UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

BRAF in Lung Cancers: Analysis of Patient Cases Reveals Recurrent BRAF Mutations, Fusions, Kinase Duplications, and Concurrent Alterations.

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/55w9g5mz>

Journal JCO Precision Oncology, 2(2)

ISSN 2473-4284

Authors

Sheikine, Yuri Pavlick, Dean Klempner, Samuel J [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/55w9g5mz#author)

Publication Date 2018-11-01

DOI

10.1200/po.17.00172

Peer reviewed

BRAF **in Lung Cancers: Analysis of Patient Cases Reveals Recurrent** *BRAF* **Mutations, Fusions, Kinase Duplications, and Concurrent Alterations**

abstract Yuri Sheikine Dean Pavlick Samuel J. Klempner Sally E. Trabucco Jon H. Chung Mark Rosenzweig Kai Wang Vamsidhar Velcheti Garrett M. Frampton Nir Peled Molly Murray Young Kwang Chae Lee A. Albacker Laurie Gay Hatim Husain James H. Suh Sherri Z. Millis Venkataprasanth P. Reddy Julia A. Elvin Ryan J. Hartmaier Afshin Dowlati Phil Stephens Jeffrey S. Ross Trever G. Bivona Vincent A. Miller Shridar Ganesan Alexa B. Schrock

Sai-Hong Ignatius Ou Siraj M. Ali

(continued)

Purpose Dabrafenib and trametinib are approved for the management of advanced non– small-cell lung cancers (NSCLCs) that harbor *BRAF* **V600E mutations. Small series and pan-cancer analyses have identified non-V600 alterations as therapeutic targets. We sought to examine a large genomic data set to comprehensively characterize non-V600 B***RAF* **alterations in lung cancer.**

Patients and Methods A total of 23,396 patients with lung cancer provided data to assay with comprehensive genomic profiling. Data were reviewed for predicted pathogenic *BRAF* **base substitutions, short insertions and deletions, copy number changes, and rearrangements.**

Results Adenocarcinomas represented 65% of the occurrences; NSCLC not otherwise specified (NOS), 15%; squamous cell carcinoma, 12%; and small-cell lung carcinoma, 5%. *BRAF* **was altered in 4.5% (1,048 of 23,396) of all tumors; 37.4% (n = 397) were** *BRAF* **V600E, 38% were** *BRAF* **non-V600E activating mutations, and 18% were** *BRAF* **inactivating. Rearrangements were observed at a frequency of 4.3% and consisted of N-terminal deletions (NTDs; 0.75%), kinase domain duplications (KDDs; 0.75%), and** *BRAF* **fusions (2.8%). The fusions involved three recurrent fusion partners:** *ARMC10***,** *DOCK4,* **and** *TRIM24***.** *BRAF* **V600E was associated with co-occurrence of** *SETD2* **alterations, but other** *BRAF* **alterations were not and were instead associated with** *CDKN2A***,** *TP53***, and** *STK11* **alterations (***P* **< .05). Potential mechanisms of acquired resistance to** *BRAF* **V600E inhibition are demonstrated.**

Conclusion This series characterized the frequent occurrence (4.4%) of *BRAF* **alterations in lung cancers. Recurrent** *BRAF* **alterations in NSCLC adenocarcinoma are comparable to the frequency of other NSCLC oncogenic drivers, such as** *ALK***, and exceed that of** *ROS1* **or** *RET***. This work supports a broad profiling approach in lung cancers and suggests that non-V600E BR***AF* **alterations represent a subgroup of lung cancers in which targeted therapy should be considered.**

JCO Precis Oncol. © 2018 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of genotype-directed management of metastatic non–small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) has emerged as the paradigm for precision oncology. This model is exemplified by the improved outcomes in patients with NSCLC that harbor *EGFR* mutations, *ALK* fusions, or *ROS1* fusions who receive matched tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs).^{[1-](#page-13-0)[3](#page-13-1)} For NSCLC that harbors *BRAF* V600E, the combination of dabrafenib and trametinib was approved recently on the basis of an overall response rate of 66% compared with 33% with dabrafenib monotherapy[.4-](#page-13-2)[7](#page-14-0) In addition, a basket trial showed that *BRAF* V600E could be targeted successfully in solid tumors other than melanoma or NSCLC.[8](#page-14-1)

Author affiliations and support information (if applicable) appear at the end of this article.

Y.S., D.P., and S.J.K. conributed equally to this work. An alternate spelling of Y.S.'s name is Yury Sheykin.

Corresponding author: Siraj M. Ali, MD, PhD, 150 Second St, Foundation Medicine, Cambridge, MA 02139; e-mail: [siraj@](mailto:siraj@foundationmedicine.com) [foundationmedicine.com](mailto:siraj@foundationmedicine.com).

Within lung cancers, small series have described other oncogenic *BRAF* point mutations in exons 11 and 15[.9](#page-14-2)[-12](#page-14-3) However, because of the small sample size of prior studies and the focused sequencing methodologies that can miss important classes of genomic alterations, such as rearrangements, a complete landscape of *BRAF* alterations in lung cancers is lacking[.13-](#page-14-4)[15](#page-14-5) Given the therapeutic action ability of diverse *BRAF* alterations, we hypothesized that analysis by comprehensive genomic profiling (CGP) would refine the *BRAF* landscape and identify additional subsets of patients who may be candidates for targeted therapy. To our knowledge, this is the largest series to examine *BRAF* alterations in lung cancer and identify recurrent BRAF kinase– impaired point mutations; kinase-activating mutations, including V600E, oncogenic small insertions and deletions; and rearrangements/ fusions of *BRAF.*

PATIENTS AND METHODS

We reviewed 23,396 consecutive patients with lung cancer who underwent CGP during clinical care. The hybrid capture next-generation sequencing–based assay used identifies genomic alterations in 186, 236, or 315 genes: base substitutions, short insertions/deletions, copy number alterations, and gene fusions via intron baiting for 14, 19, and 31 genes, as previously described[.16](#page-14-6) DNA was extracted from 40-micron scrolls of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue, and CGP was performed on hybridizationcaptured, adaptor ligation–based libraries to a mean coverage depth of greater than ×500. Age, sex, and histology were abstracted from the accompanying pathology report submitted by the treating physician. Before sequencing, all patient cases were reviewed by a board-certified pathologist to establish adequacy of submitted material but not to confirm or overturn the submitted histologic diagnosis. Testing was performed in a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments–certified, College of American Pathologists–accredited reference laboratory (Foundation Medicine, Cambridge, MA).

Ordinal relationships were examined with the Mann-Whitney *U* test; categoric relationships were examined with the Pearson χ^2 test, and the Yates continuity correction was applied when applicable. Approval for this study, which included a waiver of informed consent and a

Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act waiver of authorization, was obtained from the Western Institutional Review Board (Protocol No. 20152817). Literature review defined kinase-activating base substitutions in *BRAF* as follows: G464A, G464E, G464V, G466A, F468C, G469A, G469R, G469S, G469V, V471F, N581S, E586K, F595L, L597Q, L597R, L597S, L597V, and K601E. Base substitutions that inactivate BRAF kinase activity were defined as follows: G466E, G466R, G466V, G469E, D594A, D594E, D594G, D594H, D594N, D594V, D594Y, G596R, T599I.

RESULTS

The histologic breakdown and basic clinicopathologic features are listed in [Table 1.](#page-3-0) In total, 1,061 individual *BRAF* alterations, which included base substitutions, small insertions/ deletions, and rearrangements in *BRAF*, were identified within 1,048 patient cases (4.4% overall). Focal amplifications of *BRAF* were not included because of a lack of preclinical evidence to support an oncogenic role ([Table 2](#page-4-0)). There were differences among histologic subtypes: 5.5% of adenocarcinomas and 1% (42 of 3,948) of squamous and small-cell tumors harbored *BRAF* alterations [\(Table 2](#page-4-0)). Among all lung adenocarcinoma and NSCLC NOS, 40% and 29% of *BRAF* alterations, respectively, were *BRAF* V600E ([Table 2\)](#page-4-0). Of the 32 SCCs with *BRAF* mutations, five were *BRAF* V600E, and the remainder were divided between kinaseactivating and kinase-inactivating mutations. Of the 10 SCLCs with *BRAF* alterations, nine were kinase activating, one was V600E, and two were G469V (Fig 1A).

Overall, non-V600E activating mutations accounted for 37.9% (402 of 1,061) of all *BRAF* alterations. Within non-V600E mutations (n = 402), codon 469 (G469) alterations represented 34% (135 of 402), and G469A was the most common alteration (23%; 94 of 402; [Table 2](#page-4-0)). Other recurrent G469 mutations included G469R (4%; 16 of 402), G469S (3.2%; 13 of 402), and G469V (10.1%; 41 of 402). Mutations at G464 accounted for 10% (38 of 402) of activating mutations; G464A (1.2%; five of 402), G464E (0.7%; three of 402), and G464V (7.5%; 30 of 402) were most common (Fig 1A). G466A occurred in 3.7% (15 of 402), and V471F

carcinoma; SCLC, squamous non–small-cell lung cancer; SD, standard deviation; TMB, tumor mutational burden.

Table 2. Distribution of BRAF Alterations Across 1,048 Individual BRAF-Altered Lung Cancers (Continued) **Table 2.** Distribution of *BRAF* Alterations Across 1,048 Individual *BRAF*-Altered Lung Cancers (Continued) Abbreviations: adeno, adenocarcinoma; adenoSCC, adenosquamous; indel, insertion-deletion; LC-NEC, large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma; NSCLC, non–small-cell lung cancer; SCLC, small-cell undifferentiated carci-Abbrevations: adeno, adenocarcinoma; adenoSCC, adenosquam
noma; SD, standard deviation ; TMB, tumor mutational burden. noma; SD, standard deviation ; TMB, tumor mutational burden.

Fig 1. Intragenic distribution of *BRAF* point mutations and co-occurrence of *BRAF* alterations with alterations of other cancer-related genes within *BRAF* in a series of 23,396 patient cases with lung cancer. (A) Lollipop plot shows the distribution of point mutations in *BRAF* across lung cancers (n = 1,048). (B) Tile plot shows that *BRAF* V600E co-occur with *SETD2* and that non-V600E *BRAF* mutations do not.

occurred in 0.25% (one occurrence). In addition to mutations in the GxGxxG motif of the *BRAF* P-loop, we observed mutations at L597 (4%; 16 of 402), which consisted of L597Q (1.5%; six of 402), L597R (1.5%; six of 402), L597S (0.25%; one of 402), and L597V (0.75%; three of 402). Recurrent K601E represented 11.7% (47 of 402) of activating point mutations, and N581S represented 6.7% (27 of 402; Fig 1A).

Base substitutions that yielded kinase inactivation represented 18.2% (193 of 1,061) of all *BRAF* alterations in this series ([Table 2](#page-4-0)). Mutations at the D594 position at the start of the DFG motif made up 40% (77 of 193) of these inactivating alterations, as follows: D594G (19.7%; 38 of 193), D594A (1%; two of 193), D594E (1%; two of 193), D594H (2%; four of 193), D594N (14%; 27 of 193),

D594V (0.5%; one of 193), and D594Y (1%; two of 193). G596R was found in 13.5% (26 of 193) of tumors. *BRAF* F595L and G596D were found once each and may have affected kinase activity[.17](#page-14-7) Additional kinase-inactivating mutations included changes at position G466 in the P-loop in 54% (104 of 193), as follows: G466V (35.2%; 68 of 193), G466E (5.7%; 11 of 193), and G466R (3.1%; six of 193). Alterations of *SETD2* were enriched in tumors that harbored *BRAF* V600E but not other *BRAF* alterations (*P* < .001; Fig 1B). Alterations of *SMAD4* and *PIK3CA* also co-segregated with *BRAF* V600E relative to other *BRAF* alterations ($P < .01$). Conversely, alterations of *KEAP1*, *NF1*, *MET*, *RICTOR*, *KRAS*, *MYC*, *STK11*, and *TP53* occurred more frequently in non-V600E *BRAF*– altered tumors ($P < .05$). Nearly half (44%) of *SETD2* alterations in the *BRAF* V600E occurrences were loss of heterozygosity (LOH), which was more frequent than the occurrence of LOH (22%) across a large set of *SETD2* altered NSCLC tumors (data not shown). *KRAS* was enriched in the *BRAF* non-V600E tumors with an odds ratio of 0.103 and an FDRadjusted *P* value of 1.91E−09. This was assessed with χ^2 to compare V600E (odds ratio > 1 indicates enrichment) and non-V600E (odds ratio < 1 indicates enrichment). This assessment included any known/likely *KRAS* variant.

Small activating insertions and deletions of *BRAF* were rare (2%; 23 of 1,061 tumors) and were predominantly in adenocarcinoma (91%; 21 of 23 tumors). Such deletions were L485_ N486>F, L485_N486>Y (n = 2), N486_P490del (n = 3), V487_P492>A (n = 2), T488_P492del, and A489_Q493del ($n = 3$), which are all adjacent or within the alpha C-helix, as previously described[.14](#page-14-8),[15](#page-14-5) Other oncogenic *BRAF* deletions were G593_A598del, T599_V600>M, V600_W604>R, and V600_W604>E (n = 1 each). K483_M484>EI was mutated without a net change in length. Short insertions were G503_V504insVLR and A598_T599insT (n = 2), and two longer insertions, A598_ T599insIFLHEDLTVKIGDFGLA and T599_ V600insRVGDFGLAT, also were identified. Oncogenic *BRAF* deletions and insertions were largely mutually exclusive from other National Comprehensive Cancer Network–designated NSCLC oncogenic alterations except for one tumor that harbored both T599_V600insT and

ERBB2 amplification, quantitatively estimated as seven copies ([Table 2](#page-4-0)).

Rearrangements that consisted of N-terminal deletions (NTDs), exonic deletions, kinase domain duplications (KDDs), and fusions of *BRAF* were identified at a frequency of 4.3% (46 of 1,061 *BRAF* alterations; Fig 2). NTDs were identified in 0.76% (eight of 1,048 of tumors), and two tumors also harbored base substitutions in *BRAF*. One tumor harbored deletions of exons 3 through 8 and G464A, and the other, deletion of exons 4 through 8 and D594G. No other known oncogenic drivers were identified in either tumor. One tumor with an NTD harbored deletion of exons 2 through 9 as well as *KRAS* G12C. Tumors with limited exonic deletions of *BRAF* included one with exon 8 deleted and one with exon 7 deleted (Fig 2). KDD events occurred in 0.76% (eight of 1,048 tumors) and appended exons that coded for the full kinase domain of *BRAF* to the 3-prime end of the wildtype gene. One tumor had a breakpoint in intron 7, appended to exons 7 through 18; two tumors had a breakpoint in intron 8; four tumors had breakpoints in intron 9; and one tumor had a breakpoint in intron 10 (Fig 2). All tumors were otherwise wild type for *RAS*/*RAF*/*MAPK* family member alterations and NCCN-designated NSCLC driver alterations. Predicted fusions of *BRAF* were found in 2.9% (30 of 1,048) of tumors, which provided an overall frequency of 2.8% (30 of 1,061) of all *BRAF* alterations. Twenty-six tumors had identifiable fusion partners of *BRAF*, and the following recurrent fusions occurred twice each: *ARMC10*, *DOCK4*, and *TRIM24*; three tumors harbored *SND1*- *BRAF*. Fusions that involved *AGAP3*, *AGK*, *AP3B1*, *BTFL34*, *EPS15*, *EYS*, *GHR*, *GRM8*, *LMO7*, *MKRN1*, *NUP214, PARP12, PTPN13*, *STAT3*, *TRIM4*, *TRIO*, and *ZC3HAV4* occurred once each (Fig 2).

Paired samples were available for a small subset of tumors (n = 16). Among seven *BRAF* V600E adenocarcinomas, five had new mutations in *RAS* family members, including four mutations in *KRAS*, and one in *NRAS* ([Table 3](#page-9-0)). A patient with *BRAF* V600E NSCLC experienced disease response to vemurafenib for 7 months, and a progression sample demonstrated a *BRAF* rearrangement as well as the original *BRAF* V600E.

Fig 2. The spectrum of *BRAF* rearrangements in lung cancer. N-terminal deletions, kinase domain duplications, and *BRAF* fusions. Breakpoints in *BRAF* were largely conserved between introns 8 and 11.

Table 3. Mutations Associated With Progressive Disease in *BRAF* V600E Mutant Patient Cases

NOTE. Patients with chronologically separated specimens were assayed by comprehensive genomic profiling, and genomic alterations present in the latter specimen are highlighted in this table.

Abbreviation: TMB, tumor mutational burden.

*Original *BRAF* alteration for each was *BRAF* V600E.

DISCUSSION

Here, we present, to our knowledge, the largest assessment of *BRAF* alterations and expand upon the understanding of activating genomic *BRAF* aberrations across lung cancers. Pathologic activation of the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK (MAPK) pathway is observed across multiple tumor types, and *BRAF* alterations in lung cancer can be targeted by MEK inhibitors or pan-RAF inhibitors. Preclinical data suggest that MAPK pathway activation that results from *BRAF* activating (including non-V600E) alterations may be sensitive to targeting downstream signaling nodes MEK and ERK[.18](#page-14-9) Our data suggest that non-V600E *BRAF* alterations are recurrent in NSCLC and warrant additional clinical exploration.

RAF proteins (including BRAF) have similar structures, which contain three conserved regions (CR1, CR2, and CR3).¹⁹ CR1 contains RAS-binding and cysteine-rich domains (called RBD and CRD, respectively), that bind RAS. CR2 is a serine-threonine–rich domain, which functions as an inhibitory domain upon binding

of the 14-3-3 regulatory protein. CR3 encompasses the kinase domain, which includes sites for binding of ATP (the P-loop) and BRAF substrates MEK1 and MEK2. This is also the site at which BRAF inhibitors bind. RAF proteins function as homo- and heterodimers, which is necessary to exert kinase activity. It is likely that differential sensitivity to inhibitors by type of *BRAF* alteration reflects varied activation mechanisms, elicited by different mutations. The canonical *BRAF* V600E kinase domain mutation was observed in 397 tumors. We observed *BRAF* G469A and G469V in 135 tumors, and this codon in the kinase P-loop retains the ability to form heterodimers with C-RAF. In this large patient subset, use of the multikinase inhibitors sorafenib, and the closely structurally related compound regorafenib, which have activity against C-RAF, an obligate physiologic heterodimerization partner for BRAF, may be a more rational approach. Indeed, sorafenib activity in in two NSCLC tumors with activating mutations, G469A and G469V, was demonstrated recently.[17,](#page-14-7)[20](#page-14-11) Orthogonal support from translational studies demonstrated decreased signaling activity, with a dimerization-impaired form of *BRAF* G469A (R509H) compared with wildtype *BRAF* G469A, in contrast with only a slight (7%) reduction for the analogous dimerizationimpaired form of *BRAF* V600E (R509H), which is consistent with the operation of the R509H form as a promoter.²¹ We hypothesize that response to single-agent BRAF inhibitors in G469 alterations would be limited by paradoxical activation of RAF/MEK/ERK signaling caused by the current approved BRAF inhibitors (vemurafenib, dabrafenib), and we expect that newer pan-RAF inhibitors, such as PLX8394, may have broader utility against both V600 and non-V600 mutant forms of *BRAF* in NSCLC.^{[22](#page-14-13)}

Across cancers, the mutagenic processes most frequently observed in each anatomic tumor type exhibit some well-described variation.²³ In this series, we identified recurrent *BRAF* mutations at G464, G466, and particularly G469, which typically are not observed in melanoma.[24](#page-14-15) This observation hints at different underlying carcinogenic processes between melanoma (ultraviolet light–induced DNA damage) and lung cancer (often smoking-induced damage). Unfortunately, smoking histories were not available for this work. Similarly, deletions of the alpha C-helix in *BRAF* are found most frequently in *KRAS* wild-type pancreatic carcinoma and are analogous to activating *EGFR* exon 19 deletions in the C-helix of the epidermal growth factor receptor kinase domain[.14](#page-14-8)[,15](#page-14-5) Although no NSCLC response to this class of deletion has been described yet, a patient with non-Langerhans his tiocytic disease that harbored a *BRAF* C-helical deletion recently experienced disease response with trametinib.^{[25](#page-14-16)} In this series, we observed for the first time in *BRAF* the replacement of resi dues L485_N486 at the end of the beta strand with the aromatic amino acid tyrosine $(n = 2)$ or phenylalanine (n = 1). In preclinical studies of deletion in the alpha C-helix of *BRAF*, single to multiple amino acids deletions have been mod eled with some gain in BRAF kinase activity less than that of the 486 through 490 deletion, but this insertion of F/Y was not modeled. This change may mimic the poorly characterized L747P mutation in *EGFR* or the conserved exon 19 insertion, which also results in L747P mutation.[26](#page-14-17),[27](#page-15-0) It remains to be understood how L485_N486>F/Y activates *BRAF* and any asso ciated sensitivity to a pan-RAF inhibitor. Larger series with treatment data will be needed to address a possible role for how tissue and/or the genomic context of a given *BRAF* alteration would affect clinical responsiveness. For exam ple, in this data set, 0.7% of lung carcinoma tumors harbored focal *BRAF* amplification, which by itself is not known to serve as an oncogenic driver, but more than half of these co-occurred with *BRAF* non-V600E point mutations with out other oncogenic driver alterations (data not shown). The co-occurrence of *BRAF* V600E and alterations of *SETD2*, *SMAD4*, and *PIK3CA* is novel and highly significant for *SETD2* mutations (*P* < .001). An LOH assessment demonstrated an enrichment of *SETD2* LOH in these tumors rela tive to all lung SCC tumors (44% *v* 25%; data not shown). Non-V600E *BRAF*–altered tumors were enriched for concurrent alterations of *KEAP1*, *NF1*, *MET*, *RICTOR*, *KRAS*, *MYC*, *STK11*, and *TP53. STK11* alterations in particular may be functionally related to *BRAF* alterations, as was shown in melanoma cells in which *BRAF* V600E suppressed LKB1 function, which allowed activa - tion of AMPK.^{28[,29](#page-15-2)} Such interaction has not been described for non-V600E *BRAF* mutants, but it is conceivable that *STK11* mutations on one allele, coupled with inactivation of residual wild-type STK11 protein by a mutated BRAF protein, may abrogate STK11 function.

We observed a diversity of *BRAF* rearrange ments, including NTDs, KDDs, and *BRAF* fusions, in this series. Previously, variably sized deletions of exons 2 through 9 or less in *BRAF* (NTD-*BRAF*) were described only in preclini cal models of vemurafenib-resistant melanoma and lung cancer.^{[30](#page-15-3),31} To our knowledge, this is the first report of NTD-*BRAF* in lung cancer samples (none, to our knowledge, with prior RAF-directed therapy), and it suggests that mechanisms other than splicing at the RNA level can underlie NTD. In addition to NTD-*BRAF*, we also report the selective deletion of exons 7 or 8, which had been unknown as acti vating *BRAF* (Fig 2). Moreover, we report recur rent *BRAF* KDDs in NSCLC, a genomic event first described in gliomas of the optic nerve. 32 We previously reported a patient with acinic cell carcinoma that had *BRAF* KDDs who achieved a durable response to the pan-RAF inhibitor regorafenib.[33](#page-15-6),[34](#page-15-7) Preclinical work to demonstrate the sensitivity of *BRAF* KDDs that co-occur with *BRAF* V600E to a pan-RAF dimerization inhibitor suggests that the oncogenic activity of *BRAF* KDD is dimerization dependent.³⁵ Additional investigation to determine the biology of KDD (ie, does *BRAF* KDD dimerize with wildtype *CRAF*, or auto- or homo-dimerize with the two kinase domains) interactions is needed. Although quite rare in this series, *BRAF* KDD responsiveness in other tumors highlights the importance of assessment of this alteration in NSCLC.

Fusions that involve the *BRAF* kinase domain occur in thyroid carcinoma, pediatric low-grade gliomas, melanoma, and other cancers.[13,](#page-14-4)[36-](#page-15-9)[38](#page-15-10) We expand on this understanding with the largest, to our knowledge, *BRAF* fusion series reported $(n = 30)$ in lung cancers. Across the series, *BRAF* fusions lack the RAS-binding autoinhibitory domain found in the N-terminal half of *BRAF*, akin to *BRAF* NTDs, and the N-terminal fusion partner often harbors a constitutive dimerization or oligomerization motif. Among melanomas that harbor *BRAF* fusions, response to trametinib is described, which indicates that NSCLC tumors that harbor *BRAF* fusions may also benefit from monotherapy with MEK inhibitors.^{13,[39](#page-15-11)} In contrast, patients with pilocytic astrocytomas and presumed *BRAF* fusions experienced rapid progression with sorafenib treatment, which suggests that heterodimerization with *CRAF* is

not needed for *BRAF* fusion activity, although some dimerization is required.^{[40](#page-15-12),41} Kinase fusions may emerge as resistance mechanisms to targeted therapy, such as epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors.^{42,43} Limited clinical histories were available for patient cases in the series, but, for one tumor with *EGFR* exon 19 deletion (T790M negative), a *TRIM24-BRAF* fusion was observed with erlotinib resistance, which suggests that the *BRAF* fusion may drive resistance. Both the response to trametinib in *BRAF* fusion at diagnosis and the observed kinase fusions at resistance suggest *BRAF* fusions are a target that warrants exploration. Resistance to small molecule inhibitors is universal, and the landscape of *BRAF*-mutant lung cancers treated with BRAF inhibition is not known.[44](#page-15-16) Among a small subset of tumors with paired samples and clinical data, genomic alterations not present in the pretreatment specimen existed in the post-treatment specimens and may correlate with acquired resistance ([Table 3](#page-9-0)). We observed a *BRAF* fusion upon resistance to vemurafenib, which mimicked a recent description of a fusion-based resistance to vemurafenib in melanoma.⁴⁵ In

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Dean Pavlick, Samuel J. Klempner, Vamsidhar Velcheti, Nir Peled, Sherri Z. Millis, Venkataprasanth P. Reddy, Julia A. Elvin, Afshin Dowlati, Jeffrey S. Ross, Vincent A. Miller, Alexa B. Schrock, Sai-Hong Ignatius Ou, Siraj M. Ali

Collection and assembly of data: Dean Pavlick, Samuel J. Klempner, Vamsidhar Velcheti, Garrett M. Frampton, Nir Peled, Molly Murray, Young Kwang Chae, Laurie Gay, James H. Suh, Venkataprasanth P. Reddy, Afshin Dowlati, Jeffrey S. Ross, Sai-Hong Ignatius Ou, Siraj M. Ali

Provision of study material or patients: Samuel J. Klempner, Vamsidhar Velcheti, Afshin Dowlati, Sai-Hong Ignatius Ou

Data analysis and interpretation: Yuri Sheikine, Dean Pavlick, Samuel J. Klempner, Sally E. Trabucco, Jon H. Chung, Mark Rosenzweig, Kai Wang, Garrett M. Frampton, Nir Peled, Young Kwang Chae, Lee A. Albacker, Hatim Husain, Venkataprasanth P. Reddy, Afshin Dowlati, Ryan J. Hartmaier, Phil Stephens, Jeffrey S. Ross, Trever G. Bivona, Alexa B. Schrock, Shridar Ganesan, Sai-Hong Ignatius Ou, Siraj M. Ali

Administrative support: Molly Murray, Afshin Dowlati **Manuscript writing:** All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

a second tumor, loss of *MAP2K4* and biallelic inactivation of S*MARCA4* was seen at progression. Several tumors also had activating *KRAS*/*NRAS* mutations upon progression.

Overall, we report the largest series, to our knowledge, to examine all classes of *BRAF* alterations in lung cancers. Although limited by disease heterogeneity, incomplete clinical annotation, and no independent confirmation of histology, the series identifies multiple non-V600 aberrations that tend to be mutually exclusive with other oncogenic drivers in lung cancer. Whether non-V600 identifies a good prognostic group, as in colorectal cancer, is of interest but is not answerable from our data.⁴⁶ Likewise, it is unclear whether non-V600E alterations are responsive to existing therapies; clinical trials are needed. The series provides a platform to investigate multiple hypotheses to refine the therapy for *BRAF*-altered lung cancers and may have treatment implications when clinical trials are not available for rare genomically defined subsets.

DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1200/PO.17.00172](http://ascopubs.org/doi/full/10.1200/PO.17.00172) Published online on ascopubs.org/journal/po on April 19, 2018.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or [ascopubs.org/po/author-center.](http:ascopubs.org/po/author-center)

Yuri Sheikine Consulting or Advisory Role: Foundation Medicine

Dean Pavlick No relationship to disclose

Samuel Klempner Consulting or Advisory Role: Lilly, Boston Biomedical, Celgene, Astellas Pharma **Speakers' Bureau:** Foundation Medicine **Research Funding:** Leap Therapeutics (Inst)

Sally E. Trabucco Employment: Foundation Medicine **Research Funding:** Foundation Medicine **Travel, Accommodations, Expenses:** Foundation Medicine

Jon Chung Employment: Foundation Medicine **Stock and Other Ownership Interests:** Foundation Medicine

Mark Rosenzweig Employment: Foundation Medicine **Stock and Other Ownership Interests:** Foundation Medicine

Kai Wang No relationship to disclose

Vamsidhar Velcheti Honoraria: Novartis, Foundation Medicine, Merck, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Genentech, Roche

Consulting or Advisory Role: Clovis Oncology, Genentech, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Merck, Celgene, Foundation Medicine, AstraZeneca, MedImmune, Genoptix, Amgen, Takeda

Research Funding: Genentech (Inst), Trovagene (Inst), Eisai (Inst), OncoPlex Diagnostics (Inst), ALkermes (Inst), NantOmics (Inst), GEnoptix (Inst), Altor BioScience (Inst), Merck (Inst), Bristol-Myers Squibb (Inst), Atreca (Inst), Heat Biologics (Inst), Leap Therapeutics (Inst)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: AstraZeneca/ MedImmune, Eisai, Foundation Medicine, Merck

Garrett M. Frampton Employment: Foundation Medicine

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Foundation Medicine

Nir Peled

Consulting or Advisory Role: AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche

Speakers' Bureau: AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche

Molly Murray Employment: Foundation Medicine

Young Kwang Chae Consulting or Advisory Role: Foundation Medicine, Boehringer Ingelheim, Biodesix, Counsyl, AstraZeneca, Guardant Health

Speakers' Bureau: Merck, Genentech, Roche **Travel, Accommodations, Expenses:** Hanmi

Lee A. Albacker Employment: Foundation Medicine **Stock and Other Ownership Interests:** Foundation Medicine

Laurie Gay Employment: Foundation Medicine **Stock and Other Ownership Interests:** Gilead Sciences, Foundation Medicine

Hatim Husain Consulting or Advisory Role: AstraZeneca, Abbvie, Foundation Medicine

Speakers' Bureau: AstraZeneca, Merck, Bristol-Myers Squibb

Research Funding: Pfizer (Inst)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: AstraZeneca, Merck, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Foundation Medicine, Abbvie

James Suh Employment: Foundation Medicine **Stock and Other Ownership Interests:** Foundation Medicine

Sherri Millis Employment: Foundation Medicine

Venkataprasanth Reddy Employment: Foundation Medicine **Stock and Other Ownership Interests:** Foundation Medicine **Travel, Accommodations, Expenses:** Foundation Medicine

Julia Elvin Employment: Foundation Medicine **Stock and Other Ownership Interests:** Foundation Medicine

Ryan J. Hartmaier Employment: Foundation Medicine **Stock and Other Ownership Interests:** Foundation Medicine

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Nfe2L2 exon 2 and/or exon 3 loss from work conducted at Foundation Medicine (provisional patent filed) (Inst)

Afshin Dowlati Consulting or Advisory Role: Abbvie, Stemcentrx, Ariad

Research Funding: Lilly (Inst), ImClone (Inst), Amgen (Inst), Bristol-Myers Squibb (Inst), OncoMed (Inst), EMD Serono (Inst), MedImmune (Inst)

Phil Stephens Employment: Foundation Medicine **Leadership:** Foundation Medicine **Stock and Other Ownership Interests:** Foundation Medicine

Jeffrey Ross Employment: Foundation Medicine **Leadership:** Foundation Medicine **Stock and Other Ownership Interests:** Foundation Medicine **Honoraria:** Pfizer, EMD Merck Serono **Research Funding:** Foundation Medicine

Trever Bivona Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Driver **Honoraria:** Novartis, AstraZeneca, Array BioPharma, Revolution Medicine

Affiliations

Yuri Sheikine, Vancouver General Hospital, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; Dean Pavlick, Sally E. Trabucco, Jon H. Chung, Mark Rosenzweig, Kai Wang, Garrett M. Frampton, Molly Murray, Lee A. Albacker, Laurie Gay, James H. Suh, Sherri Z. Millis, Venkataprasanth P. Reddy, Julia A. Elvin, Ryan J. Hartmaier, Phil Stephens, Jeffrey S. Ross, Vincent A. Miller, Alexa B. Schrock, and Siraj M. Ali, Foundation Medicine, Cambridge, MA; Samuel J. Klempner, The Angeles Clinic and Research Institute and Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles; Hatim Husain, University of California San Diego, San Diego; Trever G. Bivona, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco; and Sai-Hong Ignatius Ou, University of California, Irvine, Medical Center, Irvine, CA; Vamsidhar Velcheti, Cleveland Clinic; and Afshin Dowlati, University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center and Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH; Nir Peled, Soroka Medical Center and Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheve, Israel; Young Kwang Chae, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine Northwestern Medical Center, Chicago, IL; and Shridar Ganesan, Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ.

Prior Presentation

Presented in part at the Meeting of the European Society of Medical Oncology, Vienna, Austria, September 25-29, 2015.

REFERENCES

- 1. Rosell R, Carcereny E, Gervais R, et al: Erlotinib versus standard chemotherapy as first-line treatment for European patients with advanced EGFR mutation–positive non–small-cell lung cancer (EURTAC): A multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 13:239- 246, 2012
- 2. Solomon BJ, Mok T, Kim DW, et al: First-line crizotinib versus chemotherapy in ALK-positive lung cancer. N Engl J Med 371:2167-2177, 2014
- 3. Shaw AT, Solomon BJ: Crizotinib in ROS1-rearranged non–small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 372:683-684, 2015
- 4. Planchard D, Besse B, Groen HJM, et al: Dabrafenib plus trametinib in patients with previously treated BRAF(V600E)-mutant metastatic non–small-cell lung cancer: An open-label, multicentre phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 17:984-993, 2016
- 5. Planchard D, Kim TM, Mazieres J, et al: Dabrafenib in patients with BRAF(V600E)-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer: A single-arm, multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 17:642-650, 2016
- 6. Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, et al: Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. Nature 417:949-954, 2002
- 7. Kim G, McKee AE, Ning YM, et al: FDA approval summary: Vemurafenib for treatment of unresectable or metastatic melanoma with the BRAFV600E mutation. Clin Cancer Res 20:4994- 5000, 2014
- 8. Hyman DM, Puzanov I, Subbiah V, et al: Vemurafenib in multiple nonmelanoma cancers with BRAF V600 mutations. N Engl J Med 373:726-736, 2015
- 9. Gautschi O, Peters S, Zoete V, et al: Lung adenocarcinoma with BRAF G469L mutation refractory to vemurafenib. Lung Cancer 82:365-367, 2013
- 10. Litvak AM, Paik PK, Woo KM, et al: Clinical characteristics and course of 63 patients with BRAF-mutant lung cancers. J Thorac Oncol 9:1669-1674, 2014
- 11. Tissot C, Couraud S, Tanguy R, et al: Clinical characteristics and outcome of patients with lung cancer harboring BRAF mutations. Lung Cancer 91:23-28, 2016
- 12. Villaruz LC, Socinski MA, Abberbock S, et al: Clinicopathologic features and outcomes of patients with lung adenocarcinomas harboring BRAF mutations in the Lung Cancer Mutation Consortium. Cancer 121:448-456, 2015
- 13. Ross JS, Wang K, Chmielecki J, et al: The distribution of BRAF gene fusions in solid tumors and response to targeted therapy. Int J Cancer 138:881-890, 2016
- 14. Foster SA, Whalen DM, Özen A, et al: Activation mechanism of oncogenic deletion mutations in BRAF, EGFR, and HER2. Cancer Cell 29:477-493, 2016
- 15. Chen SH, Zhang Y, Van Horn RD, et al: Oncogenic BRAF deletions that function as homodimers and are sensitive to inhibition by RAF dimer inhibitor LY3009120. Cancer Discov 6:300-315, 2016
- 16. Frampton GM, Fichtenholtz A, Otto GA, et al: Development and validation of a clinical cancer genomic profiling test based on massively parallel DNA sequencing. Nat Biotechnol 31:1023- 1031, 2013
- 17. Kordes M, Röring M, Heining C, et al: Cooperation of BRAF(F595L) and mutant HRAS in histiocytic sarcoma provides new insights into oncogenic BRAF signaling. Leukemia 30:937-946, 2016
- 18. Chalmers ZR, Connelly CF, Fabrizio D, et al: Analysis of 100,000 human cancer genomes reveals the landscape of tumor mutational burden. Genome Med 9:34, 2017
- 19. Roskoski R Jr: RAF protein-serine/threonine kinases: Structure and regulation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 399:313-317, 2010
- 20. Pervere LM, Rakshit S, Schrock AB, et al: Durable response to combination of dabrafenib and trametinib in BRAF V600E–mutated non–small-cell lung cancer. Clin Lung Cancer 18:e211-e213, 2017
- 21. Röring M, Herr R, Fiala GJ, et al: Distinct requirement for an intact dimer interface in wildtype, V600E, and kinase-dead B-Raf signaling. EMBO J 31:2629-2647, 2012
- 22. Okimoto RA, Lin L, Olivas V, et al: Preclinical efficacy of a RAF inhibitor that evades paradoxical MAPK pathway activation in protein kinase BRAF-mutant lung cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:13456-13461, 2016
- 23. Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC, et al: Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. Nature 500:415-421, 2013
- 24. Holderfield M, Deuker MM, McCormick F, et al: Targeting RAF kinases for cancer therapy: BRAF-mutated melanoma and beyond. Nat Rev Cancer 14:455-467, 2014
- 25. Lee LH, Gasilina A, Roychoudhury J, et al: Real-time genomic profiling of histiocytoses identifies early-kinase domain BRAF alterations while improving treatment outcomes. JCI Insight 2:e89473, 2017
- 26. Wang YT, Ning WW, Li J, et al: Exon 19 L747P mutation presented as a primary resistance to EGFR-TKI: A case report. J Thorac Dis 8:E542-E546, 2016
- 27. He M, Capelletti M, Nafa K, et al: EGFR exon 19 insertions: A new family of sensitizing EGFR mutations in lung adenocarcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 18:1790-1797, 2012
- 28. Yao Z, Yaeger R, Rodrik-Outmezguine VS, et al: Tumours with class 3 BRAF mutants are sensitive to the inhibition of activated RAS. Nature 548:234-238, 2017
- 29. Zheng B, Jeong JH, Asara JM, et al: Oncogenic B-RAF negatively regulates the tumor suppressor LKB1 to promote melanoma cell proliferation. Mol Cell 33:237-247, 2009
- 30. Poulikakos PI, Persaud Y, Janakiraman M, et al: RAF inhibitor resistance is mediated by dimerization of aberrantly spliced BRAF(V600E). Nature 480:387-390, 2011
- 31. Lin L, Asthana S, Chan E, et al: Mapping the molecular determinants of BRAF oncogene dependence in human lung cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111:E748-E757, 2014
- 32. Rodriguez FJ, Ligon AH, Horkayne-Szakaly I, et al: BRAF duplications and MAPK pathway activation are frequent in gliomas of the optic nerve proper. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 71:789- 794, 2012
- 33. Klempner SJ, Bordoni R, Gowen K, et al: Identification of BRAF kinase domain duplications across multiple tumor types and response to RAF inhibitor therapy. JAMA Oncol 2:272-274, 2016
- 34. Trojan J, Waidmann O: Role of regorafenib as second-line therapy and landscape of investigational treatment options in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatocell Carcinoma 3:31-36, 2016
- 35. Kemper K, Krijgsman O, Kong X, et al: BRAF(V600E) kinase domain duplication identified in therapy-refractory melanoma patient-derived xenografts. Cell Reports 16:263-277, 2016
- 36. Ciampi R, Knauf JA, Kerler R, et al: Oncogenic AKAP9-BRAF fusion is a novel mechanism of MAPK pathway activation in thyroid cancer. J Clin Invest 115:94-101, 2005
- 37. Jones DT, Kocialkowski S, Liu L, et al: Tandem duplication producing a novel oncogenic BRAF fusion gene defines the majority of pilocytic astrocytomas. Cancer Res 68:8673-8677, 2008
- 38. Hutchinson KE, Lipson D, Stephens PJ, et al: BRAF fusions define a distinct molecular subset of melanomas with potential sensitivity to MEK inhibition. Clin Cancer Res 19:6696-6702, 2013
- 39. Menzies AM, Yeh I, Botton T, et al: Clinical activity of the MEK inhibitor trametinib in metastatic melanoma containing BRAF kinase fusion. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res 28:607-610, 2015
- 40. Sievert AJ, Lang SS, Boucher KL, et al: Paradoxical activation and RAF inhibitor resistance of BRAF protein kinase fusions characterizing pediatric astrocytomas. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110:5957-5962, 2013
- 41. Karajannis MA, Legault G, Fisher MJ, et al: Phase II study of sorafenib in children with recurrent or progressive low-grade astrocytomas. Neuro-oncol 16:1408-1416, 2014
- 42. Allen JM, Schrock AB, Erlich RL, et al: Genomic profiling of circulating tumor DNA in relapsed EGFR-mutated lung adenocarcinoma reveals an acquired FGFR3-TACC3 fusion. Clin Lung Cancer 18:e219-e222, 2017
- 43. Klempner SJ, Bazhenova LA, Braiteh FS, et al: Emergence of RET rearrangement co-existing with activated EGFR mutation in EGFR-mutated NSCLC patients who had progressed on firstor second-generation EGFR TKI. Lung Cancer 89:357-359, 2015
- 44. Cree IA, Charlton P: Molecular chess? Hallmarks of anti-cancer drug resistance. BMC Cancer 17:10, 2017
- 45. Kulkarni A, Al-Hraishawi H, Simhadri S, et al: BRAF fusion as a novel mechanism of acquired resistance to vemurafenib in BRAF V600E mutant melanoma. Clin Cancer Res 23:5631-5638, 2017
- 46. Jones JC, Renfro LA, Al-Shamsi HO, et al: Non-V600 BRAF mutations define a clinically distinct molecular subtype of metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 35:2624-2630, 2017