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Reducing traffic congestion is often proposed as a solution for improving fuel efficiency and reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Traffic congestion has traditionally been addressed by adding additional roadway capacity via constructing entirely new roadways, adding additional lanes to existing roadways, or upgrading existing highways to controlled-access freeways. Numerous studies have examined the effectiveness of this approach and consistently show that adding capacity to roadways fails to alleviate congestion for long because it actually increases vehicle miles traveled (VMT).

An increase in VMT attributable to increases in roadway capacity where congestion is present is called “induced travel”. The basic economic principles of supply and demand explain this phenomenon: adding capacity decreases travel time, in effect lowering the “price” of driving; and when prices go down, the quantity of driving goes up.

Increased roadway capacity induces additional VMT in the short-run and even more VMT in the long-run. A capacity expansion of 10% is likely to increase VMT by 3% to 6% in the short-run and 6% to 10% in the long-run. Increased capacity can lead to increased VMT in the short-run in several ways: if people shift from other modes to driving, if drivers make longer trips (by choosing longer routes and/or more distant destinations), or if drivers make more frequent trips. Longer-term effects may also occur if households and businesses move to more distant locations or if development patterns become more dispersed in response to the capacity increase. One study concludes that the full impact of capacity expansion on VMT materializes within five years and another concludes that the full effect takes as long as 10 years.

Capacity expansion leads to a net increase in VMT, not simply a shifting of VMT from one road to another. Some argue that increased capacity does not generate new VMT but rather that drivers simply shift from slower and more congested roads to the new or newly expanded roadway. Evidence does not support this argument. One study found “no conclusive evidence that increases in state highway lane-miles have affected traffic on other roads” while a more recent study concluded that “increasing lane kilometers for one type of road diverts little traffic from other types of roads”.

Increases in GHG emissions attributable to capacity expansion are substantial. One study predicted that the growth in VMT attributable to increased lane miles would produce an additional 43 million metric tons of CO₂ emissions in 2012 nationwide.
**Capacity expansion does not increase employment or other economic activity.** Economic development and job creation are often cited as compelling reasons for expanding the capacity of roadways. However, most studies of the impact of capacity expansion on development in a metropolitan region find no net increase in employment or other economic activity, though investments do influence where within a region development occurs.11,12

Conversely, reductions in roadway capacity tend to produce social and economic benefits without worsening traffic congestion. The removal of elevated freeway segments in San Francisco coupled with improvements to the at-grade Embarcadero and Octavia Boulevards has sparked an on-going revitalization of the surrounding areas while producing a significant drop in traffic.13 Many cities in Europe have adopted the strategy of closing streets in the central business district to vehicle traffic as an approach to economic revitalization,14 and this strategy is increasingly being adopted in cities the U.S., from New York City to San Francisco.

**Further Reading**

This policy brief is drawn from the “Impact of Highway Capacity and Induced Travel on Passenger Vehicle Use and Greenhouse Gas Emissions” policy brief and technical background memo prepared for the California Air Resources Board (CARB) by Susan Handy (University of California, Davis) and Marlon Boarnet (University of Southern California), which can be found on CARB’s website along with briefs and memos on 22 other land use and transportation strategies that impact vehicle use and GHG emissions. Website link: [http://arb.ca.gov/cc/sb375/policies/policies.htm](http://arb.ca.gov/cc/sb375/policies/policies.htm)
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