developmental Psychobiology: The Journal of the International Society for Developmental Psychobiology*, 42(1), 64–78. doi: 10.1002/dev.10082
- Angold A, & Costello EJ (1996). Toward establishing an empirical basis for the diagnosis of oppositional defiant disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 35(9), 1205–1212. doi: 10.1097/00004583-199609000-00018 [PubMed: 8824064]
- Ahnert L, Pinquart M, & Lamb ME (2006). Security of children's relationships with non-parental care providers: A meta-analysis. *Child Development*, 74(3), 664–679. doi 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2006.00896.x
- Bar-Haim Y, Marshall PJ, & Fox NA (2000) Developmental changes in heart period and high-frequency heart period variability from 4 months to 4 years of age. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 37, 44–56. doi: 10.1002/1098-2302(200007)37:1<44::AID-DEV6>3.0.CO;2-7 [PubMed: 10937660]
- Baron RM, & Kenny DA (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173–1182. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173 [PubMed: 3806354]
- Beauchaine T. (2001). Vagal tone, development, and Gray's motivational theory: toward an integrated model of autonomic nervous system functioning in psychopathology. *Developmental Psychopathology*, 13(2), 183–214.
- Beauchaine TP, Gatzke-Kopp L, & Mead HK (2007). Polyvagal Theory and developmental psychopathology: emotion dysregulation and conduct problems from preschool to adolescence. *Biological Psychology*, 74(2), 174–184. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2005.08.008 [PubMed: 17045726]
- Berntson GG, Thomas Bigger J, Eckberg DL, Grossman P, Kaufmann PG, Malik M, Nagaraja HN, Porges SW, Saul JP, Stone PH, Van Der Molen M. (2007). Heart rate variability: origins, methods, and interpretive caveats. *Psychophysiology*, 34(6), 623–648. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.1997.tb02140.x
- Blair C, & Diamond A. (2008). Biological processes in prevention and intervention: The promotion of self-regulation as a means of preventing school failure. *Development and Psychopathology*, 20(3), 899–911. doi:10.1017/S0954579408000436 [PubMed: 18606037]
- Boyce WT, & Ellis BJ (2005). Biological sensitivity to context: I. An evolutionary-developmental theory of the origins and functions of stress reactivity. *Development and Psychopathology*, 17(2), 271–301. doi: 10.1017/S0954579405050145 [PubMed: 16761546]
- Boyce WT, Essex MJ, Alkon A, Goldsmith HH, Kraemer HC, & Kupfer DJ (2006). Early father involvement moderates biobehavioral susceptibility to mental health problems in middle childhood. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 45(12), 1510–1520. doi: 10.1097/01.chi.0000237706.50884.8b [PubMed: 17135997]
- Boyce WT, Obradovi J, Bush NR, Stamperdahl J, Kim YS, & Adler N. (2012). Social stratification, classroom climate, and the behavioral adaptation of kindergarten children. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(Supplement 2), 17168–17173. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1201730109
- Bronfenbrenner U. (1979). Contexts of child rearing: Problems and prospects. *American Psychologist*, 34(10), 844–850. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.34.10.844
- Brophy-Herb HE, Lee RE, Nievar MA, & Stollak G. (2007). Preschoolers' social competence: Relations to family characteristics, teacher behaviors and classroom climate. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 28(2), 134–148. doi: 10.1016/j.appdev.2006.12.004
- Bush NR, & Boyce WT (2016). Differential sensitivity to context: Implications for developmental psychopathology In Cicchetti D (Ed.), *Developmental psychopathology* (pp. 107–137). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Bush NR, Obradovic J, Adler N, & Boyce WT (2011). Kindergarten stressors and cumulative adrenocortical activation: the “first straws” of allostatic load? *Development and Psychopathology*, 23(4), 1089–1106. doi:10.1017/S0954579411000514 [PubMed: 22018083]
- Buyse E, Verschueren K, Doumen S, Van Damme J, & Maes F (2008). Classroom problem behavior and teacher-child relationships in kindergarten: The moderating role of classroom climate. *Journal of School Psychology*, 46, 367–391. doi: 10.1016/j.jsp.2007.06.009 [PubMed: 19083364]

- Calkins SD, Graziano PA, & Keane SP (2007). Cardiac vagal regulation differentiates among children at risk for behavior problems. *Biological psychology*, 74(2), 144–153. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.09.005 [PubMed: 17055141]
- Chiatovich T, & Stipek D. (2016). Instructional Approaches in Kindergarten: What Works for Whom? *The Elementary School Journal*, 117(1), 1–29.
- Cicchetti D, & Gunnar MR (2008). Integrating biological measures into the design and evaluation of preventive interventions. *Development and Psychopathology*, 20(3), 737–743. doi: 10.1017/S0954579408000357 [PubMed: 18606029]
- Colder CR, Lengua LJ, Fite PJ, Mott JA, & Bush NR (2006). Temperament in context: Infant temperament moderates the relationship between perceived neighborhood quality and behavior problems. *Journal of applied developmental psychology*, 27(5), 456–467. doi: 10.1016/j.appdev.2006.06.004 [PubMed: 25530650]
- Cornelius-White J. (2007). Learner-centered teacher-student relationships are effective: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 113–143. doi: 10.3102/003465430298563
- Costello EJ, Mustillo S, Erkanli A, Keeler G, & Angold A. (2003). Prevalence and development of psychiatric disorders in childhood and adolescence. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 60(8), 837–844. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.60.8.837 [PubMed: 12912767]
- Crosnoe R, Morrison F, Burchinal M, Pianta R, Keating D, Friedman SL, & Clarke-Stewart KA (2010). Instruction, teacher–student relations, and math achievement trajectories in elementary school. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 102(2), 407. doi: 10.1037/a0017762. [PubMed: 20657743]
- Daniels DH & Shumow L. (2003). Child development and classroom teaching: A review of the literature and implications for educating teachers. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 23(5), 495–526. doi: 10.1016/S0193-3973(02)00139-9
- Davoudzadeh P, McTernan ML, & Grimm KJ (2015). Early school readiness predictors of grade retention from kindergarten through eighth grade: A multilevel discrete-time survival analysis approach. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 32, 183–192. doi:10.1016/j.ecresq.2015.04.005
- Degnan KA, Calkins SD, Keane SP, & Hill-Soderland AL (2008). Profiles of disruptive behavior across early childhood: Contributions of frustration reactivity, physiological regulation, and maternal behavior. *Child Development*, 79(5), 1357–1376. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2008.01193.x [PubMed: 18826530]
- Driscoll KC, & Pianta RC (2010). Banking time in head start: Early efficacy of an intervention designed to promote supportive teacher–child relationships. *Early Education and Development*, 21(1), 38–64. doi: 10.1080/10409280802657449
- Ellis BJ, & Boyce WT (2008). Biological sensitivity to context. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 17(3), 183–187. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8721.2008.00571.x
- Ellis BJ, Boyce WT, Belsky J, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, & van Ijzendoorn MH (2011). Differential susceptibility to the environment: an evolutionary-neurodevelopmental theory. *Development and Psychopathology*, 23(1), 7–28. doi:10.1017/S0954579410000611 [PubMed: 21262036]
- Ellis BJ, Essex MJ, & Boyce WT (2005). Biological sensitivity to context II: Empirical explorations of an evolutionary-developmental theory. *Development and Psychopathology*, 17(2), 303–328. doi: 10.1017/S0954579405050157 [PubMed: 16761547]
- El-Sheikh M, Kouros CD, Erath S, Cummings EM, Keller P, & Staton L. (2009). Marital conflict and children’s externalizing behavior: Pathways involving interactions between parasympathetic and sympathetic nervous system activity. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 74(1), vii. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-5834.2009.00501.x]
- Essex MJ, Armstrong JM, Burk LR, Goldsmith HH, & Boyce WT (2011). Biological sensitivity to context moderates the effects of the early teacher–child relationship on the development of mental health by adolescence. *Development and Psychopathology*, 23(1), 149–161. doi:10.1017/S0954579410000702 [PubMed: 21262045]
- Essex MJ, Boyce WT, Goldstein LH, Armstrong JM, Kraemer HC, Kupfer DJ, & The MacArthur Assessment Battery Working Group. (2002). The confluence of mental, physical, social, and academic difficulties in middle childhood. II: Developing the MacArthur Health and Behavior

- Questionnaire. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 41(5), 588–603. doi: 10.1097/00004583-200205000-00017. [PubMed: 12014792]
- Evans IM, Harvey ST, Buckley L, & Yan E. (2009). Differentiating classroom climate concepts: Academic, management, and emotional environments. *New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online*, 4(2), 131–146. doi: 10.1080/1177083X.2009.9522449
- Fagan SE, Zhang W, & Gao Y. (2017). Social adversity and antisocial behavior: mediating effects of autonomic nervous system activity. *Journal of abnormal child psychology*, 45(8), 1553–1564. doi: 10.1007/s10802-017-0262-0 [PubMed: 28070755]
- Fox NA, & Calkins SD (2003). The development of self-control of emotion: Intrinsic and extrinsic influences. *Motivation and Emotion*, 27(1), 7–26.
- Hamre BK, & Pianta RC (2001). Early teacher-child relationships and the trajectory of children's school outcomes through eighth grade. *Child Development*, 72(2), 625–638. doi: 10.1111/1467-8624.00301 [PubMed: 11333089]
- Hamre KH, & Pianta RC (2005). Can instructional and emotional support in the first-grade classroom make a difference for children at risk of school failure? *Child Development*, 76(5), 949–967. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2005.00889.x [PubMed: 16149994]
- Hartman S, & Belsky J. (2016). An evolutionary perspective on family studies: Differential susceptibility to environmental influences. *Family Process*, 55(4), 700–712. doi: 10.1111/famp.12161 [PubMed: 26133233]
- Hayano J, Yasuma F, Okada A, Mukai S. & Fujinami T. (1996). Respiratory sinus arrhythmia: A phenomenon improving pulmonary gas exchange and circulatory efficiency. *Circulation*, 94, 842–847. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.94.4.842 [PubMed: 8772709]
- Jennings PA, Greenberg MT 2009 The prosocial classroom: teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. *Review of Educational Research* 79: 491–525. doi: 10.3102/0034654308325693
- Kikas E, Peets K, & Hodges EV (2014). Collective student characteristics alter the effects of teaching practices on academic outcomes. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 35(4), 273–283. doi: 10.1016/j.appdev.2014.04.004
- Ko SJ, Ford JD, Kassam-Adams N, Berkowitz SJ, Wilson C, Wong M, Brymer MJ, & Layne CM (2008). Creating trauma-informed systems: Child welfare, education, first responders, health care, juvenile justice. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 39(4), 396–404. doi: 10.1037/0735-7028.39.4.396
- Kraemer HC, Measelle JR, Ablow JC, Essex MJ, Boyce WT, & Kupfer DJ (2003). A new approach to integrating data from multiple informants in psychiatric assessment and research: Mixing and matching contexts and perspectives. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 160(9), 1566–1577. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.160.9.1566 [PubMed: 12944328]
- Kuhn MA, Ahles JJ, Aldrich JT, Wielgus MD, & Mezulis AH (2018). Physiological self-regulation buffers the relationship between impulsivity and externalizing behaviors among nonclinical adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 47(4), 829–841. doi: 10.1007/s10964-017-0689-1 [PubMed: 28500423]
- La Paro KM, Rimm-Kaufman SE, & Pianta RC (2006). Kindergarten to 1st grade: Classroom characteristics and the stability and change of children's classroom experiences. *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, 21(2), 189–202. doi:10.1080/02568540609594588
- Lerikkanen M-K, Kiuru N, Pakarinen E, Poikkeus A-M, Rasku-Puttonen H, Siekkinen M, & Nurmi J-E (2016). Child-centered versus teacher-directed teaching practices: Associations with the development of academic skills in the first grade at school. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 36, 145–156. doi: 10.1016/j.ecresq.2015.12.023
- Mashburn AJ, Pianta RC, Hamre BK, Downer JT, Barbarin OA, Bryant D, Burchinal M, Early DM, & Howes C. (2008). Measures of classroom quality in prekindergarten and children's development of academic, language, and social skills. *Child Development*, 79(3), 732–749. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2008.01154.x [PubMed: 18489424]
- Masten AS, & Cicchetti D. (2010). Developmental cascades. *Development & Psychopathology*, 22(3), 491–495. doi:10.1017/S0954579410000222 [PubMed: 20576173]

- Matsumura LC, Slater SC, & Crosson A. (2008). Classroom climate, rigorous instruction and curriculum, and students' interactions in urban middle schools. *The Elementary School Journal*, 108(4), 293–312. doi:10.1086/528973
- McCombs B. (2010). Learner-centered practices In Meece JL & Eccles JS (Eds.), *Handbook of research on schools, schooling, and human development* (pp. 111–127). New York, NY: Taylor & Francis.
- McIntyre LL, Eckert TL, Arbolino LA, Reed FDD, & Fiese BH (2014). The transition to kindergarten for typically developing children: A survey of school psychologists' involvement. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 42(3), 203–210. doi: 10.1007/s10643-013-0593-6.
- Myers SS, & Pianta RC (2008). Developmental commentary: individual and contextual influences on student-teacher relationships and children's early problem behaviors. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology* 37(3), 600–608. doi:10.1080/15374410802148160 [PubMed: 18645750]
- Newland RP, & Crnic KA (2017). Developmental Risk and Goodness of Fit in the Mother–Child Relationship: Links to Parenting Stress and Children's Behaviour Problems. *Infant and child development*, 26(2), e1980. doi: 10.1002/icd.1980
- Nie Y, & Lau S. (2010). Differential relations of constructivist and didactic instruction to students' cognition, motivation, and achievement. *Learning and Instruction*, 20(5), 411–423. doi: 10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.04.002
- Obradovi J, Bush NR, Stamperdahl J, Adler NE, & Boyce WT (2010). Biological sensitivity to context: The interactive effects of stress reactivity and family adversity on socioemotional behavior and school readiness. *Child Development*, 81(1), 270–289. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01394.x [PubMed: 20331667]
- Pekrun R. (2006). The control-value theory of achievement emotions: assumptions, corollaries, and implications for educational research and practice. *Educational Psychology Review* 18: 315–341. doi: 10.1007/s10648-006-9029-9
- Pianta RC (2016). Classroom processes and teacher-student interaction. Integrations with a developmental psychology perspective In Cicchetti D (Ed.), *Developmental psychopathology* (pp. 770–814). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Pianta R. (2003). *Standardized classroom observations from pre-K to third grade: A mechanism for improving quality classroom experiences during the P-3 years*. Charlottesville, Virginia: Curry School of Education, University of Virginia.
- Pianta RC, La Paro KM, Payne C, Cox MJ, & Bradley R. (2002). The relation of kindergarten classroom environment to teacher, family, and school characteristics and child outcomes. *The Elementary School Journal*, 102(3), 225–238. doi: 10.1086/499701
- Pianta RC, Steinberg MS, & Rollins KB (1995). The first two years of school: Teacher-child relationships and deflections in children's classroom adjustment. *Development and Psychopathology*, 7, 295–312. doi: 10.1017/S0954579400006519
- Porges SW (2007). The polyvagal perspective. *Biological Psychiatry*, 74(2), 116–143. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.06.009
- Porges SW (1995). Orienting in a defensive world: Mammalian modifications of our evolutionary heritage: A polyvagal theory. *Psychophysiology*, 32(4), 301–318. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8986.1995.tb01213.x [PubMed: 7652107]
- Porges SW, Doussard-Roosevelt JA, Portales AL, Greenspan SI (1996). Infant regulation vagal “break” predicts child behavior problems: A psychobiological model of social behavior. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 29(8), 697–712. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1098-2302(199612)29:8<697::AID-DEV5>3.0.CO;2-O [PubMed: 8958482]
- Preacher KJ, Curran PJ, & Bauer DJ (2006). Computational tools for probing interactions in multiple linear regression, multilevel modeling, and latent curve analysis. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 31(4), 437–448. doi: 10.3102/10769986031004437
- Raine A. (2002). The role of prefrontal deficits, low autonomic arousal, and early health factors in the development of antisocial and aggressive behavior in children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 43(4), 417–434. doi: 10.1111/1469-7610.00034 [PubMed: 12030589]

- Rimm-Kaufman SE, Pianta RC, & Cox MJ (2000). Teachers' judgments of problems in the transition to kindergarten. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 15(2), 147–166. doi: 10.1016/S0885-2006(00)00049-1
- Roisman GI, Newman DA, Fraley RC, Haltigan JD, Groh AM, & Haydon KC (2012). Distinguishing differential susceptibility from diathesis-stress: Recommendations for evaluating interaction effects. *Development and Psychopathology*, 24, 389–309. doi: 10.1017/S0954579412000065. [PubMed: 22559121]
- Roubinov DS, Boyce WT, & Bush NR (2018). Informant-specific reports of peer and teacher relationships buffer the effects of harsh parenting on children's oppositional defiant disorder during kindergarten. *Development and Psychopathology*. doi: 10.1017/S0954579418001499.
- Rucinski CL, Brown JL, & Downer JT (in press). Teacher-child relationships, classroom climate, and children's social-emotional and academic development. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. doi:10.1037/edu0000240
- Rudolph CD, Rudolph AM, Hostetter MK, Lister GL, & Siegel NJ (2003). *Rudolph's Pediatrics*. 21 New York, NY: McGraw Hill Medical.
- Rudolph KD, Troop-Gordon W, & Granger DA (2011). Individual differences in biological stress responses moderate the contribution of early peer victimization to subsequent depressive symptoms. *Psychopharmacology*, 214(1), 209–219. doi: 10.1007/s00213-010-1879-7 [PubMed: 20505926]
- Ryan RM, & Deci EL (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68 [PubMed: 11392867]
- Seung Lam M, & Pollard A. (2007). A conceptual framework for understanding children as agents in the transition from home to kindergarten. *Early Years*, 26(2), 123–141. doi:
- Sink C, Edwards C, & Weir S. (2007). Helping children transition from kindergarten to first grade. *Professional School Counseling*, 10(3), 233–237. doi: 10.1080/0957514060075990610.5330/prsc.10.3.9111g7633859n2w1
- Skinner EA, Zimmer-Gembeck, & Connell MJ. (1998). Individual differences and the development of perceived control. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 254(2–3), I–231. doi:10.2307/1166220.
- Skowron EA, Cipriano-Essel E, Gatzke-Kopp LM, Teti DM, & Ammerman RT (2014). Early adversity, RSA, and inhibitory control: Evidence of children's neurobiology sensitivity to social context. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 56(5), 964–978. doi: 10.1002/dev.21175 [PubMed: 24142832]
- Stipek D, Feiler R, Daniels D, & Milburn S. (1995). Effects of different instructional approaches on young children's achievement and motivation. *Child Development*, 66(1), 209–223. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.1995.tb00866.x
- Stipek D, & Byler P. (2004). The early childhood classroom observation measure. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 19(3), 375–397. doi: 10.1016/j.ecresq.2004.07.007
- Stipek DJ, Feiler R, Byler P, Ryan R, Milburn S, & Salmon JM (1998). Good beginnings: What difference does the program make in preparing young children for school? *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 19(1), 41–66. doi: 10.1016/S0193-3973(99)80027-6
- Tang X, Pakarinen E, Lerkkanen M-K, Kikas E, Muotka J, & Nurmi J-E (2017). Validating the early childhood classroom observation measure in first and third grade classrooms. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 61(3), 275–294. doi:10.1080/00313831.2015.1120237
- Thapa A, Cohen J, Higgins-D'Alessandro A, & Guffey S. (2012). School Climate Research Summary: August 2012. (School Climate Brief Number 3). National School Climate Center Retrieved from: <http://www.schoolclimate.org/climate/documents/policy/sc-brief-v3.pdf>
- Thomas DE, Bierman KL, Powers CJ, & Conduct Problems Prevention Research Group. (2011). The influence of classroom aggression and classroom climate on aggressive-disruptive behavior. *Child Development*, 82(3), 751–757. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2011.01586.x [PubMed: 21434887]
- Thompson RA, & Nelson CA (2001). Developmental science and the media: Early brain development. *American Psychologist*, 56(1), 5–15. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.56.1.5 [PubMed: 11242988]

- Tu KM, Erath SA, & El-Sheikh M. (2017). Parent management of peers an autonomic nervous system reactivity in predicting adolescent peer relationships. *Developmental Psychology*, 53(3), 540–551. doi: 10.1037/dev0000248 [PubMed: 27854467]
- Werner-Seidler A, Perry Y, Calear AL, Newby JM, & Christensen H. (2017). School-based depression and anxiety prevention programs for young people: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 51, 30–47. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2016.10.005 [PubMed: 27821267]
- Wetter EK, & El-Sheikh M. (2011). Trajectories of children’s internalizing symptoms: The role of maternal internalizing symptoms, respiratory sinus arrhythmia, and child sex. *The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 53(2), 1687–177. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2011.02470.x
- Wilson HK, Pianta RC, & Stuhlman M. (2007). Typical classroom experiences in first grade: The role of classroom climate and functional risk in the development of social competencies. *The Elementary School Journal*, 108(2), 81–96. doi: 10.1086/525548
- Woolfolk Hoy A and Weinstein CS (2006). Student and teacher perspectives on classroom management In Evertson CM & Weinstein CS (Eds), *Handbook of classroom management: Research, practice, and contemporary issues* (pp. 181–219). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Tzuo PW (2007). The tension between teacher control and children’s freedom in a child-centered classroom: Resolving the practical dilemma through a closer look at the related theories. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 35(1), 33–39.
- Zinsner KM, Zulauf CA, Das VN, & Silver HC (in press). Utilizing social-emotional learning supports to address teacher stress and preschool expulsion. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*. doi:10.1016/j.appdev.2017.11.006
- Zisner AR, & Beauchaine TP (2016). Psychophysiological methods and developmental psychopathology In Cicchetti D (Ed.), *Developmental psychopathology* (pp. 832–884). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc




Figure 1a.

Interaction between classroom social climate and children's RSA reactivity in the prediction of externalizing symptoms at the end of the kindergarten year.

Note. Classroom social climate and children's RSA reactivity were both modeled as continuous measures in all analyses. To demonstrate the interactive association, this figure shows example classroom social climate results plotted at one standard deviation below the mean (a value of 1.13, indicating approximately equal proportion of child-centered and teacher-directed practices) and one standard deviation above the mean (a value of 3.88, indicating greater use of child-centered relative to teacher directed practices).




Figure 1b.

Regions of significance plot for the interaction between classroom social climate and children's RSA reactivity on externalizing symptoms.




Figure 2a. Interaction between classroom management ratio and children’s RSA reactivity in the prediction of children’s externalizing symptoms at the end of the kindergarten year. Note. Classroom management and children’s RSA reactivity were both modeled as continuous measures in all analyses. To demonstrate the interactive association, this figure shows example classroom management results plotted at one standard deviation below the mean (a value of 0.91, indicating approximately equal proportion of child-centered and teacher-directed practices) and one standard deviation above the mean (a value of 3.11, indicating greater use of child-centered relative to teacher directed practices).




Figure 2b. Regions of significance plot for the interaction between classroom management and children's RSA reactivity on externalizing symptoms.

Table 1

Zero order correlations of key study variables

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. Child sex	--						
2. Family socioeconomic status	.11*	--					
3. Child race/ethnicity	.02	-.47**	--				
4. Classroom management	.03	.37**	-.31**	--			
5. Classroom social climate	.06	.49**	-.35**	.84**	--		
6. RSA reactivity	.01	-.06	-.01	.02	-.02	--	
7. Fall externalizing symptoms	-.22**	-.20**	.01	-.06	-.11*	.08	--
8. Spring externalizing symptoms	-.27**	-.23**	.10	-.12*	-.12**	.01	.72**

Note. Sex coded as 0 = Male, 1 = Female; Ethnicity coded as 0 = Caucasian, 1 = Minority

* $p < .05$

** $p < .01$

† $p < .10$

Table 2

Regression coefficients in models of children's externalizing symptoms as a function of classroom environment, children's RSA reactivity, and their interaction

	Coefficient	SE	<i>p</i>
Classroom social climate			
Sex	-.210	.076	.006
Race/ethnicity	.039	.086	.649
Socioeconomic status	-.020	.053	.705
Fall externalizing symptoms	.721	.041	<.001
Classroom social climate ratio	-.071	.037	.065
RSA reactivity	-.111	.109	.312
Classroom social climate ratio x RSA reactivity	.222	.082	.007
Classroom management			
Sex	-.206	.075	.006
Race/ethnicity	.035	.087	.689
Socioeconomic status	-.026	.055	.633
Fall externalizing symptoms	.720	.040	<.001
Classroom management ratio	.011	.046	.812
RSA reactivity	-.064	.110	.559
Classroom management ratio x RSA reactivity	.244	.111	.029

Note. Ratio = Child-centered practices/teacher-directed practices; Sex coded as 0 = Male, 1 = Female; Ethnicity coded as 0 = Caucasian, 1 = Minority