

# UCSF

## UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

### Title

Addressing Palliative Care Clinician Burnout in Organizations: A Workforce Necessity, an Ethical Imperative

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5180w45n>

### Journal

Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 53(6)

### ISSN

0885-3924

### Authors

Harrison, Krista L  
Dzeng, Elizabeth  
Ritchie, Christine S  
[et al.](#)

### Publication Date

2017-06-01

### DOI

10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.01.007

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

*J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2017 June ; 53(6): 1091–1096. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.01.007.

## Addressing palliative care clinician burnout in organizations: a workforce necessity, an ethical imperative

Krista L. Harrison, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Elizabeth Dzung, MD, PhD, MPH<sup>3</sup>, Christine S. Ritchie, MD, MSPH<sup>1</sup>, Tait D. Shanafelt, MD<sup>4</sup>, Arif H. Kamal, MD, MHS<sup>5</sup>, Janet H. Bull, MD<sup>6</sup>, Jon C. Tilburt, MD, MPH<sup>7</sup>, and Keith M. Swetz, MD, MA<sup>8,9</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Geriatrics, School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA

<sup>2</sup> San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center, San Francisco, CA

<sup>3</sup> Division of Hospital Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA

<sup>4</sup> Hematology, School of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

<sup>5</sup> Duke Cancer Institute, Duke University, Durham, NC

<sup>6</sup> Four Seasons Compassion for Life, Flat Rock NC

<sup>7</sup> General Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

<sup>8</sup> University of Alabama – Birmingham, Birmingham, AL

<sup>9</sup> Birmingham Veterans Affairs Medical Center Birmingham, AL

### Abstract

Clinician burnout reduces the capacity for providers and health systems to deliver timely, high quality, patient-centered care and increases the risk that clinicians will leave practice. This is especially problematic in hospice and palliative care: patients are often frail, elderly, vulnerable and complex; access to care is often outstripped by need; and demand for clinical experts will increase as palliative care further integrates into usual care. Efforts to mitigate and prevent burnout currently focus on individual clinicians. However, analysis of the problem of burnout should be expanded to include both individual- and systems-level factors as well as solutions; comprehensive interventions must address both. As a society, we hold organizations responsible for acting ethically, especially when it relates to deployment and protection of valuable and constrained resources. We should similarly hold organizations responsible for being ethical stewards of the resource of highly trained and talented clinicians through comprehensive programs to address burnout.

### Keywords

burnout; moral distress; ethics; hospice; palliative care

---

**Corresponding author contact information:** Krista Lyn Harrison, PhD, San Francisco VA Medical Center, 4150 Clement St. VA181G, San Francisco, CA 94121, krista.harrison@ucsf.edu, 415-221-4810 x24359.

**Disclosures:** Dr. Swetz is an employee of the US Federal Government working for the Department of Veterans Affairs.

## Introduction

Burnout causes harm to hospice and palliative care (HPC) providers, patients, and organizations, in part by compounding a growing workforce shortage.(1) Recent estimates suggest 6,000-18,000 palliative care physicians were needed to staff existing hospital-based and hospice programs in the United States.(2) The number of hospice (3), hospital-based and community-based palliative care programs (4) have grown rapidly. Yet research to characterize burnout in American HPC clinicians finds that nearly one-quarter are considering leaving the field within 5 years (5) with higher rates of burnout occurring among those who are younger than 50.(6) Efforts to expand the HPM workforce and improve access to care – as recommended by the U.S. Institute of Medicine (IOM) report *Dying in America* (7) – will be seriously hampered unless organizations and policy makers address burnout.

## Harms and Causes of Burnout

Burnout is characterized by emotional exhaustion, depersonalization and cynicism, and negative evaluation of competence or personal accomplishment.(8) Clinician burnout causes harm to providers, patients, and health care systems. Its consequences for clinicians include anxiety, depression, substance abuse, and suicide.(9–12) Evidence also suggests that clinician burnout results in measurable differences in quality of care.(13) Patient and family care is adversely affected by burnout-related reductions in professionalism and quality of care.(13–17) Health care organizations suffering from burnout are noted to have higher rates of medical errors (18,19) and declines in productivity (20) such as reductions in work hours and pursuit of early retirement.(21,22) High turnover also diverts resources allocated for patient care towards hiring new staff.

The existential and emotional challenges intrinsic to the care of the dying increase the vulnerability of HPC providers to burnout. A recent assessment of HPC clinicians suggests a higher incidence of burnout (62%)(6) relative to hospitalists (52.3%)(23) and oncologists (44.7%).(24) Predictors of burnout among HPC clinicians include working over 50 hours per week or working on weekends, less experience, and practicing in isolation from peers.(5,6) Other predictors of HPC burnout include lack of self-confidence in communication skills with patients and families, time pressures that hinder effective communication, communicating bad news, and addressing pain, suffering, dying, and death.(25)

## Structural factors and moral distress as components of burnout

Given the emotional intensity of working with dying patients, these challenges may not be unexpected individually. However, systemic causes of burnout can also prompt higher rates of feelings of loss of autonomy and practice control that compound the emotional drain.(9) For example, certain organization structures may require clinicians to work long hours in relative isolation. The rapid growth and consolidation of HPC organizations over the past 10 years in the United States have resulted in high levels of regulatory oversight. HPC clinicians may chafe at bureaucratic requirements and its erosion of professional autonomy, despite the benefits of consolidation. Yet systemic structures of growth and consolidation may contribute to feelings of powerlessness, system unfairness, and conflicts between

personal/professional and organizational values known to be associated with burnout.(26) Organizations that prioritize revenue and adherence to regulatory restrictions (as described in recent reports from the U.S. Office of the Inspector General(27,28) and the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission) drive distress.(29,30) Conflicts between professional and organizational values can arise in other settings too. Like the general tensions between bureaucratically-imposed responsibilities to manage overall population health while doing what is best for an individual patient, HPM clinicians face this with life and death regularly. (31) This is especially apparent in U.S. hospices with “open access” policies, where the majority of patients enroll in the Medicare Hospice Benefit (MHB) and its associated flat-rate per diem reimbursement, but may request resource-intensive services like chemotherapy, radiation, antibiotics, or inotropic therapy that far exceed the MHB per diem. (32,33) Clinicians are often torn between commitment to align patient-centered plans of care that promote optimal symptom management but may include resource-intensive services, and a commitment to their organization to help ensure aggregate expenditures for their patients do not exceed the Medicare or insurance reimbursements. These kinds of concerns will likely become more prevalent as more providers participate in shared risk contracts. These modern managed care payment models, such as accountable care organizations, require consideration of the *value* of the services rendered – the appropriateness and effectiveness of the treatment compared to the cost.

These organizationally-mediated ethical tensions may result in moral distress – an inability to act in accordance with one’s ethical beliefs due to institutional constraints.(34) Some have suggested that unrelieved moral distress might result in burnout, stress, and eventually deficiencies in ethical appraisal and discernment among HPC clinicians.(35) Moral distress is most commonly described among HPC clinicians encountering a host of common clinical dilemmas such as balancing a duty to minimize patient harm (nonmaleficence) while facilitating patient autonomy, honoring advance care preferences including decisions to resuscitate or not, or withholding or withdrawing of life-sustaining treatments.(36) The prevalence of moral distress among practitioners is worrisome when examined in light of system-based ethical practices as a whole. The fact that moral distress is prevalent among interdisciplinary clinicians (37) suggests unethical system mediators of these outcomes. At the very least, moral distress should be identified and addressed to aid clinicians in reconciling their feelings of providing unethical care. Ideally, these realities should prompt reflection on troublesome patterns, incentives, and barriers within organizational structures that together engender moral distress, burnout, and attendant declines in HPC workforce capacity.

Systemic factors leading to ethical tensions can be subtle and unintentional. Institutional cultures or policies might inadvertently influence how physicians communicate and offer choice to patients and families in ways that might be counter to HPC best practices. For example, institutional norms may promote therapeutic inertia that encourages a mindless “honoring” of autonomy without reflection or consideration of medical appropriateness.(38) This in turn might lead to offering therapeutic options which may not be in the patient’s best interest, inappropriately approaching life’s end and leading to moral distress.(39) Like burnout, researchers often treat moral distress as an individual-level problem; however,

examining organizational factors that serve both financial or regulatory ends may expose the collective conscience of clinicians within the organization.

## Interventions to address burnout

Despite the well-documented magnitude of the problem of burnout and the plausible role of organizational mediators, interventions to address or prevent HPC clinician burnout remain small, infrequent, and often focused on individual actions. For example, researchers recommend that clinicians at risk for burnout pursue art,(40,41) build strong professional relationships, focus on transcendental perspectives,(42) engage in exercise programs,(43) or improve personal resilience.(44,45) These interventions implicitly suggest that the individual clinician retains primary responsibility for preventing, identifying and mitigating burnout. While individual-level interventions are necessary, in light of the structural causes of burnout described in this commentary, they are neither sufficient nor fair distributions of the burden of responsibility.

If system-level issues contribute to burnout, then health care organizations must take a portion of responsibility to address HPC clinician burnout.(45) The culture of a health care organization impacts its ability to deliver high-quality, high-value care and effect positive patient outcomes; misalignment between mission, values, and everyday practices may indicate an ethics gap in need of transformation.(46) Organizations seeking to foster a positive and ethical culture as part of their pursuit of the Triple Aim – enhanced patient experience, improved population health, and reduced costs (47) – must attend to the alignment between mission and values, decisions, and practices in a manner that honors the agency and dignity of its clinicians. Recognizing the direct threat that burnout poses to Triple Aim Care, advocates have proposed that an equal emphasis be placed on systematically assessing and improving the professional lives of clinicians.(48)

Addressing burnout is a matter of organizational mission and ethics. Ethically responsible organizations will first systematically measure burnout alongside other metrics of quality of care.(49,50) While a number of initiatives are seeking to better measure HPC quality of care, (51–53) few quality measures focus on the well-being of the clinicians themselves. One effort to longitudinally measure burnout, satisfaction, and their impact found that each 1-point decrease in satisfaction or increase in emotional exhaustion was associated with a greater likelihood of reducing full time-equivalent effort (54). Adding a measure of clinician burnout to routine institutional quality measures could aid in the continuous evaluation of care quality by assessing the effectiveness of the processes in place and the capacity of the workforce delivering the care.

Next, high integrity organizations will implement programs to address burnout that do not inadvertently worsen outcomes. For example, despite the evidence that more hours per week devoted to direct patient care is associated with greater risk of burnout,(55) one study found a reduction in work hours actually increased physician burnout, which the authors hypothesized was a result of the intensity of working hours increasing to accomplish the same volume of work.(56) This is one example of why structural interventions are needed that address the mid-level causes of burnout.

In recent years, U.S. health systems have been increasingly rewarded by payers for the quality of care rather than the volume of care provided to patients. Additionally, the 2015 Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act (MACRA) and associated regulations unify and expand various outpatient practices to financially incentivize reporting of health care quality measures and achievement of baseline quality “thresholds”.(57) As a result, quality measures are being developed and implemented in hospice (52), palliative (53), and home-based medical care (58,59). In addition to the typical patient-oriented process and outcome measures, it may be increasingly important to organizations to measure burnout as a key factor impacting care quality and outcomes.

Organizations can also foster interventions focused on health system leaders, as evidence suggests that the quality of leadership at multiple levels in an organization impacts physician burnout.(60) Values-based leadership models (61–64) involve eliciting the core personal and professional values of clinicians (and other stakeholders) and developing organizational values in alignment with them. Leaders can also incorporate front-line clinician perspectives on organizational policies to review them for ethical concerns or conflicts, such as those perceived between reimbursement incentives and best interests of patients. If those conflicts cannot be resolved, leaders can help develop ways that clinicians can ethically respond when such tensions arise, such as the use of ethics committees with special expertise in organization-level concerns.(65) In addition, organizations should utilize fair processes for institutional policy-making that incorporate clinician perspectives to improve perceptions of procedural and distributive justice and therefore reduce exhaustion, absenteeism, and burnout.(66)

Health care organizations with an explicit commitment to fostering an ethical culture would be hypothesized to have lower rates of clinician burnout. Clinicians in organizations that develop such cultures are more likely to feel engaged, enabled, and energized about their work,(67,68) and willing to speak up to identify problems that should be addressed systematically throughout the organization. Clinicians who feel invested in the organization’s core mission are less at risk for burnout than clinicians who do not.(69) As such, organizations should ensure their mission and values align with those of hospice and palliative care, including equitable access, comfort and quality of life, teamwork and collaboration, excellence, stewardship, transparency, and integrity.(70) Organizations looking to implement a system-level intervention to improve ethical culture could begin with IntegratedEthics®, developed within the United States Veterans Affairs Health System.(71–73) This intervention aims to enable every level of a health care system to identify, address and improve ethical practices, including stewardship and perceptions of fairness. IntegratedEthics® includes tools and models to improve ethical culture as well as surveys to monitor outcomes.

## Conclusion

HPC clinicians have tremendous responsibility to care for vulnerable patients in need. As a society and a field, we must do more than simply advise clinicians to take individual steps to prevent their own burnout. HPC clinicians struggle to balance the good of the patient and the good of the organization, which is echoed by the tension between clinicians’ struggle to

balance self-care and self-sacrifice for patient care. While clinicians do bear responsibility to set limits and engage in protective activities, organizations also bear responsibility for developing structures and processes that facilitate clinicians long-term thriving and mitigate workforce shortages due to leaving the field. Routinely measuring and reporting clinician burnout along with other organizational quality metrics would help to monitor whether changes to the practice patterns mitigates or intensifies burnout and its concomitant impact on care quality. Fostering ethical organizational cultures may help address the root causes of burnout and moral distress. Taking a systems-level approach to burnout and improving the professional lives of clinicians will allow organizations to ensure access to high quality hospice and palliative care for patients and families.

## Acknowledgements

**Funding Sources:** This work was supported by the National Institute of Aging (Dr. Harrison, T32-AG000212), AHRQ (Dr. Kamal, K08 HS023681-01A1) and the Cambia Health Foundation (Dr. Kamal).

## References

1. Hughes MT, Smith TJ. The growth of palliative care in the United States. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2014; 35:459–75. [PubMed: 24641562]
2. Lupu D, American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine Workforce Task Force. Estimate of current hospice and palliative medicine physician workforce shortage. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. Dec; 2010 40(6):899–911. [PubMed: 21145468]
3. National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization. NHPCO's Facts and Figures: Hospice Care in America; 2014 edition [Internet]. 2014. Available from: [http://www.nhpc.org/sites/default/files/public/Statistics\\_Research/2014\\_Facts\\_Figures.pdf](http://www.nhpc.org/sites/default/files/public/Statistics_Research/2014_Facts_Figures.pdf)
4. Center to Advance Palliative Care. Growth of Palliative Care in U.S. Hospitals 2015 Snapshot (2000 – 2013) [Internet]. [cited 2015 May 4]. Available from: [https://www.capc.org/media/file\\_public/c5/af/c5afb02e-5e12-47f0-954a-ee23e55ea632/capc\\_growth\\_snapshot\\_2015.pdf](https://www.capc.org/media/file_public/c5/af/c5afb02e-5e12-47f0-954a-ee23e55ea632/capc_growth_snapshot_2015.pdf)
5. Kamal AH, Bull J, Wolf S, Samsa GP, Swetz K, Myers E, et al. Characterizing the Hospice and Palliative Care Workforce in the U.S.: Clinician Demographics and Professional Responsibilities. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. Nov 6.2015
6. Kamal AH, Bull JH, Wolf SP, Swetz KM, Shanafelt TD, Ast K, et al. Prevalence and Predictors of Burnout Among Hospice and Palliative Care Clinicians in the U.S. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. Nov 24.2015
7. Institute of Medicine (IOM). *Dying in America: Improving Quality and Honoring Individual Preferences Near the End of Life* [Internet]. The National Academies Press; Washington, DC: Sep. 2014 [cited 2015 Feb 13]. Available from: <http://resources.iom.edu/widgets/endoflife/quiz.html#.VN4Lq-bF-So>
8. Maslach C, Jackson SE. The Measurement of Experienced Burnout. *Journal of Occupational Behaviour*. 1981; 2:99–113.
9. Shanafelt TD, Sloan JA, Habermann TM. The well-being of physicians. *Am J Med*. Apr 15; 2003 114(6):513–9. [PubMed: 12727590]
10. Shanafelt TD, Balch CM, Dyrbye L, Bechamps G, Russell T, Satele D, et al. Special report: suicidal ideation among American surgeons. *Arch Surg*. Jan; 2011 146(1):54–62. [PubMed: 21242446]
11. Oreskovich MR, Kaups KL, Balch CM, Hanks JB, Satele D, Sloan J, et al. Prevalence of alcohol use disorders among American surgeons. *Arch Surg*. Feb; 2012 147(2):168–74. [PubMed: 22351913]
12. Center C, Davis M, Detre T, Ford DE, Hansbrough W, Hendin H, et al. Confronting depression and suicide in physicians: a consensus statement. *JAMA*. Jun 18; 2003 289(23):3161–6. [PubMed: 12813122]

13. West CP, Huschka MM, Novotny PJ, Sloan JA, Kolars JC, Habermann TM, et al. Association of perceived medical errors with resident distress and empathy: a prospective longitudinal study. *JAMA*. Sep 6; 2006 296(9):1071–8. [PubMed: 16954486]
14. Firth-Cozens J, Greenhalgh J. Doctors' perceptions of the links between stress and lowered clinical care. *Soc Sci Med*. Apr; 1997 44(7):1017–22. [PubMed: 9089922]
15. Shanafelt TD, Balch CM, Bechamps G, Russell T, Dyrbye L, Satele D, et al. Burnout and medical errors among American surgeons. *Ann Surg*. Jun; 2010 251(6):995–1000. [PubMed: 19934755]
16. West CP, Tan AD, Habermann TM, Sloan JA, Shanafelt TD. Association of resident fatigue and distress with perceived medical errors. *JAMA*. Sep 23; 2009 302(12):1294–300. [PubMed: 19773564]
17. Dyrbye LN, Massie FS, Eacker A, Harper W, Power D, Durning SJ, et al. Relationship between burnout and professional conduct and attitudes among US medical students. *JAMA*. Sep 15; 2010 304(11):1173–80. [PubMed: 20841530]
18. Christensen JF, Levinson W, Dunn PM. The heart of darkness: the impact of perceived mistakes on physicians. *J Gen Intern Med*. Aug; 1992 7(4):424–31. [PubMed: 1506949]
19. Waterman AD, Garbutt J, Hazel E, Dunagan WC, Levinson W, Fraser VJ, et al. The emotional impact of medical errors on practicing physicians in the United States and Canada. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf*. Aug; 2007 33(8):467–76. [PubMed: 17724943]
20. Dewa CS, Loong D, Bonato S, Thanh NX, Jacobs P. How does burnout affect physician productivity? A systematic literature review. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2014; 14:325. [PubMed: 25066375]
21. Shanafelt T, Sloan J, Satele D, Balch C. Why do surgeons consider leaving practice? *J Am Coll Surg*. Mar; 2011 212(3):421–2. [PubMed: 21356491]
22. Williams ES, Konrad TR, Scheckler WE, Pathman DE, Linzer M, McMurray JE, et al. Understanding physicians' intentions to withdraw from practice: the role of job satisfaction, job stress, mental and physical health. 2001. *Health Care Manage Rev*. Jun; 2010 35(2):105–15. [PubMed: 20234217]
23. Roberts DL, Shanafelt TD, Dyrbye LN, West CP. A national comparison of burnout and work-life balance among internal medicine hospitalists and outpatient general internists. *J Hosp Med*. Mar; 2014 9(3):176–81. [PubMed: 24435981]
24. Shanafelt TD, Gradishar WJ, Kosty M, Satele D, Chew H, Horn L, et al. Burnout and career satisfaction among US oncologists. *J Clin Oncol*. Mar 1; 2014 32(7):678–86. [PubMed: 24470006]
25. Pereira SM, Fonseca AM, Carvalho AS. Burnout in palliative care: a systematic review. *Nurs Ethics*. May; 2011 18(3):317–26. [PubMed: 21558108]
26. Maslach, C., Leiter, MP. *The Truth About Burnout: How Organizations Cause Personal Stress and What to Do About It*. 1. Jossey-Bass; San Francisco, Calif.: 2000. p. 200
27. US Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General. Medicare hospice: Use of general inpatient care. May. 2013 [Internet][cited 2016 Mar 7]. Available from: <http://oig.hhs.gov/oei/reports/oei-02-10-00490.asp>
28. US Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General. Medicare hospices have financial incentives to provide care in assisted living facilities. Jan. 2015 [Internet][cited 2016 Mar 7]. Available from: <http://oig.hhs.gov/oei/reports/oei-02-14-00070.asp>
29. Medicare Payment Advisory Commission. Reforming Medicare's hospice benefit; Report to the Congress: Medicare payment policy [Internet]. 2009. p. 345-76. Available from: [http://www.medpac.gov/documents/reports/Mar09\\_Ch06.pdf?sfvrsn=0](http://www.medpac.gov/documents/reports/Mar09_Ch06.pdf?sfvrsn=0)
30. Medicare Payment Advisory Commission. Medicare hospice policy issues; Report to the Congress: Medicare and the health care delivery system [Internet]. 2013. p. 115-42. Available from: [http://www.medpac.gov/documents/reports/jun13\\_entirereport.pdf?sfvrsn=0](http://www.medpac.gov/documents/reports/jun13_entirereport.pdf?sfvrsn=0)
31. Tilburt JC, Wynia MK, Sheeler RD, Thorsteinsdottir B, James KM, Egginton JS, et al. Views of US physicians about controlling health care costs. *JAMA*. Jul 24; 2013 310(4):380–8. [PubMed: 23917288]
32. Wright AA, Zhang B, Ray A, Mack JW, Trice E, Balboni T, et al. Associations between end-of-life discussions, patient mental health, medical care near death, and caregiver bereavement adjustment. *JAMA*. Oct 8; 2008 300(14):1665–73. [PubMed: 18840840]



33. Aldridge Carlson MD, Barry CL, Cherlin EJ, McCorkle R, Bradley EH. Hospices' enrollment policies may contribute to underuse of hospice care in the United States. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. Dec; 2012 31(12):2690–8. [PubMed: 23213153]
34. Jameton, A. *Nursing Practice: The Ethical Issues*. 1. Prentice Hall College Div; Englewood Cliffs, N.J: 1984. p. 331
35. Rushton CH, Kaszniak AW, Halifax JS. A framework for understanding moral distress among palliative care clinicians. *J Palliat Med*. Sep; 2013 16(9):1074–9. [PubMed: 23777328]
36. Roger, PDW. *Ethics and Palliative Care: A Case-Based Manual*. 1. RADCLIFFE MEDICAL PRESS LTD; Oxford; Seattle: 2005. p. 130
37. Houston S, Casanova MA, Leveille M, Schmidt KL, Barnes SA, Trungale KR, et al. The intensity and frequency of moral distress among different healthcare disciplines. *J Clin Ethics*. 2013; 24(2): 98–112. [PubMed: 23923809]
38. Dzeng E, Colaianni A, Roland M, Chander G, Smith TJ, Kelly MP, et al. Influence of institutional culture and policies on do-not-resuscitate decision making at the end of life. *JAMA Intern Med*. May; 2015 175(5):812–9. [PubMed: 25845020]
39. Dzeng E, Colaianni A, Roland M, Levine D, Kelly MP, Barclay S, et al. Moral Distress Amongst American Physician Trainees Regarding Futile Treatments at the End of Life: A Qualitative Study. *J Gen Intern Med*. Sep 21.2015
40. Potash JS, Chan F, Ho AHY, Wang XL, Cheng C. A Model for Art Therapy-Based Supervision for End-of-Life Care Workers in Hong Kong. *Death Stud*. Jan; 2015 39(1):44–51. [PubMed: 24870589]
41. Potash JS, Bardot H, Wang XL, Chan F, Ho AHY, Cheng C. Mandalas as indicators of burnout among end-of-life care workers. *Journal of Applied Arts & Health*. Jan 1; 2014 4(3):363–77.
42. Swetz KM, Harrington SE, Matsuyama RK, Shanafelt TD, Lyckholm LJ. Strategies for avoiding burnout in hospice and palliative medicine: peer advice for physicians on achieving longevity and fulfillment. *J Palliat Med*. Sep; 2009 12(9):773–7. [PubMed: 19622012]
43. Weight CJ, Sellon JL, Lessard-Anderson CR, Shanafelt TD, Olsen KD, Laskowski ER. Physical activity, quality of life, and burnout among physician trainees: the effect of a team-based, incentivized exercise program. *Mayo Clin Proc*. Dec; 2013 88(12):1435–42. [PubMed: 24290117]
44. Mehta DH, Perez GK, Traeger L, Park ER, Goldman RE, Haime V, et al. Building Resiliency in a Palliative Care Team: A Pilot Study. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. Jun Nov.2015
45. Back AL, Steinhauser KE, Kamal AH, Jackson VA. Building Resilience for Palliative Care Clinicians: An Approach to Burnout Prevention Based on Individual Skills and Workplace Factors. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. Feb 24.2016
46. Nelson WA, Taylor E, Walsh T. Building an ethical organizational culture. *Health Care Manag (Frederick)*. Jun; 2014 33(2):158–64. [PubMed: 24776835]
47. Berwick DM, Nolan TW, Whittington J. The Triple Aim: Care, Health, And Cost. *Health Aff*. May 1; 2008 27(3):759–69.
48. Bodenheimer T, Sinsky C. From Triple to Quadruple Aim: Care of the Patient Requires Care of the Provider. *Ann Fam Med*. Nov 1; 2014 12(6):573–6. [PubMed: 25384822]
49. Dy, SM., Kiley, KB., Ast, K., Lupu, D., Norton, SA., McMillan, SC., et al. Measuring What Matters: Top-Ranked Quality Indicators for Hospice and Palliative Care from the American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine and Hospice and Palliative Nurses Association. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* [Internet]. [cited 2015 Feb 22];0(0). Available from: <http://www.jpmsjournal.com/article/S0885392415000731/abstract>
50. Kamal AH, Hanson LC, Casarett DJ, Dy SM, Pantilat SZ, Lupu D, et al. The quality imperative for palliative care. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. Feb; 2015 49(2):243–53. [PubMed: 25057987]
51. National Quality Forum (NQF). Endorsement Summary: Palliative Care and End-of-Life Care Measures. National Quality Forum; Washington, D.C.: Feb. 2012
52. Medicare C for, Baltimore MS 7500 SB, Usa M. Hospice Item Set (HIS) [Internet]. 2014. [cited 2014 Jun 2]. Available from: <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient-Assessment-Instruments/Hospice-Quality-Reporting/Hospice-Item-Set-HIS.html>
53. Dy SM, Kiley KB, Ast K, Lupu D, Norton SA, McMillan SC, et al. Measuring what matters: top-ranked quality indicators for hospice and palliative care from the american academy of hospice and

- palliative medicine and hospice and palliative nurses association. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* Apr; 2015 49(4):773–81. [PubMed: 25697097]
54. Shanafelt TD, Mungo M, Schmitgen J, Storz KA, Reeves D, Hayes SN, et al. Longitudinal Study Evaluating the Association Between Physician Burnout and Changes in Professional Work Effort. *Mayo Clin Proc.* Apr; 2016 91(4):422–31. [PubMed: 27046522]
  55. Shanafelt TD, Raymond M, Kosty M, Satele D, Horn L, Phippen J, et al. Satisfaction with work-life balance and the career and retirement plans of US oncologists. *J Clin Oncol.* Apr 10; 2014 32(11): 1127–35. [PubMed: 24616305]
  56. Richter A, Kostova P, Baur X, Wegner R. Less work: more burnout? A comparison of working conditions and the risk of burnout by German physicians before and after the implementation of the EU Working Time Directive. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health.* Feb; 2014 87(2):205–15. [PubMed: 23423279]
  57. Medicare C for, Baltimore MS 7500 SB, Usa M. MACRA: MIPS & APMs [Internet]. 2016. [cited 2016 Feb 2]. Available from: <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient-Assessment-Instruments/Value-Based-Programs/MACRA-MIPS-and-APMs/MACRA-MIPS-and-APMs.html>
  58. Leff B, Ritchie C. Quality-of-care standards missing for the vulnerable homebound. *Mod Healthc.* Jan 26.2015 45(4):25.
  59. Leff B, Carlson CM, Saliba D, Ritchie C. The invisible homebound: setting quality-of-care standards for home-based primary and palliative care. *Health Aff (Millwood).* Jan; 2015 34(1):21–9. [PubMed: 25561640]
  60. Shanafelt TD, Gorringer G, Menaker R, Storz KA, Reeves D, Buskirk SJ, et al. Impact of organizational leadership on physician burnout and satisfaction. *Mayo Clin Proc.* Apr; 2015 90(4): 432–40. [PubMed: 25796117]
  61. Graber DR, Kilpatrick AO. Establishing values-based leadership and value systems in healthcare organizations. *J Health Hum Serv Adm.* 2008; 31(2):179–97. [PubMed: 18998522]
  62. Mills AE, Spencer EM. Values based decision making: a tool for achieving the goals of healthcare. *HEC Forum.* Mar; 2005 17(1):18–32. [PubMed: 15957266]
  63. McCartney JJ. Values based decision making in healthcare: introduction. *HEC Forum.* Mar; 2005 17(1):1–5. [PubMed: 15957264]
  64. Itlis AS. Values based decision making: organizational mission and integrity. *HEC Forum.* Mar; 2005 17(1):6–17. [PubMed: 15957265]
  65. Sabin JE, Cochran D. Confronting trade-offs in health care: Harvard Pilgrim Health Care’s organizational ethics program. *Health Aff (Millwood).* Aug; 2007 26(4):1129–34. [PubMed: 17630456]
  66. Chênevert D, Jourdain G, Cole N, Banville B. The role of organisational justice, burnout and commitment in the understanding of absenteeism in the Canadian healthcare sector. *J Health Organ Manag.* 2013; 27(3):350–67. [PubMed: 23885398]
  67. Gostick, A., Elton, C. *All In: How the Best Managers Create a Culture of Belief and Drive Big Results.* 1. Free Press; 2012. p. 258
  68. Elton, C. *AHPM & HPNA 2016 Annual Assembly.* Philadelphia, PA: Feb 26. 2015 *How High-Performing Teams Develop a Culture of Collaboration, Belief, and Renewal.*
  69. Koh MYH, Chong PH, Neo PSH, Ong YJ, Yong WC, Ong WY, et al. Burnout, psychological morbidity and use of coping mechanisms among palliative care practitioners: A multi-centre cross-sectional study. *Palliat Med.* Jul; 2015 29(7):633–42. [PubMed: 25829444]
  70. Ballantine, J., Harrison, KL., Kirk, TW. *Guide to Organizational Ethics in Hospice Care.* National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization; Alexandria, VA: Jan. 2016 *Ethics Advisory Council for the National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization.*
  71. Foglia MB, Fox E, Chanko B, Bottrell MM. Preventive ethics: addressing ethics quality gaps on a systems level. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf.* Mar; 2012 38(3):103–11. [PubMed: 22435227]
  72. Foglia MB, Pearlman RA. Integrating clinical and organizational ethics. A systems perspective can provide an antidote to the “silo” problem in clinical ethics consultations. *Health Prog.* Apr; 2006 87(2):31–5. [PubMed: 16637542]

73. Foglia MB, Pearlman RA, Bottrell M, Altemose JK, Fox E. Ethical challenges within Veterans Administration healthcare facilities: perspectives of managers, clinicians, patients, and ethics committee chairpersons. *Am J Bioeth.* Apr; 2009 9(4):28–36.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript