

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

The relationship between physical activity and appetite in patients with heart failure: A prospective observational study

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5354c079>

Journal

European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 18(5)

ISSN

1474-5151

Authors

Andreae, Christina
Årestedt, Kristofer
Evangelista, Lorraine
et al.

Publication Date

2019-06-01

DOI

10.1177/1474515119836567

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2019 June ; 18(5): 410–417. doi:10.1177/1474515119836567.

The relationship between physical activity and appetite in patients with heart failure: A prospective observational study

Christina Andrae^{1,2}, Kristofer Årestedt^{3,4}, Lorraine Evangelista⁵, Anna Strömberg^{1,5,6}

¹Department of Medical and Health Sciences, Division of Nursing Science, Linköping University, Sweden

²Centre for Clinical Research Sörmland, Uppsala University, Eskilstuna, Sweden

³Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Linnaeus University, Kalmar, Sweden

⁴The Research Section, Region Kalmar County, Sweden

⁵Sue and Bill Gross School of Nursing, University of California, Irvine, USA

⁶Department of Cardiology, Linköping University, Sweden

Abstract

Introduction: Physical activity and appetite are important components for maintaining health. Yet, the association between physical activity and appetite in heart failure (HF) populations is not completely understood. The aim of the present study was to investigate the relationship between physical activity, functional capacity, and appetite in patients with HF.

Methods: This was a prospective observational study. In total, 186 patients diagnosed with HF, New York Heart Association (NYHA) class II–IV (mean age 70.7, 30% female), were included. Physical activity was measured using a multi-sensor actigraph for seven days and with a self-reported numeric rating scale. Physical capacity was measured by the six-minute walk test. Appetite was measured using the Council on Nutrition Appetite Questionnaire. Data were collected at inclusion and after 18 months. A series of linear regression analyses, adjusted for age, NYHA class, and B-type natriuretic peptide were conducted.

Results: At baseline, higher levels of physical activity and functional capacity were significantly associated with a higher level of appetite in the unadjusted models. In the adjusted models, number of steps ($p = 0.019$) and the six-minute walk test ($p = 0.007$) remained significant. At the 18-month follow-up, all physical activity variables and functional capacity were significantly associated with appetite in the unadjusted regression models. In the adjusted models, number of steps ($p = 0.001$) and metabolic equivalent daily averages ($p = 0.040$) remained significant.

Conclusion: A higher level of physical activity measured by number of steps/day was associated with better self-reported appetite, both at baseline and the 18-month follow-up. Further research is

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions

Corresponding author: Christina Andrae, Department of Medicine, Mälarsjukhuset, Eskilstuna, SE-631 88, Sweden. christina.andrae@regionsormland.se

Declaration of conflicting interests
None declared.

needed to establish causality and explore the intertwined relationship between activity and appetite in patients with HF.

Keywords

Appetite; heart failure; physical activity

Introduction

Appetite, defined as the “desire to eat”,¹ is commonly decreased in patients with heart failure (HF). Many patients with HF have been found to have decreased appetite to a degree where they become at risk of malnutrition.² This may lead to a decline in functional capacity,^{3,4} impaired quality of life,^{5,6} and poor survival.^{7,8} Although decreased appetite can lead to a worse health outcome in patients with HF, the knowledge of factors associated with decreased appetite has primarily been described through metabolic processes (i.e. increased inflammation, neurohormonal activation), and disease severity (i.e. fluid accumulation in the gastrointestinal tract).^{9,10}

Physical activity is an important component of HF management.¹¹ Physical activity, defined as any type of bodily movement to increase energy expenditure, includes leisure-time physical activities, playing, active transportation, and occupational and household activities. Increased physical activity has been associated with improved functional capacity, reduced morbidity, and enhanced quality of life.¹² However, physical activity is a challenge for patients with HF, with recent studies describing that only 30–56% are physically active at the recommended level.^{13,14}

The relationship between physical activity and appetite has been studied in biological research. Physical activity increases the body’s energy consumption. To compensate for a negative energy balance, physical activity simultaneously increases appetite hormones.¹⁵ The relationship between physical activity and appetite are thought to be affected by both physiological and hormonal mechanisms, as well as the body’s overall resting metabolic rate.¹⁵

Physical activity and appetite are important components for maintaining physical health. Despite the pivotal role that physical activity plays in HF management, the relationship between physical activity and appetite is not well understood. To our knowledge, there are no previous studies examining the association between physical activity and appetite in patients with HF. Studies examining associations between physical activity and appetite have mainly been performed in obese populations and from a physiological perspective.^{16–18} A few studies have investigated the associations between physical activity and appetite from a psychosocial perspective. A cross-sectional study of frail elderly people showed a significant association between walking speed and appetite, and that poor appetite predicts physical disability over time.³ In contrast, no such associations between physical activity and appetite were found in a study including elderly individuals.¹⁹

The authors of the present study previously found that functional capacity measured by the six-minute walk test was associated with decreased appetite.²⁰ In the present study, the goal

was to investigate this relationship further, including both objective and subjective measures of physical activity. The aim of the study was, therefore, to investigate the relationship between physical activity, functional capacity, and appetite in patients with HF.

Three research question were addressed:

- Q1. What are the relationship between physical activity, functional capacity, and appetite in patients with HF?
- Q2. How well do physical activity and functional capacity at baseline predict appetite at 18-month follow-up?
- Q3. How do physical activity, functional capacity, and appetite change over time?

Methods

Study design and sample

This prospective observational study conformed with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.²¹ It was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Linköping, Sweden (number M222-08/T81-09). The inclusion criteria were: age \geq 18 years, diagnosed HF objectively confirmed by echocardiography with reduced ejection fraction, New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional classification II–IV, and able to read and write Swedish. Patients with kidney disease on dialysis, short life expectancy due to cancer, and not being able to complete the data collection procedure were excluded – for example, patients unable to visit the outpatient HF clinic for necessary assessments. Before the participants entered the study, written informed consent was obtained.

Procedure

Patients were recruited from three outpatient HF clinics in Sweden, led by HF nurses with significant experience in caring for patients with HF. A research nurse with extensive experience in caring for patients with HF, performing medical records reviews, and who was educated in performing the six-minute walk test collected all study data. A consecutive sample of 316 patients was invited to participate in the study, of whom 186 (59%) accepted. Non-participants were significantly older than the participants ($t(313) = 3.64, p < 0.001$), but no gender differences were observed ($\chi^2(1) = 0.10, p = 0.701$). For those who accepted to participate, two study visits were scheduled within a week for baseline data collection. A first hospital visit was scheduled for clinical assessments, distribution of a questionnaire package to be completed at home, and for handing out a wearable metabolic-activity armband (actigraph) that participants were instructed to wear at home for 24 hours for seven days. The second visit took place in the participants' home or in the hospital. On this occasion, questionnaires and the actigraph were collected.

To determine whether physical activity and functional capacity can predict appetite, participants were asked to complete a follow-up assessment after 18 months. This timepoint was chosen to observe the effects of HF progression. One hundred and sixteen (62.4%) of the 186 participants at baseline also completed the follow-up measures at the 18-month follow-up. There were no differences between those who completed the 18-month follow-up

and the dropouts regarding gender, age, appetite, and physical activity, However, the dropouts had significantly higher NYHA class at baseline ($\chi^2(2) = 12.7, p = 0.002$).

Measures

Appetite: the Council on Nutrition Appetite Questionnaire (CNAQ) was used to measure self-reported appetite.²² The CNAQ consists of eight items with five verbally ordered response alternatives. The total score ranges from 8 to 40, where CNAQ scores ≤ 28 indicate poor appetite with risk of significant weight loss ($\geq 5\%$) over a six-month period.²² The Swedish version has shown sound psychometric properties regarding validity (item-total correlations, factor structure, construct validity, and know-group validity), and internal consistency (ordinal alpha).² In this study, internal consistency, estimated with Cronbach's alpha, was satisfactory ($\alpha = 0.74$).

Physical activity: a numeric self-rating scale ranging from 1 to 10, where 1 indicates very low physical activity and 10 very high physical activity, were used to measure self-reported physical activity. Numeric rating scales have been widely used in pain and physical function assessments.^{23,24} According to recommendations, the authors combined a self-reported assessment with direct assessment²⁵ by using a wearable multi-sensor actigraph (SenseWear[®], Body Monitoring System).²⁶ The SenseWear[®] monitor measures different body physiological signals by four sensors: skin temperature, galvanic skin response, heat flux sensor, and two-axis accelerometer. Based on these measurements, together with age, gender, height, and weight, the SenseWear[®] monitor calculates daily physical activity, including total energy expenditure, active energy expenditure above 3 metabolic equivalents (METs), METs daily average, and number of steps. The METs correspond with an individual physical activity energy consumption and are calculated by Kcal/kg/hour. The METs were categorized as moderate physical activity 3–6 METs, moderate to vigorous >3 METs, and vigorous >6 METs.²⁷ All SenseWear measures for physical activity were calculated as mean values over the first four days, as all patients had valid measures for four days. The instrument has been validated among healthy individuals against doubly labelled water methods.²⁸

Functional capacity: functional capacity was assessed with a six-minute walk test. This test took place indoors in a quiet area at the hospital. Patients were instructed to walk up and down a 30-meter-long corridor as rapidly as possible for six minutes. If any unpleasant symptoms occurred, such as breathlessness, fatigue, or palpitations, the participants were advised to slow down to be as comfortable as possible, or terminate.²⁹

HF severity: the NYHA functional classification was used to classify HF severity by patients' own narrative of which situations symptoms occurred: NYHA class I = no limitations of physical activity. Ordinary physical activity does not cause undue breathlessness, fatigue, or palpitations; NYHA class II = slight limitation of physical activity. Comfortable at rest, but ordinary physical activity, results in undue breathlessness, fatigue, or palpitations; NYHA class III = marked limitation of physical activity. Comfortable at rest, but less than ordinary physical activity results in undue breathlessness, fatigue, or palpitations; NYHA class IV = unable to carry on any physical activity without discomfort. Symptoms at rest can be present. If any physical activity is undertaken,

discomfort is increased.³⁰ B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) was assessed specifically for this study at the first outpatient visit as an indicator of HF severity.

Demographic and medical variables: age and gender was self-reported. Medical variables – that is, pharmacological treatment, duration of HF, left ventricle ejection fraction, and comorbidity – were abstracted from medical records.

Data analysis.—The sample characteristics, demographic and study variables are presented using descriptive statistics.

A series of linear regression analyses were conducted to investigate the relationship between physical activity, functional capacity, and appetite at baseline (Q1). In a first step, physical activity and functional capacity were entered as explanatory variables, one model for each variable (i.e. self-reported physical activity, total energy expenditure, active energy expenditure, number of steps, METs daily average, and the six-minute walk test). In a second step, age, NYHA class, and BNP were entered as adjusting covariates.

To investigate whether physical activity and functional capacity were associated with appetite at the 18-month follow-up (Q2), the regression analyses were repeated, but with appetite from the follow-up assessment as the outcome variable.

The paired sample *t*-test was used to investigate changes in appetite, physical activity, and functional capacity between baseline and the 18-month follow-up assessment (Q3).

A power calculation showed that a sample size of 85 participants would be sufficient to detect a medium effect for a multiple linear regression model including four explanatory variables, based on the following parameters; $f^2 = 0.15$, $1 - \beta = 0.8$, and $\alpha = 0.05$. Additionally, a sample size of 34 participants would be sufficient to detect a medium effect size for an unpaired sample *t*-test, based on the following parameters; $d = 0.5$, $1 - \beta = 0.8$, and $\alpha = 0.05$. A medium effect size was used in these calculations as no previous study was identified, in which a suggested effect size was presented.

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Sample characteristics

The sample consisted of 186 patients with HF, 56 (30%) women and 130 (70%) men. The mean age was 70.7 (standard deviation (SD) = 11.0) years, and the majority (63%) had NYHA class II (range = II–IV). The mean body mass index was 28.7 (SD = 5.3) (Table 1).

Appetite and physical activity

A large number of the participants in the study reported poor appetite at the baseline assessment, with CNAQ scores ≤ 28 , $n = 71$ (38%). In general, the level of physical activity was low. At baseline, patients walked an average of 4623 steps/day (SD = 3384), and the

METs daily average was 1.1 (SD = 0.2). The walking distance averaged 370 meters (SD = 144) in the six-minute walk test (Table 1).

Association between physical activity and appetite at baseline (Q1)

In the univariate models, higher levels of total energy expenditure ($b = 0.150, p = 0.041$), active energy expenditure ($b = 0.175, p = 0.017$), number of steps ($b = 0.254, p < 0.001$), METs daily average ($b = 0.199, p = 0.007$), and the six-minute walk test ($b = 0.266, p = 0.001$) were significantly associated with higher levels of appetite at baseline (Table 2). Physical activity and functional capacity explained 2–7% of the total variance in appetite, with walking distance in meters as the most important explanatory variable. In the adjusted models, number of steps ($b = 0.204, p = 0.019$) and the six-minute walk test ($b = 0.269, p = 0.007$) remained significantly associated with appetite (Table 2).

Physical activity as a predictor for appetite over time (Q2)

In the univariate models, self-reported physical activity ($b = 0.207, p = 0.026$), total energy expenditure ($b = 0.196, p = 0.035$), active energy expenditure ($b = 0.220, p = 0.018$), number of steps ($b = 0.377, p < 0.001$), METs daily average ($b = 0.269, p = 0.003$), and the six-minute walk test ($b = 0.254, p = 0.010$) were significant predictors of appetite at 18-month follow-up (Table 3). Each of the physical activity variables explained 4–14% of the total variance in appetite, with number of steps as the most important explanatory variable. In the multivariate (adjusted) models, number of steps ($b = 0.347, p = 0.001$) and METs daily average ($b = 0.206, p = 0.040$) remained predictors of appetite at 18-month follow-up (Table 3).

Changes in physical activity and appetite over time (Q3)

No significant changes over time were shown for physical activity, functional capacity, or appetite (Table 4).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the relationship between physical activity, functional capacity, and appetite in patients with HF. Although the majority of the participants had mild to moderate HF symptoms (i.e. NYHA class II), the levels of physical activity and functional capacity were comparable to a sedentary lifestyle, which was consistent with previous studies.^{13,14} Furthermore, appetite was low, indicating a risk of developing future weight loss.²² Low levels of physical activity and poor appetite are often described as a clinical concern among the most symptomatic patients with HF. These findings underline that low levels of physical activity and poor appetite are common problems in HF, even among those with less symptomatic HF.

The first research question sought to determine the relationships between physical activity, functional capacity, and appetite in patients with HF. It was found that physical activity and functional capacity were associated with appetite in univariate regression models at baseline measurements. Of these associations, number of steps and the six-minute walk test remained significant in the adjusted multivariate models. Our findings were similar with those of

Saitoh et al., who found that HF patients with low appetite performed poorer on the six-minute walk test in comparison with patients who did not experience loss of appetite.³¹ Our findings were also consistent with a study showing that the functional capacity of frail elders assessed by gait speed was significantly associated with appetite,³ implying that those who walked slower had poorer appetite.

The second research question was to determine how well baseline physical activity and functional capacity predicted appetite at the 18-month follow-up. It was found that all physical activity variables and functional capacity were significantly associated with appetite in univariate regression models at the 18-month follow-up, while in the adjusted multivariate models only number of steps and METS daily average remained significant. This result reflects the findings of a longitudinal study, which showed that loss of appetite predicts difficulties in performing daily physical activities, such as dressing and mobility, at a two-year follow-up.³

Just as in the study by Landi et al., the present study does not investigate the causal relationship between physical activity and appetite. Further studies are needed to determine whether there is a recursive relationship between these variables or if the relationship is non-recursive. After that, the causal direction needs to be confirmed. Independent of the relationship between the variables, physical activity and appetite should be recognized in patients with HF in clinical practice, both in hospitals and outpatient HF clinics.

Number of steps was an important variable to explain variations in appetite. This is contrary to a previous study that showed no relationship between number of steps and appetite.³² This may be due to the present study investigating elderly patients with HF, whereas the previous study dealt with a small sample of healthy adults. Increased number of steps is also found to be associated with a lower risk of cardiovascular events.³³

Although physical activity predicted appetite, neither appetite nor physical activity or functional capacity changed significantly over time. This finding was unexpected as HF is a progressive disease. Therefore, a deterioration in physical activity and appetite could have been expected. One likely explanation is that the participants who dropped out were the ones with the most severe HF symptoms, which was also supported by the dropout analysis.

Methodological considerations

A strength in the present study is the prospective design with a long-term follow-up, and the sample size. Even if the dropout rate was high, this was expected as prognosis is poor among patients with HF. This is supported by our dropout analyses, which show that significantly more participants with NYHA III and IV dropped out than those with NYHA II. However, the dropout rate will probably not affect the external validity as it was not possible to identify any differences in physical activity and appetite at baseline among those who completed the 18-month follow-up and those who did not. Despite the dropout, the sample was still large enough to conduct simple linear regression analyses.

Participants were instructed to wear the SenseWear armband for one week to average out daily variations. However, as a large share terminated before seven days, the first four days

were used, independent on how long the participants had used the armband. All patients had sufficient valid data for the first four days and it was estimated to be enough to reflect daily variations.

The generalizability of the study findings is limited to outpatients with moderate–severe HF. In summary, the level of physical activity was low and appetite was poor in this study sample. Patients who were more physically active had better appetite, and these findings emphasize the importance of paying greater attention to physical activity and appetite jointly in clinical practice.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge all study participants, HF nurses, and administration staff for their assistance in completing this study.

Funding

This work was supported by the Centre for Clinical Research Sörmland, Uppsala University, Eskilstuna, Sweden, the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation, King Gustaf V and Queen Victoria's Freemason Foundation, and the Medical Research Council of Southeast Sweden.

References

1. Muscaritoli M, Aversa Z, Laviano A, et al. Consensus definition of sarcopenia, cachexia and pre-cachexia: joint document elaborated by Special Interest Groups (SIG) “cachexia-anorexia in chronic wasting diseases” and “nutrition in geriatrics”. *Clin Nutr* 2010; 29(2): 154–159. [PubMed: 20060626]
2. Andreae C, Strömberg A, Sawatzky R, et al. Psychometric evaluation of two appetite questionnaires in patients with heart failure. *J Card Fail* 2015; 21(12): 954–958. [PubMed: 26497759]
3. Landi F, Russo A, Liperoti R, et al. Anorexia, physical function, and incident disability among the frail elderly population: results from the iLSIRENTE study. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2010; 11(4): 268–274. [PubMed: 20439047]
4. Schrader E, Grosch E, Bertsch T, et al. Nutritional and functional status in geriatric day hospital patients - MNA short form versus full MNA. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2016; 20(9): 918–926. [PubMed: 27791222]
5. Naseer M and Fagerstrom C. Prevalence and association of undernutrition with quality of life among Swedish people aged 60 years and above: results of the SNAC-B study. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2015; 19(10): 970–979. [PubMed: 26624207]
6. Sargento L, Satendra M, Almeida I, et al. Nutritional status of geriatric outpatients with systolic heart failure and its prognostic value regarding death or hospitalization, bio-markers and quality of life. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2013; 17(4): 300–304. [PubMed: 23538649]
7. Bonilla-Palomas JL, Gamez-Lopez AL, Anguita-Sanchez MP, et al. Impact of malnutrition on long-term mortality in hospitalized patients with heart failure. *Rev Esp Cardiol* 2011; 64(9): 752–758. [PubMed: 21652135]
8. Sargento L, Vicente Simoes A, Rodrigues J, et al. Geriatric nutritional risk index as a nutritional and survival risk assessment tool in stable outpatients with systolic heart failure. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2017; 27(5): 430–437. [PubMed: 28438373]
9. Evans WJ, Morley JE, Argiles J, et al. Cachexia: a new definition. *Clin Nutr* 2008; 27(6): 793–799. [PubMed: 18718696]
10. von Haehling S, Lainscak M, Springer J, et al. Cardiac cachexia: a systematic overview. *Pharmacol Ther* 2009; 121(3): 227–252. [PubMed: 19061914]
11. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: the Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Developed with the special

- contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2016; 18(8): 891–975. [PubMed: 27207191]
12. Brunjes DL, Kennel PJ and Christian Schulze P. Exercise capacity, physical activity, and morbidity. *Heart Fail Rev* 2017; 22(2): 133–139. [PubMed: 28091824]
 13. Klompstra L, Jaarsma T and Stromberg A. Physical activity in patients with heart failure: barriers and motivations with special focus on sex differences. *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2015; 9: 1603–1610. [PubMed: 26635469]
 14. Dontje ML, van der Wal MH, Stolk RP, et al. Daily physical activity in stable heart failure patients. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2014; 29(3): 218–226. [PubMed: 23416939]
 15. Blundell JE, Gibbons C, Caudwell P, et al. Appetite control and energy balance: impact of exercise. *Obes Rev* 2015; 16(Suppl 1): 67–76. [PubMed: 25614205]
 16. Martins C, Morgan L and Truby H. A review of the effects of exercise on appetite regulation: an obesity perspective. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008; 32(9): 1337–1347. [PubMed: 18607378]
 17. Blundell JE. Physical activity and appetite control: can we close the energy gap? *Nutr Bull* 2011; 36(3): 356–366.
 18. Blundell JE, Caudwell P, Gibbons C, et al. Role of resting metabolic rate and energy expenditure in hunger and appetite control: a new formulation. *Dis Model Mech* 2012; 5(5): 608–613. [PubMed: 22915022]
 19. Apolzan JW, Flynn MG, McFarlin BK, et al. Age and physical activity status effects on appetite and mood state in older humans. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2009; 34(2): 203–211. [PubMed: 19370051]
 20. Andreae C, Stromberg A and Arestedt K. Prevalence and associated factors for decreased appetite among patients with stable heart failure. *J Clin Nurs* 2016; 25(11–12): 1703–1712. [PubMed: 26879764]
 21. Rickham PP. Human experimentation. Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association. Declaration of Helsinki. *Br Med J* 1964; 2(5402): 177. [PubMed: 14150898]
 22. Wilson MM, Thomas DR, Rubenstein LZ, et al. Appetite assessment: simple appetite questionnaire predicts weight loss in community-dwelling adults and nursing home residents. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005; 82(5): 1074–1081. [PubMed: 16280441]
 23. van Dijk JF, Kappen TH, van Wijck AJ, et al. The diagnostic value of the numeric pain rating scale in older postoperative patients. *J Clin Nurs* 2012; 21(21–22): 3018–3024. [PubMed: 23083383]
 24. Mehta P, Claydon LS, Hendrick P, et al. Pain and physical functioning in neuropathic pain: a systematic review of psychometric properties of various outcome measures. *Pain Pract* 2016; 16(4): 495–508. [PubMed: 25865603]
 25. Ainsworth B, Cahalin L, Buman M, et al. The current state of physical activity assessment tools. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2015; 57(4): 387–395. [PubMed: 25446555]
 26. Calabro MA, Kim Y, Franke WD, et al. Objective and subjective measurement of energy expenditure in older adults: a doubly labeled water study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2015; 69(7): 850–855. [PubMed: 25351651]
 27. Hills AP, Mokhtar N and Byrne NM. Assessment of physical activity and energy expenditure: an overview of objective measures. *Front Nutr* 2014; 1: 5. [PubMed: 25988109]
 28. Plasqui G, Bonomi AG and Westerterp KR. Daily physical activity assessment with accelerometers: new insights and validation studies. *Obes Rev* 2013; 14(6): 451–462. [PubMed: 23398786]
 29. Rostagno C and Gensini GF. Six minute walk test: a simple and useful test to evaluate functional capacity in patients with heart failure. *Intern Emerg Med* 2008; 3(3): 205–212. [PubMed: 18299800]
 30. McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, et al. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2012; 14(8): 803–869. [PubMed: 22828712]

31. Saitoh M, Dos Santos MR, Emami A, et al. Anorexia, functional capacity, and clinical outcome in patients with chronic heart failure: results from the Studies Investigating Co-morbidities Aggravating Heart Failure (SICA-HF). *ESC Heart Fail* 2017; 4(4): 448–457. [PubMed: 28960880]
32. Koulouri AA, Tigbe WW and Lean ME. The effect of advice to walk 2000 extra steps daily on food intake. *J Hum Nutr Diet* 2006; 19(4): 263–266. [PubMed: 16911238]
33. Yates T, Haffner SM, Schulte PJ, et al. Association between change in daily ambulatory activity and cardiovascular events in people with impaired glucose tolerance (NAVIGATOR trial): a cohort analysis. *Lancet* 2014; 383(9922): 1059–1066. [PubMed: 24361242]

Implications for clinical practice

- The level of physical activity and appetite are important aspects to include in the routine assessment of patients with heart failure since they are found to be affected in this population.
- Physical activity, such as walking, can possibly increase appetite. Therefore, healthcare professionals should pay attention to these components jointly as they might improve patients' health outcomes.

Table 1.Participants' demographic and clinical characteristics ($N = 186$).

Age (years), mean (SD)	70.7 (11.0)
Female sex, n (%)	56 (30)
Duration of HF >5 years, n (%)	141 (76)
NYHA class, n (%)	
II	114 (61)
III	60 (32)
IV	12 (6)
LVEF, n (%)	
40–49	47 (25)
30–39	76 (40)
<30	63 (34)
BNP (qmol/l), mean (SD)	189.6 (195.2)
BMI (kg/m^2), mean (SD)	28.7 (5.3)
CCI, mean (SD)	1.8 (1.2)
Pharmacological treatment, n (%)	
Beta blocker	174 (94)
ACE-inhibitor	111 (60)
Angiotensin receptor blocker	76 (41)
Aldosteron antagonist	63 (34)
Appetite	
CNAQ, mean (SD)	28.7 (3.5)
CNAQ ≤ 28 , n (%)	71 (38)
CNAQ > 28, n (%)	115 (62)
Physical activity	
Self-reported physical activity, mean (SD)	4.5 (1.8)
Total energy expenditure (kcal/day), mean (SD)	2208.5 (531.0)
Active energy expenditure (kcal/day), mean (SD)	228.2 (296.1)
Number of steps/day, mean (SD)	4623.0 (3384.3)
METs daily average/day, mean (SD)	1.1 (0.2)
Six-minute walk test (meters/day), mean (SD)	369.5 (144.1)

ACE: angiotensin converting enzyme; BMI: body mass index; BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide; CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; CNAQ: Council on Nutrition Appetite Questionnaire; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; METs: metabolic equivalents; NYHA class: New York Heart Association Classification; SD: standard deviation.

Association between physical activity, functional capacity, and appetite at baseline, based on univariate and multiple linear regression analyses.

Table 2.

	Step I – univariate linear regression			Step II – multiple linear regression			
	n	B	b	p-value	B	b	p-value
Self-reported physical activity	185	0.280	0.140	0.057	0.176	0.088	0.258
Age					-0.034	-0.107	0.178
NYHA					-1.045	-0.145	0.074
BNP					0.000	0.012	0.873
Total energy expenditure (kcal)	186	0.001	0.150	0.041	$F(4, 180) = 2.674, p = 0.034, R^2 = 0.056$		
Age					0.001	0.104	0.225
NYHA					-0.017	-0.055	0.530
BNP					-1.245	-0.174	0.023
Active energy expenditure (kcal)	186	0.002	0.175	0.017	$F(4, 181) = 2.697, p = 0.032, R^2 = 0.056$		
Age					0.001	0.112	0.152
NYHA					-0.026	-0.083	0.297
BNP					-1.058	-0.148	0.058
Number of steps	186	0.0003	0.254	<0.001	$F(4, 181) = 2.850, p = 0.025, R^2 = 0.059$		
Age					0.0002	0.204	0.019
NYHA					-0.014	-0.045	0.582
BNP					-0.782	-0.109	0.171
MET's daily average	186	3.422	0.199	0.007	$F(4, 181) = 3.774, p = 0.006, R^2 = 0.077$		
Age					2.332	0.136	0.083
NYHA					-0.027	-0.084	0.286
BNP					-0.942	-0.132	0.097
Six-minute walk test (meters)	155	0.006	0.266	0.001	$F(4, 181) = 3.107, p = 0.017, R^2 = 0.064$		
Age					0.006	0.269	0.007
					-0.010	-0.035	0.696

	Step I – univariate linear regression			Step II – multiple linear regression		
	<i>B</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>p</i> -value	<i>B</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>p</i> -value
NYHA				-0.023	-0.003	0.971
BNP				0.001	0.063	0.454
	$F(1, 153) = 11.606, p = 0.001, R^2 = 0.071$ $F(4, 150) = 3.012, p = 0.020, R^2 = 0.074$					

B: unstandardized regression (slope) coefficient; *b*: standardized regression (slope) coefficient; BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide; NYHA class: New York Heart Association Classification; METs: metabolic equivalents.

Table 3.

Baseline predictors of appetite at 18 months, based on univariate and multiple linear regression analyses.

	Step I – univariate linear regression			Step II – multiple linear regression		
	n	B	p-value	B	b	p-value
Self-reported physical activity	116	0.373	0.207	0.283	0.157	0.110
Age				-0.025	-0.086	0.389
NYHA				-0.928	-0.138	0.166
BNP				-0.001	-0.053	0.588
Total energy expenditure (kcal)	116	0.001	0.196	0.001	0.152	0.145
Age				-0.002	-0.009	0.936
NYHA				-1.236	-0.184	0.052
BNP				-0.001	-0.048	0.630
Active energy expenditure (kcal)	116	0.003	0.220	0.002	0.154	0.118
Age				-0.016	-0.056	0.575
NYHA				-1.016	-0.151	0.121
BNP				-0.001	-0.43	0.667
Number of steps	116	0.0003	0.377	0.0003	0.347	0.001
Age				-0.001	-0.003	0.979
NYHA				-0.547	-0.081	0.395
BNP				0.000	0.007	0.940
MET's daily average	116	4.163	0.269	3.185	0.206	0.040
Age				-0.016	-0.054	0.582
NYHA				-0.793	-0.118	0.236
BNP				-0.001	-0.046	0.637
Six-minute walk test (meters)	103	0.005	0.254	0.003	0.161	0.175
Age				-0.020	-0.69	0.514

	Step I – univariate linear regression		Step II – multiple linear regression	
	<i>B</i>	<i>p</i> -value	<i>B</i>	<i>p</i> -value
NYHA			-0.931	0.219
BNP			0.000	0.771
	<i>F</i> (1, 101) = 6.952, <i>p</i> = 0.010, <i>R</i> ² = 0.064			
	<i>F</i> (4, 98) = 2.218, <i>p</i> = 0.073, <i>R</i> ² = 0.083			

B: unstandardized regression (slope) coefficient; *b*: standardized regression (slope) coefficient; BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide; NYHA class: New York Heart Association Classification; METs: metabolic equivalents.

Changes in appetite, physical activity, and functional capacity between baseline and the 18-month follow-up assessment.

Table 4.

	<i>n</i>	Baseline, mean (SD)	18 months, mean (SD)	<i>p</i> -value ^a
Appetite (CNAQ)	116	28.9 (3.1)	28.5 (3.1)	0.054
Self-reported physical activity	116	4.7 (1.7)	4.6 (1.7)	0.723
Total energy expenditure (kcal)	101	2177.5 (495.7)	2129.0 (399.5)	0.172
Active energy expenditure (kcal)	101	218.2 (226.1)	192.1 (191.1)	0.178
Number of steps	101	4798.3 (3231.4)	4756.6 (3418.7)	0.830
METs daily average	101	1.101 (0.188)	1.080 (0.183)	0.095
Six-minute walk test (meters)	85	405.3 (132.7)	412.8 (122.4)	0.446

^aPaired sample *t*-tests.

SD: standard deviation; CNAQ: Council on Nutrition Appetite Questionnaire; METs: metabolic equivalents.