UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Investigation of the p–Σ0 interaction via femtoscopy in pp collisions

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5392m762>

Authors

Acharya, S Adamová, D Adler, A [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5392m762#author)

Publication Date

2020-06-01

DOI

10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135419

Peer reviewed

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb

Investigation of the p– Σ^0 interaction via femtoscopy in pp collisions

.ALICE [Collaboration](#page-8-0)

A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

Article history: Received 16 November 2019 Received in revised form 27 March 2020 Accepted 6 April 2020 Available online 9 April 2020 Editor: B. Betram

This Letter presents the first direct investigation of the $p-\Sigma^0$ interaction, using the femtoscopy technique in high-multiplicity pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV measured by the ALICE detector. The Σ^0 is reconstructed via the decay channel to $Λγ$, and the subsequent decay of $Λ$ to $pπ$ ⁻. The photon is detected via the conversion in material to e^+e^- pairs exploiting the capability of the ALICE detector to measure electrons at low transverse momenta. The measured $p-\Sigma^0$ correlation indicates a shallow strong interaction. The comparison of the data to several theoretical predictions obtained employing the *Correlation Analysis Tool using the Schrödinger Equation* (CATS) and the Lednický–Lyuboshits approach shows that the current experimental precision does not yet allow to discriminate between different models, as it is the case for the available scattering and hypernuclei data. Nevertheless, the $p-\Sigma^0$ correlation function is found to be sensitive to the strong interaction, and driven by the interplay of the different spin and isospin channels. This pioneering study demonstrates the feasibility of a femtoscopic measurement in the $p-\Sigma^0$ channel and with the expected larger data samples in LHC Run 3 and Run 4, the $p-\Sigma^0$ interaction will be constrained with high precision.

© 2020 European Organization for Nuclear Research. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

1. Introduction

A quantitative understanding of the hyperon–nucleon interaction in the strangeness $S = -1$ sector is fundamental to pin down the role of strangeness within low energy quantum chromodynamics and to study the properties of baryonic matter at finite densities. The possible presence of the isoscalar Λ and the isovector $(\Sigma^{+},\,\Sigma^{0},\,\Sigma^{-})$ hyperon states in the inner core of neutron stars (NS) is currently under debate due to the limited knowledge of the interaction of such hyperons with nuclear matter. The inclusion of hyperons in the description of the nuclear matter inside NS typically contains only Λ states, and the on-average attractive nucleon– Λ (N– Λ) interaction leads to rather soft Equations of State (EoS) for NS. These are then unable to provide stability for stars of about two solar masses [[1,2\]](#page-7-0). The Σ hyperons are rarely included in the EoS for NS because of the limited knowledge about the $N-\Sigma$ strong interaction.

Indeed, while the attractive $N-\Lambda$ interaction is reasonably well constrained from the available scattering and light hypernuclei data $[3-5]$, the nature of the N- Σ interaction lacks conclusive experimental measurements. One of the major complications for experimental studies is the fact that the decay of all Σ states involves neutral decay products [[6](#page-7-0)], thus requiring high-resolution calorimeters.

The main source of experimental constraints on the $N-\Sigma$ system comes from scattering measurements $[7-9]$, analysis of $\Sigma^$ atoms [[10](#page-7-0)–[12\]](#page-7-0), and hypernuclei production data [\[13](#page-7-0)–[16](#page-7-0)], although the latter are mainly dominated by large statistical uncertainties and large kaon decay background. Latest hypernuclear results obtained from different nuclear targets point towards an attractive interaction in the isospin $I = 1/2$ channel of the N– Σ system $[13,14]$, and repulsion in the $I = 3/2$ channel $[15,16]$. Hypernuclear measurements, however, are performed at nuclear saturation density and hence in the presence of more than one nucleon, resulting in a substantial model dependence in the interpretation of the experimental data [\[17\]](#page-7-0).

Additionally, the hyperon–nucleon dynamics are strongly affected by the conversion process $N-\Lambda \leftrightarrow N-\Sigma$, occurring in the $I = 1/2$ channel due to the close kinematic threshold between the two systems (about 80 MeV) $[18-22]$. This coupling is expected to provide an additional attractive contribution in the twobody N– Λ interaction in vacuum [[21](#page-7-0),[22](#page-7-0)]. Indeed, depending on the strength of the $N-\Lambda \leftrightarrow N-\Sigma$ coupling at the two-body level, the corresponding in-medium hyperon properties are very different. For a strong coupling, this leads to a repulsive single-particle potential U_A at large densities [\[21,22\]](#page-7-0). For the Σ hyperon, the in-medium properties are mostly determined by the overall repulsion in the $I = 3/2$ component $[21,22]$ $[21,22]$. A repulsive component in the hyperon–nucleon interactions could shift the onset for hyperon production to larger densities, above 2–3 times the normal satura-

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135419>

E-mail address: alice-publications@cern.ch.

^{0370-2693/© 2020} European Organization for Nuclear Research. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3.

tion density, thus leading to stiffer EoS which are able to describe the experimental constraint of NS.

To this end, different theoretical approaches including chiral effective field theories (*χ*EFT) [\[20](#page-7-0)] and meson-exchange models with hadronic [[23\]](#page-7-0) and quark [\[24\]](#page-7-0) degrees of freedom provide a similar description of the available data by assuming a strong repulsion in the spin singlet $S = 0$, $I = 1/2$ and spin triplet $S = 1$, $I = 3/2$ and an overall attraction in the remaining channels. Recent ab initio lattice calculations at quark physical masses show a similar dependence on spin-isospin configurations for the central poten-tial term [[25](#page-7-0)]. The strength of the coupled-channel $N-\Lambda \leftrightarrow N-\Sigma$ is strongly model dependent as well. Calculations based on chiral models [[20,21](#page-7-0)] and meson-exchange models [[18,26\]](#page-7-0) predict a rather strong or much weaker coupling, respectively. A selfconsistent description of this coupled-channel demands a detailed knowledge of the strong interaction in the N–*-* system.

Recently, the study of two-particle correlations in momentum space measured in ultra-relativistic proton–proton (pp) and proton–nucleus collisions has proven to provide direct access to the interaction between particle pairs in vacuum [\[27–29](#page-7-0)]. The small size of the colliding systems of about 1 fm results in a pronounced correlation signal from strong final state interactions, which permits the latter to be precisely constrained. These measurements provided additional data in the hyperon sector with an unprecedented precision in the low momentum regime. In this Letter, these studies are extended to the Σ sector. The electromagnetic decay of the Σ^0 is exploited for the first direct measurement of the p– Σ^0 interaction in pp collisions. This study paves the way for extending these investigations to the charged Σ states, in particular in light of the larger data samples expected from the LHC Runs 3 and 4.

2. Data analysis

This Letter presents results obtained from a data sample of pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV recorded with the ALICE detector [[30,31\]](#page-7-0) during the LHC Run 2 (2015–2018). The sample was collected employing a high-multiplicity trigger with the V0 detectors, which consist of two small-angle plastic scintillator arrays located on either side of the collision vertex at pseudorapidities $2.8 < \eta < 5.1$ and −3*.*⁷ *< η <* −1*.*7 [[32\]](#page-7-0). The high-multiplicity trigger is defined by coincident hits in both V0 detectors synchronous with the LHC bunch crossing and by additionally requiring the sum of the measured signal amplitudes in the V0 to exceed a multiple of the average value in minimum bias collisions. This corresponds, at the analysis level, to the highest multiplicity interval containing the top 0.17% of all inelastic collisions with at least one charged particle in $|\eta|$ < 1 (referred to as INEL $>$ 0). This data set presents a suitable environment to study correlations due to the enhanced production of strange particles in such collisions [\[33\]](#page-7-0). Additionally, the larger charged-particle multiplicity density with respect to the minimum bias sample significantly increases the probability to detect particle pairs. The V0 is also employed to suppress background events, such as the interaction of beam particles with mechanical structures of the beam line, or beam-gas interactions. In-bunch pile-up events with more than one collision per bunch crossing are rejected by evaluating the presence of additional event vertices [\[31\]](#page-7-0). The remaining contamination from pile-up events is on the percent level and does not influence the final results.

Charged-particle tracking within the ALICE central barrel is conducted with the Inner Tracking System (ITS) [\[30\]](#page-7-0) and the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) [\[34](#page-7-0)]. The detectors are immersed in a homogeneous 0.5 T solenoidal magnetic field along the beam direction. The ITS consists of six cylindrical layers of high positionresolution silicon detectors placed radially between 3*.*9 and 43 cm around the beam pipe. The two innermost layers are Silicon Pixel Detectors (SPD) and cover the pseudorapidity range |*η*| *<* ²*.*0 and $|n|$ < 1.4, respectively. The two intermediate layers are composed of Silicon Drift Detectors, and the two outermost layers are made of double-sided Silicon micro-Strip Detectors (SSD), covering |*η*| *<* 0.9 and $|\eta|$ < 1.0, respectively. The TPC consists of a 5 m long, cylindrical gaseous detector with full azimuthal coverage in the pseudorapidity range |*η*| *<* ⁰*.*9. Particle identification (PID) is conducted via the measurement of the specific ionization energy loss (dE/dx) with up to 159 reconstructed space points along the particle trajectory. The Time-Of-Flight (TOF) [\[35](#page-7-0)] detector system is located at a radial distance of 3.7 m from the nominal interaction point and consists of Multigap Resistive Plate Chambers covering the full azimuthal angle in $|\eta|$ < 0.9. PID is accomplished by measuring the particle's velocity $β$ via the time of flight of the particles in conjunction with their trajectory. The event collision time is provided as a combination of the measurements in the TOF and the T0 detector, two Cherenkov counter arrays placed at forward rapidity [\[36](#page-7-0)].

The primary event vertex (PV) is reconstructed with the combined track information of the ITS and the TPC, and independently with SPD tracklets. When both vertex reconstruction methods are available, the difference of the corresponding *z* coordinates is required to be smaller than 5 mm. A uniform detector coverage is assured by restricting the maximal deviation between the *z* coordinate of the reconstructed PV and the nominal interaction point to ± 10 cm. A total of 1.0×10^9 high-multiplicity events are used for the analysis after event selection.

The proton candidates are reconstructed following the analysis methods used for minimum bias pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [[27\]](#page-7-0) and 13 TeV [[28](#page-7-0),[29](#page-7-0)], and are selected from the charged-particle tracks reconstructed with the TPC in the kinematic range 0*.*5 *<* $p_T < 4.05$ GeV/*c* and $|\eta| < 0.8$. The TPC and TOF PID capabilities are employed to select proton candidates by the deviation n_{σ} between the signal hypothesis for a proton and the experimental measurement, normalized by the detector resolution σ . For candidates with $p < 0.75$ GeV/*c*, PID is performed with the TPC only, requiring $|n_{\sigma}| < 3$. For larger momenta, the PID information of TPC and TOF are combined. Secondary particles stemming from weak decays or the interaction of primary particles with the detector material contaminate the signal. The corresponding fraction of primary and secondary protons are extracted using Monte Carlo (MC) template fits to the measured distribution of the Distance of Closest Approach (DCA) of the track to the primary vertex [\[27\]](#page-7-0). The MC templates are generated using PYTHIA 8.2 [\[37\]](#page-7-0) and filtered through the ALICE detector [[38](#page-7-0)] and reconstruction algorithm [[30](#page-7-0)]. The resulting purity of protons is found to be 99%, with a primary fraction of 82%.

The Σ^0 is reconstructed via the decay channel $\Sigma^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \gamma$ with a branching ratio of almost 100% [\[6](#page-7-0)]. The decay is characterized by a short life time rendering the decay products indistinguishable from primary particles produced in the initial collision. Due to the small mass difference between the Λ and the Σ^0 of about 77 MeV/ c^2 , the γ has typically energies of only few hundreds of MeV. Therefore, it is reconstructed relying on conversions to e+e− pairs in the detector material of the central barrel exploiting the unique capability of the ALICE detector to identify electrons down to transverse momenta of 0.05 GeV/*c*. For transverse radii $R < 180$ cm and $|\eta| < 0.9$ the material budget corresponds to (11.4 ± 0.5) % of a radiation length X_0 , and accordingly to a conversion probability of (8.6 ± 0.4) % [\[39](#page-7-0)]. Details of the photon conversion analysis and the corresponding selection criteria are de-scribed in [\[39,40\]](#page-7-0). The reconstruction relies on the identification of secondary vertices by forming so-called V^0 decay candidates from two oppositely-charged tracks using a procedure described in detail in [\[41\]](#page-7-0). The products of the potential γ conversion are reconstructed with the TPC and the ITS in the kinematic range

Fig. 1. Invariant mass distribution of the $\Lambda \gamma$ and $\overline{\Lambda} \gamma$ candidates, in two p_T intervals of 1.5 - 2.0 GeV/c and 6.5 - 7.0 GeV/c. The signal is described by a single Gaussian, and the background by a polynomial of third order. The number of Σ^0 candidates is evaluated within $M_{\Sigma^0}(p_{\rm T}) \pm 3$ MeV/ c^2 . Only statistical uncertainties are shown.

 $p_T > 0.05$ GeV/*c* and $|\eta| < 0.9$. The candidates for the e⁺e[−] pair are identified by a broad PID selection in the TPC $-6 < n_{\sigma} < 7$. The resulting γ candidate is obtained as the combination of the daughter tracks. Only candidates with $p_T > 0.02$ GeV/*c* and within |*η*| *<* ⁰*.*9 are accepted. Combinatorial background from primary e^+e^- pairs, or Dalitz decays of the π^0 and η mesons is removed by requiring that the radial distance of the conversion point, with respect to the detector centre, ranges from 5 cm to 180 cm. Residual contaminations from K_S^0 and Λ are removed by a selection in the Armenteros-Podolandski space [\[40,42](#page-7-0)]. Random combinations of electrons and positrons are further suppressed by a twodimensional selection on the angle between the plane defined by the e^+e^- pair, and the magnetic field [\[43](#page-7-0)] in combination with the reduced χ^2 of a refit of the reconstructed V^0 assuming that the particle originates from the primary vertex and has $M_{V0} = 0$ [[40\]](#page-7-0). The Cosine of the Pointing Angle (CPA) between the *γ* momentum and the vector pointing from the PV to the decay vertex is required to be CPA *>* 0.999. In addition to the tight CPA selection, the contribution of particles stemming from out-of-bunch pile-up is suppressed by restricting the DCA of the photon to be along the beam direction (DCA*^z <* 0*.*5 cm). After application of the selection criteria, about 946×10^6 γ candidates with a purity of about 95.4% are available for further processing.

The Λ particle candidates are reconstructed via the subsequent decay $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$ with a branching ratio of 63.9% [\[6](#page-7-0)], following the procedures described in [\[27,28\]](#page-7-0). For the $\overline{\Lambda}$ the charge conjugate decay is exploited, and the same selection criteria are applied. The decay products are reconstructed with the TPC and the ITS within |*η*| *<* ⁰*.*9. The daughter candidates are identified by ^a broad PID selection in the TPC $|n_{\sigma}| < 5$. The resulting Λ candidate is obtained as the combination of the daughter tracks. The contribution of fake candidates is reduced by requesting a minimum $p_T > 0.3$ GeV/*c*. The coarse PID selection of the daughter tracks introduces a residual K_S^0 contamination in the sample of the Λ candidates. This contamination is removed by a 1.5σ rejection on the invariant mass assuming a decay into $\pi^+\pi^-$, where σ corresponds to the width of a Gaussian fitted to the K^0_S signal. Topological selections further enhance the purity of the Λ sample. The radial distance of the decay vertex with respect to the detector centre ranges from 0.2 cm to 100 cm and CPA *>* 0.999. In addition to the tight CPA selection, particles stemming from out-of-bunch pile-up are rejected using the timing information of the SPD and SSD, and the TOF detector. One of the two daughter tracks is required to have a hit in one of these detectors. After application of the selection criteria, about 188×10^6 (178 × 10⁶) Λ ($\overline{\Lambda}$) candidates with a purity of 94.6% (95.3%) are available for further processing.

The Σ^0 (Σ^0) candidates are obtained by combining all Λ ($\overline{\Lambda}$) and γ candidates from the same event, where the nominal particle masses [[6](#page-7-0)] are assumed for the daughters. In particular the timing selection on the daughter tracks of the Λ assures that the Σ^0 candidates stem from the right bunch crossing. In case a daughter track is used to construct two γ , Λ , and $\overline{\Lambda}$ candidates, or a combination thereof, the one with the smaller CPA is removed from the sample. In order to further optimize the yield and the purity of the sample, only Σ^0 candidates with $p_{\rm T}$ $>$ 1 GeV/ c are used.

The resulting invariant mass spectrum is shown in Fig. 1 for two p_T intervals. In order to obtain the raw yield, the signal is fitted with a single Gaussian, and the background with a thirdorder polynomial. Due to the deteriorating momentum resolution for low p_{T} tracks, the mean value of the Gaussian M_{Σ^0} exhibits a slight p_T dependence, which is well reproduced in MC simulations. The Σ^0 (Σ^0) candidates for femtoscopy are selected as $M_{\Sigma^0}(p_T) \pm 3$ MeV/ c^2 . The width of the interval is chosen as a compromise between the candidate counts and purity. In total, about 115×10^3 (110×10^3) Σ^0 (Σ^0) candidates are found at a purity of about 34.6%. Due to the enhanced combinatorial background at low p_{T} , the purity increases from about 20% at the lower p_{T} threshold to its saturation value of about 60% above 5 GeV/*c*. Only one candidate per event is used, and is randomly selected in the very rare case in which more than one is available. In less than one per mille of the cases when the track of a primary proton is also employed as the daughter track of the γ or the Λ , the corresponding Σ^0 candidate is rejected. Since only strongly decaying resonances feed to the Σ^0 [\[6](#page-7-0)], all candidates are considered to be primary particles.

3. Analysis of the correlation function

The experimental definition of the two-particle correlation function, for both p-p and p- Σ^0 pairs, is given by [\[44](#page-7-0)],

$$
C(k^*) = \mathcal{N} \times \frac{N_{\text{same}}(k^*)}{N_{\text{mixed}}(k^*)} \xrightarrow{k^* \to \infty} 1,\tag{1}
$$

with the same (*N*same) and mixed (*N*mixed) event distributions of *k*∗ and a normalization constant $\mathcal N$. The relative momentum of the pair k^* is defined as $k^* = \frac{1}{2} \times |\mathbf{p}_1^* - \mathbf{p}_2^*|$, where \mathbf{p}_1^* and \mathbf{p}_2^* are the momenta of the two particles in the pair rest frame, denoted by the [∗]. The normalization is evaluated in *k*[∗] ∈ [240*,* 340] MeV/*c* for

p–p and in *k*[∗] ∈ [250*,* 400] MeV/*c* for p–*-*⁰ pairs, where effects of final state interactions are absent and hence the correlation function approaches unity.

The trajectories of the p–p and \overline{p} – \overline{p} pairs at low k^* are almost collinear, and might therefore be affected by detector effects like track splitting and merging [\[45](#page-8-0)]. Accordingly, the reconstruction efficiency for pairs in the same and mixed event might differ. To this end, a close-pair rejection criterion is employed removing p–p and \overline{p} – \overline{p} pairs fulfilling $\sqrt{\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \varphi^{*2}} < 0.01$, where the azimuthal coordinate *ϕ*[∗] considers the track curvature in the magnetic field.

A total number of 1.7×10^6 (1.3×10^6) p-p (\overline{p} - \overline{p}) and 587 (539) p– Σ^0 (\overline{p} – Σ^0) pairs contribute to the respective correlation function in the region *k*∗ *<* 200 MeV/*c*. To enhance the statistical significance of the results, the correlation functions of baryon– baryon and antibaryon–antibaryon pairs are combined. Therefore, in the following $p-\Sigma^0$ denotes the combination $p-\Sigma^0 \oplus \overline{p}-\Sigma^0$, and correspondingly for p–p.

The systematic uncertainties of the experimental correlation function are evaluated by simultaneously varying all proton, Λ , γ , and Σ^0 single-particle selection criteria by up to 20% around the nominal values. Only variations that modify the pair yield by less than 10% (20%) for p– Σ^0 (p–p) with respect to the default choice are considered, and the Σ^0 purity by less than 5%. The impact of statistical fluctuations is reduced by evaluating the systematic uncertainties in intervals of 100 MeV/ c (20 MeV/ c) in k^* for $\mathsf{p}\text{-}\Sigma^0$ (p–p). The resulting systematic uncertainties are parametrized by an exponential function and interpolated to obtain the final pointby-point uncertainties. At the respectively lowest *k*∗, the total systematic uncertainties are of the order of 2.5% for both p–p and p–Σ⁰.

Using the femtoscopy formalism [\[44\]](#page-7-0), the correlation function can be related to the source function *S(r*∗*)* and the two-particle wave function $\Psi(\vec{r^*}, \vec{k^*})$ incorporating the interaction,

$$
C(k^*) = \int d^3r^* S(r^*) |\Psi(\vec{r^*}, \vec{k^*})|^2,
$$
 (2)

where *r*∗ refers to the relative distance between the two particles. As demonstrated in [\[27–29](#page-7-0)[,46](#page-8-0)] the correlation function becomes particularly sensitive to the strong interaction for small emission sources formed in pp and p–Pb collisions. For this study, a spherically symmetric emitting source is assumed, with a Gaussian shaped core density profile parametrized by a radius r_0 , which is obtained from a fit to the p–p correlation function, similarly as in [\[28,29\]](#page-7-0). Following the premise of a common emission source the such extracted radius is then used as an input to fit the p– Σ^0 correlation function. Possible modifications of the source profile due to the influence of strongly decaying resonances [\[47–49](#page-8-0)] are considered in the evaluation of the systematic uncertainties associated with the fitting procedure.

The genuine p–p correlation function is modeled using the *Correlation Analysis Tool using the Schrödinger equation* (CATS) [[46](#page-8-0)], which allows one to use either a local potential $V(r)$ or directly the two-particle wave function, and additionally any source distribution as input to compute the correlation function. For the p–p correlation function the strong Argonne v_{18} potential [\[50](#page-8-0)] in the *S*, *P* , and *D* waves is used as an input to CATS.

The theoretical correlation function for $\text{p-}\Sigma^0$ is modeled employing two different approaches. On the one hand, in CATS the correlation function is computed from the isospin-averaged wave functions obtained within a coupled-channel formalism. On the other hand, the Lednický–Lyuboshits approach [\[51\]](#page-8-0) relies on the effective-range expansion using scattering parameters as input to evaluate the correlation function. The coupling of the n- Σ^+ system to p– Σ^0 considering the different thresholds is explicitly included by means of a coupled-channel approach, while the effect

Table 1

Weight parameters for the individual components of the measured correlation function. Contributions from feed-down contain the mother particle listed as a subindex. Non-flat contributions are listed individually.

of the $p-\Lambda$ channel is incorporated by complex scattering parameters [\[52](#page-8-0)]. Details of the employed models are described in the next Section.

The experimental data are compared with the modeled correlation function considering the finite experimental momentum resolution [\[27\]](#page-7-0). In addition to the genuine correlation function of interest, the measured correlation function also contains residual correlations due to protons coming from weak decays of other particles, such as Λ and Σ^+ (feed-down), and misidentifications. These effects are included by modeling the total correlation function as a decomposition,

$$
C_{\text{model}}(k^*) = 1 + \sum_{i} \lambda_i \times (C_i(k^*) - 1), \tag{3}
$$

where the sum runs over all contributions. Their relative contribution is given by the *λ* parameters computed in a data-driven way from single-particle properties such as the purity and feed-down fractions [[27\]](#page-7-0), and are summarized in Table 1.

Apart from the genuine p–p correlation function, a significant contribution comes from the decay of Λ particles feeding to the proton pair. The residual $p-\Lambda$ correlation function is modeled using either the Usmani potential [[53\]](#page-8-0), chiral effective field theory calculations at Leading (LO) [\[54](#page-8-0)], or Next-To-Leading order (NLO) [[20](#page-7-0)]. The resulting correlation function is transformed into the momentum basis of the p–p pair by applying the corresponding decay matrices [\[55](#page-8-0)]. All other contributions are assumed to be $C(k^*) \sim 1$. Due to the challenging reconstruction of the Σ^0 , the experimental purity of the Σ^0 sample is rather low, and additionally exhibits a strong dependence on the transverse momentum p_T as demonstrated in Fig. [1](#page-3-0). The average p_T of the Σ^0 candidates used to construct the correlation function at *k*∗ *<* 200 MeV/*c*, however, is lower than the $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle$ of all inclusive Σ^0 candidates. Considering this effect, the Σ^0 purity employed to compute the λ parameters is found to be 27.4%. Accordingly, the main contribution to the $p-\Sigma^0$ correlation function stems from the combinatorial background appearing in the invariant mass spectrum around the Σ^0 peak, which in the following is referred to as $(\Lambda \gamma)$. The shape of the $p-(\Lambda \gamma)$ correlation function is extracted from the sidebands of the invariant mass selection, and is found to be independent of the choice of mass window. The non-flat behavior is mainly determined by residual $p-\Lambda$ correlations which are smeared by an uncorrelated γ , and defines the baseline of the measurement of the $p-\Sigma^0$ correlation function. The shape is parametrized with a Gaussian distribution and weighted by its *λ* parameter. All other contributions stemming from misidentified protons or from feeddown are assumed to be $C(k^*) \sim 1$.

The total correlation function including all corrections is then multiplied by a polynomial baseline *C*non-femto*(k*∗*)*,

$$
C(k^*) = C_{\text{non-fermto}}(k^*) \times C_{\text{model}}(k^*),\tag{4}
$$

to account for the normalization and non-femtoscopic background effects stemming e.g. from momentum and energy conservation [[27](#page-7-0)]. The p–p correlation function is fitted in the range

Fig. 2. Measured correlation function of $p-p \oplus \overline{p}-\overline{p}$. Statistical (bars) and systematic uncertainties (boxes) are shown separately. The width of the band corresponds to one standard deviation of the systematic uncertainty of the fit.

k[∗] ∈ [0*,* 375] MeV/*c* to determine simultaneously the femtoscopic radius r_0 and the parameters of the baseline. To assess the systematic uncertainties on r_0 related to the fitting procedure the upper limit of the fit region is varied within *k*[∗] ∈ [350*,* 400] MeV/*c*. The baseline is modeled as a polynomial of zeroth, first, and second order. Additionally, as discussed above, all three models for the $p-\Lambda$ residual correlation function are employed, and the input to the *λ* parameters is modified by ±20% while maintaining a constant sum of the primary and secondary fractions. The p–p correlation function is shown in Fig. 2, where the width of the bands corresponds to one standard deviation of the total systematic uncertainty of the fit. The inset shows a zoom of the p–p correlation function at intermediate *k*∗, where the effect of repulsion becomes apparent. The femtoscopic fit yields a radius of $r_0 = 1.249 \pm 0.008$ *(*stat)^{$+0.024$} *(syst)* fm.

Analyses of π – π and K–K correlation functions at ultrarelativistic energies in elementary [[56](#page-8-0)] and heavy-ion collisions [\[57\]](#page-8-0) indicate a source distribution significantly deviating from a Gaussian. Indeed, strongly decaying resonances are known to introduce significant exponential tails to the source distribution, especially for π – π pairs [\[47–49\]](#page-8-0). This becomes evident when studying the corresponding resonance contributions obtained from the statistical hadronization model within the canonical approach [[58](#page-8-0)]. The main resonances feeding to pions, *ρ* and *ω*, are significantly longer-lived than those feeding to protons (Δ) and Σ^0 (Λ (1405)). Hence, it is not surprising that the source distribution for $\pi - \pi$ deviates from a Gaussian. These conclusions are underlined when fitting the p–p correlation function with a Lévy-stable source distribution [\[59,60\]](#page-8-0). Leaving both the femtoscopic radius and the stability parameter *α* for the fit to determine, the Gaussian source shape $(\alpha = 2)$ is recovered. Employing a Cauchy-type source distribution ($\alpha = 1$), the data cannot be satisfactorily described. Therefore, the premise of a Gaussian source holds for baryon–baryon pairs.

Accordingly, a Gaussian source with femtoscopic radius r_0 is used to fit the p– Σ^0 correlation function. The parameters of the linear baseline are obtained from a fit to the $p-(\Lambda \gamma)$ correlation function in *k*[∗] ∈ [250*,* 600] MeV/*c*, where it is consistent and kinematically comparable with p– Σ^0 , however featuring significantly smaller uncertainties. The experimental p– Σ^0 correlation function is then fitted in the range *k*∗ *<* 550 MeV/*c*, and varied during the fitting procedure within *k*[∗] ∈ [500*,* 600] MeV/*c* to determine the systematic uncertainty. Additionally, the input to the *λ* parameters is modified by $\pm 20\%$ while maintaining a constant sum of the primary and secondary fractions. The parameters of the base-

Fig. 3. Measured correlation function of $p-\Sigma^0 \oplus \overline{p}-\Sigma^0$. Statistical (bars) and systematic uncertainties (boxes) are shown separately. The gray band denotes the $p-(\Lambda \gamma)$ baseline. The data are compared with different theoretical models. The corresponding correlation functions are computed using CATS [\[46\]](#page-8-0) for *χ*EFT [\[20](#page-7-0)], NSC97f [[26](#page-7-0)] and ESC16 [\[23\]](#page-7-0), and using the Lednický–Lyuboshits approach [[51,52\]](#page-8-0) for fss2 [\[24\]](#page-7-0). The width of the bands corresponds to one standard deviation of the systematic uncertainty of the fit. The absolute correlated uncertainty due to the modeling of the $p-(\Lambda \gamma)$ baseline is shown separately as the hatched area at the bottom of the figure.

line are varied within 1σ of their uncertainties considering their correlation, including the case of a constant baseline. Finally, the femtoscopic radius is varied according to its uncertainties. Possible variations of the $p-\Sigma^0$ source due to contributions of m_T scaling and strong decays are incorporated by decreasing r_0 by 15%, similarly as in [[28](#page-7-0),[29](#page-7-0)]. The corresponding resonance yields are taken from the statistical hadronization model within the canonical approach [\[58\]](#page-8-0).

All correlation functions resulting from the above mentioned variations of the selection criteria are fitted during the procedure, additionally considering variations of the mass window to extract the $p-(\Lambda \gamma)$ baseline. The width of the bands in Fig. 3 corresponds to one standard deviation of the total systematic uncertainty of the fit. The absolute correlated uncertainty due to the modeling of the $p-(\Lambda \gamma)$ baseline correlation function is shown separately at the bottom of the figure.

4. Results

The experimental $p-\Sigma^0 \oplus \overline{p}-\Sigma^0$ correlation function is shown in Fig. 3. The *k*∗ value of the data points is chosen according to the *(k*[∗]) of the same event distribution *N*_{same}(*k*[∗]) in the corresponding interval. Therefore, due to the low number of counts in the first bin, the data point is shifted with respect to the bin centre. Since the uncertainties of the data are sizable, a direct determination of scattering parameters via a femtoscopic fit is not feasible. Instead, the data are directly compared with the various models of the interaction. These include, on the one hand, meson-exchange models, such as fss2 [[24](#page-7-0)] and two versions of soft-core Nijmegen models (ESC16 [\[23\]](#page-7-0), NSC97f [\[61\]](#page-8-0)), and on the other hand results of *χ*EFT at Next-to-Leading Order (NLO) [\[20\]](#page-7-0). The correlation function is modeled using the Lednický–Lyuboshits approach [[51](#page-8-0)] considering the couplings of the $p-\Sigma^0$ system to $p-\Lambda$ and $n-\Sigma^+$ [\[52\]](#page-8-0) with scattering parameters extracted from the fss2 model. For the case of ESC16, NSC97f and *χ* EFT, the wave function of the p-Σ⁰ system, including the couplings, is used as an input to CATS to compute the correlation function. The degree of consistency of the data with the discussed models is expressed by the number of standard deviations n_{σ} , computed in the range k^* < 150 MeV/*c* from the p-value

Table 2 Degree of consistency of the different models with the experimental correlation function.

Model	$p-(\Lambda \gamma)$ baseline	fss 2	YEFT	NSC97f	ESC ₁₆
n_{σ} (k [*] < 150 MeV/c) 0.2–0.8		$0.2-0.9$ $0.3-1.0$		$0.2-0.6$ $0.1-0.5$	

of the theoretical curves. The range of n_{σ} shown in Table 2 is computed as one standard deviation of the corresponding distribution. The data are within $(0.2-0.8)\sigma$ consistent with the p– $(\Lambda \gamma)$ baseline, indicating the presence of an overall shallow strong potential in the p– Σ^0 channel. The main source of uncertainty of the modeling of the correlation function is the parametrization of the $p-(\Lambda \gamma)$ baseline due the sizeable statistical uncertainties of the latter.

All employed models for the N– Σ interaction potential succeed in reproducing the scattering data in the $S = -1$ sector [\[7](#page-7-0)]. Due to the available experimental constraints, the overall description of the $p-\Lambda$ interaction yields a consistent description. On the other hand, the corresponding $\text{p-}\Sigma^0$ correlation functions differ significantly among each other. This demonstrates that femtoscopic measurements can discriminate and constrain models, and therefore represent a unique probe to study the N–*-* interaction. Both fss2 and *χ*EFT exhibit an overall repulsion in N–Σ at intermediate k^* , which mainly occurs in the spin singlet $S = 0$, $I = 1/2$ and spin triplet $S = 1$, $I = 3/2$ components [\[20,24\]](#page-7-0). In the low momentum region, below roughly 50 MeV/*c*, both models yield attraction, which is reflected in the profile of the correlation function. The Nijmegen models, on the other hand, are characterized by a rather constant attraction over the whole range of *k*∗. In particular at low relative momenta, however, the behavior of the two models deviates significantly. The shape of the correlation function of the most recent Nijmegen model, ESC16, differs significantly from that of the other calculations. This is mainly due to the fact that the occurrence of bound states in the strangeness sector $(S = -1, -2, -3)$ is not allowed in the model [\[23](#page-7-0)]. This leads to a repulsive core in all the N−∑ channels, which can well be observed in Fig. [3](#page-5-0) as the non-monotonic behavior at small relative momenta. In contrast to all other discussed models, NSC97f yields attraction in the spin triplet $S = 1$, $I = 3/2$ channel [\[61\]](#page-8-0). Accordingly, the corresponding correlation function demonstrates the strongest attraction at low momenta. The rather large differences among the modeled p– Σ^0 correlation functions demonstrate that the shape of the latter is very sensitive to details of the strong interaction, and driven by the interplay of the different spin and isospin channels. This shows the strength of femtoscopic measurements, in particular in the N– Σ channel.

The underlying two-body N–*-* interaction obtained within these models, however, translates into significantly different values for the in-medium single-particle potential U_Σ when included in many-body calculations. Both the fss2 quark-model, along with *χ*EFT, deliver similar results at nuclear saturation density, leading to an overall repulsive ${U}_{\Sigma}$ of around 10–17 MeV [[20,21,24](#page-7-0)]. This is in agreement with evidence from relativistic mean field calculations fitting experimental data of ∑[–] atoms [[12\]](#page-7-0) and the experimental absence of bound states in Σ hypernuclei [\[16\]](#page-7-0). On the contrary, both Nijmegen models yield a slightly attractive Σ single-particle potential, ranging from ≈ -16 MeV for NSC97f to \approx -3 MeV for ESC16. As already mentioned, however, the interpretation of hypernuclear measurements introduces a significant model dependence. This concerns not only the extraction of the experimental results, relying for instance on the framework of the distorted-wave impulse approximation [[17](#page-7-0)], but also the extrapolation of theoretical calculations to finite density via e.g. the G-matrix approach [\[62,63](#page-8-0)].

5. Summary

This Letter presents the first direct investigation of the $p-\Sigma^0$ interaction in high-multiplicity pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, hence proving the feasibility of femtoscopic studies in the $N-\Sigma$ sector. The $p-\Sigma^0$ correlation function is consistent with the $p-(\Lambda \gamma)$ baseline, and therefore the measurement indicates the presence of an overall shallow strong potential. The data are compared with stateof-the-art descriptions of the interaction, including chiral effective field theory and meson-exchange models. Due to the scarce experimental constraints in the $N-\Sigma$ sector, the modeled correlation functions differ significantly among each other. The shape of the modeled correlation functions appears to be very sensitive to details of the strong interaction, and is driven by the interplay of the different spin and isospin channels. This proves that femtoscopic measurements in high-energy pp collisions provide a direct study of the genuine two-body $N-\Sigma$ strong interaction. The presented femtoscopic data cannot discriminate between different models, which is also the case for the available scattering and hypernuclei data.

Further femtoscopic studies enabled by the about two orders of magnitude larger pp data samples of 6 pb⁻¹ in minimum bias collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.5$ TeV and of 200 pb⁻¹ in high-multiplicity at \sqrt{s} = 14 TeV, foreseen to be collected in the LHC Runs 3 and 4 [\[64\]](#page-8-0), will therefore shed light on the N- Σ sector and provide constraints on the models describing the interaction.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The ALICE Collaboration is grateful to J. Haidenbauer and T. Rijken for valuable discussions and for providing the theoretical results for the $p-\Sigma^0$ interaction.

The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE Collaboration gratefully acknowledges the resources and support provided by all Grid centres and the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG) collaboration. The ALICE Collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector: A. I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation (ANSL), State Committee of Science and World Federation of Scientists (WFS), Armenia; Austrian Academy of Sciences, Austrian Science Fund (FWF): [M 2467-N36] and Nationalstiftung für Forschung, Technologie und Entwicklung, Austria; Ministry of Communications and High Technologies, National Nuclear Research Center, Azerbaijan; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (Finep), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Brazil; Ministry of Education of China (MOEC), Ministry of Science & Technology of China (MSTC) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), China; Ministry of Science and Education and Croatian Science Foundation, Croatia; Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Cubaenergía, Cuba; Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Czech Republic; The Danish Council for Independent Research | Natural Sciences, the Villum Fonden and Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF), Denmark; Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Finland; Commissariat à

l'Énergie Atomique (CEA), Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3) and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and Région des Pays de la Loire, France; Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) and GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Germany; General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Education, Research and Religions, Greece; National Research Development and Innovation Office, Hungary; Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India (DAE), Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (DST), University Grants Commission, Government of India (UGC) and Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India; Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Indonesia; Centro Fermi - Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche Enrico Fermi and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Sezione di Padova (INFN), Italy; Institute for Innovative Science and Technology, Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science (IIST), Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) and Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI, Japan; Consejo Nacional de Ciencia (CONACYT) y Tecnología, through Fondo de Cooperación Internacional en Ciencia y Tecnología (FONCICYT) and Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Academico (DGAPA), Mexico; Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands; The Research Council of Norway, Norway; Commission on Science and Technology for Sustainable Development in the South (COMSATS), Pakistan; Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Peru; Ministry of Science and Higher Education and National Science Centre, Poland; Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information and National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), Republic of Korea; Ministry of Education and Scientific Research, Institute of Atomic Physics and Ministry of Research and Innovation and Institute of Atomic Physics, Romania; Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Russian Science Foundation and Russian Foundation for Basic Research, Russia; Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic, Slovakia; National Research Foundation of South Africa, South Africa; Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW), Sweden; European Organization for Nuclear Research, Switzerland; Suranaree University of Technology (SUT), National Science and Technology Development Agency (NSDTA) and Office of the Higher Education Commission under NRU project of Thailand, Thailand; Turkish Atomic Energy Agency (TAEK), Turkey; National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Ukraine; Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), United Kingdom; National Science Foundation of the United States of America (NSF) and United States Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics (DOE NP), United States of America.

References

- [1] P. [Demorest,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib95174A7FB03042531A932D797B244C37s1) T. Pennucci, S. Ransom, M. Roberts, J. Hessels, Shapiro delay measurement of a two solar mass neutron star, Nature 467 (2010) [1081–1083.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib95174A7FB03042531A932D797B244C37s1)
- [2] J. [Antoniadis,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib0951DC065AB7AB709AF3D842E26563C9s1) et al., A massive pulsar in a compact relativistic binary, Science 340 [\(2013\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib0951DC065AB7AB709AF3D842E26563C9s1) 6131.
- [3] G. Alexander, et al., Study of the Λn system in [low-energy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib81088AFFAAB0EC6A78A1F896B02A83F4s1) Λp elastic scattering, Phys. Rev. 173 (1968) [1452–1460.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib81088AFFAAB0EC6A78A1F896B02A83F4s1)
- [4] B. Sechi-Zorn, B. Kehoe, J. Twitty, R.A. Burnstein, [Low-energy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib895E631BCFB5FCC70F53DBF5144EE5C4s1) Λ -proton elastic scattering, Phys. Rev. 175 (1968) [1735–1740.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib895E631BCFB5FCC70F53DBF5144EE5C4s1)
- [5] O. Hashimoto, H. Tamura, [Spectroscopy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib035F9372FE8162116369BE25217C4D70s1) of Λ hypernuclei, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 57 (2006) [564–653.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib035F9372FE8162116369BE25217C4D70s1)
- [6] Particle Data Group [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibF4D610A71351D0E157804F3752C709F8s1) M. Tanabashi, et al., Review of particle [physics,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibF4D610A71351D0E157804F3752C709F8s1) Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 030001.
- [7] F. Eisele, H. Filthuth, W. Foehlisch, V. Hepp, G. Zech, Elastic $\Sigma^+ p$ [scattering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibEFB285C7CA46CE3DBE7DF9C4D8C65551s1) at low energies, Phys. Lett. B 37 (1971) [204–206.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibEFB285C7CA46CE3DBE7DF9C4D8C65551s1)
- [8] R. Engelmann, H. Filthuth, V. Hepp, E. Kluge, Inelastic Σ[−] *p*[-interactions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib6210FF019FE84C39514A971C4B244D85s1) at low [momenta,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib6210FF019FE84C39514A971C4B244D85s1) Phys. Lett. 21 (1966) 587–589.
- [9] V. Hepp, H. Schleich, A new [determination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibBB4B757DEF6466FEA4371C5BA264E094s1) of the capture ratio $r(c) = \sum^{-1} p \rightarrow$ $\Sigma^{0}n/((\Sigma^{-}p \to \Sigma^{0}n) + (\Sigma^{-}p \to \Lambda n))$, the Λ^{0} -lifetime and $\Sigma^{-}\Lambda^{0}$ mass difference, Z. Phys. 214 [\(1968\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibBB4B757DEF6466FEA4371C5BA264E094s1) 71.
- [10] C. Batty, E. Friedman, A. Gal, Density [dependence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib0421BC65E690FB7A4D16EAD540F49D8Cs1) of the Σ nucleus optical potential derived from Σ^- atom data, Phys. Lett. B 335 (1994) 273-278.
- [11] C.J. Batty, E. Friedman, A. Gal, Density [dependence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibCEC5C5A72843BC71D7C3F8A3E4F5E0C9s1) in ∑⁻ atoms and implications for Σ [hypernuclei,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibCEC5C5A72843BC71D7C3F8A3E4F5E0C9s1) Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 117 (1994) 227-240.
- [12] J. Mares, E. Friedman, A. Gal, B.K. Jennings, [Constraints](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib97C0215B961281447D3A3078BEC28085s1) on Σ nucleus dynamics from Dirac [phenomenology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib97C0215B961281447D3A3078BEC28085s1) of Σ^- atoms, Nucl. Phys. A 594 (1995) 311–324.
- [13] R.S. Hayano, et al., Observation of a bound state of ${}^{4}_{\Sigma}$ He [hypernucleus,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib905D44240B53CF38419E2147665E2B98s1) Phys. Lett. B 231 (1989) [355–358.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib905D44240B53CF38419E2147665E2B98s1)
- [14] T. Nagae, et al., [Observation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibFE3B6DBD27B68A6E9D5F053E7ED899B6s1) of a $\frac{4}{\Sigma}$ He bound state in the ⁴He(*K*⁻*,* π ⁻*)* reaction at 600 MeV/*c*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) [1605–1609.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibFE3B6DBD27B68A6E9D5F053E7ED899B6s1)
- [15] L. Tang, et al., Observations of [hyperon–nucleus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB6ED0DF2A83E949606FCE764B3058CE4s1) systems produced on ¹²C and ⁷Li targets using the (K^-,π^+) [reaction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB6ED0DF2A83E949606FCE764B3058CE4s1) at 715 MeV/*c*, Phys. Rev. C 38 (1988) [846–853.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB6ED0DF2A83E949606FCE764B3058CE4s1)
- [16] P.K. Saha, et al., Study of the Σ -nucleus [potential](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib771E4C6E8FF6A111F9DD57A863C43DAFs1) by the (π^{-}, K^{+}) reaction on [medium-to-heavy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib771E4C6E8FF6A111F9DD57A863C43DAFs1) nuclear targets, Phys. Rev. C 70 (2004) 044613.
- [17] A. Gal, E.V. [Hungerford,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC41E1ECDDC4F2B2053A77ECB27849669s1) D.J. Millener, Strangeness in nuclear physics, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88 (2016) [035004.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC41E1ECDDC4F2B2053A77ECB27849669s1)
- [18] J. Haidenbauer, U.-G. Meißner, Jülich hyperon-nucleon model revisited, Phys. Rev. C 72 (2005) [044005.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib92CBE74086F458E8DE265EFFD2569F38s1)
- [19] T.A. Rijken, M.M. Nagels, Y. Yamamoto, [Baryon–baryon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib1D3B80111CDF6B64141ECDA14C92F484s1) interactions: Nijmegen [extended-soft-core](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib1D3B80111CDF6B64141ECDA14C92F484s1) models, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 185 (2010) 14–71.
- [20] J. [Haidenbauer,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib5BC47814E8302AFDA1DFC08268211456s1) S. Petschauer, N. Kaiser, U.G. Meißner, A. Nogga, W. Weise, [Hyperon–nucleon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib5BC47814E8302AFDA1DFC08268211456s1) interaction at next-to-leading order in chiral effective field [theory,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib5BC47814E8302AFDA1DFC08268211456s1) Nucl. Phys. A 915 (2013) 24–58.
- [21] J. Haidenbauer, U.G. Meißner, A. Nogga, [Hyperon–nucleon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib1DC85B2E91C19137B52B7E33A40A0EEDs1) interaction within chiral effective field theory revisited, [arXiv:1906.11681 \[nucl-th\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib1DC85B2E91C19137B52B7E33A40A0EEDs1)
- [22] J. Haidenbauer, U.G. Meißner, N. Kaiser, W. Weise, [Lambda-nuclear](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB733D799EB288BBC4D98863D902A7AA5s1) interactions and [hyperon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB733D799EB288BBC4D98863D902A7AA5s1) puzzle in neutron stars, Eur. Phys. J. A 53 (2017) 121.
- [23] M.M. Nagels, T.A. Rijken, Y. Yamamoto, [Extended-soft-core](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibA649D1FC330F3B71D45BADDB550F5FF9s1) baryon–baryon model ESC16. II. [Hyperon–nucleon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibA649D1FC330F3B71D45BADDB550F5FF9s1) interactions, Phys. Rev. C 99 (2019) 044003.
- [24] Y. Fujiwara, Y. Suzuki, C. Nakamoto, [Baryon–baryon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib5F442C6DDF3195C2B3306B928CA71660s1) interactions in the SU(6) quark model and their [applications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib5F442C6DDF3195C2B3306B928CA71660s1) to light nuclear systems, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 58 (2007) [439–520.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib5F442C6DDF3195C2B3306B928CA71660s1)
- [25] H. Nemura, et al., Baryon [interactions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib731415C839C3AE0F51A9FB62F989B9E1s1) from lattice OCD with physical masses [strangeness](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib731415C839C3AE0F51A9FB62F989B9E1s1) *S* = −1 sector, EPJ Web Conf. 175 (2018) 05030.
- [26] T.A. Rijken, V.G.J. Stoks, Y. Yamamoto, Soft core [hyperon-nucleon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibF50FEB89ADF2ACAA16928BEFC76C3113s1) potentials, Phys. Rev. C 59 [\(1999\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibF50FEB89ADF2ACAA16928BEFC76C3113s1) 21–40.
- [27] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib4AE8AB41936611116A83B14FE4BF055As1) S. Acharya, et al., p-p, p- Λ and Λ - Λ correlations studied via [femtoscopy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib4AE8AB41936611116A83B14FE4BF055As1) in pp reactions at \sqrt{s} = 7 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 99 (2019) 024001.
- [28] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibE32446EE5570590AEE86817A0D5EE1D0s1) S. Acharya, et al., Study of the Λ - Λ interaction with femtoscopy [correlations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibE32446EE5570590AEE86817A0D5EE1D0s1) in pp and p–Pb collisions at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 797 (2019) [134822.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibE32446EE5570590AEE86817A0D5EE1D0s1)
- [29] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib9674CB0A227D15E75934E4B8EF5A7449s1) S. Acharya, et al., First observation of an attractive interaction between a proton and a [multi-strange](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib9674CB0A227D15E75934E4B8EF5A7449s1) baryon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) [112002.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib9674CB0A227D15E75934E4B8EF5A7449s1)
- [30] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB6B818304A439F91FC90B3FE8DD35BE8s1) K. Aamodt, et al., The ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, J. [Instrum.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB6B818304A439F91FC90B3FE8DD35BE8s1) 3 (2008) S08002.
- [31] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib59871511B48DACE8AD692049ABC30454s1) B. Abelev, et al., Performance of the ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 29 (2014) [1430044.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib59871511B48DACE8AD692049ABC30454s1)
- [32] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib7D8ACAF9F9A39D7F036DCD1513FC84A2s1) E. Abbas, et al., Performance of the ALICE VZERO system, J. [Instrum.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib7D8ACAF9F9A39D7F036DCD1513FC84A2s1) 8 (2013) P10016.
- [33] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB502CC63E821236EC0E4BC980E64A94Ds1) J. Adam, et al., Enhanced production of multi-strange hadrons in [high-multiplicity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB502CC63E821236EC0E4BC980E64A94Ds1) proton-proton collisions, Nat. Phys. 13 (2017) [535–539.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB502CC63E821236EC0E4BC980E64A94Ds1)
- [34] J. Alme, et al., The ALICE TPC, a large [3-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC4A67AF0E5CF82A778D5A75CE3164E33s1) tracking device with fast readout for ultra-high [multiplicity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC4A67AF0E5CF82A778D5A75CE3164E33s1) events, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A 622 (2010) [316–367.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC4A67AF0E5CF82A778D5A75CE3164E33s1)
- [35] A. Akindinov, et al., Performance of the ALICE [time-of-flight](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib458617D00302A3D59C3E5691AB28C877s1) detector at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 128 [\(2013\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib458617D00302A3D59C3E5691AB28C877s1) 44.
- [36] ALICE Collaboration, J. Adam, et al., [Determination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib8040BA4BE7F71CDF9F0C74481C9AA11Es1) of the event collision time with the ALICE [detector](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib8040BA4BE7F71CDF9F0C74481C9AA11Es1) at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 132 (2017) 99.
- [37] T. Sjöstrand, et al., An [introduction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib2256B7E52E04FEEC43F7E9AAAB78BDF0s1) to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. 191 (2015) [159–177.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib2256B7E52E04FEEC43F7E9AAAB78BDF0s1)
- [38] R. Brun, F. Bruyant, M. Maire, A.C. [McPherson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib6FB525D2AC6DEA0AA5A214B31CA49764s1) P. Zanarini, GEANT 3: User's Guide Geant 3.10, Geant 3.11; rev. version, CERN, [Geneva,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib6FB525D2AC6DEA0AA5A214B31CA49764s1) 1987.
- [39] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib34CE16491C1E07C7ED1B82E8C70523EFs1) B. Abelev, et al., Neutral pion and *η* meson production in [proton–proton](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib34CE16491C1E07C7ED1B82E8C70523EFs1) collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 717 (2012) [162–172.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib34CE16491C1E07C7ED1B82E8C70523EFs1)
- [40] ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib3EC7705D813982048E3916091CB1E1A7s1) S. Acharya, et al., Neutral pion and *η* meson production in p-Pb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib3EC7705D813982048E3916091CB1E1A7s1) at √*s*_{NN} = 5.02 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 624.
- ALICE [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib3701AEF3F93333F8CA93BBA56906F5E5s1) B. Alessandro, et al., ALICE: physics performance report, [volume](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib3701AEF3F93333F8CA93BBA56906F5E5s1) II, J. Phys. G 32 (2006) 1295.
- [42] J. Podolanski, R. [Armenteros,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB1E0BA547C4C4C43BA6ACA79530B21ECs1) III. Analysis of V-events, Philos. Mag. 45 (1954) [13–30.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB1E0BA547C4C4C43BA6ACA79530B21ECs1)
- [43] PHENIX [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib600CC69A474D734B4175FE55FC9AFC85s1) A. Adare, et al., Detailed measurement of the *e*+*e*− pair [continuum](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib600CC69A474D734B4175FE55FC9AFC85s1) in *p* + *p* and Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV and implications for direct photon [production,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib600CC69A474D734B4175FE55FC9AFC85s1) Phys. Rev. C 81 (2010) 034911.
- [44] M.A. Lisa, S. Pratt, R. Soltz, U. [Wiedemann,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB9E4A56DD2ED74B26066DB78D5156A07s1) Femtoscopy in relativistic heavy ion [collisions,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibB9E4A56DD2ED74B26066DB78D5156A07s1) Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 55 (2005) 357–402.
- [45] ALICE Collaboration, J. Adam, et al., [One-dimensional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib9179076BBE900A8A4D6F262F215B35D4s1) pion, kaon, and proton [femtoscopy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib9179076BBE900A8A4D6F262F215B35D4s1) in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 92 (2015) [054908.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib9179076BBE900A8A4D6F262F215B35D4s1)
- [46] D.L. Mihaylov, V. Mantovani Sarti, O.W. Arnold, L. Fabbietti, B. [Hohlweger,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibEE77F71F2B809C0F6D92320FC9B480F6s1) A.M. Mathis, A [femtoscopic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibEE77F71F2B809C0F6D92320FC9B480F6s1) correlation analysis tool using the Schrödinger equation [\(CATS\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibEE77F71F2B809C0F6D92320FC9B480F6s1) Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 394.
- [47] U.A. Wiedemann, U. Heinz, New class of Hanbury-Brown-Twiss parameters, Phys. Rev. C 56 (1997) [R610–R613.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib98584AAF84C13B4D9CB01DAD2C8FF5AEs1)
- [48] U.A. Wiedemann, U.W. Heinz, Resonance [contributions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC219FC25455BA7DD1ACF1B90EB44F106s1) to HBT correlation radii, Phys. Rev. C 56 (1997) [3265–3286.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC219FC25455BA7DD1ACF1B90EB44F106s1)
- [49] A. Kisiel, W. Florkowski, W. Broniowski, Femtoscopy in [hydro-inspired](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib81AC22F3F03C7C386911C0C6178B18BAs1) models with [resonances,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib81AC22F3F03C7C386911C0C6178B18BAs1) Phys. Rev. C 73 (2006) 064902.
- [50] R.B. Wiringa, V.G.J. Stoks, R. Schiavilla, An accurate [nucleon-nucleon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib13CE881BA065BDC7DB4F6C618EFA88ABs1) potential with charge [independence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib13CE881BA065BDC7DB4F6C618EFA88ABs1) breaking, Phys. Rev. C 51 (1995) 38–51.
- [51] R. Lednický, V.L. Lyuboshits, Final state interaction effect on pairing [correlations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib9B7C6A79758FDCB9CD5E71A9F70FCD9As1) between particles with small relative [momenta,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib9B7C6A79758FDCB9CD5E71A9F70FCD9As1) Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 35 (1982) [770.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib9B7C6A79758FDCB9CD5E71A9F70FCD9As1)
- [52] A. Stavinskiy, K. Mikhailov, B. Erazmus, R. Lednický, Residual [correlations](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibF8709482D15E36CA4BA035EF11116417s1) between decay products of $\pi^0\pi^0$ and $p\Sigma^0$ systems, [arXiv:0704.3290 \[nucl-th\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibF8709482D15E36CA4BA035EF11116417s1)
- [53] A.R. Bodmer, O.N. Usmani, J. Carlson, Binding energies of [hypernuclei](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibADC0A58A7FD83A0DB7AF8630D65F8212s1) and [three-body](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibADC0A58A7FD83A0DB7AF8630D65F8212s1) ANN forces, Phys. Rev. C 29 (1984) 684-687.
- [54] H. Polinder, J. Haidenbauer, U.-G. Meißner, [Hyperon–nucleon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib95F6988550F8F066BE6801F0B0023B15s1) interactions a chiral effective field theory [approach,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib95F6988550F8F066BE6801F0B0023B15s1) Nucl. Phys. A 779 (2006) 244–266.
- [55] A. Kisiel, H. Zbroszczyk, M. Szymański, Extracting [baryon-antibaryon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib8ABC7A41BE062F99B4DDF10D28C48ED9s1) stronginteraction potentials from $p\overline{\Lambda}$ [femtoscopic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib8ABC7A41BE062F99B4DDF10D28C48ED9s1) correlation functions, Phys. Rev. C 89 (2014) [054916.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib8ABC7A41BE062F99B4DDF10D28C48ED9s1)
- [56] CMS [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib41B426A1478D5EC3EDEC35CA5AC5B2DCs1) A.M. Sirunyan, et al., Bose-Einstein correlations in *pp, p*Pb, and PbPb [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib41B426A1478D5EC3EDEC35CA5AC5B2DCs1) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 0.9 – 7 TeV, Phys. Rev. C 97 (2018) 064912.
- [57] PHENIX [Collaboration,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC80C9952F1795F5AE3A5782C9B4EA3ABs1) A. Adare, et al., Lévy-stable two-pion Bose-Einstein correlations in $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 200 GeV Au + [Au collisions,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC80C9952F1795F5AE3A5782C9B4EA3ABs1) Phys. Rev. C 97 (2018) 064911. [58] F. Becattini, P. Castorina, A. Milov, H. Satz, Predictions of hadron [abundances](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib5165C63186494597162B9D675538C4A0s1) in
- pp [collisions](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib5165C63186494597162B9D675538C4A0s1) at the LHC, J. Phys. G 38 (2011) 025002. [59] T. Csörgő, S. Hegyi, W.A. Zajc, [Bose-Einstein](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib7668B16D4ADF959867FDB24FB9ECE9C4s1) correlations for Lévy stable source
- [distributions,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib7668B16D4ADF959867FDB24FB9ECE9C4s1) Eur. Phys. J. C 36 (2004) 67.
- [60] R. Metzler, E. Barkai, J. Klafter, [Anomalous](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC5C4B29F9D0175C039EC65752FBE2F0Cs1) diffusion and relaxation close to thermal equilibrium: a fractional [Fokker-Planck](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC5C4B29F9D0175C039EC65752FBE2F0Cs1) equation approach, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 [\(1999\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibC5C4B29F9D0175C039EC65752FBE2F0Cs1) 3563.
- [61] T.A. Rijken, V.G.J. Stoks, Y. Yamamoto, Soft-core [hyperon–nucleon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib850DDCC0BBCF43D8BF825B86B505A322s1) potentials, Phys. Rev. C 59 [\(1999\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bib850DDCC0BBCF43D8BF825B86B505A322s1) 21–40.
- [62] Y. [Yamamoto,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibA20063FCB3D1E41B805AE649E6CA9F1Bs1) T. Motoba, H. Himeno, K. Ikeda, S. Nagata, Hyperon nucleon and [hyperon-hyperon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibA20063FCB3D1E41B805AE649E6CA9F1Bs1) interactions in nuclei, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 117 (1994) [361–389.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibA20063FCB3D1E41B805AE649E6CA9F1Bs1)
- [63] Y. Yamamoto, T. Motoba, T.A. Rijken, G-matrix approach to [hyperon–nucleus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibA8E9ED73AF6415468F1ED6D556E563A7s1) [systems,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(20)30223-9/bibA8E9ED73AF6415468F1ED6D556E563A7s1) Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 185 (2010) 72–105.
- [64] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya, et al., ALICE upgrade physics performance studies for 2018 report on HL/HE-LHC physics, [https://cds.cern.ch/record/](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2661798) [2661798](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2661798).

ALICE Collaboration

S. Acharya ^{[141](#page-13-0)}, D. Adamová ^{[94](#page-12-0)}, A. Adler ^{[74](#page-12-0)}, J. Adolfsson ^{[80](#page-12-0)}, M.M. Aggarwal ^{[99](#page-12-0)}, G. Aglieri Rinella ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, M. Agnello [30](#page-11-0), N. Agrawal [10](#page-11-0)*,*[53](#page-11-0), Z. Ahammed [141](#page-13-0), S. Ahmad [16](#page-11-0), S.U. Ahn [76](#page-12-0), A. Akindinov [91](#page-12-0), M. Al-Turany 106 , S.N. Alam 141 , D.S.D. Albuquerque 122 , D. Aleksandrov 87 , B. Alessandro 58 , H.M. Alfanda [6](#page-11-0), R. Alfaro Molina [71](#page-12-0), B. Ali [16](#page-11-0), Y. Ali [14](#page-11-0), A. Alici [10](#page-11-0)*,*[26](#page-11-0)*,*[53](#page-11-0), A. Alkin [2](#page-11-0), J. Alme [21](#page-11-0), T. Alt [68](#page-12-0), L. Altenkamper 21 21 21 , I. Altsybeev 112 112 112 , M.N. Anaam 6 6 , C. Andrei 47 47 47 , D. Andreou 33 33 33 , H.A. Andrews 110 110 110 , A. Andronic ^{[144](#page-13-0)}, M. Angeletti ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, V. Anguelov ^{[103](#page-12-0)}, C. Anson ^{[15](#page-11-0)}, T. Antičić ^{[107](#page-12-0)}, F. Antinori ^{[56](#page-11-0)}, P. Antonioli ^{[53](#page-11-0)}, R. Anwar ^{[125](#page-12-0)}, N. Apadula ^{[79](#page-12-0)}, L. Aphecetche ^{[114](#page-12-0)}, H. Appelshäuser ^{[68](#page-12-0)}, S. Arcelli ^{[26](#page-11-0)}, R. Arnaldi ^{[58](#page-11-0)}, M. Arratia ⁷⁹, I.C. Arsene ^{[20](#page-11-0)}, M. Arslandok ^{[103](#page-12-0)}, A. Augustinus ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, R. Averbeck ^{[106](#page-12-0)}, S. Aziz ^{[61](#page-12-0)}, M.D. Azmi ^{[16](#page-11-0)}, A. Badalà ^{[55](#page-11-0)}, Y.W. Baek 40 40 40 , S. Bagnasco 58 58 58 , X. Bai 106 106 106 , R. Bailhache 68 68 68 , R. Bala 100 100 100 , A. Baldisseri 137 137 137 , M. Ball 42 42 42 , S. Balouza ^{[104](#page-12-0)}, R. Barbera ^{[27](#page-11-0)}, L. Barioglio ^{[25](#page-11-0)}, G.G. Barnaföldi ^{[145](#page-13-0)}, L.S. Barnby ^{[93](#page-12-0)}, V. Barret ^{[134](#page-12-0)}, P. Bartalini ^{[6](#page-11-0)}, K. Barth ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, E. Bartsch ^{[68](#page-12-0)}, F. Baruffaldi ^{[28](#page-11-0)}, N. Bastid ^{[134](#page-12-0)}, S. Basu ^{[143](#page-13-0)}, G. Batigne ^{[114](#page-12-0)}, B. Batyunya ^{[75](#page-12-0)}, D. Bauri^{[48](#page-11-0)}, J.L. Bazo Alba ^{[111](#page-12-0)}, I.G. Bearden ^{[88](#page-12-0)}, C. Bedda ^{[63](#page-12-0)}, N.K. Behera ^{[60](#page-12-0)}, I. Belikov ^{[136](#page-12-0)}, A.D.C. Bell Hechavarria 144 144 144 , F. Bellini^{[33](#page-11-0)}, R. Bellwied 125 125 125 , V. Belyaev 92 92 92 , G. Bencedi 145 145 145 , S. Beole 25 25 25 , A. Bercuci^{[47](#page-11-0)}, Y. Berdnikov^{[97](#page-12-0)}, D. Berenyi^{[145](#page-13-0)}, R.A. Bertens^{[130](#page-12-0)}, D. Berzano^{[58](#page-11-0)}, M.G. Besoiu^{[67](#page-12-0)}, L. Betev^{[33](#page-11-0)}, A. Bhasin 100 100 100 , I.R. Bhat 100 , M.A. Bhat 3 3 , H. Bhatt 48 48 48 , B. Bhattacharjee 41 41 41 , A. Bianchi 25 25 25 , L. Bianchi 25 , N. Bianchi ^{[51](#page-11-0)}, J. Bielčík ^{[36](#page-11-0)}, J. Bielčíková ^{[94](#page-12-0)}, A. Bilandzic ^{[104](#page-12-0),[117](#page-12-0)}, G. Biro ^{[145](#page-13-0)}, R. Biswas ^{[3](#page-11-0)}, S. Biswas ³, J.T. Blair [119](#page-12-0), D. Blau [87](#page-12-0), C. Blume [68](#page-12-0), G. Boca [139](#page-13-0), F. Bock [33](#page-11-0)*,*[95](#page-12-0), A. Bogdanov [92](#page-12-0), S. Boi [23](#page-11-0), L. Boldizsár [145](#page-13-0), A. Bolozdynya [92](#page-12-0), M. Bombara [37](#page-11-0), G. Bonomi [140](#page-13-0), H. Borel [137](#page-12-0), A. Borissov [92](#page-12-0)*,*[144](#page-13-0), H. Bossi [146](#page-13-0), E. Botta [25](#page-11-0), L. Bratrud 68 68 68 , P. Braun-Munzinger 106 106 106 , M. Bregant 121 121 121 , M. Broz 36 36 36 , E.J. Brucken 43 43 43 , E. Bruna 58 58 58 , G.E. Bruno 10^5 , M.D. Buckland 12^7 , D. Budnikov 10^8 , H. Buesching 68^{\degree} 68^{\degree} , S. Bufalino 3^0 , O. Bugnon 114 , P. Buhler [113](#page-12-0), P. Buncic [33](#page-11-0), Z. Buthelezi [72](#page-12-0)*,*[131](#page-12-0), J.B. Butt [14](#page-11-0), J.T. Buxton [96](#page-12-0), S.A. Bysiak [118](#page-12-0), D. Caffarri [89](#page-12-0), A. Caliva [106](#page-12-0), E. Calvo Villar [111](#page-12-0), R.S. Camacho [44](#page-11-0), P. Camerini [24](#page-11-0), A.A. Capon [113](#page-12-0), F. Carnesecchi [10](#page-11-0)*,*[26](#page-11-0), R. Caron ^{[137](#page-12-0)}, J. Castillo Castellanos¹³⁷, A.J. Castro ^{[130](#page-12-0)}, E.A.R. Casula $\frac{54}{7}$ $\frac{54}{7}$ $\frac{54}{7}$, F. Catalano^{[30](#page-11-0)}, C. Ceballos Sanchez ^{[52](#page-11-0)}, P. Chakraborty ^{[48](#page-11-0)}, S. Chandra ^{[141](#page-13-0)}, W. Chang ^{[6](#page-11-0)}, S. Chapeland ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, M. Chartier ^{[127](#page-12-0)}, S. Chattopadhyay 141 141 141 , S. Chattopadhyay 109 109 109 , A. Chauvin 23 23 23 , C. Cheshkov 135 135 135 , B. Cheynis 135 , V. Chibante Barroso ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, D.D. Chinellato ^{[122](#page-12-0)}, S. Cho ^{[60](#page-12-0)}, P. Chochula ³³, T. Chowdhury ^{[134](#page-12-0)}, P. Christakoglou ^{[89](#page-12-0)}, C.H. Christensen [88](#page-12-0), P. Christiansen [80](#page-12-0), T. Chujo [133](#page-12-0), C. Cicalo [54](#page-11-0), L. Cifarelli [10](#page-11-0)*,*[26](#page-11-0), F. Cindolo [53](#page-11-0), J. Cleymans [124](#page-12-0), F. Colamaria [52](#page-11-0), D. Colella [52](#page-11-0), A. Collu [79](#page-12-0), M. Colocci [26](#page-11-0), M. Concas [58](#page-11-0)*,*[ii](#page-13-0), G. Conesa Balbastre [78](#page-12-0), Z. Conesa del Valle [61](#page-12-0), G. Contin [24](#page-11-0)*,*[127](#page-12-0), J.G. Contreras [36](#page-11-0), T.M. Cormier [95](#page-12-0), Y. Corrales Morales ^{[25](#page-11-0)}, P. Cortese ^{[31](#page-11-0)}, M.R. Cosentino ^{[123](#page-12-0)}, F. Costa ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, S. Costanza ^{[139](#page-13-0)}, P. Crochet ^{[134](#page-12-0)}, E. Cuautle ^{[69](#page-12-0)}, P. Cui ^{[6](#page-11-0)}, L. Cunqueiro ^{[95](#page-12-0)}, D. Dabrowski ^{[142](#page-13-0)}, T. Dahms ^{[104](#page-12-0),[117](#page-12-0)}, A. Dainese ^{[56](#page-11-0)}, F.P.A. Damas ^{[114](#page-12-0), [137](#page-12-0)}, M.C. Danisch ^{[103](#page-12-0)}, A. Danu ^{[67](#page-12-0)}, D. Das ^{[109](#page-12-0)}, I. Das ¹⁰⁹, P. Das ^{[85](#page-12-0)}, P. Das ^{[3](#page-11-0)}, S. Das ³, A. Dash 85 85 85 , S. Dash 48 48 48 , S. De 85 , A. De Caro 29 29 29 , G. de Cataldo 52 52 52 , J. de Cuveland 38 38 38 , A. De Falco 23 23 23 , D. De Gruttola 10 10 10 , N. De Marco 58 58 58 , S. De Pasquale 29 29 29 , S. Deb 49 49 49 , B. Debjani 3 3 , H.F. Degenhardt 121 121 121 ,

K.R. Deja [142](#page-13-0), A. Deloff [84](#page-12-0), S. Delsanto [25](#page-11-0)*,*[131](#page-12-0), D. Devetak [106](#page-12-0), P. Dhankher [48](#page-11-0), D. Di Bari [32](#page-11-0), A. Di Mauro [33](#page-11-0), R.A. Diaz 8 8 , T. Dietel 124 124 124 , P. Dillenseger 68 68 68 , Y. Ding 6 6 , R. Divià 33 33 33 , D.U. Dixit 19 19 19 , Ø. Djuvsland 21 21 21 , U. Dmitrieva [62](#page-12-0), A. Dobrin [33](#page-11-0)*,*[67](#page-12-0), B. Dönigus [68](#page-12-0), O. Dordic [20](#page-11-0), A.K. Dubey [141](#page-13-0), A. Dubla [106](#page-12-0), S. Dudi [99](#page-12-0), M. Dukhishyam 85 85 85 , P. Dupieux 134 134 134 , R.J. Ehlers 146 146 146 , V.N. Eikeland 21 21 21 , D. Elia 52 52 52 , H. Engel 74 74 74 , E. Epple 146 , B. Erazmus 114 114 114 , F. Erhardt 98 98 98 , A. Erokhin 112 112 112 , M.R. Ersdal 21 21 21 , B. Espagnon 61 61 61 , G. Eulisse 33 33 33 , D. Evans 110 110 110 , S. Evdokimov [90](#page-12-0), L. Fabbietti [104](#page-12-0)*,*[117](#page-12-0), M. Faggin [28](#page-11-0), J. Faivre [78](#page-12-0), F. Fan [6](#page-11-0), A. Fantoni [51](#page-11-0), M. Fasel [95](#page-12-0), P. Fecchio 30 , A. Feliciello 58 , G. Feofilov 112 , A. Fernández Téllez 44 , A. Ferrero 137 , A. Ferretti 25 , A. Festanti ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, V.J.G. Feuillard ^{[103](#page-12-0)}, J. Figiel ^{[118](#page-12-0)}, S. Filchagin ^{[108](#page-12-0)}, D. Finogeev ^{[62](#page-12-0)}, F.M. Fionda ^{[21](#page-11-0)}, G. Fiorenza ^{[52](#page-11-0)}, F. Flor 125 125 125 , S. Foertsch 72 72 72 , P. Foka 106 106 106 , S. Fokin 87 87 87 , E. Fragiacomo 59 59 59 , U. Frankenfeld 106 , U. Fuchs 33 33 33 , C. Furget ^{[78](#page-12-0)}, A. Furs ^{[62](#page-12-0)}, M. Fusco Girard ^{[29](#page-11-0)}, J.J. Gaardhøje ^{[88](#page-12-0)}, M. Gagliardi ^{[25](#page-11-0)}, A.M. Gago ^{[111](#page-12-0)}, A. Gal ^{[136](#page-12-0)}, C.D. Galvan 120 120 120 , P. Ganoti 83 83 83 , C. Garabatos 106 106 106 , E. Garcia-Solis 11 11 11 , K. Garg 27 27 27 , C. Gargiulo 33 33 33 , A. Garibli 86 86 86 , K. Garner [144](#page-13-0), P. Gasik [104](#page-12-0)*,*[117](#page-12-0), E.F. Gauger [119](#page-12-0), M.B. Gay Ducati [70](#page-12-0), M. Germain [114](#page-12-0), J. Ghosh [109](#page-12-0), P. Ghosh [141](#page-13-0), S.K. Ghosh [3](#page-11-0), P. Gianotti [51](#page-11-0), P. Giubellino [58](#page-11-0)*,*[106](#page-12-0), P. Giubilato [28](#page-11-0), P. Glässel [103](#page-12-0), D.M. Goméz Coral^{[71](#page-12-0)}, A. Gomez Ramirez^{[74](#page-12-0)}, V. Gonzalez ^{[106](#page-12-0)}, P. González-Zamora^{[44](#page-11-0)}, S. Gorbunov^{[38](#page-11-0)}, L. Görlich ^{[118](#page-12-0)}, S. Gotovac ^{[34](#page-11-0)}, V. Grabski ^{[71](#page-12-0)}, L.K. Graczykowski ^{[142](#page-13-0)}, K.L. Graham ^{[110](#page-12-0)}, L. Greiner ^{[79](#page-12-0)}, A. Grelli ^{[63](#page-12-0)}, C. Grigoras 33 33 33 , V. Grigoriev 92 92 92 , A. Grigoryan 1 1 , S. Grigoryan 75 75 75 , O.S. Groettvik 21 21 21 , F. Grosa 30 30 30 , J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, R. Grosso ^{[106](#page-12-0)}, R. Guernane ^{[78](#page-12-0)}, M. Guittiere ^{[114](#page-12-0)}, K. Gulbrandsen ^{[88](#page-12-0)}, T. Gunji ^{[132](#page-12-0)}, A. Gupta ^{[100](#page-12-0)}, R. Gupta ¹⁰⁰, I.B. Guzman ^{[44](#page-11-0)}, R. Haake ^{[146](#page-13-0)}, M.K. Habib ^{[106](#page-12-0)}, C. Hadjidakis ^{[61](#page-12-0)}, H. Hamagaki ^{[81](#page-12-0)}, G. Hamar [145](#page-13-0), M. Hamid [6](#page-11-0), R. Hannigan [119](#page-12-0), M.R. Haque [63](#page-12-0)*,*[85](#page-12-0), A. Harlenderova [106](#page-12-0), J.W. Harris [146](#page-13-0), A. Harton [11](#page-11-0), J.A. Hasenbichler [33](#page-11-0), H. Hassan [95](#page-12-0), D. Hatzifotiadou [10](#page-11-0)*,*[53](#page-11-0), P. Hauer [42](#page-11-0), S. Hayashi [132](#page-12-0), S.T. Heckel [68](#page-12-0)*,*[104](#page-12-0), E. Hellbär [68](#page-12-0), H. Helstrup [35](#page-11-0), A. Herghelegiu [47](#page-11-0), T. Herman [36](#page-11-0), E.G. Hernandez [44](#page-11-0), G. Herrera Corral^{[9](#page-11-0)}, F. Herrmann^{[144](#page-13-0)}, K.F. Hetland 35 , T.E. Hilden 43 , H. Hillemanns 33 , C. Hills ^{[127](#page-12-0)}, B. Hippolyte [136](#page-12-0), B. Hohlweger [104](#page-12-0), D. Horak [36](#page-11-0), A. Hornung [68](#page-12-0), S. Hornung [106](#page-12-0), R. Hosokawa [15](#page-11-0)*,*[133](#page-12-0), P. Hristov ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, C. Huang ^{[61](#page-12-0)}, C. Hughes ^{[130](#page-12-0)}, P. Huhn ^{[68](#page-12-0)}, T.J. Humanic ^{[96](#page-12-0)}, H. Hushnud ^{[109](#page-12-0)}, L.A. Husova ^{[144](#page-13-0)}, N. Hussain ^{[41](#page-11-0)}, S.A. Hussain ^{[14](#page-11-0)}, D. Hutter ^{[38](#page-11-0)}, J.P. Iddon ^{[33](#page-11-0),[127](#page-12-0)}, R. Ilkaev ^{[108](#page-12-0)}, M. Inaba ^{[133](#page-12-0)}, G.M. Innocenti ³³, M. Ippolitov 87 87 87 , A. Isakov 94 94 94 , M.S. Islam 109 109 109 , M. Ivanov 106 106 106 , V. Ivanov 97 97 97 , V. Izucheev 90 90 90 , B. Jacak 79 79 79 , N. Jacazio ^{[53](#page-11-0)}, P.M. Jacobs ^{[79](#page-12-0)}, S. Jadlovska ^{[116](#page-12-0)}, J. Jadlovsky ¹¹⁶, S. Jaelani ^{[63](#page-12-0)}, C. Jahnke ^{[121](#page-12-0)}, M.J. Jakubowska [142](#page-13-0), M.A. Janik [142](#page-13-0), T. Janson [74](#page-12-0), M. Jercic [98](#page-12-0), O. Jevons [110](#page-12-0), M. Jin [125](#page-12-0), F. Jonas [95](#page-12-0)*,*[144](#page-13-0), P.G. Jones 110 110 110 , J. Jung 68 68 68 , M. Jung 68 68 68 , A. Jusko 110 , P. Kalinak 64 64 64 , A. Kalweit 33 33 33 , V. Kaplin 92 92 92 , S. Kar 6 , A. Karasu Uysal ^{[77](#page-12-0)}, O. Karavichev ^{[62](#page-12-0)}, T. Karavicheva ⁶², P. Karczmarczyk ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, E. Karpechev ⁶², A. Kazantsev 87 87 87 , U. Kebschull 74 74 74 , R. Keidel 46 46 46 , M. Keil 33 33 33 , B. Ketzer 42 42 42 , Z. Khabanova 89 89 89 , A.M. Khan 6 6 , S. Khan 16 16 16 , S.A. Khan 141 141 141 , A. Khanzadeev 97 97 97 , Y. Kharlov 90 90 90 , A. Khatun 16 , A. Khuntia 118 118 118 , B. Kileng 35 35 35 , B. Kim [60](#page-12-0), B. Kim [133](#page-12-0), D. Kim [147](#page-13-0), D.J. Kim [126](#page-12-0), E.J. Kim [73](#page-12-0), H. Kim [17](#page-11-0)*,*[147](#page-13-0), J. Kim [147](#page-13-0), J.S. Kim [40](#page-11-0), J. Kim [103](#page-12-0), J. Kim [147](#page-13-0), J. Kim [73](#page-12-0), M. Kim [103](#page-12-0), S. Kim [18](#page-11-0), T. Kim [147](#page-13-0), T. Kim [147](#page-13-0), S. Kirsch [38](#page-11-0)*,*[68](#page-12-0), I. Kisel [38](#page-11-0), S. Kiselev [91](#page-12-0), A. Kisiel 142 142 142 , J.L. Klay ^{[5](#page-11-0)}, C. Klein 68 68 68 , J. Klein 58 58 58 , S. Klein 79 79 79 , C. Klein-Bösing 144 144 144 , M. Kleiner 68 , A. Kluge 33 33 33 , M.L. Knichel^{[33](#page-11-0)}, A.G. Knospe^{[125](#page-12-0)}, C. Kobdaj^{[115](#page-12-0)}, M.K. Köhler^{[103](#page-12-0)}, T. Kollegger^{[106](#page-12-0)}, A. Kondratyev^{[75](#page-12-0)}, N. Kondratyeva 92 92 92 , E. Kondratyuk 90 90 90 , J. Konig 68 68 68 , P.J. Konopka 33 33 33 , L. Koska 116 116 116 , O. Kovalenko $^{84},$ $^{84},$ $^{84},$ V. Kovalenko ^{[112](#page-12-0)}, M. Kowalski ^{[118](#page-12-0)}, I. Králik ^{[64](#page-12-0)}, A. Kravčáková ^{[37](#page-11-0)}, L. Kreis ^{[106](#page-12-0)}, M. Krivda ^{64,[110](#page-12-0)}, F. Krizek ^{[94](#page-12-0)}, K. Krizkova Gajdosova ^{[36](#page-11-0)}, M. Krüger ^{[68](#page-12-0)}, E. Kryshen ^{[97](#page-12-0)}, M. Krzewicki ^{[38](#page-11-0)}, A.M. Kubera ^{[96](#page-12-0)}, V. Kučera ^{[60](#page-12-0)}, C. Kuhn ^{[136](#page-12-0)}, P.G. Kuijer ^{[89](#page-12-0)}, L. Kumar ^{[99](#page-12-0)}, S. Kumar ^{[48](#page-11-0)}, S. Kundu ^{[85](#page-12-0)}, P. Kurashvili ^{[84](#page-12-0)}, A. Kurepin ^{[62](#page-12-0)}, A.B. Kurepin ^{[62](#page-12-0)}, A. Kuryakin ^{[108](#page-12-0)}, S. Kushpil ^{[94](#page-12-0)}, J. Kvapil ^{[110](#page-12-0)}, M.J. Kweon ^{[60](#page-12-0)}, J.Y. Kwon ⁶⁰, Y. Kwon ^{[147](#page-13-0)}, S.L. La Pointe ^{[38](#page-11-0)}, P. La Rocca ^{[27](#page-11-0)}, Y.S. Lai ^{[79](#page-12-0)}, R. Langoy ^{[129](#page-12-0)}, K. Lapidus ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, A. Lardeux ^{[20](#page-11-0)}, P. Larionov ^{[51](#page-11-0)}, E. Laudi 33 33 33 , R. Lavicka 36 36 36 , T. Lazareva 112 112 112 , R. Lea 24 24 24 , L. Leardini 103 103 103 , J. Lee 133 133 133 , S. Lee 147 147 147 , F. Lehas 89 89 89 , S. Lehner ^{[113](#page-12-0)}, J. Lehrbach ^{[38](#page-11-0)}, R.C. Lemmon ^{[93](#page-12-0)}, I. León Monzón ^{[120](#page-12-0)}, E.D. Lesser ^{[19](#page-11-0)}, M. Lettrich ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, P. Lévai ^{[145](#page-13-0)}, X. Li ^{[12](#page-11-0)}, X.L. Li ^{[6](#page-11-0)}, J. Lien ^{[129](#page-12-0)}, R. Lietava ^{[110](#page-12-0)}, B. Lim ^{[17](#page-11-0)}, V. Lindenstruth ^{[38](#page-11-0)}, S.W. Lindsay ^{[127](#page-12-0)}, C. Lippmann ^{[106](#page-12-0)}, M.A. Lisa ^{[96](#page-12-0)}, V. Litichevskyi^{[43](#page-11-0)}, A. Liu^{[19](#page-11-0)}, S. Liu⁹⁶, W.J. Llope ^{[143](#page-13-0)}, I.M. Lofnes ^{[21](#page-11-0)}, V. Loginov ^{[92](#page-12-0)}, C. Loizides ^{[95](#page-12-0)}, P. Loncar ^{[34](#page-11-0)}, X. Lopez ^{[134](#page-12-0)}, E. López Torres ^{[8](#page-11-0)}, J.R. Luhder ^{[144](#page-13-0)}, M. Lunardon ^{[28](#page-11-0)}, G. Luparello ^{[59](#page-11-0)}, Y. Ma ^{[39](#page-11-0)}, A. Maevskaya ^{[62](#page-12-0)}, M. Mager ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, S.M. Mahmood ^{[20](#page-11-0)}, T. Mahmoud ^{[42](#page-11-0)}, A. Maire ^{[136](#page-12-0)}, R.D. Majka ^{[146](#page-13-0)}, M. Malaev [97](#page-12-0), Q.W. Malik [20](#page-11-0), L. Malinina [75](#page-12-0)*,*[iii](#page-13-0), D. Mal'Kevich [91](#page-12-0), P. Malzacher [106](#page-12-0), G. Mandaglio [55](#page-11-0), V. Manko 87 , F. Manso 134 , V. Manzari 52 , Y. Mao 6 , M. Marchisone 135 , J. Mareš 66 , G.V. Margagliotti 24 , A. Margotti 53 53 53 , J. Margutti 63 63 63 , A. Marín 106 106 106 , C. Markert 119 119 119 , M. Marquard 68 68 68 , N.A. Martin 103 103 103 , P. Martinengo ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, J.L. Martinez ^{[125](#page-12-0)}, M.I. Martínez ^{[44](#page-11-0)}, G. Martínez García ^{[114](#page-12-0)}, M. Martinez Pedreira ³³, S. Masciocchi [106](#page-12-0), M. Masera [25](#page-11-0), A. Masoni [54](#page-11-0), L. Massacrier [61](#page-12-0), E. Masson [114](#page-12-0), A. Mastroserio [52](#page-11-0)*,*[138](#page-12-0),

A.M. Mathis ^{[104](#page-12-0), [117](#page-12-0)}, O. Matonoha ^{[80](#page-12-0)}, P.F.T. Matuoka ^{[121](#page-12-0)}, A. Matyja ^{[118](#page-12-0)}, C. Mayer ¹¹⁸, M. Mazzilli ^{[52](#page-11-0)}, M.A. Mazzoni [57](#page-11-0), A.F. Mechler [68](#page-12-0), F. Meddi [22](#page-11-0), Y. Melikyan [62](#page-12-0)*,*[92](#page-12-0), A. Menchaca-Rocha [71](#page-12-0), C. Mengke [6](#page-11-0), E. Meninno [29](#page-11-0)*,*[113](#page-12-0), M. Meres [13](#page-11-0), S. Mhlanga [124](#page-12-0), Y. Miake [133](#page-12-0), L. Micheletti [25](#page-11-0), D.L. Mihaylov [104](#page-12-0), K. Mikhaylov ^{[75](#page-12-0),[91](#page-12-0)}, A. Mischke ^{[63](#page-12-0),[i](#page-13-0)}, A.N. Mishra ^{[69](#page-12-0)}, D. Miśkowiec ^{[106](#page-12-0)}, A. Modak ^{[3](#page-11-0)}, N. Mohammadi ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, A.P. Mohanty [63](#page-12-0), B. Mohanty [85](#page-12-0), M. Mohisin Khan [16](#page-11-0)*,*[iv](#page-13-0), C. Mordasini [104](#page-12-0), D.A. Moreira De Godoy [144](#page-13-0), L.A.P. Moreno $^{\rm 44}$ $^{\rm 44}$ $^{\rm 44}$, I. Morozov $^{\rm 62}$ $^{\rm 62}$ $^{\rm 62}$, A. Morsch $^{\rm 33}$ $^{\rm 33}$ $^{\rm 33}$, T. Mrnjavac $^{\rm 33}$, V. Muccifora $^{\rm 51}$ $^{\rm 51}$ $^{\rm 51}$, E. Mudnic $^{\rm 34}$ $^{\rm 34}$ $^{\rm 34}$, D. Mühlheim ^{[144](#page-13-0)}, S. Muhuri ^{[141](#page-13-0)}, J.D. Mulligan ^{[79](#page-12-0)}, M.G. Munhoz ^{[121](#page-12-0)}, R.H. Munzer ^{[68](#page-12-0)}, H. Murakami ^{[132](#page-12-0)}, S. Murray 124 124 124 , L. Musa 33 33 33 , J. Musinsky 64 64 64 , C.J. Myers 125 125 125 , J.W. Myrcha 142 142 142 , B. Naik 48 48 48 , R. Nair $^{84},$ $^{84},$ $^{84},$ B.K. Nandi [48](#page-11-0), R. Nania [10](#page-11-0)*,*[53](#page-11-0), E. Nappi [52](#page-11-0), M.U. Naru [14](#page-11-0), A.F. Nassirpour [80](#page-12-0), C. Nattrass [130](#page-12-0), R. Nayak [48](#page-11-0), T.K. Nayak $^{\rm 85}$ $^{\rm 85}$ $^{\rm 85}$, S. Nazarenko $^{\rm 108}$ $^{\rm 108}$ $^{\rm 108}$, A. Neagu $^{\rm 20}$ $^{\rm 20}$ $^{\rm 20}$, R.A. Negrao De Oliveira $^{\rm 68}$ $^{\rm 68}$ $^{\rm 68}$, L. Nellen $^{\rm 69}$ $^{\rm 69}$ $^{\rm 69}$, S.V. Nesbo $^{\rm 35}$ $^{\rm 35}$ $^{\rm 35}$, G. Neskovic ^{[38](#page-11-0)}, D. Nesterov ^{[112](#page-12-0)}, L.T. Neumann ^{[142](#page-13-0)}, B.S. Nielsen ^{[88](#page-12-0)}, S. Nikolaev ^{[87](#page-12-0)}, S. Nikulin ⁸⁷, V. Nikulin ^{[97](#page-12-0)}, F. Noferini [10](#page-11-0)*,*[53](#page-11-0), P. Nomokonov [75](#page-12-0), J. Norman [78](#page-12-0)*,*[127](#page-12-0), N. Novitzky [133](#page-12-0), P. Nowakowski [142](#page-13-0), A. Nyanin [87](#page-12-0), J. Nystrand [21](#page-11-0), M. Ogino [81](#page-12-0), A. Ohlson [80](#page-12-0)*,*[103](#page-12-0), J. Oleniacz [142](#page-13-0), A.C. Oliveira Da Silva [121](#page-12-0)*,*[130](#page-12-0), M.H. Oliver [146](#page-13-0), C. Oppedisano ^{[58](#page-11-0)}, R. Orava ^{[43](#page-11-0)}, A. Ortiz Velasquez ^{[69](#page-12-0)}, A. Oskarsson ^{[80](#page-12-0)}, J. Otwinowski ^{[118](#page-12-0)}, K. Oyama ^{[81](#page-12-0)}, Y. Pachmayer 103 103 103 , V. Pacik 88 88 88 , D. Pagano 140 140 140 , G. Paić 69 69 69 , J. Pan 143 143 143 , A.K. Pandey 48 48 48 , S. Panebianco 137 137 137 , P. Pareek [49](#page-11-0)*,*[141](#page-13-0), J. Park [60](#page-12-0), J.E. Parkkila [126](#page-12-0), S. Parmar [99](#page-12-0), S.P. Pathak [125](#page-12-0), R.N. Patra [141](#page-13-0), B. Paul [23](#page-11-0)*,*[58](#page-11-0), H. Pei [6](#page-11-0), T. Peitzmann 63 63 63 , X. Peng 6 6 , L.G. Pereira 70 70 70 , H. Pereira Da Costa 137 137 137 , D. Peresunko 87 87 87 , G.M. Perez 8 8 , E. Perez Lezama 68 68 68 , V. Peskov 68 , Y. Pestov 4 4 , V. Petráček 36 36 36 , M. Petrovici 47 47 47 , R.P. Pezzi 70 70 70 , S. Piano 59 59 59 , M. Pikna [13](#page-11-0), P. Pillot [114](#page-12-0), O. Pinazza [33](#page-11-0)*,*[53](#page-11-0), L. Pinsky [125](#page-12-0), C. Pinto [27](#page-11-0), S. Pisano [10](#page-11-0)*,*[51](#page-11-0), D. Pistone [55](#page-11-0), M. Płoskoń ^{[79](#page-12-0)}, M. Planinic ^{[98](#page-12-0)}, F. Pliquett ^{[68](#page-12-0)}, J. Pluta ^{[142](#page-13-0)}, S. Pochybova ^{[145](#page-13-0),[i](#page-13-0)}, M.G. Poghosyan ^{[95](#page-12-0)}, B. Polichtchouk ^{[90](#page-12-0)}, N. Poljak ^{[98](#page-12-0)}, A. Pop ^{[47](#page-11-0)}, H. Poppenborg ^{[144](#page-13-0)}, S. Porteboeuf-Houssais ^{[134](#page-12-0)}, V. Pozdniakov ^{[75](#page-12-0)}, S.K. Prasad [3](#page-11-0), R. Preghenella [53](#page-11-0), F. Prino [58](#page-11-0), C.A. Pruneau [143](#page-13-0), I. Pshenichnov [62](#page-12-0), M. Puccio [25](#page-11-0)*,*[33](#page-11-0), J. Putschke ^{[143](#page-13-0)}, R.E. Quishpe ^{[125](#page-12-0)}, S. Ragoni ^{[110](#page-12-0)}, S. Raha ^{[3](#page-11-0)}, S. Rajput ^{[100](#page-12-0)}, J. Rak ^{[126](#page-12-0)}, A. Rakotozafindrabe ^{[137](#page-12-0)}, L. Ramello $^{\,31}$ $^{\,31}$ $^{\,31}$, F. Rami $^{\,136}$ $^{\,136}$ $^{\,136}$, R. Raniwala $^{\,101}$ $^{\,101}$ $^{\,101}$, S. Raniwala $^{\,101}$, S.S. Räsänen $^{\,43}$ $^{\,43}$ $^{\,43}$, R. Rath $^{\,49}$ $^{\,49}$ $^{\,49}$, V. Ratza $^{\,42}$ $^{\,42}$ $^{\,42}$, I. Ravasenga [30](#page-11-0)*,*[89](#page-12-0), K.F. Read [95](#page-12-0)*,*[130](#page-12-0), K. Redlich [84](#page-12-0)*,*[v](#page-13-0), A. Rehman [21](#page-11-0), P. Reichelt [68](#page-12-0), F. Reidt [33](#page-11-0), X. Ren [6](#page-11-0), R. Renfordt [68](#page-12-0), Z. Rescakova [37](#page-11-0), J.-P. Revol [10](#page-11-0), K. Reygers [103](#page-12-0), V. Riabov [97](#page-12-0), T. Richert [80](#page-12-0)*,*[88](#page-12-0), M. Richter [20](#page-11-0), P. Riedler ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, W. Riegler ³³, F. Riggi ^{[27](#page-11-0)}, C. Ristea ^{[67](#page-12-0)}, S.P. Rode ^{[49](#page-11-0)}, M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi ^{[44](#page-11-0)}, K. Røed ^{[20](#page-11-0)}, R. Rogalev ^{[90](#page-12-0)}, E. Rogochaya ^{[75](#page-12-0)}, D. Rohr ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, D. Röhrich ^{[21](#page-11-0)}, P.S. Rokita ^{[142](#page-13-0)}, F. Ronchetti ^{[51](#page-11-0)}, E.D. Rosas ^{[69](#page-12-0)}, K. Roslon ^{[142](#page-13-0)}, A. Rossi ^{[28](#page-11-0),[56](#page-11-0)}, A. Rotondi ^{[139](#page-13-0)}, A. Roy ^{[49](#page-11-0)}, P. Roy ^{[109](#page-12-0)}, O.V. Rueda ^{[80](#page-12-0)}, R. Rui ^{[24](#page-11-0)}, B. Rumyantsev ^{[75](#page-12-0)}, A. Rustamov ^{[86](#page-12-0)}, E. Ryabinkin ^{[87](#page-12-0)}, Y. Ryabov ^{[97](#page-12-0)}, A. Rybicki ^{[118](#page-12-0)}, H. Rytkonen ^{[126](#page-12-0)}, O.A.M. Saarimaki ^{[43](#page-11-0)}, S. Sadhu ^{[141](#page-13-0)}, S. Sadovsky ^{[90](#page-12-0)}, K. Šafařík ^{[36](#page-11-0)}, S.K. Saha ¹⁴¹, B. Sahoo ^{[48](#page-11-0)}, P. Sahoo ^{48,[49](#page-11-0)}, R. Sahoo ⁴⁹, S. Sahoo ^{[65](#page-12-0)}, P.K. Sahu [65](#page-12-0), J. Saini [141](#page-13-0), S. Sakai [133](#page-12-0), S. Sambyal [100](#page-12-0), V. Samsonov [92](#page-12-0)*,*[97](#page-12-0), D. Sarkar [143](#page-13-0), N. Sarkar [141](#page-13-0), P. Sarma ^{[41](#page-11-0)}, V.M. Sarti ^{[104](#page-12-0)}, M.H.P. Sas ^{[63](#page-12-0)}, E. Scapparone ^{[53](#page-11-0)}, B. Schaefer ^{[95](#page-12-0)}, J. Schambach ^{[119](#page-12-0)}, H.S. Scheid ^{[68](#page-12-0)}, C. Schiaua^{[47](#page-11-0)}, R. Schicker ^{[103](#page-12-0)}, A. Schmah ¹⁰³, C. Schmidt ^{[106](#page-12-0)}, H.R. Schmidt ^{[102](#page-12-0)}, M.O. Schmidt ¹⁰³, M. Schmidt [102](#page-12-0), N.V. Schmidt [68](#page-12-0)*,*[95](#page-12-0), A.R. Schmier [130](#page-12-0), J. Schukraft [88](#page-12-0), Y. Schutz [33](#page-11-0)*,*[136](#page-12-0), K. Schwarz [106](#page-12-0), K. Schweda ^{[106](#page-12-0)}, G. Scioli ^{[26](#page-11-0)}, E. Scomparin ^{[58](#page-11-0)}, M. Šefčík ^{[37](#page-11-0)}, J.E. Seger ^{[15](#page-11-0)}, Y. Sekiguchi ^{[132](#page-12-0)}, D. Sekihata ¹³², I. Selyuzhenkov [92](#page-12-0)*,*[106](#page-12-0), S. Senyukov [136](#page-12-0), D. Serebryakov [62](#page-12-0), E. Serradilla [71](#page-12-0), A. Sevcenco [67](#page-12-0), A. Shabanov [62](#page-12-0), A. Shabetai ^{[114](#page-12-0)}, R. Shahoyan ^{[33](#page-11-0)}, W. Shaikh ^{[109](#page-12-0)}, A. Shangaraev ^{[90](#page-12-0)}, A. Sharma ^{[99](#page-12-0)}, A. Sharma ^{[100](#page-12-0)}, H. Sharma ^{[118](#page-12-0)}, M. Sharma ^{[100](#page-12-0)}, N. Sharma ^{[99](#page-12-0)}, A.I. Sheikh ^{[141](#page-13-0)}, K. Shigaki ^{[45](#page-11-0)}, M. Shimomura ^{[82](#page-12-0)}, S. Shirinkin ^{[91](#page-12-0)}, Q. Shou ^{[39](#page-11-0)}, Y. Sibiriak ^{[87](#page-12-0)}, S. Siddhanta ^{[54](#page-11-0)}, T. Siemiarczuk ^{[84](#page-12-0)}, D. Silvermyr ^{[80](#page-12-0)}, G. Simatovic ^{[89](#page-12-0)}, G. Simonetti ^{[33](#page-11-0), [104](#page-12-0)}, R. Singh ^{[85](#page-12-0)}, R. Singh ^{[100](#page-12-0)}, R. Singh ^{[49](#page-11-0)}, V.K. Singh ^{[141](#page-13-0)}, V. Singhal ¹⁴¹, T. Sinha ^{[109](#page-12-0)}, B. Sitar 13 13 13 , M. Sitta 31 31 31 , T.B. Skaali 20 20 20 , M. Slupecki 126 126 126 , N. Smirnov 146 146 146 , R.J.M. Snellings 63 63 63 , T.W. Snellman [43](#page-11-0)*,*[126](#page-12-0), C. Soncco [111](#page-12-0), J. Song [60](#page-12-0)*,*[125](#page-12-0), A. Songmoolnak [115](#page-12-0), F. Soramel [28](#page-11-0), S. Sorensen [130](#page-12-0), I. Sputowska 118 118 118 , J. Stachel 103 103 103 , I. Stan $^{\,67}$ $^{\,67}$ $^{\,67}$, P. Stankus 95 95 95 , P.J. Steffanic 130 130 130 , E. Stenlund 80 80 80 , D. Stocco 114 114 114 , M.M. Storetvedt 35 35 35 , L.D. Stritto 29 29 29 , A.A.P. Suaide 121 121 121 , T. Sugitate 45 45 45 , C. Suire 61 61 61 , M. Suleymanov 14 14 14 , M. Suljic 33 33 33 , R. Sultanov 91 91 91 , M. Šumbera 94 94 94 , S. Sumowidagdo 50 50 50 , S. Swain 65 65 65 , A. Szabo 13 13 13 , I. Szarka 13 , U. Tabassam [14](#page-11-0), G. Taillepied [134](#page-12-0), J. Takahashi [122](#page-12-0), G.J. Tambave [21](#page-11-0), S. Tang [6](#page-11-0)*,*[134](#page-12-0), M. Tarhini [114](#page-12-0), M.G. Tarzila 47 47 47 , A. Tauro 33 33 33 , G. Tejeda Muñoz 44 44 44 , A. Telesca 33 , C. Terrevoli 125 125 125 , D. Thakur 49 49 49 , S. Thakur 141 141 141 , D. Thomas ^{[119](#page-12-0)}, F. Thoresen ^{[88](#page-12-0)}, R. Tieulent ^{[135](#page-12-0)}, A. Tikhonov ^{[62](#page-12-0)}, A.R. Timmins ^{[125](#page-12-0)}, A. Toia ^{[68](#page-12-0)}, N. Topilskaya ⁶², M. Toppi ^{[51](#page-11-0)}, F. Torales-Acosta ^{[19](#page-11-0)}, S.R. Torres ^{[9](#page-11-0),[120](#page-12-0)}, A. Trifiro ^{[55](#page-11-0)}, S. Tripathy ^{[49](#page-11-0)}, T. Tripathy ^{[48](#page-11-0)}, S. Trogolo ^{[28](#page-11-0)}, G. Trombetta ^{[32](#page-11-0)}, L. Tropp ^{[37](#page-11-0)}, V. Trubnikov ^{[2](#page-11-0)}, W.H. Trzaska ^{[126](#page-12-0)}, T.P. Trzcinski ^{[142](#page-13-0)}, B.A. Trzeciak ^{[63](#page-12-0)}, T. Tsuji 132 132 132 , A. Tumkin 108 108 108 , R. Turrisi 56 56 56 , T.S. Tveter 20 20 20 , K. Ullaland 21 21 21 , E.N. Umaka 125 125 125 , A. Uras 135 135 135 , G.L. Usai 23 23 23 , A. Utrobicic 98 98 98 , M. Vala 37 37 37 , N. Valle 139 139 139 , S. Vallero 58 58 58 , N. van der Kolk 63 63 63 ,

L.V.R. van Doremalen ^{[63](#page-12-0)}, M. van Leeuwen ⁶³, P. Vande Vyvre ³³, D. Varga ^{[145](#page-13-0)}, Z. Varga ¹⁴⁵, M. Varga 1⁴⁵, A. Varga ¹⁴⁵, A. Varga ¹⁴⁵, A. Varga ¹⁴⁵, A. Varga ¹⁴⁵, A. Vasiliev⁸⁷, O. Vázquez Doce ^{104,11} M. Varga-Kofarago [145](#page-13-0), A. Vargas 44, M. Vasileiou [83](#page-12-0), A. Vasiliev [87](#page-12-0), O. Vázquez Doce [104](#page-12-0)*,*[117](#page-12-0), V. Vechernin 112 112 112 , A.M. Veen 63 63 63 , E. Vercellin 25 , S. Vergara Limón 44 , L. Vermunt 63 , R. Vernet 7 , R. Vértesi ^{[145](#page-13-0)}, L. Vickovic ³⁴, Z. Vilakazi ^{[131](#page-12-0)}, O. Villalobos Baillie ^{[110](#page-12-0)}, A. Villatoro Tello ⁴⁴, G. Vino ⁵², A. Vinogradov ^{[87](#page-12-0)}, T. Virgili ²⁹, V. Vislavicius ^{[88](#page-12-0)}, A. Vodopyanov ^{[75](#page-12-0)}, B. Volkel ³³, M.A. Völkl ^{[102](#page-12-0)}, K. Voloshin ^{[91](#page-12-0)}, S.A. Voloshin ^{[143](#page-13-0)}, G. Volpe ³², B. von Haller ³³, I. Vorobyev ^{[104](#page-12-0)}, D. Voscek ^{[116](#page-12-0)}, J. Vrláková ³⁷, B. Wagner 21 , M. Weber 113 113 113 , S.G. Weber 144 144 144 , A. Wegrzynek 33 , D.F. Weiser 103 103 103 , S.C. Wenzel 33 , J.P. Wessels [144](#page-13-0), J. Wiechula [68](#page-12-0), J. Wikne 20, G. Wilk [84](#page-12-0), J. Wilkinson ¹⁰*,*53, G.A. Willems 33, E. Willsher [110](#page-12-0), B. Windelband 103 103 103 , M. Winn 137 137 137 , W.E. Witt 130 130 130 , Y. Wu 128 128 128 , R. Xu 6 , S. Yalcin 77 77 77 , K. Yamakawa 45 , S. Yang 21 , S. Yano 137 137 137 , Z. Yin 6 , H. Yokoyama 63 63 63 , I.-K. Yoo 17 , J.H. Yoon 60 60 60 , S. Yuan 21 , A. Yuncu 103 103 103 , V. Yurchenko 2 , V. Zaccolo 24 , A. Zaman 14 , C. Zampolli 33 , H.J.C. Zanoli 63 63 63 , N. Zardoshti 33 , A. Zarochentsev 112 112 112 , P. Závada ^{[66](#page-12-0)}, N. Zaviyalov ^{[108](#page-12-0)}, H. Zbroszczyk ^{[142](#page-13-0)}, M. Zhalov ^{[97](#page-12-0)}, S. Zhang ³⁹, X. Zhang ⁶, Z. Zhang ⁶, V. Zherebchevskii [112](#page-12-0), D. Zhou 6, Y. Zhou [88](#page-12-0), Z. Zhou 21, J. Zhu ⁶*,*[106](#page-12-0), Y. Zhu 6, A. Zichichi ¹⁰*,*26,

M.B. Zimmermann $^{\,33}$, G. Zinovjev 2 , N. Zurlo $^{\,140}$ $^{\,140}$ $^{\,140}$

- *A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation, Yerevan, Armenia*
- *Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine*
- ³ Bose Institute, Department of Physics and Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science (CAPSS), Kolkata, India
- *Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia*
- *California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA, United States*
- *Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China*
- *Centre de Calcul de l'IN2P3, Villeurbanne, Lyon, France*
- *Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba*
- *Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico*
- *Centro Fermi – Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche "Enrico Fermi", Rome, Italy*
- *Chicago State University, Chicago, IL, United States*
- *China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China*
- *Comenius University Bratislava, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Bratislava, Slovakia*
- *COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan*
- *Creighton University, Omaha, NE, United States*
- *Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India*
- *Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Pusan, Republic of Korea*
- *Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
- *Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States*
- *Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*
- *Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università 'La Sapienza' and Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy*
- *Dipartimento di Fisica 'E.R. Caianiello' dell'Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy*
- *Dipartimento DISAT del Politecnico and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy*
- ³¹ Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica dell'Università del Piemonte Orientale and INFN Sezione di Torino, Alessandria, Italy
- *Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica 'M. Merlin' and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy*
- *European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*
- *Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, University of Split, Split, Croatia*
- *Faculty of Engineering and Science, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway*
- *Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic*
- *Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia*
- *Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Fudan University, Shanghai, China*
- *Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, Republic of Korea*
- *Gauhati University, Department of Physics, Guwahati, India*
- *Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Bonn, Germany*
- *Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Helsinki, Finland*
- *High Energy Physics Group, Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico*
- *Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan*
- *Hochschule Worms, Zentrum für Technologietransfer und Telekommunikation (ZTT), Worms, Germany*
- *Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IIT), Mumbai, India*
- *Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore, India*
- *Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Jakarta, Indonesia*
- *INFN, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Cagliari, Cagliari, Italy INFN, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Torino, Turin, Italy*
- *INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*
- *Inha University, Incheon, Republic of Korea*
- 61 Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay (IPNO), Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3/CNRS), Université de Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, *France*
- *Institute for Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia*
- *Institute for Subatomic Physics, Utrecht University/Nikhef, Utrecht, Netherlands*
- *Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia*
- *Institute of Physics, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Bhubaneswar, India*
- *Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic*
- *Institute of Space Science (ISS), Bucharest, Romania*
- *Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico*
- *Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil*
- *Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico*
- *iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, Somerset West, South Africa*
- *Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju, Republic of Korea*
- *Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe Universität Frankfurt Institut für Informatik, Fachbereich Informatik und Mathematik, Frankfurt, Germany*
- *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Dubna, Russia*
- *Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, Republic of Korea*
- *KTO Karatay University, Konya, Turkey*
- *Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS-IN2P3, Grenoble, France*
- *Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States*
- *Lund University Department of Physics, Division of Particle Physics, Lund, Sweden*
- *Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki, Japan*
- *Nara Women's University (NWU), Nara, Japan*
- *National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Science, Department of Physics, Athens, Greece*
- *National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw, Poland*
- *National Institute of Science Education and Research, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Jatni, India*
- *National Nuclear Research Center, Baku, Azerbaijan*
- *National Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia*
- *Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark*
- *Nikhef, National institute for subatomic physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands*
- *NRC Kurchatov Institute IHEP, Protvino, Russia*
- *NRC "Kurchatov Institute" – ITEP, Moscow, Russia*
- *NRNU Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia*
- *Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom*
- *Nuclear Physics Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Rež ˇ u Prahy, Czech Republic*
- *Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, United States*
- *Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States*
- *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia*
- *Physics department, Faculty of science, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia*
- *Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India*
- *Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India*
- *Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India*
- *Physikalisches Institut, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany*
- *Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany*
- *Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany*
- *Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy*
- ¹⁰⁶ Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany
- *Rudjer Boškovi´c Institute, Zagreb, Croatia*
- *Russian Federal Nuclear Center (VNIIEF), Sarov, Russia*
- *Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India*
- *School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom*
- *Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru*
- *St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia*
- *Stefan Meyer Institut für Subatomare Physik (SMI), Vienna, Austria*
- *SUBATECH, IMT Atlantique, Université de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France*
- *Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand*
- *Technical University of Košice, Košice, Slovakia*
- *Technische Universität München, Excellence Cluster 'Universe', Munich, Germany*
-
- *The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland*
- *The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, United States*
- *Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico*
- *Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil*
- *Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil*
- *Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo Andre, Brazil*
- *University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa*
- *University of Houston, Houston, TX, United States*
- *University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland*
- *University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom*
- *University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China*
- *University of South-Eastern Norway, Tonsberg, Norway*
- *University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, United States*
- *University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa*
- *University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*
- *University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan*
- *Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, Clermont-Ferrand, France*
- *Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IPN-Lyon, Villeurbanne, Lyon, France*
- *Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France*
- ¹³⁷ Université Paris-Saclay Centre d'Etudes de Saclay (CEA), IRFU, Départment de Physique Nucléaire (DPhN), Saclay, France
- *Università degli Studi di Foggia, Foggia, Italy*
- *Università degli Studi di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
- *Università di Brescia, Brescia, Italy*
- *Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata, India*
- *Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland*
- *Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States*
- *Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Institut für Kernphysik, Münster, Germany*
- *Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary*
- *Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States*
- *Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
- ⁱ Deceased.
- ii Dipartimento DET del Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy.
- iii M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear, Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- iv Department of Applied Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India.
- ^v Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wroclaw, Poland.