

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Longitudinal Development of Thalamocortical Functional Connectivity in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/535135b1>

Journal

Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging, 9(2)

Authors

Schleifer, Charles
OHora, Kathleen
Jalbrzikowski, Maria
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2024-02-01

DOI

10.1016/j.bpsc.2023.09.001

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2024 March 21.

Published in final edited form as:

Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2024 February ; 9(2): 156–163. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2023.09.001.

Longitudinal development of thalamocortical functional connectivity in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome

Charles H. Schleifer^{1,2}, Kathleen P. O’Hora¹, Maria Jalbrzikowski^{3,4}, Elizabeth Bondy¹, Leila Kushan-Wells¹, Amy Lin¹, Lucina Q. Uddin^{1,5}, Carrie E. Bearden^{1,5}

1. Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

2. David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

3. Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Boston Children’s Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.

4. Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

5. Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

Abstract

Background.—22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome (22qDel) is a genetic Copy Number Variant (CNV) that strongly increases risk for schizophrenia and other neurodevelopmental disorders. Disrupted functional connectivity between the thalamus and somatomotor/frontoparietal cortex has been implicated in cross-sectional studies of 22qDel, idiopathic schizophrenia, and youth at clinical high risk (CHR) for psychosis. Here, we use a novel functional atlas approach to investigate longitudinal age-related changes in network-specific thalamocortical functional connectivity (TCC) in 22qDel and typically developing (TD) controls.

Methods.—TCC was calculated for nine functional networks derived from resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) scans collected from n=65 22qDel participants (63.1% female) and n=69 demographically matched TD controls (49.3% female), ages 6 to 23 years. Analyses included 86 longitudinal follow-up scans. Non-linear age trajectories were characterized with generalized additive mixed models (GAMMs).

Corresponding Authors Carrie E. Bearden (cbearden@mednet.ucla.edu), Charles H. Schleifer (cschleifer@mednet.ucla.edu).
Author contributions

Charles H. Schleifer: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Formal Analysis, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualization

Kathleen P. O’Hora: Data Curation, Validation, Writing - Review & Editing

Maria Jalbrzikowski: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Writing - Review & Editing

Elizabeth Bondy: Data Curation

Leila Kushan-Wells: Investigation, Resources, Data Curation, Project Administration

Amy Lin: Data Curation

Lucina Q. Uddin: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing

Carrie E. Bearden: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Project Administration, Funding Acquisition.

Disclosures

All authors reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Results.—In 22qDel, TCC in the frontoparietal network increases until approximately age 13, while somatomotor and cingulo-opercular TCC decrease from age 6 to 23. In contrast, no significant relationships between TCC and age were found in TD controls. Somatomotor connectivity in 22qDel is significantly higher than TD in childhood, but lower in late adolescence. Frontoparietal TCC shows the opposite pattern.

Conclusions.—22qDel is associated with aberrant development of functional network connectivity between the thalamus and cortex. Younger individuals with 22qDel have lower frontoparietal connectivity and higher somatomotor connectivity than controls, but this phenotype may normalize or partially reverse by early adulthood. Altered maturation of this circuitry may underlie elevated neuropsychiatric disease risk in this syndrome.

Keywords

Copy Number Variant; Neurodevelopment; Psychosis; Autism; Thalamus; fMRI

Introduction

22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome (22qDel), also known as DiGeorge or Velocardiofacial syndrome (OMIM #188400, #192430), is a genetic disorder that occurs in approximately 1 in 4000 live births (1). This syndrome is one of the greatest genetic risk factors for schizophrenia, with at least 1 in 10 individuals with 22qDel having a comorbid psychotic disorder, and even higher rates after adolescence (2,3). Individuals with 22qDel also have high rates of autism and an increased incidence of intellectual disability (ID), attentional deficits, and anxiety disorders (4–6). 22qDel is caused by a copy number variant (CNV) consisting of a hemizygous deletion of 1.5–2.6 Mb of genetic material (~46 protein-coding genes) from chromosome 22q (7). This provides a genetics-first framework for studying the biology underlying neurodevelopmental psychiatric disorders like schizophrenia (8,9).

Functional neuroimaging studies of psychosis spectrum disorders have consistently identified alterations in the functional connectivity (FC) of the thalamus, specifically, increased connectivity to somatomotor brain regions and decreased connectivity to frontoparietal associative regions compared to healthy controls (10–13). This marker is associated with conversion to psychosis in youth at clinical high risk (CHR) for the illness (14). In a cross-sectional study comparing individuals (ages 7–26) with 22qDel to typically developing (TD) controls, we observed a similar pattern of thalamic hyper-connectivity to the somatomotor network and hypo-connectivity to frontoparietal regions (15). This convergence of findings in idiopathic schizophrenia, CHR, and 22qDel may represent a shared phenotype relevant to psychosis risk. Interestingly, animal models of 22qDel implicate haploinsufficiency of the *Dgcr8* gene (deleted in 22qDel) in elevation of thalamic dopamine D2 receptors and age-related disruptions in thalamocortical synchrony (16,17) and may indicate an underlying neurobiological mechanism driving this dysfunction in 22qDel.

Thalamic dysconnectivity has additional cross-diagnostic relevance. Functional neuroimaging studies in autistic individuals have consistently observed altered thalamocortical FC (18–21). Many of these findings converge on increased connectivity within sensory networks. Furthermore, a broad convergence on disrupted thalamic

connectivity has been identified in the functional connectomes from multiple idiopathic psychiatric conditions and neurodevelopmental CNVs (22,23).

The thalamus is a heterogeneous structure with dense reciprocal connections across the cortex (24). Structural and functional connectivity with the cortex across sensory and associative networks is thus a core organizational feature of the thalamus (25,26). Thalamocortical FC patterns specific to sensory and associative networks emerge early in development and have been identified in infancy (27). Recent studies of the relationship between age and thalamic FC have observed subtle developmental changes in sensory and associative network connectivity (28,29). Adolescence represents an important developmental window during which interactions between the thalamus and cortex have been hypothesized to shape prefrontal development, which may be disrupted in disorders like schizophrenia (30). One prior study of 22qDel has shown altered development of thalamic nuclei volumes, along with cross-sectional disruptions in FC (31).

In this longitudinal resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) study, we map age-related changes in thalamocortical FC in 22qDel and demographically matched TD control subjects, from childhood to early adulthood. To our knowledge, this is the first analysis of age-related changes in thalamic FC in 22qDel, and one of the first longitudinal analyses of thalamocortical FC in any population. Here, we use a novel functional atlas approach to compute network-specific thalamocortical functional connectivity (TCC) and generate non-linear mixed models of the relationship between age and TCC to test the prediction that development of frontoparietal and somatomotor TCC is altered in 22qDel. By examining the developmental trajectory of TCC in 22qDel, this study aims to shed light on the neurobiological mechanisms underlying the increased risk of psychosis and other neurodevelopmental disorders in this population.

Methods

Participants

The total longitudinal sample consisted of 220 scans from 135 participants (6–23 years of age; 65 22qDel baseline; 69 TD controls baseline; see Figure 1), recruited from an ongoing longitudinal study at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA). 22qDel and TD participants were statistically matched based on baseline age, sex, dominant hand, fMRI movement (percent frames flagged based on displacement/intensity thresholds recommended by Power et al. 2012 (32)), as well as mean number of longitudinal visits and interval between visits, using appropriate tests (ANOVA or chi-squared; see Table 1). See Supplemental Methods for details on inclusion/exclusion criteria and clinical assessment procedures. After study procedures were fully explained, adult participants provided written consent, while participants under the age of 18 years provided written assent with the written consent of their parent or guardian. The UCLA Institutional Review Board approved all study procedures and informed consent documents.

Neuroimaging acquisition and processing

Rs-fMRI and high-resolution structural images were collected on two scanners (Siemens Trio and Siemens Prisma) at the UCLA Center for Cognitive Neuroscience, and processed with the Quantitative Neuroimaging Environment & Toolbox (QuNex) (33), which adapts the Human Connectome Project (HCP) preprocessing pipelines (34) for broader use. Additional processing of the fMRI time series included bandpass filtering, motion scrubbing for frames exceeding either a framewise displacement or signal change threshold (32), spatial smoothing, and regression of mean signal from ventricles, deep white matter, and mean gray matter (35). Scans with >50% frames flagged for motion were excluded. For a detailed description of preprocessing methods, see Supplemental Methods and previous work in a subset of these data (15).

For each scan, TCC was computed based on the correlation in fMRI signal between the thalamic and cortical components of nine networks (frontoparietal, somatomotor, cingulo-opercular, default mode, dorsal attention, auditory, posterior multimodal, primary visual, and secondary visual) defined by the Cole-Anticevic Brain-wide Network Partition, a recently developed whole-brain functional atlas (36) (see Figure 2). Data from the two scanners were harmonized using the longitudinal ComBat (longComBat) package in R (37), a linear mixed effects adaptation of the ComBat approach which uses empirical Bayes methods to estimate and remove site/batch effects with increased robustness to outliers in small samples compared with general linear model methods (38) (see Supplemental Methods).

Modeling age trajectories

Non-linear relationships between age and TCC in 22qDel and TD cohorts were assessed with generalized additive mixed models (GAMMs) as in Jalbrzikowski et al., 2022 (39). Like linear mixed effects models, GAMMs can account for repeated within-subject measures with random effects. Non-linear curves are estimated with basis functions, with overfitting prevented by penalization of polynomials and restricted estimation of maximum likelihood (40–42). We examined the effects of age on TCC separately in 22qDel and TD cohorts because GAMMs allow the shape of the relationship between the smoothed predictor and dependent variable to differ between groups. For each network, a GAMM was fitted predicting TCC from the smoothed effect of age and group, controlling for sex and scanner type, with a random intercept for subject ID. Test statistics were computed for the effect of age in each group, and p -values were corrected for multiple comparisons with False Discovery Rate (43). Secondary analyses were performed to assess the impact of outliers, scanner type, movement, medication status, cardiac defect diagnosis, and global signal regression (GSR). Additionally, a secondary thalamocortical connectivity analysis was performed using anatomically defined ROIs segmented by FreeSurfer(44,45), as in Huang et al. 2021 (28) (see Supplemental Methods). As an exploratory analysis, IQ (measured with the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence-2; WASI-2) and positive psychosis symptoms (measured with the Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes; SIPS (46)) were tested for associations with frontoparietal and somatomotor TCC (see Supplemental Methods).

Results

Non-linear age trajectories

For all nine networks in TD controls, there were no significant relationships between TCC and age after multiple comparison correction (see Table 2). In contrast, in 22qDel three networks (frontoparietal, somatomotor, and cingulo-opercular) exhibited a significant effect of age on TCC after FDR correction. Analysis of the 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the first derivatives of the TCC age curves identified age ranges in which significant change occurred (see Table 2). Specifically, frontoparietal connectivity in 22qDel increased between ages 7.5 and 12.8, relative to TD controls, while somatomotor and cingulo-opercular TCC decreased between ages 6 and 22.7. Based on the 95% CI for the group difference in age curves (see Table 2), 22qDel frontoparietal connectivity was significantly lower than TD in childhood, from ages 6 to 9.6, but higher in late adolescence, from age 16.8 to 19. In 22qDel, Somatomotor TCC was higher than TD prior to age 14.5, but switched to lower than TD after age 14.8. Cingulo-opercular TCC showed a similar pattern of age-related decrease in both groups, and thus did not significantly differ between groups at any age. See Figure 3 for a visualization of frontoparietal and somatomotor age effects. Visualizations of all nine networks are included in Figure S1.

Secondary analyses

Various iterations of the final model were tested to confirm robustness to potential confounds (see Supplemental Methods). Test statistics and probabilities are reported in the Supplemental Results. Conclusions from the models were not altered by inclusion of movement, medication status (antipsychotic, yes/no), or history of congenital cardiac diagnosis. Results were also robust to 90% Winsorization (i.e., restricting outliers to the 5th and 95th percentiles) prior to testing GAMMs, and the exclusion of one scanner (i.e., using only Trio data, excluding Prisma). Secondary analysis split by age groups revealed no differences in pre/post pubertal subgroups in terms of which cortical networks are preferentially connected to thalamic networks of interest (see Supplemental Results).

An additional analysis using anatomically defined ROIs broadly corroborated the findings from our primary analysis using a functionally defined atlas. However, the functional atlas analysis was more sensitive to group differences and frontoparietal effects (see Supplemental Results). Using anatomically defined regions, the only significant age effect was a negative relationship with motor region TCC in 22qDel. Frontal and parietal regions were analyzed separately in this case, and while the effect was not significant in either group, the age curves qualitatively resembled the frontoparietal effect from the functional atlas analysis.

IQ was found to be positively related to frontoparietal network TCC in TD controls ($\beta=0.004$, $p=0.0174$) and trended towards significance in 22qDel ($\beta=0.005$, $p=0.058$) (see Supplemental Results). No relationship was found between IQ and somatomotor connectivity, or between SIPS positive symptoms and somatomotor or frontoparietal connectivity. The observed relationship between frontoparietal TCC and IQ does not survive stringent multiple comparison correction across all behavioral relationships tested.

Effects of Global Signal Regression

Analyses were repeated with fMRI inputs that had not been subjected to GSR as a denoising step. Without inclusion of GSR, the pattern for somatomotor and cingulo-opercular TCC was similar but was reduced to a trend level after multiple comparison correction (see Table S6, Figure S2). The smoothed age effect on TCC no longer met multiple correction adjusted $\alpha=0.05$ for any network in 22qDel or TD. Despite the inclusion of motion scrubbing and nuisance regression of motion parameters, quality control functional connectivity (QC-FC) analysis showed that inclusion of GSR additionally reduced the relationship between motion and whole-brain FC in this sample, suggesting that GSR improves the data with respect to motion (see Figure S3).

Discussion

Altered FC between the thalamus and brain regions involved in somatomotor and frontoparietal networks has been implicated in cross-sectional studies of individuals with schizophrenia, those at CHR for psychosis, and 22qDel (12,14,15). However, little is known about how thalamic connectivity develops with age in genetic high-risk conditions such as 22qDel. This is the first study to investigate developmental trajectories of thalamic FC in this population. We used a powerful and flexible GAMM approach to map linear and non-linear age-related changes in network-level thalamocortical connectivity, assessed via rs-fMRI in an accelerated longitudinal cohort of individuals with 22qDel and matched TD controls, ages 6 to 23 years. This novel GAMM approach has only recently been applied for the first time to case-control neuroimaging investigations, and has identified altered developmental trajectories of structural MRI phenotypes associated with 22q11.2 CNVs (39).

We found that 22qDel patients exhibited significant age-related increases in frontoparietal TCC and decreases in somatomotor TCC. Frontoparietal connectivity increased steeply during childhood and the rate of change slowed during adolescence, whereas somatomotor connectivity decreased consistently through the age range. TCC was generally stable across the studied age range in TD controls. TCC in the cingulo-opercular network was also found to significantly decrease across the age range in 22qDel, while the TD group trended towards significance in the same direction.

Development of thalamocortical functional connectivity in 22qDel and TD youth

These results expand on our prior findings from a cross-sectional analysis in a smaller subset of this dataset where, controlling for age, whole-thalamus FC in 22qDel relative to controls was found to be significantly increased to somatomotor regions and decreased to regions involved in the frontoparietal network (15). Our new longitudinal analysis suggests that the younger 22qDel patients were likely driving the previously observed finding of somatomotor hyper-connectivity and frontoparietal hypo-connectivity, and that this phenotype may normalize or even reverse to an abnormal extent during adolescence. Here, the bi-directional pattern of somatomotor and frontoparietal thalamocortical disruptions in 22qDel can be seen to extend to developmental trajectories. In 22qDel, frontoparietal TCC increases significantly with age, particularly prior to age 13, while somatomotor TCC

decreases across the age range, intersecting with the TD curve in early/mid adolescence (see Table 2 and Figure 3). Notably, GAMMs were analyzed across all nine networks represented in the thalamus, in a data driven approach, the results of which support our initial hypothesis of preferential disruptions in somatomotor and frontoparietal TCC.

Only a small number of fMRI studies have investigated typical development of thalamic FC in childhood and adolescence. A recent study in 107 TD participants found a linear decrease in salience network (cingulo-opercular) thalamic connectivity across ages 5–25 (29). Another recent study of thalamocortical FC in a large community sample (Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort), which included 1100 total scans, comprised of TD youth as well as individuals with psychosis spectrum symptoms and other psychopathology, found a negative linear association between age and somatosensory thalamic connectivity, but no age by psychiatric group interactions (28). Unlike the previous two described studies, an analysis of thalamic connectivity in 52 TD individuals, which treated age as a categorical variable (child, adolescent, adult) found greater thalamic-frontal FC in adults compared to children (47). In the context of this literature, our results can be seen to generally replicate the finding of normative age-related decreases in salience network connectivity (29) in both 22qDel and TD (for whom the effect of age on cingulo-opercular TCC trended towards significance and the 95% CI of the first derivative didn't include zero; see Table 2). It is still not clear how much age-related change is to be expected in typical development of somatomotor and frontoparietal thalamocortical networks (28,47). Our finding of significant age effects in these networks for 22qDel but not TD youth could be explained by either a pathological developmental mechanism in 22qDel or a compensatory “exaggeration” of typical developmental pathways. Future research in 22qDel mouse models can shed light on genetic and cellular mechanisms underlying age-related FC disruptions, which may in turn suggest potential interventions for such aberrant maturational patterns.

Exploratory analysis of brain behavior relationships revealed that increased frontoparietal TCC was associated with higher Full Scale IQ in TD controls, with a similar relationship trending towards significance in the 22qDel cohort (see Supplemental Results). Future studies in larger cohorts should characterize this relationship in more detail. SIPS positive symptom severity was not found to be associated with frontoparietal or somatomotor TCC in 22qDel. Notably, in our baseline sample, 30.8% of 22qDel participants had subthreshold positive symptoms in the prodromal range, but only 7.7% had overt psychosis (see Table 1).

Strengths, limitations, and future directions

This study has several key strengths that support the reliability of our findings. The sample size of 112 scans from 65 22qDel patients is large for this population or similar rare disorders (48). We took advantage of an accelerated longitudinal recruitment design to map cohort-level FC-age trajectories across a key developmental window, whereas prior studies of thalamocortical FC development have relied on cross-sectional samples (28,29,47). Our GAMM analyses leveraged this longitudinal design, with the additional benefit of being able to capture developmental trajectories whose shapes differ between cohorts (40). Potential confounds were addressed through multiple complementary approaches. To minimize the impact of scanner type, we used the longitudinal ComBat algorithm, which was specifically

adapted for longitudinal neuroimaging data and represents the state-of-the-art in batch correction methods (37). Our secondary analyses showed that our primary results were robust to outliers via Winsorization, scanner effects via exclusion of data collected on one of two scanners, and to inclusion of movement parameters, antipsychotic medication status, and congenital cardiac defect diagnosis as covariates of no interest in the final model. Together, these results support a robust finding in a unique clinical population that allows for genetics-first study of phenotypes relevant to neurodevelopmental disorders.

However, certain limitations of this study must be noted. First, this dataset is not well suited for analyses of within-subject change, which might be more informative for analyses of symptom relationships over time. An additional limitation is that the GAMM results differed if fMRI inputs were used that had not been subject to GSR as a preprocessing step (see Table S6). Without GSR, no age effects remained significant after multiple comparison correction, although 22qDel somatomotor and cingulo-opercular connectivity trended in the same direction. QC-FC analysis, developed by Power et al. to quantify the effect of participant movement on FC (49), indicated that, despite motion scrubbing and regression of motion parameters, GSR additionally reduced the relationship between movement (framewise displacement) and FC. To reduce the impact of motion on FC results in our neurodevelopmental sample, and for consistency with our prior work and other similar studies in the field (15,29), we thus present our primary results with GSR included. Another limitation is that the data were acquired on two different Siemens scanners, and the data from the Prisma scanner (approximately 25% of the full sample) used a HCP-style sequence with a multi-band factor of 8, which can increase subcortical noise compared to single-band acquisitions (50). Band-pass temporal filtering, which we applied during preprocessing, can help mitigate this noise (50). Importantly, scanner type was controlled for through longitudinal ComBat, inclusion of a scanner covariate in the final model, and a secondary analysis using only data from the same Trio scanner, which corroborated our findings of altered development of somatomotor and frontoparietal TCC in 22qDel (see Supplementary Table S1). An additional consideration is diagnostic heterogeneity within the 22qDel sample (46% meet criteria for ADHD, 55% for autism; see Table 1).

Future research directions include mapping thalamocortical FC development in other clinical populations including autistic individuals, youth at CHR for psychosis, and individuals with other neuropsychiatric CNVs, in order to determine unique versus shared maturational patterns of this circuitry. Characterizing changes across the lifespan, including early through late adulthood, in these populations will also be valuable. While our sample is large in the context of rare genetic disorders, even larger studies of 22qDel will be important for more precise characterization of developmental trajectories and brain behavior relationships. International multi-site studies such as the Enhancing Neuroimaging Genetics through Meta-Analysis (ENIGMA) CNV working group are currently making progress towards this goal (48). Other methods for assessing TCC related phenotypes, such as EEG and sleep spindle detection, will also be highly informative in 22qDel and related conditions (51). Additionally, the high construct validity of 22qDel animal models will allow for testing molecular and cell/circuit level hypotheses about development in 22qDel.

Conclusions

This study is the first to characterize longitudinal age-related changes in thalamocortical functional connectivity in children and adolescents with 22qDel. Using a novel functional atlas approach to investigate network-specific thalamocortical connectivity, we found that children with 22qDel exhibit altered maturation of these functional networks, involving a pattern of increased thalamocortical FC in the somatomotor network, concomitant with decreased connectivity in the frontoparietal network relative to TD controls. This pattern normalizes by early/mid adolescence, and potentially reverses by late adolescence. TD controls do not show the same age-related changes in frontoparietal and somatomotor connectivity. Future research in animal and *in vitro* models can shed light on biological mechanisms underlying the observed alterations in FC development in 22qDel.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health Grant Nos. R01 MH085953 (to C.E.B), U01MH101779 (to C.E.B); the Simons Foundation (SFARI Explorer Award to C.E.B), and the Joanne and George Miller Family Endowed Term Chair (to C.E.B.), and the UCLA Training Program in Neurobehavioral Genetics T32NS048004 (to C.H.S), R01MH129636 (to MJ)

Data availability

Data are publicly available from the National Institute of Mental Health Data Archives: https://nda.nih.gov/edit_collection.html?id=2414

To facilitate reproducibility and rigor, analysis code is publicly available on GitHub: https://github.com/charles-schleifer/22q_tcc_longitudinal

A draft of this manuscript prior to peer review has been shared on the preprint server bioRxiv: <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2023.06.22.546178v1.full>

References

1. McDonald-McGinn DM, Sullivan KE, Marino B, Philip N, Swillen A, Vorstman JAS, et al. (2015): 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Nat Rev Dis Primer* 1: 15071.
2. Provenzani U, Damiani S, Bersano I, Singh S, Moschillo A, Accinni T, et al. (2022): Prevalence and incidence of psychotic disorders in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: a meta-analysis. *Int Rev Psychiatry Abingdon Engl* 34: 676–688.
3. Óskarsdóttir S, Boot E, Crowley TB, Loo JCY, Arganbright JM, Armando M, et al. (2023): Updated clinical practice recommendations for managing children with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Genet Med* 25. 10.1016/j.gim.2022.11.006
4. Girirajan S, Brkanac Z, Coe BP, Baker C, Vives L, Vu TH, et al. (2011): Relative Burden of Large CNVs on a Range of Neurodevelopmental Phenotypes. *PLoS Genet* 7: e1002334. [PubMed: 22102821]
5. Niklasson L, Rasmussen P, Óskarsdóttir S, Gillberg C (2001): Neuropsychiatric disorders in the 22q11 deletion syndrome. *Genet Med* 3: 79–84. [PubMed: 11339385]

6. Niklasson L, Rasmussen P, Óskarsdóttir S, Gillberg C (2009): Autism, ADHD, mental retardation and behavior problems in 100 individuals with 22q11 deletion syndrome. *Res Dev Disabil* 30: 763–773. [PubMed: 19070990]
7. Morrow BE, McDonald-McGinn DM, Emanuel BS, Vermeesch JR, Scambler PJ (2018): Molecular genetics of 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Am J Med Genet A* 176: 2070–2081. [PubMed: 30380194]
8. Chawner SJRA, Doherty JL, Anney RJL, Antshel KM, Bearden CE, Bernier R, et al. (2021): A Genetics-First Approach to Dissecting the Heterogeneity of Autism: Phenotypic Comparison of Autism Risk Copy Number Variants. *Am J Psychiatry* 178: 77–86. [PubMed: 33384013]
9. Moreau CA, Ching CR, Kumar K, Jacquemont S, Bearden CE (2021): Structural and functional brain alterations revealed by neuroimaging in CNV carriers. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 68: 88–98. [PubMed: 33812299]
10. Anticevic A, Cole MW, Repovs G, Murray JD, Brumbaugh MS, Winkler AM, et al. (2014): Characterizing Thalamo-Cortical Disturbances in Schizophrenia and Bipolar Illness. *Cereb Cortex N Y NY* 24: 3116–3130.
11. Welsh RC, Chen AC, Taylor SF (2010): Low-Frequency BOLD Fluctuations Demonstrate Altered Thalamocortical Connectivity in Schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 36: 713–722. [PubMed: 18990709]
12. Woodward ND, Karbasforoushan H, Heckers S (2012): Thalamocortical Dysconnectivity in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 169: 1092–1099. [PubMed: 23032387]
13. Woodward ND, Heckers S (2016): Mapping thalamocortical functional connectivity in chronic and early stages of psychotic disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* 79: 1016–1025. [PubMed: 26248537]
14. Anticevic A, Haut K, Murray JD, Repovs G, Yang GJ, Diehl C, et al. (2015): Association of Thalamic Dysconnectivity and Conversion to Psychosis in Youth and Young Adults at Elevated Clinical Risk. *JAMA Psychiatry* 72: 882–891. [PubMed: 26267151]
15. Schleifer C, Lin A, Kushan L, Ji JL, Yang G, Bearden CE, Anticevic A (2019): Dissociable Disruptions in Thalamic and Hippocampal Resting-State Functional Connectivity in Youth with 22q11.2 Deletions. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 39: 1301–1319.
16. Chun S, Westmoreland JJ, Bayazitov IT, Eddins D, Pani AK, Smeyne RJ, et al. (2014): Specific disruption of thalamic inputs to the auditory cortex in schizophrenia models. *Science* 344: 1178–1182. [PubMed: 24904170]
17. Chun S, Du F, Westmoreland JJ, Han SB, Wang Y-D, Eddins D, et al. (2017): Thalamic miR-338-3p mediates auditory thalamocortical disruption and its late onset in models of 22q11.2 microdeletion. *Nat Med* 23: 39–48. [PubMed: 27892953]
18. Woodward ND, Giraldo-Chica M, Rogers B, Cascio CJ (2017): Thalamocortical Dysconnectivity in Autism Spectrum Disorder: An Analysis of the Autism Brain Imaging Data Exchange. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* 2: 76–84. [PubMed: 28584881]
19. Cerliani L, Mennes M, Thomas RM, Di Martino A, Thioux M, Keyzers C (2015): Increased Functional Connectivity Between Subcortical and Cortical Resting-State Networks in Autism Spectrum Disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry* 72: 767–777. [PubMed: 26061743]
20. Nair A, Carper RA, Abbott AE, Chen CP, Solders S, Nakutin S, et al. (2015): Regional specificity of aberrant thalamocortical connectivity in autism. *Hum Brain Mapp* 36: 4497–4511. [PubMed: 26493162]
21. Chen H, Uddin LQ, Zhang Y, Duan X, Chen H (2016): Atypical effective connectivity of thalamo-cortical circuits in autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Res* 9: 1183–1190. [PubMed: 27868393]
22. Moreau CA, Harvey A, Kumar K, Huguet G, Urchs SGW, Douard EA, et al. (2023): Genetic Heterogeneity Shapes Brain Connectivity in Psychiatry. *Biol Psychiatry* 93: 45–58. [PubMed: 36372570]
23. Moreau CA, Kumar K, Harvey A, Huguet G, Urchs SGW, Schultz LM, et al. (2023): Brain functional connectivity mirrors genetic pleiotropy in psychiatric conditions. *Brain* 146: 1686–1696. [PubMed: 36059063]
24. Jones EG (2012): *The Thalamus*. Springer Science & Business Media.
25. Yuan R, Di X, Taylor PA, Gohel S, Tsai Y-H, Biswal BB (2016): Functional topography of the thalamocortical system in human. *Brain Struct Funct* 221: 1971–1984. [PubMed: 25924563]

26. Shine JM, Lewis LD, Garrett DD, Hwang K (2023): The impact of the human thalamus on brain-wide information processing. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 1–15. [PubMed: 36446902]
27. Alcauter S, Lin W, Smith JK, Short SJ, Goldman BD, Reznick JS, et al. (2014): Development of Thalamocortical Connectivity during Infancy and Its Cognitive Correlations. *J Neurosci* 34: 9067–9075. [PubMed: 24990927]
28. Huang AS, Rogers BP, Sheffield JM, Vandekar S, Anticevic A, Woodward ND (2021): Characterizing effects of age, sex and psychosis symptoms on thalamocortical functional connectivity in youth. *NeuroImage* 243: 118562. [PubMed: 34506914]
29. Steiner L, Federspiel A, Slavova N, Wiest R, Grunt S, Steinlin M, Everts R (2020): Functional topography of the thalamo-cortical system during development and its relation to cognition. *NeuroImage* 223: 117361. [PubMed: 32919055]
30. Benoit LJ, Canetta S, Kellendonk C (2022): Thalamocortical Development: A Neurodevelopmental Framework for Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 92: 491–500. [PubMed: 35550792]
31. Mancini V, Zöller D, Schneider M, Schaer M, Eliez S (2020): Abnormal Development and Dysconnectivity of Distinct Thalamic Nuclei in Patients With 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome Experiencing Auditory Hallucinations. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* 5: 875–890. [PubMed: 32620531]
32. Power JD, Barnes KA, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE (2012): Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. *Neuroimage* 59: 2142–2154. [PubMed: 22019881]
33. Ji JL, Demšar J, Fonteneau C, Tamayo Z, Pan L, Kralji A, et al. (2022, October 2): QuNex – An Integrative Platform for Reproducible Neuroimaging Analytics. *bioRxiv*, p 2022.06.03.494750.
34. Glasser MF, Sotiropoulos SN, Wilson JA, Coalson TS, Fischl B, Andersson JL, et al. (2013): The Minimal Preprocessing Pipelines for the Human Connectome Project. *NeuroImage* 80: 105–124. [PubMed: 23668970]
35. Power JD, Plitt M, Laumann TO, Martin A (2017): Sources and implications of whole-brain fMRI signals in humans. *NeuroImage* 146: 609–625. [PubMed: 27751941]
36. Ji JL, Spronk M, Kulkarni K, Repovš G, Anticevic A, Cole MW (2019): Mapping the human brain's cortical-subcortical functional network organization. *NeuroImage* 185: 35–57. [PubMed: 30291974]
37. Beer JC, Tustison NJ, Cook PA, Davatzikos C, Sheline YI, Shinohara RT, Linn KA (2020): Longitudinal ComBat: A method for harmonizing longitudinal multi-scanner imaging data. *NeuroImage* 220: 117129. [PubMed: 32640273]
38. Johnson WE, Li C, Rabinovic A (2007): Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. *Biostatistics* 8: 118–127. [PubMed: 16632515]
39. Jalbrzikowski M, Lin A, Vajdi A, Grigoryan V, Kushan L, Ching CRK, et al. (2022): Longitudinal trajectories of cortical development in 22q11.2 copy number variants and typically developing controls. *Mol Psychiatry* 27: 4181–4190. [PubMed: 35896619]
40. Hastie TJ (1992): *Generalized Additive Models*. Statistical Models in S. Routledge.
41. Wood SN (2004): Stable and Efficient Multiple Smoothing Parameter Estimation for Generalized Additive Models. *J Am Stat Assoc* 99: 673–686.
42. Wood SN (2011): Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation of semiparametric generalized linear models. *J R Stat Soc Ser B Stat Methodol* 73: 3–36.
43. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995): Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *J R Stat Soc Ser B Methodol* 57: 289–300.
44. Desikan RS, Ségonne F, Fischl B, Quinn BT, Dickerson BC, Blacker D, et al. (2006): An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *NeuroImage* 31: 968–980. [PubMed: 16530430]
45. Iglesias JE, Insausti R, Lerma-Usabiaga G, Bocchetta M, Van Leemput K, Greve DN, et al. (2018): A probabilistic atlas of the human thalamic nuclei combining ex vivo MRI and histology. *Neuroimage* 183: 314–326. [PubMed: 30121337]
46. Miller TJ, McGlashan TH, Rosen JL, Somjee L, Markovich PJ, Stein K, Woods SW (2002): Prospective diagnosis of the initial prodrome for schizophrenia based on the Structured Interview

- for Prodromal Syndromes: preliminary evidence of interrater reliability and predictive validity. *Am J Psychiatry* 159: 863–865. [PubMed: 11986145]
47. Fair DA, Bathula D, Mills KL, Dias TGC, Blythe MS, Zhang D, et al. (2010): Maturing Thalamocortical Functional Connectivity Across Development. *Front Syst Neurosci* 4: 10. [PubMed: 20514143]
48. Sønderby IE, Ching CRK, Thomopoulos SI, van der Meer D, Sun D, Villalon-Reina JE, et al. (2022): Effects of copy number variations on brain structure and risk for psychiatric illness: Large-scale studies from the ENIGMA working groups on CNVs. *Hum Brain Mapp* 43: 300–328. [PubMed: 33615640]
49. Power JD, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE (2015): Recent progress and outstanding issues in motion correction in resting state fMRI. *NeuroImage* 0: 536–551.
50. Risk BB, Murden RJ, Wu J, Nebel MB, Venkataraman A, Zhang Z, Qiu D (2021): Which multiband factor should you choose for your resting-state fMRI study? *NeuroImage* 234: 117965. [PubMed: 33744454]
51. Manoach DS, Pan JQ, Purcell SM, Stickgold R (2016): Reduced Sleep Spindles in Schizophrenia: A Treatable Endophenotype That Links Risk Genes to Impaired Cognition? *Biol Psychiatry* 80: 599–608. [PubMed: 26602589]




Figure 1. Participant age distribution.

Typically developing controls in blue, 22qDel in red, with lines connecting follow-up visits from the same individual. Scanner type (Siemens Trio or Prisma) indicated by circle or triangle, respectively.




Figure 2. Cortical and thalamic regions for functional connectivity analysis.

Left: nine cortical functional networks from the Cole-Anticevic Brain-wide Network Partition (CABNP) (36). *Right:* the same functional networks in the thalamus. Network thalamocortical connectivity (TCC) was computed between the mean fMRI time series in corresponding cortical and thalamic regions.




Figure 3. Age trajectories of frontoparietal and somatomotor thalamocortical connectivity (TCC).

TCC vs age curves in 22qDel and typically developing controls. **A)** *Upper:* smoothed age curves and partial residuals for frontoparietal TCC from the GAMM predicting TCC from age, diagnosis, sex, and scanner, with a random intercept for repeated measures within subjects. The partial residual plots reflect the relationship between age and TCC, given the other covariates in the model. *Middle:* 1st derivatives of the TCC vs age curve in patients and controls, with intervals of significant change determined where the 95% confidence interval for the 1st derivative does not include zero, marked with brackets and asterisk. No change in frontoparietal TCC across the age range for controls, but 22qDel increases across ages 7.5–12.8. *Lower:* cortical and thalamic regions used for TCC measure. **B)** Same as **A** for the GAMM predicting somatomotor thalamocortical connectivity, showing no change across the age range in controls but a negative slope across the full age range for 22qDel.

Table 1.**Baseline demographics.**

22qDel and TD controls with p -values for between group comparisons (based on ANOVA for continuous variables and chi-squared tests for categorical variables). Baseline cohorts are statistically matched based on age, sex, dominant hand, and fMRI % movement (percentage of frames removed per subject for exceeding displacement and/or signal change thresholds), as well as mean number of longitudinal visits and interval between visits, and proportion of the cohort acquired on each of two scanner types (Siemens Trio or Siemens Prisma). Cognition was measured with the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence-2 (WASI-2). Prodromal (psychosis-risk) symptoms were assessed with the Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes (SIPS). Psychosis Risk Symptoms are operationalized here as having any score of 3 or greater (i.e., prodromal range) on any SIPS positive symptom item. Psychotic disorder diagnosis is based on structured clinical interview (SCID) for DSM-IV/V and includes schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, brief psychotic disorder, and psychotic disorder not otherwise specified.

	Control	22qDel	p -value
n	69	65	
Age, mean (SD)	13.44 (4.76)	14.39 (4.56)	0.242
Sex, n (%) Female	34 (49.3)	41 (63.1)	0.151
Handedness, n (%) Right	31 (44.9)	34 (52.3)	0.561
fMRI % movement, mean (SD)	6.86 (9.93)	9.84 (13.12)	0.139
Siemens Trio Scanner, n (%)	50 (73)	48 (74)	0.857
WASI Full Scale IQ, mean (SD)	112.15 (20.53)	79.00 (12.70)	<0.001
SIPS Positive total, mean (SD)	1.18 (2.16)	5.44 (5.61)	<0.001
Psychosis Risk Symptoms, n (%)	4 (5.8)	20 (30.8)	0.001
Psychotic Disorder, n (%)	0 (0.0)	5 (7.7)	0.058
ADHD, n (%)	5 (7.2)	30 (46.2)	<0.001
Autism, n (%)	0 (0.0)	36 (55.4)	<0.001
Antipsychotic med, n (%)	0 (0.0)	5 (7.7)	0.004
Visit count, mean (SD)	1.57 (0.85)	1.72 (0.96)	0.314
Days between visits, mean (SD)	653.21 (425.56)	787.04 (549.92)	0.296

Table 2.
Effects of age on thalamocortical connectivity (TCC).

Generalized Additive Mixed Model (GAMM) predicting TCC from age, diagnosis, sex, and scanner, with a random intercept for repeated measures within subjects. For each network, a separate TCC age curve was modeled for 22qDel and TD control groups. *F*-values and *p*-values are reported for each age effect, as well as FDR-corrected *q*-values (calculated from the set of *n*=18 *p*-values). “sig_change” denotes age ranges with significant change in TCC, determined where zero is not included in the 95% confidence interval (CI) for the 1st derivative of the TCC vs age GAMM. “sig_difference” denotes age ranges with significant group differences in TCC, based on the 95% CI. If multiple discontinuous periods of significant difference are found for a network, they are separated by “|”. sig_change and sig_difference values are reported in grey for age curves where FDR_q>0.05.

Group	Network	<i>F</i> (<i>Df</i>)	<i>p</i>	FDR <i>q</i>	sig_change	sig_difference
22qDel	Frontoparietal	5.89 (2.2)	0.002	0.0177	7.5–12.8	6–9.6 16.8–19
22qDel	Somatomotor	9.84 (1.0)	0.002	0.0177	6–22.7	6–14.5 14.8–22.8
22qDel	Cingulo-Opercular	7.53 (1.0)	0.007	0.0399	6–22.7	
22qDel	Auditory	5.47 (1.0)	0.020	0.0915	6–21.4	
22qDel	Default	2.53 (3.9)	0.031	0.0998	20.7–21.5	12.3–15
22qDel	Dorsal Attention	2.43 (1.6)	0.066	0.1690		
22qDel	Posterior Multimodal	0.01 (1.0)	0.930	0.9520		
22qDel	Visual1	1.79 (1.0)	0.180	0.3290		
22qDel	Visual2	1.39 (1.0)	0.240	0.3910		
TD	Frontoparietal	1.03 (1.0)	0.310	0.4320		6–9.6 16.8–19
TD	Somatomotor	0.00 (1.0)	0.950	0.9520		6–14.5 14.8–22.8
TD	Cingulo-Opercular	4.60 (1.0)	0.033	0.0998	6–22.7	
TD	Auditory	2.57 (1.0)	0.110	0.2200		
TD	Default	0.43 (1.5)	0.680	0.7630		12.3–15
TD	Dorsal Attention	3.04 (1.0)	0.083	0.1870		
TD	Posterior Multimodal	0.28 (1.0)	0.600	0.7160		
TD	Visual1	1.19 (1.8)	0.310	0.4320		
TD	Visual2	0.63 (1.0)	0.430	0.5520		