Chemosis from Trauma
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PATIENT PRESENTATION
A 51-year-old male with a history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and obstructive sleep apnea presents to the emergency department complaining of 48 hours of progressive right eye pain and swelling after he ran into his dresser while sleep-walking. He does not know which surface of the dresser contacted his eye. He denies changes in visual acuity, flashes, or floaters. He has no other complaints and denies recent illness, fever, chills, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, chest pain, or shortness of breath. Physical exam reveals inability of the patient to close his eyelids on the right. His cranial nerves are intact. His vision exam is unremarkable.

DISCUSSION
The patient has impressive conjunctival chemosis, which is edema of the conjunctiva (Figure). This constitutes one half of the reactions that make-up conjunctivitis. It is an inflammatory reaction mediated by the release of histamine, serotonin, and bradykinin. Polymorphonuclear cells also migrate into the reaction site.¹

Chemosis is nonspecific finding and is secondary to direct conjunctival endothelial insult. It can result from allergic reaction, bacterial or viral infection, angioedema, or trauma.² When it is disproportionate to other signs of injury after a traumatic mechanism it can indicate scleral rupture, an ophthalmologic emergency. Chemosis is usually generalized; however, in the setting of scleral rupture it may be confined to only one or two quadrants.³ If there is chronic chemosis following a traumatic mechanism the physician must include lymphatic obstruction most likely secondary to obstructing mass on the differential.⁴

Globe rupture was not detected in this patient. He could not close his right eyelid, which can lead to ocular damage. A tarsorrhaphy (partially sewing together the eyelids) was performed to protect the eye. At follow-up the patient’s sutures were removed and he was given a pressure patch. By one month this had resolved.
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