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Several platinum cis dihydride phosphine complexes, (diphos) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}[4$, diphos $=\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PiPr}_{2}$ (dippe); 5 , diphos $=\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PCy}_{2}$ (dcype); and 6, diphos $=\mathrm{tBu}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PtBu}_{2}$ (dtbpe)], have been found to be unstable in solution, reversibly losing $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and forming binuclear complexes of the general formula $[(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{PtH}]_{2}$. The extent of dimerization in solution is directly related to the steric size of the phosphine ligand, larger phosphines imparting kinetic stability to the cis dihydride monomers. The dimeric complexes possess terminal hydride ligands based on their IR spectra; however the room temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\},{ }^{195 \mathrm{Pt}}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra show equivalence within the sets of $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pt}$, and P nuclei, indicating that the dimers are fluxional in solution. This fluxional process can be stopped at low temperature for the (dippe) and (dcype) derivatives, 1 and $\mathbf{2}$, but not for the (dtbpe) derivative, 3. The complex 1 crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ in a cell of dimensions $\mathrm{a}=9.245(2) \AA, \mathrm{b}=16.966(2) \AA, \mathrm{c}=$ $22.690(6) \AA, Z=4$. The structure shows square planar geometry about each $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{I})$ center, the coordination sphere being composed of one bidentate phosphine ligand, a terminal hydride, and a direct, unbridged bond to the other Pt center. The angle between the two square planes is $93.2(1)^{\circ}$, the Pt Pt distance is $2.5777(5) \AA$. The complex 3 crystallizes as a toluene solvate in the triclinic space group Pī with $\mathrm{a}=11.552(3) \AA, \mathrm{b}=13.123(3) \AA, \mathrm{c}=$ $17.694(4) \AA, \alpha=81.20(2)^{\circ}, B=89.20(2)^{\circ}, \gamma=66.55(2)^{\circ}, Z=2$. The structure is similar to that of 1 , however there are several distortions resulting from steric crowding caused by the larger tert-butyl groups (Pt-Pt distance $=2.6094(6) \AA$ ). The spectral and structural details, solution properties, and the nature of the fluxional process, are discussed.

## Introduction

Monohydrides of platinum(II) with monodentate phosphine ligands, for example trans $-\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{Cl})\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right) 2$, were first prepared by Chatt in 1957. ${ }^{\text {1a, } \mathrm{b}}$ The trans-dihydrides are less stable, and no isolated compounds were described until 1974 when Shaw used sterically bulky phosphines in order to prevent decomposition. ${ }^{\text {lc }}$ Shaw also showed that the $c i s$-dihydrides are stable towards loss of dihydrogen only when chelating bidentate phosphines with sterically bulky substituents are used. ${ }^{\text {ld, } 2}$

Consistent with this latter concept, in the course of preparing cis-(P-P)PtH2 ${ }_{2}$ complexes of various phosphines, Otsuka and co-workers ${ }^{3}$ found that only the derivatives containing sterically bulky phosphines were stable in solution. Solutions of the derivatives containing smaller phosphines gradually darkened in color, and the intensity of the hydride resonance in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum as well as the Pt - H stretch in the infrared spectrum, diminished over time. Otsuka et al. suggested that for the ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ ) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ derivatives containing sterically smaller phosphines, reversible loss of dihydrogen was occurring to give $\left({ }_{2}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\right)_{2}$ dimers in solution. This postulate was made based on the above observations, and the fact that reduction of (dtbpp) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{dtbpp}=(\mathrm{tBu})_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{tBu})_{2}\right)$ in tetrahydrofuran yields only the hydride-free compound $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ (dtbpp)2. This reidish-orange $\mathrm{Pt}(0)$ dimer is controversial since some chemical reactions imply the presence of $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, suggesting that perhaps more than one species is present in solution. ${ }^{3 a}$

Similarly, $\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{PCy}\right) \mathrm{PtH}_{2}(\mathrm{n}=2$, dcype; $\mathrm{n}=3$, dcypp) have also been reported to be unstable in solution. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ The monomers lose dihydrogen in solution and generate a species that was identified as a dimer, $[(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{PtH}] 2$, by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy. This deduction was questioned by Pidcock and co-workers ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ since they showed that warming a solution of (dppe) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{dppe}=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$, formed at low temperature, to room temperature yields the dimer [(dppe)PtH] $]_{2}$, whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra are very different from those of the (dcype) and (dcypp) derivatives in ref. 4a. The
latter complexes give NMR spectra that are similar to those that have been observed for cationic complexes of the type $\left[\left(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right][\mathrm{X}]\right.$; the proton source is presumably $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, so the anion is $(-\mathrm{OH}) .4 \mathrm{~b}$ The fluxional cation, $\left[(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right][\mathrm{X}]$, with either one or two bridging hydrides in the solid state, is a pervasive structural feature in platinum hydride chemistry. ${ }^{5}$ The hydrides in (dcypp) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ are also fluxional; they undergo mutual exchange on the NMR timescale and it has been suggested that $\eta^{2}$-hydrogen complexes are involved. ${ }^{4 a, c}$

This novel suggestion was the origin of our studies since we thought that it might be possible to displace the hypothetical dihydrogen ligand with $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Yb}$. As part of an endeavor to use (P-P)Pt and cis-(P-P)PtH2 complexes as ligands towards ( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C} 5\right)_{2} \mathrm{Yb}, 6$ we prepared various dihydrides with chelating bidentate phosphine ligands. We observed that these compounds lose dihydrogen to a variable extent, depending upon the substituents on phosphorus and that the resulting dimers, $[(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{PtH}]_{2}$, are fluxional in solution. These dimers have never been studied in detail, and no crystal structures of these neutral dimeric platinum hydrides have been reported, though they are generally written as if they contain bridging hydride ligands, ${ }^{4}$ in analogy with $[(\text { dcypp }) \mathrm{Ni}(\mu-\mathrm{H})]_{2} .{ }^{7}, \mathrm{~b}$ Recently, the related palladium hydride dimer, $[(\operatorname{dippp}) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-H)]_{2}$, has been described. ${ }^{7 \mathrm{c}}$ In the solid state, the hydride ligands bridge the two palladium centers in an unsymmetrical manner, and the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ planes are twisted relative to each other by $20^{\circ}$, compared to a twist angle in the nickel dimer of $63^{\circ}$. In solution both molecules are fluxional, with equivalency within the sets of hydride and phosphorus nuclei.

## Results

Syntheses. Stirring a thf solution of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$, (dippe) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$, with sodium amalgam at room temperature under one atmosphere of hydrogen for one day gave [(dippe) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}(1)$ as the sole product, isolated in $62 \%$ yield. This result was unexpected as the synthetic method is identical (except for the identity of the phosphine) to that used by Otsuka to synthesize (dtbpe) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2} \cdot{ }^{3 \mathrm{a}}$ The dimer $\mathbf{1}$ is light
brown in color, soluble in aliphatic hydrocarbons, and is volatile enough to give a molecular ion in the mass spectrum. The dimeric nature of 1 is clear from the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum, in which two different ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ coupling constants are observed. The solid state infrared spectrum shows two strong bands at 1939 and $1926 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, indicating the presence of terminal hydride ligands. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-coupled ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ INEPT NMR spectrum (see Supplementary Material) shows that there are two hydrides per dimer. The hydride region of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 1 shows an apparent 'quintet of quintets', $3 \mathrm{~b}, 5 \mathrm{e}$ indicating equivalency on the NMR timescale within the sets of four phosphorus, two platinum, and two hydride nuclei, at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A similar synthetic procedure using the cyclohexylphosphine derivative, (dcype) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$, yields the known monomer (dcype) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}(5)^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ in $85 \%$ yield after crystallization from thf, as colorless crystals. Inspection of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ showed that it contained a ca. 9:1 ratio of 5 and a material shown to be the dimer $\left[(\right.$ dcype $) \mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ (2). This ratio is unchanged indefinitely under nitrogen at room temperature, and is also unchanged after prolonged heating at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a closed system. However heating a sample of 5 in toluene at reflux for 16 hours, under a slow purge of nitrogen (i.e, in an open system), gives 2 as a yellow powder in $58 \%$ isolated yield. The NMR data for 2 are similar to those of 1. The solid state infrared spectrum shows two features at 1965 and $1937 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, due to terminal $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}$ stretches.

As mentioned in the Introduction, conversion of 5 to 2 in solution has been reported in the literature. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ In contrast to our findings, these authors reported that room temperature solutions of 5 gave complete conversion to 2 within one hour. In addition, Pidcock and co-workers have suggested that the species identified by Clark and Hampden-Smith as "[(dcype) $\mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{H})]_{2}$ " was actually [(dcype) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]^{+}$, where the anion is presumably hydroxide. We have found that adding several drops of water to a benzene solution of 5 results in clean conversion to [(dcype) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]^{+}[\mathrm{OH}]^{-}$. The NMR values of this cationic
complex (see later discussion) show that this is indeed the complex that Clark and Hampden-Smith identified as 2; our results confirm the suggestion made by Pidcock et al. ${ }^{4 b}$

A benzene solution of (dtbpe) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ (6), prepared using Otsuka's method, ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}}$ is stable indefinitely at room temperature under nitrogen, as was found for 5 . In contrast to 5 , no evidence of a dimeric complex is seen in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture. Otsuka and co-workers reported that 6 is stable in solution up to temperatures of $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We found that this is indeed true for a toluene solution of 6 in a closed system. However, analogous to 5, heating a toluene solution of 6 at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours under a slow purge of nitrogen gives a reddish-brown solution from which [(dtbpe) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ (3) can be isolated in $73 \%$ yield as dark orange crystals. This dimer also shows two terminal Pt-H stretching frequencies in the infrared spectrum at 1975 and 1951 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The dimer 3 is stable indefinitely in benzene under nitrogen at room temperature, and has similar ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra to those of 1 and 2 (Table 1).
(Table 1 here)

Monomer/Dimer Equilibrium. Benzene solutions of all of the dimers, 1-3, are stable at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. However, when the nitrogen is replaced by hydrogen gas ( 1 atm ), quantitative conversion to the cis-dihydride monomers occurs within 24 hours for all three samples; conversion was followed by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy. The (dippe) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ monomer (4) was not isolated as it is only stable under an atmosphere of hydrogen gas; its identity was deduced by the similarity of its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectral values to those of 5 and 6 (Table 2).

Solutions of 5 and 6 (converted from 2 and 3 ) were then stable indefinitely when the hydrogen was replaced by nitrogen. In contrast, the sample of 4 slowly reverted to 1 when the hydrogen atmosphere was replaced by nitrogen; the sample consisted of a ca. 2:1 ratio of 1:4 after 100 hours at room temperature under nitrogen. Interconversion of 1 and 4 was found to be facile, depending upon whether $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was present over the solution (eq. 1).

$$
\text { (equation } 1 \text { here) }
$$

This interconversion is clean, with no by-products observed in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum, indicating that eq. 1 is a reversible chemical equilibrium.

Solid State Structures of 1 and 3. The ORTEP diagrams of 1 and 3 are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The crystallographic data (Table 3), positional parameters (Tables 4 and 5), and selected bond lengths and angles (Table 6) are given below.

## (Figures 1 and 2 here)

The hydride ligands could not be located, but their positions are inferred from the square planar geometry about each platinum center. Qualitatively, both structures contain two square planar platinum centers, the coordination sphere of each consisting of two phosphorus atoms, one hydride, and the other platinum atom; the idealized symmetry is $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. Formally, both metal centers are in the +I oxidation state and a metal-metal bond is present, accounting for the observed diamagnetism. Dimeric platinum hydride complexes possessing an unbridged Pt-Pt bond have not been structurally characterized before.
(Tables 3-6 here)

Considering first the structure of $\mathbf{1}$, the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ bond distances in 1 are in the expected range. $3 \mathrm{a}, 8$ The distances for the pair of phosphorus atoms trans to the hydrides $(\operatorname{Pt}(1)$ -
$\mathrm{P}(1), 2.257$ (3) $\AA ; \operatorname{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3), 2.254$ (3) $\AA)$ are identical within experimental error to the analogous distances for the pair trans to the other platinum atom $(\operatorname{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2), 2.242(3) \AA$, $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4), 2.244$ (3) $\AA$ ), indicating that the terminal $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}$ bond and the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bond have similartrans influences. The $\operatorname{Pt}(1)-\operatorname{Pt}(2)$ separation of 2.5777 (5) $\AA$ for 1 is consistent with a direct, unbridged $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{I})$ bond, and is within the range found in the four $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{I})$ dimers containing unbridged Pt-Pt bonds that have been crystallographically characterized (2.53-2.63 $\AA$ ). ${ }^{9}$ These distances are shorter then expected, considering that the covalent radius of $\operatorname{Pt}(0)$ is $1.38 \AA^{10}$ and that of $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ is $1.31 \AA .^{11} \mathrm{Many} \operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{I})$ dimers with bridging ligands are known and they have been reviewed. ${ }^{12}$

The P-Pt-Pt angles, $102.1^{\circ}(\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)), 100.5^{\circ}(\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)), 168.6^{\circ}$ $(\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2))$, and $167.4^{\circ}(\mathrm{P}(4)-\operatorname{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1))$, none of which deviate from the idealized square planar values $\left(90^{\circ}\right.$ for the first pair, $180^{\circ}$ for the latter pair) by more than $13^{\circ}$, are also consistent with the presence of two terminal hydrides. The analogous values for $\left(\left[\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{SiEt}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\right]_{2}\right.$ are $123-134^{\circ} .{ }^{13}$ This lack of deviation from idealized square planar values indicates that steric interactions between iso-propyl groups of the phosphines are negligible, as such interactions would result in an opening of the $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ and $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)$ angles from the idealized value of $90^{\circ}$. Examination of the iso-propyl groups on $\mathrm{P}(1)$ and $\mathrm{P}(3)$ shows that these steric interactions are minimized by the orientation of the alkyl groups; the methine hydrogen atoms on $\mathrm{C}(11)$ and $\mathrm{C}(30)$ point towards, while the larger methyl groups point away from, each other.

The dihedral angle between the planes defined by $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2) / \mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ is $93^{\circ}$. The analogous angle in $[(\mathrm{dtbpp}) \mathrm{Pt}]_{2}$ is $82^{\circ} .3 \mathrm{a}$ For the latter structure, in which the P -Pt-Pt angles are $c a .128^{\circ}$, a $90^{\circ}$ dihedral angle would minimize steric interactions between the large tert-butyl groups on the phosphine ligands, and this is probably the reason for the $82^{\circ}$ angle. However, since the $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2), \mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)$ angles in 1 are $\mathrm{ca} .101^{\circ}$, such steric interactions would be minimized if this dihedral angle were $180^{\circ}$ (there are
actually two $180^{\circ}$ orientations, the orientation being discussed has the hydrides trans to each other, relative to the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ vector, Fig. 3):
(Figure 3 here)

This geometry places all of the alkyl groups on the phosphine ligands near hydrides, as opposed to near other alkyl groups, and minimizes the steric interactions discussed in the previous paragraph. The dihedral angle in the nickel analogue, $[(\text { dcypp }) \mathrm{Ni}(\mu-\mathrm{H})]_{2},{ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ is $63^{\circ}$, and this was rationalized based on a steric argument. In the palladium analogue, $[(\text { dippp }) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{H})]_{2}, 7 \mathrm{c}$ it is $20^{\circ}$, and this was rationalized by assuming that the larger size of Pd results in minimal steric interactions between the iso-propyl groups on opposite ends of the dimer. The main difference between the Ni and Pd dimers and 1 is the presence of terminal hydrides in the latter complex, resulting in more acute $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2), \mathrm{P}(3)-$ $\operatorname{Pt}(2)-\operatorname{Pt}(1)$ angles, making the $180^{\circ}$ geometry the sterically favored one, relative to the $90^{\circ}$ geometry (Figure 3). While the $180^{\circ}$ geometry appears to be favored on steric grounds, the $90^{\circ}$ geometry is assumed to be the thermodynamically preferred conformation, as it is observed in the solid state structures of 1 and 3.

The structure of 3 is qualitatively similar to that of 1 , however there are several differences. The $\operatorname{Pt}(1)-\operatorname{Pt}(2)$ distance in 3 is $2.609(1) \AA, 0.03 \AA$ longer than in 1. This lengthening is most reasonably ascribed to steric interactions between the larger tert-butyl groups on the two phosphine ligands, specifically between the $C(6)$ and $C(9)$ tert-butyl groups. In contrast to 1 , in which the methine hydrogens on these analogous carbon atoms can be oriented so as to minimize steric interactions, such a lower energy conformation does not exist for 3. In addition, the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ angles ( $114.7^{\circ}(\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)), 115.7^{\circ}$ $(P(1)-\operatorname{Pt}(1)-\operatorname{Pt}(2)), 152.3^{\circ}(P(2)-\operatorname{Pt}(1)-\operatorname{Pt}(2))$, and $153.8^{\circ}(P(4)-\operatorname{Pt}(2)-\operatorname{Pt}(1))$, deviate from the ideal square planar values by $c a .26^{\circ}$, vs. ca. $13^{\circ}$ in 1 . This distortion is also consistent with steric interactions between the tert-butyl groups.

The $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ torsional angle may also be used as evidence that steric interactions play a role in the structural distortions in 3. In 3, this angle is $108^{\circ}$, in 1 it is $97^{\circ}$. The steric interactions between the $C(6)$ and $C(9)$ tert-butyl groups (on $P(1)$ and $P(3)$ ) result in an opening of this angle by $11^{\circ}$, relative to 1 . There are no close intramolecular non-bonded interactions between the $\mathrm{C}(6)$ and $\mathrm{C}(9)$ alkyl groups, presumably because the distortions discussed above relieve such interactions. This is illustrated clearly by the observation that the $C(17)-C(27)$ distance (methyl carbons on $C(6)$ and $C(9)$, respectively), $3.80 \AA$, decreases to $2.25 \AA$ when the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ angles, $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ distance, and P-Pt-Pt-P dihedral angles are set equal to their analogous values in the structure of 1.

It can be argued that all of these distortions are also consistent with a distortion towards a bridging hydride structure for 3 . However, the solid state infrared data show that the hydrides are terminal in both 1 and 3. No terminal M-H stretches are present in the infrared spectrum of $[(\text { dcypp }) \mathrm{Ni}(\mu-\mathrm{H})]_{2} ; 7 \mathrm{a}$ unfortunately, no infrared data were reported for $[(\mathrm{dippp}) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{H})]_{2} .^{7 c}$ Also, if the solid state structure of 3 did contain bridging or semi-bridging hydride ligands, the $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ torsional angle would be closer to $180^{\circ}, 9$ as is observed in Fryzuk's palladium analogue, 7 c to satisfy the square planar coordination of the platinum centers. The observed angle is $108.1^{\circ}$. It seems reasonable to conclude that the distortions observed in the structure of $\mathbf{3}$ arise as a result of the steric interactions discussed above.

Room Temperature NMR Spectra. The room temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$, and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ (INEPT) NMR spectra of 1 (Fig. 4) show that all four phosphorus nuclei, both platinum nuclei, and the two hydride nuclei, are equivalent on the NMR timescale. Qualitatively, the room temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectra of 2 and 3 are identical with those of 1.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$, and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectra for $1-3$ consist of the superposition of three subspectra, arising from the three different isotopomeric combinations of platinum nuclei having different nuclear spins $\left(\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Pt}, 43.8 \% ; \mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}, 44.8 \% ;{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}, 11.4 \%\right.$--the percentages arise from the $33.8 \%$ natural abundance of ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ ). The splitting in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum consists of an apparent quintet of quintets (Fig. 5), arising from the superposition of an $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ spin system ( $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Pt}$ isotopomer, central quintet), an $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ spin system ( $\mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ isotopomer, doublet of quintets), and an $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{XX}$ ' spin system ( ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ isotopomer, triplet of quintets); the superposition of these spin systems, giving the observed apparent quintet of quintets, has been discussed in detail in the literature, with respect to $\left[(P-P)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]+[\mathrm{X}]$ - complexes. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$, 5 e
(Figure 5 here)

The pattern observed in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum (Fig. 4b) arises from a combination of an $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ spin system ( $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Pt}$ isotopomer, a singlet), an $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$ spin system $\left(\mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\right.$ isotopomer, giving rise to all of the observed splitting in the experimental spectrum, see
 pattern), the latter of which is only partially observed in the experimental spectrum (the outermost visible lines correspond to half of the total intensity of this subspectrum), ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$ as a result of the $11.4 \%$ abundance of this isotopomer and of the multiple couplings present for this spin system. Again, the superposition of these spin systems has been discussed in the literature, with respect to $\left[(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]+[\mathrm{X}]$ - complexes. $3 \mathrm{~b}, 5 \mathrm{e}$ Similarly, the experimental ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum (Fig. 4c) is a superposition of $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\right.$, triplet of triplets, see labels on Fig. 4 c ) and $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{XXX}^{\prime}$ ( ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$, second order pattern) spin systems; the latter subspectrum is not observed in the experimental spectrum, due to its low relative abundance and multiple couplings. As expected, the ${ }^{1,2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PLP}}$ values obtained from the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum (Table 1) are identical to those measured from the ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum.

Clearly, some sort of fluxional process is occurring that makes the two hydrides, the four phosphorus nuclei, and the two platinum nuclei equivalent on the NMR timescale at room temperature, as the spectra are not consistent with the solid state structures of 1 and 3. Such a process, in which there is equivalency within the sets of $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}$, and Pt nuclei, has been reported for several $\left[(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right][\mathrm{X}]$ complexes. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$, 5e A static planar [ $\mathrm{P}-$ $\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{H})]_{2}$ structure of $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ symmetry is not consistent with the observed equivalency, although this has been suggested in the literature. $4 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{c}$

The NMR values for 1-3 (Table 1) are similar to the room temperature values reported by Pidcock and co-workers for $[(\mathrm{dppe}) \mathrm{PtH}]_{2}$ (also in Table 1). ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ Comparison of these values to the values for trihydride cationic complexes of the type $\left[(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right][\mathrm{X}]$ (Table 7) which exhibit several different structures, 5 e all of which contain at least one bridging hydride in the solid state, shows significant differences.

## (Table 7 here)

The neutral dimers $1-3$ have a much larger average ${ }^{3}{ }^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{PP}$ value than the average long-range $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{PP}}$ value for the cationic complexes. In addition, the average ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PLP}}$ value for the neutral dimers 1-3 are much larger then the analogous value for the cationic complexes (e.g, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PIP}}$ $=420 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for 2 ; $\mathrm{JPP}_{\mathrm{P}}=149 \mathrm{~Hz}$ for the analogous cationic complex, [(dcype) $\left.{ }_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right][\mathrm{OH}]$ ). These differences indicate that there is more spin-spin communication between the two halves of the neutral dimers, relative to the cationic complexes. The direct, unbridged Pt-Pt bond in the solid state for the complexes 1-3 transmits spin-spin coupling more efficiently than the bridged Pt-Pt bond in the cationic complexes.

Comparison of the averaged spectral values for the neutral dimers to those of monomeric cis $-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ (Table 2 ) shows that the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PtH}}$ values are much smaller, and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {PIP }}$ values are larger, for the former complexes. These differences are indicative of bridging or semi-bridging hydride ligands in the neutral dimers (on the NMR timescale, at
room temperature), ${ }^{14}$ inconsistent with the terminal hydride coordination found in the solid state structures of 1 and 3 . Lastly, comparison of the values among the three dimers shows that $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ possess very similar values, while the values for $\mathbf{3}$ are slightly different (this difference being most pronounced in the $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{Pt}}$ values).

Low Temperature NMR Spectra. In order to learn more about the fluxional process, NMR samples of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, and $\mathbf{3}$ in d8-toluene were cooled to $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra were recorded. The spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ were virtually identical with the room temperature spectra, implying that the fluxional process is still rapid. However, the spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were significantly different from the room temperature spectra, due to stopping of the fluxional process(es) that $o c c u r(\mathrm{~s})$ at room temperature. The temperature at which the fluxional process is stopped is $-54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 and $-36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 . Both averaged $3 \mathrm{~b}, 5 \mathrm{se}$ and stopped-exchange ${ }^{5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 15}$ cases have been reported for $\left[\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right][\mathrm{X}]$ complexes; complexes with chelating phosphines yield averaged spectra at all temperatures and complexes with monodentate phosphines yield stereochemically rigid spectra at all temperatures. The palladium dimer $[(\text { dippp }) \mathrm{PtH}]_{2}$ is fluxional at all temperatures. ${ }^{7 \mathrm{c}}$ Thus, complexes 1 and 2 represent the first cases in which both regimes, fluxional and stereochemically rigid, are accessible. An interesting study ${ }^{16}$ has yielded an estimate of $17.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the fluxional process in [(dppe) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$, based on proton $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ measurements.

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum of 1 taken at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is shown in Figure 6. The low temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectra for $\mathbf{2}$ are qualitatively identical to those of $\mathbf{1}$.
(Figure 6 here)

While the $90^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ geometries (Fig. 3) cannot be distinguished based on the low temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$, and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ NMR data, the data are entirely consistent with the solid state structure found for $\mathbf{1}$, as discussed below, and so it is reasonable to assume that the
low temperature solution structure is similar to the observed solid state structure. Since the low temperature spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are similar, we assume that they have similar solid state structures.

The assignments shown in Figure 7 will be used to discuss the low temperature spectra; unless otherwise stated, only one half of the dimer will be explicitly discussed, the other half being related by a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ axis (e.g, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PlaHa}}$ implies ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PtbHb}}$; whije the ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Pt}$ isotopomer of the dimer does not possess a true $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ axis, any exceptions will be explicitly stated when necessary). The low temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ values for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are presented in Table 8.
(Figure 7 here)
(Table 8 here)
The hydride region of the low temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum contains a doublet with symmetrically-spaced satellites. This pattern arises from coupling to the trans phosphorus nucleus and to the nearer ${ }^{195}{ }^{\mathrm{Pt}}$ nucleus ( ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PdHa}}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PlaHa}}$, respectively). Comparison of these $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{PtH}}$ and $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{PH}}$ values to the analogous values for the cis $-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ complexes (Table 2) shows that, in contrast to the room temperature values for the dimers (Table 1, and accompanying discussion), the values are very similar, providing support for a low temperature structure containing terminal hydride ligands located trans to a phosphorus nucleus. The couplings between $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and the three other phosphorus nuclei and the other platinum center are presumably too small to be resolved.

The low temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum (Fig. 6) is also consistent with the solid state structure found for 1 . There are now two different phosphorus environments, $\mathrm{P}_{2} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}$ which are trans to Pt centers, and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}$ which are trans to hydrides. Again, this spectrum is a superposition of the three subspectra arising from the three different isotopomers present. The $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Pt}$ isotopomer gives two singlets, for the two different pairs of phosphorus environments (an AA'MM' spin system), which are observed as the two major resonances
in the experimental spectrum. The ${ }^{195} \mathrm{P} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ subspectrum (an AA'MM'XX' system) is not visible in the experimental spectrum due to its low relative abundance, and so the remainder of the spectrum arises from the $\mathrm{Pt} \mathbf{t}^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ isotopomer (an $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{MM}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$ spin system). A splitting diagram for this spin system is shown in Figure 8.
(Figure 8 here)

The higher-field resonance is assigned to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}$; this assignment was confirmed by a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ HMQC ${ }^{17}$, spectrum (included as Supplementary Material). The splitting observed is ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PtaPd}} ;{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PtbPd}}$ is unresolved. It is not very surprising that the P-P couplings are unresolved as the cis coupling ${ }^{2 J_{P a P d}}$ is expected to be the largest coupling involving $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}$, and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PP}}$ values in cis- $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtX}{ }_{2}$ complexes $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R})$, where $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ is a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-bridged chelating phosphine, can be $<3 \mathrm{~Hz} .{ }^{18}$ The lower-field resonance arises from $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}$, which are trans to platinum centers. The two different $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{PLP}}$ values observed are ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PtaPa}}$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {PıbPa }}$, the additional coupling observed is ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {PaPb }}$ (Figure 8). This P-P coupling cannot be ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\text {PaPd }}$, since it is not seen in the higher-field resonance. Again, as for the high field resonance, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PaPd}}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PaPc}}$ are unresolved (this is partially a result of the relatively broad resonances in this spectrum, $w_{1 / 2} \approx 40 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Simulation of the experimental spectrum using these assighments gives good agreement with the experimental spectrum (the simulated spectrum and subspectra are available as Supplementary Material).

The ${ }^{195} \mathrm{P} t /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ subspectrum (an AA 'MM'XX' spin system) is not visible in the ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ dimension of the experimental ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ HMQC ${ }^{17}$ spectrum, and consequently the entire experimental spectrum arises from the $\mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ subspectrum (an AA'MM'X spin system). Simulation of this subspectrum, using the coupling constant values obtained from the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum, gives good agreement with the experimental spectrum (the experimental spectrum, simulated subspectra, and the simulated spectrum, are available as

Supplementary Material), providing further support that the low temperature solution-state structure of $\mathbf{1}$ is identical to the solid-state structure.

Solution Behavior of 1-3. A $1: 1$ mixture of 5 and its deuterated analogue, (dcype) $\mathrm{PtD}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{2}-5\right)$, in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ reaches the 1:2:1 5:(dcype) $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{D}): \mathrm{d}_{2}-5$ equilibrium ratio within one hour. The same result was found for the analogous reaction using 6 and its deuterated derivative. In both cases, the reactions were followed by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy As a control, no evidence of H/D scrambling in the protiated monomers 5 and 6 with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at room temperature was observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectra over several days. In contrast, a $1: 1$ sample of $\mathbf{1}$ and its deuterated analogue, [(dippe)PtD] $]_{2}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{2}-1\right)$, scrambles much more slowly than the monomers; the half-life is $c a$. 90 hours at room temperature (eq. 2). Dimer 1 does not undergo $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ exchange with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ at room temperature at any appreciable rate. However, heating a $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solution of 1,2 , or 3 for 12 hours at $90-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ results in complete deuterium incorporation at the hydride positions, for all three samples.

We have not quantitatively studied the scrambling process, however several qualitative experiments were done. No evidence of scrambling was observed for a $1: 1$ sample of 3 and $\mathrm{d}_{2}-6$ after 51 hours at room temperature (eq. 3). A $1: 1$ sample of 1 and $\mathrm{d}_{2}-6$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ scrambles completely within 48 hours (eq. 4). Also, no evidence of scrambling is observed for a $1: 1$ sample of $\mathrm{d}_{2}-1$ and $\mathbf{3}$, after 72 hours (eq. 5 ).

## (Equations 2-5 here)

Clearly, there are differences in the reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$, relative to that of $\mathbf{3}$. The lower rates in 3 are likely due to the increased steric protection of the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bond by the tert-butyl groups, as discussed in detail in the structural discussion above.

A small amount of "mixed dimer", $\left[\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PR}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{H})\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PR}^{\prime}\right)\right] \text {, }}\right.\right.$ was present in the samples for which $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ scrambling was observed. The identity of these
mixed dimers was confirmed by a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ HMQC ${ }^{17}$ NMR spectrum on a sample containing a mixture of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, and [(dippe) $(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{H})$ (dcype)] (made by heating a $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ sample of 1 and 2); three different hydride resonances were correlated with the three different dimeric complexes present (this spectrum is available as Supplementary Material). While no more than $c a .10-20 \%$ of this "mixed dimer" was present in the samples, it may be responsible for the $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ scrambling that occurs. The mechanism of formation of such "mixed dimers" was not investigated; however, based on the low lability of bidentate phosphine ligands bound to platinum, it is not unreasonable to postulate that it involves cleavage of the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bond to generate $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ fragments, which can then scramble to give the mixed dimer.

## Discussion.

Fluxional Behaviour. Any postulate concerning the fluxionality of these dimers must adequately explain the equivalence of all four phosphorus nuclei, both hydrides, and both platinum centers in the rapid exchange temperature region. In addition, the proposed process must be consistent with the lower barrier observed for the derivative with the bulkiest phosphine, 3. The simplest process that is consistent with these observations (Fig. 9) involves rotation of one of the $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtH}\right)$ moieties by $90^{\circ}$ around the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ vector (Step A) to give the $180^{\circ}$ geometry discussed above (Fig. 3), followed by hydride exchange between metal centers with simultaneous twisting of the phosphine ligands by $90^{\circ}$ relative to the plane defined by the square planar metal centers (Step B).
(Figure 9 here)

Such a fluxional process, if fast on the NMR timescale, would result in equivalent hydrides and would exchange $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}$ with $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}$, respectively, making all four phosphorus nuclei equivalent.

If it is assumed that the first stage of the process depicted above (Step A) has the higher barrier, with the hydride exchange/phosphine-twisting process (Step B) possessing a relatively small barrier, then stopping the fluxional process is a result of freezing out the conformation that is observed in the solid state; i.e, a geometry in which the two square planes of the platinum coordination spheres are oriented roughly $90^{\circ}$ relative to each other. As discussed above, the low temperature NMR data are consistent with the solid state geometry. If the $180^{\circ}$ orientation were frozen out, then hydride exchange between the platinum centers would not cease, as the barrier to this process $(B)$ is assumed to be small. Consistent with the assumption that the $90^{\circ}$ orientation is thermodynamically more stable than the $180^{\circ}$ orientation is the fact that both $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ crystallize in the former geometry.

Why, though, does 3 have a lower barrier for the fluxional process (i.e., Step A) than 1 and 2? As discussed above, there are several distortions in the solid state structure of $\mathbf{3}$, relative to that of $\mathbf{1}$, that were rationalized as being due to increased steric interactions between the tert-butyl groups. These distortions indicate that the $90^{\circ}$ orientation for $\mathbf{3}$ is destabilized, relative to the analogous orientation for 1 . This destabilization would result in a lower barrier to interconversion between the $90^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ orientations for 3 (Fig. 10), as is experimentally observed.
(Figure 10 here)

This argument assumes that the thermodynamic stability of the $180^{\circ}$ orientation for all three dimers is roughly equal; i.e, the destabilization of the $90^{\circ}$ orientation of $\mathbf{3}$ is not offset by a corresponding destabilization of the $180^{\circ}$ geometry. This seems reasonable to us, as this geometry minimizes steric interactions between the alkyl groups.

The similar barriers for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are consistent with this proposal as the phosphine on 2 (dcype), as in the case of $\mathbf{1}$, also possesses a methine hydrogen which can be oriented in such a way as to relieve steric interactions between alkyl groups (Figure 3). As discussed above, this stabilizes the $90^{\circ}$ geometry. The close agreement of the room temperature NMR
values for 1 and 2, relative to the slightly different values for 3 (Table 1), may also be indicative of these differences.
(P-P) $\mathbf{P t H}_{2} /[(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{P}) \mathrm{PtH}]_{2}$ Equilibria. Theoretical calculations have shown that there is essentially no barrier to reductive elimination of dihydrogen from cis- $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ complexes. ${ }^{19}$ Otsuka and co-workers have suggested that bulky phosphines kinetically stabilize the cis-dihydride monomers, thus allowing their isolation. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ The results described above support this explanation: the stability of the monomeric cis-dihydride complexes that we have prepared (i.e, the tendency not to dimerize in solution) correlate directly with the steric size of the phosphine ( $3>2>1$; the cone angles for the monodentate analogues, $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$, are $182,170,160^{\circ}$, for $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu})_{3}, \mathrm{PCy}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr})_{3}$, respectively ${ }^{20}$ ).

For cis-dihydrides containing sterically smaller phosphine ligands (e.g, 4), the kinetic barrier for loss of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is small and occurs in solution at room temperature. The resulting product of such a reaction is a neutral $[(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}) \mathrm{PtH}]_{2}$ dimer, presumably arising from reaction of cis $-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$, although other mechanisms of formation of the dimeric complexes are possible. This contrasts with the postulate made by Otsuka et al ${ }^{3 a}$ that loss of dihydrogen from cis- $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ complexes gives solutions of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\right)_{2}$ dimers. The Otsuka postulate was based on several lines of evidence: the structure of $[(\mathrm{dtbpp}) \mathrm{Pt}]_{2}$, which showed no evidence for hydrides; a decrease in the intensity of the hydride resonance in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra and of the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}$ stretch in the IR spectrum as solutions of the cisdihydride monomers were heated, and a color change from colorless to reddish-brown as the solutions were heated. The last three observations are also consistent with loss of dihydrogen to give $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtH}\right)_{2}$ dimers, as we have shown.

In contrast to the reddish-brown solutions formed upon heating solutions of cis$\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ complexes, the $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\right)_{2}$ dimer was prepared by the sodium amalgam reduction of a thf solution of (dtbpp) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ under nitrogen. As mentioned by Otsuka et al., it is possible that a cis $-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ intermediate is never formed in this reaction, and that the $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\right)_{2}$ dimer
results from reduction of the $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ starting material to a $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ intermediate, which then dimerizes to give the observed product. Based on the results presented above, the formation of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}\right)_{2}$ dimeric complexes from solutions of cis $-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ complexes is not a general process; formation of $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{I})$ hydride-containing dimers such as $1-3$ is favored, at least with the chelating phosphines used in this study.

The geometry of these $[(P-P) M H]_{2}$ dimers changes on descending the Group 8 metals; the hydrides in the Ni complex are symmetrically bridging, ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ those in the Pd complex are semi-bridging, 7 c and those in the Pt complexes described above are strictly terminal. This may be rationalized as a relativistic effect in the following way. ${ }^{21}$ In the gas phase the AuH distance of $1.52 \AA$ is less than the $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{H}$ distance of $1.62 \AA$, even though the M-M distances in the homonuclear diatomic molecules are virtually identical $(\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{Ag})=2.48$ $\AA, \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Au}-\mathrm{Au})=2.47 \AA)$. This has been rationalized on the basis of the relativistic stabilization of the 6 s orbital of Au $v$ s. the 5 s orbital of Ag. ${ }^{21 \mathrm{c}}$ Since terminal M-H bonds are shorter than bridging M-H bonds, they can incorporate more metal-based s-orbital character. This seems to be a reasonable rationalization for why M-H terminal bonds are preferred as one descends the $\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{Pt}$ column.

## Experimental Section

Apparatus and Materials. All reactions and product manipulations were carried out under dry nitrogen using standard Schlenk and dry box techniques. Pentane, hexane, toluene, diethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl under nitrogen immediately prior to use. Dichloromethane was distilled from calcium hydride under nitrogen immediately prior to use. Deuterated solvents for NMR measurements were distilled from either sodium or potassium under nitrogen and stored over sodium. Other chemicals were of reagent grade, unless otherwise specified.

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 5DX FTIR spectrometer as Nujol mulls between CsI plates. Melting points were measured on a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus in capillaries sealed under nitrogen and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were
performed by the microanalytical laboratories at the University of California, Berkeley. Mass spectrometry analyses were obtained at the UCB mass spectrometry facility on a Kratos MS-50 mass spectrometer, and the isotopic cluster of the molecular ion is reported as follows: ion amu (observed intensity, calculated intensity).

All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\},{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$, and 2-D NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AM and AMX spectrometers operating at 400,162 , or 85.6 MHz , respectively; or at 300 , 121.5 , or 64.2 MHz , respectively. The 2-D spectra were acquired using the heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence ( HMQC ) pulse sequence. ${ }^{17}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shifts are relative to tetramethylsilane, the residual protio-resonance was used as an internal reference; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR shifts are relative to $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ at $\delta 0.0$, with shifts downfield of the reference considered positive; ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ shifts are referenced to a frequency scale in which the proton signal of tetramethylsilane is at 100.000 MHz and the frequency of 0.0 ppm for ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ is set to $21.4000 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{14}$ and scaled according to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ frequency of the particular machine used.

The ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ ) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ complexes were made from (cod) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ and the corresponding phosphines. Otsuka's method was used to prepare cis-(dtbpe) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ (6). ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}}$ Cis(dcype) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ (5) was prepared via the same procedure, using (dcype) as the phosphine; its spectroscopic properties were identical to those reported in the literature. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ The deuterated analogue, (dcype) $\mathrm{PtD}_{2}$, ( $\mathrm{d}_{2}-5$ ), was prepared in the same manner, using deuterium gas instead of hydrogen gas.
[(dippe) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ (1). A mixture of (dippe) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}(0.8 \%, 50$ g) in THF ( 150 mL ) was stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ at room temperature for 15 h . The mixture was allowed to settle for 4 h , filtered, and the solvent was removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure. The dark brown solid was extracted with hexane ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the solution was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated to $c a .40 \mathrm{~mL}$. Slow cooling of this solution to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded 1 as light brown crystals ( $0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ), mp $118-131^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
(decomp.) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 2.06$ (m, 8 H ), $1.40-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 56 \mathrm{H}), 0.50\left(\mathrm{q}\right.$ of $\mathrm{q}^{\prime}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PtH}}=516 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=40 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$, see Table 1. ${ }^{195}{ }^{\mathrm{Pt}}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (d d-toluene): $\delta+190\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PtP}}=2210 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PIP}}=410 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$. IR: $1939 \mathrm{~s}, 1926 \mathrm{~s}, 1406$ m, 1378 s, 1358 s 1298 w, $1241 \mathrm{~s}, 1234 \mathrm{~s}, 1159 \mathrm{w}, 1104 \mathrm{~m}, 1086 \mathrm{~m}, 1076 \mathrm{~m}, 1027 \mathrm{~s}$, $1021 \mathrm{~s}, 923 \mathrm{~m} 887 \mathrm{~s}, 882 \mathrm{~s}, 856 \mathrm{~m}, 853 \mathrm{~m}, 776 \mathrm{~s}, 680 \mathrm{~s}, 672 \mathrm{~s}, 660 \mathrm{~s}, 652 \mathrm{~s}, 634 \mathrm{~s}, 577$ $\mathrm{m}, 514 \mathrm{~s}, 481 \mathrm{~m}, 465 \mathrm{~m}, 440 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS}(E I, 50 \mathrm{eV}) 914.3(43,32), 915.3(77,72)$, $916.3(100,100), 917.3(69,76), 918.3(48,51), 919.3(24,26), 920.4(17,16), 921.3$ (5, 4), 922.3 (2, 2). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Pt}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 36.7$; $\mathrm{H}, 7.26$. Found: C, 36.9; H, 7.23.
[(dcype) PtH$]_{2}$ (2). A toluene solution ( 50 mL ) of $5(0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h in a Schlenk tube which was kept under a slow flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The flask was allowed to cool to room temperature and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, yielding a yellow solid. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum of the crude product showed the material was a 3:1 mixture of $2: 5$. This residue was extracted with pentane ( $3 \times 30$ mL ), the solvent was removed from the extract under reduced pressure, and the resulting solid was redissolved in THF ( 15 mL ). Slow cooling of this solution to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded 2 as yellow crystals $(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%), \mathrm{mp} 224-231{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right): \delta 2.38$ $0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 96 \mathrm{H}), 0.49\left(\mathrm{q}\right.$ of $\left.\mathrm{q}^{\prime}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PtH}}=512 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=40 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR, see Table 1. IR: 1965 s, 1937 s, 1445 s, 1409 w, 1378 s, 1343 m, 1301 w, 1290 $\mathrm{w}, 1262 \mathrm{~m}, 1237 \mathrm{w}, 1187 \mathrm{w}, 1178 \mathrm{~m}, 1169 \mathrm{~m}, 1048 \mathrm{~m}, 1004 \mathrm{~s}, 913 \mathrm{~m}, 887 \mathrm{~m}, 853 \mathrm{~m}$, $851 \mathrm{~s}, 818 \mathrm{~m}, 780 \mathrm{~m}, 739 \mathrm{~s}, 648 \mathrm{~m}, 638 \mathrm{~m}, 537 \mathrm{~m}, 523 \mathrm{~m}, 501 \mathrm{~m}, 458 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{98} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Pt}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 50.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.98$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 50.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.04$.
[(dtbpe)PtH] ${ }_{2}$ (3). A $4: 1$ hexane:toluene solution ( 60 mL , total) of $6(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ mmol) was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h in a schlenk tube under a slow purge of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure from the reddish-brown solution, and the
residue was extracted with hexane ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solvent was removed from the extract under reduced pressure, and the solid residue was redissolved in toluene ( 20 mL ). Slow cooling of this solution to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded 3 as dark orange prisms ( $0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ), $\operatorname{mp} 220-236^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ): $\delta 1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 72 \mathrm{H}), 0.05$ ('q of $\left.\mathrm{q}^{\prime}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PLH}}=570 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=42 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$, see Table 1. IR: 1975 bm, 1951 bm, $1479 \mathrm{~s}, 1385 \mathrm{~s}, 1363 \mathrm{~s}, 1356 \mathrm{~s}, 1261 \mathrm{w}, 1239 \mathrm{w}, 1182 \mathrm{~s}, 1094 \mathrm{~m}, 1019$ m, $937 \mathrm{~m}, 851 \mathrm{~m}, 812 \mathrm{~s}, 775 \mathrm{~s}, 664 \mathrm{~s}, 651 \mathrm{~s}, 644 \mathrm{~s}, 602 \mathrm{~s}, 570 \mathrm{~m}, 495 \mathrm{~s}, 458 \mathrm{~m}, 423 \mathrm{~m}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Pt}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 42.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.03$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 41.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.96$.
[(dippe)PtD] $]_{2}\left(d_{2}-1\right) . A$ mixture of (dippe) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}$ ( $0.8 \%, 50 \mathrm{~g}$ ) in THF ( 150 mL ) was stirred under $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ ( 1 atm ) at room temperature for 15 h. The mixture was allowed to settle for 4 h , filtered, and the solvent was removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure to yield a dark brown solid. A ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum at this point showed that the crude product was an isotopic mixture of the dideuteride, hydridodeuteride, and dihydride complexes. The solid was dissolved in toluene ( 80 mL ) and stirred at room temperature under $D_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ for 30 h . The head space over the solution was evacuated and refilled with $1 \mathrm{~atm} . \mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas, and the sample was stirred at room temperature for 70 h . This process was repeated $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} / 30 \mathrm{~h}\right.$, then $\left.\mathrm{N}_{2} / 70 \mathrm{~h}\right)$, and the solvent was then removed under reduced pressure. The dark brown solid was extracted with pentane ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated to $c a .40 \mathrm{~mL}$. Slow cooling of this solution to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded the product as a dark brown solid $(0.570 \mathrm{~g}$, $60 \%$ ), shown to be fully deuterated $\mathrm{d}_{2}-1$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy.
(dtbpe) $\mathrm{PtD}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{2}-6\right)$. A mixture of (dtbpe) $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}(0.8 \%$, 50 g ) in THF ( 150 mL ) was stirred under $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ ( 1 atm ) at room temperature for 15 h . The mixture was allowed to settle for 4 h , filtered, and the solvent was removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure. A ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum at this point showed that the crude product
was an isotopic mixture of the dideuteride, hydridodeuteride, and dihydride complexes. The dark brown solid was dissolved in toluene ( 40 mL ) and stirred at room temperature under $D_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ for 90 h . The head space above the solution was then evacuated and refilled with $D_{2}$ to a pressure of 1 atm , and the solution was stirred for an additional 48 h . The solution was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to $c a .20 \mathrm{~mL}$. Slow cooling of this solution to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded the product as a beige solid $(0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%)$.
[(dcype) $\left.\mathbf{2} \mathbf{P t}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{3}\right][\mathrm{OH}]$. To a benzene solution ( 0.5 mL ) of $\mathbf{1}(15 \mathrm{mg}), 2$ drops of water were added, and the mixture was stirred for several minutes. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the pale yellow solid residue was extracted into $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and placed in an NMR tube. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 96 \mathrm{H}),-2.81$ ('q of q', $\left.\left.3 \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PLH}}=480 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PH}}=39 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{31}{ }^{\mathrm{P}\{ }{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$, see Table 7.

## Crystal Structure Determinations of 1 and 3.

Light brown crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ were obtained from slow cooling of a hexane solution to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; dark orange crystals of 3 were obtained from slow cooling of a toluene solution to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystal data and numerical details of the structure determinations are given in Table 3. The crystals were placed in Paratone N oil, mounted on the end of a cut quartz capillary tube, and placed under a flow of cold nitrogen on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer. Intensities were collected with graphite-monochromatized $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ radiation using the $\varnothing-2 \varnothing$ scan technique. Lattice parameters were determined using automatic peak search and indexing procedures. Intensity standards were measured every hour of data collection.

The raw intensity data were converted to structure factor amplitudes and their esds by correction for scan speed, background, and Lorentz and polarization effects. Corrections for crystal decomposition were performed for both data sets. An empirical absorption correction was applied to the data for $\mathbf{1}$, after a full isotropic refinement, using
the program DIFABS; ${ }^{22}$ an empirical correction based on the observed variation in the azimuthal scan data was applied to 3. The structures were solved by Patterson techniques and refined using standard least-squares and Fourier technique. The secondary extinction coefficient was refined for both data sets, giving final values of $4.28 \times 10^{-8}, 1(12 \%$ maximum correction on $F$ ); $2.01 \times 10^{-7}, 3(19 \%$ maximum correction on $F)$. Near the end of the refinement cycle, one reflection was rejected from the data set for $\mathbf{1}$, as a result of an anomalously high $w(\Delta)^{2}$ value.

For 1, the hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and included in the structure factor calculations but not refined. All hydrogen atoms were given isotropic thermal parameters of $1.3\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{iso}}\right)$ of the atom to which they were bonded. As the quality of the data was not sufficient, no hydrogen atoms were added to the model for 3.

Based on a difference Fourier map of the heavy atom isotropic model for 3, five of the eight tert-butyl groups of the two phosphine ligands were found to be rotationally disordered (i.e, positive difference peaks were located in between the methyl groups of the disordered tert-butyl groups). This disorder was modelled by assigning two different carbon atoms to each disordered methyl group, with the multiplicity of each atom assigned on the basis of the intensity ratio of difference peaks (the total multiplicity of the two atoms summing to one). The methyl group of the toluene molecule was also found to be disordered; again a similar modelling technique was used--two methyl carbons were assigned (on adjacent ring carbons), with the total multiplicity summing to one. All of the heavy atoms were then refined anisotropically, except for the disordered methyl groups of the tert-butyl groups and also the carbon atoms of the entire toluene molecule (giving a total of 33 anisotropic heavy atoms and 26 isotropic heavy atoms in the final model).

The least-squares program minimized the expression, $\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}$, where w is the weight of a given observation. A value of 0.04 for the p -factor was used for both data sets to reduce the weight of intense reflections in the refinements. The analytical forms of the scattering factor tables for the neutral atoms were used and all non-hydrogen
scattering factors were corrected for both real and imaginary components of anomalous dispersion.
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Supplementary Material Available: Complete tables of bond lengths and angles, anisotropic thermal parameters, hydrogen atom positional parameters (for 1), root mean square amplitudes of thermal vibration, and observed and calculated structure factors, for 1 and 3. In addition, an ORTEP diagram showing all of the disorder in $\mathbf{3}$ is included. The following NMR spectra are included: a) ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ INEPT spectrum of $1\left(85.6 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, d8-toluene), b) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{11} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ HMQC spectrum of $1\left(300 \mathrm{MHz},-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $\mathrm{d}_{8}$-toluene), c) ${ }^{1} H^{31} P\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ HMQC spectrum of the "mixed dimer sample", containing 1,2 , and [(dcype) $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{H})$ (dippe)] $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, d8-thf), d) simulated ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum of 1 at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 6a), using the coupling constant values given in the text, including the subspectra for the $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$, and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ isotopomers, and e) experimental ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ HMQC spectrum of $1\left(300 \mathrm{MHz},-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, d8-toluene), with the simulated ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum, using the coupling constant values given in the text, including the subspectra for the $\mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ isotopomers. This material is contained in many libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.

## Figure captions:

Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of [(dippe) $\mathrm{PtH}_{2}$ (1), $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids.
Figure 2. ORTEP diagram of [(dtbpe) PtH$]_{2}$ (3), $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids. For clarity, the disordered methyl groups and the toluene solvate are not shown. No hydrogen atoms were included in the model (see Experimental section for details).

Figure 3. (a) Idealized geometry of $\mathbf{1}$ that would minimize steric interactions between the alkyl groups of the phosphine ligands, (b) Newman projections of both the $180^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ geometries, down the P-Pt-Pt-P vector, showing the increased steric interactions in the latter conformation (for clarity, the P nuclei trans to the Pt centers are not shown). Note the orientation of the iso-propyl methine H's, as in the solid state structure of 1, minimizing the steric interactions.

Figure 4. NMR spectra of $1\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$, a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum ( 400 MHz ), the central hydride resonance is indicated with an asterisk, the outermost resonances are not resolved due to their low intensity; b) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum ( 162 MHz ; c) ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ INEPT spectrum ( 85.6 MHz ).

Figure 5. Splitting diagram for the hydride region of the $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of 1 (Fig. 4 a , an apparent "quintet of quintets").

Figure 6. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 1 at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(121 \mathrm{MHz}$, d8-toluene).
Figure 7. Assignments used to discuss the low temperature NMR values of 1 and 2.
Figure 8. Proposed coupling scheme for the low temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{Pt}$ subspectrum (AA'MM'X spin system) of 1.

Figure 9. Proposed fluxional process for the dimers 1, 2, and 3.
Figure 10. Illustration of the proposed cause of the differences in the fluxional barriers for 1, 2 and 3.

## Tables.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \delta(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}) \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{2{ }^{2} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{PF},}$ | $\begin{array}{r} { }^{1 \mathrm{~J} P L H}, \\ \mathrm{~Hz} \end{array}$ | $\delta(\mathrm{P})$, <br> ppm | $\begin{gathered} 1,{ }^{1 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{PtP}}, \\ \mathrm{~Hz} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{PPP},{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.49 | 40 | 516 | 105.5 | $\begin{gathered} 2210, \\ 410, \end{gathered}$ | 45 |
| 2 | 0.49 | 40 | 512 | 92.8 | $\begin{gathered} 2180, \\ 420, \end{gathered}$ | 44 |
| 3 | 0.05 | 42 | 570 | 119.9 | $\begin{gathered} 3112, \\ 362 \end{gathered}$ | 47 |
| $[($ dppe $) \mathrm{PtH}]{ }_{2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.30 | 42 | 564 | 70.9 | $\begin{gathered} 2201, \\ 388 \end{gathered}$ | 44 |


$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{V}}$ alues taken from reference 4 b , measured in d 8 -thf.

Table 2. $1_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $31 \mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{H}}\right]$ NMR Data for the Monomers $4-6\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \delta(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}), \\ \mathrm{ppm} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2 \mathrm{JPtransH}}, \\ \mathrm{~Hz} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{2}{ }^{\text {JPcisH}}$, <br> Hz | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{JPLH}^{2}, \\ \mathrm{~Hz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta(\mathrm{P}), \\ \mathrm{DDm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{JPtP}, \\ \mathrm{~Hz} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4^{\text {a }}$ | 0.46 | 179 | 16 | 1097 | 87.9 | 1829 |
| 5 | 0.56 | 179 | 16 | 1100 | 78.2 | 1822 |
| 6 | -0.54 | 180 | 16 | 1096 | 107.8 | 1872 |

${ }^{a^{N} \text { Not isolated, see text. }}$

Table 3. Crystallographic Data for $[(\text { dippe }) \mathrm{PLH}]_{2}$ (1) and $[(\text { dtbpe }) \mathrm{PtH}]_{2}$ (3)

|  | 1 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| chem formula | $\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{66}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{82}$ |
| mol wt. | 916.92 | 1029.13 |
| crystal size (mm) | $0.48 \times 0.45 \times 0.18$ | $0.50 \times 0.38 \times 0.23$ |
| space group | P2 $1_{121}{ }^{1} 1$ | PI |
| a, Å | 9.245 (2) | 11.552 (3) |
| b, $\AA$ | 16.966 (2) | 13.123 (3) |
| $\mathrm{c}, \AA$ | 22.690 (6) | 17.694 (4) |
| $\alpha_{1}$ (deg) | 90 | 81.20 (2) |
| $\beta$, (deg) | 90 | 89.20(2) |
| $\gamma,(\mathrm{deg})$ | 90 | 66.55 (2) |
| $\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$ | 3559 (1) | 2431 (1) |
| Z |  | 2 |
| d (calc.), $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.713 | 1.407 |
| $\mu$ (calc.), cm- ${ }^{1}$ | 81.4 | 59.6 |
| T, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | -95 | -88 |
| rfins measd | +h, +k, +1 | +h, $\pm \mathrm{k}, \pm 1$ |
| $2 \varnothing$ range | $3-55^{\circ}$ | $3-50^{\circ}$ |
| no rflns collected: | 4630 | 8658 |
| max cor for cryst decay: | 1.4\% on F | 2.1\% on F |
| absn corm ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}=1.31, \mathrm{~T}_{\text {min }}=0.759$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}=0.998, \mathrm{~T}_{\text {min }}=0.677$ |
| no atoms in least squares | 34 | 59 |
| no. unique rflns | 4567 | 8529 |
| no. rflns with $\mathrm{F}^{2}>3 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)$ ). | 3646 | 6398 |
| p factor | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| no params | 308 | 403 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{\text {b }}$ | 0.0344 | 0.0533 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}}$ | 0.0371 | 0.0675 |
| Rall | 0.0529 | 0.0753 |
| GOF | 1.070 | 2.212 |
| diff Fourier ( $\mathrm{e}^{-} \AA^{-3}$ ) | +1.4, -0.37 | +3.2, -0.29 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The program DIFABS ${ }^{22}$ was used for the absorption correction for 1 ; an empirical absorption correction based on the azimuthal scans was done for 3.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The definitions for R and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$ are as follows:

$$
R=\frac{\Sigma| | F_{0}\left|-\left|F_{C}\right|\right|}{\Sigma\left|F_{0}\right|} \quad R_{W}=\sqrt{\frac{\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{C}\right|\right)^{2}}{\Sigma W_{0}^{2}}}
$$

Table 4. Atomic Coordinates and B Values $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for the Non-Hydrogen Atoms of Compound $1^{\text {a }}$

| atom | $x$ | $y$ | 2 | $\mathrm{B}_{\text {equiv }} \mathrm{b}^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PT1 | 0.4034(1) | 0.10695(1) | 0.27179(1) | 1.015(6) |
| PT2 | 0.42467(1) | 0.09281(1) | 0.15894(1) | 0.975(6) |
| P1 | 0.4308(4) | -0.0199(2) | 0.2998(1) | 1.26(5) |
| P2 | 0.4113(4) | 0.1415(2) | 0.3671(1) | 1.80 (6) |
| P3 | 0.1906(3) | 0.0888(2) | 0.1312(1) | 1.58(6) |
| P4 | 0.4850(3) | 0.0976(2) | 0.0632(1) | 1.28(5) |
| Cl | 0.420(2) | -0.0234(6) | 0.3820 (5) | 1.9 (2) |
| C2 | 0.468(2) | 0.0538(7) | $0.4111(5)$ | 2.3(3) |
| C3, | 0.179(1) | 0.1098(8) | 0.0509(5) | 2.2(2) |
| C4 | 0.318(1) | 0.0851(7) | 0.0182(5) | $1.8(2)$ |
| C10 | 0.605(1) | -0.712(7) | 0.2834(5) | 1.9(2) |
| C11 | 0.296(1) | -0.0922(7) | 0.2773(6) | 2.1(2) |
| C12 | $0.615(1)$ | -0.0951(7) | 0.2193(6) | 2.7(3) |
| C13 | 0.726(2) | -0.0182(9) | 0.3002(6) | 3.1(3) |
| C14 | 0.323(2) | -0.1766(7) | 0.2999(6) | 2.9(3) |
| C15 | $0.143(2)$ | -0.0654(9) | 0.2930(7) | $3.1(3)$ |
| C20 | 0.246(2) | 0.1762(7) | 0.4047(5) | 2.1(3) |
| C21 | 0.550(2) | 0.2142(7) | 0.3876(5) | 3.3(3) |
| C22 | 0.125(2) | 0.1161(9) | 0.3992(6) | 4.2(3) |
| C23 | 0.197(2) | 0.2541(9) | 0.3799(7) | 4.0(4) |
| C24 | 0.696(2) | 0.197(1) | 0.3583(7) | 4.0(3) |
| C25 | 0.568(2) | 0.2245(9) | 0.4560(6) | 5.2(4) |
| C30 | 0.099(2) | -0.0067(8) | 0.1387(5) | 2.6(3) |
| C31 | $0.060(1)$ | 0.1608(8) | 0.1607(6) | 2.5(3) |
| C32 | $0.186(2)$ | -0.0730(8) | 0.1097(7) | 3.8(3) |
| C33 | -0.057(2) | -0.0087(9) | $0.1160(7)$ | 3.6 (3) |
| C34 | $0.121(2)$ | 0.2464(8) | 0.1580(6) | 3.2(3) |
| C35 | 0.012(2) | 0.1404(9) | 0.2240(6) | 2.9(3) |
| C40 | 0.612(1) | $0.0264(7)$ | 0.0299(5) | 2.2(2) |
| C41 | 0.561(2) | $0.1930(7)$ | 0.0397(5) | 2.3(3) |
| C42 | 0.573(2) | -0.0577(7) | $0.0446(5)$ | 3.1(3) |
| C43 | 0.634(2) | 0.0386 (9) | -0.0365(6) | 3.4(3) |
| C44 | $0.714(2)$ | $0.2018(9)$ | 0.0630(5) | 3.0 (3) |
| C45 | 0.463(2) | $0.2606(7)$ | 0.0604(5) | 2.6(3) |

 calculated as $(4 / 3)\left[\alpha^{2} \beta_{11}+b^{2} \beta_{22}+c^{2} \beta_{33}+a b(\cos \gamma) \beta_{12}+a c(\cos \beta) \beta_{13}+b c(\cos \alpha) \beta_{23}\right]$.

Table 5. Atomic Coordinates and B Values $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ for the Atoms of Compound 3

| atom | X | $y$ | $z$ | Bequiv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PT1 | 0.09551(1) | 0.23233(1) | 0.30281(1) | 1.594(9) |
| PT2 | 0.28116(1) | 0.05574(1) | 0.26754(1) | 1.610 (9) |
| P1 | 0.1074(3) | 0.4004(2) | $0.2611(2)$ | 2.00 (7) |
| P2 | -0.0631(3) | 0.3169(2) | 0.3766 (2) | 1.82(6) |
| P3 | 0.2296 (3) | -0.0261(3) | 0.1760(2) | 2.04(7) |
| P4 | 0.4687(3) | -0.0937(2) | 0.2913(2) | 1.82(6) |
| C1 | -0.015(1) | 0.506(1) | 0.3114(9) | 3.7(3) |
| C2 | -0.080(1) | $0.465(1)$ | 0.3688(9) | 4.3(4) |
| C3 | 0.477(1) | -0.193(1) | 0.2264(9) | 4.6(3) |
| C4 | $0.357(1)$ | -0.170(1) | 0.1806(9) | 4.2(4) |
| C5 | 0.259(1) | 0.414(1) | 0.2892(8) | 2.9(3) |
| C6 | 0.063(1) | -0.463(1) | $0.1566(8)$ | 3.0(3) |
| C7 | -0.028(1) | 0.264(1) | 0.4826(8) | 3.7(4) |
| C8 | -0.230(1) | 0.331(1) | 0.3508(9) | 4.7(4) |
| C9 | 0.223(1) | 0.039(1) | 0.0720(8) | 3.2(3) |
| C10 | 0.087(1) | -0.065(1) | 0.1930(8) | 2.9(3) |
| C11 | 0.487(1) | -0.179(1) | 0.3880(9) | 3.8(4) |
| C12 | 0.618(1) | -0.070(1) | 0.270(1) | 4.6(4) |
| C13 | 0.371(1) | 0.334(1) | 0.249(1) | 5.6(5) |
| C14 | 0.253(1) | $0.537(1)$ | 0.269(1) | 4.1(4) |
| C15 | $0.274(2)$ | 0.379(1) | 0.376(1) | 5.7(4) |
| C16 | 0.026(2) | 0.592(1) | 0.136(1) | 5.2(5) |
| C17 | $0.174(2)$ | 0.404(1) | $0.1072(9)$ | 5.3(5) |
| C18 | -0.050(1) | 0.433(1) | 0.135(1) | 6.3(5) |
| C19 | -0.121(2) | 0.335(1) | 0.5338(9) | 5.0(4) |
| C20 | -0.051(2) | 0.144 (2) | 0.497(1) | 3.8(5)* |
| C20' | 0.045 (4) | $0.145(3)$ | $0.511(3)$ | 5(1)* |
| C21 | 0.114(2) | 0.222(2) | 0.499(1) | 3.7(5)* |
| C21' | 0.084(3) | 0.321(3) | 0.498(2) | 3.3(7)* |
| C22 | -0.332(1) | 0.391(1) | $0.401(1)$ | 4.7(4) |
| C23 | -0.272(2) | $0.430(2)$ | 0.274(1) | 3.5(5)* |
| C23' | -0.240(4) | $0.327(4)$ | 0.271 (3) | 6(1)* |
| C24 | -0.232(2) | 0.225(2) | 0.335(2) | 4.2(6)* |
| C24' | -0.207(3) | $0.182(3)$ | $0.394(2)$ | 4.0(8)* |
| C25 | 0.208(2) | -0.024(1) | 0.0116(9) | 4.9(4) |
| C26 | 0.369(2) | 0.024(2) | 0.063(1) | 3.5(5)* |
| C26 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 0.328(3) | 0.090(3) | 0.066(2) | 3.7(8)* |
| C27 | 0.119(3) | $0.154(1)$ | 0.058(1) | 8.9(8) |
| C28 | -0.035(1) | 0.046 (1) | 0.176(1) | 4.7(4) |
| C29 | 0.077(1) | -0.146(1) | 0.141(1) | 4.5(4) |
| C30 | 0.099(1) | -0.118(1) | 0.2764(9) | 6.7(4) |
| C31 | 0.390(2) | -0.244(2) | 0.380 (1) | 4.0(5)* |
| C31' | 0.365(4) | -0.152(3) | $0.426(3)$ | 2.9(9)* |
| C32 | 0.439(2) | -0.114(2) | 0.452(1) | 4.9(5)* |
| C32' | $0.521(4)$ | -0.093(4) | 0.446 (3) | 3.0(9)* |
| C33 | 0.608(2) | -0.287(1) | 0.408(1) | 4.7(4) |
| C34 | 0.592(2) | 0.021 (2) | $0.205(1)$ | 4.0(5)* |
| C34' | $0.608(4)$ | -0.045(4) | 0.171(3) | 3(1)* |
| C35 | $0.635(2)$ | -0.010(2) | 0.349(1) | 3.9(5)* |
| C35' | 0.638(4) | 0.015(3) | 0.296(2) | 2.5(8)* |
| C36 | 0.738(1) | -0.174(1) | 0.273(1) | 4.0(4) |
| C37 | 0.608(2) | 0.636(2) | 0.069(2) | $6.6(7)^{*}$ |
| C37' | 0.509(5) | 0.567(5) | 0.117(4) | 4(1)* |


| C38 | $0.497(2)$ | $0.662(2)$ | $0.017(2)$ | $9.2(7)^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C39 | $0.480(2)$ | $0.717(2)$ | $-0.051(1)$ | $6.2(5)^{*}$ |
| C40 | $0.366(2)$ | $0.737(2)$ | $-0.100(1)$ | $7.6(6)^{*}$ |
| C41 | $0.280(2)$ | $0.695(2)$ | $-0.069(1)$ | $7.6(6)^{*}$ |
| C42 | $0.318(2)$ | $0.633(2)$ | $0.008(2)$ | $10.6(8)^{*}$ |
| C43 | $0.414(2)$ | $0.609(1)$ | $0.052(1)$ | $5.7(4)^{*}$ |

*These atoms were left with isotropic thermal parameters, as they are involved in the disorder.

Table 6. Selected Intramolecular Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) in $[(\text { dippe }) \mathrm{PLH}]_{2}(1)$ and $[(\mathrm{dtbpe}) \mathrm{PLH}]_{2}$ (3)

|  | 1 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(2)$ | 2.5777 (5) | 2.6094 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.257 (3) | 2.274 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.242 (3) | 2.248 (3) |
| $\mathrm{PL}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 2.254 (3) | 2.281 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Pl}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 2.244 (3) | 2.260 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pl}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 102.12 (8) | 114.71 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{Pl}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 167.43 (8) | 153.75 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Pl}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 100.53 (7) | 115.70 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 168.59 (9) | 152.31 (9) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 88.56 (10) | 89.76 (12) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{PL}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 88.23 (11) | 89.58 (11) |



| Complex | $\begin{gathered} \delta(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}), \\ \mathrm{DDm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{PH}, \\ \mathrm{~Hz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{JPLH}} \\ \mathrm{~Hz}^{\mathrm{Hz}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\delta(\mathrm{P})$, <br> ppm | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{PtP}, \\ \mathrm{~Hz} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{JPP}, \\ \mathrm{~Hz} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [(dcype) $\left.2_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right][\mathrm{OH}]$ | -2.81 | 39 | 480 | 84.2 | 2802, 149 | 8 | this work |
| [(dppe) $\left.2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right][\mathrm{BF} 4]$ | -2.8 | 41 | 500 | 57.2 | 2925, 171 | 10 | 5 e |
| [(dibpe) $2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ][BPh4] | -3.85 | 40 | 443 | 109 | 2946, 161 | 8 | 3b |

Table 8. $-\frac{\mathrm{H}}{}$ and $-31 \mathrm{P}\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}\right]$ NMR Daia for 1 and $2\left(-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ d 8 -toluene).

|  | $\begin{gathered} \delta(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}), \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{JPdHa}}$, Hz | ${ }^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{J} P \mathrm{taHa}}$, Hz | $\delta(\mathrm{P})$, ppm | ${ }^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{J} P \mathrm{taPa}}$, Hz | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{PtaPb}} \\ \mathrm{~Hz} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} \mathrm{JPtaPc} \\ \mathrm{~Hz} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} \mathrm{JPtaPd} \\ \mathrm{~Hz} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{JPaPb}^{2} \\ & \mathrm{~Hz} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.04 | 163 | 996 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 109.1, } \\ 98.5 \end{gathered}$ | $2030^{\text {a }}$ | 785 | b | 2320 | $200^{\text {c }}$ |
| 2 | d | 170 | d | $\begin{gathered} 96.9 \\ 90.1 \end{gathered}$ | 2000 | 800 | b | 2320 | 210 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All of the $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{PtP}}$ values in this table were measured from the $\mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}_{\text {subspectrum, as the } \mathrm{Pt}} \mathrm{Pt}$ subspectrum has no ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ and the ${ }^{195} \mathrm{P} / 4{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ subspectrum is not visible in the experimental spectrum. ${ }^{b}$ Unresolved, see text.
${ }^{c}$ The ${ }^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{PaPb}$ values arise from the $\mathrm{Pt} /{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ and ${ }^{195} \mathrm{P} \psi{ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ subspectra, and were measured from the former subspectrum.
${ }^{\text {d}}$ Due to the cyclohexyl resonances obscuring the hydride resonance, these values could not be measured-only the higher field platinum satellite (a doublet) was visible.

Equations and Figures.

| $2 \text { (dipp }$ |  | $\frac{\substack{1 \mathrm{~atm} . \mathrm{N}_{2} \\\left(-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)}}{\underset{\substack{\mathrm{atm} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \\\left(+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)}}{ }}$ | $[(\text { dippe }) \mathrm{PtH}]_{2}$ <br> 1 | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gather*} {[(\text { dippe }) \mathrm{PtD}]_{2}}  \tag{2}\\ \mathrm{~d}_{2}-1 \end{gather*}$ | + | [(dippe)PtH] ${ }_{2}$ <br> 1 | $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6, \mathrm{rt}}}$ | slow H/D <br> scrambling <br> ( $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2} \mathrm{ca} .90 \mathrm{~h}$ ) |
| (dtbpe) $\mathrm{PtD}_{2}$ | + | [(dtbpe)PtH) ${ }_{2}$ | $\xrightarrow{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, \mathrm{r} / 51 \mathrm{~h}}$ | no $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ scrambling |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}-6$ |  | 3 |  |  |
| $\begin{gather*} (\text { dtbpe }) \mathrm{PtD}_{2}  \tag{4}\\ \mathrm{~d}_{2}-6 \end{gather*}$ | + | [(dippe) $\left.\mathrm{PtH}^{2}\right]_{2}$ <br> 1 | $\xrightarrow[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, \mathrm{r} / 48 \mathrm{~h}]{ }$ | complete $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ scrambling |
| $\begin{gather*} {[(\text { dippe }) P T D]_{2}}  \tag{5}\\ d_{2}-1 \end{gather*}$ | + | $\left[(\mathrm{dtbpe}) \mathrm{PtH}_{2}\right.$ <br> 3 | $\xrightarrow[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, \mathrm{r} / 72 \mathrm{~h}]{ }$ | no $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ <br> scrambling |
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