

UC Davis

Reports for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Title

Refinement of the HCUP Quality Indicators: List of figures and tables

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/59p9g2q9>

Authors

Davies, Sheryl M

Geppert, Jeffrey

McDonald, Kathryn M. McDonald M.

et al.

Publication Date

2001-03-01

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Executive summary

Figure 1S. Flow chart of the identification of recommended indicators	10
Table 1S. Provider indicator list	15
Table 2S. Area indicator list	18

Body of report

Figure 1. Abbreviated diagram of literature review	31
Figure 2. Example search strings	50
Figure 3. Example search	51
Flow Diagram 1. Literature review strategy	54
Table 1. Precision Tests	70
Table 2. Bias Tests	71
Table 3. Construct Validity Tests	72
Table 4. List of HCUP I indicators, and inclusion status in HCUP II.	75
Table 5. List of other indicators, tested but not recommended due to low precision	75
Table 6. Provider indicator list	77
Table 7. Area indicator list	79
Table 8. Example clinical groupings	93
Table 9. Summary evidence table for volume indicators	95
Table 10. Summary evidence table for provider level utilization indicators	101
Table 11. Summary evidence table for area-level utilization indicators.	106
Table 12. Summary evidence table for area-level ACSC indicators.	111
Table 13. Summary evidence table for mortality indicators	129
Table 14. Thresholds for indicators	147
Table 15. Volume distribution by year	147
Table 16. Percentage of procedures and volume	149
Table 17. Year to year persistence of high volume status	150
Table 18. Correlations between volume and mortality indicators	151
Table 19. Precision – Provider Indicators	152
Table 20. Precision – Area Indicators	153
Table 21. Minimum Bias – Provider Indicators	157
Table 22. Minimum Bias – Area Indicators	158
Table 23. Summary of Factor Analysis Results, Provider-level indicators	163
Table 24. Summary of Factor Analysis Results, Area-level ACSC indicators	165
Table 25. Summary of Factor Analysis Results, Area-level utilization indicators	165
Table 26. Summary of Telephone Contacts August 1999 through March 2001	168
Table 27. Risk adjustment statistics from the literature	175

EVIDENCE REPORT

Comprehensive technical report of the project

