UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Nitrogen stable isotopic composition of leaves and soil: Tropical versus temperate forests

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5c58t8vr

Journal Biogeochemistry, 46(1-3)

ISSN 0168-2563

Authors

Martinelli, LA Piccolo, MC Townsend, AR <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

1999-07-01

DOI

10.1007/bf01007573

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

eScholarship.org

Powered by the <u>California Digital Library</u> University of California



Nitrogen stable isotopic composition of leaves and soil: Tropical versus temperate forests

L.A. MARTINELLI¹, M.C. PICCOLO¹, A.R. TOWNSEND², P.M. VITOUSEK³, E. CUEVAS⁴, W. McDOWELL⁵, G.P. ROBERTSON⁶, O.C. SANTOS⁷ & K. TRESEDER³

 ¹Cena – Av. Centenário 303, Piracicaba-SP. 13416-000, Brazil; ²INSTAAR and Department of EPO-Biology, Campus Box 450, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, U.S.A.;
 ³Department of Biological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-5020, U.S.A.;
 ⁴IVIC, BAMCO CCS-199-00, PO Box 025322, Miami, Fl 33102-5322, U.S.A.; ⁵Department of Natural Resources, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, 03824, U.S.A.;
 ⁶W.K. Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan State University, Hickory Corners, MI 9060-9516, U.S.A.; ⁷Meteorological Institute, Ministry of Science Technology and Environment, Aptdo 17032, Habana 17, CP 11700, Havana, Cuba

Received 10 December 1998

Key words: N15, nitrogen, nutrient cycling, plants, stable isotopes, soil, temperate forest, tropical forest

Abstract. Several lines of evidence suggest that nitrogen in most tropical forests is relatively more available than N in most temperate forests, and even that it may function as an excess nutrient in many tropical forests. If this is correct, tropical forests should have more open N cycles than temperate forests, with both inputs and outputs of N large relative to N cycling within systems. Consequent differences in both the magnitude and the pathways of N loss imply that tropical forests should in general be more ¹⁵N enriched than are most temperate forests. In order to test this hypothesis, we compared the nitrogen stable isotopic composition of tree leaves and soils from a variety of tropical and temperate forests. Foliar δ^{15} N values from tropical forests averaged 6.5‰ higher than from temperate forests. Within the tropics, ecosystems with relatively low N availability (montane forests, forests on sandy soils) were significantly more depleted in ¹⁵N than other tropical forests. The average δ^{15} N values for tropical forest soils, either for surface or for depth samples, were almost 8‰ higher than temperate forest soils. These results provide another line of evidence that N is relatively abundant in many tropical forest ecosystems.

Introduction

A number of lines of evidence suggest that N in most tropical forests is relatively more available than is N in most temperate forests. On average, more N circulates annually through lowland tropical forests, and does so at higher concentrations, than through temperate forests (Proctor et al. 1983; Vitousek 1984; Vitousek & Sanford 1986; Vogt et al. 1986). Emissions of N-containing trace gases are also higher, both absolutely and as a fraction of N circulating through forests (Keller et al. 1986, 1993; Matson & Vitousek 1987, 1990). Comparable data on rates of N mineralization and leaching losses are sparser, but they generally show greater rates of N cycling and loss in many lowland tropical forests (Vitousek & Denslow 1986; Lewis 1986; Matson et al. 1987; Neill et al. 1995). Overall, these observations suggest that N functions as an excess nutrient in most tropical forests, but not in the majority of temperate forests. The major exceptions to this generalization in the tropics are forests on white-sand soils and montane tropical forests; by the measures above, N is in relatively short supply in these ecosystems (Salati et al. 1982; Cuevas & Medina 1988; Tanner et al., in press). In the temperate zone, the major exceptions are forests that receive substantial anthropogenic N deposition (Binkley et al. 1992; Aber et al. 1995; Berendse et al. 1993).

If N functions as an excess nutrient in tropical forests, the N cycle in such systems should be more open than in temperate forests, with both inputs and outputs of N large relative to internal N cycling. Moreover, the pathways of N loss should differ: losses from low-N systems may be predominantly in the form of DON (Hedin et al. 1995), while leaching losses of nitrate and nitrification/denitrification driven trace gas fluxes should predominate where N is in excess (Matson et al., this volume). These differences in both the magnitude and the pathways of loss imply that tropical forests should in general contain N that is more enriched in ¹⁵N than most temperate forests. This relative enrichment should occur because pathways of loss in N-rich systems are more likely to be fractionating, and because losses by fractionating pathways leave the N remaining within the system enriched (Hogberg 1997). In order to test this hypothesis, we compared the nitrogen stable isotopic composition of tree leaves and soils from a variety of tropical and temperate forests.

Methods

We surveyed N stable isotopic composition and N content of leaves from adult trees of non-leguminous species from temperate and tropical forests. Where available, the same data for soil organic matter also were evaluated. As there is significant variation with depth in both concentration and stable isotopic composition of nitrogen in soils, data were grouped according to depth. Surface samples were those collected from no more than 10 cm deep, and samples collected below this depth were called depth samples. The nitrogen stable isotopic composition was expressed as δ (‰) notation:

$$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N} = (\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{sample}}/\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{std}} - 1) \cdot 1000,$$

where R is the ratio of ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$ of the sample and standard (std). The isotopic standard for nitrogen is atmospheric air. We predicted that tropical forests would have higher ${}^{15}N$ values in relation to temperate forests; statistical differences were tested with a *t*-test for unequal variance.

Results and discussion

Tropical vs temperate systems

The average δ^{15} N value for tropical foliage was $3.7\pm3.5\%$ (n = 73), which is significantly greater (p < 0.01) than the temperate forest value of $-2.8\pm2.0\%$ (n = 90) [Table 1, Figure 1]. This 6.5% difference occurred despite the fact that trees from low-N montane and white-sand tropical sites were included in the analysis. If these are excluded, the average δ^{15} N value for tropical trees increases to $4.7\pm2.1\%$ (n = 65). The average concentration of nitrogen in leaves from tropical forests was $1.9\pm0.8\%$ (n = 78), which was not significantly different from the $1.6\pm0.5\%$ (n = 28) average value found for temperate forests. When data are grouped by site, there is a significant positive correlation between 15 N and N concentration (p < 0.007); sites with higher nitrogen concentration in their leaves tend to have higher 15 N values (Figure 2).

Tropical forest soils were also much more ¹⁵N-enriched than temperate forest soils (Table 2, Figure 3a), with tropical ¹⁵N values averaging almost 8‰ higher, both at the surface and at depth. Variation with depth followed the classical pattern discussed by Nadelhoffer and Fry (1988); the average ¹⁵N value for depth samples was approximately 2‰ higher than for surface samples in both tropical and temperate forests (Figure 3a).

The comparison of nitrogen concentration of soil organic matter between tropical and temperate forests was difficult to make due to the small number of samples from temperate forest soils. With the available data, the average N concentration was smaller in the tropical soils than in the temperate forests for both surface and depth samples (Figure 3b).

The results clearly showed that the ¹⁵N values of tree leaves and soil organic matter were significantly higher in tropical forests than in temperate forests. Therefore, the initial working hypothesis was confirmed, in that the results are consistent with tropical forests having a more open nitrogen cycle, with greater losses via fractionating pathways, suggesting that N is in relative excess in many moist tropical forests.

Species	Site	Region	Country	¹⁵ N	% N	Ref
Metrosideros polymorpha	Thurston	Hawaii	U.S.A.	-6.8	0.87	1
Metrosideros polymorpha	Olaa	Hawaii	U.S.A.	-4.9	1.12	1
Metrosideros polymorpha	Laupahoehoe	Hawaii	U.S.A.	+0.9	1.42	1
Metrosideros polymorpha	Kohala	Hawaii	U.S.A.	-2.2	1.14	1
Metrosideros polymorpha	Malokai	Hawaii	U.S.A.	-2.3	1.06	1
Metrosideros polymorpha	Kauai	Hawaii	U.S.A.	-0.5	0.86	1
Cibotium glaucum	Thurston	Hawaii	U.S.A.	-9.3	1.79	1
Cibotium glaucum	Olaa	Hawaii	U.S.A.	-6.0	1.53	1
Cibotium glaucum	Laupahoehoe	Hawaii	U.S.A.	+0.7	1.82	1
Cibotium glaucum	Kohala	Hawaii	U.S.A.	-3.7	1.79	1
Cibotium glaucum	Malokai	Hawaii	U.S.A.	-1.3	1.79	1
Cibotium glaucum	Kauai	Hawaii	U.S.A.	-1.3	1.47	1
Amphirrox latifolia	Samuel	Rondônia	Brazil	+6.8	3.16	2
Licania hispidula	Samuel	Rondônia	Brazil	+5.7	1.15	2
Maquira guianensis	Samuel	Rondônia	Brazil	+6.4	1.06	2
Naucleopsis sp	Samuel	Rondônia	Brazil	+83	3 51	
Naucleopsis sp Naucleopsis sp	Samuel	Rondônia	Brazil	+6.5	0.85	2
Neea sp	Samuel	Rondônia	Brazil	+9.6	3.76	2
Protium carnosum	Samuel	Rondônia	Brazil	+5.7	0.98	2
Protium en	Samuel	Rondônia	Brazil	+4.4	1.12	2
Protium sp	Samuel	Rondônia	Brazil	⊥5 <i>7</i>	1 10	2
Tachigalia aquinas	Samuel	Rondônia	Diazii	+7.7	2.02	2
In determined	Samuel	Rondônia	Diazii	+7.4	2.04	2
Undetermined	Samuel	Dandânia	DidZii	+0.4	3.90	2
Undetermined	Samuel	Rondonia	Drazii	+0.5	1.04	2
Mixture	Faz. Nova vida	Rondonia	Brazii	+8.0	1.43	2
Rinorea racemosa	Varzea	rio Amazonas	Brazii	+2.0	1.00	2
Inga sp	Varzea	rio Amazonas	Brazii	+1.2	2.22	2
Oxandra polyantha	Varzea	rio Amazonas	Brazil	+2.5	2.14	2
Nectandra amazonum	Varzea	rio Amazonas	Brazil	+3.7	2.76	2
Leonia racemosa	Varzea	rio Amazonas	Brazii	+4.7	2.80	2
Undetermined	Varzea	rio Amazonas	Brazil	+4.0	1.22	2
Sacoglotis sp	Varzea	rio Amazonas	Brazil	+1.8	1.22	2
Ficus glabrata	Varzea	rio Amazonas	Brazil	+1.3	1.77	2
Tichila sp	Varzea	rio Amazonas	Brazil	+1.2	0.29	2
Laetia crynbulosa	Varzea	rio Amazonas	Brazil	+2.4	2.76	2
Undetermined	Campina	Manaus	Brazil	-2.2	1.86	3
Undetermined	Campina	Manaus	Brazil	-0.2	2.49	3
Undetermined	Campina	Manaus	Brazil	+0.6	1.30	3
G.thophilurum	Campina	Manaus	Brazil	-7.0		4
Sapotaceae	Campina	Manaus	Brazil	-7.0		4
Lecitidaceae	R.Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+5.8		4
Virola surinasuensis	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+5.9		4
Carapa guianensis	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+7.0		4
Theobroma cacau	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+6.2	1.32	5

Table 1. $\delta^{15}N$ (‰) values of plant species. %N is the nitrogen concentration (%).

Table 1. Continued.

Species	Site	Region	Country	¹⁵ N	% N	Ref	
Melastoma bellucia	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+3.3	2.38	5	
Melastoma bellucia	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+4.6	1.68	5	
Melastoma bellucia	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+4.7	1.81	5	
Cecropia eucomona	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+5.9	2.20	5	
Cecropia eucomona	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+4.9	1.86	5	
Piper piperaceae	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+4.6	2.58	5	
Aegiphula scandens	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+5.6	3.09	5	
Rolinea exsucca	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+2.0	1.48	5	
Verbenaceae	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+6.0	2.12	5	
Alchornea schomburgkii	R. Ducke	Manaus	Brazil	+1.7	2.10	5	
Myrtaceae	Cerrado	Brasilia	Brazil	+1.8	0.94	6	
Compositae	Cerrado	Brasìlia	Brazil	+0.1	1.31	6	
Rubiaceae	Cerrado	Brasìlia	Brazil	0.6	1.09	6	
Melastomataceae	Cerrado	Brasilia	Brazil	+1.3	1.30	6	
Flacourtia rukan	Toong-fax		Thailand	+4.5	1.70	5	
Anacardium occidentale	Toong-fax		Thailand	+3.6	1.30	5	
Zizvplus mauritana	Toong-fax		Thailand	+2.5	1.83	5	
Terminalia catappa	Kwae		Thailand	+7.5	1.88	5	
Lansium domesticum	Nakhon Pathom		Thailand	+6.0	2.18	5	
Ficus elberrima	Kwae		Thailand	+9.4	1.78	5	
Crataeva ervthrocarpa	Kwae		Thailand	+8.0	2.44	5	
Kerangas scrub	11. Hue		Sarawak	-2.3	2	7	
Kerangas scrub			Sarawak	-7.4		7	
Kerangas forest			Sarawak	-2.4		7	
Kerangas forest			Sarawak	-35		7	
8 FCM species	Korun	Cameroon	Africa	-49	2.10	20	
10 VAM species	Korup	Cameroon	Africa	+4.6	2.10	20	
Ouercus kelloogii	Mix Canyon	California	ILS A	+4.0	2.11	8	
Quereus kenogga Pinus sahiniana	Mix Canyon	California	USA	+0.1		8	
Pinus contorta sen	Grass Lake	California	USA	+0.0		8	
Pinus alhicaulis	Carson Pase_3	California	U.S.A.	-0.2		8	
Pinus contorta sen	Carson Pass 4	California	U.S.A.	-0.3		8	
Tinus contonta ssp.	Carson Page 5	California	U.S.A.	+0.4		0	
1 suga mertensiana A bias compolor	Carson rass-5	California	U.S.A.	+1.0		0	
Dimus non denora	Rice canyon	California	U.S.A.	-0.8		0	
Pinus ponaerosa	Rice canyon	California	U.S.A.	-0.5		0	
Prunus emarginata Selin accordeniana	Rice canyon	California	U.S.A.	-0.5		0	
Saux scouleriana	Rice canyon	California	0.5.A.	-0.0	1 75	8	
riceu adies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria Bavari-	Germany	3.1	1.70	9	
Piceu adies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-3.5	1.70	9	
ricea adles	Fichteleebirge	Bavaria Davasis	Germany	-3.6	1.70	9	
ricea abies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-3.7	1.50	9	
Piceu ables	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-3.3	1.50	9	
ricea ables	richteigebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-3.8	1.45	9	

Table 1.	Continued
----------	-----------

Species	Site	Region	Country	¹⁵ N	% N	Ref
Picea abies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-3.8	1.50	. 9.
Picea abies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-4.0	1.45	9 .
Picea abies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-3.9	1.40	9
Picea abies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-3.9	1.40	9
Picea abies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-3.9	1.20	9
Picea abies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-3.4	1.48	9
Picea abies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-3.8	1.52	9
Picea abies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	3.9	1.54	9
Picea abies	Fichtelgebirge	Bavaria	Germany	-4.0	1.48	9
Salix purpurea	Col d'Ornon	Northern Alps	France	-3.8		10
Betula verrucosa	Col d'Ornon	Northern Alps	France	-6.0		10
Salix purpurea	Col d'Ornon	Northern Alps	France	-3.4		10
Acer pseucoplatanus	Col d'Ornon	Northern Alps	France	-4.3		10
Acer pseudoplatanus	Col d'Ornon	Northern Alps	France	-3.6		10
Corylus avellaņa	Col d'Ornon	Northern Alps	France	-4.4		10
Fraxinus excelsior	Col d'Ornon	Northern Alps	France	3.8		10
Abies alba	Col d'Ornon	Northern Alps	France	-3.6		10
Rubus sp	Col d'Ornon	Northern Alps	France	-4.3		10
Spruce-fir	Great Smoky Mt.	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-2.2		11
Beech	Great Smoky Mt.	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-0.8		11
Cove hardwood	Great Smoky Mt.	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-1.9		11
Mixed hardwood	Great Smoky Mt.	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-1.0		11
Floodplain poplar	Great Smoky Mt.	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-2.4		11
Yellow poplar	Great Smoky Mt.	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-3.5		11
Pine	Great Smoky Mt.	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-1.7		11
Xeric oak	Great Smoky Mt.	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-1.8		11
Picea glauca	Dalton Highway	Alaska	U.S.A.	-6.2	0.97	12
Picea mariana	Dalton Highway	Alaska	U.S.A.	-10.1	0.91	12
Acer rubrum	Walker Branch	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-3.5		13
Cornus florida	Walker Branch	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-3.9		13
Liliodendron tulipifera	Walker Branch	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-3.4		13
Acer rubrum	Walker Branch	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-1.7		13
Cornus florida	Walker Branch	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-1.0		13
Liliodendron tulipifera	Walker Branch	Tennessee	U.S.A.	-2.4		13
Facus grandifolia	Bear Brook	Maine	U.S.A.	-0.7	2.30	14
Acer spp.	Bear Brook	Maine	U.S.A.	-1.7	2.30	14
Betula alleghaniensis	Bear Brook	Maine	U.S.A.	-1.1	2.20	14
Picea rubens	Bear Brook	Maine	U.S.A.	-0.6	1.10	14
Picea abis	Southern Sweden	Sweden	Sweden	-2.2	1.30	15
Juniperus communis	Subsite 1&2	Scotland	Scotland	0.6	1.70	16
Juniperus communis	Subsite 3 (boggy)	Scotland	Scotland	-5.3	1.00	16
Betula nana		Northern Sweden	Sweden	-7.4	1.90	17
Betula nana		Northern Sweden	Sweden	-3.9	3.30	17
Pinus sylvestris		Northern Sweden	Sweden	-3.9		17

Species	Site	Region	Country	¹⁵ N	% N	Ref
Picea abies		Central Sweden	Sweden	-1.3		18
Pseudotsuga menziesii	Andrews	Oregon	U.S.A.	-3.2		19
Acer rubrum	Harvard Forest	Massachusetts	U.S.A.	-3.6		19
Quercus rubra	Harvard Forest	Massachusetts	U.S.A.	-2.7		19
Tsuga canadensis	Harvard Forest	New Hampshire	U.S.A.	-3.7		19
Acer rubrum	Harvard Forest	New Hampshire	U.S.A.	-5.9		19
Pinus strobus	Harvard Forest	New Hampshire	U.S.A.	-1.4		19
Betula papyrifera	Harvard Forest	New Hampshire	U.S.A.	-0.6		19
Facus grandifolia	Harvard Forest	New Hampshire	U.S.A.	-1.2		19
Prunus pensylvanica	Harvard Forest	New Hampshire	U.S.A.	-0.4		19
Betula lutea	Harvard Forest	New Hampshire	U.S.A.	-2.7		19
Pinus spp	North Inlet	South Carolina	U.S.A.	-0.9		19
Pinus resinosa	North Lakes	Wiscosin	U.S.A.	-2.0		19
Quercus rubra	North Lakes	Wiscosin	U.S.A.	-3.0		19
Betula papyrifera	North Lakes	Wiscosin	U.S.A.	-2.5		19
Picea glauca	Bonanza creek	Alaska	U.S.A.	-3.3		19
Populus tremuliodes	Bonanza creek	Alaska	U.S.A.	-1.4		19
Fraxinus spp	Cedar Creek	Minnesota	U.S.A.	-4.2		19
Betula papyrifera	Cedar Creek	Minnesota	U.S.A.	-4.0		19
Acer rubrum	Cedar Creek	Minnesota	U.S.A.	-5.2		19
Quercus macrocarpa	Cedar Creek	Minnesota	U.S.A.	-4.5		19
Quercus rubra	Cedar Creek	Minnesota	U.S.A.	-3.3		19
Cornus florida	Coweeta	North Carolina	U.S.A.	-1.8		19
Acer rubrum	Coweeta	North Carolina	U.S.A.	-5.2		19
Liliodendron tulipifera	Coweeta	North Carolina	U.S.A.	-5.3		19

1 – Vitousek PM (nonpublished data); 2 – Almeida S (1995); 3 – McClain M (nonpublished data); 4 – Salati et al. (1982) 5 – Yoneyama et al (1993); 6 – Sprent et al. (1996); 7 – Treseder K (nonpublished data); 8 – Virginia and Delwiche (1982); 9 – Gebauer and Schulze (1991); 10 – Domenach et al. (1989); 11 – Garten Jr and Miegroet (1994); 12 – Schulze et al. (1994); 13 – Garten Jr (1993); 14 – Adelhoffer et al. (1995); 15 – Nasholm et al. (1997); 16 – Hill et al. (1996); 17 – Michelsen et al. (1996); 18 – Hogberg et al. (1996); 19 – Fry (1991); 20 – Hogberg and Alexander (1995)

Nutrient rich vs nutrient poor systems in the tropics

Forests on white-sand soils in the tropics are considered to be nitrogenpoor systems (Vitousek & Sanford 1986; Cuevas & Medina 1988). Table 3 summarizes the elemental composition of leaves in contrasting vegetation types in the Amazon. Higher N concentrations were found in Varzea forest, followed by Terra-firme forests in Samuel and Manaus. The same trend was also found by Furch and Klinge (1989). As expected, the lowest concentrations were in the Campina forests. Following our initial hypothesis,

				15		
Country	Region	Soil type	<i>z</i>	¹⁵ N	%N	Re
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	0–5	+9.8	0.26	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	5-10	+10.8	0.17	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	10-20	+12.0	0.11	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	20-30	+12.5	0.09	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	30-40	+12.6	0.07	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	40-50	+13.2	0.05	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	5060	+12.8	0.04	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	60–70	+12.9	0.03	- 1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	100-110	+12.4	0.02	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	140-150	+11.9	0.01	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	05	+8.4	0.13	. 1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	5-10	+9.2	0.05	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	10-20	+9.4	0.04	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	20-30	+9.9	0.02	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	30-40	+9.8	0.01	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	40-50	+9.3	0.01	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	5060	+9.3	0.00	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	60-70	+8.5	0.01	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	80-90	+9.8	0.00	1
Brazil	Pará	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	120-130	+8.6	0.00	1
Brazil	Amazonas	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	0–3	+7.7	0.38	1
Brazil	Amazonas	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	3-12	+9.8	0.19	1
Brazil	Amazonas	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	12-36	+11.9	0.09	1
Brazil	Amazonas	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	36-51	+14.9	0.02	1
Brazil	Amazonas	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	5180	+15.6	0.01	1
Brazil	Amazonas	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	80140	+20.0	0.00	1
Brazil	Amazonas	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	140	+21.7	0.00	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow latosol (Hapludox)	0-5	+9.8	0.24	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow latosol (Hapludox)	5-10	+10.1	0.22	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow latosol (Hapludox)	10-20	+10.5	0.16	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow latosol (Hapludox)	20-30	+10.8	0.13	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	0–5	+8.6	0.18	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	5-10	+9.2	0.14	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	10-20	+9.9	0.08	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	20-30	+10.3	0.08	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic (Paleudult)	0–5	+10.7	0.21	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic (Paleudult)	5-10	+11.4	0.14	- 1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic (Paleudult)	10-20	+11.9	0.11	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic (Paleudult)	2030	+11.9	0.09	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	0-5	+9.3	0.28	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	5-10	+9.8	0.19	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	10-20	+11.3	0.12	1

Table 2. ^{15}N values (‰) for soil samples. Z is the soil depth (cm), %N is the nitrogen concentration (%) and Re stands for references.

Table 2. Continued.

Country	Region	Soil type	z	¹⁵ N	%N	Re
Brazil	Rondônia	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	20-30	+11.7	0.08	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	0–5	+6.4	0.09	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	5-10	+7.6	0.07	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	10-20	+9.2	0.05	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Yellow latosol (Hapludox)	20-30	+10.6	0.04	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	05	+11.2	0.12	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	5-10	+12.2	0.10	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	10-20	+13.3	0.06	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	2030	+13.6	0.04	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	30-40	+13.1	0.04	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	40-50	+12.9	0.04	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	80-90	+12.4	0.06	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red yellow podzolic latosol (Kandiudult)	120-130	+12.7	0.04	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic (Paleudult)	0-10	+10.5	0.07	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic (Paleudult)	10-20	+11.7	0.04	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic (Paleudult)	20-30	+11.9	0.02	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic (Paleudult)	30-40	+12.1	0.02	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic (Paleudult)	40-50	+12.2	0.01	1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic (Paleudult)	70-80	+11.4		1
Brazil	Rondônia	Red-yellow podzolic (Paleudult)	90-100	+9.8		1
Brazil	Pará	Xanthic Ferralsols		+10.3		2
Brazil	Amazonas	Xanthic Ferralsols		+7.4		2
Brazil	Paraná	Rhodic Ferralsols	0-10	+10.9		2
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	Surface	-2.2		3
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	Surface	-2.0		3
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	Surface	+1.4		3
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	Surface	-1.0		3
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	Surface	-0.8		3
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	Surface	+0.2		3
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	30-40	+1.4		3
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	30-40	-0.4		3
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	30-40	+6.2		3
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	30-40	+4.7		3
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	30-40	+4.6		3
U.S.A.	Hawaii	Volcanic tephra	30-40	+5.1		3
Thailand	Chachoengsao	Gray podzolic	0-15	+7.7	0.02	4
Thailand	Chantaburi	Red yellow podzolic	0-30	+7.1	0.03	4
Thailand	Rayong	Red yellow podzolic	0-10	+8.4	0.06	4
Thailand	Chantaburi	Regosols		+3.7	0.04	4
Thailand	Chainat	Gray lowland	0-10	+9.5	0.08	4
U.S.A.	Wiscosin	Typic Hapludalfs	010	+2.6	0.25	5
U.S.A.	Wisconsin	Typic Hapludalfs	10-20	+5.3	0.08	5
U.S.A.	Maine	Caribou	011.4	+4.9		6
U.S.A.	Maine	Caribou	11.4–12.7	+8.5		6

Country	Region	Soil type	z	^{15}N	%N	Re
U.S.A.	Maine	Caribou	12.7-20.3	+8.3		6
U.S.A.	Maine	Caribou	20.3-33.0	+8.0		6
U.S.A.	Maine	Caribou	33.0-43.2	+5.7		6
U.S.A.	Maine	Caribou	43.2-55.9	+3.7		6
U.S.A.	Maine	Caribou	55.9-101	+3.0		6
U.S.A.	New Hampshire			+9.6		7
U.S.A.	Central Illinois	Drummer silty clay loam		+10.4		7
U.S.A.	Oregon		0-60	+2.1		8
U.S.A.	Oregon		0-60	+2.5		8
Japan	Tokyo	Podzol	0–90	+5.0		9
Japan	Nagano	Brown Forest	0-90	+5.0		9
Belgium	Ardennes	Acid Brown	0–1	-3.9		10
Belgium	Ardennes	Acid Brown	1-4	-0.7		10
Belgium	Ardennes	Acid Brown	422	+0.7		10
Belgium	Ardennes	Acid Brown	22-43	+2.1		10
Belgium	Ardennes	Acid Brown	43-70	+2.6		10
Belgium	Ardennes	Acid Brown	70-100	+1.0		10
Belgium	Ardennes	Acid Brown	0-1.5	-5.1		10
Belgium	Ardennes	Acid Brown	1.5-10.5	-0.3		10
Belgium	Ardennes	Acid Brown	10-25	-0.1		10
Belgium	Ardennes	Acid Brown	25-51	+1.3		10
Belgium	Ardennes		51-80	+3.6		10
Belgium	Ardennes		80-110	+1.0		10
France	Northern Alps	Ochreous podzolic	0-1	-4.0	1.57	11
France	Northern Alps	Ochreous podzolic	2-6	-2.8	1.39	11
France	Northern Alps	Ochreous podzolic	6–11	+0.3	1.21	11
France	Northern Alps	Ochreous podzolic	11-12	+2.8	0.84	11
France	Northern Alps	Ochreous podzolic	12-14	+4.7	0.20	11
France	Northern Alps	Ochreous podzolic	14-35	+5.1	0.17	11
France	Northern Alps	Ochreous podzolic	80	+5.0	0.21	11
U.S.A.	Tennessee			+3.6		12
U.S.A.	Tennessee			+5.4		12
U.S.A.	Tennessee			+3.9		12
U.S.A.	Tennessee			+4.8		12
U.S.A.	Tennessee			+5.1		12
U.S.A.	Tennessee			+4.3		12
U.S.A.	Tennessee			+5.5		12
U.S.A.	Tennessee			+5.3		12
U.S.A.	Tennessee			-2.4		13
U.S.A.	Tennesse			-1.0		13
U.S.A.	Maine			+2.3		14
Germanv	Bavaria		Olf	-3.5		15
Germany	Bavaria		Oh	+0.1		15
Garmany	Bavaria		A0-5	+2.9		15

Table 2. Continued.

Country	Region	Soil type	z	¹⁵ N	%N	Re
Germany	Bavaria		A5-15	+4.0		15
Sweden	Southern Sweden		Oh	-1.1		16
Sweden	Northern Sweden			-0.7		17
Sweden	Northern Sweden			+0.5		17
Sweden	Northern Sweden		Oi	-2.0		18
Sweden	Northern Sweden		Oa	-0.4		18
Sweden	Northern Sweden		Oe	+1.1		18
Sweden	Northern Sweden		A0-5	+5.0		18
U.S.A.	Massachusetts		F	+0.4		19
U.S.A,	Massachusetts		Н	+4.0		19
U.S.A.	Massachusetts		10-15	+6.3		19
U.S.A.	Minnesota		Oa/A	-1.3		19
U.S.A.	Minnesota		20-25	+5.3		19

Table 2. Continued.

1 – Piccolo et al. (1996); 2 – Yoneyama et al. (1993) 3 – Vitousek et al. (1989); Vitousek PM (nonpublished data); 4 – Yoneyama et al. (1990); 5 – Nadelhoffer KJ and Fry B (1988); 6 – Shearer et al. (1978); 7 – Shearer et al. (1974); 8 – Binkley et al. (1992); 9 – Wada et al. (1984); 10 – Riga et al. (1971); 11 – Mariotti et al. (1980); 12 – Garten Jr & Miegroet (1994); 13 – Garten Jr CT (1993); 14 – Nadelhoffer et al. (1995); 15 – Gebauer and Schulze (1991); 16 – Nasholm et al. (1997); 17 – Michelsen et al. (1996); 18 – Hogberg et al. (1996); 19 – Fry (1991).

we expected that in forests on white sand soils, such as the Campina site, δ^{15} N values would be lower than those from the relatively N-rich Terrafirme and Varzea forests. Indeed, the only negative δ^{15} N values among all lowland tropical forests occurred in the two white sand sites: the Brazilian Campina and the Kerangas site in Sarawak. The average value of these sites was significantly lower (P < 0.001) than for any of the other tropical forests types we surveyed.

Within the Terra-firme forests, the site which had higher elemental concentrations in leaves (Samuel) also showed an average δ^{15} N value significantly higher (P < 0.001) than the Terra-firme forest at Reserva Ducke in Manaus (Table 3). In contrast, the Varzea forest had the highest elemental concentration in leaves, but a significantly lower δ^{15} N in relation to the two Terra-firme forests. Isotopic values for surface soil samples (0–10 cm) were also significantly lower in Varzea (15 N = +4.1±0.5‰, n = 17) than in Terra-firme (δ^{15} N = +9.21.5‰, n = 10) sites. One possible cause for the lower δ^{15} N values in the Varzea is the very high rate of nitrogen fixation by legumes (and probably by Paspalum grasses) that occurs in this system (Martinelli et al. 1992); fixed N has a δ^{15} N value close to zero. In addition, Varzea soils are formed and renewed each year by sediments brought by the white-water rivers



Figure 1. Histogram of $\delta^{15}N$ (‰) for tree leaves collected in tropical and temperate forests. Solid bars are tropical sites and hatched bars are temperate sites. (b) Plot of $\delta^{15}N$ (‰) for tree leaves collected in tropical and temperate forests. Error bars represent one standard-deviation.

of the Amazon, and therefore substrate age differences between Varzea and Terra-firme sites may also contribute to the differences in ¹⁵N. It is possible that the Varzea site has not been in place long enough for ¹⁵N enrichment to occur to the same extent as in Terra-firme. This age effect is discussed in the next section.



Figure 2. Relationship between average δ^{15} N values (‰) and average nitrogen concentration (%) of foliar samples. Temperate sites are labeled "TE", the unlabeled points are tropical sites. Bars are standard errors.

The Hawaii substrate age gradient and $\delta^{15}N$ of plants and soils

We used a developmental sequence of montane forests in the Hawaiian Islands to evaluate how losses of N by fractionating pathways could shape

Table 3. Average δ^{15} N value (‰) and average elemental composition of leaves (‰) from distinct forest types in the Amazon Basin and averaged δ^{15} N (‰) of Kerangas site in Sarawak.

Vegetation	δ^{15} N	N	Р	K	Ca	Mg
Varzea ¹	+2.5	2.19	0.19	1.23	1.34	0.36
Terra-Firme ²	+6.7	1.90	0.08	0.77	0.77	0.33
Terra-Firme ³	+4.5	1.84	0.05	0.43	0.43	0.29
Campina ⁴	-3.2	1.11	0.05	0.37	0.37	0.26

¹ Inundation forest – Senna (1996); ² Terra-firme forest at Samuel, Rondônia – Almeida (1995); ³ Terra-firme forest at Manaus – Klinge et al. (1984); ⁴ White-sand soil forest (campina) near Manaus – Klinge et al. (1984).



Figure 3. Box-whisker plot of (a) $\delta^{15}N$ (‰) and (b) nitrogen concentration (systems. The circles are average values, boxes are standard-errors, and bars represent one standard-deviation.

¹⁵N values in forest ecosystems over time. The Hawaiian Islands result from the movement of the Pacific tectonic plate over a stationary convective plume or "hot-spot" in Earth's mantle. The hot-spot is now located under the active volcanoes at the southeast edge of the Hawaiian chain; the age of the islands increases progressively to the northwest.

Six sites with substrate ages of 300, 2100, 20,000, 150,000, 1.4 million, and 4.1 million years, located across the Islands, have been used in several soil and biogeochemical studies (Crews et al. 1995; Vitousek et al. 1997). All of the sites have basaltic parent material, are on constructional shield volcanic surfaces, at 1200 m elevation, and with 2500 mm annual precipitation. All are dominated by the native myrtaceous tree *Metrosideros polymorpha*; none have been cleared by humans. No symbiotic N fixers are important components of any site. Fertilization studies show that productivity in the youngest sites is profoundly limited by low levels of N availability (Vitousek et al. 1993); N concentrations in plant tissues, inorganic N pools, rates of soil N transformations, and gaseous losses of N are also low in these sites (Riley & Vitousek 1995; Vitousek et al. 1995). By 20,000 years, more N has accumulated and N alone no longer limits production (Vitousek & Farrington 1997). Nitrogen concentration, transformations, and trace gas losses are all much greater than in the younger sites (Vitousek et al. 1995; Crews et al. 1995). Finally, plant production in the oldest site is limited by P (Herbert & Fownes 1995), while rates of N transformations and gaseous losses remain high (Riley & Vitousek 1995). We anticipated that if losses of N by fractionating pathways drive ¹⁵N enrichment, then the youngest sites should have the lowest δ^{15} N; they should be accumulating N from the atmosphere, with little N loss. As nitrification and losses of nitrate through leaching and/or denitrification increase through soil and ecosystem development, $\delta^{15}N$ in the systems as a whole should become enriched.

We measured δ^{15} N in the foliage of the dominant tree *Metrosideros polymorpha*, in the subcanopy tree fern *Cibotium glaucum*, and in surface and subsurface soils across the developmental sequence. In plants, we found the expected pattern of strongly depleted ¹⁵N (to levels comparable to those in many temperate forests) in the youngest sites. Foliar δ^{15} N was enriched by 8–10‰ by the 20,000 year-old site, where foliar N concentrations and several other measures of N transformation and loss peaked. Thereafter, δ^{15} N became 1–3‰ more depleted, never approaching the very low levels observed in the youngest sites (Figure 4). δ^{15} N values in soils yielded a similar pattern, although variation in soils across the sequence was less than that in plants. All soils were enriched relative to plants, and enriched at depth relative to the surface (Figure 4).



Figure 4. $\delta^{15}N$ (%) variation for surface (open squares) and deeper (filled squares) soil samples, and for leaves of *Metrosideros polymorpha* (open circles) and *Cibotium glaucum* (filled circles) along a gradient of substrate age in Hawaii.

Overall, the observed pattern is consistent with the hypothesis that N losses by fractionating pathways cause plant and soil δ^{15} N to become relatively enriched in sites where N supply is less- or nonlimiting to biological processes. In contrast, plant and soil ¹⁵N is relatively depleted in N-limited areas.

¹⁵N enrichment with soil depth

A common feature in soil profiles is ¹⁵N enrichment with soil depth. In order to compare different soil profiles, we defined the value Δ^{15} N as the difference between the δ^{15} N values of a soil depth and the δ^{15} N values of the soil surface. Table 4 summarizes the largest Δ^{15} N values found for soil profiles in tropical and temperate regions. In the tropical region, with the notable exception of the Manaus site, the Δ^{15} N values varied from 1.1 to 4.2‰, averaging 2.2±1.0‰ (n = 9). When the Δ^{15} N value of the Manaus site is included (14%), the average enrichment increases to $3.3\pm3.9\%$ (n = 10). In the temperate sites, Δ^{15} N values varied from 2.7 to 9.1‰ with an average of $6.4\pm2.4\%$ (n = 7). These differ significantly at the 0.2‰ level, if the Manaus site is excluded. With the Manaus value included, the Δ^{15} N is still marginally higher in the temperate zone (p = 0.06). The causes for ¹⁵N enrichment with depth are relatively well known (Wada et al. 1984; Nadelhoffer & Fry 1988; Piccolo et al. 1994; Piccolo et al. 1996). More intriguing is the different behavior for soil profiles in the tropics versus temperate zones. Even among tropical soils,

Region	Site	Depth of largest Δ^{15} N (cm)	Maximum depth (cm)	$\Delta^{15} N^*$ (‰)
Tropical	Agua Parada	40-50	150	3.4
	Piqui	20-30	130	1.5
	Manaus	140	140	14.0
	Porto Velho	20-30	30	1.1
	Jamari	20–30	30	1.8
	Cacaulandia	20-30	30	1.2
	Vilhena-1	20-30	30	2.5
	Vilhena-2	20-30	30	4.2
	Nova Vida	20-30	130	2.4
	Benjamin	30-40	100	1.6
Temperate	Presque Isle	11–12	100	3.6
	Saint-Hubert	43–70	100	6.6
	Willerzie	51-80	110	8.6
	Chablais	14–35	80	9.1
	Bavaria	Horizon A (5-15 cm)	Horizon Oh	7.5
	Northern Sweden	Horizon A (0-5 cm)	Horizon A	7.0
	Madison	10–20	20	2.7

Table 4. Isotopic enrichment with soil depth $(\Delta^{15}N)$ for tropical and temperate regions. Depth of largest $\Delta^{15}N$ is the soil depth where the maximum isotopic enrichment occurred and Maximum depth is the deepest soil layer sampled.

 $^*\Delta^{15}{\rm N}$ is defined as $\delta^{15}{\rm N}$ of depth $i-\delta^{15}{\rm N}$ of surface .

the reason for the large Δ^{15} N value found in the Manaus profile is unclear; Piccolo et al. (1996) suggested that the very short dry season in the Manaus region makes losses of N higher in relation to other sites in the Amazon, where the dry season is more prolonged. However, the same explanation is unlikely when comparing tropical and temperate soils, since losses of nitrogen appear to be higher in tropical sites (Matson & Vitousek 1990; Keller et al. 1993; Neill et al. 1995). An alternative explanation could be based upon the effects of a higher cation exchange capacity and longer residence time of nitrogen in temperate soils. Higher exchange cation capacity would enhance the capability of the exchange complex to discriminate against ¹⁴N, which would be preferentially lost from the profile, though the few measurements that exist suggest that ion exchange isotope effects may be minimal (B. Fry, pers. comm.). Finally, the observed differences between temperate and tropical soil profiles may be partly a function of soil age.

Conclusions

The δ^{15} N values of plants and soils are readily measured, but not always readily interpreted. We hypothesized that if N functions as an excess nutrient in many lowland tropical forests, then losses of N by fractionating pathways would cause δ^{15} N to become enriched in those forests. The results of our survey confirm this prediction: tropical forests in general are ¹⁵N-enriched compared to temperate forests, and tropical forests in which N is abundant are ¹⁵N-enriched compared to tropical forests in which N appears to be limiting. These ¹⁵N results do not establish unequivocally that N is in excess in tropical forests; mechanisms other than N loss by fractionating pathways could cause part of the observed signal. For example, if N fixation is relatively more important than atmospheric deposition in tropical forests, that could account for part – although not all – of the observed difference. However, the pattern of ¹⁵N enrichment in tropical forests does provide an additional, time integrated line of evidence supporting the conclusion that many tropical forests are N-rich and have open N cycles in comparison to most temperate forests.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Michael McClain for providing leaf samples from the Campina site, and Brian Fry for a critical review that improved an earlier draft of this manuscript.

References

- Aber JD, Magill A, McNulty SG, Boone RD, Nadelhoffer RJ, Downs M & Hallett R (1995) Forest biogeochemistry and primary production altered by nitrogen saturation. Water Air and Soil Pollut. 85: 1665–1670
- Almeida S (1995) Dinâmica de nutrientes e variação natural de ¹³C e ¹⁵N de uma floresta tropical Húmida de terra-firme, Estação Ecológica de Samuel, RO (BR). PhD Thesis. University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil
- Berendse F, Aerts R & Bobbink R (1993) Atmospheric nitrogen deposition and its impact on terrestrial ecosystems. In: Vos CC & Opdam P (Ed.) Landscape Ecology of a Stressed Environment (pp 104–121). Chapman & Hall, London, England
- Binkley D, Sollins P, Bell R, Sachs D & Myrold D (1992) Biogeochemistry of adjacent alder and alder-conifer stands. Ecology 73: 2022–2033
- Crews TE, Kitayama K, Fownes J, Herbert D, Mueller-Dombois D, Riley RH & Vitousek PM (1995) Changes in soil phosphorus and ecosystem dynamics across a long soil chronosequence in Hawaii. Ecology 76: 1407–1424

- Cuevas E & Medina E (1988) Nutrient dynamics within Amazonian forests. II fine root growth, nutrient availability and leaf litter decomposition. Oecologia 76: 222–235
- Domenach AM, Kurdali F & Bardin R (1989) Estimation of symbiotic dinitrogen fixation in alder forest by the method based on natural ¹⁵N abundance. Plant Soil 118: 51–59
- Fry B (1991) Stable isotope diagrams of freshwater food webs. Ecology 72: 2293-2297
- Furch K & Klinge H (1989) Chemical relationships between vegetation, soil and water in contrasting inundation areas of Amazonia. In: Proctor J (Ed.) Mineral Nutrients in Tropical Forest and Savanna Ecosystems (pp 189–204). Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford
- Garten Jr CT (1993) Variation in foliar ¹⁵N abundance and the availability of soil nitrogen on Walker Branch watershed. Ecology 74: 2098–2113
- Garten Jr CT & Miegroet HV (1994) Relationships between soil nitrogen dynamics and natural ¹⁵N abundance in plant foliage from Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Can. J. Forest. Res. 24: 1636–1645
- Gebauer G & Schulze ED (1991) Carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios in different compartments of a healthy and a declining Picea abies forest in the Fitchtelgebirge, NE Bavaria. Oecologia 87: 198–207
- Hedin LO, Armesto JJ & Johnson AH (1995) Patterns of nutrient loss from unpolluted, oldgrowth temperate forests: Evaluation of biogeochemical theory. Ecology 76: 493–509
- Herbert DA & Fownes JH (1995) Phosphorus limitation of forest leaf area and net primary productivity on highly weathered tropical montane soils in Hawaii. Biogeochem. 29: 223– 235
- Hill PW, Handley LL & Raven JA (1996) Juniperus communis L.ssp. at Balnaguard, Scotland: foliar carbon discrimination (¹³C) and ¹⁵N natural abundance (¹⁵N) suggest gender-linked differences in water and N use. Bot. J. Scotl. 48(2): 209–224
- Hogberg P & Alexander IJ (1995) Roles of root symbioses in African woodland and forest: Evidence from ¹⁵N abundance and foliar analysis. J. Ecology 83: 217–224
- Hogberg P, Hogbom L, Schinkel H, Hogberg M, Johannisson C & Wallmark H (1996) ¹⁵N abundance of surface soils, roots and mycorrhizas in profiles of European forest soils. Oecologia 108: 207–214
- Hogberg P (1997) ¹⁵N natural abundance in soil-plant systems. New Phytologist 137: 179–203
- Keller M, Kaplan WA & Wofsy SC (1986) Emissions of N₂O, CH₄, and CO₂ from tropical soils. J. Geophys. Res. 91: 11791–11802
- Keller M, Veldkamp E, Weitz AM & Reiners WA (1993) Effect of pasture age on soil trace-gas emissions from a deforested area of Costa Rica. Nature 365: 244–246
- Klinge H, Furch K & Harms E (1984) Selected bioelements in bark and wood of native tree species from Central-Amazonian inundation forests. Amazoniana 9(1): 105–117
- Lewis WM (1986) Nitrogen and phosphorus runoff losses from a nutrient-poor tropical moist forest. Ecology 67: 1275–1282
- Mariotti A, Pierre D, Vedy JC, Bruckert S & Guillemot J (1980) The abundance of natural Nitrogen 15 in the organic matter of soils along an altitudinal gradient (Chablais, Haute Savoie, France). Catena 7: 293–300
- Martinelli LA, Victoria RL, Trivelin PCO, Devol AH & Richey JE (1992) ¹⁵N natural abundance in plants of the Amazon river floodplain and potential atmospheric N₂ fixation. Oecologia 90: 591–596
- Matson PA, Vitousek PM, Ewel JJ, Mazzarino MJ & Robertson GP (1987) Nitrogen transformations following tropical forest felling and burning on a volcanic soil. Ecology 68: 491–502
- Matson PA & Vitousek PM (1987) Cross-system comparison of soil nitrogen transformations and nitrous oxide fluxes in tropical forests. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 1: 163–170

- Matson PA & Vitousek PM (1990) Ecosystem approach to a global nitrous oxide budget. BioSci. 40: 667–672
- Matson PA, McDowell WH, Townsend AR & Vitousek PM (1999) The globalization of N deposition: Ecosystem consequences in tropical environments.
- Michelsen A, Schmidt IK, Jonasson S, Quarmby C & Sleep D (1996) Leaf ¹⁵N abundance of subarctic plants provides field evidence that ericoid, ectomycorrhizal and non- and arbuscular mycorrhizal species access different sources of soil nitrogen. Oecologia 105: 53–63
- Nadelhoffer KJ & Fry B (1988) Controls on natural Nitrogen-15 and Carbon-13 abundances in forest soil organic matter. Soil Sci. Soc. of Am. J. 52: 1633–1640
- Nadelhoffer KJ, Downs MR, Fry B, Aber JD, Magill AH & Melillo JM (1995) The fate of ¹⁵Nlabelled nitrate additions to a northern hardwood forest in eastern Maine (USA). Oecologia 103: 292–301
- Nasholm T, Nordin A, Edfast AB & Hogberg P (1997) Identification of coniferous forests with incipient nitrogen saturation through analysis of arginine and nitrogen-15 abundance of trees. J. Environ. Qual. 26: 302–309
- Neill C, Piccolo MC, Steudler PA, Melillo JM, Feigl BJ & Cerri CC (1995) Nitrogen dynamics in soils of forests and active pastures in the western Brazilian Amazon Basin. Soil Biol. Biochem. 27: 1167–1175
- Piccolo MC, Neill C & Cerri CC (1994) ¹⁵N natural abundance in soils along forest-to-pasture chronosequences in the western Brazilian Amazon Basin. Oecologia 99: 112–117
- Piccolo MC, Neil C, Mellilo JM, Cerri CC & Steudler PA (1996) ¹⁵N natural abundance in forest and pasture soils of the Brazilian Amazon Basin. Plant Soil 182: 249–258
- Proctor J, Anderson JM & Vallack HW (1983) Comparative studies on soils and litterfall in forests at a range of altitudes on Gunung Mulu, Sarawak. Malaysian Forester 46: 60–76
- Riga A, Van Praag HJ & Brigodi N (1971) Rapport isotopique natural de l'azote dans quelques sols forestriers et agricoles de Belgique soumis'a difeves traitments culturaux. Geoderma 6: 213–222
- Riley RH & Vitousek PM (1995) Nutrient dynamics and trace gas flux during ecosystem development in Hawaiian montane rainforest. Ecology 76: 292–304
- Salati E, Sylvester-Bradley R & Victoria RL (1982) Regional gains and losses of nitrogen in the Amazon Basin. Plant Soil 67: 367–376
- Senna HBC (1996) Avaliação dos teores de nitrogênio, fósforo, potássio, cálcio, magnésio e variação natural do ¹³C em florestas de inundação na Amazônia Central. PhD Thesis. University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil
- Schulze ED, Chapin III FS & Gebauer G (1994) Nitrogen nutrition and isotope differences among life forms at the northern treeline of Alaska. Oecologia 100: 406–412
- Shearer G, Kohl DH, & Chien SH (1978) The Nitrogen-15 abundance in a wide variety of soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42: 899–902
- Shearer G, Duffy J, Kohl DH & Commoner BA (1974) Steady-state model of isotopic fractionation accompanying nitrogen transformations in soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 38: 315–322
- Sprent JI, Geoghegan IE, Whitty PW & James EK (1996) Natural abundance of ¹⁵N and ¹³C in nodulated legumes and other plants in the cerrado and neighbouring regions of Brazil. Oecologia 105: 440–446
- Tanner EVJ, Vitousek PM & Cuevas E (1998) Experimental investigation of the role of nutrient supplies in the limitation of forest growth and stature on wet tropical mountains. Ecology 79: 10–22

- Virginia RA & Delwiche CC (1982) Natural 15N abundance of presumed N₂-fixing and non-N₂-fixing plants from selected ecosystems. Oecologia 54: 317–325
- Vitousek PM (1984) Litterfall, nutrient cycling, and nutrient limitation in tropical forests. Ecology 65: 285–298
- Vitousek PM & Sanford RL (1986) Nutrient Cycling in Moist Tropical Forest. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 17: 137–167
- Vitousek PM & Denslow JS (1986) Nitrogen and phosphorus availability in treefall gaps in a lowland tropical rainforest. J. Ecology 74: 1167–1178
- Vitousek P, Shearer G & Kohl DH (1989) Foliar ¹⁵N natural abundance in Hawaiian rainforest: Patterns and possible mechanisms. Oecologia 78: 383–388
- Vitousek PM, Walker LR, Whiteaker LD & Matson PA (1993) Nutrient limitation to plant growth during primary succession in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park. Biogeochem. 23: 197–215
- Vitousek PM, Turner DR & Kitayama K (1995) Foliar nutrients during long-term soil development in Hawaiian montane rain forest. Ecology 76: 712–720
- Vitousek PM, Chadwick OA, Crews T, Fownes J, Hendricks D & Herbert D (1997) Soil and ecosystem development across the Hawaiian Islands. GSA Today 7: 1–8
- Vitousek PM & Farrington H (1997) Nutrient limitation and soil development: Experimental test of a biogeochemical theory. Biogeochem. 37: 63–75
- Vogt KA, Grier CC & Vogt DJ (1986) Production, turnover, and nutrient dynamics of above and below-ground detritus of world forests. Advances in Ecological Research 15: 303–377
- Yoneyama T, Murakami T, Boonkerd N, Wadisirisuk P, Siripin S & Kouno K. (1990) Natural ¹⁵N abundance in shrub and tree legumes, *Casuarina*, and non N₂ fixing plants in Thiland. Plant Soil 128: 287–292
- Yoneyama T, Muraoka T, Murakami T, & Boonkerd N (1993) Natural abundance of ¹⁵N in tropical plants with emphasis on tree legumes. Plant Soil 153: 295–304
- Wada E, Imaizumi R & Takai Y (1984) Natural abundance of ¹⁵N in soil organic matter with special reference to paddy soils in Japan: Biogeochemical implications on the nitrogen cycle. Geochem. J. 18: 109–123