UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Spinal administration of a δ opioid receptor agonist attenuates hyperalgesia and allodynia in a rat model of neuropathic pain

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/57t91928

Journal European Journal of Pain, 11(6)

ISSN 1090-3801

Authors

Holdridge, Sarah V Cahill, Catherine M

Publication Date

2007-08-01

DOI

10.1016/j.ejpain.2006.10.008

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



European Journal of Pain 11 (2007) 685-693



Spinal administration of a δ opioid receptor agonist attenuates hyperalgesia and allodynia in a rat model of neuropathic pain

Sarah V. Holdridge ^a, Catherine M. Cahill ^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont., Canada K7L 3N6 ^b Department of Anesthesiology, Botterell Hall, Room 516, Stuart Street, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont., Canada K7L 3N6

> Received 4 August 2006; received in revised form 14 September 2006; accepted 30 October 2006 Available online 18 December 2006

Abstract

Neuropathic (NP) pain is a debilitating chronic pain disorder considered by some to be inherently resistant to therapy with traditional analgesics. Indeed, μ opioid receptor (OR) agonists show reduced therapeutic benefit and their long term use is hindered by the high incidence of adverse effects. However, pharmacological and physiological evidence increasingly suggests a role for δ OR agonists in modulating NP pain symptoms. In this study, we examined the antihyperalgesic and antiallodynic effects of the spinally administered δ OR agonist, D-[Ala², Glu⁴]deltorphin II (deltorphin II), as well as the changes in δ OR expression, in rats following chronic constriction injury (CCI) of the sciatic nerve. Rats with CCI exhibited cold hyperalgesia and mechanical allodynia over a 14-day testing period. Intrathecal administration of deltorphin II reversed cold hyperalgesia on day 14 and dose-dependently attenuated mechanical allodynia. The effects of deltorphin II were mediated via activation of the δ OR as the effect was antagonized by cotreatment with the δ -selective antagonist, naltrindole. Western blotting experiments revealed no changes in δ OR protein in the dorsal spinal cord following CCI. Taken together, these data demonstrate the antihyperalgesic and antiallodynic effectiveness of a spinally administered δ OR agonist following peripheral nerve injury and support further investigation of δ ORs as potential therapeutic targets in the treatment of NP pain.

© 2006 European Federation of Chapters of the International Association for the Study of Pain. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Delta opioid receptor; Deltorphin; Neuropathic pain; Chronic constriction injury; Hyperalgesia; Allodynia

1. Introduction

Neuropathic (NP) pain is a debilitating chronic pain disorder involving a peripheral and/or central nervous system lesion. Characterized by the occurrence of allodynia (pain evoked by a normally innocuous stimulus), and hyperalgesia (increased sensitivity to noxious stimuli), NP pain is estimated to affect more than 2-3% of North Americans (Gilron et al., 2005). Yet despite its prevalence and adverse impact on functionality and quality of life, it remains a challenge for physicians to treat. The clinically available opioids, such as morphine, are agonists at the μ opioid receptor (OR), and although partially effective in alleviating symptoms of NP pain (Gilron et al., 2005), they elicit several adverse effects such as gastro-intestinal disturbances and sedation (Shook et al., 1987). In contrast, pre-clinical studies suggest that δ OR agonists are capable of producing analgesia with a lower incidence of adverse effects (Porreca et al., 1984; Mika et al., 2001). Indeed, administration of δ OR agonists produces minimal induction of dependence (Cowan et al., 1988) with lower abuse potential (Mika et al., 2001). Together with a lower propensity

doi:10.1016/j.ejpain.2006.10.008

^{*} Corresponding author. Address: Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont., Canada K7L 3N6. Tel.: +613 533 6162; fax: +613 533 6412.

E-mail address: cathy.cahill@queensu.ca (C.M. Cahill).

^{1090-3801/\$32 © 2006} European Federation of Chapters of the International Association for the Study of Pain. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

for respiratory (Kiritsy-Roy et al., 1989; May et al., 1989; Szeto et al., 1999), cognitive (Sharif and Hughes, 1989), and gastro-intestinal (Shook et al., 1987) impairments than their μ counterparts, agents which selectively activate the δOR represent a promising class of drugs for the treatment of chronic pain.

The analgesic effectiveness of selective δOR agonists has been demonstrated in numerous pharmacological studies of acute and persistent pain (Stewart and Hammond, 1994; Glaum et al., 1994; Fraser et al., 2000); however studies examining the role of δORs in NP pain states encouragingly support further determination of the effectiveness of selective δOR agonists in animal models of NP pain. Hence, administration of selective δOR agonists was shown to alleviate allodynia and/or hyperalgesia in various rat models of neuropathic pain induced by nerve injury following spinal administration (Nichols et al., 1995; Mika et al., 2001). Furthermore, δOR agonists elicited antihyperalgesic effects in rats with experimental diabetic neuropathy (Kamei et al., 1997; Chen and Pan, 2003). Nevertheless, there exist other studies that report the lack of effect of δOR agonists in attenuating neuropathic pain symptoms, wherein mechanical allodynia induced by spinal nerve ligation was unaltered by selective DOR agonists (Lee et al., 1995). Similarly, there are inconsistent reports on changes in δOR expression following nerve injury. While autoradiographic binding (Stevens et al., 1991; Besse et al., 1992) and immunohistochemical (Zhang et al., 1998; Stone et al., 2004) studies report no change or decreased δOR expression following nerve injury, Zaratin et al. (1998) observed an increase in δOR mRNA. However, a recent study utilizing genetically modified mice reported enhanced neuropathy-induced hypersensitivity in δOR knock-out mice compared to wild-type littermates (Nadal et al., 2006), suggesting a role of δORs in modulating neuropathic pain.

The antinociceptive potential of δOR agonists, together with a lower incidence of adverse effects than μOR agonists, makes the δOR an attractive target in the pharmacological treatment of chronic pain syndromes. However, little is known about the efficacy of δOR agonists in alleviating NP pain symptoms, nor about the functional changes in receptor expression, targeting, and pharmacology that may occur in NP pain states. It is therefore important to explore the functional role of the δOR following nerve injury. In the present study, we aimed to investigate the anti-hyperalgesic and anti-allodynic effectiveness of a spinally administered δOR agonist following sciatic nerve injury. Quantitative experiments aimed to determine protein expression were performed to assess nerve injuryinduced changes in δOR in the dorsal spinal cord. Some of the data have already been published in abstract form (Holdridge and Cahill, 2005).

2. Methods

2.1. Animals

Experiments were performed on adult male Sprague Dawley rats (225–250 g; Charles River, Que., Canada) housed in groups of two. Rats were maintained on a 12/12 h light/dark cycle and were given *ad libitum* access to food and water. Experiments were carried out during the light cycle according to protocols approved by the Queen's University Animal Care Committee and in accordance with guidelines set forth by the Canadian Council on Animal Care and the International Association for the Study of Pain Committee for Research and Ethical Issues.

2.2. Surgical procedures

2.2.1. Induction of chronic neuropathic pain

Chronic constriction injury (CCI) was accomplished by a slight modification of methods previously described by Mosconi and Kruger (1996). Rats were anaesthetized by isofluorane inhalation (induced at 51/min, maintained at 2 l/min) and their left hind legs and hips shaved clean of hair. A small incision was made in the left hind leg, distal to the hip, along its longitudinal axis. The underlying muscle was bluntly dissected to expose the sciatic nerve. The nerve was freed of connective tissue and a fixed diameter (2 mm) cuff assembled from polyethylene 90 tubing was placed around the nerve proximal to its bifurcation. The muscle and dermal wounds were closed with single non-continuous stitches using Monocryl 3-0 suture thread. The rats were given Tribrissen injectable (0.02 ml/kg) antibiotic and 5 ml lactated ringer sub-cutaneously. Furacin antibiotic was applied topically to the incision site. Rats were monitored until awakening from the anesthetic and then returned to their home cages. This model is a modified version of that described by Bennett and Xie (1988) in which 4 chromic gut ligatures are tied loosely around the sciatic nerve. The modified version has been employed in the current study as it produces nociceptive behaviours reminiscent of those reported clinically, such as thermal hyperalgesia and mechanical allodynia, with reportedly less variability as compared to its predecessor. Indeed, the use of a cuff of fixed diameter ensures a consistent constriction of the nerve and negates the possibility of variation in tightness of chromic gut ligatures between individual ligatures and between operators. Furthermore, the Mosconi & Kruger model has been used extensively in the mechanistic and pharmacological characterization of neuropathic pain (Fisher et al., 1998; Cahill and Coderre, 2002; Cahill et al., 2003; Coull et al., 2003; Coull et al., 2005). Sham-operated rats received identical surgeries without manipulation of the nerve and were used, along with naïve rats,

as behavioural controls. Post-surgical behavioural testing revealed no differences between sham-operated and naïve rats (p = 0.2262 for cold hyperalgesia; p = 0.1669for mechanical allodynia) and as such, data from the two groups were pooled to form the control group.

2.3. Behavioural testing

Separate groups of rats were used in each behavioural testing paradigm. All rats underwent pre-surgical behavioural testing in their respective paradigms, to establish baseline values to which post-surgical and post-drug values could be compared. Rats were then divided into three groups, those that underwent sciatic nerve constriction, those undergoing sham surgery, and naïve rats. Intrathecal (i.t.) administration of all drugs (30 µl volume) was accomplished by way of lumbar puncture between the L4 and L5 vertebrae under brief halothane anesthesia. Successful drug placement was confirmed by a vigorous tail flick upon injection. Anti-allodynic and anti-hyperalgesic effects of the selective δOR agonist D-[Ala², Glu⁴]deltorphin II (deltorphin II; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) were assessed at 20 min following injection, as preliminary experiments in our laboratory have revealed this time point to correspond with peak analgesic effect. To assess the antinociceptive stress response following the lumbar puncture procedure, saline vehicle was administered and the effects observed at 20 min post-injection. Moreover, the receptor selectivity of deltorphin II-mediated antinociceptive effects was assessed by co-administration of deltorphin II with the δ OR-selective antagonist, naltrindole (Sigma) in a 1:2 molar ratio (10 µg DELT: 11.52 µg NALT).

2.3.1. Noxious cold testing

Withdrawal latencies from noxious cold were assessed in CCI and age-matched control rats as previously described (Cahill and Coderre, 2002). An openended clear plexi-glass cylinder was placed end-up into a cold water bath, maintained at 1 °C, with a depth of 1 cm. Rats were placed into the bath and the latency to respond was measured. Neuropathic rats responded by elevating their injured paw out of contact with the water. A cut-off latency of 180 s was imposed to prevent tissue damage in the event that the rats did not respond. Rats were removed from the cold stimulus upon responding or reaching the cut-off latency.

2.3.2. Innocuous mechanical testing

Mechanical withdrawal responses to von Frey filament stimulation were assessed in CCI and control rats as previously described by Chaplan et al. (1994). Rats were placed under opaque Plexiglas® boxes positioned on a wire grid platform ($5 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm}$ mesh), through which von Frey filaments were applied to the plantar surface of the hind paw in an up-down fashion. In brief, filaments were applied in either ascending or descending force as necessary in order to most accurately determine the threshold of response. The intensity of stimuli ranged from 0.25 g to 15 g. From the resulting response patterns, the 50% response thresholds (g) were calculated. Paw withdrawal thresholds are expressed as 50% withdrawal thresholds or converted to % maximum possible effect (MPE) according to the following formula:

 $\% MPE = (post-drug \ latency - baseline)$ $\div (cut-off \ latency - baseline) \times 100$

2.4. Molecular studies

2.4.1. Western blotting

Neuropathic and naïve rats were sacrificed by decapitation under light halothane anesthesia and their spinal cords were quickly removed by spinal ejection. The lumbar spinal cord was isolated and cut longitudinally into dorsal and ventral segments. The dorsal segment was then hemisected into ipsi- and contralateral segments and homogenized with a Polytron in buffer A containing 50 mM Trisma base, pH 7.4 and 4 mM ethylenediammine-tetraacetic acid (EDTA) with protease inhibitors (Complete[™] Protease Inhibitor Tablets, Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Laval, Quebec, Canada; Phenylmethvlsulfonvl Fluoride, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The samples were centrifuged at 4 °C for 10 min at 1000 rpm (Beckmann). The supernatant was collected and centrifuged at 4 °C for 30 min at 50,000 rpm. The pellets were resuspended in buffer B containing 50 mM Trisma base, pH 7.4 and 0.2 mM EDTA with protease inhibitors by vortexing and sonication for 5 s.

Protein content was determined (Bradford, 1976) and samples were denatured using 6x Laemmli sample buffer (0.375 mM Trisma base, pH 6.8, 30% v/v glycerol, 12% v/v 2- β -mercaptoethanol, 12% w/v sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 0.2% w/v bromophenol blue) and then vortexed for 30 min at room temperature. Denatured samples were stored at -20 °C. Unused tissue samples were stored at -80 °C for denaturing at a later date.

Samples were loaded (45 µg protein) and resolved using 8% Tris–Glycine pre-cast gels (Novex, San Diego, CA, USA) and the proteins were electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (BioRad Laboratories, Richmond, CA, USA). A Biotinylated Protein Ladder (Cell Signalling Technology) and Kaleidoscope Prestained Standards (BioRad Laboratories, Richmond, CA, USA) were used to calibrate gel migration.

Nitrocellulose membranes were incubated for 1 h at room temperature (RT) with blocking solution (1% bovine albumin serum, 1% chicken ovalbumin in 26 mM Trisma buffered saline (TBS) containing 0.075% Tween 20) and then overnight with δOR

antibody (Chemicon, Temecular, CA, USA; lots 23040417, 24040710) at 0.13 µg/ml in blocking solution. An HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) diluted 1:4000 and an HRP-conjugated anti-biotin antibody (New England Biolabs) diluted 1:10,000 in 26 mM TBS containing 0.075% Tween 20 and 5% powdered milk, were used to visualize the bound primary antibodies and the biotinylated protein ladder, respectively. Secondary antibody incubation was carried out for 1 h at RT. The membranes were subsequently exposed to chemiluminescent reagents (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) and developed onto hyperfilm. All membranes were routinely stripped and re-probed for β -actin to normalize the immunoreactive band density for minor differences in protein loading. Blots were digitized with a Hewlett-Packard 4570c Scanjet Scanner and formatted using Adobe Photoshop version 7.0 (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA, USA). Scion Image software (NIH) was used to measure integrated densities of immunoreactive bands. A calibration curve was calculated using the distance traveled by the biotinylated protein ladder and the molecular weights of immunoreactive bands were then estimated by extrapolation. The specificity of the Chemicon δOR antisera was confirmed by pre-adsorption of the antisera with an appropriate antigenic peptide $(20 \ \mu g/ml \text{ of peptide for } 0.1 \ \mu g/ml \text{ antibody}).$

2.5. Statistical analysis

All behavioural data are expressed as means \pm standard error of mean (s.e.m.) and molecular data as means \pm standard deviation (s.d.). Statistical analyses for were performed using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey's multiple comparison test for post-hoc, or by unpaired *t*-test, as applicable. All graphs were generated and statistical analyses performed using GraphPad Prism software 3.01 (San Diego, CA, USA).

For gel electrophoresis experiments, immunoblots are representative of experiments performed on N = 3 per condition obtained from separate groups of animals. Additionally, each set of samples was run in duplicate and averaged to represent an N value of one. All behavioural data were performed on N = 6-7 per group.

3. Results

3.1. Behavioural observations

Neuropathic rats developed characteristic postural manifestations which were evident in the ipsilateral hind leg only. These rats displayed cupped hind paws, which they held in what appeared to be a protective manner, bearing more of their body weight on the contralateral side. Following surgery, CCI rats were not hindered in their ability to retrieve food and water or in their social interaction with cage mates. Sham-operated rats recovered quickly from surgery and displayed no postural manifestations. Furthermore, surgery rats displayed no obvious changes in weight gain or grooming behaviour compared to naive rats.

3.1.1. Anti-hyperalgesic effects of δOR agonist in cold testing

Fig. 1A illustrates the time course of cold-induced nociceptive responses in control and CCI rats. On days 7 and 14 following surgery, CCI rats displayed a significant decrease in the latency to withdraw the ipsilateral hind paw from a noxious cold stimulus, as compared pre-surgical baseline values $(F_{3,15} = 9.128)$, with p = 0.0011). This decrease in cold thermal latency was interpreted as hyperalgesia and was exhibited in the ipsilateral hind paw, but not the contralateral side. Control animals showed no change in withdrawal thresholds throughout the 2-week testing period. Acute i.t. administration of deltorphin II (10 µg) on day 14 following surgery produced significant increases in withdrawal latencies in both control ($F_{3,18} = 11.28$, p < 0.05) and CCI ($F_{3,15} = 9.128$, p < 0.01) rats as compared to predrug values on the same day. Deltorphin II reversed cold hyperalgesia in CCI rats, producing latencies that were not significantly different from baseline values. Furthermore, CCI post-drug latencies were not significantly different from pre-drug values of control rats on day 14, indicating a return to normal nociceptive levels in CCI rats. When post-drug latency was converted to a % value of the pre-drug latency, Deltorphin II was shown to have a significantly greater effect in CCI rats compared to controls (unpaired *t*-test, p = 0.0089; Fig. 1B).

3.1.2. Anti-allodynic effects of δOR agonist in mechanical testing

Prior to surgery, all rats were unresponsive up to the maximum tactile force of 15.0 g, indicating the innocuous nature of the stimulus. Following surgery, CCI rats displayed a significant decrease in mechanical withdrawal thresholds in the ipsilateral hind paw interpreted as the development of mechanical allodynia, with no change in withdrawal thresholds on the contralateral hind paw over time ($F_{13,52} = 6.117$; Fig. 2A). Control animals remained unresponsive to the von Frey filament-stimulation throughout the 2-week testing period (data not shown). Acute i.t. administration of vehicle had no effect on withdrawal thresholds in CCI rats (Fig. 2C). In contrast, acute i.t. administration of deltorphin II (3–30 µg) on day 14 post-CCI surgery produced a dose-dependent increase in mechanical withdrawal thresholds in CCI rats (Fig. 2B). Post-drug 50% withdrawal thresholds were significantly higher than pre-



Fig. 1. (A) Cold withdrawal latencies using the noxious cold water test were assessed in control and CCI rats. (B) Anti-hyperalgesic effects of i.t. administration of deltorphin II (10 µg) were assessed at 20 min following injection on day 14 post-surgery in control and CCI rats. Mean cold withdrawal latencies following drug administration were converted to % values of respective pre-drug day 14 latencies. All data represent means \pm s.e.m. for N = 6-7 per group. Data in panel A were analyzed by a one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's *post hoc* multiple comparison test. Data in panel B were analyzed by a two-tailed, unpaired *t*-test. The *asterisk* denotes significant difference from respective baseline value (in A) or from control value (in B); *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. The number sign denotes significant difference from respective pre-drug value on day 14; # P < 0.05. DELT: deltorphin II; B: baseline.

drug values at doses of 10 µg or more ($F_{5,31} = 15.21$, p < 0.05 for 10 µg, p < 0.01 for 15, p < 0.001 for 30 µg). Co-administration of deltorphin II with the δ OR-selective antagonist, naltrindole, produced no significant effect on withdrawal thresholds, demonstrating that deltorphin II was mediating its anti-allodynic effects via activation of the δ OR (Fig. 2C).

3.2. Effect of chronic constriction injury on δOR protein levels

To assess changes in δOR expression in the dorsal spinal cord, western blotting techniques were used to quantify total protein in membranes prepared from lumbar spinal cord segments of naïve and day 14 CCI rats.



Fig. 2. (A) Mechanical withdrawal responses were assessed using calibrated von Frey filaments in CCI rats (N = 7). (B) Effects of i.t. deltorphin II were assessed on day 14 post-surgery in CCI rats (3, 10, 15. 30 µg; N = 4-9 per dose). Data were transformed to % maximum possible effect (MPE). (C) The effects of vehicle (saline) and coadministration of deltorphin II and the selective δOR antagonist naltrindole (in a 1:2 DELT:NALT molar ratio; 10 µg DELT, 11.52 µg NALT) on mechanical withdrawal thresholds are presented. Mean 50% withdrawal thresholds were converted to % MPEs and compared to that of i.t. deltorphin II (30 $\mu g)$ alone. Data represent means \pm s.e.m. Statistical analyses were performed by a one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's post-hoc multiple comparison test. The asterisk denotes significant difference from baseline value at day 0 (in A) or from deltorphin II (10 μ g; in C); **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01. Ipsi: ipsilateral; contra: contralateral; B: baseline; Pre: pre-drug; DELT: deltorphin II; NALT: naltrindole.

Immunoreactive bands were observed at estimated molecular weights of 52 and 75 kDa, consistent with earlier reports using the same antisera (Cahill et al., 2001). Immunospecificity was confirmed by the absence of immunoreactive bands when the membrane was preincubated with antigenic peptide prior to antibody (data not shown). Following quantification of δ OR-immunoreactive bands, membranes were stripped and re-probed for β -actin, a housekeeping protein. To normalize differences in protein-loading, all data were expressed as δ OR/ β -actin ratios. No significant change in the



Fig. 3. (A) Identification of the δOR protein by western blotting. Membranes from dorsal spinal cord tissues of control and CCI rats were isolated and proteins resolved using 8% Tris–Glycine gels followed by electroblotting onto nitrocellulose membranes. Immunoblot analysis reveals two specific immunoreactive bands with estimated molecular weights of approximately 52 and 75 kDa. Membranes were subsequently stripped and reprobed for β -actin housekeeping protein. (B) Integrated density values of immunoreactive bands were converted to ratios of δOR to β -actin and are expressed as means \pm s.d. for N = 3per condition. One-way ANOVA analyses revealed no significant difference in δOR immunoreactive band densities between CCI ipsilateral, CCI contralateral, and control. C: control; CCIi: ipsilateral; CCIc: contralateral.

integrated density ratios of either molecular weight band was observed at day 14 following nerve injury in the ipsilateral or contralateral spinal cord when compared to control ($F_{2,6} = 2.497$ for 52 kDa; $F_{2,6} = 2.340$ for 75 kDa; Fig. 3B).

4. Discussion

The present study reveals promising evidence for the use of δOR -selective agonists in alleviating pain symptoms associated with peripheral nerve injury. The current behavioural experiments involving a noxious cold stimulus revealed that acute spinal administration of deltorphin II produced marked anti-hyperalgesic actions in CCI rats. Indeed, following surgery, CCI rats displayed significant reductions in withdrawal latencies in response to noxious cold water on days 7 and 14 while control rats showed no changes in latencies throughout the testing period. Intrathecal administration of the selective δOR agonist deltorphin II returned withdrawal latencies in CCI rats to presurgical levels. These results complement previous observations that i.t. deltorphin II dose-dependently prolonged response latencies in the cold-water allodynia and the cold-water tail flick tests in rats following sciatic nerve crush (Mika et al.,

2001). Delta OR agonist-mediated anti-hyperalgesic effects have also been observed supraspinally where microiniection of [D-Pen², D-Pen⁵]-enkephalin (DPDPE) into the periaqueductal grey matter reversed cold allodynia following tight ligation of the tibial and sural nerves (Sohn et al., 2000). These findings contrast studies reporting that nerve injury resulted in a loss in potency of morphine (Kamei et al., 1992; Ossipov et al., 1995) and fentanyl (Zurek et al., 2001) following either systemic or spinal administration. Moreover, our data reveal a significantly enhanced effect of deltorphin II in CCI rats compared to controls. Granted, our experiments assessed the antinociceptive effects at only one dose, however the observation is not unique to our study. Kamei and colleagues (1995, 1997) reported enhanced δOR -mediated analgesia in rats with experimental diabetic neuropathy as compared to control rats. While no changes in δOR protein were observed in the spinal cord following CCI, a recent study from our laboratory reported a bilateral increase in δOR protein in the DRGs of CCI rats compared to controls (Kabli and Cahill, in press). Deltorphin delivered intrathecally may diffuse toward and act at the DRG, which may in part explain the enhanced effects of spinally administered deltorphin following CCI. Furthermore, enhanced δOR agonist effects have also been observed in other chronic pain models such as inflammation induced by complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA; Fraser et al., 2000; Hurley and Hammond, 2000; Cahill et al., 2003; Morinville et al., 2004) or by carrageenan (Hylden et al., 1991). Despite different induction methods, similar mechanisms may underlie the chronicity of both neuropathic and inflammatory pains including nociceptor activation-dependent changes (Dubner and Ruda, 1992; Woolf and Mannion, 1999; Woolf and Salter, 2000; Bridges et al., 2001), a mechanism also proposed to induce δOR membrane-targeting and subsequently enhance δOR agonist function (Gendron et al., 2006).

The anti-allodynic effects of deltorphin II were similarly assessed following nerve injury. Following surgery, CCI rats displayed significant decreases in mechanical withdrawal thresholds, indicating a hypersensitivity to innocuous light touch. The allodynic behaviour was observed in the ipsilateral hind paw only, and was absent in control animals. Spinal administration of deltorphin II dose-dependently reversed allodynia in a naltrindole-sensitive manner. Others similarly report a reversal of nerve injury-induced tactile allodynia following spinal (Nichols et al., 1995) and supraspinal (Sohn et al., 2000) administration of deltorphin II and DPDPE, respectively. Furthermore, Desmeules et al. (1993) observed a significant antinociceptive effect of the δOR -selective agonist Tyr-D-Ser(O-t-butyl)-Gly-Phe-Leu-Thr (BUBU) in the paw pressure test in CCI rats. Intravenously administered BUBU produced antiallodynic and analgesic effects, as exhibited by a return

to and increase from presurgical baseline vocalization thresholds. An additional interesting study employed the i.t. transplantation of immortalized rat astrocytic cells genetically modified by human preproenkephalin gene (An et al., 2005). Spinal injection of these cells on day 7 following spared nerve injury alleviated tactile allodynia as compared with control rats that received unmodified cells, and these effects persisted for 5 weeks. Moreover, several lines of evidence suggest a role for endogenous δ opioid peptides in modulating NP pain symptoms. Following ischemic spinal cord injury, most rats displayed tactile allodynia that was reversed with i.t. DPDPE (Hao et al., 1998a). Interesting, those rats that did not display allodynia spontaneously, exhibited such behaviour upon administration of naltrindole, while this antagonist had no effect in naïve animals (Hao et al., 1998b). This suggests that following nerve injury, nociceptive levels may be under tonic inhibition via δOR activation by endogenous peptides. Furthermore, δOR knock-out mice displayed enhanced allodynic behaviour following partial sciatic nerve ligation, compared to wild type littermates (Nadal et al., 2006). Contrarily, Lee et al. (1995) reported no alleviation of neuropathic allodynia following i.t. or intracerebroventricular administration of DPDPE. The basis for these conflicting data is unclear at present. Nevertheless, δOR agonists have also been shown to reverse allodynia induced by spinal administration of dynorphinA where $(+)-4-[(\alpha R)-\alpha-((2S,5R)-4-Allyl-2,5-dimethyl-1-piperai$ nyl)-3-metholxybenzyl]-N,N-diethylbenzamide (SNC80) dose-dependently alleviated tactile allodynia (Kawaraguchi et al., 2004).

Numerous studies suggest that µOR agonists, like morphine, show decreased analgesic potency in NP states (Bian et al., 1999; Ossipov et al., 1995; Idänpään-Heikkila and Guilbaud, 1999; Kim et al., 2003; Rashid et al., 2004). One proposed mechanism for the loss of opioid analgesia is the nerve injury-induced reduction in µOR expression in the dorsal spinal cord (Stevens et al., 1991; Porreca et al., 1998; Kohno et al., 2005). Since the current behavioural experiments revealed no such loss in δOR -mediated effects, we aimed to investigate changes in δOR expression that may occur in response to nerve injury. Previous studies on the topic are inconclusive. While some groups report a decrease in δOR immunoreactivity (Stone et al., 2004) or binding (Stevens et al., 1991) following nerve injury, others report no change (Besse et al., 1992) or increased &OR protein (Kabli and Cahill, in press) and mRNA (Zaratin et al., 1998). In the current study, spinal δOR protein levels in naïve and CCI rats were assessed by western blotting techniques. The antisera utilized for δOR immunodetection recognized an N-terminal sequence of the protein and revealed two specific immunoreactive bands at estimated molecular weights of 52 and 75 kDa. This was consistent with earlier reports using the same antibody (Cahill et al., 2001), the specificity of which was confirmed as preadsorption of the antisera with an antigenic peptide abolished both immunoreactive bands. Different post-translational modifications of the δ OR such as homo- and heterodimerization (Cvejic and Devi, 1997; Jordan and Devi, 1999; George et al., 2000) and glycosylations (Belcheva et al., 1996; Miller, 1998) may account for δ OR variants detected at the protein level. The current protein analyses revealed no changes in δ OR protein expression in the dorsal spinal cord following CCI. Notwithstanding the minimal effects on δ OR expression, nerve injury may induce changes in receptor function and future studies will investigate this possibility.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that spinal administration of deltorphin II effectively attenuates nerve injury-induced cold hyperalgesia and mechanical allodynia in the chronic constriction injury model while western blotting experiments revealed no alterations in δ OR protein biosynthesis. These data reveal a clinically relevant capacity of δ OR agonists to suppress hyper-excitable nociceptive transmission to normal physiological levels and suggest an important role of δ ORs in modulating pain symptoms associated with nerve injury, supporting further exploration of δ ORs as novel therapeutic targets in the treatment of NP pain.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by grant MOP121915 from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) to CMC, the Canada Research Chairs Program and by grants from the J.P. Bickell Foundation and the Ontario Innovation Trust/ Canadian Foundation for Innovation.

References

- An K, Tian YK, Yan H, Wang XY, Hin XG, Gao F, Xu Y, Tian XB, Wang P. Analgesic effect of intrathecal transplant of immortalized rat astrocyte strain genetically modified by human preproenkephalin gene on rat chronic neuropathic pain. Chin Med J 2005;85(38):2711–4.
- Belcheva MM, Ignatova EG, Young EC, Coscia CJ. Agonist-induced desensitization and down-regulation of delta opioid receptors alter the levels of their 125I-beta-endorphin cross-linked products in subcellular fractions from NG108-15 cells. Biochemistry 1996;35:14818–24.
- Bennett GJ, Xie YK. A peripheral mononeuropathy in rat that produces disorders of pain sensation like those seen in man. Pain 1988;33:87–107.
- Besse D, Lombard MC, Perrot S, Besson JM. Regulation of opioid binding sites in the superficial dorsal horn of the rat spinal cord following loose ligation of the sciatic nerve: comparison with sciatic nerve section and lumbar dorsal rhizotomy. Neuroscience 1992;50:921–33.
- Bian D, Ossipov MH, Ibrahim M, Raffa RB, Tallarida RJ, Malan Jr TP, et al. Loss of antiallodynic and antinociceptive spinal/supraspinal

morphine synergy in nerve-injured rats: restoration by MK-801 or dynorphin antiserum. Brain Res 1999;831(1-2):55–63.

- Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of proteindye binding. Anal Biochem 1976;72:248–54.
- Bridges D, Thompson SWN, Rice ASC. Mechanisms of neuropathic pain. Br J Anaesth 2001;87(1):12–26.
- Cahill CM, Coderre TJ. Attenuation of hyperalgesia in a rat model of neuropathic pain after intrathecal pre- or post-treatment with a neurokinin-1 antagonist. Pain 2002;95:277–85.
- Cahill CM, McClellan KA, Morinville A, Hoffert C, Hubatsch D, O'Donnell D, et al. Immunohistochemical distribution of delta opioid receptors in the rat central nervous system: evidence for somatodendritic labeling and antigen-specific cellular compartmentalization. J Comp Neurol 2001;440:65–84.
- Cahill CM, Dray A, Coderre TJ. Intrathecal nerve growth factor restores opioid effectiveness in an animal model of neuropathic pain. Neuropharmacology 2003;45:543–52.
- Cahill CM, Morinville A, Hoffert C, O'Donnell D, Beaudet A. Upregulation and trafficking of delta opioid receptor in a model of chronic inflammation: implications for pain control. Pain 2003;101: 199–208.
- Chaplan SR, Bach FW, Pogrel JW, Chung JM, Yaksh TL. Quantitative assessment of tactile allodynia in the rat paw. J Neurosci Methods 1994;53:55–63.
- Chen S-R, Pan H-L. Spinal nitric oxide contributes to the analgesic effect of intrathecal [D-Pen2,D-Pen5]-enkephalin in normal and diabetic rats. Anesthesiology 2003;98(1):217–22.
- Coull JAM, Boudreau D, Bachand K, Prescott SA, Nault F, Sik A, et al. Trans-synaptic shift in anion gradient in spinal lamina I neurons as a mechanism of neuropathic pain. Nature 2003: 92438–942.
- Coull JAM, Beggs S, Boudreau D, Boivin D, Tsuda M, Inoue K, et al. BDNF from microglia causes the shift in neuronal anion gradient underlying neuropathic pain. Nature 2005;438:1017–21.
- Cowan A, Zhu XZ, Mosberg HI, Omnaas JR, Porreca F. Direct dependence studies in rats with agents selective for different types of opioid receptor. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1988;246:950–5.
- Cvejic S, Devi LA. Dimerization of the delta opioid receptor: implication for a role in receptor internalization. J Biol Chem 1997;272:26959–64.
- Desmeules JA, Kayser V, Guilbaud G. Selective opioid receptor agonists modulate mechanical allodynia in an animal model of neuropathic pain. Pain 1993;53(3):277–85.
- Dubner R, Ruda MA. Activity-dependent neuronal plasticity following tissue injury and inflammation. Trends Neurosci 1992;15:96–103.
- Fraser GL, Gaudreau G-A, Clarke PBS, Ménard DP, Perkins MN. Antihyperalgesic effects of delta opioid agonists in a rat model of chronic inflammation. Br J Pharmacol 2000;129:1668–72.
- Fisher K, Fundytus ME, Cahill CM, Coderre TJ. Intrathecal administration of the mGluR compound, (S)-4CPG, attenuates hyperalgesia and allodynia associated with sciatic nerve constriction injury in rats. Pain 1998;77:59–66.
- Gendron L, Lucido AL, Mennicken F, O'Donnell D, Vincent J-P, Stroh T, et al. Morphine and pain-related stimuli enhance cell surface availability of somatic delta-opioid receptors in rat dorsal root ganglia. J Neurosci 2006;26(3):953–63.
- George SR, Fan T, Xie Z, Tse R, Tam V, Varghese G, et al. Oligomerization of mu- and delta-opioid receptors. Generation of novel functional properties. J Biol Chem 2000;275: 26128–35.
- Gilron I, Bailey JM, Tu D, Holden RR, Weaver DF, Houlden RL. Morphine, gabapentin, or their combination for neuropathic pain. N Engl J Med 2005;352:1324–34.
- Glaum SR, Miller RJ, Hammond DL. Inhibitory actions of delta 1-, delta 2-, and mu-opioid receptor agonists on excitatory trans-

mission in lamina II neurons of adult rat spinal cord. J Neurosci 1994;14:4965-71.

- Hao JX, Yu W, Xu XJ. Evidence that spinal endogenous opioidergic systems control the expression of chronic pain-related behaviours in spinally injured rats. Exp Brain Res 1998a;118(2):259–68.
- Hao JX, Yu W, Wiesenfeld-Hallin Z, Xu XJ. Treatment of chronic allodynia in spinally injured rats: effects of intrathecal selective opioid receptor agonists. Pain 1998b;75(2-3):209–17.
- Holdridge SV, Cahill CM. The central role of the δ opioid receptor in neuropathic allodynia. Soc Neurosci Abstr 2005;293:11.
- Hurley RW, Hammond DL. The analgesic effects of supraspinal mu and delta opioid receptor agonists are potentiated during persistent inflammation. J Neurosci 2000;20:1249–59.
- Hylden JL, Thomas DA, Iadorola MJ, Nahin RL, Dubner R. Spinal opioid analgesic effects are enhanced in a model of unilateral inflammation/hyperalgesia: possible involvement of noradrenergic mechanisms. Eur J Pharmacol 1991;194(2-3):135–43.
- Idänpään-Heikkila JJ, Guilbaud G. Pharmacological studies on a rat model of trigeminal neuropathic pain: Baclofen, but not carbamazepine, morphine or tricyclic antidepressants, attenuates the allodynia-like behaviour. Pain 1999;79:281–90.
- Jordan B, Devi LA. G-protein-coupled receptor heterodimerization modulates receptor function. Nature 1999;399:697–700.
- Kabli N, Cahill CM. Anti-allodynic effects of peripheral delta opioid receptors in neuropathic pain. Pain; in press [Epub ahead of print].
- Kamei J, Kawai K, Mizusuna A, Saitoh A, Morita K, Narita M, et al. Supraspinal delta 1-opioid receptor-mediated antinociceptive properties of (-)-TAN-67 in diabetic mice. Eur J Pharmacol 1997;322(1):27–30.
- Kamei J, Ohhashi Y, Aoki T, Kawasima N, Kasuya Y. Streptozotocin-induced diabetes selectively alters the potency of analgesia produced by mu-opioid agonists, but not by delta- and kappaopioid agonists. Brain Res 1992;571(2):199–203.
- Kamei J, Saitoh A, Ohsawa M, Suzuki T, Misawa M, Nagase H, et al. Antinociceptive effects of the selective non-peptidic delta-opioid receptor agonist TAN-67 in diabetic mice. Eur J Pharmacol 1995;276(1-2):131–5.
- Kawaraguchi Y, Kawaguchi M, Takahashi M, Horiuchi T, Sakamoto T, Furuya H. Delta-opioid agonist SNC80 can attenuate the development of dynorphin A-induced tactile allodynia in rats. Anesthesiology 2004;101(2):546–9.
- Kim J, Jung J-I, Na HS, Hong SK, Yoon YW. Effects of morphine on mechanical allodynia in a rat model of central neuropathic pain. Neuroreport 2003;14:1017–20.
- Kiritsy-Roy JA, Marson L, Van Loon GR. Sympathoadrenal, cardiovascular and blood gas responses to highly selective mu and delta opioid peptides. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1989;251: 1096–103.
- Kohno T, Ji RR, Ito N, Allchorne AJ, Befort K, Karchewski LA, et al. Peripheral axonal injury results in reduced mu opioid receptor pre- and post-synaptic action in the spinal cord. Pain 2005;117(1-2):77–87.
- Lee YW, Chaplan SR, Yaksh TL. Systemic and supraspinal, but not spinal, opiates suppress allodynia in a rat neuropathic pain model. Neurosci Lett 1995;199:111–4.
- May CN, Dashwood MR, Whitehead CJ, Mathias CJ. Differential cardiovascular and respiratory responses to central administration of selective opioid agonists in conscious rabbits: correlation with receptor distribution. Br J Pharmacol 1989;98:903–13.
- Mika J, Przewlocki R, Przewlocka B. The role of delta-opioid receptor subtypes in neuropathic pain. Eur J Pharmacol 2001;415:31–7.
- Miller BC. Western blot analysis of the delta (delta)-opioid receptor in activated murine T cells. Adv Exp Med Biol 1998;437:159–67.
- Morinville A, Cahill CM, Kieffer B, Collier B, Beaudet A. Mu-opioid receptor knockout prevents changes in delta-opioid receptor trafficking induced by chronic inflammatory pain. Pain 2004;109: 266–73.

- Mosconi T, Kruger L. Fixed-diameter polyethylene cuffs applied to the rat sciatic nerve induce a painful neuropathy: Ultrastructural morphometric analysis of axonal alterations. Pain 1996;64:37–57.
- Nadal X, Baños J-E, Kieffer BL, Maldonado R. Neuropathic pain is enhanced in δ-opioid receptor knockout mice. Eur J Neurosci 2006;23:830–4.
- Nichols ML, Bian D, Ossipov MH, Lai J, Porreca F. Regulation of morphine antiallodynic efficacy by cholecystokinin in a model of neuropathic pain in rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1995;275:1339–45.
- Ossipov MH, Lopez Y, Nichols ML, Bian D, Porreca F. Inhibition by spinal morphine of the tail-flick response is attenuated in rats with nerve ligation injury. Neurosci Lett 1995;199:83–6.
- Porreca F, Mosberg HI, Hurst R, Hruby VJ, Burks TF. Roles of mu, delta and kappa opioid receptors in spinal and supraspinal mediation of gastrointestinal transit effects and hot-plate analgesia in the mouse. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1984;230:341–8.
- Porreca F, Tang QB, Bian D, Riedl M, Elde R, Lai J. Spinal opioid mu receptor expression in lumbar spinal cord of rats following nerve injury. Brain Res 1998;795(1–2):197–203.
- Rashid MH, Inoue M, Toda K, Uedo H. Loss of peripheral morphine analgesia contributes to the reduced effectiveness of systemic morphine in neuropathic pain. J Pharmacol Exp Therapeut 2004;309:380–7.
- Sharif NA, Hughes J. Discrete mapping of brain Mu and delta opioid receptors using selective peptides: quantitative autoradiography, species differences and comparison with kappa receptors. Peptides 1989;10:499–522.
- Shook JE, Pelton JT, Hruby VJ, Burks TF. Peptide opioid antagonist separates peripheral and central opioid antitransit effects. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1987;243:492–500.
- Sohn J-H, Lee BH, Park SH, Ryu J-W, Kim B-O, Park YG. Microinjection of opiates into the periaqueductal gray matter

attenuates neuropathic pain symptoms in rats. Neuroreport 2000;11(7):1413-6.

- Stevens CW, Lacey CB, Miller KE, Elde RP, Seybold VS. Biochemical characterization and regional quantification of mu, delta and kappa opioid binding sites in rat spinal cord. Brain Res 1991;550:77–85.
- Stewart PE, Hammond DL. Activation of spinal delta-1 or delta-2 opioid receptors reduces carrageenan-induced hyperalgesia in the rat. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1994;268:701–8.
- Stone LS, Vulchanova L, Riedl MS, Williams FG, Wilcox GL, Elde R. Effects of peripheral nerve injury on delta opioid receptor (DOR) immunoreactivity in the rat spinal cord. Neurosci Lett 2004;361: 208–11.
- Szeto HH, Soong Y, Wu D, Olariu N, Kett A, Kim H, et al. Respiratory depression after intravenous administration of deltaselective opioid peptide analogs. Peptides 1999;20:101–5.
- Woolf CJ, Mannion RJ. Neuropathic pain: aetiology, symptoms, mechanisms, and management. Lancet 1999;353:1959–64.
- Woolf CJ, Salter MW. Neuronal plasticity: increasing the gain in pain. Science 2000;288:1765–8.
- Zaratin P, Colombo A, Scheildeler MA, Davey PT, Ravel P, Parsons AA, et al. Determination of δ-opioid receptor mRNA tissue expression in an animal model of neuropathic pain. Soc Neurosci Abstr 1998;538:9.
- Zhang X, Bao L, Arvidsson U, Elde R, Hokfelt T. Localization and regulation of the delta-opioid receptor in dorsal root ganglia and spinal cord of the rat and monkey: evidence for association with the membrane of large dense-core vesicles. Neurosci 1998;82: 1225–42.
- Zurek JR, Nadeson R, Goodchild CS. Spinal and supraspinal components of opioid antinociception in streptozotocin induced diabetic neuropathy in rats. Pain 2001;90(1–2):57–63.