# **UC Merced**

# **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

# **Title**

Concept Learning as Coarse-to-Fine Probabilistic Program Induction

# **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/52t1w32c

# **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 46(0)

# **Authors**

Bowers, Maddy L Lew, Alexander Qi, Wenhao et al.

# **Publication Date**

2024

Peer reviewed

# Concept Learning as Coarse-to-Fine Probabilistic Program Induction

#### **Maddy Bowers**

MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

#### Alexander Lew

MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

#### Wenhao Qi

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, United States

#### Joshua Rule

UC Berkeley, Berkeley, California, United States

## Vikash Mansinghka

MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

## Josh Tenenbaum

MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

### Armando Solar-Lezama

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States

#### Abstract

Program induction is an appealing model for human concept learning, but faces scaling challenges in searching the massive space of programs. We propose a computational model capturing two key aspects of human concept learning – our ability to judge how promising a vague, partial hypothesis is, and our ability to gradually refine these vague explanations of observations to precise ones. We represent hypotheses as probabilistic programs with randomness in place of unresolved programmatic structure. To model the evaluation of partial hypotheses, we implement a novel algorithm for efficiently computing the likelihood that a probabilistic program produces the observations. With this, we guide a search process whereby high-entropy, coarse programs are iteratively refined to introduce deterministic structure. Preliminary synthesis results on list manipulation and formal grammar learning tasks show improvements in sample efficiency when leveraging likelihood guidance, and a preliminary human study explores how model intermediate hypotheses compare to those of participants.