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ADHAM ISMA’IL’S ARABESQUE: THE MAKING OF RADICAL ARAB PAINTING IN SYRIA

This article was the winner of the 2014 Margaret B. Ševčenko Prize, awarded by the Historians of Islamic Art Association.

In the final days of 1951, the painter Adham Isma’il (1922–63), a quiet but ambitious artist in Syria’s nascent national art world, sat down to compose a letter to an Iraqi colleague, the artist Jamil Hamoudi (1924–2003), who was then studying in Paris. Isma’il had been following Hamoudi’s frequent dispatches to Beirut’s al-Adīb, a leading Arabic-language cultural journal, which gave detailed reports on the main players in postwar Paris and the city’s ascendant trends in abstract art. Hamoudi, who claimed the role of both patriotic participant-observer and promoter of Arab artists in the metropole, had even issued a call to artists of the Arab countries requesting that they send him reports and photographs of their work for compilation into a survey text. Isma’il, meanwhile, as he wrote to Hamoudi that December, had just weathered a distressing national salon in Damascus, the country’s second annual. He had exhibited the large and startling painting The Porter as the public debut of his new method of composition in “unending, spontaneous line” and “inspired” colors (fig. 1). But despite the fact that the work thrilled the more avant-garde ranks of intellectuals who, like Isma’il, yearned for a transformation of the Syrian cultural order, it had failed to move the exhibition jury, which awarded all prizes to academic genre works. This outcome, Isma’il notes in his letter, confirmed the unfortunate truth of the salon’s bourgeois character: it was functioning to procure decorations for ministerial offices, not to cultivate meaningful modern art.

Isma’il’s letter testifies to the ongoing struggle to produce a modern art appropriate to an Arab region of newly independent states, and to do so by some measure of collective, interlinked effort. But it also takes a second step, namely outlining a programmatic approach to this desired collectivity—and this step, a crucial one for the international formation of an idea of Arab modernism, is my focus here. As Isma’il relates in the opening paragraph of his missive from Damascus to Paris, he and his cohort of “cultured youth” had committed to pursuing meaning in a realm apart from that of the state and its materialistic concerns, industrial conditions, and reactionary fears of change. They had come to perceive that “the genius latent in the people,” which had persisted in a potential state for years and years, was beginning to vanish and die. And against that suffocation, they called for a turn towards an encompassing, creative Arab life as a reservoir of energy, containing not only the means to reclaim and reshape the status quo, but also the fountainhead of meaningful art. As Isma’il puts it to Hamoudi,

We want to resurrect this originary genius, setting it forth within the currents of international art, coloring them with its spirit, and making the Arab East into a source for abiding, vital art.

This particular confession of commitment to a living dynamism in resistance to the deadening effects of mechanization resonates in numerous ways with the influential vitalist thought of the French philosopher Henri Bergson, which helped to shift critical artistic discourse, in the early twentieth century, to matters of bodily and affective experience. Yet in proposing his collective artistic program in this way, and in speaking...
Fig. 1. Adham Isma’il, *The Porter (al-Ḥammāl)*, 1951, oil on canvas, 80 × 100 cm. National Museum, Damascus. (Photo: Anneka Lenssen)
of a resurrection of collective genius, Isma’il also insists on a specificity of cultural access to immanence and experience. He and his cohort recognized the Arab East (rather than spirit in general, or God, or the nation-state) as the procreative source of their living art; this specificity regarding the basis of unity mattered dearly during the region’s first decades of independence.\(^{9}\) What follows in this article is an exploration of the implications of Isma’il’s artistic and political recourse to the Arab collective. My reading of his theory and practice highlights the radical charge it holds for the Syrian context in particular, since Isma’il desired not only to bring about change through his painting, but also to effect a structural transformation in relations and values.\(^{10}\) In aiming to disidentify his art from the ordered representational categories of Syria’s ministries and wealthy officials, Isma’il organized it instead as an interaction with, and even an extension of, an unbounded reservoir of Arab popular energies.

In point of fact, the Syrian state held no monopoly on national culture in these years. Political conditions were highly unstable; in 1949 alone, citizens witnessed a succession of three military coups d’état, which removed the civilian president Shukri al-Quwatli and installed Colonel Adib Shishakli at the head of the army and in shadow control of other government functions. Furthermore, most new cultural discourses emanated from the ideological opposition parties that had emerged from forces in Syrian politics—including the Communist Party, the Ba’th Party, the Syrian Social Nationalist Party, and the Muslim Brotherhood—and were organized against the corruption and narrow-mindedness of the National Bloc, the party of the land-owning elite.\(^{11}\) These opposition parties drew the bulk of their membership from a new generation of upwardly mobile youth educated in the French brevet system, who read both the Arabic and Francophone press avidly, debated the current ideas about society and civilization, and demanded more comprehensive social change as a basis for a transformed national future.\(^ {12}\) They also represented a significant audience for the country’s young, self-identified artists. These artists, many of whom maintained affiliations with the parties, had organized into cultural circles and civic organizations in support of their work.\(^ {13}\) In these forums for cultural debate, the government’s authority was not necessarily taken as a given, nor was the Syrian nation-state reified.\(^ {14}\) In Isma’il’s case, it was the cultural arm of the Ba’th Party, which included his brother Sidqi Isma’il (1924–72) as well as Wahib al-Ghanim, Sulayman al-‘Isa, and Youssef Shaqra, that provided the crucible for his politically engaged practice.\(^ {15}\) Their movement espoused pan-Arab unity and socialist property reform while contesting the authority of the National Bloc.\(^ {16}\)

The Syrian Ministry of Education and Directorate of Antiquities had organized the first national art salon, held in December 1950, under the uncertain circumstances of Colonel Shishakli’s new regime.\(^ {17}\) The result, perhaps unsurprisingly, failed to present a fully cohesive view of the national arts. Though sympathetic Damascus newspapers had heralded it as a step toward “our modern artistic resurgence,”\(^ {18}\) no sooner had the exhibition opened than journalists and citizens raised spirited critiques of the jury’s decisions and the government’s motivations. To observers attuned to world prestige politics, Syria’s adoption of a version of a modern European salon model—looking to Paris’s Salon d’Automne, among others—signaled a welcome commitment to international norms.\(^ {19}\) Yet the same group also worried about the failure of the event to uphold those norms, most notably within the expansive “Exhibition of Hand Painting” structure the organizers adopted, which featured calligraphy, carving, and textiles alongside beaux-arts painting and sculpture.\(^ {20}\) As the cosmopolitan critic for al-Nasr lamented, event organizers had focused on quantity, not quality.\(^ {21}\) Very few of the pieces would have been competitive in Paris, he asserted, and some, such as drawing exercises from an old schoolbook and copies of postcards, even failed to qualify as art at all.\(^ {22}\) Artists on the other side of the divide voiced concerns as well, with calligraphers and craftsmen critiquing the jury’s preference for oil paintings, which they decried as a bias toward the “individualistic” format of European art over works of an “Oriental spiritual” character.\(^ {23}\) No one yet felt that the question of the nature of Syria’s modern art had been settled. Furthermore, the execution of the exhibition raised questions about leadership: Who should be entrusted with the responsibility for cultivating taste, spiritual health, cultural development, international recognition, and other imperative but elu-
sive outcomes accorded to the modern art object? These questions are reflected in Isma‘il’s contribution to the inaugural salon as well, for he took the event as a forum in which to wage a critique of the state’s failure to fulfill its obligation to its citizens. His Family of Refugees in Abu Rummana Street called visitors to witness an allegorizing depiction of the titular refugee family, left to huddle in a park in Damascus’s new, upscale Abu Rummana neighborhood (figs. 2, 3).

When the second salon was held, in November 1951, opening as an elevated “Exhibition of Painting and Sculpture” and a society affair, Isma‘il was ready to use its space to stage a counter-demonstration of the national self, bringing the full force of his aesthetic convictions to bear in The Porter as a public work.24 In his selection of content for The Porter, he expounded on the theme of social neglect he had raised the previous year by inflecting it into an economic register as well. The work’s titular porter is a day laborer, engaged in a Sisyphean effort to haul materials for new villas in the urban milieu and climbing over the broken bodies of his own family members in order to do so. Similar themes had appeared in the work of other leftist Syrian artists, including Subhi Shouaib’s A Mistake in Distribution, which also used a porter as a central figuration of class antagonism (fig. 4). At the level of its compositional mode, however, Isma‘il’s painting asserts its exceptionality by undermining its own representational status and calling forth impulses of liveliness and animation. To make The Porter, Isma‘il began by tracing the outlines of the porter’s body and the city background in a continuous line. Having thereby flattened the relationship between figure and ground into an armature of side-by-side shapes, he then filled the spaces with oscillating, non-illusionistic color. Employed thus within what might otherwise be illusionistic space, the mode works to disperse the original structure into attenuated color patterns—with surreal, even anti-artistic results. One sees melting, biomorphic forms. A clutch of fingers and cloth, when filled with candy-red pigment, becomes a beating heart. The dull gray façade of the city eats into a child’s face like acid.

Syrian critics later settled upon the term “arabesque” to describe the technique Isma‘il employed in The Porter, thereby invoking both a heritage-oriented commit-

Fig. 2. Adham Isma‘il, Family of Refugees in Abu Rummana Street (Usra min al-Lājīīn fi Shāriʿ Abū Rummāna), 1950, oil on canvas, 65 × 85 cm. Samawi Collection, Dubai. (Photo: provided by the Ayyam Gallery)

Fig. 3. Abu Rummana Street, 1940s, photographic view through new suburbs toward Qasiyun Mountain. (Photo: courtesy of Syrianhistory.com)
astonishing onlookers with its vivid content and unclassifiable style. The elitist critic at al-Naṣr, for example, lauded the modern conceptualism of Isma‘il’s approach, writing that the literalism of preceding efforts had finally been overcome with a mode of distillation in accord with the “rational scientific age.” Meanwhile, the newspaper al-Ishtirākiyya, the mouthpiece of the Arab Socialist Party, celebrated Isma‘il’s apparently populist mode of address: the use of bright points of color (such as the red sparks in the porter’s head, thigh, and foot and the yellow in his face and spinal cord) to convey the “shining” physical and mental effort of his struggle directly to viewers, including those who were not “well-studied.” In the main, Syria’s newspaper critics were fully engaged in emphasizing Isma‘il’s break with academicism and stressing his commitment to an underlying concept or theory. Very few, however, went so far as to articulate the parameters or stakes of said theory. This hesitancy of articulation mattered to its meaning, I would like to suggest. As Isma‘il conveys to Hamoudi as well as (in more coded fashion) to his Damascus audiences, his guiding concept is the totality of the Arab East, as a nonrational, timeless vitality. As such, it necessarily evades verbalization or other conventional representation, manifesting instead in the matching of moving color to moving forces and acting upon a realm of apperception. Furthermore, its mechanism of manifestation, as we shall see, parallels the collective political mechanisms embraced by the Ba’th movement intellectuals. As the artist’s brother, Sīdqi Isma‘il, who was then the cultural editor of al-Ba‘th newspaper, liked to assert, they saw their political program as a lived resistance bearing little relation to electoral representation in parliament.

To put Isma‘il’s “arabesque” painting within a broader art-historical perspective, the artist, like many other painters in the penumbra of the francophone art world after the Second World War, conceptualized his painting as a site of potentially free experience—including the artistic freedom of separating the joy of artistic means from service to a representational end. As I shall explore in the final section of this article, the many influences and sources engaged by Isma‘il form a dense intertextual matrix of associations with the arabesque, including European studies of Islamic art, the modern art of the early twentieth century, drawing pedagogy, and comparative cultural analysis. Within this intellectual field, the phenomenon of abstract composition in unending line and inspired color could be recognized as a transhistorical phenomenon at work in medieval Arab-Islamic ornamentation as well as in the pictorial inventions of the Fauves. Accordingly, we see Isma‘il playing with capricious twists of line in ways that rework the relationships among multiple civilizational inheritances. In the late 1940s, his drawings sometimes betray an interest in Picasso, forming faces from the overlay of red and green tracery, but others emphasize the Eastern spirituality of the dancing line (figs. 5, 6). The caprice of his lines can even exert an anti-artistic effect on his pictures, mapping processes that evade...
closure as picture—as if to signal their allegiance to a different force (fig. 7). As such, Isma’il’s body of work invites closer exploration of the tensions implicit in the Eurocentrism of the postwar historiography of modern painterly arts, which placed Paris-based modernists such as Henri Matisse at the head of a single continuous development into ever more perfect abstraction.\footnote{32}

Finally, given the complexity of Isma’il’s negotiations with art-historical genealogies, constructions of Arabness, and state cultural policy (with the latter two in frequent conflict), his work must also be taken as a challenge to the nation-state framing that has hitherto structured the literature on the region’s modernism. In seeking to forge a coherent narrative arc, many of the foundational studies of modern art in the Arab countries place it squarely within a notion of a vertically integrated state, with the result that individual artworks have been analyzed as affirmative contributions to nation-building projects.\footnote{33} These readings resonate at the broad interpretative level of world political and economic history, particularly given the authoritarian cast of the military regimes that seized power in the region in the 1960s. In the 1970s, for example, when the legacy of The Porter was consolidated in Syria, the leading critics emphasized its early deployment of Arab heritage and an ethos of Arab resistance, which were the cultural mantras of that era’s Ba’th Party state.\footnote{34} As Tariq al-Sharif puts it in a 1972 study, Isma’il first “spoke” the word “arabesque” in The Porter and thereby linked a modern idiom to national culture and shared social concerns.\footnote{35} Such readings do not, however, allow for considerations of painting practice in Syria as contingent, dissonant, and even oppositional. I do not, in the main, disagree with al-Sharif’s suggestion that the artist aimed to forge a newly activated visual language as a means to a populist goal; however, I propose a shift in focus. Let us consider the arabesque in these paintings not as a nativist motif but, rather, as the project of activation that Isma’il proposed in his own testimony. I return to the archival record of personal letters, writings, and journalism to uncover a concept of Arab painting that is at once more pointed and more ambitious than subsequent discussions have allowed: Arab painting as a means to address and enact an uncoerced political unity.\footnote{36}
Fig. 7. Adham Isma'il, no title, 1952, gouache on paper. Agial Gallery, Beirut. (Photo: Anneka Lenssen)
ABSTRACTING THE ARAB HOMELAND

Ismā‘īl formed an intellectual bond with his party cohort long before the inauguration of the Ba‘th movement in the early 1940s. The group hailed from the same neighborhood in Antioch, a city in the administrative district of Alexandretta (present-day Hatay Province). This natural port region located between French Mandate Syria and republican Turkey formed part of a strategic trade route to Aleppo and beyond, into British Imperial South Asia. For most of the duration of the French Mandate, the district was administered as part of Syria. Beginning in the 1930s, however, Alexandretta became the subject of a Turkish irredentist campaign that culminated in its annexation in January 1939. As Turkish and Arab groups made competing claims to Alexandretta, the crisis of sovereignty broke along highly ethnicized lines, which—among other sociopolitical effects—raised the concept of Arabness to a meta-category meant to establish a shared basis for territorial rights. The Isma‘īl family, who were native Arabic speakers and ‘Alawites (lit. “followers of ‘Ali,” a syncretic branch of Twelver Shi‘ism), volunteered for the politicized Arab cause with great dedication. When it became clear in 1938 that Turkish claims would prevail, however, Isma‘īl’s Antioch group left for Syria proper, going first to Aleppo, then Hama, and eventually Damascus. They brought the bitter experience of having lost the battle with them, which gave lasting shape to their political subjectivities. Many continued to draw on the memory of the Arab cause for years, making Arabism a source of identity and community as well as a basis for progressive politics and a framework for creative activity. In Damascus, they banded together to form the first nucleus of a political movement that went on to grow, diversify, and incorporate as the Arab Ba‘th Party in 1947. As the Iraqi historian Hana Batatu notes in his examination of the class origins of the Ba‘th, the Alexandretta stream contributed the distinctive ardor of “injured people” to the movement.

Bearing in mind Isma‘īl’s personal experience of displacement, his first contribution to the national salon in 1950, Family of Refugees in Abu Rummana Street, takes on a heightened poignancy (fig. 2). The painting presents destitution as if it were a holy virtue, showing its human subjects within the compositional schema of the Christian Holy Family. Made both timeless and timely, the family stands in for the entire series of tragic losses of homeland suffered by Arabs, which by 1950 included not only Alexandretta but also Palestine after the 1948 creation of the state of Israel and the wars that followed it, uprooting nearly a million people and sparking an ongoing international quarrel over obligations to provide for such stateless persons. At the same time, Isma‘īl’s scrupulous attention to detail adds a strong class dimension to his depiction of Arab loss, highlighting the wealthy, hostile environment: the manicured shrubbery of the new al-Madfa park, the interior warmth of the expensive villas, and the U-shaped string of electric streetcar lights extending up the foothills of Qasiyun Mountain. In The Porter, the very same Abu Rummana backdrop once again provides the frame for a commentary on acute class divisions in Damascus, but the details of place are transposed into a condensed plane of color oscillation, with a transformative effect. The city façades become a pattern of splicing tones that not only cross the central figure but also strip him of matter, making him unreal as a body. The political charge of the work manifests in its compositional dissolution via the internal life of its component line and color.

So as to better understand the political stakes of this artistic pivot from objects to rhythms and from Syrian stasis to Arab immanence, it is necessary to consider the Alexandretta crisis of 1936–39 in more detail. Under the Ottoman empire at the turn of the century, the district had been a remarkably diverse one in terms of linguistic, religious, and racial difference, home as it was to communities of Muslims, ‘Alawites, Arab Christians, Armenian Christians, Kurds, Circassians, and others, most of whom spoke several languages each. Following the First World War and the defeat of the Ottomans, however, a new rubric of national self-determination came to bear in international disputes over territorial sovereignty, and with it the corollary concern of extending sufficient protection to the minority cultures within these new nation-states. The Treaty of Versailles, for example, created states for certain aggrieved nationalist groups and revised European borders to accommodate the demands of others. In the case of France’s administration of its “mandate” over Syria, as granted by the
League of Nations, a colonial version of ethnic territorial determination was applied: Lebanon was separated from Syria on religious grounds and within Syria itself, there were further communal and religious subdivisions into Druze, ‘Alawite, and Arab regions. Alexandretta presented a particular challenge to this dispensation, however. Faced with a complex population of intermarried ethnic and linguistic groups with no apparent “national” majority in the district, authorities treated Alexandretta as an exception. It was designated a semi-autonomous entity within the state of Syria, with its own appointed government and tariff system and special provisions for the schooling and cultural protection of its Turkish residents. At the time, this hedged solution to an administrative problem was recognized as provisional, with a renegotiation of the territorial terms of trade anticipated in the eventual independence of a “mature” Syrian nation-state.

France’s administrative vision of an intact Syria was not the only one promulgated as rightful under the principles of the League of Nations, however. As the Turkish state agitated for control of the district during Isma’il’s adolescence in Antioch, it did so on the basis of the same legal concerns for self-determination and minority rights. This point proved particularly salient to the eventual shape of Isma’il’s commitments. On the ground in the Alexandretta of the 1930s, as the Turkish irredentist cause worked to render racial identity into the determinant of self-rule, the obverse characterization—Arabness—was reinforced, and also operated as a performative cultural framework. Both the Turkish and Arab identities became supra-religious categories that contained any number of other subgroups within them, and both entailed a more complex commitment than the simple ascription of national affiliation. A turning point came in 1936, when the Popular Front rose to elected power in France, which returned the question of Syrian independence to the diplomatic table and brought a Syrian delegation to Paris to draft a Franco-Syrian independence treaty. When politicians in Ankara saw how the treaty presumed to keep Alexandretta as a territory of the future Syrian nation-state, they moved quickly to contest it. Initially focusing their campaign on the cause of the Turkish residents, they argued to the League of Nations that those residents would be left vulnerable to Arab persecution. The ante was upped over the course of the following year, as some began to advocate for a vote to determine popular will, with the cause reaching a fever pitch in November 1936, when Mustafa Kemal Atatürk gave a speech claiming that the district’s population was “purely Turkish.” By then, activists on both sides had brought the dispute to organizations on the ground, seeking to code the citizens who belonged to various minority communities into the master categories of Turkish or Arab. As the social order in Alexandretta deteriorated under the stress of these developments, the League of Nations entered the fray. It dispatched a five-person commission to the district on January 1, 1937, which precipitated large-scale demonstrations by both Turkish and Arab Syrian nationalists, each trying to assert majority status (fig. 8), which in turn spurred violent clashes and gunfights.

In Antioch, the Isma’il family—which included a father, ‘Ali; mother, Amina; four brothers (Adham, Sidqi, Na’im, and ‘Aziz); and one sister, Rafiqa—actively embraced Arab identity as the rubric for their future self-determination and got involved in pamphleteering. Their choice was informed by everyday experience: Adham and Sidqi, for example, felt their Arabness acutely during the walk to their French preparatory school on the other side of town, passing through increasingly hostile and predominantly Turkish neighborhoods. But their self-recognition was equally driven by an already existing aspiration to renew the cultural collective. Their middle-class ‘Alawite neighborhood in Antioch, ‘Afan, had lobbied successfully to establish an Arabic-language primary school. And at the city’s French high school, Zaki al-‘Arsuzi (1899–1968)—a nationalist leader, a Paris-educated teacher of ‘Alawite origins, and a future co-founder of the Ba’th Party—had also launched an Arab renaissance club soon after his return from studies in Paris in 1930. This club, which reinvented a former Christian-run Fine Arts Club as an Arabist platform, was an act of radical incorporation. It posed a mirrored response to the Turkish youth clubs that had sprung up in the region, while also aiming to foster unity against French sectarianism. Such initiatives laid the groundwork upon which the Isma’il brothers (as well as many other students in their neighborhood) were mobilized for the Arab cause. In the early days of the crisis, they
Anneka Lenssen joined the youth brigades of the League of National Action, a pan-Arab movement that Syria’s more activist Arab nationalists had formed out of frustration with the National Bloc, and which al-Arsuzi, a founding member, used in Alexandretta as a network for material support. Isma’il’s work as a scout included helping to organize strikes and rallies, to which he contributed his calligraphic skill and ideas for stage décor. Al-Arsuzi also launched the newspaper *al-ʿUrūba* and created an Arabism Club as a community rallying point, which offered evening lectures and Arab plays in the squares and sports clubs.

When the commission from the League of Nations began to issue its recommendations for managing the crisis of representation it had witnessed in Alexandretta, the idea that ethnic identity ought to determine national status became reified. In late January 1937, the commission proposed to maintain the autonomous status of the district and to treat it separately from the rest of Syrian territory. Four months later, the League ratified a new Statute and Fundamental Law for Alexandretta, which was intended to make communal differences more distinct and legible through a system of parliamentary representation based upon the relative size of each recognized community (Turkish, ‘Alawite, Arab, Armenian, Greek Orthodox, Kurdish, and “other”). So as to implement this distribution of legislative power, the Fundamental Law in turn called for a comprehensive registration of adult men. In March 1938, the registration policy was clarified, so that it was now based upon personal disclosure: each man in the district would be required to appear before a board and declare his affiliation with one community to the exclusion of the others. These developments intensified the work of the youth brigades, who traveled the countryside as foot soldiers in a campaign to promote the racial abstraction of “Arab” over “Turk,” imploring peasant communities to think and feel like Arabs. One tactic was to make unlettered Arab persons “whole” as political subjects by teaching them to read and write Arabic, a strategy whereby the shape and sound of the common language was first incorporated in individual bodies and then mapped onto territory and rights. The students ventured into the villages and used elementary reading and writing exercises as an occasion to present their philosophy of Arabism. The project was not unlike the alphabet modernization efforts in Turkey that had recently concluded, but with the distinction that the Arabic language could be claimed as a natural symbolic product of Arab life rather than a break with historical modes.

As others have shown in detail, the communal registration process proved singularly useless as a mechanism for due process in Alexandretta, with collusion and coercion being the standard practice at registration
Moreover, the outward display of the vote had already been undermined, for Turkey had struck a secret agreement with France to ensure that the results would clear the way for annexation. By the time Alexandretta was incorporated as the Turkish province of Hatay in January 1939, some fifty thousand people, including Isma‘il’s group, had already left the district to resettle elsewhere in Syria. The students among these migrants hoped to complete their degrees in the Syrian Arabic system, yet Isma‘il’s group found itself bouncing from school to school, for the French authorities viewed their politicized status as a threat to the administrative order (a fear that was buttressed by French intelligence reports about ongoing student protests against the colonial presence, many of which noted that Alexandrettans played leadership roles). At the end of the year, Isma‘il and his group tried to return to Antioch but were turned back at the border, after which time they made their way to Damascus (while others went to Iraq). In Syrian parlance, the Alexandrettans were called the liwāʾiyyūn, or “people from the liwāʾ”—with liwāʾ being an Arabic term used in Ottoman administration, meaning a part or subdivision (i.e., Liwāʾ Iskandariyya). Fittingly, the term gave recognition to their status as citizens of a detached territory—a place that had once been part of Syria but was no longer legally so—and as a bracketed populace consisting of persons who were of Syria but not completely within it.

In Damascus, the liwāʾiyyūn from Isma‘il’s neighborhood reunited with a similarly uprooted al-Arsuzi, and the group spent the difficult war years of 1940 to 1944 together, with many sharing an unheated house in the neighborhood of Sha’lan while the students among them tried to complete their preparatory and university studies. Organizing their life collectively, they maintained a keen sense of the alterity of the Arab ontology—its difference from the indignities of their daily grind, and its principled separation from the hegemonic Syrian state. The group followed a regular schedule that included preparing shared meals, completing school lessons, passing time in nearby cafés, and then listening to al-Arsuzi deliver an evening lecture, followed by debate into the night. The themes of these evening gatherings tended to be either historical or metaphysical, with al-Arsuzi speaking to the past strengths of Arabic and the Arabs, mechanisms of revolution and nationalism in the world, and the genius of the Arab umma. One early witness to their brotherhood described the group as a kind of “Sufi order” in recognition of their organization around a search for internal, immanent knowledge. This collectivism also foregrounded the capacity of Arabism to be an animating force, buttressed by al-Arsuzi’s readings of German Romantic philosophy and Bergson’s theories of creative élan, which al-Arsuzi had studied during his time in Paris from 1927 to 1930. Theirs was a powerfully allusive version of vitalism, one that brought notions of religious illumination into alignment with a belief in the latent popular energy of the Arabs as a people. At times, the project also took on a secularized messianic cast, as al-Arsuzi reminded the group that their suffering carried the responsibility for liberating seventy million Arabs. Finally, in 1941, at the end of that year’s extraordinarily cold winter, al-Arsuzi called for the formation of a political movement, one that would give a new organizational structure to their solidarity in dispossession. Members went out into the city and scrawled an inaugural declaration of existence in chalk on an urban wall, writing: “We are here living under a great Arab socialist state” and “We are here under a single Arab homeland.” With these declarations, they not only enunciated their existence as a solidarity movement (understood as a “state” responding to a unity of cultural and class interests), but also located the movement in a “here” that transgressed territorial boundaries. Their space of politics, in other words, would manifest as a recuperation or renaissance (literally al-ba‘th) of an Arab self, correlated to an encompassing Arab nationalism.

Over the next few years, the movement pursued outreach via a person-to-person system of networked enlightenment, bringing awareness of their version of Arabism to new elements of the community. The movement expanded significantly in 1942 through a merger with a student movement directed by Michel Aflaq and Salah al-Din al-Bitar. In these years, their imagination of Arab political change became integrated, to a certain extent, with other registers of civic agitation for independence. Prior to 1946, Ba‘th members attended discussions held at the Café Moulin Rouge on tactics for ousting the French, and also joined a volunteer gendar-
merie to counter France's monopoly on military force. Nevertheless, the liwāʾyyūn intellectuals remained ever conscious of the difference between their version of patriotism and that of their national politicians. In Sidqi Isma’il’s voluminous correspondence with friends and comrades, he expresses his alienation with moving ardor. One letter, dated July 1944, describes his feeling that dictatorship had persisted in the world in spite of Allied successes, with oppression simply moving from individual rulers to entire governmental systems.

Another, from 1945, expresses ambivalence regarding his instrumentalized work for the party’s Cultural Committee, confessing to feeling nostalgic for the nights they had spent listening to al-Arsuzi teach, eyes “aglow” with the light of Arabism. Yet another, written on Evacuation Day in 1946, deems the participation of Egyptian, Iraqi, and Hijazi troops a hollow display of unity, made meaningless by a lack of commitment to Arabism on the part of Shukri al-Quwatli and other elites. Even the official party documents to which Sidqi Isma’il contributed, such as their April 1947 constitution as the Arab Resurrection Party (Hizb al-Ba‘th al-‘Arabi), acknowledge a current condition of “strife” in the service of a reawakening Arab nationalism. The rhetoric is oriented to a future amelioration of alienation, including a promise that all the “artificial” differences found among the members of the Arab nation will disappear once the Arab consciousness is awakened. Further, the 1947 party constitution again emphasizes the individual act of electing into the whole without recourse to the state and its mechanisms. It defines “Arab” as an identity that can be believed in and performed, extending the status to “anyone whose language is Arabic, who lives in the Arab homeland or aspires to live therein, and who believes in his connection to the Arab people.”

In his role as an intellectual within a movement professing creative power, Sidqi Isma’il frequently directed his attention to artists and artistic theories, and particularly so in letters to university colleagues. One of the most telling letters, dated October 5, 1947 (six months after the Party congress), discusses his and his brother’s convictions regarding the role of an animate and animating imagination (khayāl) as a basis for art. The letter, which is written to a female colleague, discusses the visionary nature of Leonardo da Vinci’s approach to his craft, the achievements of modern French artists, and then finally the greatness of Picasso and his “astonishing” recent drawings. The “strongest possible” definition of art, he writes, is the one a critic had formulated in a reading of Leonardo da Vinci’s process: that art is a “mental thing,” une chose mentale. The mental aspect is derived from the synthesizing and abstracting work of composition, work that the inspired artist performs in recognition of the underlying, abiding imagination of human existence—making any one work of art a slender phantasm of the whole. Sidqi Isma’il goes on to write about the same liberated understanding of painting in Renoir and his glowing version of Impressionism, the genius of Corot’s use of light and shade, and Cézanne’s capacity to reveal emotional heat even within the whiteness of snow. By his account, the genius of free dynamism can also be discerned in true contemporary art, even in movements (such as Cubism) that emphasize deformation and incongruity. He describes how he and his brother Adham had devoted two hours of contemplation to one of Picasso’s recent pictures, a painting of a woman and cat depicted with virtuosic red, green, and white lines (fig. 9). The work had come under criticism in Europe for its perceived ugliness, they knew, but after immersing themselves in the whole, they came to perceive its underlying harmony of color and flowing lines, and its “eternal plastic value” in such passages as the white linear variagation (raqṣ) on the woman’s breast. Ultimately, such a work seemed to Sidqi Isma’il to demonstrate Picasso’s greatness. The artist answered to no god other than the “omnipotent god” of the imagination. His lines, unencumbered by a relationship to material things, work in the realm of internal motivation, there producing eternally resonant form.

Sidqi Isma’il chronicles his brother’s painting, too, most frequently with descriptions of the metaphysical effects of color or the act of deploying it. One letter, from August 15, 1949, describes how Isma’il’s use of vivid colors effects an inverse condition in his own body: as volatile reds appeared in the skies he painted, conveying “revolution, fire, blood, and tragedy,” a pallor seemed to overtake Isma’il himself. The letter was composed by Sidqi Isma’il on the day after the second of the three coups d’état of 1949, yet it makes no direct mention of that event. Instead, it seems, he transposes national...
while the government builds glorious things from the people’s money, the people are left to live in pens. Finally, in a poem written in 1951, he makes the painter’s struggle to extract art from the world into a struggle for legibility as himself:

I have a brother who gave his life to art. Neither fame nor a few dirhams beguiled him. Those who saw him, know him but deny it, saying with curiosity: “Is that Adham?” His brush approached exhaustion, painting upon every wall in the streets.82

Here, Sidqi Isma’il’s conception of art fully parallels the movement’s conception of Arabism—its source is a living inspiration that drew from and gave to bodies.

We can now consider these attitudes alongside the drawings and paintings Isma’il made after 1947, a year that marks not only the normalization of the Ba’h Party but also Isma’il’s decision to normalize himself as a professional artist by completing the credentials necessary for teaching art in Syrian high schools.83 Much of the work he produced during this time takes the form of exercises in technique and follows two paths of experiment. The first path consists of paintings depicting landscapes, often recollected ones from Alexandretta. In these, Isma’il takes an expressive turn, using rough dots and touches of color to make abstract fields (fig. 10). Daubs of dark, opaque pigment appear in flat arrays of texture, from which glints a surface pattern of brilliant yellow marks that, by their lack of mediation, claim a garish presence in the eye (fig. 11). In this sense, they manifest vital qualities that are akin to the ones Sidqi Isma’il recognizes in Vincent van Gogh’s paintings, where “living yellow color” seems to manifest the very sense of “greater blessedness” that is also to be found in the music and poetry in the East, in Japan and beyond.84 Insofar as each component mark in the landscapes shows itself to be an illuminating presence, we might describe them as evincing a Ba’hist notion of inspiration. In the second path Isma’il follows in his exercises, he concentrates on faces as the central subject, including riffs on the styles of other artists. One particularly fascinating gouache sketch from 1948 (already referenced earlier) appears to respond to the very composition of the Picasso painting of woman and cat to which he had responded with Sidqi Isma’il (figs. 5, 9). Rendering it into an elegant visual study in rose and green line, uncertainty into a consideration of mortality and immortality in painting. When he does write reflectively about the calamities of 1949, in an end-of-the-year poem that he composed for circulation among party comrades, he folds the life-to-death inversions of Isma’il’s painting into a broader account of the Syrian government’s procedural undoing of their natural talents.81 One friend lives without a salary, another is a navigator without a boat, another has been passed over for the military, another gambles in politics for sport, another is a poet who has lost his voice, and so on, with his “painter brother” (Isma’il) left to listen to his own colors “weep” in the lightlessness of their world. In every case, Sidqi Isma’il writes, the succession of “coup, upturned things, and overturners” has changed very little, for,
Such would seem to be Isma‘il’s personal preoccupations as an artist. But after having taken on teaching work in the schools, which were still a hotbed of ideological activism, he now also faced the new challenge of mobilizing the conjunction of performative Arab identity, creative spirit, and political solidarity that the liwā‘yyūn had first proposed a decade earlier. In 1950, he landed a coveted teaching position in Damascus at the preparatory high school in Maydan, a neighborhood where the Ba’th Party enjoyed wide support among both educated youth and their middle-class trader fathers. From all reports, Isma‘il took his work as an educator as an opportunity to put egalitarian ideals into practice, and he taught with the spirit of noncoercive self-realization that the movement pursued elsewhere. He even managed, in May 1951, to arrange a counter-exhibition to the national salon, securing permission to install an exhibition of student work in the very same museum hall that had hosted the 1950 event. The party’s al-Ba‘th newspaper devoted a page of coverage to the achievement, detailing its demonstration of faith in the potential of the country’s youth against the state’s bourgeois mores and reprinting Isma‘il’s short speech from the opening, which takes a prophetic tone. As “fruits” of Arab talent, the pieces on display give an indication of a great fertility that promises to soon overflow and return them all to the helm of their fate. Their creative forces, he proclaims, would “spread their wings” in the faraway skies, driving them in the direction of immortality. He concludes his remarks by emphasizing the need to push onward and to “cover hundreds of years in a few leaps,” but with the assurance that the resources for doing so include the richness of both external and internal material:

We have the advantage of the Western art movements lying before us and the flames of individual talents burning within us, ready to burst into fire and light, destroying every obstacle and illuminating every path.

A vision of almost supernatural rejuvenation, this conclusion serves to ratify a mode of political change that relies on the capacity of art to tap into and mobilize a living Arab culture. In light of Isma‘il’s invocation of the abstract power of Arabism as a pre-representational force, we can return to consider what he may have understood to be at
stake in his submission of *The Porter* to the 1951 salon, coming as it did only five months after the Maydan counter-exhibition. In the previous year, his *Family of Refugees* had offered visitors a mournful genre scene, showing the uprooted families who haunted daily normalcy in Syria. It had also tendered a view on class antagonisms, showing the luxury façades of the new homes aglow with the light of inaccessible comfort. In *The Porter*, these impulses assume a different appearance, as Isma’il isolates line and color in ways that dissolve its subject rather than preserving it in paint. As we have seen, in 1951 the critics developed various interpretations of this visual difference: *al-Ishtirākiyya* gave a particularly socialist-realist value to the mechanism of such a transfer, suggesting that *The Porter* reconfigured the academic devices of painting so as to reach the everyman.90 The critic from *Al-Naṣr* was more concerned with the need to keep step with the times, praising Isma’il’s abandonment of literary illustration and his use of painting as a plane of inquiry. But by Isma’il’s own assessment, as I shall now explore through a closer study of his engagement with the art movements of the Pari- sian metropole, it was insufficient simply to put form forward as an autonomous event. If we place Isma’il at the center of the catalytic creation of Arab feeling that he forecasts in his May 1951 speech, we can understand his desire to transcode the arabesque from a formal element to an active entity, which is to say into a means to instantiate a natural unity.

**NATURALIZING ARAB UNITY**

At the same time that Isma’il was carving out the role of a quiet activist amid his more obstreperous comrades in the Ba’th movement, he was also working to cultivate his skills and recognition in the painterly arts.91 He undertook these latter tasks in the company of artist friends outside the party circles, many of whom possessed greater social capital in Damascus than did the displaced Alexandrettans. Soon after his arrival in Damascus at the age of seventeen, he had gotten to know Mahmoud Hammad (1923–88) and Nasir Shoura (1920–92) in the arts room of the Damascus National Club near Marja Square.92 Through Hammad, Isma’il sometimes also attended Studio Veronese, which had been established by Damascus artists in 1941 as the first dedicated painters’ circle in Syria and which featured figure-drawing sessions as well as theoretical debates.93 In its earliest days, the group’s approach to training also involved an effort to compensate for a lack of museum access by collecting color reproductions of paintings from journals. For this endeavor, the French mass-circulation picture magazine *L’Illustration* served as a particularly reliable source.94 In this section, I examine Isma’il’s readings of different modalities of modern painting and their use of arabesque line for greater insight into the radical aspects he developed in his work.

For the artists of Ismail’s generation in Syria, Lebanon, and Iraq, the outbreak of war in Europe in 1939 had the effect of bringing heightened visibility and importance to the artistic craft. As the Iraqi artist Jawad Selim later put it, “Paris and Europe stopped producing beautiful work, but Baghdad did not,” and meanwhile its artists labored to “prepare the public to appreciate and understand art.”95 Buttressing the local efforts to boost artistic activities, there was a flood of Allied cultural propaganda following the July 1941 victory in the Middle East theater. The Free French established radio offices in the Near East and North Africa from which they broadcast texts celebrating the spirit of youth, courage, cheer, and hope as the living forces of the country.96 They entered the field of art criticism as well. In its first year of operation, 1942, Beirut’s *al-Adīb* published essays on French art and values by Jacques Lassaigne—a critic who was working for the Free French in the Near East—which detailed the liberal values for which Paris had once stood as a kind of civilizational currency.97 And Allied networks even brought foreign artists into the region, including a contingent of Polish painters attached to the Polish II Corps, who were stationed in Baghdad.98 These artists—several of them Paris-trained—joined their Iraqi colleagues in café debates and even exhibitions, with one, Józef Jarema (1900–1974), also coming to Damascus to install a goodwill exhibition of work at the Orient Club.99 Jarema visited the Studio Veronese, engaging its members in discussion about the tenets of post-Impressionism as well as new problems of comparative national aesthetics. These exchanges, which were intensified by the uncertainties of wartime, conti-
ued to echo after the Liberation. Once Lassaigne returned to Paris, he acted as a conduit for Arab artists into the city’s reopening circles of exhibition and criticism. He introduced Hamoudi to the abstractionists of the Salon des Réalités Nouvelles, the preeminent international forum for concrete, nonfigurative, and abstract artists. As for Jarema, after he shipped out through Palestine and Egypt to Italy in 1944, he converted the aspirational commitment to life and hope of the war years into the institutional form of an international association of Art Clubs, which he dedicated to facilitating direct contact between artists without regard for national borders and toward the goal of renewing universal culture in the shared language of “shapes and colors.”

Settling in Rome, he and his Art Club provided an exhibition home for a new Italian avant-garde of young geometric abstractionists, with Jarema himself also “converting” to abstraction in 1948. Club branches were even established in Egypt and Turkey, but not in Syria, which was still fighting for independence and liberation.

In this way, one kind of international threshold materialized for Isma‘il in his understanding of his mission as a modern Arab artist. By dint of the Mandate occupation, the immediate modernist legacy in Syria was markedly French and was also universalized as an open and cosmopolitan platform (characteristics that were the opposite of those of the Vichy years) in defense against the catastrophic racialized regionalism of the Second World War. Crucially, as we have observed, although Isma‘il developed his work in the 1940s from the very conceptual heart of the Ba‘th party’s cultural programs, he never acted as a party artist in the strict sense of depending on its patronage for a living or upholding any prescribed style (such as socialist realism). Indeed, his comrades would never have expected him to do so. Like many other thinkers in the aftermath of the war, they privileged a model of creation that was free, autonomous, and essentially unmotivated. These points of discursive affinity make it all the more important to consider the expanding field of international modernism in the postwar years of decolonization as a basis for understanding the ambitions of Isma‘il’s local group, which endeavored to create a movement and to push their world forward. As the art historian Natalie Adamson carefully shows, while critics in Paris were announcing the “return” of modern art after the Liberation, they also set about reinventing the memory of the city’s dynamic and heterogeneous art world as an École de Paris. René Huyghe, a curator for the Louvre and consultant to the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), for example, mobilized a confected memory of how all artists—whether foreign-born or not—had contributed to the universal heritage of this École, making openness and assimilation the very definition of the French modern tradition.

These promotional schemata directly affected the reception of Arab artists in Paris, where critics had begun to invoke a “nouvelle École de Paris” for the postwar era. As the anthropologist Kirsten Scheid writes regarding the reception of the Lebanese abstract painter Saloua Raouda Choucair, who studied and exhibited in Paris from 1948 to 1951, Parisian commentators took the work of Arab aspirants in the city as proof of the renewed viability of the École de Paris. In Choucair’s case, positive reviews highlighted the presence of an Eastern genius, tradition, and “magnanimous spirit” even in her hard abstractionist paintings. Lassaigne, the critic who had formerly contributed Free French content to al-Adīb, actively promoted the “nouvelle École de Paris,” writing exhibition essays in 1950 on young artists from Iran, Turkey, and Egypt who found the city of Paris to be conducive to “their national genius.” Hamoudi, too, upheld this position in his narratives about modern Iraqi and Arab art, describing a dynamic rapprochement between the natural resources of bright color and evocative poetry and the “new” preoccupation with abstraction at the Parisian center. In 1950, Hamoudi wrote a guest article for the French journal Arts that described how a select few artists in the country—his colleagues Selim, Fahrelnissa Zeid, and Faïq Hassan—had undertaken to recover tradition as a basis for their contributions to the world’s modern art, doing so with the knowledge that their traditions included a lineage of accomplished abstract elaboration in Baghdad and Andalusia, where Arab artisans had applied their minds and hands to constructing dazzling monuments. Hamoudi’s own application of these convictions in painting manifested in compositions of zigzagging black lines derived from Arabic letterforms, punctuated by bright, flat color,
which he exhibited in the 1950 Salon des Réalités Nouvelles (fig. 12). He also enthusiastically championed the work of Zeid, the Turkish-born painter who had become an Iraqi princess through her marriage to Iraq’s Prince Ra’ād bin Zeid (of the Hashemite dynasty). Reporting to his countrymen about Zeid’s 1949 solo exhibition at Paris’s renowned Galerie Colette Allendy, he describes how her oil paintings and assemblages of stones produce a “forest garden” experience that impressed famous abstract artists such as Francis Picabia, while transporting other viewers (fig. 13). In Zeid’s case, he writes, the lines and color of the works seem to float on a ground of Eastern sensibilities.

Here is where the term “arabesque” enters, the mode or “structure” of surface design that Islamic art scholarship has long upheld as exemplary of the Islamic religiocultural system, but which also plays a role in the historiography of the École de Paris and Romantic literature and music (though I will not address that here). From the viewpoint of the first centuries of Is-

Fig. 12. Jamil Hamoudi, Don Quichotte, 1950, oil on canvas. Private collection, Baghdad. (Photo: provided by Ishtar Hamoudi)
Islamic art, as Oleg Grabar notes in his landmark study *The Formation of Islamic Art*, the arabesque might best be understood not as an innovation per se but rather as a way of treating forms syntactically and of producing ornament through the endless repetition and modification of shapes. As Grabar also notes, the ambiguity of the arabesque creates a fundamental challenge for interpretation: as it seems to present both iconographic and ornamental meaning simultaneously, it is experienced as a “parallelism of contradictory sorts of meanings.” Whereas Grabar offers his description of this parallelism in a cautionary way, the artists of the nouvelle École de Paris milieu often courted the ambiguity of arabesque as a sign of artistic progress, wherein a representational motif might be distilled into pattern and vice versa. We see this in Hamoudi’s commentaries on work in France, certainly, in which the term “arabesque” signals a revival of native resources and a rapprochement with Parisian modernism. And we also see it in his selection of self-promotional texts, such as the two essays he solicited for his solo debut at Galerie Voyelles in April 1950: a French-language introduction from Lassaigne and an Arabic one from Bishr Farès (1906–63), the Lebanese-Egyptian scholar and historian of Islamic art who lived and worked between Cairo and Paris. Farès’s notes, by contrast, position the origins of abstracted Arab ornament in a religious episteme, making the Islamic art of Baghdad “an art that expresses the transience of the object in the path of an understanding of eternity.” Taken together, this pair of texts enacts the parallelism of contradictory interpretations associated with the arabesque, thereby keeping open the possibility of affinities between Iraq and France without actually resolving them—and rendering Hamoudi’s abstractions into an ambivalent project of neither solely formal nor fully conceptual aims.

In these mid-century oscillations, we also see reflected elements of a longer and more varied history of the arabesque as a keyword in cultural analysis. The word “arabesque” itself, as the art historian Roger Benjamin has shown, seems to derive from Italian rather than Arabic origins. It first came into use in the Italian Renaissance as rabesco, a description of the scrolling ornamental frames in ancient Roman wall painting, which reminded contemporary viewers of Islamic designs. But the heyday of the term “arabesque” as a movable descriptor for a particular mode of decorative composition came in the nineteenth century. This usage of the word was buoyed by a proliferation of research in ornament studies, which in turn was supported by a concern for the modular analysis of applied arts and industrial design. As early as 1850, the term “arabesque” had come to be mobilized in discussions of such varied instances of ornament as Renaissance scrollwork, the “Moorish” style of decorative program at the Alhambra, and even...
the characteristic ornament of the Gothic period, with its scroll-cut forms that burgeon forth from the architectural masses themselves, fusing together animal figures and foliage. As Gülrü Necipoğlu demonstrates, this wide-ranging engagement with ornament took shape around a search for universal design principles in Europe, which overlapped with an equally ahistorical Orientalist discourse. One outcome of the intersection of formal and civilizational studies for the emerging field of the history of Islamic art, then, was a kind of interpretative narrowing, with scholars seeking to match a characteristic form to a particular cultural tendency or spirit. Most influential in this regard is the Austrian art historian Alois Riegl’s pioneering comparative ornament study, Stilfragen, published in 1893. Riegl identifies the “full-fledged Islamic arabesque” by its characteristics of infinite planar correspondence and denaturalized, intertwining ornament, linking it to the locomotive force of the Oriental spirit. A half century later, when Ernst Kühnel published his definitive pamphlet-length study Die Arabeske (1949), he maintained a similar civilizational remit for the arabesque’s impetus. Kühnel presents the arabesque as an expression of Arab character and analyzes its reciprocal reflection and unbroken interlacing (with its subsidiary effects of eschewing the individual ego and avoiding three-dimensional illusionism) as an index of the abiding monotheism of Islam.

In the 1970s, Syria’s cultural critics started from a similar take on the arabesque as symbolic form and developed it into a postcolonial call for an authentic Arab art based on natural faith and unity. As the Syrian critic and arts administrator Afif Bahnassi formulated it in 1974, the Arab artist employing the arabesque places neither worldly men nor things at the center of art, and never resorts to trompe l’œil. The impetus for his arabesque lies in “creating a beautiful subject rather than expressing the beauty of the object itself.”

For other art critics, however, the civilizational valences of the arabesque as a compositional element are decidedly different. Concurrent with the specialized inquiry of the Islamic art historian, a second discourse on the arabesque developed from the nineteenth century’s considerations of ornament and decoration—and it took form within an art-historical model based on individual volition and sensation, on the opposite side of Bahnassi in terms of schemata of intent. This is the arabesque of French modernist painting, which, as Roger Benjamin has shown, arose from the new modes of landscape painting adopted by Fauvist artists and other advanced painters in the first decade of the twentieth century. These painters, who rejected the Impressionist practice of transcribing the fleeting feeling of a landscape, turned instead to the task of elaborating a fully decorative composition by means of determined, abstracting devices. For their purposes, the “insistently artificial twist” of the arabesque S-curve served to maintain a new space of rhythmic relations between figure and ground. In this context, the term “arabesque” works to bundle already existing concerns for the figura serpentinata and other pleasing arrangements of the curve, and to anchor them in the two-dimensional concerns of modern painting, including excessive outlining and exaggerated elaboration. Thus, for the quasi-mystical band of French painters known as Nabis, who were active from 1888 to 1900 and followed Gauguin in seeking to establish a symbolic idiom of decorative painting, the arabesque offered a tool for treating wall painting as a decorative object, refashioning space altogether. And for the Fauves who followed after them, the arabesque twist provided a device for pure composition, as in Matisse’s celebrated Le Bonheur de vivre (The Joy of Life, 1905–6), with its tree-branch arabesques that work to establish a dance-like visual rhythm.

In these instances of the arabesque as a decorative structure in painting, importantly, the arabesque is brought to bear within a French tradition of pictorial improvement. Certainly, its sinuous curve can deliver a frisson of alterity, particularly in the context of a growing commercial trade in Islamic art and the occasional high-profile exhibition. Yet, as the art historian Alastair Wright points out in the case of Matisse’s overtly Eastern modes of composition in his Morocco paintings of 1912, much critical writing worked to characterize even those works as an exclusively French enterprise. Wright shows that a wide swath of critics viewed Matisse’s Morocco paintings as discomfiting precisely because they seem to slip too fully into actual Eastern abstraction. What the slippage threatens is not a purely formal sensibility regarding the best way to put a picture together; rather, the propriety of racial standards.
is at stake as well. Indeed, rightwing French commentators had already matched the foreign characteristics of Arab art to the purported characteristics of Arab people: the arabesque’s “gentle glare of raw colors” and “undulating lines” were construed as the product of an Arab desire to rest and prolong pleasure.\textsuperscript{130}

Finally, and perhaps ironically, given the turn-of-the-century fear of the dilatory effects of Arab pattern, a third component of the contradictory and parallel meanings of the arabesque in Syria arises from its perceived disciplining function as a process of synthesis. We know that Isma‘il made use of Arab designs in the drawing classes he taught at Maydan High School, where such designs would have held a nationalist charge as object lessons in cultural history.\textsuperscript{131} (He likely assigned patterns such as the one shown in fig. 14.) And further, we know that the lessons in Arab ornament in the Syrian national curriculum followed a long-established French precedent of using world ornament in instruction. As the art historian Molly Nesbit shows, practical ornament studies had been introduced to France’s primary school curriculum at the start of the twentieth century in response to an anxiety about industrial readiness.\textsuperscript{132} This was a single, systematic curriculum that moved pupils forward from classes on line and geometry, through ornament and perspectival renderings of things, to idealized leaves, and so on.\textsuperscript{133} Furthermore, as Nesbit demonstrates, these abstracting exercises in design also occupied the crux of the modern project to construct a universal subject: a single, standardized French child-subject at every point of the educational and industrial system, whether in Marseille or Paris or—adding in the colonial expansion of the Mandates in 1920—Beirut, Antioch, or Deir ez-Zor. Concomitantly, in Syria the

![Fig. 14. Page from the fifth-level Workbook for Boy and Girl Students (Ashghālī li-l-Talāmīdh wa-Tilmīdhāt) (Damascus, late 1940s). The instructions say, “Arab engraving. For boys: Transfer and carve it on wood, then color it, or use it to decorate a piece of cardboard for a book cover. For girls: Decorate a book cover, border of a tablecloth, or china.”]
Mandate administration included steps to codify local crafts, with the intention of stimulating commodity production, taking a page from colonial administration in North Africa.\textsuperscript{134} This included the establishment of a short-lived School of Modern Arab Arts attached to the French Institute in Damascus from 1926 to 1930, overseen by the Orientalist scholar Eustache de Lorey, who was then the director of the Institute. The school admitted a handful of local students to help with the tasks of tracing and distilling six types of replicable Arab patterns, running the gamut from \textit{Nour-eddin} (inspired by the ornamentation in the Maristan of Nur al-Din in Damascus and on the minbar of Nur al-Din in Hama) to \textit{Comnenus} (created by de Lorey from Byzantine sources).\textsuperscript{135} In pairing ornamental studies with productivist goals, the project upheld a comprehensive French approach to artistic education that, as Nesbit notes, devoted its lessons to the production of objects and yet at the same time considered the object only in its most highly realized form—that of decorative art.\textsuperscript{136} (The same easily collapsed line between objects and decorative schema would also manifest in de Lorey’s other projects, many of which were promotional rather than scholarly.\textsuperscript{137} After presiding over the 1928 rediscovery of the mosaics of the Umayyad Mosque, for example, he employed students to produce full-size color drawings of the mosaics and then sent the drawings on a world tour as fine art objects in themselves.\textsuperscript{138})

Whereas the colonial treatment of the arabesque had raised questions of racial difference in French North Africa and problems of educational stewardship in the Mandate Arab East, however, the neocolonial treatment of the arabesque in the 1940s tended to limit its scope to a formal and universal (rather than epistemological) device. The Paris offices of UNESCO (to which Syria became a signatory in 1946), in particular, disseminated this understanding of the arabesque.\textsuperscript{139} In 1948, for example, when UNESCO worked with Huyghe to organize a traveling print exhibition of high-quality color reproductions of modern painting from Impressionism to the present day, the exhibition catalog offered a narrative of artistic innovation versus illusion, in which abstracted versions of formal strategies were the primary driver.\textsuperscript{140} Huyghe’s introductory essay enshrines the arabesque as the defining operation in the development of modern painterly art, invoking Toulouse-Lautrec’s use of “boldly stylized arabesques,” followed by the Nabis’ use of “arabesques and splashes of color” to mediate between tradition and innovation, and so on.\textsuperscript{141} Huyghe’s presentation of the arabesque as a formal feature drawn from ornament and music limits its function to altering a motif and achieving a more harmonious composition. Of course, even this flatly formal version of the arabesque performed ideological work for the postwar era. It was used to tout the capacity of Paris in particular to incorporate the best insights of others and then, as Huyghe claims outright, to “radiate” its modernism back over the whole world.\textsuperscript{142} Considering the show’s exclusive focus on European achievements, even Huyghe’s brief references to foreign influence, such as his note that “Matisse’s feeling for colored arabesque attracted him to the East,” serve to buttress the French center.\textsuperscript{143} The Matisse painting in the exhibition, \textit{Woman in White}, was a relatively recent composition from 1946 in which Matisse had most likely adapted the brilliant color effects of Egyptian tent piecework into appliqué-like white gaps between gold skin, violet couch, and violet zigzag lines (fig. 15).\textsuperscript{144} In the narrative of a continuous French development toward abstraction as set forth by Huyghe, however, there is no space for understanding these compositional moves as signifying the kind of intercultural play that others recognized in Matisse’s oeuvre.\textsuperscript{145}

UNESCO intended its exhibition of reproductions to act as a kind of equalizer, providing those citizens of the world who had no easy access to great works of painting with an opportunity to inspect them. In 1950 the exhibition traveled to Syria and Iraq as well as to Bolivia, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, New Zealand, and Pakistan.\textsuperscript{146} And when it arrived in Damascus, the educational community did by and large receive it as the instructional aid it purported to be. Huyghe’s essay was duly translated into Arabic and published in the secondary school teachers’ bulletin \textit{al-Muʿallim al-ʿArabī}, with Badi al-Kasim, a scholar of philosophy and member of the Baʿth party, completing the translation.\textsuperscript{147} Overall, al-Kasim remains quite faithful to Huyghe’s prose, save for redacting a few minor art-historical asides. But from al-Kasim’s particular treatment of the term “arabesque,” we can glean some insight into the cultural recoding of
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the moment, for he takes liberties with its function as an analytical term and puts greater stress on its significance as a form of Arab identity. For example, he opts not to print the term in Roman characters (as the journal did for the artists’ names), nor to transliterate it (as Syrian critics would do in the 1960s), nor to make use of the term raqsh, from the verb raqasha, meaning to variegate or make multicolored (the coinage that Farès officially proposed in 1953). Instead, al-Kasim uses the phrase tazayyunat ‘arabiyya, which has the very literal meaning of “Arabic decoration.” Relating more to the modalities of artisanal work than to those of fine arts, this phrase casts French artists who employ the arabesque—Toulouse-Lautrec, the Nabis, and the like—in the role of compositors working with the codified forms of Syrian crafts and design education. Indeed, the only other time al-Kasim breaks from his convention of leaving French names in the Roman script is with his translation of the group name Nabis. Here he uses the Arabic word al-anbiyyā’, the conjugated Arabic plural word meaning “the prophets,” which has the effect of Arabizing the history of modern art and naturalizing its resulting aesthetic forms to the Syrian setting. In other words, al-Kasim casts the Nabis’ arabesque as prophetic revelation rather than an Orientalizing motif.

Given this matrix of arabesques and varying modes of subjectivity and objective presence, how, then, are we to position the work of Isma’il, an artist we know had come to recognize Arabism as a first cause in his creativity? I have endeavored to convey the multitude of intentions that the arabesque afforded those artists who used its expansive repetitions of line and color. Isma’il knew the practical applications of Arab design from his classroom. He had engaged the Arab line as a symbol of spiritual subjectivity, if not through the analysis of Riegl and Kühnel then through the art-historical and critical studies of Bishr Farès. He had read and viewed the French painterly take on the arabesque as a compositional twist as well, and may even have discussed the problem of its translation with al-Kasim. The record shows that, not long after the UNESCO exhibition opened in Damascus, Isma’il wrote about Matisse’s work for al-Ba’th newspaper, upholding the notion of pure composition as the central concern of the paintings. Isma’il’s article proposes a pan-cultural basis for Matisse’s insights, attributing the strong, unadulterated colors in the paintings to Eastern inspiration; he also highlights the synesthetic aspect of the interplay of colors and spontaneous line, calling it the “artist’s music.” Yet Isma’il does not use the word “arabesque” in his article, nor in any of his other published works from 1950. Rather, his reading of the legacy of the Fauves and other French moderns would seem to recognize their success in reminding the world of the power of repeated, sinuous line and bright color. This is not to suggest that they had definitively established the origin of that power, however. For Isma’il, there remained the question of the underlying source of meaningful artistic experience.

In order to interpret the conceptual (and non-visible) aspect of Isma’il’s practice, it is crucial to recall that his

Fig. 15. Henri Matisse, Woman in White (Dame à la robe blanche), 1946, oil on canvas, 96.5 × 60.3 cm. (Photo: Rich Sanders, courtesy of Des Moines Art Center)
first formal training in the use of decorative line came as a colonial subject in Alexandretta and not as a student of the fine painterly arts. In such a setting, academic divisions between ornament, design, and art could easily be collapsed into a practice of free drawing. These lessons, as I have discussed, were meant to instill a sense of separation between line and things and to produce a kind of industrial subject. To Isma’il’s childhood psyche, however, the same separation also opened up vistas for the expression of anti-rational feeling—of mystical subjectivity over tangible object. Even while still a schoolboy, he began to activate the line’s more automatic or pre-aesthetic qualities as a means to free other truths. His Antioch friends later recollected how he liked to use the schoolroom’s portable blackboard as a site for releasing his imagination, running his chalk into “every corner,” producing images of “marvelous energy.” He was also remembered for sometimes manipulating his dancing lines in ways that contested French authority. When, for example, he played drawing guessing games with cousins, he would draw a line or two and then ask them to guess what image he intended (for example “duck” or “sheep”), asking again after he had drawn another line or two (“house”) and so on, until a correct guess was made—and the lines sometimes channeled latent anti-colonial feeling into image. One day, his cousin reports, Isma’il’s drawing coalesced into the image of an arrow, and then the arrow came to pierce a French soldier. The resulting image became a talisman for the group, and they used “piercing arrow” as a secret password phrase at their school. Notably, in these activities, the lines themselves (and not Isma’il per se) are made agents of unfurling a truth about the collective condition. Giving expression to the latent desire of lines to form eternal shapes could also serve to solidify an oppositional community.

Once Isma’il came to Damascus and got to know other young intellectuals there, his work with instinctual line intersected with literary efforts to convey a Romantic notion of transformative natural experience, producing another phase of drawing. In 1942, for example, he worked with his Damascus friend Badi’ Haqqi, a law student and eventual Ba’th adherent, to create images to accompany Haqqi’s free-verse evocation of a wintertime snow flurry in the city. Haqqi wrote “Snowfall” as an account of synesthetic impressions: jagged pieces freezing against the blue sky; jasmine exploding in a heavenly smell; and the twice-repeated phrase “from the whiteness of snow, dreams of a bride scatter, shadows scatter.” As a gift to his friend, and as a testament to the inspirational force of snow, Isma’il responded to the bridal tropes of the poem in an ink drawing of anthropomorphized snowflakes: the white silhouette of a dancer set within a dark ground, spiraling in a fractal-like recursive sequence of inversion and reflection (fig. 16). Elements of this drawing, such as the cinched waist, bouffant hair, and tapering limbs of the dancer, recall the motifs of French graphic design in *L’Illustration*, including popular advertising for perfumes (fig. 17). But any cultural specificity of the referent is ultimately encompassed by the suffusing impression of flowing, febrile femininity achieved by the floating twists of outline, arranged in an exploding pattern of optical whiteness.

Another of Isma’il’s drawings from this early period, the colored-pencil work *Solitude*, reveals a complementary interest in those compositional models Isma’il identified as Eastern (fig. 18). The drawing shows a woman clad in a black dress beneath a single, twisting tree branch amid a landscape suffused by colored mist and golden sky. It would seem to enfold the romantic pan-Asian visual lexicon that the Indian artist Abanindranath Tagore devised in the first decades of the twentieth...
would return to such linear distillation, too, in compositions less explicitly related to any Eastern source. In the 1948 watercolor *Warm Breeze*, for example, Isma‘ıl works directly with the theme of nature as a source of the spirits embodied in the arabesque line; a surface pattern of reeds, birds, and pure graphic marks appears to breathe out elegant loops that hint at an entrelac or other Arab-Islamic motif but do not finally resolve as such (fig. 6).

For Isma‘ıl, the ultimate reconciliation of the arabesque’s multiple possibilities comes not by electing one or the other side of its parallel genealogies, Eastern or Western, but rather through the populist element of the Ba‘th cultural equation and its claim to the creative genius of an uncoerced, natural collective. Recall how, during the registration drives in Alexandretta in 1938, the Arabic mother tongue had provided the basis for a transcendent unity. To physically practice Arab identity in speech and writing in that context was to embody this unity. After Syrian independence in 1946, and the challenges to the representational authority of the National Bloc mounted by the ideological parties, Isma‘ıl’s work sought out additional pathways to the greater imagination of Arabism. In his arabesque experiments, he plays with models of Arab embodiment in culture, associating its visual form with other poetic and musical forms. The 1947 painting *Syrian Zajal*, for example, takes its title from a form of colloquial poetry performance associated with feast days, often involving percussion and song (fig. 20). Isma‘ıl’s evocation of its extended, temporal form moves several vectors of energy into visual overlay: a sky containing both day and night; a vision of ancient trees with foliage that dissolves into clouds; a central cruciform shape that appears to conduct electricity into curling forms of genie-like life; and a skein of colored arabesque lines that, in the far right corner, appear to outline a fawn, a woman’s eye, and other hallucinatory beings. Another painting in this vein, *Dabke* (1950), takes its title from the Arab folk dance that is customary at weddings and celebrations, where whole communities perform its syncopated foot movements in rhythmic coordination. In this case, the experience is evoked by short flecks of contour lines in atmospheric space, which hint at (female) bodily form as if it were a distillate of the fertile atmosphere of the Eastern landscape (fig. 21). Although all these works include clear markers of an

Fig. 17. Print advertisement for Lancôme perfume, “Conquête,” in *L’Illustration*, November 15, 1941.

century, distinguished by the watercolor wash techniques that imbue the spare compositions with atmospheric luminescence. One 1902 Tagore watercolor, which depicts the Mughal king Shah Jahan in solitary reflection in his garden, where he sits beneath a tree branch gathering a cloud of delicate mist, circulated widely in Europe in the 1920s as an illustration of V. C. Scott O’Connor’s exotic travelogue *The Charm of Kashmir* (fig. 19). Isma‘ıl’s *Solitude* drawing employs a modal composition of person and atmospheric blankness that is akin to Tagore’s image of noble ascesis, albeit with the further stylization of its elements into a rounded S-curve of the branch against bright striations of color in pink, green, blue, and gold. Amid such fugitive visual qualities and their suggestion of Eastern metaphysics, this S-curve becomes more an abstraction of ephemeral energies than a depiction of things. The artist
Fig. 18. Adham Isma’il, Solitude (al-Wahda), 1945, colored pencil on paper. (Reprinted from Na‘ím Ismā’il, Adham Ismā’il: Ḥarqat Lawn wa-Khaṭṭ Lā-Nihā‘î [Damascus: al-Jumhuriyya Press, 1965].)

Fig. 19. Abanindranath Tagore, Nasim Bagh, 1902, watercolor. (Reprinted from “Les Jardins du Kashmir,” L’Illustration no. 4103 [October 22, 1921]: 382–84.)
Arab cultural identity, they are not ethnographic in purpose. Instead, each manages the relationship between idealized form and folk practices by means of (imagined) rhythm, letting rhythm act as a mediating presence as well. That relationship, established across nested realms of being, is at once natural, replicative through the body, protean, and transcendent.

By the time Isma’Il debuted The Porter, then, his own assessment of the possibilities of the “arabesque” hinged upon his recognition of the timeless metaphysical aspects it held in common across its many instantiations, and its internal coherency as energy. For his extended circle, the term “arabesque” itself came to describe “the permanent movement” within any work of art, its constitutive animating force. Some of the drawings Isma’il made after The Porter produced beautiful results from the search for this kind of plenitude. We can, for instance, consider the 1953 watercolor drawing shown in fig. 22. As an image, it is the result of a single physical interaction by the artist on his paper support, involving Isma’il pushing a single continuous line through a sequence of loops and points so as to articulate the internal and external forms of a face in profile, not unlike the method employed in The Porter. The resulting enclosures have been filled in with flat hues of local color: bright, acidic hues oscillate with naturalistic skin and hair tones as well as neutral mauves. But a difference here is the open surface of the paper, which allows the image so produced to register as a being in active transformation. As Isma’il traced the outlines, he exploited the capacity of his line to elicit different states of living, and even to produce other beings. In the areas where Isma’il’s lines accrue around the particularly detailed passages of the human visage, the color variations become so dense that a transubstantiating effect is achieved: flowers sprout and a hummingbird appears at the crown. Here in its final resting form, the arabesque expresses an internal propensity to Islamic ornament. To use the categorical terms of the history of Islamic art, we can say that the extremities of this drawing have become “floriated.” Again, the impetus is not to conform to a determined heritage motif, but rather to set a collective energy free into new, fecund forms.

In other drawings, by contrast, the push and pull of Isma’il’s slicing line against the brightness of the color produces such defamiliarizing effects that the images verge on ugliness. These images are particularly telling of the conceptual process, for they leave no sense of the pleasures of play, instead making the moment of representation into a subject of dark reflection. One gouache, dated 1952 (discussed above), allows the tracing of objects to transport the image into a space of unstable color relationships (fig. 7). Beginning from one drawing—probably again the drawing of a head—Isma’il

Fig. 20. Adham Isma’il, Syrian Zajal (al-Zajal al-Sūrī), 1947(?), oil on unknown surface. (Reprinted from Na‘īm Ismā‘īl, Adham Ismā‘īl, 14.)

Fig. 21. Adham Isma’il, Dabke, 1950, oil on unknown surface. (Reprinted from Na‘īm Ismā‘īl, Adham Ismā‘īl, 27.)
Fig. 22. Adham Isma’il, no title, 1953, gouache on paper. Samawi Collection, Dubai. (Photo: provided by the Ayyam Gallery)
adds an overlay of what may be a standing figure, filling in the resulting structure with color. By doubling the scenes depicted, and then suturing them again into a field of shifting colors that hint at living beings but do not show them, the image breaks not only with representation but also with formal satisfaction. Second and third heads sprout in the place where a nose might once have been, while the eyes have become flowers and the forehead a swamp. The drawing as a whole would seem to offer a disturbing image of stripped human features. But more properly, we might say that it succeeds in disturbing the presumed purpose of drawing. Rather than offering a satisfying composition in which relations between signifier and signified are roughly coherent, the meandering line and punctuating color work to disclose an underlying flux. Vision comes to feel nearly impossible; one cannot look at the drawing and see any one thing. Quite unlike either Hamoudi’s or Zeid’s paintings in Paris, both of which traced abstract entities with a heavy black line, Isma’il’s drawing uses line as a means to disperse appearances. His “arabesque” here manifests in a refusal of objects or their permanence.

Having now thoroughly tracked the range of Isma’il’s use of sinuous and unending line, it becomes possible, finally, to clarify the goal of resurrected unity that the Ba’th movement pursued at the same time, a unity that they located somewhere between the “purely” cultural and the “naturally” political. Beginning in the 1940s, the artist used the apparently autonomous energy of the dancing line to invoke the natural synthesis of Arab national feeling, in which form and identity are equivalent. It is not by accident that Isma’il’s turn to Arab vitality took place precisely as political conditions in Syria seemed to move in a conservative direction, under the consolidating power of the National Bloc, in the years 1946 to 1949. His opposing version of an ideal national artistry—one that is vivifying in its location in the unadulterated self—came to wield a contestatory power. But the radicalism of Isma’il’s arabesque did not lie merely in its capacity to undercut appearance. Rather, the liwāʾīyūn intellectuals conceived of the Arab totality as a perfect congruence between the imagined future and the communal past, which politicized their creative claims in the present and set the activated line to the task of revealing rhythmic, felt arrangements of refract-

ed, distributed color. Thus, Isma’il’s method renders the urban poverty of the present, along with other daily details, as mere historical anecdote. Interior outlines of things are made to dissolve their own exterior boundaries; façades become provisional. The red flashes on the painting’s surface communicate feeling, but they also serve as visual punctuation in the meandering line of a boundless arabesque—a conceptual total that may be sensed, but not seen. As an abstracting force, such painting remained antagonistic toward the visual illusions proposed by the parochial Syrian state, whether parliament assemblies or bourgeois neighborhood planning. Linking the experience of a dissolving picture plane to the active production of a restorative unity, and linking the consciousness of the audience to the “here” of an alternative Arab totality, Isma’il and the Ba’th movement located modern Arab painting in a future-oriented socialist Arabism. Their arabesque performed a fundamentally anti-mimetic demonstration of the cultural awareness that the Arab people already contained within themselves.

**EPILOGUE**

The dissonant qualities of Isma’il’s work did not achieve the radical ends they might have seemed to promise in this uncertain period of struggle for sovereignty. At the end of 1952, Isma’il received the first Syrian state fellowship to study in Italy at the Academy of Fine Arts in Rome, an opportunity secured by a bilateral agreement with an Italy eager to present itself as a cultural sponsor of Arab rebirth in a Mediterranean mold. The fact that Isma’il received the honor is itself an index of changing politics, for it was likely the result of special arrangements by the politician Akram al-Hawrani, a fiery advocate of property and agrarian reform who had managed to forge alliances with Colonel Shishakli as well as the Ba’th Party. Once Isma’il arrived in Rome, he studied fresco painting and developed a more schematic use of arabesque lines as a mode of graphic testimony to the political plight of the Arab people. The students who had witnessed the debut of The Porter did not forget its powerful call to a new integrity of inner national vision, however. On the occasion of a 2008
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retrospective of the Syrian modern art movement, as part of Damascus’s designation as the Arab Capital of Culture, the Syrian artist and critic Elias Zayyat composed a semi-fictional vignette about the 1951 salon that captures the mixture of self-effacement and visionary assertion in Isma‘il’s painting practice and articulates its political stakes. For Zayyat, The Porter announced the end of the era of a deadening European vision. Adopting the perspective of one of the Maydan students who would have attended the opening, he writes:

We four in the Maydan Secondary School who loved drawing followed our teacher Adham to the Damascus Museum to see the painting The Porter, which he had created especially for the Autumn Exhibition. Beside it, we saw our teachers’ paintings decorating Qaṣr al-Hayr, and were drowned in the light of color. And in the Museum’s garden stood a painter, of foreign appearance and language, who was drawing the ancient garden with the minarets of the Takiyya al-Salaymâniyya in paints of gray.165

In Zayyat’s staging of the momentous turn toward sovereignty enacted in 1951, it is Isma‘il who leads the way to terminating a long tradition of Orientalist “views” of Syrian antiquity. The tables have been turned against the stifling academic model, and it is the foreign visitor whose painting becomes colorless and drained.
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