UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Caesarean delivery and risk of childhood leukaemia: a pooled analysis from the Childhood Leukemia International Consortium (CLIC)

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5bp797wq

Journal The Lancet Haematology, 3(4)

ISSN

2451-9960

Authors

Marcotte, Erin L Thomopoulos, Thomas P Infante-Rivard, Claire et al.

Publication Date

2016-04-01

DOI

10.1016/s2352-3026(16)00002-8

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Lancet Haematol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 31.

Published in final edited form as:

Lancet Haematol. 2016 April; 3(4): e176-e185. doi:10.1016/S2352-3026(16)00002-8.

Caesarean delivery and risk of childhood leukaemia: a pooled analysis from the Childhood Leukemia International Consortium (CLIC)

Erin L Marcotte, Thomas P Thomopoulos^{*}, Claire Infante-Rivard^{*}, Jacqueline Clavel^{*}, Eleni Th Petridou^{*}, Joachim Schüz, Sameera Ezzat, John D Dockerty, Catherine Metayer, Corrado Magnani, Michael E Scheurer, Beth A Mueller, Ana M Mora, Catharina Wesseling, Alkistis Skalkidou, Wafaa M Rashed, Stephen S Francis, Roula Ajrouche, Friederike Erdmann, Laurent Orsi, and Logan G Spector

Department of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota, MN, USA (EL Marcotte PhD, Prof LG Spector PhD); Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece (TP Thomopoulos MD, Prof ET Petridou PhD); Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Occupational Health, Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada (Prof C Infante-Rivard PhD); INSERM Unit 1153, Epidemiology and Biostatistics Sorbonne Paris Cité Center Epidemiology of Childhood and Adolescent Cancers Team, Villejuif, France (Prof J Clavel PhD, R Ajrouche PhD, L Orsi PhD); Paris-Descartes University, UMRS-1153, Epidemiology and Biostatistics Sorbonne Paris Cité Center, Paris, France (Prof J Clavel, R Airouche, L Orsi): International Agency for Research on Cancer, Section of Environment and Radiation, Lyon, France (Prof J Schüz PhD, F Erdmann PhD); National Liver Institute, Menoufia University, Menoufia, Egypt (S Ezzat PhD); Dean's Department and Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Dunedin School of Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin New Zealand (JD Dockerty PhD); University of California, School of Public Health, Berkeley, CA, USA (C Metayer PhD, SS Francis PhD); Dipartimento di Medicina Traslazionale, Università del Piemonte Orientale, SCDU Epidemiologia dei Tumori, Novara, Italy (Prof C Magnani PhD); Baylor College of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Section of Hematology-Oncology, Houston, TX, USA (ME Scheurer PhD); Texas Children's Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA (ME Scheurer); Public Health Sciences Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA (Prof BA Mueller DrPH); Epidemiology Department, University of Washington School of Public Health, Seattle, WA, USA (Prof BA Mueller); Central American Institute for Studies on Toxic Substances, Universidad Nacional, Heredia, Costa Rica (AM Mora PhD, C Wesseling PhD): Department of Environmental Health, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA (AM Mora); Department of Women's and Children's Health, Obstetrics and Gynecology, Akademiska Sjukhuset, Uppsala, Sweden (A Skalkidou MD); Research Department, Children's Cancer Hospital Egypt 57357, Cairo, Egypt (WM Rashed PhD); and University of

Declaration of interests: We declare no competing interests.

Correspondence to: Dr Erin L Marcotte, Department of Pediatrics, University of Minnesota, MN 55455, USA; marcotte@umn.edu. *Contributed equally

Contributors: ELM, TPT, ETP, and LGS planned the analyses; ELM did the data pooling, harmonisation, and analysis; and ELM, TPT, CI-R, JC, ETP, and LGS participated in the core writing group of this manuscript. All authors are principal investigators, coinvestigators, or designates of Childhood Leukemia International Consortium studies that contributed data to this analysis. All authors reviewed this manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version submitted for publication.

Summary

Background—Results from case-control studies have shown an increased risk of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) in young children born by caesarean delivery, and prelabour caesarean delivery in particular; however, an association of method of delivery with childhood leukaemia subtypes has yet to be established. We therefore did a pooled analysis of data to investigate the association between childhood leukaemia and caesarean delivery.

Methods—We pooled data from 13 case-control studies from the Childhood Leukemia International Consortium done in nine countries (Canada, Costa Rica, Egypt, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, New Zealand, and the USA) for births from 1970-2013. We analysed caesarean delivery overall and by indications that probably resulted in prelabour caesarean delivery or emergency caesarean delivery. We used multivariable logistic regression models, adjusted for child's birthweight, sex, age, ethnic origin, parental education, maternal age, and study, to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs for the risk of ALL and acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) in children aged 0-14 years at diagnosis.

Findings—The studies provided data for 8780 ALL cases, 1332 AML cases, and 23 459 controls, of which the birth delivery method was known for 8655 (99%) ALL cases, 1292 (97%) AML cases, and 23 351 (>99%) controls. Indications for caesarean delivery were available in four studies (there were caesarean deliveries for 1061 of 4313 ALL cases, 138 of 664 AML cases, and 1401 of 5884 controls). The OR for all indications of caesarean delivery and ALL was 1.06 (95% CI 0.99–1.13), and was significant for prelabour caesarean delivery and ALL (1.23 [1.04-1.47]; p=0.018). Emergency caesarean delivery was not associated with ALL (OR 1.02 [95% CI 0.81-1.30]). AML was not associated with caesarean delivery (all indications OR 0.99 [95% CI 0.84-1.17]; prelabour caesarean delivery 0.83 [0.54-1.26]; and emergency caesarean delivery 1.05 [0.63-1.77]).

Interpretation—Our results suggest an increased risk of childhood ALL after prelabour caesarean delivery. If this association is causal, maladaptive immune activation due to an absence of stress response before birth in children born by prelabour caesarean delivery could be considered as a potential mechanism.

Introduction

Leukaemia is the most common childhood malignant disease, accounting for around a third of cancers diagnosed in children aged 0-14 years.¹ There is strong evidence that acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL), the most common subtype, is initiated in utero with a secondary event necessary to trigger carcinogenesis.² Hypotheses suggest involvement of immune development and responses to infection in the development of childhood ALL.³ Findings from studies of proxies of exposure to infection, including day-care attendance,⁴ birth order,⁵ and timing of birth,⁶ lend support to the concept of an infectious cause. Additionally, children who develop ALL might have developmental differences in immune function from birth,⁷ suggesting that early immune development could be important for risk of disease.

Mounting evidence suggests that birth by caesarean delivery affects both short-term and long-term outcomes onset of labour.⁹

Meta-analyses have reported small (odds ratio <1.50) but significant associations between birth by caesarean delivery and subsequent risk of immune-related disorders, including asthma¹⁰ and type 1 diabetes.¹¹ An association of childhood leukaemia with caesarean delivery has not been established, although many studies might be underpowered to detect a small association. Several previous studies have reported null associations between caesarean delivery and ALL,^{12–17} but findings from one study suggested increased odds of ALL after caesarean delivery.¹⁸ Furthermore, two studies have done subgroup analyses and shown raised effect estimates when stratifying by disease subtypes or type of caesarean delivery. In what was, to our knowledge, the first study to investigate the role of prelabour caesarean delivery in childhood leukaemia, investigators showed an increased risk of overall ALL and precursor B-cell ALL in children aged 0–3 years after prelabour caesarean delivery,¹⁹ whereas another study reported increased risk of common ALL (defined as ALL with expression of CD10 and CD19 surface antigens and diagnosis occurring between age 2 and 5.9 years), particularly in Hispanic people, after caesarean delivery.²⁰

The Childhood Leukemia International Consortium (CLIC) is a multinational collaboration of epidemiological and genetic studies of childhood leukaemia.²¹ In this collaborative study, we used pooled CLIC data to comprehensively investigate the association between childhood leukaemia and caesarean delivery.

Methods

Selection criteria and data inclusion

We invited all principal investigators of studies currently included in CLIC consortium to participate in this analysis. Participation depended on availability of data about method of birth, and the ability of the study teams to provide data by the end of June, 2014. 13 case-control studies done in nine countries (Canada, Costa Rica, Egypt, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, New Zealand, and the USA) in variable periods including births from 1970 to 2013 contributed data to the pooled analyses. Study design and characteristics of participants in individual studies have been described elsewhere.²¹ The data we requested included the child's sex, age at diagnosis or recruitment, ALL immunophenotype, year of birth, birthweight, gestational age, ethnic origin, maternal age at child's birth, maternal and paternal education level, breastfeeding, method of delivery, and, if available, indication for caesarean delivery. We also requested the variables used in the matching or selection of participants. All studies were approved by institutional ethics committees.

Data were checked in collaboration with investigators from each study and standardised across studies for the pooled analyses. In particular, categorical variables were created for ethnic origin (white, black, Asian, Hispanic, other), highest level of education obtained by either parent (none or primary, secondary, or tertiary [roughly equivalent to 0-9 years, 10-12 years, and 13 years of education, respectively]), and birthweight (2499 g, 2500-3999 g, 4000 g). Breastfeeding was classified as either yes or no on the basis of whether the child was ever breastfed. In the few studies that did not obtain information about ethnic origins,

we classified ethnic group based on the predominant ethnic group of each country, after consultation of the respective principal investigators. For the purpose of stratified analyses, we created a categorical variable for gestational age (early preterm [<34 weeks], late preterm [34-36 weeks], early term [37-38 weeks], full term [39-40 weeks], and late term [>40 weeks]). Implausible values for birthweight (<500 g) and gestational age (<20 weeks or >44 weeks) were deemed as missing.

The primary exposure variable, method of birth, was obtained by questionnaire for all studies except two US studies (Washington and the California Childhood Leukemia Study [CCLS]) that obtained information from birth-registry records. From four studies (Canada, France [Etude cas-témoins sur les cancers de l'enfant; ESTELLE], Greece, and US [the Children's Cancer Group (CCG)]) that provided indications for caesarean delivery, data were obtained by questionnaire in response to questions such as "What was the reason for having a caesarean section?". When the reason given was previous caesarean delivery or multiple births, we regarded these indications as likely to have resulted in scheduled prelabour caesarean delivery. Although the questionnaires contained data elsewhere for whether the index child was part of a multiple birth or whether the mother had undergone a previous caesarean delivery, we only judged births as probably prelabour caesarean delivery when these indications were explicitly given as the reason that a caesarean delivery took place. The France (ESTELLE) and Greece studies contained sufficient detail in the indication for a caesarean delivery variable to also classify caesarean births as probably emergency caesarean delivery. We categorised births as emergency caesarean delivery when the indication for caesarean delivery was fetal distress, prolonged labour, failure in labour progression, cord prolapse, or obstructed labour due to malposition, malpresentation, or shoulder dystocia. The main outcome of our analysis was an association of either ALL or AML with caesarean delivery due to all indications, prelabour caesarean delivery, or emergency caesarean delivery. We also examined the risk of ALL with caesarean delivery by subgroups (immunophenotypes, age, year of birth, gestational age, and child's ethnic origin).

Statistical analysis

Analyses were restricted to children aged 0-14 years at diagnosis. We used multivariable logistic regression models to estimate study-specific and pooled odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CI for the association of ALL and AML with caesarean delivery due to all indications, prelabour caesarean, and emergency caesarean. To test for interactions, we included models with cross-term products for method of delivery and all stratification variables. Controls were individually matched (mostly by age and sex, and, in four studies, region) to cases in eight studies and frequency matched (mostly by age and sex) to cases in five studies. In the estimation of study-specific ORs for studies that used individual matching, we retained original matched sets and used conditional logistic regression; unconditional logistic regression was used to calculate study-specific ORs for studies with a frequency matched design. For the estimation of pooled ORs, we used unconditional logistic regression to increase statistical power because it enabled us to include all participants with complete data,²² and at least three of the individual studies had used this method in their original analyses.²³⁻²⁵ All models were adjusted for child's age, sex, ethnic origin, birthweight, maternal age, parental education, and study. For ALL cases, we did the analyses by B-cell

and T-cell immunophenotype subgroups, and stratified analyses by age at diagnosis (using categories 0, 1-5, 6-10, and 11-14 years to show age-related cytogenetic profiles of ALL cases).²⁶ decade of birth, and gestational age. We also did analyses restricted to children aged 0-3 years to replicate the analyses from the Greek study.¹⁹ Separately, we stratified analyses by child's ethnic origin within ALL cases overall and then restricted analysis to children aged 2-5 years with ALL to replicate analyses from the California study.²⁰ For stratification by ethnic origin, we used data from the four US-based studies because these contained the most detailed data for ethnic origin. Because caesarean delivery is associated with lower rates of breastfeeding,²⁷ which is in turn associated with increased risk of childhood leukaemia,²⁸ we regarded breastfeeding as a potential mediator of the effect of caesarean delivery on leukaemia risk. To assess this possibility, we did separate analyses controlling for breastfeeding to calculate the direct effect of caesarean delivery and prelabour caesarean delivery on leukaemia risk (emergency caesarean delivery was not included in these analyses). Finally, we combined study-specific ORs in fixed-effects metaanalysis models and produced summary ORs, 95% CIs, forest plots, and I² statistics. We tested between-study heterogeneity by Cochran's Q test. We did sensitivity analyses by systematically removing one study at a time from the pooled analyses. For all instances, we did a complete participant analysis.²⁹ Data were analysed with SAS 9.4 and R 3.0.2.

Role of the funding source

The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author (ELM) and last author (LGS) had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

The 13 participating studies provided data for 8780 ALL cases, 1332 AML cases, and 23 459 controls aged 0-14 years (table 1). Delivery method was known for 8655 (99%) ALL cases, 1292 (97%) AML cases, and 23 351 (>99%) controls (table 2). Information about indication for caesarean delivery was provided by four studies (Canada [Quebec], France [ESTELLE], Greece [NARECHEM], and USA [CCG]), there were caesarean deliveries for 1061 of 4313 ALL cases, 138 of 664 AML cases, and 1401 of 5884 controls. The percentage of caesarean delivery in controls varied substantially between studies, from 7% (20 of 303) in New Zealand to 38% (449 of 1176) in Greece (appendix p 1). We also noted substantial variation in the frequency of caesarean delivery between ALL and AML cases. For children born by caesarean delivery, the study-specific frequency of prelabour caesarean delivery ranged from 17% (43 of 255 in France [ESTELLE]) to 28% (126 of 449 in Greece) for controls and 13% (16 of 122 in France [ESTELLE]) to 36% (142 of 391 in Greece) for ALL cases (table 3).

Caesarean delivery due to any indication was associated with a slightly increased point estimate for ALL (OR 1.06 [95% CI 0.99-1.13]), mainly driven by the B-cell immunophenotype (table 4), however this finding was not significant. Study-specific ORs for ALL ranged from 0.61 to 1.88 (appendix p 2) but we did not detect evidence of

heterogeneity (I^2 =0.02%). We did not note an association between caesarean delivery and AML (OR 0.99 [95% CI 0.84-1.17], table 4), and no associations were apparent between caesarean delivery due to any indication and ALL for any subgroups. After inclusion of cross-term products for method of delivery and all stratification variables we noted no significant interaction p values (data not shown).

By contrast, prelabour caesarean delivery was significantly associated with ALL (OR 1.23 [95% CI 1.04-1.47], p=0.018; table 4). Study-specific ORs for ALL and prelabour caesarean delivery ranged from 0.85 to 1.38 (appendix p 3) and we did not detect evidence of heterogeneity (I²=0.00%). The effect estimate was similar for B-cell ALL (p=0.039) but lower for T-cell ALL (table 4). We did not note an association between prelabour caesarean delivery and AML (table 4). We noted an increased risk of ALL in children aged 0-3 years after prelabour caesarean delivery (p=0.0079; table 4), but no other associations for ALL with any other subgroup.

Emergency caesarean delivery was not associated with ALL (all cases OR 1.02 [95% CI 0.81-1.30]; B-cell immunophenotype 0.99 [0.77-1.28]; T-cell immunophenotype 1.19 [0.71-1.99]) or AML (1.05 [0.63-1.77]; appendix p 4). When we controlled for breastfeeding, the results remained stable for the associations between caesarean delivery overall and ALL (OR 1.04 [0.97-1.12]) and prelabour caesarean delivery and ALL (1.22 [1.02-1.45]; appendix p 5). The exclusion of one study at a time from caesarean delivery analyses only altered our effect estimates by less than 10% for all estimates (appendix p 6). Because of the small sample sizes in prelabour caesarean delivery analyses, we did sensitivity analyses excluding each study one by one only for the association between ALL and prelabour caesarean delivery. Results were highly consistent with those based on all four studies, with ORs within 5% of the original estimate (appendix p 6).

Discussion

We examined the association between childhood leukaemia and caesarean delivery in the largest sample of cases assembled to date, using studies from CLIC. We did not note an association between overall caesarean delivery and ALL or AML; however, in the four studies for which indication of caesarean delivery was available, ALL was associated with prelabour caesarean delivery (defined as indications of multiple births and previous caesarean delivery).

Although leukaemia is the most common cancer in children aged 0-14 years, it remains rare and difficult to study epidemiologically, and achieving sufficient power in studies to detect modest associations is a particular challenge. Previous studies have been generally limited by inadequate sample sizes to detect modest associations and many did not have either the power or data availability to stratify by disease subtype or type of caesarean delivery. In this context, both null,^{12,14-16} and marginally significant positive associations between ALL and overall caesarean delivery¹⁸²⁰ have been shown. Similarly, studies that did not distinguish between leukaemia subtypes also reported null^{13,17} or small positive³⁷ associations. One study distinguished between emergency and prelabour caesarean delivery and reported null associations for caesarean delivery overall and for prelabour caesarean delivery in children

diagnosed at age 0-14 years, but reported moderate positive associations for children aged 0-3 years between ALL—particularly B-cell ALL—and both all-caesarean deliveries and prelabour caesarean delivery.¹⁹ By contrast, no association was identified with emergency caesarean delivery.¹⁹ Finally, one study investigated common ALL and reported a positive association between this subtype and caesarean delivery, especially in Hispanic people.²⁰ Our findings suggest that prelabour caesarean delivery increases risk of ALL. Results of meta-analyses (appendix) were consistent with those of pooled analyses, thus we chose to present findings from the pooled analyses of individual data.

Several mechanisms might underlie the apparent association between ALL and prelabour caesarean delivery. First, labour and delivery elicit a substantial stress response in the fetus. Both catecholamine and cortisol concentrations are increased by a factor of 1.5-3.0 times in neonates born by vaginal delivery compared with those born by caesarean delivery before the onset of labour.^{38,39} By contrast, neonates born by emergency caesarean delivery show post-partum cortisol concentrations that are similar to those noted in neonates born by vaginal delivery.⁴⁰ Increased cortisol concentrations at birth activate the hypothalamic– pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which has a negative feedback relationship with immune and inflammatory reactions.⁴¹ The role of the HPA axis and increased cortisol concentrations in reducing risk of ALL was previously postulated by Schmiegelow and colleagues⁴² as part of the adrenal hypothesis of ALL causes. This hypothesis seeks to provide a causal framework to account for the negative association between early-life infections and childhood ALL, and suggests that infections increase plasma cortisol concentrations through changes in the HPA axis and that cortisol destroys leukaemic or preleukaemic cells. Glucocorticosteroids are powerful antileukaemic agents,⁴³ and cortisol concentrations during infection-related stress can reach those obtained in glucocorticosteroid-based therapy.^{44,45} Indeed, adrenocorticotropic hormone treatment can stimulate cortisol secretion, which results in morphological remission of ALL.⁴⁶ Thus, increased cortisol exposure in early life could directly eliminate leukaemic and preleukaemic cells. Furthermore, increased cortisol might suppress the T-helper-1-mediated proinflammatory response to infections by promoting production of anti-inflammatory T-helper-2 cytokines, including interleukin 4 and interleukin 10.42 This effect on the T-helper-1–T-helper-2 balance might reduce the proliferative stress on extant preleukaemic cells. In this context, exposure to the substantial cortisol concentrations during labour and delivery might have a role in mitigating ALL risk for those with preleukaemic cells that have arisen in utero. Children born by vaginal delivery and emergency caesarean delivery are generally exposed to similar cortisol concentrations during labour and delivery, whereas children born by prelabour caesarean delivery are expected to have significantly reduced cortisol exposure at birth.^{39,40} Since we noted increased risk of ALL only in children born by prelabour caesarean delivery, our findings are consistent with the role of early-life cortisol exposure in the causes of ALL.

A second potential mechanism for the association is differential microbiota colonisation after birth by caesarean delivery versus vaginal delivery. Mounting evidence suggests a crucial role of the gut microbiome, broadly in human health, and particularly in the development of the immune system.⁴⁷ Findings from studies of germ-free mice showed an impaired development of the mucosal immune system and diminished numbers of both IgA-producing plasma cells and IgG in germ-free animals compared with animals of the same

strain that are free of only specific pathogens.^{48,49} These mice also displayed abnormalities of the spleen and lymph nodes, including altered structure and poorly formed B-cell and Tcell zones.⁵⁰ Intestinal microbiota affect early postnatal immune development via interactions with intestinal Toll-like receptors and production of suppressive cytokines, transforming growth factor- β , and interleukin 10, which direct a balanced T-helper-1 and Thelper-2 immune response.^{51,52} Colonisation of the microbiota occurs during the first moments of life, and the method of birth delivery has been shown to alter both composition⁵³ and diversity⁵⁴ of the intestinal microbiota in human beings. These differences persist through the first 6 to 12 months of life,⁵⁵ a crucial period for immunesystem development. Furthermore, findings from studies have suggested that differential microbiome colonisation could affect the risk of autoimmune disorders,⁵⁶ chronic diseases,⁵⁷ infection,⁵⁸ and many types of adult cancer.⁵⁹ It is common in prelabour caesarean delivery for the amniotic membrane to remain intact until surgery, and without membrane rupture, bacterial exposure is greatly reduced compared with caesarean deliveries with amniotic-membrane rupture.⁵³ Findings from one study⁶⁰ showed that maternal prenatal stress and cortisol concentrations were associated with infant intestinal microbiota composition. The mechanism for this association is unknown and might be unique to prenatal stress rather than cortisol concentrations during labour and delivery. However, if maternal cortisol does have a universal effect during the perinatal period on offspring microbiota, this effect might be another pathway through which caesarean delivery alters ALL risk. Additionally, caesarean delivery might alter constitution of the microbiome, not only by an absence of exposure to vaginal flora, but also through altered breastfeeding practices after caesarean delivery. Infants born by vaginal delivery are breastfed earlier and are more likely to be breastfed than those born by caesarean delivery.²⁷ Breastmilk contains diverse microbes from the mother's gut and has been shown to play an important part in early microbiota colonisation.⁶¹ Controlling for breastfeeding did not change our results. This method needs the assumption that there are no uncontrolled confounders of the relationships between exposure and outcome or mediator and outcome, and many potential confounders (ethnic origin, maternal age, and socioeconomic indicators such as parental education) were already included in our analyses. Although the possibility of unmeasured confounders remains, our analysis suggests that differential breastfeeding practices did not account for our reported association between prelabour caesarean delivery and ALL.

Incidence of caesarean delivery has risen sharply over the past several decades, both in the USA and worldwide.⁶² WHO recommends that no more than 15% of births should happen by caesarean delivery;⁶³ however, most developed regions have caesarean delivery rates above that number, some as high as 40%.⁶⁴ The risks of caesarean delivery without medical indication to both mother and fetus have been well documented, and include both short-term and long-term effects on the offspring such as impaired lung function, altered metabolism and blood pressure during infancy, increased risk of obesity, and hepatic-related and immune-related disorders during childhood and adulthood.⁸ We noted a wide range of caesarean delivery rates in participating studies. Because the rise in caesarean delivery rates is a global trend spanning about four decades, some of the differences in rates are probably due to the varying birth-years represented among studies, in addition to differences in obstetric practices between countries.

Our study had several limitations. Since all participating studies were case-control in design, some control groups might not have been representative of the source population for cases with respect to exposure distribution, and this might be a particular concern in studies that use hospital-based control recruitment. Our sensitivity analyses excluding each study one at a time did not alter the associations, suggesting that results were not driven by biases inherent to individual studies. Furthermore, the estimates were unchanged when we excluded both studies that used hospital-based control recruitment. Additionally, the caesarean delivery rates noted in the controls show the expected trend based on the rates in each country for the birth-years represented. Most of the participating studies relied on maternal recall of our primary exposure variable, method of birth and indication for caesarean delivery, although findings from studies have shown that maternal recall of both method of birth and events in labour and delivery are highly accurate when compared with medical records (sensitivity and specificity for method of birth >99%).^{65,66} Our main findings for the association between ALL and prelabour caesarean delivery are based on two specific indications (previous caesarean delivery and multiple births). The four studies included in prelabour caesarean delivery analyses had varying levels of detail about indications for caesarean delivery. Information about previous caesarean delivery and multiple births was obtained for each study and, when listed as the indication for caesarean delivery, were regarded as highly likely to have resulted in prelabour caesarean delivery for all countries and years of birth represented in our dataset. Specifically, the data suggest that for mothers who have a repeat caesarean delivery, more than 80% of these are prelabour in both France⁶⁷ and Greece⁶⁸ (appendix p 7). Although some women in the prelabour caesarean delivery group might have undergone a trial of labour and were therefore misclassified, available data suggest that most of these births were correctly classified as prelabour caesarean delivery. Because misclassification of this dichotomous variable is expected to be non-differential and independent of other errors, any resultant bias would drive our reported effect toward the null.

High birthweight is known to be associated with ALL⁶⁹ and is also a predictor of caesarean delivery,⁷⁰ and macrosomia has been previously suggested as an indication for elective prelabour caesarean delivery,⁷¹ although this practice has been discouraged in recent years (from the early 2000s in the USA).⁷² To account for potential confounding by birthweight, we adjusted for this variable in all analyses. We cannot preclude the possibility that the associations are due to confounding by indication or other unmeasured confounding factors. It is possible that some maternal or fetal pathological changes that increase the risk of caesarean delivery also predispose the child to leukaemia. The data in our study did not include sufficient information to assess the possibility of confounding by indication; however, we have offered several plausible biological mechanisms that could account for the association if it is indeed causal.

Because of our large study size, we were able to investigate leukaemia subtypes, types of caesarean delivery, and ethnic origin in stratified analyses. Among the strengths of our study is that, by including both published and unpublished data, we avoided the risk of publication bias. Although both cortisol exposure and microbiota colonisation might play a part in the association between ALL and prelabour caesarean delivery, our findings that prelabour caesarean delivery, but not emergency caesarean delivery, could confer increased risk for

ALL lend support to a role for cortisol exposure affecting risk of disease in susceptible infants, as proposed by the adrenal hypothesis. Future studies with more detailed and reliable information on caesarean delivery and its indication might be helpful in further elucidating this association. If the association between ALL and prelabour caesarean delivery is causal, and assuming an average global exposure prevalence of around 20% and an effect size of 1.25, about 5% of ALL cases could be attributable to prelabour caesarean delivery, although more research needs to be done to determine whether this is the case. Birth cohorts and population-based epidemiological studies with data from medical records about indications for caesarean delivery and occurrence of caesarean delivery before or during labour, especially if enriched with data for leukaemia subtypes and molecular markers, and biomarker information about stress hormones will be useful for doing a thorough analysis of the association between ALL and prelabour caesarean delivery. Comparisons of the number of preleukaemic cells and CD34 positive cells, and HPA axis activity and epigenetic changes in neonates born by vaginal delivery, caesarean delivery, and prelabour caesarean delivery will also be valuable in elucidating the effect of method of birth on cells susceptible to malignant transformation.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The Childhood Leukemia International Consortium (CLIC) administration, annual meetings, and pooled analyses are partly supported by the National Cancer Institute (NCI), USA (grant R03 CA132172), National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), USA (P01 ES018172, R01 ES009137, R13 ES021145, R13 ES022868, R13 ES024632 and R13 ES021145-01), the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), USA (RD83451101), as part of the Center for Integrative Research on Childhood Leukemia and the Environment, Children with Cancer, (CwC UK; 2010/097), Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation (ALSF; 20140461), and the Sao Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIEHS, NCI, USEPA, CwC UK, ALSF, or FAPESP. ELM and SSF were supported by postdoctoral fellowships from the National Institutes of Health, NCI (T32CA099936, and T32CA151022, respectively). We thank Alice Y Kang (project manager of CLIC), Somdat Mahabir (National Cancer Institute, USA), and Denis Henshaw and Katie Martin (CwC UK) for the scientific and administrative support to CLIC; and the families for their participation in each individual CLIC study. Additional acknowledgments for CLIC studies are in the appendix.

Funding: National Cancer Institute

References

- 1. Howlander, N., Noone, AM., Krapcho, M., et al. SEER cancer statistics review, 1975–2011. Bethesda: National Cancer Institute; 2014.
- Greaves M. In utero origins of childhood leukaemia. Early Hum Dev. 2005; 81:123–29. [PubMed: 15707724]
- 3. Greaves M. Infection, immune responses and the aetiology of childhood leukaemia. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006; 6:193–203. [PubMed: 16467884]
- Urayama KY, Buffler PA, Gallagher ER, Ayoob JM, Ma X. A meta-analysis of the association between day-care attendance and childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Int J Epidemiol. 2010; 39:718–32. [PubMed: 20110276]
- 5. Von Behren J, Spector LG, Mueller BA, et al. Birth order and risk of childhood cancer: a pooled analysis from five US States. Int J Cancer. 2011; 128:2709–16. [PubMed: 20715170]

- Marcotte EL, Ritz B, Cockburn M, Yu F, Heck JE. Exposure to infections and risk of leukemia in young children. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2014; 23:1195–203. [PubMed: 24793957]
- Chang JS, Zhou M, Buffler PA, Chokkalingam AP, Metayer C, Wiemels JL. Profound deficit of IL10 at birth in children who develop childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2011; 20:1736–40. [PubMed: 21653647]
- Hyde MJ, Mostyn A, Modi N, Kemp PR. The health implications of birth by Caesarean section. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc. 2012; 87:229–43. [PubMed: 21815988]
- 9. Cho CE, Norman M. Cesarean section and development of the immune system in the off spring. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2013; 208:249–54. [PubMed: 22939691]
- Thavagnanam S, Fleming J, Bromley A, Shields MD, Cardwell CR. A meta-analysis of the association between Caesarean section and childhood asthma. Clin Exp Allergy. 2008; 38:629–33. [PubMed: 18352976]
- Cardwell CR, Stene LC, Joner G, et al. Caesarean section is associated with an increased risk of childhood-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Diabetologia. 2008; 51:726–35. [PubMed: 18292986]
- Reynolds P, Von Behren J, Elkin EP. Birth characteristics and leukemia in young children. Am J Epidemiol. 2002; 155:603–13. [PubMed: 11914187]
- Podvin D, Kuehn CM, Mueller BA, Williams M. Maternal and birth characteristics in relation to childhood leukaemia. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2006; 20:312–22. [PubMed: 16879503]
- Johnson KJ, Soler JT, Puumala SE, Ross JA, Spector LG. Parental and infant characteristics and childhood leukemia in Minnesota. BMC Pediatr. 2008; 8:7. [PubMed: 18298855]
- 15. Cnattingius S, Zack MM, Ekbom A, et al. Prenatal and neonatal risk factors for childhood lymphatic leukemia. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1995; 87:908–14. [PubMed: 7666480]
- Ajrouche R, Rudant J, Orsi L, et al. Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and indicators of early immune stimulation: the Estelle study (SFCE). Br J Cancer. 2015; 112:1017–26. [PubMed: 25675150]
- Momen NC, Olsen J, Gissler M, Cnattingius S, Li J. Delivery by caesarean section and childhood cancer: a nationwide follow-up study in three countries. BJOG. 2014; 121:1343–50. [PubMed: 24521532]
- Kaye SA, Robison LL, Smithson WA, Gunderson P, King FL, Neglia JP. Maternal reproductive history and birth characteristics in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer. 1991; 68:1351–55. [PubMed: 1873786]
- Thomopoulos TP, Skalkidou A, Dessypris N, et al. Prelabor cesarean delivery and early-onset acute childhood leukemia risk. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2015; published online March 19. doi: 10.1097/CEJ. 000000000000151
- Francis SS, Selvin S, Metayer C, et al. Mode of delivery and risk of childhood leukemia. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2014; 23:876–81. [PubMed: 24618997]
- Metayer C, Milne E, Clavel J, et al. The Childhood Leukemia International Consortium. Cancer Epidemiol. 2013; 37:336–47. [PubMed: 23403126]
- 22. Brookmeyer R, Liang KY, Linet M. Matched case-control designs and overmatched analyses. Am J Epidemiol. 1986; 124:693–701. [PubMed: 3752063]
- Hug K, Grize L, Seidler A, Kaatsch P, Schuz J. Parental occupational exposure to extremely low frequency magnetic fields and childhood cancer: a German case-control study. Am J Epidemiol. 2010; 171:27–35. [PubMed: 19942577]
- Miligi L, Benvenuti A, Mattioli S, et al. Risk of childhood leukaemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma after parental occupational exposure to solvents and other agents: the SETIL Study. Occup Environ Med. 2013; 70:648–55. [PubMed: 23729503]
- Dockerty JD, Skegg DC, Elwood JM, Herbison GP, Becroft DM, Lewis ME. Infections, vaccinations, and the risk of childhood leukaemia. Br J Cancer. 1999; 80:1483–89. [PubMed: 10424755]
- 26. Greaves M. Childhood leukaemia. BMJ. 2002; 324:283-87. [PubMed: 11823363]
- Prior E, Santhakumaran S, Gale C, Philipps LH, Modi N, Hyde MJ. Breastfeeding after cesarean delivery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of world literature. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012; 95:1113–35. [PubMed: 22456657]

- Amitay EL, Keinan-Boker L. Breastfeeding and childhood leukemia incidence: a meta-analysis and systematic review. JAMA Pediatr. 2015; 169:e151025. [PubMed: 26030516]
- Rothman, KJ., Greenland, S., Lash, TL. Modern epidemiology. 3rd. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008.
- Infante-Rivard C, Labuda D, Krajinovic M, Sinnett D. Risk of childhood leukemia associated with exposure to pesticides and with gene polymorphisms. Epidemiology. 1999; 10:481–87. [PubMed: 10468419]
- Monge P, Wesseling C, Guardado J, et al. Parental occupational exposure to pesticides and the risk of childhood leukemia in Costa Rica. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2007; 33:293–303. [PubMed: 17717622]
- Rudant J, Orsi L, Menegaux F, et al. Childhood acute leukemia, early common infections, and allergy: The ESCALE Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2010; 172:1015–27. [PubMed: 20807738]
- Bartley K, Metayer C, Selvin S, Ducore J, Buffler P. Diagnostic x-rays and risk of childhood leukaemia. Int J Epidemiol. 2010; 39:1628–37. [PubMed: 20889538]
- Brondum J, Shu XO, Steinbuch M, Severson RK, Potter JD, Robison LL. Parental cigarette smoking and the risk of acute leukemia in children. Cancer. 1999; 85:1380–88. [PubMed: 10189146]
- Schraw JM, Dong YQ, Okcu MF, Scheurer ME, Forman MR. Do longer formula feeding and later introduction of solids increase risk for pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia? Cancer Causes Control. 2014; 25:73–80. [PubMed: 24154567]
- 36. Podvin D, Kuehn CM, Mueller BA, Williams M. Maternal and birth characteristics in relation to childhood leukaemia. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2006; 20:312–22. [PubMed: 16879503]
- Sevelsted A, Stokholm J, Bonnelykke K, Bisgaard H. Cesarean section and chronic immune disorders. Pediatrics. 2015; 135:e92–98. [PubMed: 25452656]
- 38. Lagercrantz H. Stress, arousal, and gene activation at birth. News Physiol Sci. 1996; 11:214-18.
- Zanardo V, Solda G, Trevisanuto D. Elective cesarean section and fetal immune-endocrine response. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2006; 95:52–53. [PubMed: 16920115]
- 40. Mears K, McAuliffe F, Grimes H, Morrison JJ. Fetal cortisol in relation to labour, intrapartum events and mode of delivery. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2004; 24:129–32. [PubMed: 14766445]
- 41. Magiakou MA, Mastorakos G, Webster E, Chrousos GP. The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and the female reproductive system. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1997; 816:42–56. [PubMed: 9238254]
- 42. Schmiegelow K, Vestergaard T, Nielsen SM, Hjalgrim H. Etiology of common childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: the adrenal hypothesis. Leukemia. 2008; 22:2137–41. [PubMed: 18719616]
- Gaynon PS, Carrel AL. Glucocorticosteroid therapy in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Adv Exp Med Biol. 1999; 457:593–605. [PubMed: 10500839]
- 44. Ho JT, Al-Musalhi H, Chapman MJ, et al. Septic shock and sepsis: a comparison of total and free plasma cortisol levels. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006; 91:105–14. [PubMed: 16263835]
- Vickels DA, Moore DC. Serum cortisol responses in febrile children. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1989; 8:16–20. [PubMed: 2922232]
- Bierman HR, Crile DM, Dod KS, et al. Remissions in leukemia of childhood following acute infectious disease: staphylococcus and streptococcus, varicella, and feline panleukopenia. Cancer. 1953; 6:591–605. [PubMed: 13042782]
- 47. Kosiewicz MM, Zirnheld AL, Alard P. Gut microbiota, immunity, and disease: a complex relationship. Front Microbiol. 2011; 2:180. [PubMed: 21922015]
- Macpherson AJ, Martinic MM, Harris N. The functions of mucosal T cells in containing the indigenous commensal flora of the intestine. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2002; 59:2088–96. [PubMed: 12568335]
- Benveniste J, Lespinats G, Adam C, Salomon JC. Immunoglobulins in intact, immunized, and contaminated axenic mice: study of serum IgA. J Immunol. 1971; 107:1647–55. [PubMed: 4330458]
- 50. Bauer H, Horowitz RE, Levenson SM, Popper H. The response of the lymphatic tissue to the microbial flora. Studies on germfree mice. Am J Pathol. 1963; 42:471–83. [PubMed: 13966929]

- 51. Martin R, Nauta AJ, Ben Amor K, Knippels LM, Knol J, Garssen J. Early life: gut microbiota and immune development in infancy. Benef Microbes. 2010; 1:367–82. [PubMed: 21831776]
- 52. Li M, Wang M, Donovan SM. Early development of the gut microbiome and immune- mediated childhood disorders. Semin Reprod Med. 2014; 32:74–86. [PubMed: 24390924]
- Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello EK, Contreras M, et al. Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010; 107:11971–75. [PubMed: 20566857]
- 54. Jakobsson HE, Abrahamsson TR, Jenmalm MC, et al. Decreased gut microbiota diversity, delayed Bacteroidetes colonisation and reduced Th1 responses in infants delivered by caesarean section. Gut. 2014; 63:559–66. [PubMed: 23926244]
- Gronlund MM, Lehtonen OP, Eerola E, Kero P. Fecal microflora in healthy infants born by different methods of delivery: permanent changes in intestinal flora after cesarean delivery. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 1999; 28:19–25. [PubMed: 9890463]
- 56. Fung I, Garrett JP, Shahane A, Kwan M. Do bugs control our fate? The influence of the microbiome on autoimmunity. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2012; 12:511–19. [PubMed: 22886439]
- Ordovas JM, Mooser V. Metagenomics: the role of the microbiome in cardiovascular diseases. Curr Opin Lipidol. 2006; 17:157–61. [PubMed: 16531752]
- Honda K, Littman DR. The microbiome in infectious disease and inflammation. Annu Rev Immunol. 2012; 30:759–95. [PubMed: 22224764]
- 59. Schwabe RF, Jobin C. The microbiome and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2013; 13:800–12. [PubMed: 24132111]
- Zijlmans MA, Korpela K, Riksen-Walraven JM, de Vos WM, de Weerth C. Maternal prenatal stress is associated with the infant intestinal microbiota. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2015; 53:233–45. [PubMed: 25638481]
- Munyaka PM, Khafipour E, Ghia JE. External influence of early childhood establishment of gut microbiota and subsequent health implications. Front Pediatr. 2014; 2:109. [PubMed: 25346925]
- 62. MacDorman MF, Menacker F, Declercq E. Cesarean birth in the United States: epidemiology, trends, and outcomes. Clin Perinatol. 2008; 35:293–307. [PubMed: 18456070]
- 63. Anon. Appropriate technology for birth. Lancet. 1986; 2:1387–88. [PubMed: 2878240]
- 64. Betran AP, Merialdi M, Lauer JA, et al. Rates of caesarean section: analysis of global, regional and national estimates. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2007; 21:98–113. [PubMed: 17302638]
- Bat-Erdene U, Metcalfe A, McDonald SW, Tough SC. Validation of Canadian mothers' recall of events in labour and delivery with electronic health records. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2013; 13(suppl 1):S3. [PubMed: 23445768]
- Olson JE, Shu XO, Ross JA, Pendergrass T, Robison LL. Medical record validation of maternally reported birth characteristics and pregnancy-related events: a report from the Children's Cancer Group. Am J Epidemiol. 1997; 145:58–67. [PubMed: 8982023]
- Zeitlin J, Mohangoo AD, Delnord M, Cuttini M. EURO-PERISTAT Scientific Committee. The second European Perinatal Health Report: documenting changes over 6 years in the health of mothers and babies in Europe. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2013; 67:983–85. [PubMed: 24052513]
- 68. Vassilaki M, Chatzi L, Rasidaki M, et al. Caesarean deliveries in the mother–child (Rhea) cohort in Crete, Greece: almost as frequent as vaginal births and even more common in first-time mothers. Hippokratia. 2014; 18:298–305. [PubMed: 26052194]
- 69. Caughey RW, Michels KB. Birth weight and childhood leukemia: a meta-analysis and review of the current evidence. Int J Cancer. 2009; 124:2658–70. [PubMed: 19173295]
- Walsh JM, Hehir MP, Robson MS, Mahony RM. Mode of delivery and outcomes by birth weight among spontaneous and induced singleton cephalic nulliparous labors. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2015; 129:22–25. [PubMed: 25575424]
- Parks DG, Ziel HK. Macrosomia. A proposed indication for primary cesarean section. Obstet Gynecol. 1978; 52:407–09. [PubMed: 309570]
- 72. Sokol RJ, Blackwell SC. American College of O, Gynecologists. Committee on Practice B-G. ACOG practice bulletin: Shoulder dystocia. Number 40, November 2002. (Replaces practice pattern number 7, October 1997). Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2003; 80:87–92. [PubMed: 12578001]

Research in Context

Evidence before this study

Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) is the most common cancer in children. Immune development and early life exposures such as breastfeeding and infections are probably associated with the risk of ALL. Mounting evidence suggests that birth by caesarean delivery affects outcomes for the neonate, including development of the immune system; indeed, findings from two studies have suggested a heightened risk of ALL in children born by caesarean delivery. The first study showed an increased risk of the common ALL subtype after caesarean delivery, and the second noted an increased risk of B-cell ALL diagnosed at an earlier age specifically in children born by prelabour caesarean delivery.

Added value of this study

We did a pooled analysis of 13 case-control studies from the Childhood Leukemia International Consortium to investigate the association between childhood leukaemia and caesarean delivery. Our findings showed a significant association between prelabour caesarean delivery and childhood ALL. By contrast, acute myeloid leukaemia was not associated with caesarean delivery. Because of the large sample sizes and data available, we were able to separately examine subgroups of ALL and, in a subset of studies, caesarean deliveries that probably happened before the onset of labour. We substantiated the increased risk of B-cell ALL after birth by prelabour caesarean delivery, augmented in children diagnosed at age 0–3 years.

Implications of all the available evidence

The pooled analysis of CLIC studies suggest a role of prelabour caesarean delivery in development of ALL, specifically B-cell ALL. If confirmed in studies with detailed indications of caesarean delivery, these findings add to existing evidence suggesting adherence to guidelines for caesarean deliveries for the benefit of the child's health. Future studies could consider the absence of stress response before birth in children born by prelabour caesarean delivery as a potential mechanism.

Table 1

accrual
5
Ð
2
Se
ä
ပ
Ĕ
~
1
g
S.
<u> </u>
ũ
a
G
am
a
Ë
y n
Ĕ
St
۳
N
E
nt
by cour
0
0
9
S
S.
led analy
mal
Ξ
9
D.
ole
2
Õ
bod
Õ
Õ
Õ
Õ
Õ
led in the po
Õ
ies included in the po
led in the po
ies included in the po
ies included in the po
ies included in the po
ol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
ol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
rol studies included in the po
of the 13 case-control studies included in the po-
ry of the 13 case-control studies included in the po-
ary of the 13 case-control studies included in the po
ry of the 13 case-control studies included in the po-
nary of the 13 case-control studies included in the po
nary of the 13 case-control studies included in the po
nary of the 13 case-control studies included in the po

	Method of assessment	Cases			Controls	
		Source	ALL (n)	AML (n)	Source	u
Canada (Quebec), 1980–2000 ³⁰	Questionnaire	Province-wide hospitals	790	0	Province-wide population-based health- insurance registry	062
Costa Rica, 2001-03 ³¹	Questionnaire	Cancer registry and hospitals	252	40	Birth registry	577
Egypt (CCHE), 2009-11 (no publication yet)	Questionnaire	One hospital	299	0	Region-wide, population-based registry	351
France (ESCALE), 2003–04 ³²	Questionnaire	Cancer registry	648	101	Nationwide population quotas	1681
France (ESTELLE), 2010–11 ¹⁶	Questionnaire	Cancer registry	636	100	Nationwide population quotas	1421
Greece (NARECHEM), 1996–2013 ¹⁹	Questionnaire	Cancer registry	1045	114	Hospital-based registry	1176
Germany (GCCR), 1980–96 ²³	Questionnaire	Cancer registry	751	130	Population-based registry	2455
Italy (SETIL), 1998–2001 ²⁴	Questionnaire	Cancer registry	601	82	Population-based registry	1044
New Zealand (NZCCS), 1990–93 ²⁵	Questionnaire and medical records	Cancer registry	76	22	Birth registry	303
USA (CCLS), 1995–2013 ³³	Questionnaire	Hospitals	840	145	Statewide birth registry	1226
USA (CCG), 1989–93 ³⁴	Questionnaire	CCG clinical trials	1842	450	Random digit dialling st	2497
USA (Texas), 2003–13 ³⁵	Questionnaire and medical records	One hospital	212	1	One hospital	339
USA (Washington), 1974–2009 ³⁶	Birth records	Cancer registry	767	147	Statewide birth registry	9599
Total		:	8780	1332	:	23 459
Determination of second s	A room posterilistics to the study of	l official o	A officiaries A	MTm		

Lancet Haematol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 31.

Data are numbers of cases and controls aged 0–14 years contributing to the study sample. ALL=acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. AML=acute myeloid leukaemia. CCHE=Children's Cancer Hospital Egypt. ESCALE=Etude cas-témoins sur les cancers de l'enfant. ESTELLE=Etude cas-témoins sur les cancers de l'enfant. GCCR=German Childhood Cancer Registry. NARECHEM=NAtionwide REgistry for Childhood HaEmatological Malignancies. SETIL-Studio sulla Eziologia dei Tumori Infantili Linfoemopoietici. NZCCS=New Zealand Childhood Cancer Study. CCLS=California Childhood Leukemia Study. CCG=Children's Cancer Group.

 $\overset{*}{}$ Controls were individually matched on telephone area code and exchange.

Table 2
Birth and demographic characteristics of study participants

	Controls (n=23 351)	ALL cases (n=8655)	AML cases (n=1292
Child's sex			
Male	12 516 (54%)	4886 (57%)	677 (52%)
Female	10 835 (46%)	3769 (44%)	615 (48%)
Child's age (years)	•	•	•
0–1	4143 (18%)	1000 (12%)	381 (30%)
2–5	10 916 (47%)	4806 (56%)	340 (26%)
6–10	5244 (23%)	2013 (23%)	330 (26%)
11–14	2920 (13%)	834 (10%)	239 (19%)
Missing	128	2	2
Child's ethnic origin	•	•	•
White	18 069 (78%)	6723 (78%)	978 (76%)
Black	760 (3%)	177 (2%)	49 (4%)
Asian	820 (4%)	212 (3%)	61 (5%)
Hispanic	2476 (11%)	965 (11%)	152 (12%)
Other	1011 (4%)	553 (6%)	47 (4%)
Missing	215	25	5
Birthweight (g)	•	•	•
2499	1316 (6%)	450 (5%)	73 (6%)
2500–3999	18 960 (83%)	6866 (82%)	1045 (82%)
4000	2644 (12%)	1068 (13%)	156 (12%)
Missing	431	271	18
Gestational age (weeks)	•	•	•
<34	347 (2%)	115 (2%)	16 (2%)
34–36	1005 (6%)	431 (6%)	66 (6%)
37–38	3293 (18%)	1274 (18%)	157 (15%)
39–40	9342 (51%)	3624 (51%)	556 (52%)
>40	4260 (23%)	1650 (23%)	276 (26%)
Missing	5104	1561	221
Mother's age at delivery (years)	·		
<26	8179 (35%)	2918 (34%)	464 (36%)
26–30	7854 (34%)	2913 (34%)	422 (33%)
31–35	5081 (22%)	1961 (23%)	286 (22%)
36–40	1754 (8%)	661 (8%)	96 (7%)
>40	306 (1%)	98 (1%)	22 (2%)
Missing	177	104	2

	Controls (n=23 351)	ALL cases (n=8655)	AML cases (n=1292)
None or primary	2082 (11%)	1054 (13%)	178 (15%)
Secondary	7414 (40%)	3558 (43%)	557 (46%)
Tertiary	9159 (49%)	3644 (44%)	473 (39%)
Missing	4696	399	84
Breastfeeding ${}^{\acute{ au}}$			
Yes	9428 (69%)	5049 (66%)	468 (70%)
No	4153 (31%)	2624 (34%)	203 (30%)
Missing	9770	982	621
Method of delivery			
Vaginal	18 583 (80%)	6601 (76%)	1020 (79%)
Caesarean	4768 (21%)	2054 (24%)	272 (21%)
Type of caesarean [‡]			
Prelabour because of previous caesarean delivery or multiple births	325	309	34
Other or unknown	1076	752	104

Data are for numbers of participants with complete data for method of delivery (%). ALL=acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. AML=acute myeloid leukaemia.

^{*} Data for 4230 controls, 335 ALL cases, and 66 AML cases are missing from the US (Washington) study, in which data on parental education were not obtained before 1992.

 † Data for 8499 controls, 680 ALL cases, and 128 AML cases are missing from the US (Washington) study, in which breast-feeding data were not obtained before 2003.

[‡]Data are shown for the Canada, France (ESTELLE), Greece, and US (CCG) studies that had information about the indication for caesarean delivery. Only absolute counts are shown because data are for a subset of participants.

Table 3

by study
delivery,
caesarean
type of
quency of

AML cases 11 (26%) 12 (50%) 31 (74%) 4 (50%) 4 (50%) 6 (25%) 6 (25%) 24 42 0 × : Participants aged 0–3 years ALL cases 139 (74%) 27 (37%) 47 (64%) 13 (33%) 21 (54%) 66 (34%) 39 (20%) 91 (47%) 50 (27%) 5 (13%) 196 18974 39 181 (81%) 104 (50%) 45 (40%) Controls 11 (18%) 52 (83%) 16 (14%) 53 (47%) 56 (27%) 47 (23%) 42 (19%) 114 207 223 63 AML cases 17 (21%) 16 (40%) 65 (79%) 11 (28%) 13 (33%) All participants aged up to 14 years 8 (50%) 7 (44%) 1(6%)16 40 82 : ALL cases 302 (74%) 142 (36%) 166 (43%) 109 (27%) 42 (31%) 83 (21%) 16 (13%) 52 (43%) 54 (44%) 95 (69%) 122 411 137 391 432 (77%) 126 (28%) 108 (24%) 127 (50%) 215 (48%) 129 (23%) 109 (80%) Controls 27 (20%) 43 (17%) 85 (33%) 136 255 449 561 Other or unknown Other or unknown Other or unknown Other or unknown France (ESTELLE) Caesarean delivery Caesarean delivery Caesarean delivery Caesarean delivery Canada, Quebec Emergency Emergency USA (CCG) Prelabour Prelabour Prelabour Prelabour Greece

Lancet Haematol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 January 31.

Data are n (%). ALL=acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. AML=acute myeloid leukaemia. ESTELLE=Etude cas-témoins sur les cancers de l'enfant. CCG=Children's Cancer Group.

Author Manuscrip		
<u> </u>	Author Manuscript	

ry
elive
n de
area
caes
our
elab
and prelabour caesare
/ and
ivery
ean deliver
rean
aesa
d with caes
d wi
iate
ISSOC
nia a
saen
leuk
poot
dbliu
t of ch
Risk (
2

Table 4

	Caesarean delivery (all		indications)				Prelabour caesarean delivery	ı delivery				
	Number of studies	Controls	slo	Cases		OR* (95% CI)	Number of studies	Controls	s	Cases		OR* (95% CI)
		CD	ΔŊ	CD	ΔΛ			PLCD	ΔŊ	PLCD	ΔŊ	
Outcome												
ALL	13	3812	14 184	1877	6000	1.06 (0.99–1.13)	4	325	4467	306	3238	1.23 (1.04–1.47), p=0.018
AML	11	3179	11 917	251	937	0.99 (0.84–1.17)	3	298	3815	34	525	0.83 (0.54–1.26)
ALL immunophenotype $^{\not{ au}}$	enotype $\dot{ au}$											
B-cell	10	3411	12 641	1324	4091	1.07 (0.99–1.15)	3	267	3394	208	1867	1.23 (1.01–1.50), p=0.039
T-cell	10	3411	12 641	150	519	0.97 (0.80–1.18)	3	267	3394	26	275	1.11 (0.72–1.72)
Risk of ALL by subgroup $^{ m \prime}$	subgroup $^{ au}$											
Age at diagnosis (years) \ddagger	(years)											
0	13	312	1155	62	190	1.14 (0.79–1.64)	4	13	305	11	96	2.62 (0.96–7.19)
0–3	13	1883	6327	927	2679	1.05 (0.95–1.17)	4	125	1743	148	1405	1.42 (1.09–1.83), p=0.0079
1–5	13	2411	6 <i>L</i> 6 <i>L</i>	1293	3769	1.04 (0.96–1.14)	4	210	2454	201	1982	1.19 (0.96–1.47)
6-10	13	732	3269	386	1430	1.12 (0.96–1.30)	4	63	1024	64	775	1.32 (0.91–1.93)
11 - 14	12	339	1706	136	611	1.00 (0.78–1.27)	4	39	684	30	385	1.13 (0.65–1.94)
Year of birth												
1970–79	4	85	543	55	329	1.06 (0.70–1.60)	2	17	386	11	304	1.13 (0.46–2.80)
1980–89	9	806	3489	535	2049	1.01 (0.89–1.15)	3	119	1870	122	1523	1.30 (0.99–1.72)
1990–99	13	1613	6439	690	2300	1.07 (0.95–1.19)	4	77	1045	75	703	1.25 (0.88–1.78)
2000–09	9	1237	3528	575	1296	1.12 (0.98–1.28)	2	102	1015	91	688	1.09 (0.79–1.50)
2010–13	4	71	185	22	26	1.54 (0.69–3.42)	2	10	151	7	20	3.92 (0.98–15.70)
Gestational age												
Early preterm	12	137	154	49	53	1.12 (0.66–1.91)	4	6	46	6	35	0.88 (0.19–4.10)
Late preterm	12	299	591	140	254	1.07 (0.81–1.42)	4	18	165	17	113	1.39 (0.61–3.14)
Early term	12	773	2152	375	837	1.11 (0.94–1.31)	4	82	588	92	422	1.35 (0.95–1.93)
Full term	12	1473	6759	671	2786	1.01 (0.90–1.13)	4	142	2212	135	1709	1.23 (0.95–1.59)

	Caesarean delivery (all indications)	(all indic	ations)				Prelabour caesarean delivery	ı delivery				
	Number of studies	Controls	ols	Cases		OR* (95% CI)	Number of studies	Controls		Cases		OR* (95% CI)
		сD	ΩΛ	сD	ΔΛ			PLCD	đΛ	PLCD	đΛ	
Late term	11	649	3220	296	1308	1.04 (0.88–1.22)	7	15	586	8	641	0.85 (0.34–2.11)
Child's ethnic origin $^{g_{\parallel}}$	gin <i>§¶</i>				h							
White	4	1237	4316	472	1569	1.04(0.91 - 1.19)		:	:	:	:	:
Black	4	122	306	37	113	0.74 (0.44–1.24)		:	:	:	:	:
Asian	4	102	383	26	121	0.85 (0.48–1.51)		:	:	:	:	:
Hispanic	4	300	1178	146	467	1.14 (0.89–1.47)		:	:	:	:	:
Other	4	43	165	20	67	1.23 (0.62–2.45)		:	:	:	:	:
Child's ethnic orig	Child's ethnic origin (age 2–5 years) gn											
White	4	685	2198	295	862	1.06 (0.88–1.26)		:	:	:	:	:
Black	4	65	148	18	47	0.65 (0.29–1.44)		:	:	:	:	:
Asian	4	57	208	17	77	0.91 (0.43–1.89)	:	:	:	:	:	:
Hispanic	4	137	632	90	272	1.36 (0.97–1.92)	:	:	:	:	:	:
Other	4	28	83	11	40	0.86 (0.32–2.27)	:	:	:	:	:	:
OR=odds ratio. CD:	OR=odds ratio. CD=caesarean delivery. VD=vaginal delivery. PLCD=prelabour caesarean delivery. ALL=acute lymphoblasic leukaemia. AML=acute myeloid leukaemia.	D=vagina	ıl delivery.	y. PLCD=p	relabour 	caesarean delivery.	ALL=acute lymphoblas	sic leukaen	nia. AMI	⊆=acute m	yeloid leı	ıkaemia.

Adjusted for birthweight, sex, ethnic origin, matemal age, child's age at diagnosis or reference date, parental education, and study.

 $\stackrel{r}{\tau} Analyses include ALL cases only.$

 ${}^{\sharp}\!$ ORs adjusted for birthweight, sex, ethnic origin, maternal age, parental education, and study.

 $\overset{S}{\mathcal{S}}$ Analyses include the four US studies only.

RoRs adjusted for birthweight, sex, maternal age, child's age at diagnosis or reference date, parental education, and study.