

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Opportunities for HIV Combination Prevention to Reduce Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5bw0r2q6>

Journal

American Psychologist, 68(4)

ISSN

0003-066X

Authors

Grossman, Cynthia I
Purcell, David W
Rotheram-Borus, Mary Jane
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2013

DOI

10.1037/a0032711

Peer reviewed

Opportunities for HIV Combination Prevention to Reduce Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities

Cynthia I. Grossman
David W. Purcell
Mary Jane Rotheram-Borus and Rosemary Veniegas

National Institute of Mental Health
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
University of California, Los Angeles

Despite advances in HIV prevention and care, African Americans and Latino Americans remain at much higher risk of acquiring HIV, are more likely to be unaware of their HIV-positive status, are less likely to be linked to and retained in care, and are less likely to have suppressed viral load than are Whites. The first National HIV/AIDS Strategy (NHAS) has reducing these disparities as one of its three goals by encouraging the implementation of combination high-impact HIV intervention strategies. Federal agencies have expanded their collaborations in order to decrease HIV-related disparities through better implementation of data-driven decision making; integration and consolidation of the continuum of HIV care; and the reorganization of relationships among public health agencies, researchers, community-based organizations, and HIV advocates. Combination prevention, the integration of evidence-based and impactful behavioral, biomedical, and structural intervention strategies to reduce HIV incidence, provides the tools to address the HIV epidemic. Unfortunately, health disparities exist at every step along the HIV testing-to-care continuum. This provides an opportunity and a challenge to everyone involved in HIV prevention and care to understand and address health disparities as an integral part of ending the HIV epidemic in the United States. To further reduce health disparities, successful implementation of NHAS and combination prevention strategies will require multidisciplinary teams, including psychologists with diverse cultural backgrounds and experiences, to successfully engage groups at highest risk for HIV and those already infected with HIV. In order to utilize the comprehensive care continuum, psychologists and behavioral scientists have a role to play in reconceptualizing the continuum of care, conducting research to address health disparities, and creating community mobilization strategies.

Keywords: HIV prevention, HIV care, HIV testing, health disparities

Ethnic and racial health disparities have existed from the beginning of the HIV epidemic (Hall, Byers, Ling, & Espinoza, 2007). Higher HIV prevalence and incidence are observed among African Americans and Latino Americans relative to their representation in the population (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2012b). Disparities have also been documented in

important HIV-related care parameters, such as linkage to and retention in care and viral suppression (CDC, 2013). African Americans and Latino Americans are identified later in their course of HIV disease and are less likely to be engaged in medical care than are White persons living with HIV (PLWH; Andersen et al., 2000). Even after anti-retroviral therapies (ARVs) were introduced in the mid-1990s, and HIV-related deaths declined dramatically among all racial and ethnic groups, rates of decline were least among African Americans and Latino Americans (Hall et al, 2007; Levine et al., 2010). The time is ripe for psychologists to help the nation address these disparities, as the first National HIV/AIDS Strategy (NHAS) outlines three primary goals, one of which is to reduce HIV-related health disparities (White House Office of National AIDS Policy, 2010).

Editor's note. This article is one of eight in the May–June 2013 special issue of the *American Psychologist* titled “HIV/AIDS: Social Determinants and Health Disparities.” Hortensia Amaro, University of Southern California, was the scholarly lead for the special issue. The issue is dedicated to the late John R. Anderson, PhD, former senior director of the APA Office on AIDS and a national and international leader in the scholarly, practice, and policy work devoted to the prevention of the disease and the psychosocial care of persons living with HIV/AIDS.

Authors' note. Cynthia I. Grossman, Division of AIDS Research, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD; David W. Purcell, Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA; Mary Jane Rotheram-Borus, Center for Community Health, University of California, Los Angeles; Rosemary Veniegas, Department of Family Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA).

Authors are listed alphabetically.

This work was supported by the UCLA Center for HIV Identification, Prevention, and Treatment Services (CHIPTS), which is funded by National Institute of Mental Health Grant MH58107. The data analyses were funded by support from the University of California, Los Angeles, to Mary Jane Rotheram-Borus; by the UCLA Center for AIDS Research Grant 5P30AI028697; and by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences through UCLA California Specialized Training Institute Grant UL1TR000124. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Mental Health or the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Mary Jane Rotheram-Borus, Center for Community Health, University of California, Los Angeles, 10920 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 350, Los Angeles, California, 90024. E-mail: cchpublications@mednet.ucla.edu



Cynthia I. Grossman

Despite the statistics that reflect troubling health disparities, there is global recognition that the broad range of available prevention tools can be used to create a generation free of AIDS. In part, this optimism is due to a series of biomedical breakthroughs, particularly the ability of ARVs to reduce HIV transmission (J. Cohen, 2011; M. S. Cohen, Muessig, Smith, Powers, & Kashuba, 2012) and acquisition (Grant et al., 2010) and to extend and increase the quality of life of PLWH (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Panel on Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-1-Infected Adults and Adolescents, 2012). There is also increasing recognition about the importance not only of identifying people with unrecognized HIV infection but also of linking and retaining HIV-positive persons in care to achieve the maximum viral suppression at both the individual and community levels. While the prevalence of HIV testing and the prevalence of linkage to care are relatively high, the proportion of HIV-positive persons who remain in care, achieve viral suppression, and receive prevention counseling is low (CDC, 2011c). The broad array of available prevention tools has resulted in efforts to combine various HIV prevention interventions in order to have the highest impact on the HIV epidemic (White House Office of National AIDS Policy, 2010). *Combination prevention* is defined as the integration of behavioral, biomedical, and structural HIV intervention strategies (Kurth, Celum, Baeten, Vermund, & Wasserheit, 2011), and because all combinations are not equally efficacious, it is important to emphasize the need for high-impact combinations if we are to stop the HIV epidemic (CDC, 2011b). Scaling up high-impact combination prevention holds the promise to significantly impact the HIV epidemic and represents the best strategy to significantly reduce health disparities.

Whereas having the tools and policies to address the HIV epidemic presents opportunities, the persistence of

racial and ethnic health disparities presents a challenge to everyone involved in HIV prevention and care. These opportunities and challenges are most apparent when examining the health disparities that exist at each step in the HIV testing-to-care continuum (Gardner, McLees, Steiner, del Rio, & Burman, 2010; Valdeseri, 2012). Health disparities are evident in awareness of HIV infection, linkage to HIV care for those who test positive, retention in HIV care, and adherence to ARV treatment to achieve viral suppression. Psychologists have unique expertise in understanding how social systems interact with individual behavior to impact health, an expertise that has been critical in creating theory-driven and evidence-based strategies to decrease health disparities.

Thomas, Quinn, Butler, Fryer, and Garza (2011) have described three phases of research on health disparities: first, documenting the existence of disparities (well-documented for HIV); second, identifying causes, including social determinants of disparities as well as disease-specific causes (again, well-documented for HIV); and third, creating programs to reduce disparities, similar to the existing behavioral interventions available from the CDC (2008; Effective Interventions, 2012). A fourth phase of research on health disparities has been proposed in which the complex structural relationships between factors such as poverty and racism are related to health disparities (Thomas et al., 2011). Multilevel, comprehensive interventions that explore more than one HIV-associated outcome, especially those drivers of HIV-associated racial and ethnic health disparities, could be responsive to the new, broader paradigm to reduce health disparities. Psychologists and behavioral scientists who aim to participate in the fourth phase of health disparities research can work to minimize the ways in which their research practices mirror existing social structures that contribute to health disparities. Psychologists can also design and conduct research to directly address those social determinants and structural factors that allow health disparities to persist.

In this article we briefly describe the NHAS as a policy roadmap to addressing health disparities in HIV, describe the opportunities afforded by high-impact combination prevention, and discuss the challenges presented by the health disparities across the HIV testing-to-care continuum. The opportunities for psychologists and behavioral scientists to influence how HIV prevention and care is conceptualized, researched, and implemented are highlighted throughout.

The National HIV/AIDS Strategy

At a structural level, the NHAS represents a visionary document that aims to produce significant programmatic and policy changes to address the HIV epidemic and HIV health disparities in the United States. The NHAS aims to achieve three main goals by 2015: (a) reduce the number of people who become infected with HIV; (b) increase access to care and optimal health outcomes for PLWH; and (c) reduce HIV-related health disparities. The NHAS recognizes that there is no single solution to addressing HIV in

David W. Purcell



the United States, emphasizing the importance of implementing a combination of approaches to prevention. A fourth, overarching, goal of NHAS, achieving a more coordinated response to the HIV epidemic, encourages collaborations at the federal level and between federal agencies and state, territorial, local, and tribal governments, as well as the development of the mechanisms to monitor progress toward the first three goals (White House Office of National AIDS Policy, 2010).

In response to the NHAS goals, the CDC initiated a demonstration project called the Enhanced Comprehensive HIV Prevention Planning (ECHPP) project in the 12 metropolitan service areas (MSAs) with the most cases of AIDS (CDC, 2011a). The 12 ECHPP MSAs represented 44% of cases in the United States at that time (CDC, 2011a). The ECHPP project aimed to improve program planning in each MSA by utilizing a mix of interventions to maximize the impact of HIV combination prevention locally. The ECHPP project tried to improve upon existing practices by asking health departments to (a) examine all local HIV prevention, care, and treatment resources, regardless of source (federal, state, private, foundations); (b) direct resources to achieve maximum impact on HIV incidence; (c) use a core set of behavioral, biomedical, and structural interventions implemented in combination, targeted, and scaled to maximize appropriate coverage and impact; and (d) increase data-driven decision making by attempting to incorporate local epidemiologic, cost-effectiveness and efficacy data (CDC, 2011a). To support the NHAS and cross-agency collaboration, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) funded supplements for two different research programs to conduct small pilot studies in most of the ECHPP cities (District of Columbia Developmental Center for AIDS Research, 2012). These initiatives are

ongoing, and in addition to their future outcomes, they provide a structure for continued collaboration across federal agencies and, at the local level, between public health officials and researchers. In addition, CDC's core funding for all state health departments and select big-city health departments also incorporated the principles of high-impact prevention (CDC, 2011d).

In late 2012, CDC funded a second-generation demonstration project in eight states called Care and Prevention in the United States (CAPUS; CDC, 2012a). The three goals of this program, which is focused on racial and ethnic minorities, are to (a) increase identification of unknown HIV-positive persons; (b) increase linkage, retention, and re-engagement in care; and (c) address health disparities that directly and proximally affect these first two goals. Unique aspects of this program are the direct focus on health disparities, a requirement that 25% of the funding be dedicated to community-based organizations, and the involvement of multiple federal agencies in the planning and management of the program (including the Department of Health and Human Services, the Health Resources Services Administration, and the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; CDC, 2012a).

The NHAS implementation has encouraged closer working relationships among public health departments, psychologists, AIDS service organizations, medical clinics, academic researchers, and advocates. In many cases, public health departments are leading the agenda setting for the types of research, policy, and program questions that are important to their jurisdictions, and as a result, research projects are conceptualized and prioritized on the basis of their salience to the local epidemic. Through capacity-building partnerships, academic researchers support the public health departments with the goal of creating stronger bidirectional relationships. This reorganization is a fundamental shift in ongoing priorities for setting research agendas at the local level from academic institutions to public health authorities. As highlighted by CDC funding of state health departments nationwide, as well as by the ECHPP and CAPUS demonstration projects, each community, region, and state must tailor their planning and implementation of combination prevention strategies to their local epidemic. Communities will need to shape their implementation policies based on the size and demographics of their local HIV epidemic, as well as leverage improvements in access to testing, linkage to care, and maintenance in care at each point on the treatment cascade.

Addressing Health Disparities Across the HIV Testing-to-Care Continuum

The continuum from HIV testing to long-term adherence to care comprises the steps necessary to intervene in furtherance of and measure progress toward the NHAS goals. The HIV testing-to-care continuum presents a series of opportunities for implementation of high-impact combination prevention interventions to reduce both HIV and associated health disparities by:

Mary Jane Rotheram-Borus



- identifying HIV-positive persons as soon as possible after HIV infection;
- linking them to and retaining them in care;
- ensuring access to and utilization of ARVs for all HIV-positive persons; and
- sustaining them in health care lifelong to realize the individual and community benefits of treatment.

Health disparities exist at every step along the continuum, with gaps in each step contributing in an overlapping and cumulative fashion to perpetuate racial and ethnic health disparities (CDC, 2010a). In addition, ARV-based interventions can be implemented as part of the combination of interventions because they have demonstrated significant impact on HIV incidence in addition to their well-known health benefits when administered for the purposes of HIV treatment.

Unfortunately, challenges remain with regard to ensuring adequate access and utilization across all steps of the HIV testing-to-care continuum so that the benefits can be realized across all groups. Psychologists and behavioral scientists have a role to play in designing interventions and conducting their research in such a way as to assist in addressing the health disparities in HIV. Interventions are needed, to be included as part of high-impact combination prevention, that attend to the racial and ethnic disparities at every step along the continuum.

HIV Testing: Increasing Awareness of HIV Status and Targeting Pre-Exposure and Nonoccupational Post-Exposure Prophylaxis for Prevention

Scaling up of HIV testing is a critical component of combination prevention, because of the high transmission po-

tential for those who are unaware of their HIV status. Currently, it is estimated that approximately 18% of PLWH in the United States do not know their HIV status (CDC, 2011c). Thus, HIV testing serves as an important tool for the purposes of ensuring that individuals know their HIV status, and those who test HIV-positive can be rapidly linked to HIV care. Since 2006, CDC has recommended routine HIV testing in clinical care, although adoption of these guidelines has been less than universal (CDC, 2006; Mahajan, Stemple, Shapiro, King, & Cunningham, 2009; Wolf, Donoghoe, & Lane, 2007). Health departments and community agencies have focused on testing highest-risk persons who may not be seen in various care settings (d'Almeida et al., 2012; Lorenc et al., 2011; Thornton, Delpech, Kall, & Nardone, 2012). However, racial and ethnic disparities exist within the group of people unaware of their HIV status. White, non-Latino Americans have the lowest percentage of undiagnosed infections compared with Latino Americans and African Americans (CDC, 2010a). Ensuring that HIV testing is routine at locations that are more likely to be accessed by African Americans and Latino Americans, such as emergency rooms, is important for identifying unknown infections because these sites are least likely to routinely test for HIV (Hsieh, Rothman, Newman-Toker, & Kelen, 2008; Hsieh, Wilbur, & Rothman, 2012; Jha, Li, Orav, & Epstein, 2005). The use of conventional lab-based HIV screening, batched hourly, has been shown to dramatically increase routine HIV screening in a high-volume emergency room (Hoxhaj, Davila, Modi, & Kachalia, 2011), and this method holds promise for expanding HIV testing to other high-volume settings that serve racially and ethnically diverse patients.

Community mobilization is one approach that has been used to address health disparities across a variety of health conditions and is also a common approach to increasing the uptake of HIV testing. Two highly visible recent efforts underway in Washington, DC, and the Bronx, New York (McNeil, 2012; New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2011), are aimed at engaging and mobilizing the African American and Latino American communities, particularly around HIV testing. For example, in 2006, multiple agencies, led by the public health department, formed a coalition to stop HIV transmission in Washington, DC, and a multiprong approach, including the widespread provision of insurance, was adopted with routine, rapid HIV testing implemented in all health care settings. The rates of HIV testing increased threefold within the first year, and 24% of the population was tested within one year (CDC, 2010b). Increases were also observed in the number of individuals receiving CD4 cell counts within three months of an HIV diagnosis. These efforts demonstrate the power of effective community mobilization and structural level changes that serve as a platform on which to build implementation efforts.

Historically, clinic- and community-based venues have been the primary locations for HIV testing; however, the recent Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of the first oral-fluid, rapid, consumer-controlled, home-based HIV test provides an alternative to previous ap-



Rosemary Veniegas

proaches. It is too early to know if this new option for HIV testing will have any impact on racial and ethnic disparities in knowledge of HIV serostatus. It is possible that disparities seen in awareness of HIV status could be lessened by the availability of a home-testing kit for HIV. Given that mistrust in the medical system has been documented among African Americans and Latino Americans, this testing option may offer an alternative that may substantially improve HIV testing rates among those communities (Bogart, Galvan, Wagner, & Klein, 2011; Bogart, Wagner, Galvan, & Banks, 2010). However, the costs of the test kit and ensuring subsequent linkage to care for those who test HIV positive are among the challenges. Thus, individuals who test HIV positive at home face the challenge of interacting with a medical system that they may not trust, potentially resulting in low rates of linkage to HIV care.

Another tool in the combination prevention toolbox is pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), which is the use of ARVs for HIV prevention among HIV-negative individuals who take the drugs in advance of potential exposure (Grant et al., 2010). This adds to the ARV-based prevention options for people who are HIV-negative, joining nonoccupational post-exposure prophylaxis (n-PEP), which is when ARVs are taken soon after a suspected exposure to HIV (Schechter et al., 2004). Again, it is too early to tell if PrEP will have an impact on racial and ethnic health disparities, but the data on n-PEP uptake suggest that disparities may be seen. Outside of occupationally related PEP, n-PEP is most typically used by gay and bisexual men and other men who have sex with men (MSM), the group with the highest prevalence of HIV (CDC, 2005; Shoptaw et al., 2008). There are currently many community efforts to increase uptake of n-PEP among African American and Latino American communities (Gay, Kashuba, & Cohen, 2009;

Schechter et al., 2004). However, participation by racial/ethnic minority MSM (24%–49%) remains lower than participation by Caucasian MSM (46%–76%; Krakower et al., 2012; Mayer, Mimiaga, Gelman, & Grasso, 2012; Mimiaga, Case, Johnson, Safren, & Mayer, 2009; Roland et al., 2011; Sayles et al., 2011).

To gather early data on PrEP knowledge, prior to the FDA approval, a series of studies examined the anticipated barriers to and attitudes toward the utilization of PrEP by MSM, including African Americans and Latino Americans (Al-Tayyib & Trun, 2011; Brooks et al., 2009; Eisingerich et al., 2012; Krakower et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2008; Mimiaga et al., 2009). Overall, knowledge of PrEP is low, and willingness to utilize PrEP is dependent on a range of factors including stigma, perceived personal risk of HIV infection, ARV side effects, and accessibility and sustainability of access to ARV. As PrEP demonstration studies are continuing, close observation of potential racial and ethnic disparities should be monitored and addressed.

Psychologists and behavioral scientists have a role to play in creating effective community mobilization strategies, understanding the rollout of new tools such as home testing, and most important, documenting their effectiveness in addressing health disparities. Consistent with the NHAS aims, research is needed to demonstrate that interventions and strategies to increase the number of individuals who are aware of their HIV status are both effective and cost-effective. Thus, part of the role of research psychologists and behavioral scientists is to continue to systematically document linkages between the social and individual factors, and the health outcomes disparities. When disparities in health outcomes cannot be explained by lack of access or utilization of a given HIV prevention modality, theories of health disparities (e.g., Thomas et al., 2011) suggest looking to underlying, and often more distal, social and structural factors.

Linkage to HIV Care

Timely linkage to HIV care following a seropositive HIV test is critical, made even more so by the data on the individual and prevention benefits of ARV treatment (J. Cohen, 2011). Rates of linkage to HIV care vary widely across jurisdictions but are generally suboptimal (CDC, 2011c). For example, in Los Angeles, 37% of PLWH do not access care in the first year following HIV diagnoses (Leibowitz, Mendes, & Desmond, 2011). In the Kaiser Permanente HIV Cohort Study (2012), African Americans and Latino Americans presented with lower CD4 counts (later in diagnosis) than Whites, suggesting late diagnosis, delayed linkage, or both. Recent CDC data for 12 states and 2 MSAs indicated lower linkage to care among African Americans (75%) than among Whites (83.1%) and Latinos (82.7%) and substantial variation between jurisdictions (CDC, 2013).

Multiple strategies, employing principles from social and behavioral science, are being explored to make linkage to care an immediate step after testing (Kalichman et al., 2011). Some examples include peer-based strategies to

help individuals navigate the health care system and address barriers to access and utilization and contingency management approaches that incentivize timely linkage to care (Prendergast, Podus, Finney, Greenwell, & Roll, 2006; Reback et al., 2010). However, few approaches are aimed at addressing the social determinants that underlie health disparities. A report by the Institute of Medicine outlines specific recommendations, though not specific to HIV, regarding changes to the health care system that are needed to eliminate racial and ethnic health disparities (Smedley, Stitch, & Nelson, 2003). Many of the recommendations from the Institute of Medicine report are applicable to the disparities in HIV care. For example, the report calls for a greater understanding, through research, of the role that beliefs and attitudes on the part of patients and providers play in their behavior. PrEP, n-PEP, home-based testing, and sustained linkage to care will vary substantially based on the beliefs of PLWH, especially African Americans and Latino Americans. Psychologists and behavioral scientists have been influential in developing theories of behavior change that include such psychosocial factors as attitudes and beliefs. These theoretical models could be integrated with theories of health disparities to include the social (e.g., racism, stigma) and structural (e.g., poverty) factors that may work together to drive racial and ethnic health disparities.

Retention in HIV Care and Adherence to ARV Treatment

Once PLWH are linked to HIV care, the personal and prevention benefits of treatment are seen only among those individuals who stay in care and maintain a suppressed viral load (Das et al., 2010). Retention in care has been defined in multiple ways using different parameters such as clinic attendance or laboratory monitoring. Despite the challenges of determining a singular definition of retention in HIV care, all available data indicate that rates of retention in care in the United States are suboptimal (CDC, 2013). In addition, significant racial and ethnic disparities exist, with recent CDC data indicating that retention in care was lower for African Americans (37.7%) than for Whites (47.6%) and Latino Americans (40.7%) and substantial variation between jurisdictions (CDC, 2013). Poor retention in care limits the accessibility of ARV treatment for individual and prevention benefits, contributes to worse HIV-related health outcomes, decreases the opportunity to detect non-HIV-related health conditions that can be identified during the course of routine HIV care, and limits exposure to HIV prevention services.

Sustained viral suppression has become a cornerstone of prevention with PLWH because of the evidence that treatment can reduce transmission by up to 96% (M. S. Cohen & Gay, 2010). And apart from a select group of individuals, most PLWH require sustained adherence to ARV treatment to achieve viral suppression. In addition, there is growing evidence of the individual benefits of starting on ARV treatment at higher CD4 counts, in an earlier stage of the disease, than was previously recom-

mended (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents, 2012). Again, the data suggest that improvements are needed in terms of the percentage of PLWH in the United States who are living with their virus fully suppressed. Nationally, viral suppression is seen among only 25% of PLWH, with African Americans and Latino Americans engaged in HIV care exhibiting the lowest rates (Hall et al., 2012). Recent CDC data for 12 states and two MSAs showed that viral suppression was lowest among African Americans diagnosed with HIV (61.3%) and highest among Whites (78.3%). Suppression among Latino Americans was in between that of the other two groups (71.4%; CDC, 2013). Some potential contributing factors to this disparity can be found in factors that influence access to ARV medications. For example, prescriptions for ARV medications are least likely to be consistently accessible for minorities (Kalichman, Catz, & Ramachandran, 1999). In May 2012, about 2,700 PLWH in 10 states were on waiting lists for receipt of ARV medications (Childress, 2012; Kaiser Family Foundation, 2012). The data on waitlists are not broken down by race or ethnicity. While most waitlists have been nearly eliminated, they periodically have existed throughout the history of AIDS in the United States. That PLWH may not be able to obtain ARV medication, in some circumstances, works against the HIV health care and prevention goals of the NHAS, the CDC, and local health jurisdictions.

Among those individuals linked to care and on ARV medication, there is some evidence that adherence may vary by race/ethnicity, with African American and Latino American patients demonstrating lower levels of adherence to ARV regimens (Kaiser Permanente HIV Cohort Study, 2012). There is substantial evidence that adherence is lower among populations with low levels of education, health literacy, or income (Braverman & Dedier, 2009; Reback et al., 2010; Sankar, Neufeld, Berry, & Luborsky, 2011; Weingarten et al., 2002). Poverty, substance use, high levels of homophobia, and a long tradition of barriers to accessing and receiving high-quality health care have been associated with HIV rates among marginalized populations (Groh et al., 2011; Kalichman et al., 1999; Mannheimer et al., 2005). For example, persons without transportation, who speak a different language than their provider speaks, or who have a different set of norms regarding interaction are far less likely to adhere to medical regimens (Kalichman et al., 1999). Thus, even if they are able to adhere to taking medications, some disproportionately affected groups may have difficulty remaining in HIV treatment or primary medical care. That said, it is important to note that racial and ethnic differences have not been shown in the efficacy of adherence-support interventions (Simoni, Pearson, Pantalone, Marks, & Crepaz, 2006). Also, the potency of ARV medication is sufficient to eliminate racial and ethnic disparities in morbidity and mortality among those retained in HIV care, as demonstrated in one large urban clinic (Moore & Bartlett, 2011). Therefore, ARV treatment and behavioral interventions provide effective tools that, if ade-

quately deployed, could reduce or eliminate some HIV-related health disparities.

Public health specialists and health care providers are challenged in gaining the trust of, reaching, and maintaining relationships with those most impacted by HIV (Mays, Cochrane, & Zamudio, 2004). For example, trust is a key issue for African Americans to be effectively engaged in HIV prevention (Bogart et al., 2010). One study found that half of the African American study participants believed HIV was a man-made disease and the government was using African Americans as guinea pigs, withholding cures for HIV from the public (Bogart et al., 2011). These data suggest that conspiracy beliefs remain real for some African Americans (Bogart et al., 2011). Psychologists and behavioral scientists may play an important role in understanding and addressing the psychosocial factors such as stigma, racism, and medical mistrust that have been associated with decreases in access and utilization of HIV prevention and care.

Psychologists have unique expertise in understanding individual behavior and social systems and how they impact health. Research conducted by psychologists has sought to increase the uptake of HIV testing, facilitate immediate linkage to care, increase adherence to medications, and enhance maintenance of medical care lifelong. Behavioral and system-level changes are going to be needed in the organization of the continuum of HIV care to integrate combination prevention approaches. In addition, culturally competent psychologists are needed to enhance cultural sensitivity at the individual and systems levels to the cultural norms, values, and beliefs of key populations.

Challenge for Psychologists

National policies regarding HIV have been restructured, with the NHAS and high-impact combination prevention offering an array of tools that have the potential to dramatically impact the HIV epidemic. Health disparities exist across the HIV testing-to-care continuum, but the continuum presents the framework in which to examine the opportunities and challenges at each step with regard to addressing racial and ethnic health disparities. The research conducted by psychologists and behavioral scientists will be critical to informing the new generation of combination prevention interventions, as well as their implementation, to address health disparities. The creation of novel, scalable interventions that can eliminate disparities along the HIV testing-to-care continuum and help to transform medical care to an inviting, nonstigmatizing environment that successfully engages ethnic minority persons at risk for HIV or HIV infected is a significant, but answerable, challenge.

Psychologists and behavioral scientists cannot address the pervasive health disparities alone. Community engagement is critical to achieving the goals of the NHAS, realizing the benefits of the combination prevention tools, and eliminating HIV infection. AIDS service organizations, other nongovernmental organizations, and advocates provide access to, deep knowledge of, and sustained relationships over time with affected populations. Only sustained

engagement and structural supports at the local level will enhance utilization of HIV services by underserved ethnic minority young people and adults (Phytel, 2012). Integrating the knowledge and expertise of the community with that of the health care system is a formidable challenge made even more urgent by the benefits of ARV medications for prevention goals. Communities planning to implement ARV-based combination prevention will need procedures for ensuring access (e.g., transportation, medical packaging, community health workers to support adherence) combined with adequate public health education and engagement (Kaiser Permanente HIV Cohort Study, 2012). Capacity building efforts are needed that enhance, in an integrated way, the community, public health, and medical expertise needed to successfully deliver combination prevention to the public.

Psychologists have been pioneers in the area of patient engagement (Horstmann, Brown, Islam, Buck, & Agins, 2010; Joe, Simpson, & Broome, 1999). Though not the focus of this article, there is ample evidence of the role of mental health problems in HIV prevention and care. In particular, mental health problems and disorders are consistently associated with high risk of HIV, low adherence, and lack of maintenance in care (Clements-Nolle, Marks, Guzman, & Katz, 2001; Heckman et al., 1998). Therapeutic approaches used by psychologists, such as cognitive-behavioral approaches to improve mental health and health behaviors, are an important component of HIV prevention and care. However, those involved in the integration of mental health care with HIV prevention and care should be careful not to exacerbate the factors that underlie the health disparities in both conditions.

Paralleling the disparities in minorities' access to and engagement in the system of HIV care are disparities in the research workforce. The National Academy of Sciences has called for substantial increases in the numbers of scientists from ethnic minority groups and international settings (Committee on Underrepresented Groups and the Expansion of the Science and Engineering Workforce Pipeline, 2011). A recent analysis of NIH-funded grants found that African Americans were underrepresented by 10% among those receiving funding, after controlling for a large number of educational, publication, and personal history factors (<http://www.nih.gov/news/health/aug2011/od-18.htm>). Integrating research teams with persons from cultures that value and provide equal power to behavioral, basic, and biomedical expertise is likely to be as challenging as creating and broadly implementing combination prevention strategies. The racial and ethnic disparities that exist in the scientific workforce will pose a challenge to fully implementing combination prevention and realizing reductions in HIV-related health disparities.

In the long battle to eliminate health disparities, new tools are now available to eliminate HIV-related disparities. By combining the exciting new biomedical discoveries with well-established and emerging behavioral approaches, combination prevention today offers the greatest hope for stopping HIV and eliminating disparities for the next generation. We cannot achieve this goal without fundamentally

transforming the policy structures, theoretical models of implementation science, and our workforce. The NHAS and scientific advances have provided the structural shifts in policy and the prevention and treatment tools that could eliminate health disparities. Now our science, priorities, and workplace norms must shift to embrace these changes.

REFERENCES

- Al-Tayyib, A., & Trun, M. (2011). *Knowledge of and attitudes towards pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for HIV prevention among men who have sex with men in Denver, Colorado*. Paper presented at the 10th International Conference of AIDS Impact, Santa Fe, New Mexico. Retrieved from <http://www.aidsimpact.com/2011/Academics/Programme/abstract/?id=207>
- Andersen, R., Bozzette, S., Shapiro, M., St Clair, P., Morton, S., Crystal, S., . . . Cunningham, W. (2000). Access of vulnerable groups to antiretroviral therapy among persons in care for HIV disease in the United States. HCSUS Consortium. HIV Cost and Services Utilization Study. *Health Services Research, 35*(2), 389–416.
- Bogart, L. M., Galvan, F. H., Wagner, G. J., & Klein, D. J. (2011). Longitudinal association of HIV conspiracy beliefs with sexual risk among African-American males living with HIV. *AIDS and Behavior, 15*(6), 1180–1186. doi:10.1007/s10461-010-9796-7
- Bogart, L. M., Wagner, G. J., Galvan, F. H., & Banks, D. (2010). Conspiracy beliefs about HIV are related to antiretroviral treatment nonadherence among Black men with HIV. *JAIDS: Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes, 53*(5), 648–655. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181c57dbc
- Braverman, J., & Dedier, J. (2009). Predictors of medication adherence for African-American patients diagnosed with hypertension. *Ethnicity and Disease, 19*, 396–400.
- Brooks, R. A., Kaplan, R. L., Leiber, E., Landovitz, R. J., Lee, S. J., & Leibowitz, A. A. (2009). Motivators, concerns, and barriers to adoption of preexposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention among gay and bisexual men in HIV serodiscordant relationships for MSM. *AIDS Care: Psychological and Socio-Medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV, 23*(9), 1136–1145. doi:10.1080/09540121.2011.554528
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2005). Antiretroviral post-exposure prophylaxis after sexual, injection-drug use, or other nonoccupational exposure to HIV in the United States: Recommendations from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR), Recommendations and Reports, 54*(RR02), 1–20. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5402a1.htm>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2006). Revised recommendations for HIV testing of adults, adolescents, and pregnant women in health-care settings. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR), Recommendations and Reports, 55*(RR14), 1–17. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5514a1.htm>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2008). *Replicating Effective Programs Plus*. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/topics/prev_prog/rep/
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2010a). *Monitoring selected national HIV prevention and care objectives by using HIV surveillance data—United States and 6 U.S. dependent areas—2010* (HIV Surveillance Supplemental Report, Vol. 17, No. 3, Part A). Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/surveillance/resources/reports/2010supp_vol17no3/index.htm
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2010b). Expanded HIV testing and trends in diagnoses of HIV infection—District of Columbia, 2004–2008. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR), 59*(24), 737–741. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/wk/mm5924.pdf>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2011a). *Enhanced comprehensive HIV prevention planning and implementation for metropolitan statistical areas most affected by HIV/AIDS*. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/strategy/echpp/index.htm>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2011b). *High-impact HIV prevention: CDC's approach to reducing HIV infections in the United States*. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/strategy/hihp/index.htm>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2011c). Vital signs: HIV prevention through care and treatment—United States. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR), 60*(47), 1618–1623. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/wk/mm6047.pdf>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2011d). *CDC's new high-impact approach to HIV prevention funding for health departments: Advancing the national HIV/AIDS strategy*. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/nchstp/newsroom/docs/HD-FOA-media-fact-sheet-508c.pdf>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2012a). *FactSheet: The Care and Prevention in the United States (CAPUS) demonstration project*. Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/topics/funding/PS12-1210/resources/factsheet/index.htm>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2012b). *HIV Surveillance Report: Diagnoses of HIV infection and AIDS in the United States and dependent areas, 2010* (Vol. 22). Retrieved from <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/surveillance/resources/reports/2010report/index.htm>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2013). *Monitoring selected national HIV prevention and care objectives by using HIV surveillance data—United States and 6 U.S. dependent areas—2010* (HIV Surveillance Supplemental Report, Vol. 18, No. 2, Part B). Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/surveillance/resources/reports/2010supp_vol18no2/index.htm
- Childress, S. (2012). *Why some with HIV still can't get treatment*. Retrieved from <http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/social-issues/endgame-aids-in-black-america/why-some-with-hiv-still-cant-get-treatment/>
- Clements-Nolle, K., Marx, R., Guzman, R., & Katz, M. (2001). HIV prevalence, risk behaviors, health care use, and mental health status of transgender persons: Implications for public health intervention. *American Journal of Public Health, 91*(6), 915–921. doi:10.2105/AJPH.91.6.915
- Cohen, J. (2011). Breakthrough of the year. HIV treatment as prevention. *Science, 334*(6063), 1628. doi:10.1126/science.334.6063.1628
- Cohen, M. S., & Gay, C. (2010). Treatment to prevent transmission of HIV-1. *Clinical Infectious Diseases, 50*(Suppl. 3), S85–S95. doi:10.1086/651478
- Cohen, M. S., Muessig, K. E., Smith, M. K., Powers, K. A., & Kashuba, A. D. (2012). Antiviral agents and HIV prevention: Controversies, conflicts, and consensus. *AIDS, 26*(13), 1585–1598. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e3283543e83
- Committee on Underrepresented Groups and the Expansion of the Science and Engineering Workforce Pipeline, Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy, Policy and Global Affairs, National Academy of Sciences. (2011). *Expanding underrepresented minority participation: America's science and technology talent at the crossroads*. Retrieved from http://www.agu.org/education/pdf/NAS_increasing_minorities_in_STEM.pdf
- d'Almeida, K. W., Kierzek, G., de Truchis, P., Le Vu, S., Pateron, D., Renaud, B., . . . Emergency Department HIV-Screening Group. (2012). Modest public health impact of nontargeted human immunodeficiency virus screening in 29 emergency departments. *Archives of Internal Medicine, 172*(1), 12–20. doi:10.1001/archintermed.2011.535
- Das, M., Chu, P. L., Santos, G.-M., Scheer, S., Vittinghoff, E., McFarland, W., & Colfax, G. N. (2010). Decreases in community viral load are accompanied by reductions in new HIV infections in San Francisco. *PLoS ONE, 5*(6), e11068. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011068
- District of Columbia Developmental Center for AIDS Research. (2012). *CFAR/APC ECHPP Conference 2012*. Retrieved from http://sphhs.gwu.edu/d-cfar/ECHPP_conf.cfm?utm_source=December+5%2C+2012&utm_campaign=APRIL032012&utm_medium=email
- Effective Interventions. (2012). About DEBI. Retrieved from <http://www.effectiveinterventions.org/en/AboutDebi.aspx>
- Eisingerich, A. B., Wheelock, A., Gomez, G. B., Garnett, G. P., Dybul, M. R., & Piot, P. K. (2012). Attitudes and acceptance of oral and parenteral HIV preexposure prophylaxis among potential user groups: A multinational study. *PLoS ONE, 7*(1), e28238. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0028238
- Gardner, E. M., McLees, M. P., Steiner, J. F., del Rio, C., & Burman, W. J. (2011). The spectrum of engagement in HIV care and its rele-

- vance to test-and-treat strategies for prevention of HIV infection. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 52, 793–800. doi:10.1093/cid/ciq243
- Gay, C. L., Kashuba, A. D., & Cohen, M. S. (2009). Using antiretrovirals to prevent HIV transmission. In K. H. Mayer & H. F. Pizer (Eds.), *HIV prevention: A comprehensive approach* (pp. 107–145). Burlington, MA: Elsevier.
- Grant, R. M., Lama, J. R., Anderson, P. L., McMahan, V., Liu, A. Y., Vargas, L., . . . iPrEx Study Team. (2010). Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 363(27), 2587–2599. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1011205
- Groh, K., Audet, C. M., Baptista, A., Sidat, M., Vergara, A., Vermund, S. H., & Moon, T. D. (2011). Barriers to antiretroviral therapy adherence in rural Mozambique. *BMC Public Health*, 11, 650. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-650
- Hall, H. I., Byers, R. H., Ling, Q., & Espinoza, L. (2007). Racial/ethnic and age disparities in HIV prevalence and disease progression among men who have sex with men in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, 97(6), 1060–1066. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.087551
- Hall, H. I., Frazier, E. L., Rhodes, P., Holtgrave, D. R., Furlow-Parmley, C., Tang, T., . . . Skarbinski, J. (2012, July). *Continuum of HIV care: Differences in care and treatment by sex and race/ethnicity in the United States*. Paper presented at the 19th International AIDS Conference, Washington, DC. Abstract retrieved from <http://pag.aids2012.org/Abstracts.aspx?AID=21098>
- Heckman, T. G., Somlai, A. M., Peters, J., Walker, J., Otto-Salaj, L., Galdabini, C. A., & Kelly, J. A. (1998). Barriers to care among persons living with HIV/AIDS in urban and rural areas. *AIDS Care: Psychological and Socio-Medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV*, 10(3), 365–375.
- Horstmann, E., Brown, J., Islam, F., Buck, J., & Agins, B. D. (2010). Retaining HIV-infected patients in care: Where are we? Where do we go from here? *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 50(5), 752–761.
- Hoxhaj, S., Davila, J. A., Modi, P., & Kachalia, N. (2011). Using nonrapid HIV technology for routine, opt-out HIV screening in a high-volume urban emergency department. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 58(1), S79–S84. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2011.03.030
- Hsieh, Y. H., Rothman, R. E., Newman-Toker, D. E., & Kelen, G. D. (2008). National estimation of rates of HIV serology testing in US emergency departments 1993–2005: Baseline prior to the 2006 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommendations. *AIDS*, 22, 2127–2134. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e328310e066
- Hsieh, Y. H., Wilbur, L., & Rothman, R. (2012). HIV testing in U.S. emergency departments: At the crossroads. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 19(8), 975–977. doi:10.1111/j.1553-2712.2012.01419.x
- Jha, A. K., Li, Z., Orav, J., & Epstein, A. (2005). Care in U.S. hospitals—the Hospital Quality Alliance program. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 353, 265–274. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa051249
- Joe, G. W., Simpson, D. D., & Broome, K. M. (1999). Retention and patient engagement models for different treatment modalities in DATOS. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 57(2), 113–125. doi:10.1016/S0376-8716(99)00088-5
- Kaiser Family Foundation. (2012). Kaiser health tracking poll—May 2012. Retrieved from <http://www.kff.org/kaiserpolls/8315.cfm>
- Kaiser Permanente HIV Cohort Study. (2012). Retrieved from <http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01339403>
- Kalichman, S. C., Catz, S., & Ramachandran, B. (1999). Barriers to HIV/AIDS treatment and treatment adherence among African-American adults with disadvantaged education. *Journal of the National Medical Association*, 91(8), 439–446.
- Kalichman, S. C., Cherry, C., Kalichman, M. O., Amaral, C. M., White, D., Pope, H., . . . Cain, D. (2011). Integrated behavioral intervention to improve HIV/AIDS treatment adherence and reduce HIV transmission. *American Journal of Public Health*, 101(3), 531–538. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2010.197608
- Kraker, D. S., Mimiaga, M. J., Rosenberger, J. G., Novak, D. S., Mitty, J. A., White, J. M., & Mayer, K. H. (2012). Limited awareness and low immediate uptake of pre-exposure prophylaxis among men who have sex with men using an internet social networking site. *PLoS One*, 7(3), e33119. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033119
- Kurth, A. E., Celum, C., Baeten, J. M., Vermund, S. H., & Wasserheit, J. N. (2011). Combination HIV prevention: Significance, challenges, and opportunities. *Current HIV/AIDS Reports*, 8(1), 62–72. doi:10.1007/s11904-010-0063-3
- Leibowitz, A. A., Mendes, A. C., & Desmond. (2011). Public funding of HIV/AIDS prevention, treatment, and support in California. *JAIDS: Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 58(1), e11–e16. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31822101c8
- Levine, R. S., Rust, G. S., Pisu, M., Agboto, V., Baltrus, P. A., Briggs, N. C., . . . Hennekens, C. H. (2010). Increased Black–White disparities in mortality after the introduction of lifesaving innovations: A possible consequence of US federal laws. *American Journal of Public Health*, 100(11), 2176–2184. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2009.170795
- Liu, A. Y., Kittredge, P. V., Vittinghoff, E., Raymond, H. F., Ahrens, K., Matheson, T., . . . Buchbinder, S. P. (2008). Limited knowledge and use of HIV post- and pre-exposure prophylaxis among gay and bisexual men. *JAIDS: Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 47(2), 241–247. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31815e4041
- Lorenc, T., Marrero-Guillamón, I., Aggleton, P., Cooper, C., Llewellyn, A., Lehmann, A., & Lindsay, C. (2011). Promoting the uptake of HIV testing among men who have sex with men: Systematic review of effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, 87(4), 272–278. doi:10.1136/sti.2010.048280
- Mahajan, A. P., Stemple, L., Shapiro, M. F., King, J. B., & Cunningham, W. E. (2009). Consistency of state statutes with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention HIV testing recommendations for health care settings. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 150(4), 263–269. Retrieved from <http://annals.org/article.aspx?articleid=744297>
- Mannheimer, S. B., Matts, J., Telzak, E., Chesney, M., Child, C., Wu, A. W., Friedland, G., & Terry Bein Community Programs for Clinical Research on AIDS. (2005). Quality of life in HIV-infected individuals receiving antiretroviral therapy is related to adherence. *AIDS Care: Psychological and Socio-Medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV*, 17, 10–22.
- Mayer, K. H., Mimiaga, M. J., Gelman, M., & Grasso, C. (2012). Raltegravir, tenofovir DF, and emtricitabine for postexposure prophylaxis to prevent the sexual transmission of HIV: Safety, tolerability, and adherence. *JAIDS: Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 59(4), 354–359. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31824a03b8
- Mays, V. M., Cochrane, S. D., & Zamudio, A. (2004). HIV prevention research: Are we meeting the needs of African American men who have sex with men. *Journal of Black Psychology*, 30, 78–105. doi:10.1177/0095798403260265
- McNeil, D. G., Jr. (2012). In Washington, H.I.V. testing moves beyond the clinic. *New York Times*. Retrieved from <http://www.nytimes.com/2012/07/22/health/policy/washington-dc-tests-for-aids-in-stores-streets-and-offices.html?pagewanted=all>
- Mimiaga, M. J., Case, P., Johnson, C. V., Safren, S. A., & Mayer, K. H. (2009). Preexposure antiretroviral prophylaxis attitudes in high-risk Boston area men who report having sex with men: Limited knowledge and experience but potential for increased utilization after education. *JAIDS: Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 50(1), 77–83. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31818d5a27
- Moore, R. D., & Bartlett, J. G. (2011). Dramatic decline in the HIV-1 RNA level over calendar time in a large urban HIV practice. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 53(6), 600–604. doi:10.1093/cid/cir467
- New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. (2011). *The Bronx Knows HIV Testing Initiative final report*. Retrieved from <http://www.nyc.gov/html/doh/downloads/pdf/ah/bronx-knows-summary-report.pdf>
- Phytel. (2012). *Provider-based patient engagement: An essential strategy for population health*. Retrieved from <http://www3.phytel.com/Libraries/Whitepaper-PDFs/Provider-Based-Patient-Engagement—An-Essential-Strategy-for-Population-Health.sfb.ashx>
- Prendergast, M., Podus, D., Finney, J., Greenwell, L., & Röll, J. (2006). Contingency management for treatment of substance use disorders: A meta-analysis. *Addiction*, 101(11), 1546–1560. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01581.x
- Reback, C. J., Peck, J. A., Dierst-Davies, R., Nuno, M., Kamien, J. B., & Amass, L. (2010). Contingency management among homeless, out-of-treatment men who have sex with men. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 39(3), 255–263. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2010.06.007
- Roland, M. E., Neilands, T. B., Krone, M. R., Coates, T. J., Franches, K., Chesney, M. A., . . . Martin, J. N. (2011). A randomized noninferiority trial of standard versus enhanced risk reduction and adherence coun-

- seling for individuals receiving post-exposure prophylaxis following sexual exposures to HIV. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 53(1), 76–83. doi:10.1093/cid/cir333
- Sankar, A., Neufeld, S., Berry, R., & Luborsky, M. (2011). Cultural rationales guiding medication adherence among African American with HIV/AIDS. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, 25(9), 547–555. doi:10.1089/apc.2010.0345
- Sayles, J. N., García, G. P., Veniegas, R. C., Bolan, R. K., Jordan, W. C., & Landovitz, R. J. (2011). *An HIV post-exposure prophylaxis pilot program implemented in public health settings in Los Angeles*. Paper presented at the National HIV Prevention Conference, Atlanta, GA.
- Schechter, M., do Lago, R. F., Mendelsohn, A. B., Moreira, R. I., Moulton, L. H., & Harrison, L. H. (2004). Behavioral impact, acceptability, and HIV incidence among homosexual men with access to postexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV. *JAIDS: Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 35(5), 519–525. doi:10.1097/00126334-200404150-00010
- Shoptaw, S., Rotheram-Fuller, E., Landovitz, R. J., Wange, J., Moe, A., Kanouse, D. E., & Reback, C. (2008). Non-occupational post exposure prophylaxis as a biobehavioral HIV-prevention intervention. *AIDS Care: Psychological and Socio-Medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV*, 20(3), 376–381.
- Simoni, J. M., Pearson, C. R., Pantalone, D. W., Marks, G., & Crepaz, N. (2006). Efficacy of interventions in improving highly active antiretroviral therapy adherence and HIV-1 RNA viral load: A meta-analytic review of randomized controlled trials. *JAIDS: Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 43(1), S23–S35. doi:10.1097/01.qai.0000248342.05438.52
- Smedley, B. D., Stith, A. Y., & Nelson, A. R. (Eds.). (2003). *Unequal treatment: Confronting racial and ethnic disparities in health care*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Thomas, S. B., Quinn, S. C., Butler, J., Fryer, C. S., & Garza, M. A. (2011). Toward a fourth generation of disparities research to achieve health equity. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 32(1), 399–416. doi: 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031210-101136
- Thornton, A. C., Delpuch, V., Kall, M. M., & Nardone, A. (2012). HIV testing in community settings in resource-rich countries: A systematic review of the evidence. *HIV Medicine*, 13(7), 416–426. doi:10.1111/j.1468-1293.2012.00992.x
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. (2012). *Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents*. Retrieved from <http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf>
- Valdeseri, R. (2012/4/7). CDC releases demographic analysis of HIV treatment cascade at AIDS 2012. Retrieved from <http://blog.aids.gov/2012/08/cdc-releases-demographic-analysis-of-hiv-treatment-cascade-at-aids-2012.html>
- Weingarten, S. R., Henning, J. M., Badamgarav, E., Knight, K., Hasselblad, V., Gano, A., & Ofman, J. J. (2002). Interventions used in disease management programmes for patients with chronic illness—which ones work? Meta-analysis of published reports. *British Medical Journal*, 325, 925. doi:10.1136/bmj.325.7370.925
- White House Office of National AIDS Policy. (2010). *National HIV/AIDS strategy for the United States*. Retrieved from <http://www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/onap/nhas>
- Wolf, L. E., Donoghoe, A., & Lane, T. (2007). Implementing routine HIV testing: the role of state law. *PLoS One*, 2(10), e1005. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001005