## UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

## Title

Physical mapping of durum wheat lipoxygenase genes

Permalink<br>https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5qb0682n

Journal<br>Journal of Cereal Science, 50(1)

## ISSN

0733-5210

## Authors

Garbus, Ingrid
Carrera, Alicia D
Dubcovsky, Jorge
et al.

## Publication Date

2009-07-01
DOI
10.1016/j.jcs.2009.02.008

Peer reviewed

# Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Journal of Cereal Science 

## Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: JCS08-310R1

Title: Physical mapping of durum wheat lipoxygenase genes

Article Type: Standard Research Paper

Keywords: BAC library
durum wheat
lipoxygenase genes
fingerprinting

Corresponding Author: Dr Viviana Echenique,

Corresponding Author's Institution:

First Author: Ingrid Garbus, Dr

Order of Authors: Ingrid Garbus, Dr; Alicia D Carrera, Dr; Jorge Dubcovsky, Dr; Viviana Echenique


#### Abstract

A bright yellow color is an important quality criterion for pasta making. Yellow color depends on the amount of carotenoid pigments in grain, which is the result of the balance between pigment synthesis and degradation by lipoxygenases (LPX). The organization of genes coding for lipoxygenases in the tetraploid wheat genome is not completely understood. Here, we report the screening of a durum wheat BAC library with barley probes to characterize the physical distribution of Lpx genes. PCR characterization and BAC fingerprinting of the positive clones suggests that Lpx-B1.1 and Lpx-B3 are less than 103-kb apart, whereas Lpx-B1.2 is further apart from them. In the A genome a partially deleted copy of Lpx-1 (Lpx-A1_like) was found, colocalizing within a 42 kbp region with Lpx-A3, confirming that in both genomes these two genes are close to each other. The knowledge of the physical location of these two genes is important to understand the evolution of this family but also has practical implications since closely linked genes are difficult to separate


by recombination. This may limit the number of Lpx allele combinations that can be obtained and affect the selection of optimal Lpx allele combinations for pasta quality improvement.
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We also followed the Checklist required for revision of the manuscript.
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## Response to reviewers:

Reviewer \#1: no suggestions about the manuscript were made by Reviewer \#1.
Reviewer \#2: we copied each comment for in improving the manuscript performed by the reviewer \#2 (in italics), followed by its response.

1) Lanes 186-188: Authors say that no polymorphism could be deduced..... This sentence is superfluous because polymorphisms based on small indel can not be detected on a 1000bp long DNA fragment on 1\% agarose gel.

We agree with the reviewer in his appreciation about detecting indels from 1\% agarose gel. The sentence only intended to emphasize the concordance between the number and the size of the bands obtained from each genotype with these primers pairs in contrast to the profile obtained with the primers LoxAF/R. Moreover, a detailed analysis of the sequences obtained was performed in the subsequent sections of the paper.
However, the sentence was changed in order to clarify the misinterpretation.

## The sentence (line 186):

"Here, no polymorphism could be deduced from the agarose gel electrophoresis profile among Langdon, UC1113 and Kofa".

## Was replaced by:

"Here, no differences could be observed from the agarose gel electrophoresis profile among Langdon, UC1113 and Kofa".
2) Lane 191: the sentence refers to Fig. 1C, not to Fig. 2.

The manuscript was modified according to this suggestion
The sentence (line 193 in the revised version):
"....whereas the presence of both bands was no detected in any BAC (Fig 2), ..."

## Was replaced by:

"....whereas the presence of both bands was no detected in any BAC (Fig. 1c),..."
3) Lanes 237-240: are there polymorphisms among Langdon, Kofa and UC1113 for LpxA1_like at sequence level? If the sequence refers to a pseudogene, a high level of mutation is expected. If present, some polymorphisms between Kofa and UC1113 could be used to genetically map Lpx-A1_like and link physical to genetic map. At this purpose, did the Authors try to amplify some anchor markers on selected BAC clones?

No polymorphisms between the Lpx-A1_like sequence amplified from Kofa and Langdon were detected, whereas the amplification product obtained from UC1113 showed some differences.

Our current work is directed to define polymorphisms for the pseudogene that will make possible the precise location of the region in the current wheat map, making use of a RIL population obtained from Kofa and UC1113. At the same time, we are inquiring about the evolutionary origin of the pseudogene, studying a wide durum wheat collection and analyzing the $L p x$ genes in the genome A donor specie, T. urartu. This will be the subject of another future publication.
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## 1. Abstract

A bright yellow color is an important quality criterion for pasta making. Yellow color depends on the amount of carotenoid pigments in grain, which is the result of the balance between pigment synthesis and degradation by lipoxygenases (LPX). The organization of genes coding for lipoxygenases in the tetraploid wheat genome is not completely understood. Here, we report the screening of a durum wheat BAC library with barley probes to characterize the physical distribution of $L p x$ genes. PCR characterization and BAC fingerprinting of the positive clones suggests that $L p x-B 1.1$ and $L p x-B 3$ are less than 103-kb apart, whereas $L p x-B 1.2$ is further apart from them. In the A genome a partially deleted copy of $L p x-1$ ( $L p x-A 1$ _like) was found, colocalizing within a 42 kbp region with $L p x-A 3$, confirming that in both genomes these two genes are close to each other. The knowledge of the physical location of these two genes is important to understand the evolution of this family but also has practical implications since closely linked genes are difficult to separate by recombination. This may limit the number of $L p x$ allele combinations that can be obtained and affect the selection of optimal $L p x$ allele combinations for pasta quality improvement.

## 2. Introduction

Durum wheat (Triticum turgidum L. ssp. durum, genomes AABB) constitutes the cereal of preference for semolina and pasta production. The main quality factors for pasta production include a high grain protein content, strong gluten and bright yellow color. This last parameter is mainly determined by the concentration of carotenoid pigment content in the grain. Carotenoids pigments are not only important to satisfy consumer's preferences but also to improve the nutritional value of pasta. Carotenoid pigments act as antioxidant compounds, reducing oxidative damage to biologic membranes by scavenging peroxide radicals (Bast et al., 1996). However a high initial carotenoid level in semolina does not guarantee a high color score in the final product, since these pigments can be lost during milling and or degraded by enzymatic activity during pasta processing (Borrelli et al., 1999). The main enzymes involved in the oxidative degradation of carotenoid pigments are the lipoxygenases (Tróccoli et al., 2000).

Lipoxygenases (LPXs; linoleate:oxygen oxidoreductase, EC 1.13.11.12) are a family of enzymes widely distributed in plants and animals. They are nonheme iron-containing dioxygenases that catalyze the addition of molecular oxygen to polyunsaturated fatty acids containing a (Z,Z)-1,4-pentadiene system leading to unsaturated fatty acid hydroperoxides. LPX generate a wide variety of products depending on the lipid substrate, the site of oxygen incorporation and the stereospecificity of the reaction.

The bleaching of dough and pasta products is the result of a coupled oxidation of pigments due to the free radical species generated by fatty acids oxidation (Siedow, 1991). $\beta$-carotene acts as an inhibitor of LPX activity, preventing semolina
bleaching (Lomnitski et al., 1993; Trono et al., 1999). Additionally, the enzymatic cleavage of hydroperoxides results in off-flavors (Shibata, 1996).

The identification of the chromosome location of the different LPX isoforms was initially determined using Chinese Spring nulli-tetrasomic lines (AABBDD). LPX zymograms of these lines mapped the LPX1 and LPX2 isozymes to locus Lpx-1 on chromosome 4 (Lpx-A1, Lpx-B1 and Lpx-D1) and Lpx-2 on chromosome 5 (LpxA2, $L p x-B 2$ and $L p x-D 2$ ), respectively (Hart and Langston, 1977). Southern hybridization with maize-based $L p x$ probes confirmed the chromosome location of these genes (Li et al., 1999). Lpx loci were further mapped using a RIL population in chromosomes 4A, 5A and 5B (Li et al., 1999). In tetraploid wheat (AABB), the Lpx-1 locus was mapped on chromosome 4B (Nachit et al., 2001; Hessler et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2008). Carrera et al. (2007) reported a duplication/deletion of this locus, the resulting loci were designated $L p x-B 1.1$ and $L p x-B 1.2$. No evidence of the presence of $L p x-1$ locus on the $A$ genome has been reported so far in tetraploid wheat (Carrera et al., 2007). The Lpx-3 locus was identified on both genomes, but could only be mapped on the A genome (Zhang et al., 2008).

The linkage among $L p x$ loci in durum wheat could not be established since the $L p x-1$ and $L p x-3$ were not mapped on the same chromosome in any of the current available wheat maps. In barley, they were mapped 1 cM apart on the short arm of chromosome 4HS (van Mechelen et al., 1999).

The main purpose of this work was to study the physical organization of the $L p x-1$ and $L p x-3$ loci in durum wheat. We used the tetraploid durum wheat BAC library constructed from the var. Langdon (Cenci et al., 2003) to determine the
approximate distances between some of the $L p x$ loci and to determine if functional copies of the different loci exist in the different genomes.

## 3. Experimental

### 3.1. Plant material

Plant nuclear DNA was extracted from leaves of the varieties Kofa and Langdon and breeding line UC1113, following a CTAB protocol (CIMMYT). DNA was quantified through a VersaFluor Fluorometer (BIORAD) and diluted for PCR reactions.

### 3.2. BAC library screening

A BAC library constructed from the tetraploid wheat (AABB) Triticum turgidum ssp durum. cv. Langdon (Cenci et al., 2003), was screened using a mix including barley Lox-A and Lox-B cDNAs probes. The average size of the BAC clones in this library is 131 kb and it has a genome coverage of near 5X for each genome (99.4 \% probability of finding any desired gene). Probes were heat denatured, PCR labeled and purified through commercial columns (ProbeQuant® G-50 Amershan). Hybridization was carried out overnight at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Membranes were washed three times and visualized by autoradiography. Positive BAC clones were picked and amplified using standard laboratory plasmid isolation protocols and commercial kits (Wizard Plus SV Minipreps DNA Purification System, Promega). Purified BAC concentration ranged from $30-100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$.

### 3.3. PCR procedures

Genomic DNA and BAC clones were amplified by PCR. Different primer combinations based on barley and wheat lipoxygenase sequences were used to
amplify preferentially wheat sequences orthologous to barley LoxA or LoxB genes, as previously reported in Carrera et al. (2007). Primers LOXA L (CTGATCGACGTCAACAAC) and LOXA $R$ (CAGGTACTCGCTCACGTA), collectively called LOXAL/R, differentially amplify $L p x-1$ (wheat ortholog of barley LoxA) over the Lpx-2 and Lpx-3 (wheat orthologs of barley LoxC and LoxB, respectively). Primers LOXB $L$ (CACGATAACTTCATGCCAT) and LOXB $R$ (ACTCCTCCAGCTCCTTGT), collectively called LOXBL/R, were used to differentially amplify $L p x-3$, as described in Carrera et al. (2007). Additionally two primer pairs (with a common left primer) were designed to amplify an Lpx-A1 pseudogene discovered in this study: LpxLike1R (GTACGGGTAATCCGACACCA), LpxLike2L (TCCGAGTTCCTGCTCAAGAC) and LpxLike2R (CATGCACGTTCCAATCGTAT).

Amplification reactions were performed in a BIORAD thermal cycler in a $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction mixture. Each reaction consisted of 200 mM dNTPs, $1.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$, 100 nM of each primer, 1 U of Taq polymerase (Promega) $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each BAC dilution, leading to a final concentration of near $20 \mathrm{ng} /$ reaction. PCR amplification conditions were as follow: 3 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 5$ touchdown cycles ( $-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ each) of 45 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~s}$ at $60-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that, 35 cycles of 1 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 min at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 1 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by final extension step of 10 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

For sequencing purposes, PCR products were cloned into pGEM®-T Easy Vector System (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocols. Competent E. coli cells (strain DH5 $\alpha$ ) were transformed with the recombinant vector and plated onto

LB-agar- amplicillin XGal - IPTG plates. White colonies were picked and plasmids plus insert were amplified and purified. The presence of the PCR fragment was checked by restriction profile with the enzyme EcoRI. Three clones per PCR reaction were sequenced in SIGYSA (Castelar). Sequences alignments were performed using the software BioEdit 7.0 Sequence Alignment Editor (Hall, 1999). Homology searches were performed using BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

### 3.4. BAC fingerprinting

High quality DNA from the BAC clones ( $\sim 1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was obtained using the commercial kit BACMAX (Epicentre) following the manufacturer protocol. Then, 1 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of BAC DNA was restricted with HindIII at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 4 hours. Electrophoresis was performed in $1 \%$ agarosa gels during five hours at 50 mV in 0.5 X TBE including two commercial size standards $(\lambda /$ HindIII and $\lambda / E c o R I+$ HindIII, PB-L, Quilmes, Argentina). Agarose gels were stained with ethidium bromide, visualized under UV light and digitalized both with a Kodak Easy share $Z 7590$ zoom digital camera and with a transilluminator coupled to software for the analysis of gel images.

### 3.5. Phylogenetic tree construction

Sorghum and Brachypodium lipoxygenase genes were screened from genomic databases (www.Brachypodium.org and Gramene) using LpxB1.1 sequence as a probe. The relation of the sequences identified in rice, sorghum and Brachypodium with the barley ones was established from the phylogenetic trees. Trees were
performed using the Neighbor-Joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) and were drown to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method (Zuckerkandl and Pauling, 1965) and are in the units of the number of amino acid substitutions per site. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated from the dataset (Complete deletion option).

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Identification of $L p x$ sequences within the BAC library.

The screening of the Langdon BAC library with the Lox-A and Lox-B cDNAs probe pool yielded 14 positive clones (Table 1). Given the 5.1 fold genome coverage of the library, four unlinked genes are expected to yield an average of 20 BAC clones.

### 4.2. Identification of $L p x$ genes present in the different BAC clones

To obtain genomic sequences of the $L p x$ genes from the same germplasm used to construct the BAC library, genomic DNA was extracted from young leaves of the wheat var. Langdon. Genomic DNA was amplified by PCR using different gene specific primers that differentially amplify the three $L p x$ loci. In addition, DNA from the var. Kofa and breeding line UC1113 was used as controls since its amplification has been well characterized before (Carrera et al., 2007). Using the primer pair LOXAL/R, two bands of 900 and 1000 bp were amplified from Langdon DNA (Fig. 1a). In agarose gels, this amplification profile was indistinguishable from the one obtained with the line UC1113, whereas the higher band was absent in Kofa, as was previously reported by Carrera et al. (2007). PCR amplifications with primer pairs LOXBF/R yielded a single band of 1000 bp (Fig. 1b). Here, no polymorphism could be deduced from the agarose gel electrophoresis profile among Langdon, UC1113 and Kofa.

We used the same Lpx primers to screen the selected BAC clones (Table 1). Twelve clones showed amplification products with LOXAF/R primer pair (Fig. 1c). It is interesting to notice that half of them amplified the higher band and the others the lower one, whereas the presence of both bands was no detected in any BAC
(Fig. 1c), suggesting that these two loci must be further apart than the distance spanned by single BAC clones.

The primer pair LOXBF/R yielded amplification products in 8 BAC clones (Table 1). As was observed with genomic DNA, no differential amplification was observed among BAC clones. Based on the sequences of $L p x A 3$ (DQ474242 and DQ474244) and LpxB3 (DQ474243) loci, a Sall restriction site was identified in the LpxA3 sequence. This polymorphic restriction site was used to assign four of the Lpx3 BAC clones to the A genome (chromosome 4A) and four to the B genome (chromosome 4B). Sequence analysis of LOXBF/R bands confirmed the data obtained through this CAP marker.

We found that some of the BAC clones carrying Lpx-A3 also yielded amplification products with primer pair LOXAF/R (Lpx1). This was unexpected since previous results showed that these primers preferentially amplified the $L p x-B 1$ locus. However, in nullisomic tetrasomic line N4B (lacking Lpx-B1) the LOXAF/R primers yielded new bands suggesting that in the absence of the preferred target, these primers have the ability to amplify $L p x-1$ sequences from the other genomes (Carrera et al., 2007). To test this hypothesis, we sequenced the two fragments amplified with LOXAF/R. The sequences from the larger band found in the $B$ genome BACs were identical to those previously obtained for $L p x-B 1.1$ from UC1113 (DQ474240). Two different sequences were identified from the BACs that yielded the smaller amplification product. The sequence from the fragments amplified from BACs 314-D7 and 595-G8 was identical to the Lpx-B1.2 (DQ474241) locus. These two BACs did not yielded amplification products with the primers for Lpx-3 genes (Table 1). In contrast, amplifications products were
obtained with both the LOXAF/R (915-bp fragment, Fig. 2A) and LOXBF/R primers (Lpx-A3 gene) from BACs 187-M9, 657-K12, 626I15, and 691-K22 (Table 1).

Sequence analysis of the 915-bp LOXAF/R amplification product (GenBank accession number FJ518909) showed that it is likely a partial $L p x-A 1$ pseudogene, which will be referred hereafter as Lpx-A1_like. Alignments of this sequence with known barley and wheat lipoxygenase genes showed that Lpx-A1_like fragment amplified with the LOXAF/R primers includes a piece of exon 2 ( $90 \%$ similar to barley LOXA L35931, orthologous to wheat Lpx1), a 375-bp region with no homology to other sequences except for a partial MITE (miniature inverted transposable element, 82-bp, Icarus), and a 359-bp region including the complete exon 8 and the start of exon 9 (Fig. 2B). This last segment lacks the intron 8 observed in the orthologous regions in the Lpx-B1 genes (DQ474240 and DQ474241). The region of Lpx-A1_like similar to exon 8 also differs from the functional $L p x-B 1$ sequences by the presence of two deletions ( 14 and 15 bp long) and several SNPs.

We then designed primers based on exon 2 and exon 4 of the available sequence from a barley LOXA cDNA. These primers were able to amplify sequences from Langdon genomic DNA and from $L p x-B 1.1$ and $L p x-B 1.2$ containing BAC clones, but no amplification was observed in the BAC clones carrying Lpx-A1_like (data not shown) thus confirming the existence of deletions within this sequence.

The presence of the Lpx-A1_like sequence was also confirmed in Langdon, Kofa and UC1113 genomic DNAs, through PCR amplification and sequencing using two primer pairs based on the Lpx-A1_like sequence, Lpxlike2L/ Lpxlike2R and Lpxlike2L/ Lpxlike1R (Fig. 2A).

### 4.3. Physical mapping and fingerprinting analysis

The BAC s including $L p x 1$ and $L p x 3$ genes were organized into two separate contigs using Hindlll fingerprints (Fig. 3a and b). The first contig included seven BAC clones (average size $117-\mathrm{kb}$ ) from the B genome (Fig. 3B). Based on the presence of $L p x-B 1.1$ and $L p x-B 3$ genes alone or in combination in different BAC clones (Fig. 3B) and the estimated sized of shared fragments among BAC clones it was possible to establish that the $L p x-B 1.1$ and $L p x-B 3$ genes are $40-$ to $103-\mathrm{kb}$ apart.

The other contig, including the $L p x-A 1$ _like sequence and $L p x-A 3$, included four BAC clones (average size $116,4-\mathrm{kb}$ ). Based on the Hindlll fingerprint, it was possible to establish that loci $L p x-A 1$ _like and $L p x-A 3$ are included within a genomic region not larger than 42-kb.

## 5. Discussion

The genetic localization of $L p x$ genes and their role on pasta and semolina color have been the focus of several recent studies. QTL analysis established that more than $50 \%$ of the variation in lipoxygenase activity was attributable to the $L p x-B 1$ (Hessler et al., 2002; Carrera et al., 2007). The two genes found at this locus, Lpx$B 1.1$ and $L p x-B 1.2$, are $95 \%$ identical suggesting a relatively recent duplication. The deletion of the Lpx-B1.1 copy has been associated with lower lipoxygenase activity and improved pasta color both in a segregating population and in a screening including different durum varieties (Carrera et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008). This result suggests that the number of $L p x 1$ copies might be important for the regulation of lipoxygenase activity in mature seeds.

A minor locus related to yellow color was identified on chromosome 4A (Hessler et al., 2002; Carrera et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008) but it is not known if it was generated by polymorphisms at the $L p x-A 1, L p x-A 3$ or a closely linked locus. We report here the existence of another deletion affecting the A genome copy of the $L p x 1$ gene.

### 5.1. The Lpx-A1_like pseudogene

The Lpx-A1_like is in the same BACs that include Lpx-A3 (Table 1), which was previously mapped on chromosome 4A (Carrera et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008). Therefore, we can conclude that $L p x-A 1$ _like is located in the same chromosome. $L p x-A 1$ _like is more similar to barley LOXA and wheat $L p x-B 1.1$ and $L p x B 1.2$ than to LOXB of wheat $L p x 3$. Therefore, we concluded that this sequence is derived
from $L p x 1$. The deletions of the complete exons 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 indicate that this copy is not functional. In addition, the complete exon 8 has two deletions (4-bp and 14-bp) that would disrupt the reading frame, indicating that this sequence is not longer under purifying selection. This pseudogene also lacks the intron 8.

The region between Exons 2 and 8 includes a truncated MITE of the Stowaway class Icarus that could be implicated in some of the deletions that occurred in this region. Deletions of one of the three homoeologous copies of a gene in hexaploid wheat occur with high frequency and are not eliminated from the population because of the buffering effect of polyploidy (Dubcovsky and Dvorak, 2007).

In addition to the BAC library, the non-functional $L p x-A 1$ _like sequence was also found in the variety Kofa and the breeding line UC1113 genomes, suggesting that this gene deletion might be common among the durum wheats. It would be interesting to test these primers in wild accessions of tetraploid wheat to establish if this deletion occurred before or after domestication. The absence of polymorphisms for functional Lpx-A1 genes, may explain why no QTLs for lipoxygenase activity have been reported for this region.

The large deletions found in the Lpx-A1_like sequence might have also limited the ability of the barley LOX probes to hybridize with this sequence and partially explain the relatively low number of BAC clones identified during the screening of the Langdon BAC library.

### 5.2. Observed and predicted coverage of the Langdon BAC library

The wheat genome sequence is not available yet, so the best way to characterize the physical organization of a gene family is using BAC libraries with appropriate genome coverage. The genome coverage of the Langdon wheat BAC library was initially estimated to have a 5.6 -fold coverage per genome (Cenci et al., 2003). This value has been later confirmed in other studies (Cenci et al., 2004). The 13 BAC clones confirmed to have at least one copy of the $L p x 1$ or $L p x 3$ gene is slightly lower than the number expected from 2 genes in two genomes (22 positive clones). However, additional factors need to be considered in this calculation. The first one is the duplication of the $L p x-B 1.1$ and $L p x-B 1.2$ which should increase the number of expected clones. The second one is the partial deletion of the $L p x-A 1$ gene that may have resulted in a reduced detection by hybridization. The absence of BACs carrying only the Lpx-A1_like pseudogene is in agreement with this hypothesis, which will reduce the number of expected BAC clones. Finally, the $L p x-$ 1 and $L p x-3$ genes are close to each other at distances that are lower than the average size of the BAC clones. If the seven BAC clones including two genes are counted twice, the total number of detections would be 21 , which is closer to the expected number based on the known coverage of the library.

### 5.3. Physical organization of the Lpx1-Lpx3 region

The relative location of the $L p x-1$ and $L p x-3$ loci on the wheat chromosomes was not known because polymorphisms from both loci were not found simultaneously within the available mapping populations. The co-location of the $L p x-1$ and $L p x-3$ genes within single $B A C$ clones, both for the $A$ and $B$ genomes indicates that these
two genes (or pseudogene in the case of $L p x-A 1$ ) are very close to each other in both genomes.

The fingerprints of the BAC clones including the different $L p x 1$ and $L p x 3$ genes, and the subsequent contig assembly, showed that the $L p x-A 1$ like and $L p x-A 3$ genes are located less than $43-\mathrm{kb}$ apart, whereas the $L p x-B 1.1$ and $L p x-B 3$ are within a region that is between $40-$ to $147-\mathrm{kb}$. The $L p x B 1.2$ gene was found in BAC clones that were not connected to the $L p x-B 1.1 / L p x-B 3$ contig suggesting that this gene is separated from the other two by a distance that is larger than the average BAC clone size.

The $A$ and $B$ genome BACs were assembled in two separate contigs using HindIII fingerprints. Although the A and B genomes are highly colinear (Blanco et al., 1998; Nachit et al., 2001) and their respective genes are very similar ( $\approx 95 \%$ ) the degree of divergence between them is sufficient to generate separate contigs from colinear regions (Cenci et al., 2003). These different fingerprints are originated in the fast divergence of the intergenic regions of the different wheat genomes, which result in very limited conserved sequences in these regions (Wicker et al., 2003; Dubcovsky and Dvorak, 2007). The BAC clones and contigs identified in this study can now be sequenced to better understand the evolution of these chromosome regions and to add additional examples of orthologous regions between different wheat genomes.

The discovery that the $L p x-1$ and $L p x-3$ genes are so close to each other has several practical implications. First, it suggests that it would be very difficult to separate these loci by recombination if beneficial mutations or deletions are found
in repulsion in the $L p x-1$ and $L p x-3$ genes. In addition, the close proximity of these genes will complicate the generation of mutants knocking out both genes, since it will be difficult to combine independent point mutations in these two genes by recombination. Alternatively, it might be possible to eliminate both loci simultaneously using mutagens that produce large deletions, or use mutagens that generate point mutations (e.g. EMS mutagenesis) in a genetic background that already has the $L p x-B 1.1$ deletion if a double mutant is desired. Since large natural deletions are frequent in polyploid wheat (Wicker et al., 2003; Dubcovsky and Dvorak, 2007) it might be also possible to find natural deletions including multiple Lpx genes by screening a large and diverse germplasm collection.

### 5.4. Comparative genome organization of the Lpx loci

The region of chromosome 4 including the $L p x 1$ and $L p x 3$ loci in wheat is orthologous to the region in rice chromosome 3 that includes three rice lipoxygenase genes designated OsLOX1, OsLOX3 and OsLOX4. OsLOX1 and OsLOX3 predicted proteins are more similar to each other (74\% identical) than to the OsLOX4 predicted protein (66-69\%) (Fig. 4a). These three genes are located within a region of $57-\mathrm{kb}$ (chromosome 3 27,999-kb to $28,056-\mathrm{kb}$ ). The OsLOX1 and OsLOX3 rice proteins are more similar to the barley LOXA protein (74-82\% identical) than to the LPX3 protein (68\% identical). On the contrary, the OsLOX4 protein is more similar to the barley LOXB protein (77\% identical) than to the wheat LPX1 protein (68\% identical). In sorghum, three lipoxygenase genes were found on chromosome 1, which is colinear with wheat chromosome 4. As in rice, two of
sorghum LOX proteins were more similar to barley LOXB (72 and 70\%, respectively) than to barley LOXA ( 63 and $61 \%$ ), whereas the other one was more similar to barley LOXA (83\%) than to barley LOXB (65\%) (Fig. 4b). These three sequences are located within a region of 24.5 kb based on their alignment with ESTs CN145489.1, CN148918.1, CX607208.1, CX607302.1, CN133062.1, and CN142292.1. The analysis of Brachypodium genome sequences, a species more closely related to wheat than rice or sorghum, revealed two lipoxygenase sequences located 13.1 kb apart (in super-contig super_0). The predicted protein of one the sequences is more similar to barley LOXA ( $84 \%$ ) than to barley LOXB (67\%), whereas the other one is more similar to LOXB (83\%) than to LOXA (63\%) (Fig. 4c). Both sequences are likely functional based on the alignment with ESTs DV475177.1, DN552319.1, DV478987.1, and DV482580.1).

These results suggest that the duplication that originated the $L p x 1$ and $L p x 3$ genes occurred before the wheat-rice-sorghum divergence and, that after this event, independent LPX1 duplications occurred in the different lineages.
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Figure captions

Fig. 1 PCR amplification with barley-based primers of durum wheat $L p x$ genes. Genomic DNA obtained from the line UC1113 (U) and the varieties Kofa (K) and Langdon (L) were amplified using the primer pairs a) LOXAF/R and b) LOXBF/R. In c), the polymorphic amplification with LOXAF/R of the BAC clones (indicated over the sampled line) is shown. The standard is the Ladder 100 bp (PB-L products) and the size in bp is shown

Fig. 2 a) Lpx-A1_like nucleotide sequence. LOXAF/R primers annealing sites are shown in grey. The Lpx-A1_like based primers designed to search for this sequences in genomic DNA are underlined; b) Schematic comparison between Lpx-A1_like and the closest sequences. The upper bar is showing barley LoxA cDNA exon assembly, predicted based of the corresponding rice sequence. The regions with shared identity among the four compared sequences are represented by the same color. White boxes represent introns

Fig. 3 a) Electrophoresis in agarose gel of selected BAC clones digested with HindIII. The standard sampled corresponds to $\lambda$ phage digested with HindIII. The sampled BAC clones are shown over the lane. b) Schematic assembly of the obtained fragments from each clone, showing the inferred distance between loci

Fig. 4 Evolutionary relationships of barley lipoxygenases protein sequences with a) rice, b) sorghum and c) Brachypodium, identified in the genomic databases.

Table 1. Loci identified in the BAC clones using barley based primers for loci LoxA and LoxB, orthologous to wheat $L p x-1$ and $L p x-3$, respectively. The first line shows the primer pair that was used to obtain the amplification products.

| CLON | LOX AF/R LOX BF/R LOX CF/R |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 481-B18, 635-J17, 771-C14, | $L p x-B 1.1$ | $L p x-B 3$ | - |
| $321-J 7$ | - | $L p x-B 3$ |  |
| 195-B1, 544-O18, 762-C19 | $L p x-B 1.1$ | - | - |
| $314-D 7,595-G 8$ | $L p x-B 1.2$ | - | - |
| 187-M9, 657-K12, 626-I15, 691-K22 | $L p x-A 1 \_l i k e ~$ | $L p x-A 3$ | - |

Table 2. Data obtained from the fingerprinting analysis. The BAC clones analyzed were grouped according to the genome (A or B) from which they derive. The estimated molecular size (MW) and the number of bands obtained after HindllI digestion (Bands) of each clone are indicated.

| Genome A |  | Genome B |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BAC clon | MW | Bands | BAC clon | MW | Bands |
| 691-K22 | 87.4 | 20 | $544-O 18$ | 112.6 | 28 |
| 626-I15 | 116.4 | 22 | $762-\mathrm{C} 19$ | 120.9 | 29 |
| 657-K12 | 117.1 | 22 | $321-\mathrm{J7}$ | 60.0 | 18 |
| 187-M9 | 115.9 | 21 | $635-\mathrm{J17}$ | 147.4 | 32 |
|  |  |  | $195-\mathrm{B} 1$ | 116.0 | 26 |
|  |  |  | $771-\mathrm{C} 14$ | 149.1 | 33 |
|  |  |  | $481-\mathrm{B} 18$ | 108.7 | 25 |

Figure 1

c)



Figure 2
a)

1 AATTCACTAGTGATTCTGATCGACGTCAACAACTACCACAGCTCCGAGTTCCTGCTCAAG LpxLike2L
61 ACCGTCACCCTCCACGACGTCCCCGGCCGCGGCAGCCTCTCCTTCGTCGCCAACTCCTGG
121 GTCTACCCCGCCGCCAGCTACACCTACAGCCGCGTCTTCTTCGCCAACGACGTGAGTGAT
181 ССТтTTGССТСТССТСТССтTTССТтTTCACCGGCCGGСTTCGTCATTCATGGTCATTAA
241 GTCTTCTTTGAGATAAAATATATTTAGTGGGTGCAGAATTTATTCCGTGTTGGTAGAAAA
301 GATAGTATGGCTAGGTGCAGCACAAGATTGAATGAAACTGGCACCGTGGCACGCTGGTAG
361 GTGAGGAAAACTGTTGCACCATATTATCTGTCGTTGATTTAGTACAAAGTCTCATTAAAT
421 CAGTGACGAGTACTCCCTCCATTCGGAATTACTTGTCGCGGAAATATACGTATCAAGACA
481 TATTTTAGTTCTAGATACATCCATATCCAAGACACCTGATGTAATACGATTGGAACGTGC LpxLike2R
541 ATGCAGGCTGAACACTCACGCGGTGATGGAGCCGTTCGTGATCTCGACGAACCGGCACCT
601 CAGCGTGACGCACCCGGTGCACAAGCTGCTGAGCCCGCACTACCGCGACACCATGACCAT
661 GCAGACGCTCATCAACGCCGGCGGCATCTTCGAGATGACGGTTCGCGCTGGGGATGTCGT
721 CGGTGGTGTACAAGGACTGGAAGTTCACCGAGCAGGGCCTGCCCGACGATCTCATCAAGA
781 GGGGCATGGCGGTGGAGGACCCGTCGAGCCCGTACAAGGTGCGGCTGCTGGTGTCGGATT LpxLike1R
841 ACCCGTACGCGGCGGACGGGCTGGCGATCTGGCACGCCAtCGAGGAGTACGTGAGCGAGT
901 ACCTGAATCACTAGT
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