Hypertension-Related Alterations in White Matter Microstructure Detectable in Middle Age


Abstract—Most studies examining associations between hypertension and brain white matter microstructure have focused on older adults or on cohorts with a large age range. Because hypertension effects on the brain may vary with age, it is important to focus on middle age, when hypertension becomes more prevalent. We used linear mixed-effect models to examine differences in white matter diffusion metrics as a function of hypertension in a well-characterized cohort of middle-aged men (n=316; mean, 61.8 years; range, 56.7–65.6). Diffusion metrics were examined in 9 tracts reported to be sensitive to hypertension in older adults. Relative to normotensive individuals, individuals with long-standing hypertension (>5.6 years) showed reduced fractional anisotropy or increased diffusivity in most tracts. Effects were stronger among carriers than among noncarriers of the apolipoprotein E ε4 allele for 2 tracts connecting frontal regions with other brain areas. Significant differences were observed even after adjustment for potentially related lifestyle and cardiovascular risk factors. Shorter duration of hypertension or better blood pressure control among hypertensive individuals did not lessen the adverse effects. These findings suggest that microstructural white matter alterations appear early in the course of hypertension and may persist despite adequate treatment. Although longitudinal studies are needed to confirm these findings, the results suggest that prevention—rather than management—of hypertension may be vital to preserving brain health in aging. (Hypertension. 2015;66:317-323. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.115.05336) • Online Data Supplement
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Hypertension is a well-known risk factor for cerebrovascular disease, stroke, and vascular dementia. It has been associated with silent brain injury, including gray matter atrophy, silent infarcts, microbleeds, and white matter damage. Individuals with an apoE-ε4 allele may be particularly susceptible to adverse effects of hypertension. Middle age is an important period for emergence of hypertension; yet, few studies have focused solely on this age range when examining hypertension effects on cerebral white matter microstructure. This is an important limitation because hypertension may have different effects in younger than older adults. In addition, because hypertension is associated with increased mortality, hypertension effects may be underestimated in studies of older adults because of survival bias, or results may be confounded by latent neurodegenerative pathology.

Diffusion-weighted neuroimaging enables quantification of the degree and direction of water molecule motion within tissue. It is a sensitive method for detecting differences in white matter microstructure as a function of injury or illness and has been used to investigate effects of hypertension in older adults. For example, in a large study (n=4532) of adults aged 46 to 100 years (mean, 63.8), severe, but not moderate, hypertension was associated with reduced fractional anisotropy (FA) or increased mean diffusivity (MD) in numerous white matter tracts. No significant main effects of apoE-ε4 were observed, but this study did not examine whether hypertension effects differed by apoE-ε4 status. Although several studies have looked at main effects of the ε4 allele on diffusion metrics, with mixed results, none have examined whether hypertension effects differ by apoE-ε4 status.

In one of the few studies focused on younger adults (aged, 19–63 years; mean, 39.2 years) increased systolic blood pressure (SBP) was associated with altered diffusion in several tracts, even though BP were mainly at prehypertensive or mild hypertensive levels. This suggests that white matter microstructure may already be affected early in the course of the disease. If white matter changes are an early occurrence in hypertension, it might be expected that severity of effects would be...
larger in those with longer duration hypertension. This has not been found consistently for macroscopic white matter lesions, however, and effects of duration of hypertension on white matter microstructure have not been assessed in middle-aged adults. Similarly, few studies have looked at whether adequate BP control may mitigate effects of hypertension on white matter microstructure.

To address these gaps in the literature, we examined participants of the Vietnam Era Twin Study of Aging (VETSA), a longitudinal study of cognitive and brain aging beginning in midlife. We hypothesized that, in middle-aged adults, long-duration hypertension is associated with altered white matter microstructure relative to normotensive individuals, with greater adverse effects in ε4 carriers than in noncarriers. In secondary analyses, we explored whether hypertension of more recent onset is also associated with significant white matter alterations and whether white matter microstructure differs between hypertensive individuals with controlled and uncontrolled BP.

Methods

Participants
Participants in the parent VETSA cohort were recruited from the Vietnam Era Twin Registry, a nationally distributed sample of male–male twin pairs who served in the United States military at some point between 1965 and 1975. Participants are similar in health and lifestyle characteristics to American men in their age range. Although all VETSA participants are veterans, most (80%) did not experience combat situations.

Of the 409 VETSA participants who underwent clinical assessment at wave 1 and brain magnetic resonance imaging 5.6 years later, during wave 2, 9 individuals were excluded because of missing apoE data, 63 because of missing or poor quality magnetic resonance imaging data, and 21 because of the use of antihypertensive medications for reasons other than BP control. The final sample comprised 316 participants aged 61.8 (±2.58; range, 56.7–65.6) years at wave 2. Participants were predominantly white (88.9%), with an average education of 13.9 (SD, 2.0) years.

The study was conducted under local institutional review board supervision at the participating institutions, and all participants provided signed informed consent.

Hypertensive Status
At both waves, SBP and diastolic BP were measured as the average of 2 AM and 2 PM seated BP readings by trained observers with an electronic sphygmomanometer (Omron Model HEM-757/Wave 1; Lifesource Model UA-789 AC/Wave 2). Participants rested for 5 minutes before the first reading in each set, wearing a BP cuff on their right arm with their arm resting on a table. Participants rested for 1 minute between the paired readings.

Participants were classified as having hypertension based on SBP ≥140 mm Hg, diastolic BP ≥90 mm Hg, or self-report of a physician diagnosis. Normotensive individuals did not meet hypertension criteria at either wave 1 or wave 2 (n=101; 32.0%). Participants who met hypertensive criteria at wave 1 were classified as having longer duration hypertension (n=173; 54.7%), those who met hypertension criteria at wave 2 but not at wave 1 were classified as having shorter duration hypertension (n=42; 13.3%).

To examine differences related to BP control, individuals who met hypertensive criteria at wave 2 were categorized into controlled (SBP<140 mm Hg and diastolic BP <90 mm Hg at wave 2; n=142), and uncontrolled (n=73) hypertension groups.

Clinical and Lifestyle Covariates
During wave 2, height and weight were measured, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated. Diabetes mellitus status was ascertained from self-report of a doctor’s diagnosis or reported use of a diabetes mellitus–related medication. Following laboratory protocols, fasting morning blood samples were centrifuged after allowing 30 to 45 minutes for the sample to clot. Low- and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglycerides were assayed as a part of a lipid panel via spectrophotometry. C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were assessed with nephelometry. Assays were conducted by Quest Diagnostics Inc/Nichols Institute, San Juan Capistrano, California. CRP values ≥10 were assumed to reflect acute infection and these cases (n=8) were excluded from analyses that included CRP. CRP, cholesterol, and triglyceride levels were log-transformed before analyses.

Smoking, alcohol, and medication use were assessed at wave 2 as part of a structured medical history interview. Individuals were categorized into nonsmokers, former smokers, or current smokers, and into nondrinkers, moderate drinkers (≤2 drinks/d), or heavy drinkers (>2 drinks/d) based on reported use during the previous 2 weeks.

apoE Genotype
Methods for apoE genotyping were previously described. Participants were separated into apoE-ε4 carriers if they had at least 1 copy of the ε4 allele (25.3%) or noncarriers (74.7%).

Image Acquisition and Processing
T1-weighted and diffusion-weighted images were obtained using standardized protocols on 3T scanners at 2 sites. Image acquisition and processing methods are detailed in the online-only Data Supplement.

Statistical Analysis
Diffusion metrics, including FA, a scalar value of the degree of anisotropic/directional diffusion within the voxel; MD, the average diffusion in all directions; longitudinal diffusivity, the average diffusion along the primary axis of diffusion; and transverse diffusivity (TD), the average diffusion along the 2 nonprimary axes, were derived from each fiber tract region of interest using a probabilistic diffusion tensor atlas of fiber tract locations and orientations (AtlasTrack21). We averaged diffusion metrics from homologous tracts in left and right hemispheres and examined 9 major tracts previously shown to be affected by hypertension: the uncinate fasciculus (UF), inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF), inferior and superior lateral fasciculi (ILF), anterior thalamic radiations, cingulum portion of the cingulate bundle (CgC), the corticospinal tract, and forceps minor and forceps major (the anterior and posterior portions of the corpus callosum). Locations of these tracts are shown in Figure S1 in the online-only Data Supplement.

Results
Table 1 shows the demographic and clinical characteristics of normotensive individuals and those with longer duration hypertension, by apoE-ε4 status. Normotensives had lower...
BMI, lower CRP levels, were less likely to have diabetes mellitus, less likely to take statin medication, and had higher low-density lipoprotein levels than those with hypertension. There were no significant effects of apoE or interactions between hypertension and apoE on any clinical or demographic variable.

Table 2 shows the main effects of hypertension and the interaction of hypertension with apoE for the 4 diffusion metrics within the 9 tracts. In base models, hypertension was associated with significantly lower FA or increased diffusivity in all tracts except the CgC and forceps major. MD and TD were more often affected than longitudinal diffusivity. Significant interactions between apoE-ε4 and hypertension were found for the UF, IFOF, and ILF; hypertension was associated with significantly lower FA or higher MD or TD among ε4 carriers only.

With adjustment for potentially confounding covariates, the apoE–hypertension interaction remained significant for FA, MD, TD in the UF and TD in the IFOF (Figure 1). Main effects of hypertension on diffusion metrics in the UF, IFOF, ILF, superior lateral fasciculi, anterior thalamic radiation, and forceps minor remained significant with adjustment for covariates (Table 2).

### Hypertension Duration

Characteristics of normotensive individuals and those with shorter or longer duration hypertension are shown in Table S1. There were no significant differences between the 2 hypertensive groups on any demographic or clinical measure. Mixed-effects models showed significant effects of hypertension on diffusion metrics in the UF, IFOF, ILF, superior lateral fasciculi, anterior thalamic radiation, and forceps minor remained significant with adjustment for covariates (Table 2).

### Discussion

We examined the association of hypertension with tract-specific diffusion metrics in a relatively large cohort of participants. The hypertensive groups differed from the normotensive group, but there were no significant differences between the 2 hypertensive groups on any measure (Figure 2; Figure S2). Controlling for additional covariates did not materially affect the findings.

#### Controlled Versus Uncontrolled Hypertension

Characteristics of hypertensive individuals who achieved good BP control and those who did not are shown in Table S3. The 2 treatment groups did not differ in age, proportion of apoE-ε4 carriers, high-density lipoprotein, triglyceride levels, or smoking. The controlled hypertension group had a higher proportion of individuals with diabetes mellitus (22.5% versus 9.6%), a higher proportion of individuals on statin medication, and lower low-density lipoprotein levels than the uncontrolled hypertension group. The uncontrolled hypertension group had higher BMI, SBP, and diastolic BP and consumed more alcohol than the controlled hypertension group. Less than half of those in the uncontrolled hypertensive group were taking antihypertensive medications (47.9%).

Mixed-effects models showed significant effects of hypertension on diffusion metrics in the UF, ILF, superior lateral fasciculi, and anterior thalamic radiation (Table S4). Pairwise comparisons revealed significant differences between normotensives and those with hypertension, but no significant differences on any measure between the controlled and uncontrolled hypertension groups were observed (Figure 2; Figure S3). Adjustment for additional covariates did not materially affect the findings.

### Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Participants at Wave 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Normotensive apoE ε4− (n=74)</th>
<th>Normotensive apoE ε4+ (n=27)</th>
<th>Longer Duration Hypertension apoE ε4− (n=134)</th>
<th>Longer Duration Hypertension apoE ε4+ (n=39)</th>
<th>Hypertension Main Effect P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>62.0 (2.6)</td>
<td>61.3 (2.7)</td>
<td>62.0 (2.5)</td>
<td>61.7 (2.6)</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td>14.3 (2.2)</td>
<td>14.2 (2.5)</td>
<td>13.8 (1.7)</td>
<td>13.5 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>27.5 (4.5)</td>
<td>26.6 (4.4)</td>
<td>29.6 (4.0)</td>
<td>29.2 (3.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>118.5 (9.2)</td>
<td>121.2 (9.0)</td>
<td>133.0 (18.0)</td>
<td>132.8 (16.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP</td>
<td>73.9 (6.6)</td>
<td>75.7 (7.2)</td>
<td>81.6 (9.8)</td>
<td>78.6 (9.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log triglycerides*</td>
<td>4.63 (0.58)</td>
<td>4.71 (0.59)</td>
<td>4.77 (0.51)</td>
<td>4.77 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log HDL*</td>
<td>3.93 (0.27)</td>
<td>3.89 (0.25)</td>
<td>3.86 (0.30)</td>
<td>3.83 (0.33)</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log LDL*</td>
<td>4.72 (0.35)</td>
<td>4.81 (0.32)</td>
<td>4.60 (0.30)</td>
<td>4.57 (0.38)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log CRP*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.96)</td>
<td>−0.10 (1.04)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.93)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.91)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN Meds, %</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins, %</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, %</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (% former/current)</td>
<td>35.1/25.7</td>
<td>29.6/11.1</td>
<td>36.6/17.9</td>
<td>41.0/19.3</td>
<td>0.520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (% moderate/heavy)</td>
<td>56.8/5.4</td>
<td>44.4/11.1</td>
<td>53.4/15.8</td>
<td>33.3/25.6</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD) unless otherwise specified. BMI indicates body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CRP, C-reactive protein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HTN Meds, antihypertensive medication; and LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

* Lipid and CRP data were missing for a small number of participants. n’s for LDL and triglycerides were 70, 24, 122, and 38. n’s for HDL were 70, 25, 123, and 38. n’s for CRP were 70, 26, 123, and 37.
middle-aged men. Several important findings emerged: (1) consistent with previous studies involving older adults or comprising a wide age range, hypertension was associated with altered diffusion properties in several tracts. Alterations included lower FA and higher MD or TD, with few effects on longitudinal diffusivity. (2) The apoE-ε4 allele was associated with increased susceptibility to the effects of hypertension in the UF and IFOF. (3) Longer duration of hypertension was not associated with greater white matter microstructural differences than hypertension of more recent onset. (4) White matter microstructural alterations were observed in both controlled and uncontrolled hypertension groups. These findings suggest that hypertension-related alterations in white matter microstructure occur early in the course of the disease and may persist despite adequate BP control.

Of the 9 tracts examined, all but the forceps major and CgC showed associations with hypertension. Some studies have suggested that anterior white matter may be more susceptible to hypertension than posterior white matter. Our finding of significant effects in anterior but not in posterior corpus callosum is consistent with this, but we also observed significant effects in more centrally or posteriorly situated tracts, as have others. This suggests that hypertension has widespread effects on brain white matter. Previous findings

Table 2. F and P Values of the Main Effect of Longer Duration Hypertension and the Hypertension by apoE ε4 Interaction, for Each Diffusion Metric for Each Tract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber Tract</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>MD</th>
<th>TD</th>
<th>LD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UF</td>
<td>HTN main effect</td>
<td>8.81; 0.004*</td>
<td>18.16; &lt;0.001*</td>
<td>15.98; 0.002*</td>
<td>10.11; 0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apoE ε4×HTN</td>
<td>4.54; 0.037</td>
<td>5.27; 0.025*</td>
<td>5.43; 0.023*</td>
<td>1.42; 0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFOF</td>
<td>HTN main effect</td>
<td>5.13; 0.027</td>
<td>7.35; 0.008*</td>
<td>7.57; 0.008*</td>
<td>4.35; 0.040*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apoE ε4×HTN</td>
<td>2.53; 0.116</td>
<td>4.38; 0.040</td>
<td>4.71; 0.033*</td>
<td>2.27; 0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILF</td>
<td>HTN main effect</td>
<td>4.57; 0.034</td>
<td>7.23; 0.009*</td>
<td>8.59; 0.005*</td>
<td>3.40; 0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apoE ε4×HTN</td>
<td>2.58; 0.112</td>
<td>3.28; 0.074</td>
<td>4.43; 0.039</td>
<td>1.12; 0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLF</td>
<td>HTN main effect</td>
<td>10. 70; 0.002*</td>
<td>11.97; &lt;0.001*</td>
<td>13.44; &lt;0.001*</td>
<td>3.93; 0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apoE ε4×HTN</td>
<td>1.81; 0.182</td>
<td>1.16; 0.286</td>
<td>1.61; 0.208</td>
<td>0.11; 0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CgC</td>
<td>HTN main effect</td>
<td>3.82; 0.055</td>
<td>1.77; 0.188</td>
<td>0.81; 0.372</td>
<td>0.10; 0.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apoE ε4×HTN</td>
<td>0.63; 0.432</td>
<td>0.01; 0.938</td>
<td>0.15; 0.699</td>
<td>0.44; 0.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR</td>
<td>HTN main effect</td>
<td>11.00; 0.001*</td>
<td>8.48; 0.005*</td>
<td>10.08; 0.002*</td>
<td>4.21; 0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apoE ε4×HTN</td>
<td>2.04; 0.158</td>
<td>0.81; 0.370</td>
<td>1.12; 0.293</td>
<td>0.37; 0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fmaj</td>
<td>HTN main effect</td>
<td>4.78; 0.032</td>
<td>4.83; 0.031</td>
<td>5.03; 0.028*</td>
<td>2.24; 0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apoE ε4×HTN</td>
<td>1.04; 0.310</td>
<td>2.58; 0.112</td>
<td>1.95; 0.167</td>
<td>2.62; 0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fmin</td>
<td>HTN main effect</td>
<td>0.74; 0.393</td>
<td>1.96; 0.166</td>
<td>1.35; 0.249</td>
<td>2.56; 0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apoE ε4×HTN</td>
<td>0.00; 0.950</td>
<td>0.92; 0.340</td>
<td>0.32; 0.575</td>
<td>2.95; 0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST</td>
<td>HTN main effect</td>
<td>4.77; 0.032</td>
<td>3.45; 0.067</td>
<td>5.19; 0.026</td>
<td>0.11; 0.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>apoE ε4×HTN</td>
<td>3.69; 0.059</td>
<td>3.92; 0.052</td>
<td>4.84; 0.031</td>
<td>0.83; 0.364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are from base models, which corrected for age, scanner site, and nonindependence of twin data. ATR indicates anterior thalamic radiations; CgC, cingulum portion of the cingulate bundle; CST, corticospinal tract; Fmaj, forceps major; Fmin, forceps minor; FA, fractional anisotropy; HTN, hypertension; IFOF, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; ILF, the inferior lateral fasciculi; LD, longitudinal diffusivity; MD, mean diffusivity; SLF, the superior lateral fasciculi; TD, transverse diffusivity; and UF, uncinate fasciculi.

*Values remained significant after separate adjustment for education level, body mass index, diabetes mellitus, low-density lipoprotein, C-reactive protein, statin use, and alcohol use.

Figure 1. Interactions between apoE-ε4 status and longer duration hypertension for transverse diffusivity (TD) in the uncinate fasciculus (UF; A) and inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF; B). Means are adjusted for age and scanner site. *P<0.05 for interaction with adjustment for potential confounders.
that alterations in white matter diffusion metrics are associated with decreased cognitive performance suggest that these changes are not benign.7,23,24

Negative effects of hypertension were greater in apoE-ε4 carriers than in noncarriers in the UF, which connects orbitofrontal regions to anterior temporal and limbic regions, and the IFOF, which connects frontal regions to occipital regions. This is consistent with previous findings in healthy adults of greater susceptibility of frontal regions than other brain regions to apoE-ε4.20 It is also consistent with previous findings that apoE-ε4 confers greater vulnerability to effects of hypertension on cognition3,25 and white matter lesions.2

Hypertension was associated with decreased FA and increased MD, with TD more often affected than longitudinal diffusivity. Animal studies suggest that such a pattern is consistent with myelin damage.26 However, mechanisms by which hypertension affects brain white matter are varied and complex.1,27,28 Chronic hypertension is associated with vascular remodeling and reduced vascular reserve, which may lead to ischemia. Hypertension also interferes with perivascular lymphatic drainage and increases blood–brain permeability, resulting in fluid accumulation that may be toxic to cells.28 Both tissue damage and fluid accumulation will alter diffusion. Thus, the complexity of fiber projections in the human brain and the multitude of factors that can affect diffusion preclude inference of the neurobiological basis of the observed differences.29,30

Longer duration of hypertension was not associated with greater white matter differences. Few studies have looked at effects of hypertension duration on white matter microstructure. In a 10-year follow-up of much older adults (mean, 83 years at imaging) high and variable BP was associated with strongest detrimental effects on white matter measures.10 Macroscopic white matter lesions did not differ between individuals with recent onset hypertension and those with 3- or 6-year hypertension in a study of adults aged 55 to 72 years at entry.15 In a study of adults aged 60 to 90 (mean, 72) years at entry, 20-year, but not 5-year, duration of hypertension was associated with increased odds of white matter lesions, but only among individuals with onset of hypertension before middle age.2 With longer follow-up, effects of longer duration of hypertension may become detectable in our sample. In addition, our shorter duration hypertensive group was relatively small, perhaps precluding detection of subtle differences related to hypertension duration.

The lack of significant differences in diffusion properties between those with controlled and uncontrolled hypertension is consistent with the interpretation that microstructural damage to white matter tracts occurs relatively early in the course of the disease and suggests that this damage may not be easily reversible. A previous study (aged, 50–85 years; mean, 66 years) also found significantly lower FA in both adequately and inadequately treated hypertensive groups relative to normotensives.8

The current study has several limitations. The cohort is restricted to men, and largely white; thus, results may not generalize to women, other races, or ethnicities. Image analyses were cross-sectional; longitudinal imaging studies are needed to confirm the time course of microstructural changes in relation to the onset of hypertension and impact of treatment over time. Finally, the low number of ε4 carriers in shorter duration and uncontrolled hypertension subgroups limited our ability to determine whether hypertension duration or treatment interacts with this genetic risk factor.
Study strengths include the large number of men representative of the general population in health and lifestyle characteristics, and the narrow age range centered at middle age. This minimizes differential survival effects and potential confounding because of latent neurodegenerative pathology. We were also able to control for numerous cardiovascular and behavioral risk factors. That significant differences remained between hypertensive and normotensive individuals after covariate adjustment indicates that the effects on white matter were not because of differences in education, age, BMI, diabetes mellitus, inflammation, lipid levels, statin therapy, or alcohol use.

Perspectives

This study demonstrates that hypertension is associated with significant microstructural differences in cerebral white matter in middle-aged men, and that apoE-ε4 carriers may show greater vulnerability to hypertension than noncarriers. Hypertension-related differences seem to occur early in the course of hypertension and are apparent even in those with adequately controlled hypertension. This suggests that prevention, rather than management, of hypertension may be vital to preserving brain health in aging.
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**Novelty and Significance**

**What Is New?**

- In middle-aged men, hypertension, whether controlled or uncontrolled, was associated with reduction of white matter microstructural organization in many major white matter tracts.
- Similar effects were observed in individuals with recent onset (within 6 years) and those with longer duration hypertension.
- Individuals with a copy of the apoE-ε 4 allele, a genetic risk factor for Alzheimer disease, showed greater hypertension-related differences than those without the genetic risk factor.

**What Is Relevant?**

- Hypertension seems to have adverse effects on the brain early in the course of the disease; these effects may be difficult to reverse with blood pressure management.

**Summary**

Hypertension is associated with adverse effects on multiple major white matter tracts. Because these effects appear early in the course of the disease and are apparent even those who achieve good blood pressure control, prevention—rather than management—of hypertension may be critical for preserved brain health in aging.