UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Heterogeneous Reactions of Phenol on Different Components of Mineral Dust Aerosol: Formation of Oxidized Organic and Nitro-Phenolic Compounds.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5c53n0dk

Authors Hettiarachchi, Eshani Grassian, Vicki

Publication Date

2024-04-12

DOI

10.1021/acsestair.3c00042

Peer reviewed



Article

Heterogeneous Reactions of Phenol on Different Components of Mineral Dust Aerosol: Formation of Oxidized Organic and Nitro-Phenolic Compounds

Eshani Hettiarachchi and Vicki H. Grassian*

Cite This: ACS EST Air 2024, 1, 259–272			Read Online	
ACCESS	III Metrics & More		E Article Recommendations	s Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Phenol, a common semi-volatile compound associated with different emissions including from plants and biomass burning, as well as anthropogenic emissions and its derivatives, are important components of secondary organic aerosols (SOAs). Gas and aqueous phase reactions of phenol, in the presence of photochemical drivers, are fairly well understood. However, despite observations showing aromatic content within SOA size and mass increases during dust episodes, the heterogeneous reactions of phenol with mineral dusts are poorly understood. In the current study, surface reactions of phenol at the gas/solid interface with different components of mineral dust including SiO₂, α -Fe₂O₃, and TiO₂ have been investigated. Whereas reversible surface adsorption of phenol occurs on SiO₂ surfaces, for both α -



 Fe_2O_3 and TiO₂ surfaces, phenol reacts to form a wide range of OH substituted aromatic products. For α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces that have been nitrated by gas-phase reactions of nitric acid prior to exposure to phenol, unique compounds form on the surface including nitro-phenolic compounds. Moreover, additional surface chemistry was observed when adsorbed nitro-phenolic products were exposed to gas-phase SO₂ as a result of the formation of adsorbed nitrite from nitrate redox chemistry with adsorbed SO₂. Overall, this study reveals the extensive chemistry as well as the complexity of reactions of prevalent organic compounds leading to the formation of SOA on mineral surfaces.

KEYWORDS: phenol, iron oxide, nitro-phenolic compounds, mineral dust, heterogeneous reactions

INTRODUCTION

Secondary organic aerosols (SOAs) are ubiquitous in the atmosphere.^{1–8} Phenol (C_6H_6O) and its derivatives such as nitrophenols and catechol are compounds found within SOAs and represent a structurally unique mixture of compounds found in polluted environments.^{9–18} These compounds are detrimental to human health.^{19–21} Apart from health concerns, phenolic compounds are important light-absorbing species contributing to the atmospheric brown carbon formation.^{22–24} Phenol and OH substituted phenols such as catechol and guaiacol are key components in biomass burning.^{14,15,25} Vehicle exhausts,²⁶ pesticide degradation, industries such as resin processing, coal production, and combustion^{27,28} can emit phenol and phenolic compounds into the environment.¹⁸ Phenol concentration in ambient air is 0.03–44 ppb,^{18,29} whereas the gas-phase concentration of nitrophenols is 0.02–56 ppt.³⁰

Phenol and its derivatives in the atmosphere undergo photochemical reactions with OH radicals to produce various oxidized compounds in the gas phase and/or aqueous phase.^{14,30–36} These include catechol, hydroquinone, and phenoxy radical, which all can undergo further reactions.^{14,37}

Further oxidation of catechol and hydroquinone in the presence of OH radicals leads to aromatic ring opening to produce aliphatic carboxylic acids. Ring opening of catechol leads to the formation of *but*-2,4-dien-1,6-dicarboxylic acid (muconic acid), whereas hydroquinone forms maleic and oxalic acids. Under strong oxidizing conditions, the C₆ dicarboxylic acid formed from catechol further oxidizes to maleic and oxalic acids.¹⁴ In some cases, the phenoxy radical can be involved in radical mediated reactions yielding oligomers and radical coupling.^{14,34} Phenol also reacts with nitrogen oxides including NO₂ and NO₃ along with OH radical to form various nitrophenols, among which 2-nitrophenol and 2,4-dinitrophenol are the most common.³³ Nitrophenols

Received:September 26, 2023Revised:January 25, 2024Accepted:January 26, 2024Published:February 23, 2024





formation from catechol can also occur in aqueous environments in the presence of NO_2 or HNO_3 .^{14,18,34,38,39}

Although phenol reactions in the aqueous and gas phases have been well studied, reactions of phenol on mineral surfaces are scarce. The chemistry of other aromatic compounds, including several related compounds, have been previously investigated.⁴⁰⁻⁴⁹ In one study, reactions of guaiacol on Fecontaining clay minerals were shown to contribute to brown carbon formation under varying relative humidity levels. Fe(III) has been shown to oxidize and catalyze the polymerization of guaiacol. Moreover, higher RH accelerated the polymerization reaction.⁴⁰ Ozonolysis of adsorbed 4propyl-guaiacol and catechol on NaCl and α -Al₂O₃ surfaces has also been shown in the formation of aromatic ring opening products.^{41,42} In another study, formation of maleic acid from chlorobenzene on γ -Al₂O₃⁴³ and a significant degradation on α -Fe₂O₃⁴⁴ under photochemical conditions were observed. Aromatic compounds such as phenol and benzene have been shown to undergo hydroxylation in the presence of TiO₂ and iron oxides when H_2O_2 is present as the oxidizing agent, 45,46 whereas phenol in water is shown to undergo selective photooxidation in the presence of TiO2.47 Studies conducted with pyridine, a model PAH, and NO₂ showed the heterogeneous nitration rates are accelerated on mineral dust, particularly on kaolinite.48 Another study conducted on heterogeneous reactions of toluene with NO₂ on α -Fe₂O₃ has reported enhanced ON formation with decreasing temperature, whereas addition of O3 enhances ON formation with increasing temperature, indicating the various heterogeneous reaction mechanisms at play.⁴

Overall, there is increasing recognition of the impact of mineral dust aerosol, a reactive and abundant aerosol,⁵⁰ on SOAs concentration and aerosol size distributions.^{51–54} For an example, during a dust event from the Gobi Desert, an increased concentration of nitro-phenolic compounds in the size range of $(3.2-5.6 \ \mu m)$ was seen compared to nondust events. This observation was ascribed to the vast surface area provided by the dust facilitating the heterogeneous formation of these SOAs and reactions of HNO₃, NO₂, and other NO_x with the dust surfaces.^{16,51} In another study, enhanced SOA formation during dust episodes was attributed primarily to photochemical reactions.⁵⁴ While mineral dust carried by these dust episodes clearly provide a surface for these heterogeneous reactions to occur, the specific mineralogy of the dust provides different reaction pathways that are not delineated by just surface area and generalized reaction schemes. Thus, it is important to parse out mineral-specific heterogeneous and multiphase reactions. Despite the numerous observations aforementioned indicating the active participation of surfaces on reactions, heterogeneous formation pathways of oxidized organics important for SOA formation from aromatic alcohols such as phenol in the presence of mineral dust are poorly understood.

In this study, reactions of phenol on α -Fe₂O₃, TiO₂, and SiO₂ were studied at 298 K under dark conditions. In addition, these reactions of phenol with pre-nitrated oxide surfaces forming nitro-phenolic and oxidized aromatic organic compounds in the absence of water and photochemical drivers were studied. Surface-adsorbed products with gas-phase SO₂ were studied to determine if organosulfur compounds could be formed. Both α -Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂ are major reactive components of mineral dust, whereas SiO₂ is a non-reactive component.^{50,55} These mineral surfaces can interact with gas-

phase NO₂, HNO₃, and SO₂ emitted to the atmosphere via both natural and anthropogenic sources, 5,48,56-60 leading to the formation of nitrated- or sulfated-mineral-surfaces, respectively.^{58,60–64} Adsorption of these inorganic species on mineral surfaces has been widely studied.^{61–63,65–67} Adsorption of NO₂/HNO₃ on mineral surfaces yield surface adsorbed nitrates and nitrites, thereby producing a surface for phenol to interact with and facilitating the formation of organonitrate (ON) compounds. In environments where $SO_2(g)$ is present, these surface-bound various SOAs can further react on the mineral surfaces. For these studies, both Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) were used to better understand the chemistry of phenol on environmentally relevant surfaces. For additional thermodynamic and molecular vibrational frequency calculations, Spartan '20 Version 1.1.4 (Wavefunction Inc) was utilized.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In Situ Monitoring of Surface Adsorption and Reaction with Transmission FTIR Spectroscopy. Transmission FTIR spectroscopy was used to monitor reactions on mineral surfaces at 298 \pm 1 K. Additional details of this system have been previously described.^{68–73} Oxide particles (SiO_2) Aerosil OX50, α -Fe₂O₃, hematite, 99+%, Fischer Scientific, and TiO₂, rutile, Sigma-Aldrich) with a BET surface area of 30 ± 1 m^2/g , 80 \pm 10 m^2/g , and 31 \pm 4 m^2/g , respectively, were heated in an oven at 473 ± 1 K overnight to remove organic contaminants and then pressed onto one half of a tungsten grid (ca. 5 mg). The grid was then placed in the sample IR cell compartment, held by two stainless steel jaws. Following the preparation of the mineral sample and placement in the IR cell, the system was evacuated for 4 h using a turbomolecular pump. The mineral sample was subsequently exposed to 50% RH water vapor for 2 h to yield a hydroxylated terminated surface. Once hydroxylated, the system was evacuated for another 6 h to remove water vapor in the chamber. After evacuation, the sample was exposed to 10 mTorr of phenol vapor (99+%, Alfa Aser, Crystaline) for 20 min under dry conditions (RH < 1%), and adsorption of phenol was studied. Then the gas-phase phenol that remained in the chamber was evacuated for 2 h. The phenol sample was prepared by heating phenol crystals in a water bath at 333 K in which phenol vapor was prepared. For obtaining gas-phase phenol spectrum, FTIR spectra of the IR cell without the oxide surface present were collected for a cell with no phenol (background) and after introducing phenol vapor (sample).

Reactions of phenol with pre-nitrated oxide surfaces were also conducted. It is well established that mineral dust is often associated with nitrates in the atmosphere, especially aged mineral dust which can be transported from desert regions to more urban environments and remain present in the atmosphere for weeks.^{48,74–78} Oxide surfaces were first exposed to gas-phase HNO₃ from the nitric acid vapor taken from a concentrated mixture of H_2SO_4 (~96 w%): HNO₃(~70 w%) 3:1 ratio⁷⁹ to form a nitrated oxide surfaces. A high HNO₃ concentration was chosen to completely nitrate the surface. Oxide surfaces were first exposed to gas-phase HNO₃ from the nitric acid vapor taken from a concentrated mixture of H_2SO_4 (~96 w%): HNO₃(~70 w%) 3:1 ratio⁷⁹ to form a nitrated oxide surfaces. A high HNO₃ concentration was chosen to obtain a well-coated nitrated surface. Then, 10 mTorr of phenol was exposed to these surfaces to study the ON formation. In another set of experiments, adsorbed ON and oxidized products were exposed to 10 mTorr of SO_2 (99+ %, Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min to study the formation of sulfated nitrophenols (SNP). FTIR spectra were collected over a 4 h period through both halves of the tungsten grid to monitor gas phase and particle phase. Following adsorption, the system was evacuated overnight. The experiments with HNO₃ were conducted in a different experimental but very similar setup; instead of stainless steel a Teflon-coated cell was used. Relatively higher concentrations of phenol were used to obtain surface-product concentrations above the limit of detection for HRMS analysis.

Prior to and following the exposure to gases, single-beam spectra (250 scans) of the surface and gas phase were acquired using a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ over the spectral range extending from 600 to 4000 cm⁻¹. Absorption spectra on oxide particles are reported as the difference in the oxide spectra before and after exposure to gases. Absorption bands due to gas-phase components, measured through the blank half of the tungsten grid, were subtracted to obtain FTIR spectra of adsorbed gases only.

Ex Situ Analysis of Products Using High Resolution Mass Spectrometry. Organic products formed on oxide surfaces following reactions of phenol were analyzed using a direct-injection linear ion trap (ThermoFisher Orbitrap) highresolution mass spectrometer (HRMS). Adsorbed products were extracted from the α -Fe₂O₃, TiO₂, or SiO₂ solid substrate using methanol (CH₃OH, Fisher Scientific, HPLC grade) as the solvent. The sample vial, syringe, and all other glassware used in the transfer process were cleaned prior to use with methanol, and Milli-Q water (Millipore Sigma, 18.2 MΩ), and baked in an oven at 500 °C to further remove trace organics. Plastic vials used in sample preparation were sonicated in methanol for 60 min and washed thoroughly prior to using. All of the samples were stored at 250 K and analyzed within 48 h of collection.

HRMS analysis in both positive electrospray ionization (ESI) ($[M + H]^+$ and $[M + Na]^+$) and negative ESI modes $([M - H]^{-})$ was used. The heated electrospray ionization (HESI) source was operated at 100 °C. The ESI capillary was set to a voltage of 3.5 kV at 350 °C. The HESI-Orbitrap MS was calibrated prior to use. Mass spectra were acquired with a mass range of 50-2000 Da. Peaks with a mass tolerance of >4 ppm were rejected. For all samples, normalization level (NL), which is a direct measure of the counts per second lesser than 1.00 E7 was considered as below the limit of detection. Compositions were calculated with the following element ranges: 12C, 0-60; 1H, 0-150; 16O, 0-25; 14N, 0-5; 32S, 0-5; 23Na, 0-5; 48Ti, 0-5; 56Fe, 0-5; 28Si, 0-5. Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) with a collision energy of 40 eV was used for structure determination. Additionally, standard phenol HRMS patterns were collected in different solvent systems and compared with the literature to rule out product formation during HRMS analysis. Methanol was then chosen as the solvent for these studies as it provided the best relative intensity.

Reaction Thermodynamics and Vibrational Frequency Calculations. Spartan '20 Version 1.1.4 (wavefunction Inc)^{80,81} was used to calculate the thermodynamics of the different reaction pathways as well as molecular vibrational frequencies of some of the surface products. Here, the geometries for adsorbed species were calculated with B3LYP, 6-311+G** level, and thermodynamic parameters for adsorbed species on α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces were calculated with density functional EDF2, 6-311+G^{**} level. First, a small cluster of an α -Fe₂O₃ surface with 12 Fe atoms (one unit cell) was built, and each adsorbed species cluster was built on this surface.⁸² Vibrational frequencies for gas-phase species were calculated using a molecular mechanics model. All adsorbed species were considered to have monodentate adsorption in order to include more conformers. Molecular Mechanics Force Field (MMFF) was used as the force field. These parameters were chosen to reduce the computational cost while obtaining best accuracy and convergence for these calculations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Adsorption of Phenol on Mineral Oxide Surfaces. The infrared spectrum of gas-phase phenol is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. FTIR spectra of (a) gas-phase phenol and (b) SiO_2 adsorbed phenol under dry conditions at a pressure of 10 mTorr. All the spectral features on SiO_2 disappeared following desorption of phenol. The spectral regions from 1000 to 1800 cm⁻¹ and 2800 to 4000 cm⁻¹ are shown. The absorbance scale is also given in the top left corner.

The spectrum collected at phenol pressure of 100 mTorr exhibits several peaks corresponding to aromatic ring vibrations as well as C-H and O-H bond vibrations. These include the O–H stretching mode at 3655 cm⁻¹, aromatic C– H stretching modes \sim 3000 to 3100 cm⁻¹, and aromatic ring stretching and torsional modes at 1610, 1502, and 1471 cm⁻¹.⁸³ Additionally, the O-H bending mode at 1344 cm⁻¹, the C-O stretching mode at 1261 cm⁻¹, and C-H in-plane deformations at 1182, and 1130 cm⁻¹ were also observed (see Table 1). The vibrational spectrum and vibrational frequencies of gas phase phenol can be compared to the spectrum of phenol adsorbed onto SiO₂. SiO₂ surfaces exposed to gas-phase phenol at 10 mTorr pressure under dry conditions show spectral features corresponding to adsorbed phenol. Additionally, a loss of surface silanol groups at 3748 cm⁻¹ were seen suggesting the interaction of phenol with these Si–OH groups.

IR peak assignment	gas-phase phenol	phenol + SiO ₂	phenol + α -Fe ₂ O ₃	phenol + TiO_2	phenol + nitrated α -Fe ₂ O ₃	SO ₂ + phenol + nitrated α -Fe ₂ O ₃
Surface Si–OH (loss)		3748				
OH-stretching vibration of alcohols	3655				3685, 3661, 3628, 3609	
Surface OH groups (loss)			3622			3674, 3620
О–H, hydrogen bonds	3255	3616, 3551, 3340	3520			3523
Aromatic C-H stretching	3091, 3056, 3032, 3017	3053, 3027	3068, 3030, 3012	3012		3079, 3037
C-H stretching			2959		2959, 2923, 2873, 2783	2960, 2926, 2888
Aromatic ring stretching and torsional modes	1610, 1502, 1471	1597, 1501, 1473	1594, 1549, 1531, 1490, 1427	1599, 1500, 1487, 1448	1581, 1481, 1447	1598, 1583, 1487, 1438
Adsorbed nitrate/nitrophenols					1634, 1581/1554	1544
Aliphatic C–H bending vibration					1375	1400
Ph–O–H bending vibration	1344	1362	1390, 1367, 1335	1396, 1360	1362	1355
Adsorbed sulfate						1333, 1314
Ph–C–O stretching vibration/ *adsorbed sulfate	1261	1261	1278, 1254, 1229	1278, 1232	1283, 1256	1276*, 1233*
Aliphatic C–O stretching vibration			1209		1201	
C–H in-plane deformation	1182, 1130					

Table 1. FTIR Peak Assignments for Gas-Phase Phenol and Surface-Adsorbed Phenol^{83,84}

Vibrational frequencies for adsorbed phenol were close to that of the gas-phase suggesting a relatively weak interaction. Moreover, almost all adsorbed phenol was removed from the surface via overnight evacuation, and HRMS analysis of solvent-extracted samples did not identify any peaks including those corresponding to phenol conclusively showing reversible adsorption of phenol on SiO_2 .

In contrast to SiO_2 , α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces exposed to phenol show new spectral features, suggesting the transformation of phenol to other compounds (Figure 2). Several peaks in the spectral region extending from 1427 to 1594 cm⁻¹ were observed corresponding to aromatic ring vibrations. Moreover, a shift of these peaks to lower wavenumbers and additional peaks around 900 cm⁻¹ indicate the poly-substitution of the aromatic ring.⁸³ Additionally, a peak at 2959 cm⁻¹ corresponding to aliphatic C–H bond stretching vibration and a peak at



Figure 2. FTIR spectra of (a) α -Fe₂O₃ and (b) TiO₂ following adsorption and evacuation of 10 mTorr phenol. These spectra are shown in the regions extending from 1150 to 1800 cm⁻¹ and 2800 to 4000 cm⁻¹. The absorbance scale is shown in the top left corner.

1209 cm⁻¹ corresponding to C–O bond vibration of aliphatic alcohols were observed. These new peaks suggest aromatic ring opening of phenol forming aliphatic compounds upon adsorption onto α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces.

TiO₂, another reactive oxide found in mineral dust, similarly showed transformation of phenol upon adsorption. Although compared to α -Fe₂O₃, the surface spectrum following phenol adsorption onto TiO₂ showed weaker spectral features. Nonetheless, evidence of formation of poly-substituted aromatic compounds such as several aromatic ring vibrations were observed. However, spectral features observed for on α -Fe₂O₃, i.e., including the presence of molecular species with aliphatic C–H bond stretching, and C–O bond vibration were not observed on TiO₂ surfaces. To better understand the surface product formation from phenol adsorption, these products were solvent-extracted to methanol and analyzed via HRMS and MS/MS.

Figure 3 shows the HRMS analysis for solvent-extracted surface products from phenol-exposed α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces in both negative ESI and positive ESI modes. As shown in Table 2, two main groups of surface products were identified following phenol adsorption onto α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces. These are (A) phenol and its OH substituted products and (B) aromatic ring opening and additional products. The primary OH substituted products observed on α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces are phenol (Compound 1, C_6H_5O , m/z = 93.03, [-]), catechol and hydroquinone (Compound 2 and 3, $C_6H_5O_2$, m/z = 109.03, [-] and C₆H₆O₂Na m/z = 133.03, [+]). Several other polysubstituted phenols were also observed on α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces. These are, Compound 4 and 5 ($C_6H_5O_3$, m/z = 125.02, [-]), Compound 6 and 7 $(C_6H_5O_4, m/z = 141.02, [-])$, and Compound 8 ($C_6H_5O_6$, m/z = 173.01, [-]). Compounds 1-7 were also observed on TiO_2 (Figure S1).

Formation of OH substituted phenols can be due to the interaction with surface hydroxyl groups on α -Fe₂O₃ (Scheme 1). The OH group on phenol is ortho- para-directing due to the resonance stabilization. Electron-rich aromatic ring of phenol then reacts with positively charged oxygen atoms of surface hydroxyl groups (*now adsorbed water molecules*), thereby producing catechol (2) and hydroquinone (3). Further



Figure 3. HRMS data for surface products formed upon adsorption of phenol on α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces under dry and dark conditions (a) negative ESI mode, (b) positive ESI mode.

OH substitution to the aromatic ring is possible due to resonance activation, to form compounds 5-8 from either 2 or 3 as diphenols are more electron-dense than phenol. The ability to form oxidized polyphenols in the absence of photochemistry and/or strong oxidizing agents from adsorbed phenols underscores the potential importance of chemistry occurring on oxide surfaces in the absence of light.

On α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces, products as a result of ring opening from compounds **6** and 7 were observed in negative ESI mode. These ring opening products include compounds **9**, **10**, and **11** (C₆H₅O₆, m/z = 189.02). Due to the mass spectrometer internal chemistry, some of the C==C bonds in compounds **9**, **10**, and **11** are hydrogenated resulting in C₆H₇O₆ at m/z =191.02.⁸⁵ These compounds produce a MS/MS fragment m/z = 111.01 for $C_5H_3O_3$. However, **9**, **10**, and **11** produce a structurally similar fragment for $C_5H_3O_3$, and thus we are unable to distinguish parent structures from one another. Formation of compounds **9** through **11** can be explained by a surface-mediated ring opening of compounds **6** & 7 (Scheme 2). Similar ring opening is previously observed with catechol and hydroquinone in the presence of radicals^{14,37,86,87} and with adsorbed 4-propyl-guaiacol and catechol during ozonoly-sis.^{41,42} During the oxidation of **6** and 7 to form **9** through **11**, Fe(III) on the α -Fe₂O₃ surface can be reduced to Fe(II). This redox chemistry underscores the importance of the different mineral reactivities; these ring opening products were not observed on TiO₂ surfaces under similar conditions.

Table 2. Identified	Surface Produ	cts, Compounds	1 to 16, from	Phenol-Expose	d α-Fe ₂ O ₃ Su	ırfaces Using H	IRMS Negativ	ve and
Positive Electrospr	ay Ionization (ESI) Modes						

Group A – Phenol and its		Group B – Aromatic ring opening and addition products						
OH substituted products		Sub group B1 – Ring	Sub group B2 - Dimerization	Sub group B3 - Addition				
		opening						
Compound 1	OH	Compound OH	Compound 12	Compound O OH				
93.03 ^{<i>a</i>} ,		189.02		209.91				
$(C_6H_6O)^d$	·	[-] С ₆ H ₅ O ₆ но Он (С ₆ H ₆ O ₆) но Он	$(C_{12}H_{10}O_2)$ HO e	$[^{+}] C_{10}H_9O_5 \qquad O \qquad (C_{10}H_8O_5) \qquad H \qquad OH$				
Compound	ОН	Compound OH		Compound				
2 [-] 109.03, [+]		10 HO OH						
133.03	~ он	[-] C ₆ H ₅ O ₆ Ö OH		[+] C ₁₀ H ₉ O ₅ H				
$[+] C_6H_6O_2Na$		(C6H6O6)		(C10118O5)				
(C ₆ H ₆ O ₂)	011	Compound						
3	OH							
[-] 109.03, [+] 133.03	но	189.02 [-1 СаНзОа НО ОН						
[-] C ₆ H ₅ O ₂ ,		(C ₆ H ₆ O ₆) HO O						
$[+] C_6 H_6 O_2 Na$ (C ₆ H ₆ O ₂)								
Compound	<pre> OH</pre>							
4 125.02	С	15 117.06 OH e						
$[-] C_6H_5O_3$ (C_6H_6O_2)	ОН	$[+] C_4H_5O_4$ (C_4H_4O_4)						
Compound	OH	Compound N/A ^f	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
5 125.02	но	14 87.08						
$[-] C_6H_5O_3$		$[+] C_{5}H_{11}O$						
Compound	ОН	(CSH100)						
6 141.02								
[-] C ₆ H ₅ O ₄	но ү он он							
(C ₆ H ₆ O ₄) Compound	HO OH							
7	<u>II</u>							
[-] C ₆ H ₅ O ₄	но ~ он							
(C ₆ H ₆ O ₄)	011							
8	но, 🙏 он							
173.01	Ϋ́Υ.							
$(C_6H_6O_6)$	но он							
	OH							

^am/z ^bESI mode. ^cObserved formula. ^dMolecular formula. ^eStructure proposed based on MS/MS analysis, steric hindrance, stability, and conformer of parent molecule. ^fNot available.

Scheme 1. Proposed Formation Pathway for Compounds 2 and 3 from Phenol on α -Fe₂O₃ Surfaces *via* Interaction with Surface Hydroxyl Groups



Additionally, on α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces, a phenol dimer (compound **12**, C₁₂H₁₀O₂, *m/z* = 209.06, [+]) was observed. Dimerization of phenol in the presence of iron containing catalysts has been previously observed.^{14,32} Specifically, studies conducted on minerals, such as oligomerization of compounds with phenol moiety on Fe³⁺ saturated montmorillonite⁸⁸ suggests that phenol oligomerization on Fe-containing minerals without photoirradiation is possible. Moreover, oligomerization of aminophenols in the presence of catalytic iron in aqueous environments were previously reported.⁸⁹ The structure of **12** is proposed with a C–C bridge between the two aromatic rings instead of its isomer where a formation of Scheme 2. (a, b) Proposed Formation Pathways for Compounds 9, 10, and 11 via Aromatic Ring Opening of Compounds 6 and 7 on α -Fe₂O₃ Surfaces



C-O-C bridge occurs. This is because the resonance stabilization with the C-C bridge ($\Delta G^{\circ} = -34.26 \text{ kJ/mol}$) is more stable than that of C-O-C bridge ($\Delta G^{\circ} = 15.36 \text{ kJ/mol}$). The Gibbs free energies were directly derived from ChemDraw Professional 20.0.41, via the Joback Method.^{14,32}

Surface Reactions Leading to the Formation of Nitrophenols (NP) and Sulfated Nitrophenols (SNP).



Figure 4. HRMS data for surface products formed upon reaction of phenol on nitrated α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces under dry and dark conditions (a) negative ESI mode, (b) positive ESI mode.

Upon exposure of phenol to nitrated- α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces, i.e., surfaces first exposed to nitric acid vapor, spectral features for both aromatic and aliphatic compounds become apparent (Figure S2). Among these are prominent aliphatic C–H stretching vibrations between ~2900 and 2700 cm⁻¹, various alcohol O–H stretching frequencies ~3600 cm⁻¹, and C–H bending mode at 1375 cm⁻¹. A peak for aliphatic C–O stretching vibration was observed at 1201 cm^{-1.83} Aromatic ring vibrations were observed ~1481 and 1447 cm⁻¹, whereas the Ph C–O stretching vibration were observed at 1256 cm⁻¹, indicating the presence of aromatic alcohol compounds as well. Additionally, adsorbed nitrates were observed at 1581 cm⁻¹. Therefore, the aromatic ring opening followed by formation of aliphatic organic compounds are apparent from this FTIR

spectrum. Then, in a set of different experiments, these phenolexposed nitrated- α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces were reacted with gas-phase SO₂. Adsorbed sulfates can be seen on α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces ~1300 and ~1276 cm⁻¹. Given the complexity of adsorbed surface products, many of the peaks cannot be assigned to one functional group type without compromising the accuracy. However, key features such as the presence of C–H stretching vibrations corresponding to both aromatic (~3079, 3037 cm⁻¹) and aliphatic (~2900 cm⁻¹) are present. Furthermore, unlike with phenol-exposed nitrated- α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces, here, a loss of surface hydroxyl groups was observed by a decrease in intensity of peaks at 3620 and 3674 cm⁻¹, suggesting strong interactions between the surface OH groups and SO₂(g).⁹⁰

Scheme 3. (a-c) Proposed Formation Pathways for Compounds 13, 15, 16, 17, and 18^a



^aCompound 13 forms *via* oxidation of 3 and reduction of adsorbed-nitrates to adsorbed-nitrites. Reactions between 3 and 2 with 13 yields 16 and 15 respectively. Reactions of 2 and 3 with adsorbed-nitrites form 17 and 18 respectively.

Table 3. Identified Surface Products, Compounds 17 to 21, from Phenol-Exposed Nitrated α -Fe₂O₃ Surfaces and from the Reaction of SO₂ with Phenol-Exposed Nitrated- α -Fe₂O₃ Surfaces Using HRMS Negative and Positive Electron Spray Ionization (ESI) Modes



^{*a}m/z.* ^{*b*}ESI mode. ^{*c*}Observed formula. ^{*d*}Molecular formula.</sup>

using HRMS to better understand and elucidate these surface products.

Figure 4 shows the HRMS analysis for solvent-extracted surface products from phenol-exposed nitrated- α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces in both negative and positive ESI modes. All compounds 1–11 were also observed in these experiments. An additional ring opening product (13) from 3 along with another compound 14 were observed, compound 13 for C₄H₄O₄, *m*/*z* = 117.06, [+] and compound 14 for C₃H₁₁O, *m*/*z* = 87.08, [+]. Here, 3 can be resonance stabilized with paraquinone, which then oxidizes to maleic acid (13) and oxalic acid (not observed in HRMS) where adsorbed nitrates on α -Fe₂O₃ and lattice Fe(III) reduce to adsorbed nitrites and

lattice Fe(II) respectively (Scheme 3a). Other surface mechanisms initiated by various adsorbed nitrogen species, such as NO_2^+ , were not considered as previous research has found only formation of adsorbed nitrate on α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces when exposed to HNO₃ vapor in dry and dark conditions.⁶⁴ These additional surface products were not observed for nitrated-TiO₂ surfaces indicating the important role surface Fe(III) plays here.

Peak deconvolution in the spectral region from 1100–1350 cm⁻¹ for the FTIR spectra of evacuated phenol-exposed nitrated- α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces identified spectral features at 1310 and 1174 cm⁻¹. These features were not present on phenol-exposed α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces, suggesting a minor nitrite formation



Figure 5. HRMS data of surface products formed upon reaction of $SO_2(g)$ on phenol-adsorbed nitrated- α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces under dry and dark conditions (a) negative ESI mode, (b) positive ESI mode.

267

on the nitrated surface (Figure S3). Adsorbed nitrites on oxide surfaces can be observed around 1230, 1313, 1192, and 1178 cm⁻¹ depending on the oxide.⁹¹ Moreover, calculated vibrational frequencies for nitro bond vibrations of organonitrates, particularly nitrophenols, appear around 1628–1656 cm⁻¹ distinguishing them from adsorbed nitrites. Additionally, the calculated ΔG° for the above surface-mediated redox reaction is -1.84 a.u., indicating the thermodynamic feasibility of such a surface reaction. As cis-trans isomers of 13 was not distinguished in our HRMS analysis; only the cis isomer (maleic acid) was considered. This is because due to the planar structure of hydroquinone, and the surface-adsorbed nature, formation of maleic acid is favored over fumaric acid. Additionally, maleic acid maybe formed from catechol oxidation where its ring-opening product *but-2,4-dien-1,6-dicarboxylic* acid further oxidizes in the presence of strong oxidizers. Adsorbed nitrates may facilitate this additional oxidation.¹⁴ The structure of **14** was not elucidated due to MS/MS fragmentation data falling below the limit of detection of the mass spectrometer utilized (m/z < 90).

Aromatic addition of 13 to either 2 (catechol) or 3 (hydroquinone) produces 15 and 16 ($C_{10}H_9O_5$, m/z = 209.91, [+]) respectively (Scheme 3b). Here, adsorbed hydroquinone or catechol is electron-dense and can attack a nucleophilic carbon on maleic acid (13) forming structures 16 and 15 respectively. As the ring position of the maleic acid group is not determined, here it is shown as substituted to the aromatic ring.



Figure 6. Solvent-extracted surface products identified via HRMS on α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces in each different systems under dry and dark conditions, (a) α -Fe₂O₃ upon exposure to phenol vapor, (b) pre-nitrated α -Fe₂O₃ upon exposure to phenol, and (c) where pre-nitrated α -Fe₂O₃ was exposed to phenol first, evacuated, and exposed to SO₂ gas.

In addition to these ring-opening products followed by aromatic substitution, two nitrophenols were identified on α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces. These are compounds 17 and 18 for $(C_6H_4NO_4, m/z = 154.02 [-])$ (Table 3). The formation of 17 and 18 can be explained by the addition of either catechol or hydroquinone to surface-adsorbed nitrites formed from the above reaction in Scheme 3a. Formation of 17 from NO_2^- and catechol has previously been observed in aqueous media and/ or under photochemical conditions, and in ambient air.^{16,34,86,92} Moreover, comparing calculated vibrational frequencies for various nitrophenols with the deconvoluted spectra (Figure S3b), the peak at 1247 cm^{-1} corresponds to the aromatic C–O bond vibration of the alcohol group in the ortho position to the nitro group. The calculated bond vibration is positioned at 1242 cm⁻¹ (from catechol, 2) and 1251 cm^{-1} (from hydroquinone, 3). However, the same bond vibration for an OH group at the meta position to the nitro group as in 4-nitrocatechol appears around 1269 cm⁻¹, thus suggesting relatively higher abundance of 3-nitrocatechol (one possibility for 17 and 4-nitrocatechol being the other possibility) and 2-nitrohydroquinone (18). Here, the formation of surface-adsorbed nitrite was facilitated by the iron oxide surface and by the formation of hydroquinone from phenol underscoring the importance of mineral surfaces, their mineralogy, and their interactions with inorganic nitrogen species and organic species.

Figure 5 shows the HRMS analysis for solvent-extracted surface products from the reaction of $SO_2(g)$ with phenolexposed nitrated- α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces in both negative and positive ESI modes. All compounds 1–11 and 13–18 were observed in these experiments. A smaller quantity of a nitrophenolic compound with two nitrate groups was observed upon reacting surface-adsorbed nitrophenols with SO₂. This

m/z peak was attributed to two possible structures each forming from 17 and 18, 19 and 20 respectively for $C_6H_3N_2O_6$, m/z = 199.00 [-]. This extended nitration of catechol and hydroquinone as seen here can be attributed to the added formation of surface adsorbed nitrites by the oxidation of $SO_2(g)$. Other studies have shown nitrateenhanced heterogeneous uptake of SO2 on mineral dust, where SO_2 oxidizes to sulfate in the presence of adsorbed nitrate.⁹³⁻⁹⁵ In the current study, similar redox chemistry can occur between adsorbed nitrates and adsorbed SO₂ forming adsorbed nitrites on the surface, thereby enabling extended nitration, similar to what is shown Scheme 3c. Moreover, different dinitrophenols and aromatic alcohols with multiple nitro groups are observed in ambient air.^{17,96} In addition to these nitrophenols, another compound derived from phenol dimer (12) was identified. Compound 21 for (C12H10NSO6/ m/z = 296.35 [+]) can be formed from the nitration and sulfation of 12.

CONCLUSIONS AND ATMOSPHERIC IMPLICATIONS

Phenol is shown to have complex surface chemistry on components of mineral dust aerosol. α -Fe₂O₃ is one of the most reactive surfaces, and reactions of phenol form OH substituted aromatic alcohols, primarily catechol and hydroquinone (Figure 6). There is also extended OH substitutions occurring to yield compounds with a stoichiometry of C₆H₆O₆. Furthermore, some of the highly OH substituted aromatic alcohols undergo aromatic ring opening, thereby producing aliphatic oxidized SOA. This is especially important for α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces. The phenol dimer was also observed, suggesting phenol oligomerization on mineral dust surfaces. Although extended OH substitution was observed on TiO₂ surfaces, there was no aromatic ring opening. These differences underscore the important role of mineralogy and different redox chemistry in heterogeneous reactions of mineral dust in the formation of SOA. Additionally, the reaction of phenol with nitrated- α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces yielded several nitro-phenolic compounds and other ring opening products. Hydroquinone form can be further oxidized forming maleic acid and oxalic acid, while reducing adsorbed nitrates and surface Fe(III) to adsorbed nitrites and surface Fe(II) respectively. These redox reaction products further undergo reactions with aromatic alcohols adsorbed on the surface to produce nitro-phenolic compounds and higher molar mass oxidized aromatic compounds. Most interesting are reactions of adsorbed nitrophenolic compounds and nitrated- α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces with SO₂ to produce additional nitro-phenolic compounds with two nitro-groups substituted to the aromatic ring. This is due to redox chemistry between SO2 and adsorbed nitrate leading to enhanced formation of adsorbed nitrites. These adsorbed nitrites facilitate the extended nitration of hydroquinone and catechol. Additionally, a sulfated nitrophenol derived from the phenol dimer was also observed in these reactions. These surface products stay surface-bound, thus increasing SOA formation. Additionally, these findings underscore the importance of particle mineralogy in heterogeneous mineral dust chemistry.

Overall, this study shows how mineral dust aerosol surfaces can provide a reactive surface whereby inorganic and organic gas-phase compounds when adsorbed can react to yield a wide range of compounds. These data show that surfaces can interact with gas-phase organics themselves and with surface adsorbed inorganic species to form SOAs. Interactions of gasphase aromatic compounds with surfaces form either aromatic or aliphatic oxidized organic compounds, whereas interactions with adsorbed inorganics lead to the formation of nitrophenols and sulfated nitrophenols. Additionally, some of the SOAs observed in this study have been repeatedly observed in field studies, providing additional formation pathways aside from photochemical and/or gas-phase reactions to be understood. Additionally, the SOAs formed via these dark reactions can further react by undergoing photochemical reactions during the daytime, and/or interact with other oxidizers such as H_2O_2 and O₃, thereby enhancing the molecular pool of compounds that can form on mineral dust surfaces. In the environment, VOCs such as phenol are ubiquitous. During dust transport and urban dust episodes, these VOCs and trace gas pollutants such as NO₂, HNO₃, and SO₂ can interact with each other, forming more highly functional, less volatile compounds as shown here on Fe-containing mineral surfaces under dry conditions. As water plays an important role in heterogeneous chemistry,97 and particularly in the formation of substituted phenols such as aromatic alcohols and nitro-phenolic compounds, these are enhanced in aqueous environ-ments,^{14,16,33,38,40,42,53} and thus it is important for future studies to address the role of adsorbed water on these different reaction pathways.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsestair.3c00042.

Three figures and one table including HRMS data of solvent-extracted surface products from phenol-exposed TiO₂ surfaces (Figure S1); FTIR spectra of evacuated surfaces of phenol reaction with nitrated α -Fe₂O₃ and reaction of SO₂(g) with adsorbed phenol on nitrated α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces (Figure S2); deconvoluted FTIR spectra of phenol-exposed α -Fe₂O₃ and nitrated- α -Fe₂O₃ surfaces (Figure S3); and MS/MS analysis of parent peaks for the identified compounds (Table S1) (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Vicki H. Grassian – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-5052-0045; Email: vhgrassian@ucsd.edu

Author

Eshani Hettiarachchi – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, United States; Ocrcid.org/0000-0003-4293-770X

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsestair.3c00042

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant CHE-2002607. The authors would like to thank and acknowledge Cholaphan Deeleepojananan for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

(1) Pye, H. O. T.; Ward-Caviness, C. K.; Murphy, B. N.; Appel, K. W.; Seltzer, K. M. Secondary Organic Aerosol Association with Cardiorespiratory Disease Mortality in the United States. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12* (1), 7215.

(2) Liu, J.; Chu, B.; Chen, T.; Zhong, C.; Liu, C.; Ma, Q.; Ma, J.; Zhang, P.; He, H. Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation Potential from Ambient Air in Beijing: Effects of Atmospheric Oxidation Capacity at Different Pollution Levels. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55* (8), 4565–4572.

(3) Wong, C.; Vite, D.; Nizkorodov, S. A. Stability of α -Pinene and d -Limonene Ozonolysis Secondary Organic Aerosol Compounds Toward Hydrolysis and Hydration. ACS Earth Sp. Chem. **2021**, 5 (10), 2555–2564.

(4) Khan, F.; Kwapiszewska, K.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Lambe, A. T.; Kołodziejczyk, A.; Jalal, N.; Rudzinski, K.; Martínez-Romero, A.; Fry, R. C.; et al. Toxicological Responses of α -Pinene-Derived Secondary Organic Aerosol and Its Molecular Tracers in Human Lung Cell Lines. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **2021**, *34* (3), 817–832.

(5) Ge, S.; Wang, G.; Zhang, S.; Li, D.; Xie, Y.; Wu, C.; Yuan, Q.; Chen, J.; Zhang, H. Abundant NH₃ in China Enhances Atmospheric HONO Production by Promoting the Heterogeneous Reaction of SO₂ with NO₂. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (24), 14339–14347.

(6) Nault, B. A.; Jo, D. S.; McDonald, B. C.; Campuzano-Jost, P.; Day, D. A.; Hu, W.; Schroder, J. C.; Allan, J.; Blake, D. R.; Canagaratna, M. R.; et al. Secondary Organic Aerosols from Anthropogenic Volatile Organic Compounds Contribute Substantially to Air Pollution Mortality. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2021**, *21* (14), 11201– 11224.

(7) Chen, T.; Liu, J.; Chu, B.; Ge, Y.; Zhang, P.; Ma, Q.; He, H. Combined Smog Chamber/Oxidation Flow Reactor Study on Aging of Secondary Organic Aerosol from Photooxidation of Aromatic Hydrocarbons. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2023**, *57* (37), 13937–13947.

(8) Jimenez, J. L.; Canagaratna, M. R.; Donahue, N. M.; Prevot, A. S. H.; Zhang, Q.; Kroll, J. H.; DeCarlo, P. F.; Allan, J. D.; Coe, H.; Ng, N. L.; et al. Evolution of Organic Aerosols in the Atmosphere. *Science* (80). **2009**, 326 (5959), 1525–1529.

(9) Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D.; Mohr, C.; D'Ambro, E. L.; Lutz, A.; Riedel, T. P.; Gaston, C. J.; Iyer, S.; Zhang, Z.; Gold, A.; Surratt, J. D.; et al. Molecular Composition and Volatility of Organic Aerosol in the Southeastern U.S.: Implications for IEPOX Derived SOA. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (5), 2200–2209.

(10) Hu, W.; Zhou, H.; Chen, W.; Ye, Y.; Pan, T.; Wang, Y.; Song, W.; Zhang, H.; Deng, W.; Zhu, M.; et al. Oxidation Flow Reactor Results in a Chinese Megacity Emphasize the Important Contribution of S/IVOCs to Ambient SOA Formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *56* (11), 6880–6893.

(11) Salvador, C. M.; Chou, C. C. K.; Cheung, H. C.; Ho, T. T.; Tsai, C. Y.; Tsao, T. M.; Tsai, M. J.; Su, T. C. Measurements of Submicron Organonitrate Particles: Implications for the Impacts of NOx Pollution in a Subtropical Forest. *Atmos. Res.* **2020**, *245*, No. 105080.

(12) Zhu, Q.; Cao, L.M.; Tang, M.X.; Huang, X.F.; Saikawa, E.; He, L.Y. Characterization of Organic Aerosol at a Rural Site in the North China Plain Region: Sources, Volatility and Organonitrates. *Adv. Atmos. Sci.* **2021**, *38* (7), 1115–1127.

(13) Brüggemann, M.; Xu, R.; Tilgner, A.; Kwong, K. C.; Mutzel, A.; Poon, H. Y.; Otto, T.; Schaefer, T.; Poulain, L.; Chan, M. N.; et al. Organosulfates in Ambient Aerosol: State of Knowledge and Future Research Directions on Formation, Abundance, Fate, and Importance. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (7), 3767–3782.

(14) Li, F.; Zhou, S.; Du, L.; Zhao, J.; Hang, J.; Wang, X. Aqueous-Phase Chemistry of Atmospheric Phenolic Compounds: A Critical Review of Laboratory Studies. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2023**, *856*, No. 158895.

(15) Akherati, A.; He, Y.; Coggon, M. M.; Koss, A. R.; Hodshire, A. L.; Sekimoto, K.; Warneke, C.; de Gouw, J.; Yee, L.; Seinfeld, J. H.; et al. Oxygenated Aromatic Compounds Are Important Precursors of Secondary Organic Aerosol in Biomass-Burning Emissions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (14), 8568–8579.

(16) Liang, Y.; Wang, X.; Dong, S.; Liu, Z.; Mu, J.; Lu, C.; Zhang, J.; Li, M.; Xue, L.; Wang, W. Size Distributions of Nitrated Phenols in Winter at a Coastal Site in North China and the Impacts from Primary Sources and Secondary Formation. *Chemosphere* **2020**, *250*, No. 126256.

(17) Rubio, M. A.; Lissi, E.; Herrera, N.; Pérez, V.; Fuentes, N. Phenol and Nitrophenols in the Air and Dew Waters of Santiago de Chile. *Chemosphere* **2012**, *86* (10), 1035–1039.

(18) Sun, J.; Mu, Q.; Kimura, H.; Murugadoss, V.; He, M.; Du, W.; Hou, C. Oxidative Degradation of Phenols and Substituted Phenols in the Water and Atmosphere: A Review. *Adv. Compos. Hybrid Mater.* **2022**, 5 (2), 627–640.

(19) Hansch, C.; McKarns, S. C.; Smith, C. J.; Doolittle, D. J. Comparative QSAR Evidence for a Free-Radical Mechanism of Phenol-Induced Toxicity. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **2000**, *127* (1), 61–72.

(20) Villegas, L. G. C.; Mashhadi, N.; Chen, M.; Mukherjee, D.; Taylor, K. E.; Biswas, N. A Short Review of Techniques for Phenol Removal from Wastewater. *Curr. Pollut. Reports* **2016**, 2 (3), 157– 167.

(21) Kozak, V. Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Nitrophenols; US EPA - Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, D.C., 1980.

(22) Desyaterik, Y.; Sun, Y.; Shen, X.; Lee, T.; Wang, X.; Wang, T.; Collett, J. L. Speciation of "Brown" Carbon in Cloud Water Impacted by Agricultural Biomass Burning in Eastern China. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2013, 118 (13), 7389–7399.

(23) Teich, M.; van Pinxteren, D.; Wang, M.; Kecorius, S.; Wang, Z.; Müller, T.; Močnik, G.; Herrmann, H. Contributions of Nitrated Aromatic Compounds to the Light Absorption of Water-Soluble and Particulate Brown Carbon in Different Atmospheric Environments in Germany and China. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *17* (3), 1653–1672. (24) Hinrichs, R. Z.; Buczek, P.; Trivedi, J. J. Solar Absorption by Aerosol-Bound Nitrophenols Compared to Aqueous and Gaseous Nitrophenols. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (11), 5661–5667.

(25) Hatch, L. E.; Luo, W.; Pankow, J. F.; Yokelson, R. J.; Stockwell, C. E.; Barsanti, K. C. Identification and Quantification of Gaseous Organic Compounds Emitted from Biomass Burning Using Two-Dimensional Gas Chromatography–Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *15* (4), 1865–1899.

(26) Lu, C.; Wang, X.; Dong, S.; Zhang, J.; Li, J.; Zhao, Y.; Liang, Y.; Xue, L.; Xie, H.; Zhang, Q.; et al. Emissions of Fine Particulate Nitrated Phenols from Various On-Road Vehicles in China. *Environ. Res.* **2019**, *179*, No. 108709.

(27) Wang, W.; Han, H.; Yuan, M.; Li, H.; Fang, F.; Wang, K. Treatment of Coal Gasification Wastewater by a Two-Continuous UASB System with Step-Feed for COD and Phenols Removal. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2011**, *102* (9), 5454–5460.

(28) Wang, L.; Wang, X.; Gu, R.; Wang, H.; Yao, L.; Wen, L.; Zhu, F.; Wang, W.; Xue, L.; Yang, L.; et al. Observations of Fine Particulate Nitrated Phenols in Four Sites in Northern China: Concentrations, Source Apportionment, and Secondary Formation. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *18* (6), 4349–4359.

(29) Center for Disease Control. Toxicological Profile for Phenol. https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp115-c6.pdf (Accessed: 2023 April 21).

(30) Wang, H.; Gao, Y.; Wang, S.; Wu, X.; Liu, Y.; Li, X.; Huang, D.; Lou, S.; Wu, Z.; Guo, S.; et al. Atmospheric Processing of Nitrophenols and Nitrocresols From Biomass Burning Emissions. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2020, 125 (22), 1–13.

(31) Liu, C.; Chen, D.; Chen, X. Atmospheric Reactivity of Methoxyphenols: A Review. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *56* (5), 2897–2916.

(32) Yu, L.; Smith, J.; Laskin, A.; Anastasio, C.; Laskin, J.; Zhang, Q. Chemical Characterization of SOA Formed from Aqueous-Phase Reactions of Phenols with the Triplet Excited State of Carbonyl and Hydroxyl Radical. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *14* (24), 13801–13816.

(33) Harrison, M. A. J.; Barra, S.; Borghesi, D.; Vione, D.; Arsene, C.; Iulian Olariu, R. Nitrated Phenols in the Atmosphere: A Review. *Atmos. Environ.* **2005**, *39* (2), 231–248.

(34) Kroflič, A.; Anders, J.; Drventić, I.; Mettke, P.; Böge, O.; Mutzel, A.; Kleffmann, J.; Herrmann, H. Guaiacol Nitration in a Simulated Atmospheric Aerosol with an Emphasis on Atmospheric Nitrophenol Formation Mechanisms. *ACS Earth Sp. Chem.* **2021**, 5 (5), 1083–1093.

(35) Finewax, Z.; de Gouw, J. A.; Ziemann, P. J. Products and Secondary Organic Aerosol Yields from the OH and NO_3 Radical-Initiated Oxidation of Resorcinol. *ACS Earth Sp. Chem.* **2019**, 3 (7), 1248–1259.

(36) Pillar-Little, E. A.; Guzman, M. I. Oxidation of Substituted Catechols at the Air–Water Interface: Production of Carboxylic Acids, Quinones, and Polyphenols. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (9), 4951–4959.

(37) M'Arimi, M. M.; Mecha, C. A.; Kiprop, A. K.; Ramkat, R. Recent Trends in Applications of Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) in Bioenergy Production: Review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* **2020**, *121*, No. 109669.

(38) Sun, Y. L.; Zhang, Q.; Anastasio, C.; Sun, J. Insights into Secondary Organic Aerosol Formed via Aqueous-Phase Reactions of Phenolic Compounds Based on High Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *10* (10), 4809–4822.

(39) Benedict, K. B.; McFall, A. S.; Anastasio, C. Quantum Yield of Nitrite from the Photolysis of Aqueous Nitrate above 300 Nm. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (8), 4387–4395.

(40) Ling, J.; Sheng, F.; Wang, Y.; Peng, A.; Jin, X.; Gu, C. Formation of Brown Carbon on Fe-Bearing Clay from Volatile Phenol under Simulated Atmospheric Conditions. *Atmos. Environ.* **2020**, *228*, No. 117427.

(41) O'Neill, E. M.; Kawam, A. Z.; Van Ry, D. A.; Hinrichs, R. Z. Ozonolysis of Surface-Adsorbed Methoxyphenols: Kinetics of

https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestair.3c00042 ACS EST Air 2024, 1, 259–272 Aromatic Ring Cleavage vs. Alkene Side-Chain Oxidation. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2014, 14 (1), 47–60.

(42) Woodill, L. A.; O'Neill, E. M.; Hinrichs, R. Z. Impacts of Surface Adsorbed Catechol on Tropospheric Aerosol Surrogates: Heterogeneous Ozonolysis and Its Effects on Water Uptake. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 2013, 117 (27), 5620–5631.

(43) Chen, M.; Long, Y.; Li, R.; Wu, Z.; Weng, X. Heterogeneous Photochemical Conversion of Chlorobenzene on γ -Al₂O₃: A Chamber Experiment Study. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2023**, *640*, No. 158399.

(44) Chen, M.; Yin, M.; Su, Y.; Li, R.; Liu, K.; Wu, Z.; Weng, X. Atmospheric Heterogeneous Reaction of Chlorobenzene on Mineral α -Fe₂O₃ Particulates: A Chamber Experiment Study. *Front. Environ. Sci. Eng.* **2023**, *17* (11), 1–12.

(45) Wang, D.; Liu, Z.; Liu, F.; Zhang, X.; Cao, Y.; Yu, J.; Wu, T.; Bai, Y.; Li, T.; Tang, X. $Fe_2O_3/Macroporous$ Resin Nanocomposites. High Efficiency Catalysts for Hydroxylation of Phenol with H_2O_2 . *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.* **1998**, 65 (2), 233–238.

(46) Liu, Q.; Yu, J.; Wang, Z.; Yang, P.; Wu, T. Preparation, Characterization And Catalytic Properties of α -Fe₂O₃/SiO₂ Catalyst in Phenol Hydroxylation with Hydrogen Peroxide. *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.* **2001**, 73, 179–186.

(47) Bellardita, M.; Augugliaro, V.; Loddo, V.; Megna, B.; Palmisano, G.; Palmisano, L.; Puma, M. A. Selective Oxidation of Phenol and Benzoic Acid in Water via Home-Prepared TiO_2 Photocatalysts: Distribution of Hydroxylation Products. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* **2012**, 441–442, 79–89.

(48) Kameda, T.; Azumi, E.; Fukushima, A.; Tang, N.; Matsuki, A.; Kamiya, Y.; Toriba, A.; Hayakawa, K. Mineral Dust Aerosols Promote the Formation of Toxic Nitropolycyclic Aromatic Compounds. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6* (1), 24427.

(49) He, X.; Kudesi, A.; Wang, S.; Liu, X.; Hu, L. Influence of Temperature on the Heterogeneous Reaction of Toluene to N-Containing Organic Compounds Using in Situ DRIFTS. *Atmos. Environ.* **2023**, *314*, No. 120084.

(50) Abou-Ghanem, M.; Oliynyk, A. O.; Chen, Z.; Matchett, L. C.; McGrath, D. T.; Katz, M. J.; Locock, A. J.; Styler, S. A. Significant Variability in the Photocatalytic Activity of Natural Titanium-Containing Minerals: Implications for Understanding and Predicting Atmospheric Mineral Dust Photochemistry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (21), 13509–13516.

(51) Wang, G. H.; Zhou, B. H.; Cheng, C. L.; Cao, J. J.; Li, J. J.; Meng, J. J.; Tao, J.; Zhang, R. J.; Fu, P. Q. Impact of Gobi Desert Dust on Aerosol Chemistry of Xi'an, Inland China during Spring 2009: Differences in Composition and Size Distribution between the Urban Ground Surface and the Mountain Atmosphere. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *13* (2), 819–835.

(52) McNaughton, C. S.; Clarke, A. D.; Kapustin, V.; Shinozuka, Y.; Howell, S. G.; Anderson, B. E.; Winstead, E.; Dibb, J.; Scheuer, E.; Cohen, R. C.; et al. Observations of Heterogeneous Reactions between Asian Pollution and Mineral Dust over the Eastern North Pacific during INTEX-B. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *9* (21), 8283– 8308.

(53) Wang, X.; Wang, W.; Xue, L.; Gao, X.; Nie, W.; Yu, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Yang, L.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, T. Size-Resolved Aerosol Ionic Composition and Secondary Formation at Mount Heng in South Central China. *Front. Environ. Sci. Eng.* **2013**, 7 (6), 815–826.

(54) Xu, K.; Liu, Y.; Li, C.; Zhang, C.; Liu, X.; Li, Q.; Xiong, M.; Zhang, Y.; Yin, S.; Ding, Y. Enhanced Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation during Dust Episodes by Photochemical Reactions in the Winter in Wuhan. J. Environ. Sci. **2023**, 133, 70–82.

(55) Kandler, K.; Benker, N.; Bundke, U.; Cuevas, E.; Ebert, M.; Knippertz, P.; Rodríguez, S.; Schütz, L.; Weinbruch, S. Chemical Composition and Complex Refractive Index of Saharan Mineral Dust at Izaña, Tenerife (Spain) Derived by Electron Microscopy. *Atmos. Environ.* **2007**, *41*, 8058–8074.

(56) Martins-Costa, M. T. C.; Anglada, J. M.; Francisco, J. S.; Ruiz-López, M. F. Photochemistry of SO₂ at the Air–Water Interface: A Source of OH and HOSO Radicals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140* (39), 12341–12344. (57) Bozkurt, Z.; Üzmez, Ö. Ö.; Döğeroğlu, T.; Artun, G.; Gaga, E. O. Atmospheric Concentrations of SO_2 , NO_2 , Ozone and VOCs in Düzce, Turkey Using Passive Air Samplers: Sources, Spatial and Seasonal Variations and Health Risk Estimation. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2018**, 9 (6), 1146–1156.

(58) Bozkurt, Z.; Doğan, G.; Arslanbaş, D.; Pekey, B.; Pekey, H.; Dumanoğlu, Y.; Bayram, A.; Tuncel, G. Determination of the Personal, Indoor and Outdoor Exposure Levels of Inorganic Gaseous Pollutants in Different Microenvironments in an Industrial City. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **2015**, *187* (9), 5–17.

(59) Sonibare, J. A.; Akeredolu, F. A. A Theoretical Prediction of Non-Methane Gaseous Emissions from Natural Gas Combustion. *Energy Policy* **2004**, 32 (14), 1653–1665.

(60) Pinault, L.; Crouse, D.; Jerrett, M.; Brauer, M.; Tjepkema, M. Spatial Associations between Socioeconomic Groups and NO₂ Air Pollution Exposure within Three Large Canadian Cities. *Environ. Res.* **2016**, 147, 373–382.

(61) Miller, T. M.; Grassian, V. H. Heterogeneous Chemistry of NO₂ on Mineral Oxide Particles: Spectroscopic Evidence for Oxide-Coordinated and Water-Solvated Surface Nitrate. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **1998**, 25 (20), 3835–3838.

(62) Goodman, A. L.; Underwood, G. M.; Grassian, V. H. Heterogeneous Reaction of NO₂: Characterization of Gas-Phase and Adsorbed Products from the Reaction, $2NO_2(g) + H_2O(a) \rightarrow HONO(g) + HNO_3(a)$ on Hydrated Silica Particles. J. Phys. Chem. A **1999**, 103 (36), 7217–7223.

(63) Li, R.; Jia, X.; Wang, F.; Ren, Y.; Wang, X.; Zhang, H.; Li, G.; Wang, X.; Tang, M. Heterogeneous Reaction of NO_2 with Hematite, Goethite and Magnetite: Implications for Nitrate Formation and Iron Solubility Enhancement. *Chemosphere* **2020**, *242*, No. 125273.

(64) Nanayakkara, C. E.; Jayaweera, P. M.; Rubasinghege, G.; Baltrusaitis, J.; Grassian, V. H. Surface Photochemistry of Adsorbed Nitrate: The Role of Adsorbed Water in the Formation of Reduced Nitrogen Species on α -Fe₂O₃ Particle Surfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2014**, *118* (1), 158–166.

(65) Zhao, H.; Sheng, X.; Fabris, S.; Salahub, D. R.; Sun, T.; Du, L. Heterogeneous Reactions of SO_2 on the Hematite(0001) Surface. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *149* (19), 194703.

(66) Toledano, D. S.; Henrich, V. E. Kinetics of SO₂ adsorption on Photoexcited α -Fe₂O₃. J. Phys. Chem. B **2001**, 105, 3872–3877.

(67) Baltrusaitis, J.; Cwiertny, D. M.; Grassian, V. H. Adsorption of Sulfur Dioxide on Hematite and Goethite Particle Surfaces. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *9* (41), 5542–5554.

(68) Nanayakkara, C. E.; Larish, W. A.; Grassian, V. H. Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticle Surface Reactivity with Atmospheric Gases, CO₂, SO₂, and NO₂: Roles of Surface Hydroxyl Groups and Adsorbed Water in the Formation and Stability of Adsorbed Products. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118* (40), 23011–23021.

(69) Tang, M.; Larish, W. A.; Fang, Y.; Gankanda, A.; Grassian, V. H. Heterogeneous Reactions of Acetic Acid with Oxide Surfaces: Effects of Mineralogy and Relative Humidity. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2016**, *120* (28), 5609–5616.

(70) Fang, Y.; Tang, M.; Grassian, V. H. Competition between Displacement and Dissociation of a Strong Acid Compared to a Weak Acid Adsorbed on Silica Particle Surfaces: The Role of Adsorbed Water. J. Phys. Chem. A **2016**, 120 (23), 4016–4024.

(71) Fang, Y.; Lesnicki, D.; Wall, K. J.; Gaigeot, M.-P. P.; Sulpizi, M.; Vaida, V.; Grassian, V. H. Heterogeneous Interactions between Gas-Phase Pyruvic Acid and Hydroxylated Silica Surfaces: A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2019**, *123* (5), 983–991.

(72) Goodman, A. L.; Bernard, E. T.; Grassian, V. H. Spectroscopic Study of Nitric Acid and Water Adsorption on Oxide Particles: Enhanced Nitric Acid Uptake Kinetics in the Presence of Adsorbed Water. J. Phys. Chem. A **2001**, 105 (26), 6443–6457.

(73) Hettiarachchi, E.; Grassian, V. H. Heterogeneous Reactions of α -Pinene on Mineral Surfaces: Formation of Organonitrates and α -Pinene Oxidation Products. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2022**, *126* (25), 4068–4079.

(74) Vlasenko, A.; Huthwelker, T.; Gäggeler, H. W.; Ammann, M. Kinetics of the Heterogeneous Reaction of Nitric Acid with Mineral Dust Particles: An Aerosol Flowtube Study. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *11* (36), 7921–7930.

(75) Underwood, G. M.; Song, C. H.; Phadnis, M.; Carmichael, G. R.; Grassian, V. H. Heterogeneous Reactions of NO_2 and HNO_3 on Oxides and Mineral Dust: A Combined Laboratory and Modeling Study. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2001**, *106* (D16), 18055–18066.

(76) Seisel, S.; Börensen, C.; Vogt, R.; Zellner, R. The Heterogeneous Reaction of HNO₃ on Mineral Dust and γ -Alumina Surfaces: A Combined Knudsen Cell and DRIFTS Study. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, 6 (24), 5498–5508.

(77) Yu, Z.; Jang, M. Atmospheric Processes of Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Presence of Mineral Dust Particles in an Urban Environment. ACS Earth Sp. Chem. 2019, 3 (11), 2404–2414.

(78) Santschi, C.; Rossi, M. J. Uptake of CO₂, SO₂, HNO₃ and HCl on Calcite (CaCO₃) at 300 K: Mechanism and the Role of Adsorbed Water. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2006**, 110 (21), 6789–6802.

(79) Goodman, A. L.; Underwood, G. M.; Grassian, V. H. A Laboratory Study of the Heterogeneous Reaction of Nitric Acid on Calcium Carbonate Particles. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2000**, *105* (D23), 29053–29064.

(80) Warzecha, M.; Morris, G.; McLean, A. J.; Calvo-Castro, J.; McHugh, C. J. Detection of Nitroaromatic and Peroxide-Based Explosives with Amine- and Phosphine-Functionalized Diketopyrrolopyrroles. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2023**, *15* (23), 27915–27927.

(81) Shao, Y.; Molnar, L. F.; Jung, Y.; Kussmann, J.; Ochsenfeld, C.; Brown, S. T.; Gilbert, A. T. B.; Slipchenko, L. V.; Levchenko, S. V.; O'Neill, D. P.; et al. Advances in Methods and Algorithms in a Modern Quantum Chemistry Program Package. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, 8 (27), 3172–3191.

(82) PubChem. Hematite - Compound summary. https://pubchem. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Hematite (Accessed 2023 August 02).

(83) Socrates, G. Infrared and Raman Characteristic Group Frequencies, 3rd ed.; Wiley, 2000. ISBN: 9780470093078.

(84) Li, P.; Perreau, K. A.; Covington, E.; Song, C. H.; Carmichael, G. R.; Grassian, V. H. Heterogeneous Reactions of Volatile Organic Compounds on Oxide Particles of the Most Abundant Crustal Elements: Surface Reactions of Acetaldehyde, Acetone, and Propionaldehyde on SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, TiO₂, and CaO. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. **2001**, 106 (D6), 5517–5529.

(85) Kingston, D. G. I.; Bursey, J. T.; Bursey, M. M. Intramolecular Hydrogen Transfer in Mass Spectra. II. McLafferty Rearrangement and Related Reactions. *Chem. Rev.* **1974**, *74* (2), 215–242.

(86) Dwinandha, D.; Zhang, B.; Fujii, M. Prediction of Reaction Mechanism for OH Radical-Mediated Phenol Oxidation Using Quantum Chemical Calculation. *Chemosphere* **2022**, *291*, No. 132763. (87) Scheck, C. Degradation of Phenol and Salicylic Acid by Ultraviolet Radiation/Hydrogen Peroxide/Oxygen. *Water Res.* **1995**, *29* (10), 2346–2352.

(88) Qin, C.; Troya, D.; Shang, C.; Hildreth, S.; Helm, R.; Xia, K. Surface Catalyzed Oxidative Oligomerization of 17β -Estradiol by Fe³⁺-Saturated Montmorillonite. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, 49 (2), 956–964.

(89) Al-Abadleh, H. A.; Motaghedi, F.; Mohammed, W.; Rana, M. S.; Malek, K. A.; Rastogi, D.; Asa-Awuku, A. A.; Guzman, M. I. Reactivity of Aminophenols in Forming Nitrogen-Containing Brown Carbon from Iron-Catalyzed Reactions. *Commun. Chem.* **2022**, *5* (1), 1–12.

(90) Hettiarachchi, E.; Grassian, V. H. Heterogeneous Formation of Organonitrates (ON) and Nitroxy-Organosulfates (NOS) from Adsorbed α -Pinene-Derived Organosulfates (OS) on Mineral Surfaces. ACS Earth Sp. Chem. **2022**, 6 (12), 3017–3030.

(91) Underwood, G. M.; Miller, T. M.; Grassian, V. H. Transmission FT-IR and Knudsen Cell Study of the Heterogeneous Reactivity of Gaseous Nitrogen Dioxide on Mineral Oxide Particles. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1999**, *103* (31), 6184–6190.

(92) Bedini, A.; Maurino, V.; Minero, C.; Vione, D. Theoretical and Experimental Evidence of the Photonitration Pathway of Phenol and

4-Chlorophenol: A Mechanistic Study of Environmental Significance. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **2012**, *11* (2), 418–424.

(93) Zhang, Y.; Bao, F.; Li, M.; Chen, C.; Zhao, J. Nitrate-Enhanced Oxidation of SO₂ on Mineral Dust: A Vital Role of a Proton. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53* (17), 10139–10145.

(94) Kong, L. D.; Zhao, X.; Sun, Z. Y.; Yang, Y. W.; Fu, H. B.; Zhang, S. C.; Cheng, T. T.; Yang, X.; Wang, L.; Chen, J. M. The Effects of Nitrate on the Heterogeneous Uptake of Sulfur Dioxide on Hematite. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *14* (17), 9451–9467.

(95) Wang, R.; Yang, N.; Li, J.; Xu, L.; Tsona, N. T.; Du, L.; Wang, W. Heterogeneous Reaction of SO₂ on CaCO₃ Particles: Different Impacts of NO₂ and Acetic Acid on the Sulfite and Sulfate Formation. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2022**, *114*, 149–159.

(96) Wilson, N. C.; Muscat, J.; Mkhonto, D.; Ngoepe, P. E.; Harrison, N. M. Structure and Properties of Ilmenite from First Principles. *Phys. Rev. B* **2005**, *71* (7), No. 075202.

(97) Rubasinghege, G.; Grassian, V. H. Role(s) of Adsorbed Water in the Surface Chemistry of Environmental Interfaces. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, 49 (30), 3071–3094.