# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

Title COULOMB DISSOCIATION OF RELATIVISTIC DEUTERONS

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5bc2b76s

Author Richardson, Mark Lewis.

Publication Date 1973-12-01

Presented at the West Coast APS Meeting, Berkeley, California, December 27-29, 1973.

Prime Prime Prime Prime
 Sector Bally Control
 PAD 12 Prime Prime



LESSE des COLONILIOS COLON

# COULOMB DISSOCIATION OF RELATIVISTIC DEUTERONS

Mark Lewis Richardson

December 1973

Prepared for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

# TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545



#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

### LBL-2496

# COULOMB DISSOCIATION OF RELATIVISTIC DEUTERONS

### Mark Lewis Richardson

# Department of Physics and Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California Berkeley, California 94720

#### ABSTRACT

Using the Weiszacker-Williams method of virtual quanta and a nonrelativistic perturbation theory calculation of the deuteron photodissociation cross section, the double differential cross section for coulomb dissociation of relativistic deuterons is calculated. Numerical results are presented and the application of the results to the subject of tagged neutron beams is discussed.

Work Supported by U.S. Atomic Energy Commission

#### I. SUMMARY

When a high energy deuteron beam collides with a target, some fraction of the deuterons dissociate into neutron-proton pairs with low relative velocity, thus producing a neutron "tagged" by a proton of about the same energy. In a recent Bevatron experiment<sup>1</sup> which examined this process to provide information for the development of a tagged neutron beam, it was observed that tagging occurred for heavy targets (U<sup>238</sup>) but not for light targets (Be<sup>9</sup>). Since this behavior conflicts with the  $A^{1/3}$  dependence expected of a peripheral nuclear process, it has been suggested<sup>1</sup> that tagging may be produced electromagnetically. In this paper we derive the cross-section for deuteron dissociation using the Weizsacker-Williams method of virtual quanta and present numerical results for the double differential crosssection<sup>2</sup>. In section II we review the method and derive the expression for the cross-section. Readers primarily interested in the results should proceed directly to section III in which the numerical results are presented and discussed.

### IIa. THE WEIZACKER-WILLIAMS METHOD<sup>3</sup>

The electromagnetic field of a relativistic particle of charge q=Ze passing the observation point at impact parameter b is approximately composed of a transverse electric field and magnetic field given by:

$$\vec{E}$$
 (t) = Ze  $\frac{\gamma b}{\left(b^2 + \gamma^2 v^2 t^2\right)^{3/2}} \hat{x}$ 

(1)

 $\vec{B}$  (t) =  $\beta E(t)\hat{y}$ .

As  $\beta \rightarrow 1$  Å and É become perpendicular and equal, and thus become similar to free electromagnetic radiation. To exploit this equivalence C. F. Weizsacker and E. J. Williams independently developed the following

-2-

method in which the fields are Fourier decomposed into an equivalent photon distribution.

The Fourier transform  $E(\omega)$  of the above electric field gives the intensity distribution<sup>4</sup>:

$$I(\omega,b) = \frac{c}{2\pi} |E(\omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{q^2}{c} \frac{1}{\beta^2 b^2} \left(\frac{\omega}{\gamma} \frac{b}{\nu}\right)^2 \kappa_1^2 \left(\frac{\omega b}{\gamma \nu}\right)$$
(2)

Integrating the above formula over impact parameters and using a low frequency approximation to the resulting K functions we find:

$$I(\omega) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{q^2}{c^2} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{1.123\gamma v}{\omega b_{\min}} \right) - \frac{\beta^2}{2} \right]$$
(3)

where **b**<sub>min</sub> is the nuclear radius.

The equivalent photon distribution can now be found by using the relation:

$$I(\omega) d\omega = \hbar \omega \frac{dN(\hbar \omega)}{d(\hbar \omega)} d(\hbar \omega); \qquad (4)$$

thus

$$\frac{dN(\hbar \omega)}{d(\hbar \omega)} = \frac{2Z^2}{\pi} \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{1}{\hbar \omega} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{1.123 \text{ yv}}{\omega b_{\min}} \right) - \frac{\beta^2}{2} \right] \quad (5)$$

Given the deuteron photo-dissociation cross-section for a single real incident photon  $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$ , the cross-section for coulomb dissociation would be:

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d(p)d\Omega} = \frac{dN(\hbar\omega)}{d(\hbar\omega)} \frac{d(\hbar\omega)}{dp} \frac{d\sigma(\hbar\omega)}{d\Omega}$$
(6)

It is important to remember that this calculation is done in the deuteron rest frame and so it is the field of the target nucleus which is Fourier decomposed.

#### IIb. DEUTERON PHOTO-DISSOCIATION CROSS SECTION

We now derive the deuteron photo-dissociation cross section using the non-relativistic quantum theory of radiation. The Hamiltonian is:

$$H = H_0 + \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{H}^1 \tag{7}$$

where

$$H_{0} = \sum_{\vec{k},\alpha} \hbar \omega_{k} a_{\vec{k},\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\vec{k},\alpha} + H_{d}$$
(8a)

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{-e}{\mu c} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{r}) = -ie\sqrt{2\pi\hbar} \sum_{\vec{k},\alpha} \sqrt{\omega_k} \left[ a_{\vec{k}} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} + a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \right] \vec{r} \cdot \varepsilon_{\vec{k},\alpha}$$
(8b)  
$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{e^2}{2\mu c^2} A^2(\vec{r}).$$
(8c)

In the above, the creation and annihilation operators  $a_{\vec{k},\alpha}^{\dagger}$  and  $a_{\vec{k},\alpha}$ refer to plane-wave photon states of wave number  $\vec{k}$  and polarization  $\alpha$ .  $H_d$  is the deuteron Hamiltonian and  $\mu$ , r, and p refer to the relative neutron-proton motion. Here and in the following all quantization volume factors will be systematically dropped.

The initial state will be the deuteron ground state plus one photon of wave number  $\vec{k}$  and polarization  $\alpha$ :

$$|i\rangle = |H_{d}\rangle |\vec{k},\alpha\rangle$$
(9)

and the final state a free-particle plane wave and no photon:

$$|\mathbf{f}\rangle = |\mathbf{k}'\rangle \quad . \tag{10}$$

We will use  $\mathcal{H}_{as}$  the perturbation mixing the above two eigenstates of  $H_{o}$ . The first non-vanishing term in the perturbation expansion for the transition matrix is of the form:



giving a differential cross section

$$d\sigma = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar c} |\langle \vec{k}'| - \frac{e}{\mu c} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{r})| H_{d} \cdot \vec{k} \cdot \alpha \rangle|^{2} \rho(E')$$

$$= \frac{2\pi}{\hbar c} |\langle \vec{k}'| - ie \sqrt{2\pi \hbar \omega_{k}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \vec{r} \cdot \epsilon_{\hat{k},\alpha} |H_{d}\rangle|^{2} \rho(E').$$
(11)

The density of final states  $\rho(E')$  is given by

$$\rho(E') = \frac{dN}{dE'} = \frac{\mu k'}{(2\pi)^3 \hbar^2} d\Omega \qquad (12)$$

for dissociation into the interval of solid angle  $d\Omega$ , giving:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\mu k' e^2 \omega_k}{2\pi \hbar^2 c} |\langle \vec{k}' | \vec{r} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_{\hat{k},\alpha} | H_d \rangle|^2$$
(13)

where we have made use of the fact that since k <<1/r<sub>0</sub> for photons in the range of interest with  $r_0$  being the characteristic "size" of the deuteron wave function, we have  $e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \approx 1$  (electric dipole approximation).

To simplify the matrix element we insert the unit operator

(implied sum over *l*, m) into (13) yielding:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\mu k' e^{2\omega} k}{2\pi \hbar^{2} c} |\langle \vec{k}'| \ell, m \rangle \langle \ell, m| \vec{r} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_{\hat{k}, \alpha} |H_{d} \rangle|^{2} .$$
(15)

If m is choosen to be measured along the direction of  $\hat{\epsilon}_{\hat{k},\alpha}$  we see that since  $r \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_{\hat{k},\alpha}$  is the zeroth component of a spherical tensor operator of rank one, the Wigner-Eckart theorem insures that the only non-vanishing matrix element will be between  $|H_d\rangle$  (which we assume is s-wave) and  $\langle 1, 0_{\alpha} |$ ;

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\mu k' e^2 \omega_k}{2\pi \hbar^2 c} |\langle k'|1, 0_{\alpha} \rangle \langle 1, 0_{\alpha}|\vec{r} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_{\hat{k}, \alpha}|H_d \rangle|^2$$

$$= \frac{\mu k' e^{2} \omega_{k}}{2\pi \hbar^{2} c} |4\pi i Y_{1}^{\alpha}(\hat{k}')^{*} < 1, 0_{\alpha} |\vec{r} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_{\hat{k}, \alpha}|_{d} > |^{2}$$

$$= \frac{8\pi \mu k' e^{2} \omega_{k}}{\hbar^{2} c} |Y_{1}^{\alpha}(\hat{k}')|^{2} |\int_{j_{1}} (k'r) \vec{r} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_{\hat{k}, \alpha} \phi_{d}(r) Y_{1}^{0} (\hat{r}) dV|^{2}$$
(16)

where

$$\phi_{d}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \vec{r} | \mathbf{H}_{d} \rangle . \tag{17}$$

Doing the angular integral and utilizing Blatt and Weisskophf's<sup>5</sup> calculation of the radial integral, we get:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{16\pi}{3} \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} \frac{|\gamma_1^0 \alpha(\hat{k}')|^2}{\gamma_d^2(1-\gamma_d r_{ot})} \left(\frac{k'\gamma_d}{k'^2+\gamma_d^2}\right)^3$$
(18)

where  $r_d$  is the decay constant in the deuteron asymptotic wave function  $e^{-\gamma r}$  and  $r_{ot}$  is a neutron-proton scattering length. We thus have the differential cross section for proton (or equivalently neutron) production by a linearly polarized photon beam in terms of the angle of the emitted proton (neutron) relative to the polarization direction of the photon. For unpolarized radiation we average over two orthagonal polarizations:

$$k_{2}\sum_{\alpha}\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{e^{2}}{\hbar c} \frac{1}{\gamma_{d}^{2}(1-\gamma_{d}r_{ot})} \left(\frac{k'\gamma_{d}}{k'^{2}+\gamma_{d}^{2}}\right)^{3} \left(\frac{3}{4\pi}\right) (\cos^{2}\theta_{1}+\cos^{2}\theta_{2})$$
(19)

where  $\theta_{\alpha}$  refers to the angle between  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{\hat{k},\alpha}$  and k'. Since  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{\hat{k},\alpha}$ ,  $\hat{\varepsilon}_{\hat{k},\alpha}$ , and  $\hat{k}$  form a right-handed coordinate system by construction we have:

$$\cos^2\theta_1 + \cos^2\theta_2 = 1 - \cos^2\theta_k = \sin^2\theta_k$$
(20)

so we can write the unpolarized cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} \frac{1}{\gamma^2 (1 - \gamma_d r_{ot})} \left( \frac{k' \gamma_d}{k'^2 + \gamma_d^2} \right)^3 \left( \frac{3}{4\pi} \sin^2 \theta_k \right).$$
(21)

The momenta of the outgoing proton and neutron are approximately equal in the deuteron rest frame, yielding the relations:

$$E_n = 1/2 (\hbar - B).$$
 (in MeV)  
(22)  
 $k^{2} = 4.824 \times 10^{24} (\hbar \omega - B).$ 

Using these and plugging in eq. (5) for the photon distribution, we get

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{dEd\Omega} = \frac{32 e^{2}/hc}{3\gamma_{d}^{2} (1-\gamma_{d}r_{ot})} \left(\frac{2}{\beta}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{h\omega} \left(\frac{k^{2}\gamma_{d}}{k^{2}+\gamma_{d}^{2}}\right)^{3}$$

$$\times \left[ \ln \left(\frac{1.123\gamma_{v}}{\omega b_{min}}\right) - \frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \right]$$
(23)

$$\frac{\frac{64 e^2/\hbar c}{3\gamma_d^2(1-\gamma_d r_{ot})} \left(\frac{Z}{\beta}\right)^2}{\frac{\pi^2}{\hbar^2} \left(\frac{k^{-2}+\gamma_d^2}{k^{-2}+\gamma_d^2}\right) \left(\frac{k^{-\gamma_d}}{k^{-2}+\gamma_d^2}\right)^3}$$

(24)

$$\times \left[ \ln \left( \frac{2.246 \ \gamma \ v \ \mu}{\hbar \ b_{\min}(k^{2} + \gamma_{d}^{2})} \right) - \frac{\beta^{2}}{2} \right] \left( \frac{3}{4\pi} \sin^{2} \theta \right)$$

#### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The deuteron rest frame cross-section in eq. (24) is transformed to the lab using the familiar relations between lab and beam frame quanties:



(25)

 $p_{ilab} = p_{i}$  beam

$$p$$
"lab =  $\beta \gamma E$  beam +  $\gamma p$ "beam

In Fig. 1-3 the numerical results for the cross sections in the lab at  $P_{incident} = 5.8 \text{ GeV/c} \equiv 2p_{nom}$  are plotted. Fig. 1 gives the double differential cross-section for a Uranium target as a function of neutron lab angle for various lab momenta. The cross-section is peaked at  $\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$  with a maximum value of 1.6 barns/sterad-MeV/c and is almost entirely contained in the interval  $0^{\circ}-2^{\circ}$ . Fig. 2 gives the cross-section plotted vs lab momentum for various lab angles; it exhibits a peak at one half the incident deuteron momentum and width of about 140 MeV/c. The cross-section integrated over the momentum spectrum is plotted in Fig. 3 for various targets. The form is approximately that of Fig. 1 and for U<sup>238</sup> the maximum is 245 barns/sterad.

#### IV. TAGGED NEUTRON BEAMS

To produce a tagged neutron beam an apparatus of the sort illustrated in Fig. 4 would be used. The neutrons would be selected by a slit and proceed to the experimental area while the protons would be momentum analyzed. Coincidences between the experimental apparatus and the proton momentum analyzer would reveal which neutrons are tagged. Since the proton and neutron momenta are equal and opposite in the center of mass, selecting a particular neutron angle and proton momentum would yield a neutron with a specific momentum. Thus the momentum resolution of the beam is only limited by the resolution of the proton momentum analysis, the angular width of the neutron slit, and multiple coulomb (elastic) scattering in the target.

An important experimental consideration is the possiblity of producing a polarized neutron beam from the dissociation of a polarized deuteron beam. Since in the electric dipole approximation the photon does not couple to the neutron or proton spin, their intitial polarization will be conserved by coulomb dissociation. The protons produced will have the same polarization as the neutrons and thus provide a convienent check on the neutron beam polarization.

The author gratefully acknowledges the patient guidance of Prof. L. T. Kerth and the hospitility of the Kerth experimental physics group.

#### Footnotes and References

- P. Bowles, C. Leemann, W. Mehlhop, H. Grunder, O. Piccioni, R. Thomas,
   D. Scipione, R. Garland, J. Sebek, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. <u>17</u>, 1188 (1972).
- Previous results on this subject may be found in the following articles:
   G. Faldt, Phys. Rev. <u>D2</u>, 846 (1970 and S. M. Dancoff, Phys. Rev. <u>72</u>, 1017 (1947).
- 3. A more complete derivation may be found in J. D. Jackson, <u>Classical</u> Electrodynamics (Wiley, New York, 1962) pp 520 - 524.
- 4.  $K_1$  is the first order modified Bessel function. v, c,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  refer to the velocity of the particle which produces this field.
- J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, <u>Theoretical Nuclear Physics</u> (Wiley, New York, 1952) pp. 609 - 613.

## Figure Captions

Fig. 1

A plot of the double differential cross-section for the production of neutrons in the lab momentum interval dp and lab solid angle interval d $\Omega$  by coulomb dissociation of 5.8 GeV/c deuterons colliding with a U<sup>238</sup> target. This figure plots  $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dpd\Omega}$  versus neutron lab angle for neutron lab momenta of 2900, 2960, and 3020 MeV/c.  $P_{nom} \equiv P_{beam}/2$ .

- Fig. 2 The same cross-section as in Fig. 1 but here plotted versus neutron lab momentum for neutron lab angles  $0,1^{\circ}$ ,  $0.5^{\circ}$ , and  $0.8^{\circ}$ .
- Fig. 3 The cross-section in Figs. 1 and 2 integrated over neutron lab momenta 2.7 GeV/c GeV/c and plotted versusneutron lab angle. Also plotted are the correspondingcross-section for Pb<sup>207</sup>, Sn<sup>119</sup>, and Fe<sup>86</sup> targets.

Fig. 4

A schematic illustration of a possible experimental configuration for the production of a tagged neutron beam.



XBL 748-1442

Fig. 1



XBL 748-1443



-13-



-14-



#### -LEGAL NOTICE-

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

8. 8 10