

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

### Title

HIGH CURRENT ION SOURCE

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5b70r5km>

### Authors

Brown, I.G.

Calvin, J.E.

MacGill, R.A.

### Publication Date

1985-03-01



# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED  
LAWRENCE  
BERKELEY LABORATORY

## Accelerator & Fusion Research Division

APR 25 1985

LIBRARY AND  
DOCUMENTS SECTION


Submitted to Applied Physics Letters

HIGH CURRENT ION SOURCE

I.G. Brown, J.E. Galvin and R.A. MacGill

March 1985

**TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY**  
*This is a Library Circulating Copy  
which may be borrowed for two weeks.*



LBL-19303  
c2

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

High Current Ion Source\*

Ian G. Brown, James E. Galvin and Robert A. MacGill

University of California  
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory  
Berkeley, CA 94720

March 1985

ABSTRACT:

We describe a new kind of ion source using a metal vapor vacuum arc as the plasma formation mechanism. The source is simple and reliable and can produce intense ion beams from any solid electrically conducting material. Using a range of materials from lithium to uranium we've extracted low divergence beams with ion current up to 1 Ampere.

\*This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Nuclear Science Division, US Department of Energy, under Contract number DE-AC03-76SF00098.

The production of intense ion beams is a field of great interest to a diverse and growing community. Impressive advances have been made in the technology of production of high current beams of hydrogen and deuterium, in neutralized form as required for the controlled fusion program (1-3), and of other gaseous ions (1,4). High current diode sources have also been used to produce very high current ion beams of sub-microsecond duration for the heavy ion fusion program (5-7). Similar progress has not been seen, however, in the production of long pulse or dc ion beams from solids. Conventionally these sources make use of surface ionization (8,9), evaporation (8,10-12) or sputtering (8,13-14) of the solid material into the gaseous/plasma state, and the beam intensity is inherently limited. Currents as high as several tens of milliamperes of metal ions have been produced (8,13).

We have developed a source for the production of high current beams of ions from solid materials in which a metal vapor vacuum arc is used as the plasma medium from which ions are extracted. This constitutes a new kind of ion source, from which ion beams can be extracted with currents which are in some cases over two orders of magnitude greater than hitherto possible.

The vacuum arc discharge is a plasma phenomenon that has been well investigated for many years (15,16). This field of research has largely been the domain of the high current switching community. We've drawn upon this work, and most especially from the work of Gilmour and Lockwood (17) who have described a metal vapor arc configuration which is particularly well suited to the requirements of an ion source. We have called our source the MEVVA ion source - MEtal Vapor Vacuum Arc. In the MEVVA source an arc is established in vacuum between a cathode and an anode. Typically the arc current is a few hundred Amperes and the arc drop is 15 - 100 Volts. A basic characteristic of this kind of discharge is the formation of 'cathode spots' - minute regions of intense current concentration (thought to be well in excess of  $10^6$  Amps/cm<sup>2</sup>) - on the cathode surface, at which cathode material is vaporized and ionized. The assemblage of cathode spots thus gives rise to the formation of a dense plasma of cathode material. This quasi-neutral plasma plumes away from the cathode toward the anode, thereby allowing the arc current to flow and the phenomenon to persist. A

central hole located in the anode allows a portion of the metal vapor arc plasma to plume through the hole and into the field-free region beyond. A system of multi-aperture extraction grids in the usual accel-decel configuration (18,19) is used to extract the ion component from the plasma plume, thus forming an intense ion beam of cathode material. The addition of a small magnetic field produced by a coil in the anode plane helps to duct a large fraction of the arc plasma through the anode hole, and provides additional control over the plasma density at the extractor; this is useful for optimising the extraction for minimum beam emittance. It's possible also that the favorable field curvature in the arc region helps to suppress the growth of plasma macroturbulence (20,21) and so results in a quieter extracted beam. We have constructed several MEVVA ion sources, and one source with which we've conducted much of our research is outlined in Figure 1.

For our developmental tests we've operated the source in a pulsed mode. A 5-section, 300 microsecond, 0.5 Ohm pulse line is connected anode-to-cathode and the discharge is triggered by applying a 10 kV spark between a trigger electrode and the cathode; the trigger electrode is located coaxially within the cathode and insulated from it by an alumina sheath. The arc circuit and the first extractor grid are floated to the desired extractor voltage, typically about 20 kV for our work to date. The intermediate extractor grid is for electron suppression and is held at about -1 kV. The outermost grid is at ground potential. Each grid is an array of around 100 small apertures, with the array diameter being 2 cm; thus the initial diameter of the extracted beam is also 2 cm. For the results reported here the beam was injected into a field-free vacuum vessel at a pressure in the mid- $10^{-6}$  Torr range.

Using this MEVVA ion source configuration we've run tests with a variety of cathode materials. We've measured the beam current using both a Faraday cup and a calorimeter. The beam profile has been measured with a multi-cup detector array (22), allowing a determination of beam divergence and emittance. The charge state spectrum of the extracted ion beam has been measured with a time-of-flight charge-to-mass analyzer and confirmed in some particular cases by a magnetic analysis. As well as these 'routine' diagnostics, we've also made use of a wide range of other diagnostic

techniques to confirm and provide cross-checks on the MEVVA ion source performance. We've studied the arc growth using a framing camera with 1 microsecond exposure time; visible photography shows the cathode spots clearly. We've monitored arc voltage and arc current as a function of numerous source and performance parameters. Characteristics of the plasma plume have been measured by the deposition of mass onto a target plate, by ion energy analysis and ion density measurement using a gridded electrostatic energy analyzer, and photographically. The extracted ion beam has been monitored via Faraday cup measurements, calorimetric measurements, beam profile monitor measurements, extractor power supply current drain, approximate beam momentum, and incandescence of a thin carbon foil target.

Most of our work has been done using a tantalum cathode, but we've also extracted beams of lithium, boron, carbon, aluminum, silicon, titanium, iron, niobium, lanthanum, gold, lead and uranium. We believe that the MEVVA ion source will work satisfactorily with a cathode of any solid electrically conducting material. It's interesting to note that a cathode of lanthanum hexaboride produced a beam containing a mixture of lanthanum (mostly  $\text{La}^{2+}$  and  $\text{La}^{3+}$ ) and boron (mostly  $\text{B}^+$  and  $\text{B}^{2+}$ ) ions. That is, it is possible to create a beam from a non-conductor (boron) if it forms part of a conducting cathode (lanthanum hexaboride); this may well also hold for alloyed mixtures as well as for compounds. A typical charge state spectrum for uranium is shown in Figure 2. In this case the arc voltage was about 100 Volts, which compares to the ionization potential of the most highly stripped state seen - 105 Volts for  $\text{U}^{7+}$  (23). The charge state distribution can be varied somewhat via the arc current, but the variation is not sensitive. Lower Z materials yielded lower charge states and concomitantly lower arc voltage drops. Thus a carbon cathode produces a beam of  $\text{C}^+$ , the singly ionized species only, and the arc drop is 15 - 20 volts.

At an extraction voltage of 25 kV we've measured an ion beam current as high as 1.1 Amperes (electrical) for tantalum. Approximately half of this current, 550 electrical milliamperes, is contained within the half-width of the beam radial profile, corresponding to a beam divergence half-angle of about 2.5 degrees and a normalized emittance of  $0.07 \pi$  centimeter-milliradians. For good beam emittance the plasma density must be matched to the

geometry of the multi-aperture extraction grids (18,19,24,25). Thus the beam quality is not independent of the grids, and the grids (spacing and aperture size) should be optimized for each specific cathode and beam voltage and current. Total beam currents up to approximately 1 Ampere have been measured for Lithium and Uranium.

There is a vast amount of further work to be done to fully characterize the MEVVA ion source. Detailed studies need to be carried out on features of the source operation that so far we've only been able to quickly skim over. The geometry of cathode, anode and extractor are clearly vital to efficient operation and need to be optimized. The details of the trigger electrode and the trigger pulse are critical to long source lifetime. Cathode and anode cooling should be increased to allow high duty cycle or dc operation. Efficient transport of the intense beam in neutralization-unfriendly environments is important. These and other things are on our agenda. Our work to date has demonstrated that the MEVVA ion source is an exciting addition to the gamut of ion sources available, with the capacity for producing ion beam currents much more intense than previously available. We expect that the source will find wide application in research and industry.

This Letter will be followed by a more detailed publication. We are indebted to Drs. Jack Gavin and Wulf Kunkel for valuable discussions and elucidation of ion source idiosyncrasies.



## References


1. 'Proceedings of the Second Symposium on Ion Sources and Formation of Ion Beams', Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 22 - 25 Oct. 1974, (LBL-3399).
2. R. Keller, Proc. 1984 Linear Accelerator Conf. GSI, Darmstadt, W. Germany, 7 - 11 May 1984, p.19.
3. P. J. M. van Bommel, P. Massmann, E. H. A. Granneman, H. J. Hopman and J. Los, Vacuum 34, 25, (1984).
4. R. Keller, F. Noehmayer, P. Spaedtke and M.-H. Schoenenberg, Vacuum 34, 31 (1984).
5. S. Humphries, Jr., Nuclear Fusion 20, 1549 (1980).
6. S. Humphries, Jr., R. N. Sudan and L. Wiley, J. Appl. Phys. 47, 2382 (1976).
7. S. Humphries, Jr., C. Eichenberger and R. N. Sudan, J. Appl. Phys. 48, 2738 (1977).
8. L. Valyi, 'Atom and Ion Sources', John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1977.
9. R. K. Feeney, W. E. Sayle, II, and J. W. Hooper, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 47, 964 (1976).
10. J. Ishikawa and T. Takagi, Japanese J. Appl. Phys. 22, 534 (1983).
11. J. Ishikawa, Y. Takeiri and T. Takagi, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 55, 449 (1984).
12. A. Rockett, S. A. Barnett and J. E. Greene, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B2, 306 (1984).
13. B. Gavin, S. Abbott, R. MacGill, R. Sorensen, J. Staples and R. Thatcher, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-28, 2684 (1981).

14. Y. Saito, Y. Mitsuoka and S. Suganomata, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 55, 1760 (1984).
15. 'Vacuum Arcs - Theory and Application', J. M. Lafferty, ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1980.
16. IEEE Trans. on Plasma Science, Special Issue on Vacuum Discharge Plasmas, IEEE PS-11, Sep. 1983.
17. A. S. Gilmour, Jr. and D. L. Lockwood, Proc. IEEE 60, 977 (1972).
18. J. R. Coupland, T. S. Green, D. P. Hammond and A. C. Riviere, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 44, 1258 (1973).
19. T. S. Green, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-23, 918 (1976).
20. J. D. Jukes, Reports on Progress in Physics 31, 333, (1968).
21. W. M. Manheimer and C. Lashmore-Davies, 'MHD Instabilities in Simple Plasma Configurations', Naval Research Laboratory, Wash. D.C., 1984.
22. J. E. Galvin and I. G. Brown, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 55, 1866 (1984).
23. T. A. Carlson, C. W. Nestor, Jr., N. Wasserman and J. D. McDowell, Atomic Data 2, 63 (1970).
24. M. Dembinski and P. K. John, J. Appl. Phys. 50, 6113 (1979).
25. M. G. Rosing and J. R. Conrad, J. Appl. Phys. 57, 816 (1985).

## Figure Captions


Fig. 1. Simplified, cutaway view of the MEVVA II ion source.

Fig. 2. Time-of-flight charge state spectrum for Uranium. Upper trace, detector output; lower trace, gate pulse; sweep speed, 2 usec/cm.



XBL 853-1906

Fig. 1. Simplified, cutaway view of the MEVVA II ion source.



XBB 853-2135

Fig. 2. Time-of-flight charge state spectrum for Uranium. Upper trace, detector output; lower trace, gate pulse; sweep speed, 2 usec/cm.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT  
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720