UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Polarization maintaining buffered Fourier domain mode-locked swept source

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5638j480>

ISBN

9780819488565

Authors

Zhang, J
Jing, J
Wang, P
et al.

Publication Date

2012

DOI

10.1117/12.911618

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, availalbe at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Polarization maintaining buffered Fourier domain mode-locked swept source

Jun Zhang¹, Joe Jing, Pinghe Wang, Zhongping Chen
Beckman Laser Institute and the Center for Biomedical Engineering
University of California, Irvine, California, USA 92612

ABSTRACT

A polarization maintaining buffered Fourier domain mode-locked (FDML) swept source at center wavelength of 1310 nm for multiplying the scanning rate of FDML swept source was demonstrated. The scanning rate of the buffered FDML swept source was doubled without sacrificing the output power of the swept source by combining two orthogonally polarized outputs with a polarization beam combiner (PBC). The stability of the swept source was improved significantly because the polarization state of the laser beam inside the cavity is maintained without any polarization controllers. With the linear polarization states of the output laser beam, the buffered FDML swept source is also ready to be used in a PS-OCT system. The swept source is capable of a tuning range of more than 150 nm at a 102 kHz sweeping rate. An FDOCT system was developed with the built swept source.

Keywords: Optical coherence tomography, Swept source, Fourier domain mode locked, Buffered, Polarization maintaining.

1. INTRODUCTION

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a noninvasive, noncontact imaging modality that uses coherent gating to obtain high resolution, cross-sectional images of tissue microstructure [1]. The development of Fourier domain OCT (FDOCT) including swept source OCT (SSOCT) [2-4] and spectral domain OCT (SDOCT) [5-7] has significantly increased the imaging speed and sensitivity of OCT systems. Compared with a SDOCT system which uses a large array line scan camera, SSOCT proves to be a better choice at 1.3 μm due to its simpler system design since no spectrometer is required. Furthermore, narrower spectral line widths can be achieved without crosstalk, which results in a larger imaging range.

To build a high speed SSOCT system, a swept source with a fast scanning speed is required. Recently, the new swept sources utilizing the Fourier domain mode-locked (FDML) technique have attracted much attention because broad sweep ranges, narrow instantaneous line widths, unprecedented sweep rates and high phase stability can be obtained by extending the laser cavity and periodically driving the optical bandpass filter synchronously with the optical round-trip time of the propagating light wave in the laser cavity [8-12]. For tailoring the output and for multiplying the sweeping rate of FDML lasers, buffered FDML was developed by combining two time-delayed copies of a sweep with a fiber coupler. Highly stable, unidirectional wavelength sweeps without sacrificing the sweeping rate can be achieved with this technique [10,11]. However, only half of the output power can be used because the output light is coupled from one output arm of a 50/50 fiber coupler. In addition, polarization of a conventional FDML laser is wavelength dependent due to the long single mode fiber used in the cavity. Therefore one needs to carefully adjust polarization controllers to control the polarization states of light within the cavity to achieve the best performance for a given gain medium, usually a polarization dependent semiconductor optical amplifier (SOA) to maintain optimum lasing and hence a stable output power and bandwidth. Polarization maintaining (PM) fibers combined with fiber polarizers were used to build a non buffered FDML swept source without the use of polarization controllers [13]. However, the detailed characterization of the source was not given.

In this manuscript, a PM buffered FDML swept source is demonstrated. The scanning rate is doubled without sacrificing the output power of the swept source by combining two orthogonally polarized outputs with a polarization beam combiner (PBC). In addition, the stability and robustness of the swept source are significantly improved because

¹ Correspondence: junzhang@uci.edu

the polarization state of the laser beam inside the cavity is maintained without the usage of any fiber polarization controllers. With the linear polarization states of the output laser beam, the buffered FDML swept source is also ready to be used in a PM fiber based PSOCT system [14].

2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Figure 1 shows the setup of the PM buffered FDML swept source. The swept source is composed of a PM ring along with two single mode (SM) delay lines. In the ring portion, a PM fiber pig-tailed SOA (Covega, Inc.) is used as the gain medium while a PM fiber Fabry–Perot tunable filter (FFP-TF, LambdaQuest, Inc.) provides the wavelength selection. Two associated PM isolators are used to force unidirectional propagation in the cavity. The delay lines are formed with two lengths of SM optical fibers, each 1 km long. Two Faraday mirrors are placed at the end of each SM fiber respectively to rotate the polarization by 90° and reflect the light back to the ring cavity. The light from the SOA is linearly polarized along the slow axis of the output PM fiber. 30% of the Light is coupled out by a PM coupler and the remaining 70% of the light is transmitted through port 1 of a polarization beam splitter (PBS) to the first 1 km long SM delay line fiber. The polarization state of the reflected light is orthogonal to that of the input light at port 3 of the PBS. Thus the reflected light is coupled back to port 2 of the PBS and linearly polarized along the slow axis of the PM output fiber of the PBS. Subsequently 50% of the light is tapped out by a 50/50 PM coupler. The remaining 50% of the light is transmitted through port 1 of the second PBS to the other 1 km long SM fiber. The reflected light is coupled back to port 2 of the second PBS and transmitted through the PM fiber pig-tailed FFP-TF to the SOA.

The FFP-TF is driven at a frequency of 51 kHz which is synchronous to the round-trip time of light in the cavity. A forward (short to long) and a backward (long to short) wavelength sweep are generated during each drive cycle. The SOA is modulated to suppress and replace the forward wavelength sweep with a delayed backward wavelength sweep because the forward wavelength sweep generates increased noise at high sweep rates [10].

Fig. 1. The schematic setup of the polarization maintaining buffered FDML swept source. SOA: semiconductor optical amplifier; PM: polarization maintaining; PBS: polarization beam splitter; SMF: single mode fiber; PBC: polarization beam combiner. Red lines denote SM parts and black lines denote PM parts.

The two orthogonally polarized outputs are combined with a polarization beam combiner (PBC). The sweep rate is doubled to be 102 kHz with two sweeps during one drive cycle while the output power of the swept source is not sacrificed.

3. RESULTS

Figure 2 shows the temporal power profiles of the swept source with both sweeps during one drive cycle. The average power of the output light is measured to be 12 mW.

Fig. 2. Temporal power profiles of both sweeps during one drive cycle of the swept source.

The scanning range of a swept source is usually measured with an optical spectrum analyzer (OSA). However, the sweeping spectrum of a nonlinearly driven swept source measured with an OSA is not accurate because an OSA is based on energy instead of power detection. In this study, an interference signal with evenly distributed spectral combs generated by a Mach-Zehnder interferometer is adopted to measure the spectrum of the swept source as shown in figure 3. A fiber bragg grating (FBG) is used to synchronously generate a wavelength reference to map the interference fringes to wavelengths. The total scanning range of the swept source is determined to be 152 nm. The FWHM scanning range is 95 nm at a center wavelength of 1300 nm.

Fig. 3. Sweeping spectrum of the PM Buffered FDML swept source measured with a Mach-Zehnder interferometer.

In a conventional FDML swept source, the polarization state of the laser beam is wavelength dependent due to the long single mode fiber used in the laser cavity. One needs to carefully control polarization states of the light beam and adjust fiber polarization controllers in the cavity for optimum performance of the polarization dependent SOA. This leads to decreases in the stability of the swept source and inhibits its expanded use in clinical situations. The PM buffered approach in this design contains no fiber polarization controllers, resulting in improved stability. To evaluate the stability of the swept source, the fluctuations of the wavelength at 1259 nm and the spectral power at each wavelength of the swept source are monitored every 20 seconds over a 12 hours period. The standard deviation of the wavelength fluctuation is calculated to be 0.36 nm as shown in figure 4 (a). Figure 4 (b) illustrates the ratios of the standard

deviation to the mean of the spectral power of a conventional SM fiber based FDML source and a PM buffered FDML source. The reduced ratio for the PM buffered source indicates an increased performance stability.

Fig. 4. (a) Wavelength fluctuations of the source monitored every 20 seconds over a 12 hours period; (b) Spectral power fluctuations of a conventional SM fiber based FDML source (black line) and a PM buffered FDML source (red line) monitored every 20 seconds over a 12 hours period.

The output light from the FDML laser is coupled into an SSOCT system. The fringe signals collected by photodetectors are digitized by a 250 M samples/second 12 bit analog-to-digital data acquisition converter (ATS 9350, AlazarTech Inc.) and transferred to a computer for processing. Parallel computing algorithms with a dual-quad-core high speed processor based workstation are used to achieve real time processing and display [15].

Figure 5 shows the point spread function (PSF) measured with a partial reflector placed at a depth of 1 mm. The axial resolution of the SSOCT system is measured to be $9.4 \mu\text{m}$ in air corresponding to an effective axial resolution of $6.7 \mu\text{m}$ in tissue ($n = 1.4$).

Fig. 5. Point spread function of the FDML SSOCT system

The sensitivity of the SSOCT system is measured with a - 40.5 dB partial reflector as the sample. As shown in figure 6, the sensitivity is 107.5 dB at a depth of 0.25 mm and decreases by 6 dB at a depth of 2.25 mm. The corresponding instantaneous linewidth of the swept source is calculated to be 0.33 nm. The increasing width of the PSFs at larger depths results from residual errors in spectral calibration which is for conversion from time to wavenumber space.

Fig. 6. Point spread function of the FDML SSOCT system showing a sensitivity of 107.5 dB at a depth of 0.25 mm and 101.5 dB at a depth of 2.25 mm

To illustrate the performance of the system in biological tissues, a human finger is imaged as shown in figure 7. 2D imaging of 5 mm $\times$ 2 mm area is processed and displayed at a rate of 200 frames/ second (512 A-lines per frame). The fixed pattern near a depth of 500 μ m is due to spectral modulations generated by a crosstalk between fast and slow axis of the PM components which are connected with PM fiber connectors.

Fig.7 SSOCT image of human finger.

4. SUMMARY

A PM buffered FDML swept source was developed to double the scanning rate of the source without sacrificing the output power by combining two orthogonally polarized outputs with a PBC. The swept source is capable of an average power of 12 mW and an edge to edge tuning range of 152 nm at a 102 kHz sweeping rate. The axial resolution of the SSOCT system was measured to be 9.4 μ m in air. The SSOCT system is capable of maximum sensitivity of 107.5 dB and an imaging range of 2.25 mm.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (EB-00293, EB-10090, RR-01192, K25HL-102055, HL-103764, HL-105215), the Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program (19KT-0034), Air Force Office of Scientific Research (FA9550-04-0101), and the Beckman Laser Institute Endowment.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Huang, E. A. Swanson, C. P. Lin, J. S. Schuman, W. G. Stinson, W. Chang, M. R. Hee, T. Flotte, K. Gregory, C. A. Puliafito and J. G. Fujimoto, *Science* **254**, 1178 (1991).
- [2] R. Huber, M. Wojtkowski, K. Taira, J. Fujimoto, and K. Hsu, *Opt. Express* **13**, 3513 (2005).

- [3] S. H. Yun, G. J. Tearney, J. F. de Boer, N. Iftimia, and B. E. Bouma, *Opt. Express* **11**, 2953 (2003).
- [4] J. Zhang, J. S. Nelson, and Z. Chen, *Opt. Lett.* **30**, 147 (2005).
- [5] M. Wojtkowski, V. Srinivasan, T. Ko, J. G. Fujimoto, A. Kowalczyk, and J. Duker, *Opt. Express* **12**, 2404 (2004)
- [6] B. Cense, N. Nassif, T. Chen, M. Pierce, S. H. Yun, B. Park, B. Bouma, G. Tearney, and J. F. de Boer, *Opt. Express* **12**, 2435 (2004).
- [7] R. Leitgeb, W. Drexler, A. Unterhuber, B. Hermann, T. Bajraszewski, T. Le, A. Stingl, and A. Fercher, *Opt. Express* **12**, 2156 (2004).
- [8] R. Huber, M. Wojtkowski, and J. G. Fujimoto, *Opt. Express* **14**, 3225 (2006).
- [9] M. Y. Jeon, J. Zhang, Q. Wang, and Z. Chen, *Opt. Express* **16**, 2547 (2008).
- [10] R. Huber, D. C. Adler, and J. G. Fujimoto, *Opt. Lett.* **31**, 2975 (2006).
- [11] D. C. Adler, Y. Chen, R. Huber, J. Schmitt, J. Connolly, J. G. Fujimoto, *Nature Photonics* **1**, 709 (2007).
- [12] T. Klein, W. Wieser, C. M. Eigenwillig, B. R. Biedermann, and R. Huber, *Opt. Express* **19**, 3044 (2011).
- [13] C. Jirawschek, B. Biedermann, and R. Huber, *Opt. Express* **17**, 24013 (2009).
- [14] M. K. Al-Qaisi and T. Akkin, *Opt. Express* **18**, 3392 (2010).
- [15] G. Liu, J. Zhang, L. Yu, T. Xie, and Z. Chen, *Appl. Opt.* **48**, 6365 (2009).