UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

S201: FINAL 7-YEAR FOLLOW UP AND RETREATMENT SUBSTUDY ANALYSIS OF MURANO: VENETOCLAX-RITUXIMAB (VENR)-TREATED PATIENTS WITH RELAPSED/REFRACTORY CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA (R/R CLL)

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4zj9x81s

Journal

HemaSphere, 7(Suppl)

ISSN

2572-9241

Authors

Kater, Arnon Harrup, Rosemary Kipps, Thomas J et al.

Publication Date

2023-08-08

DOI

10.1097/01.hs9.0000967716.49281.3f

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

HemaSphere



S201 FINAL 7-YEAR FOLLOW UP AND RETREATMENT SUBSTUDY ANALYSIS OF MURANO: VENETOCLAX-RITUXIMAB (VENR)-TREATED PATIENTS WITH RELAPSED/REFRACTORY CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA (R/R CLL)

Topic: MM and CLL final analyses/long term follow up of clinical trials

Arnon Kater*¹, Rosemary Harrup², Thomas J Kipps³, Barbara Eichhorst⁴, Carolyn J Owen⁵, Sarit Assouline⁶, Nicole Lamanna⁷, Tadeusz Robak⁸, Javier De La Serna⁹, Ulrich Jaeger¹⁰, Guillaume Cartron¹¹, Marco Montillo¹², Clemens Mellink¹, Brenda Chyla¹³, Maria Thadani-Mulero¹⁴, Marcus Lefebure¹⁴, Yanwen Jiang¹⁵, Rosemary Millen¹⁴, Michelle Boyer¹⁴, John F Seymour¹⁶

¹Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam, Netherlands; ²Royal Hobart Hospital, University Of Tasmania, Tasmania, Australia; ³Ucsd Moores Cancer Center, San Diego, United States; ⁴University Of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; ⁵University Of Calgary, Calgary, Canada; ⁶Segal Cancer Center, Lady Davis Institute, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Canada; ⁷Columbia University Medical Center, New York, United States; ⁸Medical University Of Lodz, Lodz, Poland; ⁹Hospital Universitario 12 De Octubre, Madrid, Spain; ¹⁰Medical University Of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ¹¹Centre Hospitalier Universitaire De Montpellier, Montpellier, France; ¹²Niguarda Cancer Center, Asst Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milano, Italy; ¹³Abbvie, North Chicago, United States; ¹⁴Roche Products Ltd., Welwyn Garden City, United Kingdom; ¹⁵Genentech Inc., South San Francisco, United States; ¹⁶Royal Melbourne Hospital, Peter Maccallum Cancer Centre And University Of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

Background:

The Phase 3 MURANO trial (NCT02005471) reported superior progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) with fixed-duration VenR vs bendamustine (B)R in patients (pts) with R/R CLL. At the 5-year update, the median (m)PFS was 53.6 vs 17.0 months (*P*<0.0001), and 5-year OS rates were 82.1% vs 62.2% (*P*<0.0001) in pts treated with VenR vs BR, respectively (Seymour et al. Blood 2022).

Aims:

We report the final analyses of MURANO, with 7 years median follow-up (FU): specifically, updated PFS and OS, with minimal residual disease (MRD) evaluation, in pts treated in the main study, as well as in VenR-retreated pts in the substudy.

Methods:

Pts with R/R CLL were randomized to VenR (Ven 400mg daily for 2 years + monthly R for the first 6 months) or BR (6 months). In the substudy (2018 onwards), pts with progressive disease (PD) received VenR (same schedule as main study) as either re-treatment or as crossover from BR. PFS data are by investigator assessment. Peripheral blood MRD was measured centrally by allele-specific oligonucleotide-PCR and/or flow cytometry, with a <10⁻⁴ threshold for undetectable (u)MRD.

Results:

Baseline characteristics are presented in the Table. At final data cutoff (3 August 2022), VenR-treated pts (n=194) had a mPFS (95% confidence interval [CI]) of 54.7 months (52.3, 59.9) vs 17.0 months (15.5, 21.7) for BR-treated pts (n=195; hazard ratio [HR] 0.25). Seven-year PFS rates (95% CI) were 23.0% (16.1, 29.9) with VenR, while no pts treated with BR remained progression-free at this time point; 7-year OS rates (95% CI) were 69.6% (62.8, 76.5) with VenR and 51.0% (43.3, 58.7) with BR (HR 0.53). Median time to next treatment with VenR was 63.0 months vs 24.0 months with BR (HR 0.30); 37.1% of VenR-treated pts have not received subsequent anti-CLL treatment.

Copyright Information: (Online) ISSN: 2572-9241

© 2023 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the European Hematology Association. This is an open access Abstract Book distributed under the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) which allows third parties to download the articles and share them with others as long as they credit the author and the Abstract Book, but they cannot change the content in any way or use them commercially.

Abstract Book Citations: Authors, Title, HemaSphere, 2023;7(S3):pages. The individual abstract DOIs can be found at https://journals.lww.com/hemasphere/pages/default.aspx.

Disclaimer: Articles published in the journal HemaSphere exclusively reflect the opinions of the authors. The authors are responsible for all content in their abstracts including accuracy of the facts, statements, citing resources, etc.

HemaSphere



Among VenR-treated pts who had uMRD at end of treatment (EOT) without PD (n=83/118; 70.3%), mPFS (95% CI) from EOT was 52.5 months (44.5, 61.5) vs 18.0 months (8.5, 29.3; p<0.0001) in pts who were MRD+ at EOT (n=35; 29.7%). Fourteen (16.9%) pts had no PD nor confirmed MRD conversion at the 7-year update; in the 63 (75.9%) pts who had MRD conversion, median time to conversion (95% CI) was 19.4 months (8.7, 28.0). Among 63 pts who converted, 39 subsequently had PD or died; median time from conversion to PD (95% CI) was 28.3 months (23.2, 35.0).

In the substudy (n=34), 25 pts received VenR re-treatment (Table), 92.0% of whom had at least one of the following high-risk features: *IGHV*-unmutated disease, genomic complexity, or del(17p) and/or *TP53* mutations (Wu et al. EHA 2021); despite this, 14/25 (56.0%) achieved uMRD at EOT in the main study. Best overall response rate (ORR) to re-treatment was 72.0% and mPFS (95% CI) was 23.3 months (15.6, 24.3). Median (range) time between the last Ven dose in the main study and Ven ramp-up in the substudy was 2.3 (1.2–3.1) years. Eight (32.0%) pts achieved uMRD at the re-treatment end of combination treatment; however, no pts retained their uMRD status at the re-treatment EOT.

No new safety findings were observed since the 5-year data cut.

Summary/Conclusion:

In this final long-term analysis of the MURANO trial, PFS and OS benefits for VenR over BR were sustained. Furthermore, achievement of uMRD was associated with prolonged PFS. In VenR-treated pts in the substudy, ORR was high and uMRD was still attainable in this high-risk population. Overall, these data continue to support the use of fixed-duration VenR in R/R CLL, and suggest that re-treatment with VenR is a viable option for pre-treated pts.

Copyright Information: (Online) ISSN: 2572-9241

© 2023 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the European Hematology Association. This is an open access Abstract Book distributed under the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) which allows third parties to download the articles and share them with others as long as they credit the author and the Abstract Book, but they cannot change the content in any way or use them commercially.

Abstract Book Citations: Authors, Title, HemaSphere, 2023;7(S3):pages. The individual abstract DOIs can be found at https://journals.lww.com/hemasphere/pages/default.aspx.

Disclaimer: Articles published in the journal HemaSphere exclusively reflect the opinions of the authors. The authors are responsible for all content in their abstracts including accuracy of the facts, statements, citing resources, etc.





Table. Baseline characteristics and efficacy of pts in the main study and the substudy

	Main study		Substudy
	Pts treated	Pts treated	Pts retreated
	with VenR	with BR	with VenR
	(n=194)	(n=195)	(n=25)
Baseline characteristics			
Mean age, years (SD)	63.9 (10.5)	64.4 (9.6)	65.8 (8.3)
Number of prior cancer therapy, n (%)			
1	111 (57.2)	117 (60.0)	0 (0.0)
2	58 (29.9)	43 (22.1)	20 (80.0)
≥3	25 (12.9)	35 (17.9)	5 (20.0)
del(17p) and/or TP53 mutation (aCGH), n (%)			
mutated	53 (27.3)	55 (28.2)	14 (56.0)
unmutated	104 (53.6)	98 (50.3)	9 (36.0)
unknown	37 (19.1)	42 (21.5)	2 (8.0)
GC, n (%)	n=48	n=46	n=20
3–4	34 (70.8)	29 (63.0)	3 (15.0)
≥5	14 (29.2)	17 (37.0)	8 (40.0)
IGHV, n (%)	n=180	n=180	n=23
mutated	53 (29.4)	51 (28.3)	2 (8.7)
unmutated	123 (68.3)	123 (68.3)	21 (91.3)
unknown	4 (2.2)	6 (3.3)	0 (0.0)
Efficacy results			
Median follow-up, months	85.7	85.7	33.4
Best ORR, %	93.3	67.7	72.0
uMRD at EOCT of main study, n (%)	121 (62.4)	26 (13.3)	16 (64.0)
uMRD at EOCT of substudy, n (%)	N/A	N/A	8 (32.0)
uMRD at EOT of main study, n (%)	83 (70.3)*	N/A	14 (56.0)
uMRD at EOT of substudy, n (%)	N/A	N/A	0 (0.0)
Median PFS, months (95% CI)	54.7	17.0	23.3
	(52.3, 59.9)	(15.5, 21.7)	(15.6, 24.3)
3-year OS rate, % (95% CI)	88.4	78.9	53.1
	(83.8, 93.0)	(72.8, 84.9)	(25.1, 81.0)

^{*} Pts who completed 2 years of ven without PD (n=118)

aCGH, array comparative genomic hybridization; BR, bendamustine-rituximab; CI, confidence interval; del(17p), deletion in chromosome 17p; EOCT, end of combination treatment; EOT, end of treatment; GC, genomic complexity; *IGHV*, immunoglobulin heavy chain gene; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression free survival; pts, patients; SD, standard deviation; *TP53*, tumor protein P53; uMRD, undetectable minimal residual disease; Ven(R), venetoclax-(rituximab)

Copyright Information: (Online) ISSN: 2572-9241

© 2023 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the European Hematology Association. This is an open access Abstract Book distributed under the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) which allows third parties to download the articles and share them with others as long as they credit the author and the Abstract Book, but they cannot change the content in any way or use them commercially.

Abstract Book Citations: Authors, Title, HemaSphere, 2023;7(S3):pages. The individual abstract DOIs can be found at https://journals.lww.com/hemasphere/pages/default.aspx.

Disclaimer: Articles published in the journal HemaSphere exclusively reflect the opinions of the authors. The authors are responsible for all content in their abstracts including accuracy of the facts, statements, citing resources, etc.