

UC Berkeley

IGS Poll

Title

Tabulations from an April 2026 Poll of California Voter Opinions on Democracy

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5gc7d986>

Journal

Berkeley IGS Poll

Author

Institute of Governmental Studies

Publication Date

2026-04-24



University of California, Berkeley
Institute of Governmental Studies
109 Moses Hall, #2370
Berkeley, CA 94720-2370
Tel: 510-642-1473
Fax: 510-642-3020
Email: igs@berkeley.edu

Tabulations from an April 2026 Poll of California Voter Opinions on Democracy

by the
Berkeley IGS Poll
Institute of Governmental Studies
University of California, Berkeley

April 9-18, 2026

Introduction

The statistical tabulations in this volume are based on a *Berkeley IGS Poll* completed the Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) at the University of California, Berkeley. The poll was administered online in English, Spanish, Chinese (both simplified and traditional dialects), Vietnamese and Korean April 9-18, 2026, among a stratified random sample of 5,962 registered voters in California. Funding for the poll was provided by the Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr. Fund.

The poll was conducted by distributing email and text message invitations to stratified random samples of the state's registered voters. Each email and text message invited voters to participate in a non-partisan survey conducted by the Institute and provided a link to the IGS website where the survey questionnaire was housed. To accommodate non-English language voters, Californians whose voting record indicated that they preferred to have their voting materials sent to them in a Spanish, Chinese, Vietnamese or Korean were sent their email invitations in-language asking them to choose which language they preferred to complete the survey. Reminder emails and texts were distributed to non-responding voters and an opt out link was provided for voters not wishing to receive further invitations.

Samples of California registered voters were derived from information contained on the state's official voter registration rolls and was provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc. (PDI), a leading supplier of registered voter lists and were derived from information contained on the official voter registration rolls, which is a public document. To protect the anonymity of respondents, voters' email addresses, cell phone numbers and all other personally identifiable information derived from their voter record were purged from the data file and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number during data processing. After the completion of data collection, post-stratification weights were applied to the survey data file to align the sample of registered voters surveyed to population characteristics of registered voters statewide and within major regions of the state on a wide range of variables. More information about the survey methods used by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* can be found on the poll's website at <https://www.igs.berkeley.edu/research/berkeley-igs-poll>.

The sampling error associated with the survey results is difficult to calculate precisely because of sample stratification and post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that findings from the overall registered voter sample have a sampling error of about +/-2 percentage points at the 95% confidence level. A more complete description of the survey methods used by the poll can be found at https://igs.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/survey_methods_of_the_berkeley_igs_poll_2026_revised.pdf.

Questions asked

On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in California?

Which of the following best describes your view of democracy in the United States today?

American democracy is under attack

American democracy is being tested, but it is not under attack

American democracy is in no danger

(IF DEMOCRACY UNDER ATTACK): In your opinion, which of the following persons or factors are responsible for creating the threats to our democracy today?

You may select as many as you feel are applicable. (ORDERING RANDOMIZED)

President Trump

The Republicans in Congress

The Democrats in Congress

U.S. Supreme Court

Voter fraud, with too many ineligible voters casting ballots

Voting machines can be manipulated

Special interests and big money controlling things

Other reasons

Do you support or oppose taking steps to strengthen democracy and voting rights in California?

Not everyone has the time or interest to vote in every election. On a scale of 1 to 10, where “1” means you will definitely not vote and “10” means you definitely will vote, how likely is it that you will vote in this year’s June primary election. Choose any number between 1 and 10 for your answer.

(IF ANSWERED 1-7 OR NOT SURE): Below are some of the reasons why people don’t always vote in elections in California. Please indicate which of these are reasons why you may not vote in this year’s June primary election? Please select as many as apply.

I’m not that interested

My vote doesn’t matter much

I don’t like the candidates for governor

I’m not that well informed about the issues and the candidates

Ballots in California are too long and complicated

Special interests and big money are controlling things

Voting is inconvenient for me and takes too much time

Election results can be manipulated and can’t always be trusted

I’m confused about how to vote

Language problems make it difficult for me to vote

Voting is more difficult for people with disabilities

I’m very busy and may not find the time to vote

None of these

(IF ANSWERED 1-7 OR NOT SURE): Would any of the following things increase your chances of voting in this year's June primary election? Please select as many as apply. (ORDERING RANDOMIZED)

Making voting more convenient for me

Getting assistance from a person or group that I trust to help me better understand the issues and the candidates

Getting more information about how and when to vote

Getting transportation assistance in returning my ballot

If voting materials were available to me in my preferred language

If I had access to an unbiased and trusted source of news about the election

If I felt that ballot measures or candidates were putting my interests at risk

If I felt that ballot measures or candidates would advance my interests

If I felt there were differences between the candidates on the issues I care about

If election results were more trustworthy

If I received language assistance when voting

If voting was made more accessible for people with disabilities

None of these

Reflecting on the current moment in the U.S., do you feel comfortable voting in person?

How confident are you about the overall integrity of the election system here in California?

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Election rules should be changed to allow election officials to count ballots faster, even if that means that they could no longer be sure that every single ballot from every eligible voter is counted.

Would you support or oppose the state spending tens of millions of dollars for updated equipment and increased election staffing, if that would result in ballots being counted more quickly?

Would you support or oppose the creation of a state funded "democracy fund" dedicated to support election offices, translate more materials, expand access to voting, and pay for community groups to conduct voter education?

Would you support or oppose state and local governments devoting funding and resources to community organizations and other non-partisan voter outreach groups to provide information and resources to voters alerting them as to when elections are being held and their options for returning their ballot?

Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California?

- (1) People who are not eligible to vote are voting illegally
- (2) Vote-by-mail ballots being rejected by their local voting registrars because a voter's signature styles on their ballot is not consistent with their signature style on file
- (3) Attempts by the Federal Government and FBI to interfere with the administration of elections in California

To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?

- (1) Younger voters voting at much lower rates than older voters
- (2) Latino, Black, Asian American, and American Indian voters voting at much lower rates than white voters
- (3) Voter fraud
- (4) Corporations having too much influence on elections
- (5) Efforts to suppress, intimidate and disenfranchise voters

Currently Californians are required to prove their voting eligibility when they register to vote but are not required to do so when they cast their ballot. A statewide ballot measure has been proposed that would require voters to verify their eligibility to vote when voting. This would mean that those choosing to vote in person would need to bring to the polls a government-issued identification card, while those voting by mail or using a drop box would need to list the last 4 digits of a valid voter identification card onto their ballot envelope. Do you support or oppose this new photo identification voting requirement?

Supporters say photo identification laws are necessary to prove the identity of all voters to prevent fraud and prohibit non-citizens from voting. Opponents say voters have already proven their identity when registering to vote and note that many eligible voters may find it difficult to find and produce an unexpired drivers' license, passport or similar document, and thus could be denied the right to vote. When weighing these arguments, are you now more likely to support the voter identification laws, more likely to oppose them, or do they have no effect on your opinion?

California's voter ID ballot measure is sponsored by Republican Assemblymember Carl DeMaio who believes the state needs greater security in our elections to prevent voter fraud. By contrast, Democrats in California have said that voter ID is part of President Trump's larger agenda to keep Latinos, Asian Americans, and Black voters who may not have a current driver's license or passport from voting. How about you? Do you think California's voter ID is the right approach because our elections need more protections against voter fraud, or do you think voter ID is the wrong approach because it will deny some otherwise eligible citizens from voting?

Do you support or oppose the Federal Voting Rights Act, enacted in 1965 to reduce racial discrimination in voting and ensure that all citizens have the right to vote regardless of race?

The Voting Rights Act of 1965 provided voters with strong protections against voting discrimination and unequal access to the ballot among voters of color. Over the past 15 years the United States Supreme Court has issued rulings that have rolled back some of these protections and is currently considering another case that could roll back these protections further. Do you support or oppose the Supreme Court's acting to further limit the provisions of the Voting Rights Act?

Would you support or oppose California expanding its own Voting Rights Act to guarantee that state voters are protected should the U.S. Supreme Court continue to roll back the protections included in the Federal Voting Right Act?

California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. (ORDERING RANDOMIZED)

- (1) When considering changes to voting laws, discrimination against voters because of their race, ethnicity or religion should be prohibited
- (2) Voters living in communities where limited English-speakers meet a minimum threshold should be allowed to receive their voting materials in their preferred language
- (3) Californians should be given the tools to challenge in state court any law that has the effect of reducing or suppressing voter turnout in elections
- (4) The Federal government and FBI should not be given access to or attempt to purge voters from California's voter registration rolls
- (5) Reducing the number of local polling places in heavily ethnic communities is a form of voter suppression and should not be allowed
- (6) When redrawing the maps of election districts, combining voters in communities with large numbers of ethnic voters with voters from neighboring districts should be avoided because it weakens the collective voices of the ethnic community
- (7) Local governments with a past record of racial discrimination should be required to prove that any changes they propose making to voting laws do not discriminate on the basis of race before they go into effect
- (8) Voters should be notified if their local registrar believes the signature on their mail ballot looks different than the one on file to enable the voter to verify that their signature is real

Do you believe state government has a responsibility to expand voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups in California elections?

Would you support or oppose state government expanding voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups, such as younger adults and people of color, and voters who do not speak English well?

Last year, President Trump backed the state of Texas' redrawing its Congressional district lines to favor Republicans. In response, Governor Gavin Newsom called a special election and encouraged California voters to temporarily redraw its Congressional districts to favor Democrats. This year President Trump is proposing to change the nation's election laws by imposing more restrictions that would make it harder for some citizens to vote in the nation's elections. In response, Governor Newsom is proposing to pass additional state laws that he feels will add greater protections to voting rights. Do you support or oppose Governor Newsom's efforts to counter the President's efforts to change the nation's election laws?

Banner definitions referenced in this report *(other than those taken from direct survey questions)*

Region *(from voting record)*

Los Angeles County

Orange County

San Diego County

Inland Empire *(Riverside, San Bernardino and Imperial counties)*

Central Coast *(Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, and San Benito counties)*

Central Valley (net) *(includes all counties in the Sacramento Valley and San Joaquin Valley referenced below)*

Sacramento Valley *(Shasta, Tehama, Glenn, Butte, Colusa, Yuba, Placer, Sutter, Yolo, El Dorado, and Sacramento counties)*

San Joaquin Valley *(San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Madera, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and Kern counties)*

San Francisco Bay Area *(Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, San Mateo, Santa Clara)*

North Coast/Sierras *(Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Lassen, Mendocino, Plumas, Sierra, Nevada, Lake, Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Tuolumne, Mono, Mariposa, Inyo counties.)*

Urbanicity *(from geographic information on voting record and coded into GDC codes)*

Urban *(GDC codes 4, 7, 17, 19, 21, 31, 35, 40, 42, 43, 45, 56, & 63)*

Suburban *(GDC codes 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 22, 25, 26, 30, 33, 34, 36, 37, 41, 47, 48, 49, 50, 53, 54, 59, 61, 64, 66, & 67)*

Rural *(GDC codes 5, 9, 11, 15, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 32, 38, 39, 44, 46, 51, 52, 55 & 57)*

Language

Latino English dominant: *(Latino voters who say they speak English primarily or who say they speak both English and Spanish but chose to complete the survey in English)*

Latino Spanish dominant: *(Latino voters who say they speak Spanish primarily or who say they speak both English and Spanish but chose to complete the survey in Spanish)*

AAPI English dominant: *(Asian or Pacific Islander voters who say they speak English primarily or who say they speak both English and an Asian language but chose to complete the survey in English)*

AAPI Asian language dominant: *(Asian or Pacific Islander voters who say they speak Chinese, Vietnamese, or Korean or who say they speak both English and an Asian language but chose to complete the survey in Chinese, Vietnamese or Korean)*

Gender *(from voting record)*

Male

Female

Generational status

First generation: *(Voters who report being born in another country)*

Second generation: *(Voters who report being born in the U.S. but who report that one or more of their parents were not born in the U.S.)*

Third + generation: *(Voters who report that both they and both of their parents were born in the U.S.)*

Party registration *(from voting record)*

Democrat

Republican

No Party Preference/other party

Voting frequency *(from voting record)*

Regular voter: *(Voting record indicates that voter voted in at least 5 of the last 7 statewide elections since 2020)*

Not regular voter: *(Voting record indicates that voter voted in 0-4 of the last 7 statewide elections since 2020)*

About the Institute of Governmental Studies

The Institute of Governmental studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program, of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California system's flagship Berkeley campus, IGS is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. IGS's co-directors are Professors Eric Schickler and G. Cristina Mora.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of California public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll seeks to provide broad measures of contemporary public opinion and generate data for scholarly analysis. Veteran pollster Mark DiCamillo serves as director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll*. For a complete listing of reports issued by the poll, please visit <https://www.igs.berkeley.edu/research/berkeley-igs-poll>.

About the Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr. Fund

The Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr. Fund works to advance equality and justice so every person can thrive and live life with dignity and hope. Rooted in the Bay Area, it focuses on a set of issues that include building a fairer, more representative democracy in California; advancing more humane approaches to immigration and expanding opportunities for immigrant youth and families; and making higher education more affordable for lower-income California students. It has awarded over \$695 million in grants since its founding in 1953.

April 2026 Berkeley IGS Poll – Statewide Registered Voters

Table 1: Q1. On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	North Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Extremely satisfied	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05
Very satisfied	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.19	0.13	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.21	0.18
Moderately satisfied	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.30	0.36	0.28	0.30	0.26	0.37	0.32	0.34	0.32	0.29	0.29	0.34	0.36	0.40
Slightly satisfied	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.10	0.16	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13
Not at all satisfied	0.31	0.27	0.35	0.29	0.36	0.29	0.37	0.36	0.39	0.24	0.38	0.25	0.33	0.41	0.36	0.29	0.22	0.23
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01

Table 2: Q1. On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Extremely satisfied	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.05
Very satisfied	0.16	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.20	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.25	0.16	0.12
Moderately satisfied	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.35	0.37	0.36	0.33	0.25	0.37	0.42	0.33	0.34	0.40	0.26
Slightly satisfied	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.20	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.19	0.16	0.19
Not at all satisfied	0.31	0.32	0.29	0.27	0.24	0.24	0.27	0.29	0.27	0.23	0.32	0.09	0.22	0.34
No opinion	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.05

Table 3: Q1. On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Extremely satisfied	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
Very satisfied	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.13	0.10	0.16	0.16	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.14	0.17
Moderately satisfied	0.33	0.29	0.36	0.39	0.37	0.30	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.30	0.33	0.31	0.31	0.36	0.32
Slightly satisfied	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.12
Not at all satisfied	0.31	0.35	0.27	0.21	0.29	0.32	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.31	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.33
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02

Table 4: Q1. On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Extremely satisfied	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04
Very satisfied	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.21	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.20	0.14	0.16	0.17
Moderately satisfied	0.33	0.31	0.32	0.33	0.36	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.30	0.34	0.35	0.30	0.35	0.31	0.35	0.28	0.38	0.35	0.32
Slightly satisfied	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.16	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.17	0.13	0.14
Not at all satisfied	0.31	0.33	0.34	0.28	0.23	0.26	0.30	0.29	0.32	0.32	0.28	0.34	0.27	0.33	0.30	0.34	0.24	0.30	0.31
No opinion	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03

Table 5: Q1. On the whole, how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Extremely satisfied	0.04	0.07	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.04
Very satisfied	0.16	0.26	0.03	0.13	0.02	0.09	0.12	0.26	0.25	0.28	0.33	0.16	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.10	0.18	0.02	0.06	0.19	0.13
Moderately satisfied	0.33	0.43	0.14	0.32	0.09	0.16	0.34	0.47	0.41	0.44	0.42	0.49	0.12	0.08	0.23	0.29	0.43	0.06	0.26	0.33	0.33
Slightly satisfied	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.18	0.08	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.09	0.16	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.18	0.19	0.12	0.20	0.12	0.16
Not at all satisfied	0.31	0.10	0.68	0.30	0.74	0.57	0.31	0.08	0.12	0.08	0.06	0.12	0.71	0.78	0.54	0.38	0.14	0.79	0.41	0.31	0.30
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.04

Table 6: Q2. Which of the following best describes your view of democracy in the United States today? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	South Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
American	0.68	0.69	0.65	0.68	0.69	0.72	0.64	0.67	0.62	0.70	0.69	0.69	0.68	0.66	0.66	0.69	0.75	0.70
democracy is under attack																		
American																		
democracy is being tested but it is not under attack	0.21	0.20	0.25	0.22	0.22	0.18	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.21	0.16	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.17	0.22
American																		
democracy is in no danger	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.03
Don't know	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05

Table 7: Q2. Which of the following best describes your view of democracy in the United States today? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
American	0.68	0.74	0.63	0.70	0.64	0.63	0.67	0.68	0.58	0.69	0.64	0.56	0.67	0.53
democracy is under attack														
American														
democracy is being tested but it is not under attack	0.21	0.19	0.24	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.19	0.24	0.32	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.30
American														
democracy is in no danger	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.10
Don't know	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.16	0.03	0.08

Table 8: Q2. Which of the following best describes your view of democracy in the United States today? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Nativity of Voter			Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
American	0.68	0.65	0.71	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.67	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.70	0.60	0.60	0.67	0.72
democracy is under attack															
American	0.21	0.24	0.19	0.27	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.21	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.20
democracy is being tested but it is not under attack															
American	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.05
democracy is in no danger															
Don't know	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.03

Table 9: Q2. Which of the following best describes your view of democracy in the United States today? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some col- lege/trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not mar- ried/ live to- gether	Separated/ divorced/ widowed	Single/ never mar- ried	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
American	0.68	0.61	0.67	0.69	0.76	0.66	0.65	0.67	0.70	0.68	0.71	0.69	0.67	0.68	0.70	0.68	0.68	0.71	0.68
democracy is under attack																			
American	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.23	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.18	0.19	0.23	0.21	0.22
democracy is being tested but it is not under attack																			
American	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.06
democracy is in no danger																			
Don't know	0.04	0.10	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.05

Table 10: Q2. Which of the following best describes your view of democracy in the United States today? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Party registration			Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
		Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
American democracy is under attack	0.68	0.83	0.46	0.64	0.51	0.43	0.59	0.81	0.93	0.83	0.88	0.72	0.45	0.47	0.41	0.63	0.81	0.41	0.55	0.75	0.61
American democracy is being tested but it is not under attack	0.21	0.13	0.33	0.25	0.26	0.38	0.29	0.15	0.06	0.13	0.09	0.23	0.33	0.29	0.44	0.25	0.16	0.38	0.28	0.18	0.26
American democracy is in no danger	0.06	0.02	0.15	0.05	0.17	0.14	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.16	0.20	0.08	0.06	0.01	0.15	0.07	0.06	0.07
Don't know	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.02	0.06	0.09	0.02	0.06

Table 11: Q3. In your opinion, which of the following persons or factors are responsible for creating the threats to our democracy today? You may select as many as you feel are applicable. (Statewide Registered Voters Who Believe Democracy Is Under Attack)

		Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento/San Joaquin Valley	North Bay Area	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very di-verse	Somewhat di-verse	Not too di-verse	Not at all di-verse
unweighted n	4175.00	823.00	368.00	379.00	451.00	291.00	751.00	457.00	294.00	1013.00	99.00	1564.00	1655.00	956.00	1333.00	1703.00	800.00	250.00
weighted n	4070.00	1049.00	321.00	361.00	487.00	258.00	676.00	328.00	348.00	833.00	85.00	1823.00	1693.00	554.00	1444.00	1639.00	704.00	193.00
Special interests and big money	0.82	0.80	0.82	0.83	0.76	0.87	0.83	0.84	0.82	0.84	0.84	0.81	0.82	0.80	0.80	0.83	0.84	0.79
controlling things																		
President Trump	0.78	0.81	0.73	0.80	0.72	0.81	0.69	0.70	0.67	0.87	0.77	0.81	0.79	0.68	0.73	0.80	0.84	0.86
The Republicans in Congress	0.68	0.70	0.63	0.70	0.63	0.72	0.59	0.63	0.56	0.77	0.68	0.71	0.68	0.61	0.64	0.70	0.75	0.72
U.S. Supreme Court	0.53	0.55	0.46	0.52	0.47	0.57	0.47	0.48	0.46	0.58	0.53	0.54	0.53	0.47	0.50	0.52	0.60	0.49
The Democrats in Congress	0.34	0.30	0.40	0.36	0.33	0.30	0.44	0.42	0.47	0.30	0.48	0.32	0.35	0.42	0.41	0.33	0.28	0.22
Voting machines can be manipulated	0.22	0.20	0.28	0.19	0.27	0.23	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.15	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.27	0.25	0.21	0.18	0.15
Voter fraud, with too many ineligible voters	0.20	0.15	0.26	0.20	0.28	0.19	0.30	0.26	0.33	0.11	0.22	0.15	0.21	0.30	0.24	0.19	0.13	0.15
casting ballots																		
Other reasons	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.22	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.16	0.18
Don't know	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 12: Q3. In your opinion, which of the following persons or factors are responsible for creating the threats to our democracy today? You may select as many as you feel are applicable. (Statewide Registered Voters Who Believe Democracy Is Under Attack)

	Race/Ethnicity										Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non- Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English domi- nant	Latino Spanish domi- nant	AAPI English domi- nant	AAPI Asian language domi- nant
unweighted n	4175.00	2440.00	928.00	184.00	670.00	261.00	109.00	85.00	110.00	167.00	763.00	158.00	554.00	97.00
weighted n	4070.00	2210.00	1067.00	274.00	612.00	198.00	117.00	77.00	134.00	147.00	929.00	134.00	511.00	85.00
Special interests and big money controlling things	0.82	0.85	0.77	0.76	0.84	0.81	0.85	0.77	0.84	0.89	0.81	0.50	0.87	0.72
President Trump	0.78	0.77	0.79	0.82	0.83	0.82	0.84	0.76	0.77	0.88	0.79	0.76	0.86	0.63
The Republicans in Congress	0.68	0.70	0.65	0.66	0.73	0.67	0.68	0.55	0.79	0.81	0.67	0.50	0.79	0.43
U.S. Supreme Court	0.53	0.56	0.47	0.56	0.55	0.53	0.48	0.44	0.57	0.62	0.50	0.19	0.60	0.30
The Democrats in Congress	0.34	0.36	0.31	0.24	0.38	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.49	0.39	0.34	0.09	0.38	0.38
Voting machines can be manipulated	0.22	0.21	0.22	0.18	0.25	0.23	0.31	0.14	0.31	0.22	0.24	0.14	0.25	0.25
Voter fraud, with too many ineligible voters	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.15	0.20	0.17	0.21	0.18	0.26	0.19	0.19	0.12	0.16	0.39
casting ballots														
Other reasons	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.23	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.19	0.12	0.21	0.05
Don't know	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00

Table 13: Q3. In your opinion, which of the following persons or factors are responsible for creating the threats to our democracy today? You may select as many as you feel are applicable. (Statewide Registered Voters Who Believe Democracy Is Under Attack)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	4175.00	1916.00	2259.00	616.00	496.00	684.00	999.00	1380.00	809.00	571.00	3469.00	690.00	690.00	834.00	2606.00
weighted n	4070.00	1891.00	2179.00	689.00	708.00	608.00	898.00	1167.00	708.00	459.00	3443.00	612.00	612.00	896.00	2510.00
Special interests and big money controlling things	0.82	0.80	0.83	0.85	0.89	0.79	0.78	0.80	0.80	0.79	0.83	0.72	0.72	0.83	0.84
President Trump	0.78	0.75	0.81	0.89	0.83	0.78	0.73	0.74	0.73	0.75	0.79	0.76	0.76	0.82	0.77
The Republicans in Congress	0.68	0.68	0.69	0.76	0.73	0.67	0.64	0.65	0.66	0.63	0.70	0.61	0.61	0.70	0.70
U.S. Supreme Court	0.53	0.50	0.54	0.55	0.61	0.50	0.47	0.51	0.52	0.50	0.55	0.42	0.42	0.53	0.55
The Democrats in Congress	0.34	0.37	0.32	0.33	0.47	0.35	0.31	0.29	0.29	0.30	0.35	0.30	0.30	0.33	0.36
Voting machines can be manipulated	0.22	0.20	0.24	0.25	0.26	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22
Voter fraud, with too many ineligible voters	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.16	0.21
casting ballots															
Other reasons	0.19	0.22	0.17	0.24	0.28	0.25	0.16	0.11	0.13	0.09	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.19	0.20
Don't know	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00

Table 14: Q3. In your opinion, which of the following persons or factors are responsible for creating the threats to our democracy today? You may select as many as you feel are applicable. (Statewide Registered Voters Who Believe Democracy Is Under Attack)

		Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
	Total	High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	4175.00	438.00	1192.00	1317.00	1214.00	294.00	372.00	430.00	767.00	1312.00	875.00	2413.00	1724.00	2053.00	314.00	723.00	1039.00	994.00	3140.00
weighted n	4070.00	579.00	1538.00	1199.00	743.00	373.00	418.00	477.00	800.00	1175.00	710.00	2057.00	1979.00	1801.00	332.00	704.00	1192.00	968.00	3066.00
Special interests and big money controlling things	0.82	0.75	0.81	0.85	0.82	0.71	0.81	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.86	0.82	0.82	0.80	0.86	0.79	0.84	0.85	0.81
President Trump	0.78	0.72	0.73	0.82	0.89	0.82	0.78	0.74	0.76	0.79	0.82	0.76	0.81	0.75	0.81	0.75	0.84	0.79	0.78
The Republicans in Congress	0.68	0.61	0.63	0.73	0.78	0.68	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.71	0.73	0.67	0.70	0.66	0.73	0.64	0.74	0.68	0.68
U.S. Supreme Court	0.53	0.38	0.50	0.57	0.62	0.47	0.51	0.46	0.51	0.57	0.56	0.52	0.54	0.51	0.59	0.49	0.55	0.56	0.52
The Democrats in Congress	0.34	0.32	0.38	0.35	0.28	0.33	0.34	0.33	0.34	0.37	0.32	0.33	0.36	0.34	0.42	0.31	0.34	0.38	0.33
Voting machines can be manipulated	0.22	0.24	0.28	0.20	0.12	0.19	0.31	0.28	0.22	0.22	0.15	0.20	0.24	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.22	0.22
Voter fraud, with too many ineligible voters casting ballots	0.20	0.22	0.25	0.17	0.11	0.15	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.14	0.21	0.18	0.21	0.18	0.24	0.16	0.19	0.20
Other reasons	0.19	0.17	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.19	0.15	0.24	0.17	0.25	0.15	0.25	0.22	0.19
Don't know	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 15: Q3. In your opinion, which of the following persons or factors are responsible for creating the threats to our democracy today? You may select as many as you feel are applicable. (Statewide Registered Voters Who Believe Democracy Is Under Attack)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	4175.00	2454.00	758.00	963.00	342.00	411.00	1005.00	983.00	1400.00	2272.00	1684.00	586.00	520.00	383.00	137.00	1355.00	742.00	197.00	416.00	2826.00	1349.00
weighted n	4070.00	2246.00	691.00	1133.00	343.00	377.00	1027.00	912.00	1379.00	2127.00	1549.00	577.00	482.00	353.00	129.00	1435.00	769.00	193.00	473.00	2334.00	1736.00
Special interests and big money controlling things	0.82	0.83	0.75	0.83	0.69	0.73	0.77	0.85	0.88	0.81	0.80	0.84	0.75	0.72	0.84	0.85	0.86	0.78	0.86	0.83	0.80
President Trump	0.78	0.94	0.23	0.80	0.17	0.36	0.76	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.96	0.94	0.14	0.06	0.36	0.75	0.95	0.10	0.68	0.79	0.77
The Republicans in Congress	0.68	0.83	0.20	0.68	0.17	0.29	0.61	0.84	0.87	0.83	0.86	0.77	0.12	0.04	0.34	0.66	0.83	0.17	0.57	0.70	0.65
U.S. Supreme Court	0.53	0.63	0.18	0.52	0.20	0.20	0.41	0.61	0.73	0.62	0.63	0.57	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.52	0.66	0.17	0.42	0.56	0.48
The Democrats in Congress	0.34	0.22	0.72	0.37	0.75	0.61	0.30	0.21	0.29	0.17	0.12	0.29	0.79	0.85	0.62	0.46	0.34	0.80	0.52	0.32	0.37
Voting machines can be manipulated	0.22	0.14	0.52	0.21	0.57	0.38	0.21	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.16	0.54	0.58	0.42	0.25	0.14	0.59	0.29	0.18	0.27
Voter fraud, with too many ineligible voters casting ballots	0.20	0.05	0.71	0.18	0.76	0.58	0.22	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.09	0.77	0.84	0.57	0.22	0.02	0.82	0.30	0.18	0.22
Other reasons	0.19	0.17	0.22	0.23	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.17	0.21	0.15	0.13	0.20	0.22	0.20	0.28	0.26	0.20	0.30	0.33	0.17	0.22
Don't know	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01

Table 16: Q4. Do you support or oppose taking steps to strengthen democracy and voting rights in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	South Central Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Support (net)	0.86	0.86	0.85	0.86	0.86	0.85	0.84	0.87	0.82	0.87	0.87	0.86	0.86	0.84	0.83	0.88	0.91	0.86
Support	0.68	0.67	0.61	0.70	0.68	0.72	0.68	0.72	0.64	0.70	0.71	0.67	0.69	0.67	0.67	0.69	0.71	0.65
strongly Support	0.18	0.19	0.23	0.16	0.18	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.20	0.21
somewhat																		
Oppose (net)	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.08
Oppose	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.06
somewhat																		
Oppose	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02
strongly																		
No opinion	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.05	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.07

Table 17: Q4. Do you support or oppose taking steps to strengthen democracy and voting rights in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Support (net)	0.86	0.87	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.84	0.91	0.86	0.89	0.84	0.84	0.89	0.89	0.80
Support	0.68	0.70	0.66	0.74	0.65	0.62	0.62	0.47	0.72	0.66	0.65	0.71	0.69	0.47
strongly Support	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.13	0.22	0.22	0.29	0.40	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.33
somewhat														
Oppose (net)	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.08	0.01	0.04	0.09
Oppose	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02
somewhat														
Oppose	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.07
strongly														
No opinion	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.11	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.10

Table 18: Q4. Do you support or oppose taking steps to strengthen democracy and voting rights in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Support (net)	0.86	0.84	0.88	0.85	0.83	0.84	0.86	0.89	0.88	0.91	0.86	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.86
Support	0.68	0.65	0.70	0.64	0.62	0.65	0.70	0.75	0.74	0.76	0.68	0.66	0.66	0.67	0.69
strongly															
Support	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.17
somewhat															
Oppose (net)	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.07
Oppose	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03
somewhat															
Oppose	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04
strongly															
No opinion	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07

Table 19: Q4. Do you support or oppose taking steps to strengthen democracy and voting rights in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Support (net)	0.86	0.83	0.86	0.86	0.88	0.84	0.82	0.85	0.88	0.88	0.85	0.87	0.85	0.86	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.87	0.86
Support	0.68	0.66	0.68	0.69	0.69	0.65	0.66	0.66	0.71	0.69	0.66	0.69	0.67	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.65	0.70	0.67
strongly																			
Support	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.16	0.19	0.16	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.14	0.17	0.21	0.16	0.19
somewhat																			
Oppose (net)	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07
Oppose	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
somewhat																			
Oppose	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04
strongly																			
No opinion	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.08

Table 20: Q4. Do you support or oppose taking steps to strengthen democracy and voting rights in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Support (net)	0.86	0.93	0.75	0.84	0.74	0.80	0.82	0.91	0.96	0.94	0.95	0.90	0.78	0.78	0.77	0.81	0.93	0.70	0.74	0.89	0.82
Support	0.68	0.77	0.58	0.62	0.66	0.56	0.58	0.71	0.86	0.78	0.84	0.65	0.61	0.67	0.49	0.60	0.74	0.52	0.49	0.73	0.62
strongly Support	0.18	0.16	0.17	0.22	0.08	0.24	0.24	0.20	0.10	0.16	0.12	0.25	0.16	0.11	0.28	0.21	0.19	0.18	0.25	0.16	0.20
somewhat																					
Oppose (net)	0.07	0.03	0.14	0.06	0.17	0.12	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.08	0.03	0.18	0.10	0.06	0.08
Oppose	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.04	0.03	0.04
somewhat																					
Oppose	0.04	0.01	0.09	0.03	0.12	0.07	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.04
strongly																					
No opinion	0.08	0.04	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.12	0.16	0.05	0.10

Table 21: Q5. Not everyone has the time or interest to vote in every election. On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means you will definitely not vote and 10 means you definitely will vote, how likely is it that you will vote in this year's June primary election. Choose any number between 1 and 10 for your answer. (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
(10) Definitely will vote	0.77	0.74	0.75	0.82	0.80	0.78	0.76	0.81	0.71	0.79	0.80	0.77	0.79	0.76	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.77
9)	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.01
8)	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.06
7)	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05
6)	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.00
5)	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02
4)	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
3)	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01
2)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
(1) Definitely will not vote	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03
Not sure	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02

Table 22: Q5. Not everyone has the time or interest to vote in every election. On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means you will definitely not vote and 10 means you definitely will vote, how likely is it that you will vote in this year’s June primary election. Choose any number between 1 and 10 for your answer. (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
(10) Definitely will vote	0.77	0.84	0.74	0.78	0.64	0.54	0.59	0.51	0.73	0.73	0.74	0.75	0.67	0.46
9)	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.04
8)	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.08
7)	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.08
6)	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.06
5)	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.07
4)	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03
3)	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02
2)	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.08	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.06
(1) Definitely will not vote	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03
Not sure	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.07

Table 23: Q5. Not everyone has the time or interest to vote in every election. On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means you will definitely not vote and 10 means you definitely will vote, how likely is it that you will vote in this year’s June primary election. Choose any number between 1 and 10 for your answer. (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Generation	Second Generation	Third+ Generation
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
(10) Definitely will vote	0.77	0.76	0.78	0.57	0.71	0.78	0.83	0.92	0.91	0.92	0.79	0.72	0.72	0.71	0.82
9)	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.04
8)	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04
7)	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.02
6)	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01
5)	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02
4)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00
3)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
2)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01
(1) Definitely will not vote	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02
Not sure	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01

Table 24: Q5. Not everyone has the time or interest to vote in every election. On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means you will definitely not vote and 10 means you definitely will vote, how likely is it that you will vote in this year's June primary election. Choose any number between 1 and 10 for your answer. (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
(10) Definitely will vote	0.77	0.69	0.78	0.79	0.82	0.63	0.70	0.72	0.79	0.84	0.82	0.85	0.70	0.85	0.77	0.81	0.65	0.80	0.77
9)	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.05
8)	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.05
7)	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.03
6)	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02
5)	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03
4)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01
3)	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
2)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
(1) Definitely will not vote	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02
Not sure	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02

Table 25: Q5. Not everyone has the time or interest to vote in every election. On a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means you will definitely not vote and 10 means you definitely will vote, how likely is it that you will vote in this year’s June primary election. Choose any number between 1 and 10 for your answer. (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
(10) Definitely will vote	0.77	0.83	0.81	0.66	0.88	0.76	0.70	0.73	0.86	0.82	0.88	0.69	0.84	0.92	0.67	0.69	0.76	0.75	0.59	0.91	0.62
9)	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.06
8)	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.08
7)	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.01	0.06
6)	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.04
5)	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.04
4)	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02
3)	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01
2)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02
(1) Definitely will not vote	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.03
Not sure	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.03

Table 26: Q6. Below are some of the reasons why people don't always vote in elections in California. Please indicate which of these are reasons why you may not vote in this year's June primary election? Please select as many as apply. (Statewide registered voters answering Q5 as 1 - 7)

		Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very di-verse	Somewhat di-verse	Not too di-verse	Not at all di-verse
unweighted n	567.00	132.00	59.00	43.00	60.00	33.00	113.00	49.00	64.00	115.00	12.00	243.00	218.00	106.00	198.00	203.00	93.00	27.00
weighted n	808.00	263.00	65.00	60.00	86.00	39.00	150.00	46.00	104.00	129.00	15.00	391.00	304.00	112.00	294.00	263.00	135.00	45.00
I'm not that well informed about the issues and the candidates	0.38	0.35	0.38	0.48	0.37	0.36	0.40	0.50	0.35	0.40	0.12	0.41	0.38	0.27	0.39	0.36	0.40	0.45
Special interests and big money are controlling things	0.35	0.26	0.30	0.47	0.50	0.37	0.41	0.35	0.44	0.31	0.42	0.35	0.34	0.37	0.34	0.35	0.44	0.22
My vote doesn't matter much	0.28	0.19	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.32	0.35	0.26	0.39	0.36	0.73	0.26	0.30	0.32	0.26	0.32	0.27	0.12
Election results can be manipulated and can't always be trusted	0.26	0.24	0.19	0.31	0.38	0.19	0.30	0.20	0.34	0.18	0.35	0.24	0.26	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.23	0.23
I don't like the candidates for governor	0.20	0.21	0.18	0.14	0.23	0.34	0.18	0.16	0.19	0.17	0.29	0.19	0.22	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.22	0.35
I'm very busy and may not find the time to vote	0.19	0.16	0.12	0.32	0.10	0.37	0.25	0.33	0.21	0.19	0.18	0.22	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.22	0.20	0.20
I'm not that interested	0.14	0.19	0.05	0.17	0.08	0.14	0.11	0.07	0.12	0.17	0.00	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.14	0.07
Voting is inconvenient for me and takes too much time	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.18	0.04	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.00	0.08	0.10	0.04	0.06	0.12	0.07	0.06
Ballots in California are too long and complicated	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.10	0.12	0.05	0.13	0.01	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.10	0.09	0.01
I'm confused about how to vote	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.02	0.07	0.19	0.02	0.08	0.00	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.00
Voting is more difficult for people with disabilities	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.00
Language problems make it difficult for me to vote	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.09
None of these/No answer	0.10	0.12	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.08	0.16	0.10	0.14	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.17

Table 27: Q6. Below are some of the reasons why people don't always vote in elections in California. Please indicate which of these are reasons why you may not vote in this year's June primary election? Please select as many as apply. (Statewide registered voters answering Q5 as 1 - 7)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	567.00	193.00	178.00	32.00	159.00	77.00	30.00	24.00	23.00	24.00	143.00	34.00	107.00	48.00
weighted n	808.00	235.00	290.00	64.00	211.00	84.00	47.00	41.00	39.00	37.00	250.00	40.00	136.00	68.00
I'm not that well informed about the issues and the candidates	0.38	0.28	0.41	0.39	0.48	0.44	0.51	0.60	0.67	0.27	0.40	0.44	0.53	0.44
Special interests and big money are controlling things	0.35	0.39	0.32	0.28	0.35	0.28	0.18	0.26	0.56	0.39	0.36	0.12	0.43	0.16
My vote doesn't matter much	0.28	0.36	0.21	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.18	0.22	0.29	0.31	0.24	0.02	0.28	0.25
Election results can be manipulated and can't always be trusted	0.26	0.18	0.38	0.16	0.20	0.18	0.26	0.32	0.20	0.16	0.40	0.23	0.18	0.25
I don't like the candidates for governor	0.20	0.25	0.16	0.19	0.23	0.16	0.25	0.21	0.24	0.30	0.18	0.09	0.25	0.13
I'm very busy and may not find the time to vote	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.26	0.24	0.19	0.34	0.30	0.22	0.19	0.07	0.29	0.17
I'm not that interested	0.14	0.10	0.15	0.11	0.19	0.29	0.26	0.25	0.18	0.00	0.16	0.07	0.19	0.22
Voting is inconvenient for me and takes too much time	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.03	0.14	0.23	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.00	0.16	0.06
Ballots in California are too long and complicated	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.14	0.05	0.13	0.04	0.01	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.11
I'm confused about how to vote	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.07
Voting is more difficult for people with disabilities	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.03	0.02
Language problems make it difficult for me to vote	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.14
None of these/No answer	0.10	0.13	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.23	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.02

Table 28: Q6. Below are some of the reasons why people don't always vote in elections in California. Please indicate which of these are reasons why you may not vote in this year's June primary election? Please select as many as apply. (Statewide registered voters answering Q5 as 1 - 7)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	567.00	285.00	282.00	192.00	97.00	101.00	110.00	67.00	46.00	21.00	413.00	146.00	146.00	147.00	252.00
weighted n	808.00	399.00	409.00	283.00	176.00	126.00	144.00	79.00	51.00	28.00	605.00	194.00	194.00	220.00	361.00
I'm not that well informed about the issues and the candidates	0.38	0.35	0.41	0.47	0.47	0.26	0.31	0.17	0.19	0.13	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.46	0.35
Special interests and big money are controlling things	0.35	0.42	0.28	0.33	0.37	0.39	0.31	0.35	0.36	0.33	0.33	0.40	0.40	0.22	0.41
My vote doesn't matter much	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.35	0.27	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.19	0.29	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.32
Election results can be manipulated and can't always be trusted	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.27	0.25	0.27	0.29	0.26	0.35	0.27	0.19	0.19	0.25	0.30
I don't like the candidates for governor	0.20	0.24	0.16	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.19	0.24	0.19	0.22	0.22	0.18	0.21
I'm very busy and may not find the time to vote	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.31	0.21	0.10	0.12	0.04	0.05	0.00	0.22	0.13	0.13	0.25	0.19
I'm not that interested	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.18	0.14	0.18	0.10	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.13
Voting is inconvenient for me and takes too much time	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.08
Ballots in California are too long and complicated	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.03	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.06
I'm confused about how to vote	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.09
Voting is more difficult for people with disabilities	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.04
Language problems make it difficult for me to vote	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.11	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.02
None of these/No answer	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.10	0.14	0.27	0.26	0.30	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.09

Table 29: Q6. Below are some of the reasons why people don't always vote in elections in California. Please indicate which of these are reasons why you may not vote in this year's June primary election? Please select as many as apply. (Statewide registered voters answering Q5 as 1 - 7)

	Education					Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
	Total	High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	567.00	112.00	180.00	173.00	98.00	85.00	78.00	86.00	94.00	125.00	75.00	198.00	357.00	195.00	40.00	82.00	237.00	109.00	444.00
weighted n	808.00	200.00	312.00	197.00	92.00	143.00	134.00	131.00	139.00	155.00	73.00	229.00	560.00	219.00	67.00	120.00	382.00	165.00	621.00
I'm not that well informed about the issues and the candidates	0.38	0.34	0.44	0.30	0.43	0.51	0.35	0.37	0.40	0.35	0.26	0.29	0.42	0.29	0.41	0.32	0.45	0.47	0.36
Special interests and big money are controlling things	0.35	0.23	0.37	0.44	0.31	0.27	0.24	0.36	0.41	0.44	0.37	0.35	0.34	0.39	0.46	0.34	0.30	0.33	0.35
My vote doesn't matter much	0.28	0.26	0.23	0.35	0.36	0.22	0.25	0.27	0.21	0.39	0.36	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.17	0.26	0.31	0.25	0.28
Election results can be manipulated and can't always be trusted	0.26	0.25	0.29	0.22	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.29	0.41	0.22	0.15	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.29	0.30	0.23	0.26	0.25
I don't like the candidates for governor	0.20	0.18	0.15	0.29	0.24	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.31	0.34	0.24	0.18	0.24	0.14	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.20
I'm very busy and may not find the time to vote	0.19	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.31	0.21	0.16	0.19	0.25	0.20	0.13	0.17	0.20	0.16	0.18	0.08	0.26	0.22	0.19
I'm not that interested	0.14	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.17	0.19	0.08	0.15	0.11	0.18	0.13	0.07	0.17	0.10	0.20	0.11	0.16	0.19	0.13
Voting is inconvenient for me and takes too much time	0.08	0.05	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.14	0.07	0.06	0.13	0.05	0.10	0.03	0.13	0.02	0.12	0.07	0.09
Ballots in California are too long and complicated	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.08
I'm confused about how to vote	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.07
Voting is more difficult for people with disabilities	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.03
Language problems make it difficult for me to vote	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.03
None of these/No answer	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.15	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.19	0.14	0.08	0.11	0.01	0.16	0.08	0.13	0.08

Table 30: Q6. Below are some of the reasons why people don't always vote in elections in California. Please indicate which of these are reasons why you may not vote in this year's June primary election? Please select as many as apply. (Statewide registered voters answering Q5 as 1 - 7)

	Total	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
		Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter	
unweighted n	567.00	191.00	147.00	229.00	43.00	95.00	242.00	104.00	71.00	166.00	72.00	94.00	82.00	33.00	48.00	308.00	81.00	58.00	169.00	127.00	440.00	
weighted n	808.00	254.00	189.00	365.00	49.00	115.00	371.00	164.00	92.00	234.00	91.00	142.00	110.00	43.00	66.00	446.00	115.00	77.00	255.00	118.00	690.00	
I'm not that well informed about the issues and the candidates	0.38	0.42	0.25	0.42	0.36	0.29	0.37	0.55	0.28	0.46	0.37	0.51	0.31	0.40	0.22	0.36	0.45	0.22	0.35	0.24	0.40	
Special interests and big money are controlling things	0.35	0.26	0.41	0.38	0.46	0.29	0.37	0.27	0.37	0.24	0.22	0.25	0.35	0.29	0.36	0.40	0.33	0.41	0.43	0.37	0.34	
My vote doesn't matter much	0.28	0.21	0.30	0.33	0.49	0.20	0.32	0.26	0.14	0.20	0.16	0.22	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.32	0.31	0.33	0.32	0.35	0.27	
Election results can be manipulated and can't always be trusted	0.26	0.14	0.49	0.22	0.44	0.40	0.25	0.17	0.15	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.51	0.42	0.56	0.28	0.12	0.42	0.32	0.22	0.26	
I don't like the candidates for governor	0.20	0.15	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.16	0.22	0.17	0.19	0.13	0.08	0.17	0.14	0.05	0.20	0.26	0.22	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.19	
I'm very busy and may not find the time to vote	0.19	0.25	0.10	0.20	0.24	0.09	0.14	0.32	0.28	0.29	0.24	0.32	0.18	0.22	0.14	0.15	0.25	0.15	0.10	0.14	0.20	
I'm not that interested	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.18	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.12	0.08	0.14	0.06	0.19	0.13	0.10	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.08	0.17	0.10	0.15	
Voting is inconvenient for me and takes too much time	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.14	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.14	0.16	0.07	0.04	0.09	
Ballots in California are too long and complicated	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.14	0.12	0.05	0.04	0.08	
I'm confused about how to vote	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.11	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.13	0.05	0.03	0.07	
Voting is more difficult for people with disabilities	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.08	0.00	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.03	
Language problems make it difficult for me to vote	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	
None of these/No answer	0.10	0.15	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.18	0.15	0.27	0.07	0.04	0.10	0.01	0.08	0.13	0.01	0.08	0.21	0.08	

Table 31: Q7. Would any of the following things increase your chances of voting in this year's June primary election? Please select as many as apply. (Statewide registered voters answering Q5 as 1 - 7)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	567.00	132.00	59.00	43.00	60.00	33.00	113.00	49.00	64.00	115.00	12.00	243.00	218.00	106.00	198.00	203.00	93.00	27.00
weighted n	808.00	263.00	65.00	60.00	86.00	39.00	150.00	46.00	104.00	129.00	15.00	391.00	304.00	112.00	294.00	263.00	135.00	45.00
Access to unbiased and trusted news about the election	0.41	0.35	0.48	0.47	0.49	0.45	0.43	0.46	0.41	0.37	0.58	0.44	0.38	0.39	0.38	0.49	0.44	0.22
Ballot measures or candidates that advance my interests	0.31	0.27	0.30	0.31	0.32	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.41	0.74	0.29	0.33	0.29	0.27	0.36	0.27	0.33
Differences between the candidates on issues I care about	0.30	0.32	0.21	0.36	0.29	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.24	0.32	0.54	0.30	0.31	0.24	0.27	0.29	0.37	0.50
If election results were more trustworthy	0.29	0.25	0.18	0.35	0.33	0.20	0.39	0.25	0.46	0.26	0.46	0.27	0.30	0.35	0.30	0.33	0.30	0.08
Assistance to better understand the issues and candidates	0.20	0.20	0.26	0.17	0.25	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.25	0.12	0.23	0.16	0.21	0.20	0.17	0.21	0.36
Ballot measures or candidates that put my interests at risk	0.20	0.19	0.14	0.26	0.19	0.10	0.19	0.15	0.20	0.25	0.38	0.22	0.18	0.15	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.08
Making voting more convenient	0.18	0.20	0.05	0.26	0.12	0.25	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.16	0.00	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.17
More information about how to vote	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.17	0.09	0.00	0.13	0.16	0.08	0.15	0.11	0.08	0.18
If voting materials were available in my preferred language	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.07	0.02	0.01	0.00
More accessible voting for people with disabilities	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.12	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.10
transportation assistance in returning my ballot	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.00
language assistance when voting	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.01
None of these/No answer	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.25	0.20	0.12	0.23	0.09	0.15	0.12	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.11	0.12	0.03

Table 32: Q7. Would any of the following things increase your chances of voting in this year's June primary election? Please select as many as apply. (Statewide registered voters answering Q5 as 1 - 7)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	567.00	193.00	178.00	32.00	159.00	77.00	30.00	24.00	23.00	24.00	143.00	34.00	107.00	48.00
weighted n	808.00	235.00	290.00	64.00	211.00	84.00	47.00	41.00	39.00	37.00	250.00	40.00	136.00	68.00
Access to unbiased and trusted news about the election	0.41	0.39	0.42	0.46	0.45	0.39	0.30	0.31	0.63	0.47	0.46	0.18	0.56	0.23
Ballot measures or candidates that advance my interests	0.31	0.36	0.27	0.05	0.37	0.37	0.34	0.41	0.32	0.32	0.29	0.11	0.42	0.24
Differences between the candidates on issues I care about	0.30	0.29	0.27	0.22	0.40	0.31	0.50	0.61	0.54	0.30	0.29	0.11	0.43	0.31
If election results were more trustworthy	0.29	0.25	0.35	0.23	0.26	0.27	0.12	0.20	0.35	0.25	0.39	0.05	0.30	0.18
Assistance to better understand the issues and candidates	0.20	0.12	0.18	0.22	0.34	0.25	0.39	0.48	0.34	0.34	0.19	0.15	0.32	0.35
Ballot measures or candidates that put my interests at risk	0.20	0.23	0.13	0.19	0.25	0.30	0.22	0.24	0.23	0.10	0.14	0.08	0.29	0.20
Making voting more convenient	0.18	0.13	0.19	0.27	0.21	0.23	0.15	0.21	0.14	0.24	0.19	0.16	0.19	0.22
More information about how to vote	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.24	0.24	0.10	0.01	0.15	0.18	0.12	0.17
If voting materials were available in my preferred language	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.13	0.05	0.10	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.14	0.00	0.14
More accessible voting for people with disabilities	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.11	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.00
transportation assistance in returning my ballot	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.00
language assistance when voting	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.10	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.10
None of these/No answer	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.17	0.08	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.23	0.07	0.08

Table 33: Q7. Would any of the following things increase your chances of voting in this year’s June primary election? Please select as many as apply. (Statewide registered voters answering Q5 as 1 - 7)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	567.00	285.00	282.00	192.00	97.00	101.00	110.00	67.00	46.00	21.00	413.00	146.00	146.00	147.00	252.00
weighted n	808.00	399.00	409.00	283.00	176.00	126.00	144.00	79.00	51.00	28.00	605.00	194.00	194.00	220.00	361.00
Access to unbiased and trusted news about the election	0.41	0.40	0.42	0.48	0.47	0.34	0.36	0.22	0.24	0.16	0.43	0.34	0.34	0.45	0.43
Ballot measures or candidates that advance my interests	0.31	0.35	0.26	0.36	0.29	0.23	0.27	0.35	0.43	0.20	0.31	0.29	0.29	0.32	0.31
Differences between the candidates on issues I care about	0.30	0.36	0.24	0.31	0.39	0.24	0.28	0.16	0.21	0.07	0.27	0.39	0.39	0.30	0.26
If election results were more trustworthy	0.29	0.25	0.34	0.24	0.41	0.32	0.21	0.32	0.23	0.48	0.31	0.22	0.22	0.28	0.35
Assistance to better understand the issues and candidates	0.20	0.16	0.24	0.23	0.29	0.10	0.20	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20
Ballot measures or candidates that put my interests at risk	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.23	0.17	0.19	0.25	0.31	0.12	0.21	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.24
Making voting more convenient	0.18	0.15	0.21	0.25	0.22	0.14	0.10	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.19	0.14	0.14	0.21	0.19
More information about how to vote	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.21	0.20	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.00	0.16	0.05	0.05	0.18	0.14
If voting materials were available in my preferred language	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.03
More accessible voting for people with disabilities	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04
transportation assistance in returning my ballot	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03
language assistance when voting	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.01
None of these/No answer	0.14	0.11	0.17	0.09	0.10	0.22	0.14	0.29	0.24	0.37	0.13	0.17	0.17	0.10	0.13

Table 34: Q7. Would any of the following things increase your chances of voting in this year's June primary election? Please select as many as apply. (Statewide registered voters answering Q5 as 1 - 7)

	Education					Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
	Total	High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	567.00	112.00	180.00	173.00	98.00	85.00	78.00	86.00	94.00	125.00	75.00	198.00	357.00	195.00	40.00	82.00	237.00	109.00	444.00
weighted n	808.00	200.00	312.00	197.00	92.00	143.00	134.00	131.00	139.00	155.00	73.00	229.00	560.00	219.00	67.00	120.00	382.00	165.00	621.00
Access to unbiased and trusted news about the election	0.41	0.37	0.45	0.40	0.37	0.33	0.42	0.46	0.45	0.44	0.36	0.34	0.44	0.38	0.47	0.31	0.46	0.57	0.37
Ballot measures or candidates that advance my interests	0.31	0.20	0.30	0.38	0.38	0.19	0.28	0.32	0.26	0.37	0.44	0.35	0.28	0.25	0.30	0.25	0.36	0.38	0.28
Differences between the candidates on issues I care about	0.30	0.19	0.31	0.35	0.34	0.17	0.27	0.31	0.26	0.39	0.37	0.29	0.30	0.27	0.29	0.26	0.32	0.42	0.26
If election results were more trustworthy	0.29	0.25	0.35	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.38	0.34	0.30	0.20	0.26	0.30	0.30	0.36	0.29	0.27	0.34	0.28
Assistance to better understand the issues and candidates	0.20	0.17	0.23	0.15	0.28	0.19	0.29	0.13	0.17	0.20	0.21	0.15	0.22	0.14	0.21	0.18	0.24	0.29	0.18
Ballot measures or candidates that put my interests at risk	0.20	0.08	0.18	0.27	0.34	0.19	0.17	0.21	0.17	0.24	0.22	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.09	0.20	0.21	0.24	0.19
Making voting more convenient	0.18	0.13	0.18	0.23	0.16	0.18	0.22	0.26	0.11	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.24	0.08	0.22	0.11	0.19
More information about how to vote	0.13	0.11	0.16	0.09	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.12	0.20	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.14	0.06	0.13	0.05	0.20	0.11	0.14
If voting materials were available in my preferred language	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.17	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.04
More accessible voting for people with disabilities	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.10	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.02
transportation assistance in returning my ballot	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03
language assistance when voting	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01
None of these/No answer	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.06	0.14	0.11	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.24	0.09	0.11	0.14

Table 35: Q7. Would any of the following things increase your chances of voting in this year’s June primary election? Please select as many as apply.
(Statewide registered voters answering Q5 as 1 - 7)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	567.00	191.00	147.00	229.00	43.00	95.00	242.00	104.00	71.00	166.00	72.00	94.00	82.00	33.00	48.00	308.00	81.00	58.00	169.00	127.00	440.00
weighted n	808.00	254.00	189.00	365.00	49.00	115.00	371.00	164.00	92.00	234.00	91.00	142.00	110.00	43.00	66.00	446.00	115.00	77.00	255.00	118.00	690.00
Access to unbiased and trusted news about the election	0.41	0.37	0.44	0.42	0.34	0.39	0.43	0.43	0.41	0.34	0.33	0.35	0.45	0.39	0.50	0.45	0.56	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41
Ballot measures or candidates that advance my interests	0.31	0.26	0.20	0.39	0.34	0.24	0.30	0.39	0.25	0.27	0.20	0.32	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.37	0.44	0.42	0.32	0.30	0.31
Differences between the candidates on issues I care about	0.30	0.32	0.21	0.33	0.18	0.26	0.30	0.38	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.22	0.34	0.14	0.35	0.50	0.26	0.32	0.34	0.29
If election results were more trustworthy	0.29	0.19	0.42	0.30	0.52	0.30	0.32	0.23	0.14	0.22	0.15	0.26	0.39	0.35	0.43	0.31	0.17	0.50	0.31	0.31	0.29
Assistance to better understand the issues and candidates	0.20	0.26	0.12	0.20	0.15	0.19	0.18	0.32	0.11	0.27	0.15	0.34	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.15	0.33	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.22
Ballot measures or candidates that put my interests at risk	0.20	0.20	0.08	0.26	0.13	0.11	0.20	0.29	0.19	0.23	0.26	0.21	0.08	0.13	0.04	0.22	0.25	0.13	0.22	0.27	0.18
Making voting more convenient	0.18	0.22	0.13	0.17	0.27	0.13	0.14	0.24	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.16	0.13	0.18	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.14	0.07	0.20
More information about how to vote	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.21	0.07	0.11	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.04	0.15
If voting materials were available in my preferred language	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.04
More accessible voting for people with disabilities	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.04
transportation assistance in returning my ballot	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.02
language assistance when voting	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.09	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02
None of these/No answer	0.14	0.17	0.14	0.12	0.16	0.10	0.15	0.07	0.25	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.15	0.15	0.14

Table 36: Q8. Reflecting on the current moment in the U.S., do you feel comfortable voting in person? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Yes, with no reservations	0.65	0.64	0.63	0.67	0.59	0.73	0.66	0.69	0.64	0.67	0.66	0.62	0.67	0.69	0.68	0.64	0.64	0.65
Yes, but with some reservations	0.23	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.27	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.22	0.24	0.25	0.23	0.20	0.22	0.25	0.24	0.21
No, I have too many reservations	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.09
No opinion	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05

Table 37: Q8. Reflecting on the current moment in the U.S., do you feel comfortable voting in person? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Yes, with no reservations	0.65	0.71	0.60	0.62	0.53	0.52	0.54	0.49	0.49	0.59	0.61	0.49	0.52	0.58
Yes, but with some reservations	0.23	0.20	0.27	0.20	0.30	0.29	0.30	0.36	0.32	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.32	0.23
No, I have too many reservations	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.17	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.10
No opinion	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.14	0.05	0.08

Table 38: Q8. Reflecting on the current moment in the U.S., do you feel comfortable voting in person? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Yes, with no reservations	0.65	0.72	0.59	0.49	0.59	0.66	0.74	0.73	0.71	0.75	0.66	0.60	0.60	0.57	0.70
Yes, but with some reservations	0.23	0.19	0.27	0.35	0.27	0.24	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.15	0.23	0.26	0.26	0.28	0.21
No, I have too many reservations	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.06
No opinion	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.04

Table 39: Q8. Reflecting on the current moment in the U.S., do you feel comfortable voting in person? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Yes, with no reservations	0.65	0.58	0.65	0.68	0.69	0.46	0.57	0.59	0.65	0.71	0.76	0.72	0.58	0.71	0.61	0.68	0.56	0.66	0.65
Yes, but with some reservations	0.23	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.35	0.26	0.26	0.24	0.21	0.18	0.19	0.28	0.20	0.31	0.21	0.28	0.23	0.24
No, I have too many reservations	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.07
No opinion	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04

Table 40: Q8. Reflecting on the current moment in the U.S., do you feel comfortable voting in person? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Party registration			Political ideology					Party identification									Voting frequency		
		Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Pure Republican	Regular voter	Not regular voter	
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Yes, with no reservations	0.65	0.60	0.82	0.58	0.83	0.76	0.64	0.60	0.56	0.59	0.62	0.54	0.82	0.85	0.75	0.64	0.57	0.84	0.60	0.71	0.59
Yes, but with some reservations	0.23	0.28	0.11	0.27	0.12	0.18	0.20	0.26	0.33	0.28	0.27	0.30	0.12	0.09	0.17	0.24	0.31	0.10	0.22	0.20	0.27
No, I have too many reservations	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.09
No opinion	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.09	0.03	0.06

Table 41: Q9. How confident are you about the overall integrity of the election system here in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Extremely confident	0.24	0.25	0.20	0.23	0.20	0.29	0.22	0.26	0.18	0.29	0.22	0.25	0.26	0.19	0.23	0.24	0.27	0.36
Very confident	0.24	0.26	0.23	0.25	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.20	0.16	0.30	0.19	0.27	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.27	0.27	0.17
Moderately confident	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.18	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.17	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.19
Not very confident	0.13	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.13
Not at all confident	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.17	0.21	0.15	0.22	0.20	0.24	0.11	0.25	0.12	0.17	0.24	0.21	0.13	0.09	0.12
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02

Table 42: Q9. How confident are you about the overall integrity of the election system here in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Extremely confident	0.24	0.29	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.18	0.14	0.24	0.21	0.14	0.20	0.12
Very confident	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.26	0.27	0.28	0.25	0.19	0.24	0.32	0.21	0.29	0.28	0.21
Moderately confident	0.21	0.17	0.23	0.22	0.29	0.25	0.34	0.33	0.36	0.22	0.21	0.35	0.30	0.27
Not very confident	0.13	0.13	0.17	0.09	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.08	0.17	0.14	0.09	0.18
Not at all confident	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.17	0.07	0.10	0.18
No opinion	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03

Table 43: Q9. How confident are you about the overall integrity of the election system here in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Extremely confident	0.24	0.26	0.23	0.13	0.18	0.25	0.27	0.33	0.32	0.35	0.26	0.18	0.18	0.22	0.28
Very confident	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.23	0.30	0.30	0.23	0.23
Moderately confident	0.21	0.19	0.22	0.33	0.28	0.18	0.17	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.20	0.23	0.23	0.28	0.17
Not very confident	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.17	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.14
Not at all confident	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.08	0.16	0.17	0.21	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.18
No opinion	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01

Table 44: Q9. How confident are you about the overall integrity of the election system here in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education					Household income					Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Extremely confident	0.24	0.19	0.20	0.26	0.35	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.24	0.26	0.32	0.29	0.20	0.28	0.20	0.27	0.19	0.25	0.24
Very confident	0.24	0.19	0.21	0.27	0.29	0.18	0.24	0.21	0.24	0.24	0.30	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.27	0.23
Moderately confident	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.15	0.34	0.23	0.23	0.20	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.26	0.16	0.26	0.17	0.29	0.18	0.21
Not very confident	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.14
Not at all confident	0.16	0.20	0.19	0.13	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.12	0.18	0.13	0.18	0.15	0.18	0.12	0.17	0.16
No opinion	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02

Table 45: Q9. How confident are you about the overall integrity of the election system here in California? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Extremely confident	0.24	0.37	0.05	0.21	0.08	0.09	0.20	0.38	0.36	0.37	0.44	0.22	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.19	0.34	0.02	0.13	0.33	0.15
Very confident	0.24	0.34	0.08	0.23	0.03	0.13	0.23	0.33	0.35	0.34	0.33	0.37	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.21	0.33	0.06	0.15	0.25	0.22
Moderately confident	0.21	0.20	0.16	0.25	0.12	0.20	0.24	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.16	0.29	0.15	0.11	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.12	0.29	0.14	0.28
Not very confident	0.13	0.05	0.28	0.15	0.22	0.28	0.16	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.27	0.28	0.26	0.17	0.06	0.37	0.18	0.11	0.16
Not at all confident	0.16	0.03	0.43	0.13	0.54	0.29	0.15	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.47	0.54	0.31	0.17	0.01	0.43	0.21	0.16	0.16
No opinion	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.00	0.03

Table 46: Q10. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Election rules should be changed to allow election officials to count ballots faster, even if that means that they could no longer be sure that every single ballot from every eligible voter is counted? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region											Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Agree (net)	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.12
Strongly agree	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.06
Somewhat agree	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06
Neither agree nor disagree	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.13
Disagree (net)	0.72	0.68	0.72	0.77	0.72	0.75	0.74	0.77	0.71	0.74	0.73	0.71	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.75	0.76	0.72
Somewhat disagree	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.12	0.17	0.10	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.14	0.18	0.18	0.13
Strongly disagree	0.57	0.53	0.55	0.59	0.57	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.57	0.63	0.56	0.58	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.58	0.59
No opinion	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03

Table 47: Q10. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Election rules should be changed to allow election officials to count ballots faster, even if that means that they could no longer be sure that every single ballot from every eligible voter is counted? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race/Ethnicity										Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Agree (net)	0.13	0.10	0.15	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.22	0.26	0.14	0.10	0.10	0.46	0.11	0.32
Strongly agree	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.13	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.19	0.04	0.18
Somewhat agree	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.08	0.08	0.13	0.14	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.27	0.07	0.14
Neither agree nor disagree	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.11	0.16	0.22	0.15	0.11	0.18	0.15	0.16
Disagree (net)	0.72	0.78	0.69	0.69	0.66	0.65	0.62	0.50	0.63	0.73	0.75	0.32	0.72	0.41
Somewhat disagree	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.19	0.22	0.20	0.11	0.13	0.23	0.14	0.13	0.20	0.17
Strongly disagree	0.57	0.62	0.54	0.60	0.47	0.43	0.42	0.38	0.50	0.50	0.60	0.18	0.52	0.24
No opinion	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.12

Table 48: Q10. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Election rules should be changed to allow election officials to count ballots faster, even if that means that they could no longer be sure that every single ballot from every eligible voter is counted? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Agree (net)	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.13	0.18	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.10	0.24	0.24	0.10	0.10
Strongly agree	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.12	0.12	0.04	0.05
Somewhat agree	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.13	0.13	0.06	0.05
Neither agree nor disagree	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.16	0.16	0.12	0.09
Disagree (net)	0.72	0.70	0.74	0.74	0.76	0.71	0.70	0.72	0.70	0.75	0.76	0.55	0.55	0.73	0.77
Somewhat disagree	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.15
Strongly disagree	0.57	0.55	0.59	0.56	0.59	0.58	0.53	0.57	0.56	0.59	0.60	0.40	0.40	0.55	0.63
No opinion	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03

Table 49: Q10. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Election rules should be changed to allow election officials to count ballots faster, even if that means that they could no longer be sure that every single ballot from every eligible voter is counted? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Agree (net)	0.13	0.17	0.12	0.13	0.10	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.09	0.15	0.09	0.11	0.13
Strongly agree	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.06
Somewhat agree	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.07
Neither agree nor disagree	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.10	0.09	0.18	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.10	0.14	0.11	0.13	0.08	0.12
Disagree (net)	0.72	0.61	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.57	0.67	0.69	0.74	0.78	0.78	0.74	0.71	0.72	0.73	0.71	0.74	0.77	0.71
Somewhat disagree	0.16	0.12	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.16
Strongly disagree	0.57	0.49	0.58	0.57	0.60	0.44	0.52	0.56	0.57	0.61	0.59	0.58	0.55	0.57	0.55	0.56	0.58	0.62	0.55
No opinion	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04

Table 50: Q10. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Election rules should be changed to allow election officials to count ballots faster, even if that means that they could no longer be sure that every single ballot from every eligible voter is counted? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Agree (net)	0.13	0.09	0.20	0.11	0.31	0.20	0.13	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.21	0.23	0.17	0.11	0.05	0.22	0.11	0.12	0.13
Strongly agree	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.05	0.19	0.09	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.12	0.14	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.11	0.06	0.05	0.06
Somewhat agree	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.03	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.07
Neither agree nor disagree	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.14	0.10	0.11	0.17	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.09	0.13
Disagree (net)	0.72	0.78	0.65	0.70	0.56	0.66	0.65	0.84	0.84	0.77	0.79	0.72	0.65	0.63	0.68	0.71	0.81	0.61	0.65	0.76	0.68
Somewhat disagree	0.16	0.17	0.12	0.17	0.09	0.14	0.15	0.23	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.18	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.14
Strongly disagree	0.57	0.61	0.53	0.52	0.47	0.52	0.50	0.61	0.69	0.60	0.62	0.55	0.52	0.51	0.56	0.55	0.63	0.47	0.52	0.59	0.54
No opinion	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.09	0.02	0.05

Table 51: Q11. Would you support or oppose the state spending tens of millions of dollars for updated equipment and increased election staffing, if that would result in ballots being counted more quickly? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Support (net)	0.44	0.42	0.48	0.48	0.45	0.44	0.42	0.41	0.42	0.43	0.37	0.45	0.43	0.42	0.46	0.44	0.41	0.42
Strongly support	0.19	0.18	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.14	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.21	0.18	0.15	0.19
Somewhat support	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.29	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.22	0.26	0.23	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.23
Neither support nor oppose	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.24	0.22	0.23	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.24	0.19	0.23	0.26	0.23
Oppose (net)	0.31	0.32	0.29	0.28	0.33	0.28	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.30	0.38	0.30	0.33	0.31	0.32	0.31	0.30	0.32
Somewhat oppose	0.16	0.17	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.24	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.17
Strongly oppose	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.13	0.19	0.11	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.13	0.14
No opinion	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03

Table 52: Q11. Would you support or oppose the state spending tens of millions of dollars for updated equipment and increased election staffing, if that would result in ballots being counted more quickly? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Support (net)	0.44	0.42	0.44	0.50	0.45	0.40	0.50	0.44	0.53	0.39	0.42	0.51	0.47	0.37
Strongly support	0.19	0.17	0.20	0.24	0.19	0.16	0.20	0.16	0.25	0.18	0.19	0.25	0.19	0.18
Somewhat support	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.26	0.23	0.30	0.28	0.28	0.21	0.23	0.26	0.27	0.19
Neither support nor oppose	0.22	0.22	0.19	0.21	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.24	0.26	0.20	0.16	0.25	0.28
Oppose (net)	0.31	0.33	0.33	0.27	0.26	0.29	0.20	0.25	0.22	0.29	0.34	0.28	0.25	0.31
Somewhat oppose	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.16	0.11	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.14
Strongly oppose	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.13	0.17	0.14	0.12	0.17
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.05

Table 53: Q11. Would you support or oppose the state spending tens of millions of dollars for updated equipment and increased election staffing, if that would result in ballots being counted more quickly? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Support (net)	0.44	0.49	0.39	0.41	0.40	0.42	0.45	0.46	0.48	0.44	0.43	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.43
Strongly support	0.19	0.22	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.23	0.18	0.18	0.22	0.22	0.18	0.18
Somewhat support	0.25	0.27	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.25
Neither support nor oppose	0.22	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.21	0.19	0.22	0.21	0.24	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.21
Oppose (net)	0.31	0.28	0.34	0.31	0.31	0.34	0.32	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.32	0.27	0.27	0.30	0.33
Somewhat oppose	0.16	0.14	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.16
Strongly oppose	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.17
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03

Table 54: Q11. Would you support or oppose the state spending tens of millions of dollars for updated equipment and increased election staffing, if that would result in ballots being counted more quickly? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Support (net)	0.44	0.42	0.42	0.47	0.44	0.41	0.43	0.42	0.47	0.44	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.43	0.44	0.42	0.45	0.43
Strongly support	0.19	0.21	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.19	0.23	0.16	0.20	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.18
Somewhat support	0.25	0.20	0.23	0.29	0.28	0.22	0.21	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.24	0.26	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.25
Neither support nor oppose	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.24	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.24	0.23	0.22
Oppose (net)	0.31	0.31	0.33	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.31	0.33	0.27	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.29	0.31	0.34	0.33	0.30	0.30	0.32
Somewhat oppose	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.19	0.13	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.16
Strongly oppose	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.15
No opinion	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03

Table 55: Q11. Would you support or oppose the state spending tens of millions of dollars for updated equipment and increased election staffing, if that would result in ballots being counted more quickly? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Support (net)	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.41	0.50	0.45	0.39	0.43	0.46	0.45	0.47	0.39	0.47	0.51	0.38	0.41	0.44	0.47	0.35	0.46	0.40
Strongly support	0.19	0.18	0.23	0.16	0.31	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.18	0.20	0.13	0.25	0.29	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.21	0.13	0.19	0.18
Somewhat support	0.25	0.27	0.22	0.25	0.19	0.25	0.23	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.27	0.25	0.21	0.27	0.23
Neither support nor oppose	0.22	0.24	0.16	0.23	0.14	0.18	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.17	0.15	0.21	0.21	0.25	0.13	0.22	0.22	0.22
Oppose (net)	0.31	0.28	0.36	0.32	0.33	0.35	0.34	0.32	0.25	0.28	0.27	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.39	0.34	0.29	0.37	0.37	0.30	0.33
Somewhat oppose	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.18	0.12	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.19	0.14	0.13	0.18	0.16	0.18	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.16
Strongly oppose	0.15	0.12	0.22	0.15	0.22	0.18	0.17	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.12	0.22	0.21	0.15	0.16
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.05

Table 56: Q12. Would you support or oppose the creation of a state funded "democracy fund" dedicated to support election offices, translate more materials, expand access to voting, and pay for community groups to conduct voter education? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Support (net)	0.61	0.64	0.58	0.61	0.59	0.61	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.66	0.57	0.66	0.57	0.54	0.57	0.63	0.66	0.66
Support	0.29	0.32	0.25	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.25	0.27	0.24	0.30	0.27	0.32	0.26	0.24	0.27	0.30	0.31	0.29
strongly Support	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.32	0.27	0.26	0.27	0.36	0.30	0.34	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.33	0.35	0.38
somewhat																		
Oppose (net)	0.31	0.27	0.36	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.39	0.40	0.39	0.26	0.34	0.26	0.35	0.38	0.35	0.31	0.26	0.25
Oppose	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.13
somewhat																		
Oppose	0.18	0.15	0.23	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.15	0.21	0.14	0.20	0.25	0.23	0.17	0.13	0.12
strongly																		
No opinion	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.08

Table 57: Q12. Would you support or oppose the creation of a state funded "democracy fund" dedicated to support election offices, translate more materials, expand access to voting, and pay for community groups to conduct voter education? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Support (net)	0.61	0.58	0.64	0.66	0.69	0.59	0.75	0.70	0.76	0.68	0.62	0.73	0.72	0.54
Support	0.29	0.27	0.33	0.30	0.31	0.23	0.39	0.28	0.34	0.33	0.32	0.36	0.34	0.18
strongly Support	0.32	0.31	0.31	0.36	0.38	0.36	0.37	0.42	0.42	0.35	0.30	0.37	0.38	0.36
somewhat														
Oppose (net)	0.31	0.34	0.28	0.26	0.24	0.29	0.20	0.27	0.19	0.27	0.30	0.18	0.21	0.34
Oppose	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.20	0.08	0.15	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.17
somewhat														
Oppose	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.11	0.11	0.15	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.11	0.19	0.06	0.10	0.17
strongly														
No opinion	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.13

Table 58: Q12. Would you support or oppose the creation of a state funded "democracy fund" dedicated to support election offices, translate more materials, expand access to voting, and pay for community groups to conduct voter education? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Nativity of Voter			Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Support (net)	0.61	0.56	0.65	0.74	0.64	0.58	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.53	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.68	0.58
Support	0.29	0.27	0.30	0.38	0.33	0.27	0.25	0.23	0.25	0.20	0.29	0.27	0.27	0.35	0.27
strongly															
Support	0.32	0.29	0.35	0.36	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.32	0.31	0.33	0.32	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.31
somewhat															
Oppose (net)	0.31	0.36	0.27	0.18	0.27	0.34	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.25	0.34
Oppose	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.14
somewhat															
Oppose	0.18	0.22	0.14	0.08	0.16	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.13	0.20
strongly															
No opinion	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.08

Table 59: Q12. Would you support or oppose the creation of a state funded "democracy fund" dedicated to support election offices, translate more materials, expand access to voting, and pay for community groups to conduct voter education? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Support (net)	0.61	0.60	0.58	0.61	0.68	0.65	0.63	0.63	0.62	0.58	0.60	0.55	0.67	0.57	0.63	0.56	0.70	0.63	0.60
Support	0.29	0.32	0.27	0.28	0.30	0.34	0.31	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.26	0.23	0.34	0.25	0.35	0.24	0.35	0.32	0.28
strongly																			
Support	0.32	0.28	0.31	0.33	0.38	0.31	0.33	0.34	0.33	0.31	0.34	0.31	0.33	0.31	0.28	0.32	0.35	0.32	0.32
somewhat																			
Oppose (net)	0.31	0.27	0.34	0.33	0.26	0.21	0.29	0.25	0.31	0.35	0.35	0.39	0.24	0.37	0.28	0.35	0.21	0.31	0.31
Oppose	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.07	0.15	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.10	0.15	0.11	0.15	0.10	0.12	0.14
somewhat																			
Oppose	0.18	0.16	0.20	0.19	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.14	0.22	0.17	0.19	0.12	0.19	0.18
strongly																			
No opinion	0.08	0.13	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.14	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.08

Table 60: Q12. Would you support or oppose the creation of a state funded "democracy fund" dedicated to support election offices, translate more materials, expand access to voting, and pay for community groups to conduct voter education? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Support (net)	0.61	0.80	0.26	0.60	0.27	0.35	0.51	0.75	0.91	0.83	0.86	0.75	0.28	0.25	0.34	0.52	0.77	0.20	0.42	0.59	0.62
Support	0.29	0.41	0.08	0.27	0.13	0.09	0.18	0.32	0.58	0.41	0.46	0.31	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.24	0.41	0.05	0.17	0.27	0.30
strongly Support	0.32	0.39	0.18	0.33	0.15	0.26	0.34	0.43	0.33	0.41	0.41	0.44	0.19	0.16	0.26	0.27	0.36	0.15	0.25	0.32	0.32
somewhat																					
Oppose (net)	0.31	0.13	0.65	0.31	0.64	0.59	0.37	0.17	0.05	0.12	0.09	0.17	0.63	0.66	0.58	0.38	0.16	0.74	0.44	0.34	0.29
Oppose	0.13	0.08	0.20	0.15	0.15	0.24	0.18	0.11	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.13	0.21	0.20	0.23	0.16	0.11	0.24	0.17	0.13	0.13
somewhat																					
Oppose	0.18	0.05	0.44	0.15	0.49	0.35	0.19	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.42	0.46	0.35	0.23	0.05	0.49	0.27	0.21	0.15
strongly																					
No opinion	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.15	0.07	0.09

Table 61: Q12x. Would you support or oppose state and local governments devoting funding and resources to community organizations and other non-partisan voter outreach groups to provide information and resources to voters alerting them as to when elections are being held and their options for returning their ballot? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very di-verse	Somewhat di-verse	Not too di-verse	Not at all di-verse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Support (net)	0.64	0.66	0.58	0.66	0.66	0.63	0.58	0.61	0.55	0.68	0.54	0.68	0.62	0.58	0.60	0.67	0.69	0.65
Support	0.32	0.33	0.26	0.32	0.33	0.33	0.29	0.30	0.29	0.35	0.32	0.35	0.31	0.28	0.30	0.34	0.35	0.33
strongly Support	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.35	0.33	0.30	0.29	0.32	0.26	0.33	0.22	0.32	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.33	0.35	0.32
somewhat																		
Oppose (net)	0.27	0.24	0.35	0.26	0.27	0.29	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.22	0.36	0.23	0.29	0.32	0.30	0.26	0.21	0.26
Oppose	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.16
somewhat																		
Oppose	0.15	0.13	0.21	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.12	0.16	0.13	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.14	0.09	0.09
strongly																		
No opinion	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.10

Table 62: Q12x. Would you support or oppose state and local governments devoting funding and resources to community organizations and other non-partisan voter outreach groups to provide information and resources to voters alerting them as to when elections are being held and their options for returning their ballot? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race/Ethnicity										Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Support (net)	0.64	0.64	0.63	0.72	0.69	0.67	0.67	0.66	0.74	0.66	0.62	0.74	0.72	0.56
Support	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.43	0.33	0.28	0.34	0.24	0.35	0.35	0.33	0.33	0.36	0.16
strongly Support	0.32	0.32	0.30	0.29	0.37	0.38	0.32	0.42	0.38	0.31	0.29	0.41	0.36	0.39
somewhat														
Oppose (net)	0.27	0.29	0.26	0.17	0.22	0.21	0.26	0.29	0.22	0.26	0.27	0.18	0.20	0.35
Oppose	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.07	0.13	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.20
somewhat														
Oppose	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.15	0.07	0.09	0.15
strongly														
No opinion	0.09	0.07	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.12	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.09

Table 63: Q12x. Would you support or oppose state and local governments devoting funding and resources to community organizations and other non-partisan voter outreach groups to provide information and resources to voters alerting them as to when elections are being held and their options for returning their ballot? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Support (net)	0.64	0.61	0.66	0.73	0.63	0.60	0.62	0.63	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.67	0.63
Support	0.32	0.31	0.34	0.38	0.36	0.28	0.29	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.28	0.28	0.35	0.33
strongly Support	0.32	0.30	0.33	0.35	0.27	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.36	0.36	0.31	0.31
somewhat															
Oppose (net)	0.27	0.31	0.24	0.14	0.26	0.29	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.24	0.28
Oppose	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12
somewhat															
Oppose	0.15	0.18	0.12	0.05	0.14	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.16
strongly															
No opinion	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09

Table 64: Q12x. Would you support or oppose state and local governments devoting funding and resources to community organizations and other non-partisan voter outreach groups to provide information and resources to voters alerting them as to when elections are being held and their options for returning their ballot? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some col- lege/ trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not mar- ried/ live to- gether	Separated/ divorced/ widowed	Single/ never mar- ried	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Support (net)	0.64	0.61	0.62	0.65	0.70	0.64	0.67	0.66	0.66	0.63	0.63	0.60	0.68	0.62	0.67	0.63	0.68	0.65	0.64
Support	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.31	0.36	0.33	0.38	0.34	0.32	0.32	0.30	0.29	0.36	0.30	0.34	0.32	0.36	0.33	0.32
strongly Support	0.32	0.28	0.31	0.34	0.34	0.31	0.29	0.32	0.34	0.31	0.33	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.32
somewhat																			
Oppose (net)	0.27	0.22	0.29	0.28	0.24	0.19	0.22	0.21	0.25	0.31	0.31	0.33	0.20	0.32	0.22	0.28	0.19	0.27	0.27
Oppose	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.09	0.15	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.12
somewhat																			
Oppose	0.15	0.12	0.16	0.16	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.17	0.12	0.17	0.09	0.16	0.14
strongly																			
No opinion	0.09	0.16	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.17	0.11	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.09

Table 65: Q12x. Would you support or oppose state and local governments devoting funding and resources to community organizations and other non-partisan voter outreach groups to provide information and resources to voters alerting them as to when elections are being held and their options for returning their ballot? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification									Voting frequency	
		Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Support (net)	0.64	0.81	0.35	0.62	0.35	0.43	0.56	0.80	0.87	0.82	0.85	0.75	0.36	0.32	0.45	0.57	0.80	0.32	0.47	0.64	0.64
Support	0.32	0.45	0.12	0.30	0.17	0.15	0.21	0.41	0.56	0.45	0.50	0.35	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.27	0.45	0.09	0.18	0.32	0.32
strongly Support	0.32	0.36	0.23	0.32	0.18	0.28	0.35	0.39	0.30	0.36	0.35	0.40	0.24	0.20	0.33	0.30	0.35	0.23	0.29	0.31	0.32
somewhat																					
Oppose (net)	0.27	0.13	0.54	0.26	0.56	0.48	0.30	0.13	0.08	0.12	0.10	0.16	0.53	0.58	0.42	0.31	0.13	0.59	0.37	0.30	0.23
Oppose	0.12	0.08	0.20	0.13	0.15	0.22	0.15	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.14	0.08	0.18	0.17	0.13	0.11
somewhat																					
Oppose	0.15	0.05	0.34	0.13	0.41	0.26	0.15	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.33	0.37	0.24	0.18	0.05	0.41	0.20	0.17	0.12
strongly																					
No opinion	0.09	0.06	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.13	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.17	0.06	0.12

Table 66: Q13(1). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California? - People who are not eligible to vote are voting illegally (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
A major problem	0.34	0.30	0.38	0.35	0.47	0.29	0.39	0.40	0.38	0.26	0.39	0.30	0.36	0.41	0.40	0.32	0.25	0.27
Somewhat of a problem	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.10
Not much of a problem	0.16	0.17	0.19	0.20	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.20	0.18
Not a problem at all	0.33	0.35	0.27	0.33	0.27	0.42	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.41	0.30	0.35	0.33	0.27	0.30	0.35	0.40	0.39
Don't know	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.12	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07

Table 67: Q13(1). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California? - People who are not eligible to vote are voting illegally (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
A major problem	0.34	0.30	0.38	0.34	0.33	0.35	0.35	0.37	0.39	0.29	0.34	0.59	0.27	0.63
Somewhat of a problem	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.16	0.17	0.07	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.15
Not much of a problem	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.20	0.19	0.24	0.22	0.14	0.19	0.15	0.09	0.22	0.08
Not a problem at all	0.33	0.39	0.30	0.27	0.28	0.26	0.17	0.18	0.29	0.35	0.34	0.08	0.33	0.04
Don't know	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.14	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.12	0.04	0.08	0.12	0.07	0.09

Table 68: Q13(1). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California? - People who are not eligible to vote are voting illegally (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
A major problem	0.34	0.37	0.31	0.22	0.31	0.37	0.41	0.36	0.39	0.33	0.31	0.47	0.47	0.28	0.32
Somewhat of a problem	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.14	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.09
Not much of a problem	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.22	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.21	0.17	0.12	0.12	0.20	0.17
Not a problem at all	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.31	0.38	0.36	0.31	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.36	0.23	0.23	0.35	0.36
Don't know	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06

Table 69: Q13(1). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California? - People who are not eligible to vote are voting illegally (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education					Household income					Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
A major problem	0.34	0.42	0.40	0.29	0.20	0.31	0.36	0.38	0.36	0.34	0.26	0.37	0.30	0.38	0.28	0.38	0.26	0.31	0.35
Somewhat of a problem	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.10
Not much of a problem	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.20	0.14	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.20	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.16	0.17
Not a problem at all	0.33	0.22	0.28	0.39	0.48	0.28	0.27	0.29	0.31	0.37	0.42	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.40	0.31	0.35	0.39	0.32
Don't know	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.15	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.07

Table 70: Q13(1). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California? - People who are not eligible to vote are voting illegally (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
A major problem	0.34	0.14	0.75	0.30	0.83	0.65	0.38	0.12	0.05	0.14	0.13	0.16	0.79	0.86	0.65	0.35	0.09	0.75	0.41	0.31	0.37
Somewhat of a problem	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.14	0.14	0.08	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.14	0.11	0.07	0.15	0.14	0.08	0.11
Not much of a problem	0.16	0.21	0.05	0.19	0.02	0.10	0.16	0.25	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.24	0.05	0.03	0.11	0.16	0.24	0.04	0.13	0.16	0.16
Not a problem at all	0.33	0.51	0.04	0.32	0.03	0.06	0.21	0.47	0.67	0.49	0.55	0.38	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.30	0.53	0.01	0.21	0.40	0.26
Don't know	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.02	0.05	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.05	0.10

Table 71: Q13(2). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California?
- Vote-by-mail ballots being rejected by their local voting registrars because a voter's signature styles on their ballot is not consistent with their signature style on file (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
A major problem	0.23	0.25	0.22	0.23	0.28	0.20	0.24	0.19	0.28	0.18	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.26	0.21	0.20	0.26
Somewhat of a problem	0.26	0.25	0.29	0.29	0.24	0.19	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.21	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.27	0.18
Not much of a problem	0.20	0.22	0.20	0.17	0.15	0.23	0.19	0.23	0.15	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.22	0.21	0.22
Not a problem at all	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.12	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.11	0.16
Don't know	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.22	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.21	0.18

Table 72: Q13(2). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California?
- Vote-by-mail ballots being rejected by their local voting registrars because a voter's signature styles on their ballot is not consistent with their signature style on file (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
A major problem	0.23	0.17	0.30	0.29	0.26	0.18	0.33	0.39	0.29	0.27	0.27	0.53	0.25	0.31
Somewhat of a problem	0.26	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.32	0.31	0.29	0.22	0.34	0.34	0.27	0.24	0.31	0.36
Not much of a problem	0.20	0.25	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.19	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.20	0.16	0.09	0.17	0.15
Not a problem at all	0.14	0.16	0.11	0.16	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.06	0.11	0.10
Don't know	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.22	0.13	0.10	0.14	0.09	0.18	0.08	0.17	0.08

Table 73: Q13(2). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California?
- Vote-by-mail ballots being rejected by their local voting registrars because a voter's signature styles on their ballot is not consistent with their signature style on file (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
A major problem	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.28	0.23	0.23	0.20	0.21	0.17	0.21	0.32	0.32	0.23	0.20
Somewhat of a problem	0.26	0.24	0.27	0.32	0.27	0.24	0.22	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.27	0.27	0.30	0.24
Not much of a problem	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.22	0.26	0.24	0.30	0.21	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.23
Not a problem at all	0.14	0.17	0.11	0.06	0.11	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.16
Don't know	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.23	0.19	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.18	0.12	0.12	0.19	0.18

Table 74: Q13(2). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California?
- Vote-by-mail ballots being rejected by their local voting registrars because a voter's signature styles on their ballot is not consistent with their signature style on file (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
A major problem	0.23	0.30	0.27	0.18	0.15	0.29	0.34	0.27	0.24	0.19	0.15	0.20	0.26	0.22	0.29	0.22	0.24	0.21	0.23
Somewhat of a problem	0.26	0.24	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.23	0.24	0.28	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.29	0.27	0.25
Not much of a problem	0.20	0.15	0.19	0.22	0.26	0.14	0.13	0.19	0.20	0.23	0.26	0.24	0.16	0.22	0.19	0.21	0.17	0.22	0.20
Not a problem at all	0.14	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.11	0.16	0.10	0.16	0.10	0.14	0.14
Don't know	0.17	0.20	0.15	0.19	0.18	0.22	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.19	0.16	0.19	0.15	0.20	0.16	0.17

Table 75: Q13(2). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California?
 - Vote-by-mail ballots being rejected by their local voting registrars because a voter's signature styles on their ballot is not consistent with their signature style on file (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Party registration			Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
A major problem	0.23	0.21	0.26	0.23	0.32	0.25	0.25	0.16	0.20	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.26	0.28	0.22	0.23	0.19	0.23	0.27	0.19	0.28
Somewhat of a problem	0.26	0.27	0.21	0.27	0.16	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.30	0.20	0.17	0.27	0.25	0.30	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.27
Not much of a problem	0.20	0.23	0.18	0.18	0.13	0.21	0.19	0.26	0.20	0.22	0.23	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.22	0.16	0.26	0.14
Not a problem at all	0.14	0.11	0.22	0.12	0.29	0.18	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.23	0.27	0.15	0.13	0.08	0.24	0.13	0.16	0.12
Don't know	0.17	0.19	0.13	0.19	0.10	0.11	0.18	0.19	0.22	0.17	0.17	0.19	0.12	0.10	0.15	0.19	0.22	0.12	0.21	0.16	0.19

Table 76: Q13(3). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California? - Attempts by the Federal Government and FBI to interfere with the administration of elections in California (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
A major problem	0.43	0.44	0.39	0.42	0.50	0.44	0.36	0.39	0.34	0.45	0.40	0.45	0.43	0.37	0.41	0.44	0.46	0.45
Somewhat of a problem	0.17	0.19	0.15	0.18	0.11	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.21	0.19	0.11	0.19	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.22	0.17
Not much of a problem	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.06
Not a problem at all	0.19	0.16	0.24	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.24	0.26	0.22	0.13	0.27	0.15	0.21	0.27	0.24	0.18	0.11	0.17
Don't know	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.14

Table 77: Q13(3). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California? - Attempts by the Federal Government and FBI to interfere with the administration of elections in California (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
A major problem	0.43	0.43	0.44	0.49	0.41	0.33	0.46	0.42	0.43	0.41	0.43	0.47	0.44	0.27
Somewhat of a problem	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.21	0.27	0.12	0.10	0.18	0.22	0.16	0.25	0.21	0.20
Not much of a problem	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.05	0.11	0.14	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.13
Not a problem at all	0.19	0.21	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.10	0.17	0.22	0.14	0.17	0.17	0.05	0.11	0.25
Don't know	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.15

Table 78: Q13(3). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California? - Attempts by the Federal Government and FBI to interfere with the administration of elections in California (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
A major problem	0.43	0.41	0.45	0.38	0.43	0.43	0.42	0.47	0.48	0.46	0.43	0.41	0.41	0.43	0.44
Somewhat of a problem	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.24	0.18	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.20	0.20	0.17	0.17
Not much of a problem	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.09
Not a problem at all	0.19	0.23	0.15	0.07	0.15	0.18	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.23	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.21
Don't know	0.11	0.08	0.13	0.19	0.13	0.12	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.14	0.09

Table 79: Q13(3). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California? - Attempts by the Federal Government and FBI to interfere with the administration of elections in California (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education					Household income					Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
A major problem	0.43	0.41	0.44	0.41	0.47	0.47	0.50	0.39	0.43	0.43	0.39	0.42	0.44	0.43	0.42	0.45	0.42	0.45	0.43
Somewhat of a problem	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.20	0.16	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.14	0.22	0.17	0.17
Not much of a problem	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10
Not a problem at all	0.19	0.17	0.21	0.18	0.16	0.11	0.15	0.17	0.20	0.23	0.19	0.23	0.14	0.23	0.17	0.21	0.12	0.19	0.19
Don't know	0.11	0.15	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.17	0.10	0.15	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.14	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.15	0.09	0.11

Table 80: Q13(3). Please indicate the extent to which you feel each of the following statements are a problem or not a problem here in California? - Attempts by the Federal Government and FBI to interfere with the administration of elections in California (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Re- pub- lican	Not strong Re- pub- lican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
A major problem	0.43	0.58	0.14	0.45	0.13	0.19	0.42	0.57	0.60	0.58	0.62	0.48	0.10	0.06	0.18	0.42	0.63	0.09	0.38	0.46	0.40
Somewhat of a problem	0.17	0.21	0.08	0.19	0.08	0.12	0.18	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.17	0.20	0.07	0.18	0.16	0.19
Not much of a problem	0.10	0.07	0.16	0.10	0.09	0.19	0.12	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.16	0.13	0.23	0.11	0.07	0.19	0.12	0.09	0.11
Not a problem at all	0.19	0.04	0.52	0.14	0.62	0.39	0.17	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.57	0.66	0.36	0.19	0.01	0.56	0.17	0.21	0.17
Don't know	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.17	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.15	0.08	0.14

Table 81: Q14(1). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Younger voters voting at much lower rates than older voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
A major concern	0.56	0.60	0.50	0.56	0.56	0.53	0.51	0.48	0.54	0.59	0.54	0.59	0.54	0.50	0.55	0.58	0.57	0.55
A minor concern	0.24	0.21	0.27	0.24	0.22	0.28	0.23	0.26	0.21	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.24	0.26	0.22	0.24	0.27	0.21
Not a concern	0.15	0.12	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.21	0.17	0.12	0.18	0.12	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.14	0.11	0.15
No opinion	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.09

Table 82: Q14(1). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Younger voters voting at much lower rates than older voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
A major concern	0.56	0.52	0.61	0.65	0.62	0.53	0.72	0.72	0.65	0.62	0.59	0.75	0.65	0.47
A minor concern	0.24	0.28	0.19	0.17	0.21	0.28	0.13	0.15	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.11	0.21	0.24
Not a concern	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.05	0.11	0.16
No opinion	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.04	0.13

Table 83: Q14(1). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Younger voters voting at much lower rates than older voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Generation	Second Generation	Third+ Generation
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
A major concern	0.56	0.50	0.61	0.66	0.57	0.57	0.52	0.51	0.53	0.48	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.54
A minor concern	0.24	0.26	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.24	0.30	0.24	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.26
Not a concern	0.15	0.18	0.11	0.08	0.14	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.16
No opinion	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05

Table 84: Q14(1). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Younger voters voting at much lower rates than older voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
A major concern	0.56	0.57	0.57	0.54	0.57	0.60	0.64	0.59	0.56	0.55	0.52	0.51	0.62	0.53	0.58	0.54	0.62	0.57	0.56
A minor concern	0.24	0.18	0.23	0.27	0.25	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.23	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.21	0.25	0.21	0.26	0.21	0.23	0.24
Not a concern	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.18	0.16	0.13	0.10	0.15	0.15
No opinion	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.06

Table 85: Q14(1). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Younger voters voting at much lower rates than older voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification									Voting frequency		
Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter	
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
A major concern	0.56	0.70	0.30	0.56	0.31	0.37	0.50	0.65	0.78	0.72	0.74	0.68	0.29	0.27	0.34	0.51	0.69	0.24	0.47	0.53	0.59
A minor concern	0.24	0.21	0.29	0.23	0.26	0.31	0.24	0.24	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.22	0.30	0.28	0.33	0.25	0.24	0.35	0.21	0.27	0.20
Not a concern	0.15	0.05	0.34	0.12	0.35	0.27	0.17	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.34	0.37	0.27	0.16	0.04	0.35	0.20	0.16	0.14
No opinion	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.13	0.04	0.07

Table 86: Q14(2). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Latino, Black, Asian American, and American Indian voters voting at much lower rates than white voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region											Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	South Central Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
A major concern	0.55	0.61	0.46	0.55	0.56	0.54	0.51	0.49	0.53	0.57	0.51	0.60	0.53	0.48	0.54	0.56	0.62	0.51
A minor concern	0.20	0.18	0.25	0.21	0.17	0.23	0.19	0.21	0.16	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.21	0.21	0.16	0.23	0.20	0.24
Not a concern	0.18	0.15	0.22	0.17	0.21	0.17	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.14	0.18	0.15	0.19	0.22	0.22	0.16	0.12	0.14
No opinion	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.11

Table 87: Q14(2). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Latino, Black, Asian American, and American Indian voters voting at much lower rates than white voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race/Ethnicity										Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
A major concern	0.55	0.51	0.63	0.71	0.58	0.49	0.67	0.60	0.62	0.59	0.62	0.73	0.61	0.46
A minor concern	0.20	0.22	0.14	0.16	0.22	0.29	0.14	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.14	0.11	0.22	0.24
Not a concern	0.18	0.20	0.15	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.17	0.17	0.06	0.12	0.18
No opinion	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.13

Table 88: Q14(2). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Latino, Black, Asian American, and American Indian voters voting at much lower rates than white voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Gender			Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Generation	Second Generation	Third+ Generation
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
A major concern	0.55	0.48	0.63	0.64	0.59	0.56	0.51	0.50	0.52	0.47	0.56	0.54	0.54	0.62	0.53
A minor concern	0.20	0.23	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.18	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.27	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.20
Not a concern	0.18	0.22	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.14	0.19
No opinion	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.07

Table 89: Q14(2). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Latino, Black, Asian American, and American Indian voters voting at much lower rates than white voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Education					Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
	Total	High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
A major concern	0.55	0.57	0.57	0.52	0.57	0.58	0.64	0.58	0.56	0.54	0.49	0.50	0.62	0.51	0.58	0.54	0.63	0.58	0.55
A minor concern	0.20	0.14	0.18	0.24	0.23	0.16	0.13	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.26	0.23	0.17	0.22	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.18	0.20
Not a concern	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.14	0.21	0.16	0.17	0.13	0.18	0.18
No opinion	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.12	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.07

Table 90: Q14(2). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Latino, Black, Asian American, and American Indian voters voting at much lower rates than white voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology						Party identification								Voting frequency	
Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate/liberal	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00
A major concern	0.55	0.73	0.24	0.55	0.27	0.30	0.49	0.67	0.83	0.74	0.78	0.65	0.24	0.22	0.27	0.50	0.70	0.18	0.45	0.54
A minor concern	0.20	0.17	0.24	0.21	0.18	0.26	0.23	0.21	0.12	0.17	0.15	0.23	0.23	0.19	0.31	0.21	0.21	0.24	0.19	0.22
Not a concern	0.18	0.05	0.43	0.16	0.46	0.36	0.19	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.43	0.48	0.33	0.20	0.05	0.50	0.21	0.19
No opinion	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.03	0.08	0.15	0.06

Table 91: Q14(3). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Voter fraud (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
A major concern	0.43	0.38	0.46	0.44	0.54	0.35	0.52	0.51	0.52	0.34	0.46	0.40	0.44	0.48	0.49	0.41	0.33	0.35
A minor concern	0.18	0.17	0.23	0.18	0.19	0.21	0.16	0.13	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.20	0.20	0.17
Not a concern	0.35	0.38	0.29	0.37	0.25	0.41	0.29	0.32	0.26	0.44	0.32	0.37	0.35	0.30	0.31	0.37	0.43	0.42
No opinion	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.06

Table 92: Q14(3). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Voter fraud (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
A major concern	0.43	0.35	0.54	0.41	0.45	0.38	0.51	0.54	0.56	0.41	0.50	0.78	0.40	0.69
A minor concern	0.18	0.19	0.15	0.18	0.22	0.27	0.24	0.20	0.14	0.18	0.16	0.09	0.24	0.12
Not a concern	0.35	0.43	0.26	0.34	0.30	0.31	0.19	0.20	0.26	0.39	0.30	0.06	0.35	0.09
No opinion	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.02	0.10

Table 93: Q14(3). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Voter fraud (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Generation	Second Generation	Third+ Generation
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
A major concern	0.43	0.44	0.42	0.43	0.42	0.41	0.47	0.41	0.43	0.38	0.40	0.57	0.57	0.42	0.39
A minor concern	0.18	0.17	0.20	0.24	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.22	0.19	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.19
Not a concern	0.35	0.37	0.33	0.29	0.36	0.38	0.34	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.38	0.22	0.22	0.35	0.40
No opinion	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03

Table 94: Q14(3). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Voter fraud (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Education					Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
	Total	High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
A major concern	0.43	0.57	0.50	0.35	0.25	0.46	0.51	0.51	0.45	0.40	0.29	0.42	0.44	0.43	0.40	0.46	0.42	0.40	0.43
A minor concern	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.21	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.17	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.21	0.16	0.19
Not a concern	0.35	0.21	0.30	0.41	0.53	0.26	0.27	0.29	0.31	0.40	0.48	0.39	0.32	0.38	0.37	0.34	0.33	0.41	0.34
No opinion	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04

Table 95: Q14(3). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Voter fraud (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification								Voting frequency			
Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Not registered	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
A major concern	0.43	0.22	0.81	0.42	0.88	0.74	0.51	0.19	0.13	0.24	0.21	0.30	0.84	0.89	0.73	0.44	0.19	0.83	0.51	0.35	0.51
A minor concern	0.18	0.21	0.12	0.19	0.06	0.15	0.19	0.26	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.25	0.10	0.07	0.17	0.19	0.22	0.12	0.18	0.18	0.18
Not a concern	0.35	0.53	0.05	0.34	0.03	0.09	0.25	0.50	0.67	0.51	0.56	0.40	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.33	0.56	0.03	0.24	0.45	0.25
No opinion	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.05

Table 96: Q14(4). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Corporations having too much influence on elections (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
A major concern	0.79	0.79	0.74	0.80	0.80	0.75	0.79	0.78	0.81	0.80	0.85	0.81	0.77	0.77	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.76
A minor concern	0.13	0.13	0.18	0.14	0.13	0.18	0.12	0.15	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.13
Not a concern	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.06
No opinion	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.05

Table 97: Q14(4). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Corporations having too much influence on elections (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
A major concern	0.79	0.79	0.80	0.88	0.77	0.69	0.80	0.79	0.81	0.85	0.81	0.72	0.82	0.57
A minor concern	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.06	0.15	0.19	0.09	0.10	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.17	0.14	0.19
Not a concern	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.11
No opinion	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.09	0.02	0.12

Table 98: Q14(4). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Corporations having too much influence on elections (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Generation	Second Generation	Third+ Generation
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
A major concern	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.82	0.81	0.80	0.78	0.75	0.78	0.71	0.80	0.73	0.73	0.81	0.80
A minor concern	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.11	0.13
Not a concern	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.04
No opinion	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.02

Table 99: Q14(4). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Corporations having too much influence on elections (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
A major concern	0.79	0.78	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.75	0.81	0.83	0.78	0.81	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.77	0.83	0.78	0.82	0.83	0.78
A minor concern	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.15	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.14
Not a concern	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.05
No opinion	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.09	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.03

Table 100: Q14(4). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Corporations having too much influence on elections (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology						Party identification								Voting frequency	
Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00
A major concern	0.79	0.87	0.64	0.80	0.65	0.63	0.76	0.87	0.93	0.86	0.87	0.83	0.63	0.64	0.63	0.79	0.90	0.62	0.76	0.80
A minor concern	0.13	0.09	0.23	0.12	0.19	0.25	0.14	0.09	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.22	0.20	0.27	0.13	0.07	0.26	0.13	0.14
Not a concern	0.04	0.01	0.10	0.04	0.11	0.09	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.09	0.05	0.05
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.06	0.02

Table 101: Q14(5). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Efforts to suppress, intimidate and disenfranchise voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region											Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
A major concern	0.64	0.67	0.58	0.66	0.66	0.61	0.61	0.63	0.59	0.66	0.62	0.67	0.63	0.61	0.63	0.65	0.69	0.64
A minor concern	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.18
Not a concern	0.16	0.12	0.21	0.15	0.15	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.14	0.19	0.12	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.15	0.11	0.13
No opinion	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.05

Table 102: Q14(5). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Efforts to suppress, intimidate and disenfranchise voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race/Ethnicity										Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
A major concern	0.64	0.62	0.68	0.71	0.69	0.62	0.74	0.71	0.78	0.66	0.66	0.79	0.71	0.59
A minor concern	0.15	0.17	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.20	0.06	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.08	0.15	0.15
Not a concern	0.16	0.18	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.07	0.16	0.15	0.05	0.11	0.11
No opinion	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.08	0.02	0.15

Table 103: Q14(5). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Efforts to suppress, intimidate and disenfranchise voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Gender			Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Generation	Second Generation	Third+ Generation
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
A major concern	0.64	0.61	0.67	0.71	0.69	0.62	0.61	0.61	0.62	0.58	0.64	0.68	0.68	0.67	0.62
A minor concern	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.16
Not a concern	0.16	0.19	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.17
No opinion	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04

Table 104: Q14(5). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Efforts to suppress, intimidate and disenfranchise voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Education					Household income						Tenure		Marital status					Union affiliation	
	Total	High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00	
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00	
A major concern	0.64	0.65	0.64	0.62	0.67	0.71	0.69	0.64	0.63	0.64	0.61	0.60	0.68	0.62	0.68	0.60	0.70	0.66	0.64	
A minor concern	0.15	0.12	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.09	0.13	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.13	0.14	0.16	
Not a concern	0.16	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.10	0.11	0.13	0.16	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.12	0.19	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.15	0.16	
No opinion	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	

Table 105: Q14(5). To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?
- Efforts to suppress, intimidate and disenfranchise voters (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology						Party identification								Voting frequency	
Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00
A major concern	0.64	0.78	0.39	0.64	0.40	0.42	0.60	0.77	0.84	0.78	0.81	0.71	0.38	0.35	0.45	0.61	0.79	0.36	0.55	0.64
A minor concern	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.15	0.12	0.21	0.17	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.21	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.15
Not a concern	0.16	0.05	0.36	0.15	0.39	0.30	0.17	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.36	0.39	0.28	0.18	0.05	0.40	0.19	0.17
No opinion	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.08	0.10	0.03

Table 106: Q15. Currently Californians are required to prove their voting eligibility when they register to vote but are not required to do so when they cast their ballot. A statewide ballot measure has been proposed that would require voters to verify their eligibility to vote when voting. This would mean that those choosing to vote in person would need to bring to the polls a government-issued identification card, while those voting by mail or using a drop box would need to list the last 4 digits of a valid voter identification card onto their ballot envelope. Do you support or oppose this new photo identification voting requirement? (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Support (net)	0.56	0.52	0.64	0.59	0.63	0.49	0.61	0.59	0.64	0.48	0.56	0.53	0.56	0.62	0.60	0.55	0.47	0.46
Support	0.39	0.35	0.47	0.41	0.48	0.37	0.46	0.44	0.48	0.29	0.45	0.35	0.41	0.47	0.46	0.37	0.29	0.31
strongly Support	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.19	0.11	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.15
somewhat																		
Oppose (net)	0.39	0.41	0.31	0.38	0.32	0.45	0.33	0.36	0.31	0.46	0.39	0.41	0.38	0.32	0.34	0.40	0.48	0.48
Oppose	0.15	0.17	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.16	0.11	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.17	0.20
somewhat																		
Oppose	0.24	0.24	0.20	0.24	0.19	0.31	0.20	0.21	0.18	0.30	0.29	0.26	0.24	0.20	0.21	0.25	0.31	0.29
strongly																		
No opinion	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05

Table 107: Q15. Currently Californians are required to prove their voting eligibility when they register to vote but are not required to do so when they cast their ballot. A statewide ballot measure has been proposed that would require voters to verify their eligibility to vote when voting. This would mean that those choosing to vote in person would need to bring to the polls a government-issued identification card, while those voting by mail or using a drop box would need to list the last 4 digits of a valid voter identification card onto their ballot envelope. Do you support or oppose this new photo identification voting requirement? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race/Ethnicity										Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non- Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English domi- nant	Latino Spanish domi- nant	AAPI English domi- nant	AAPI Asian language domi- nant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Support (net)	0.56	0.52	0.60	0.53	0.58	0.60	0.60	0.62	0.63	0.52	0.56	0.80	0.53	0.82
Support	0.39	0.38	0.42	0.32	0.35	0.33	0.39	0.36	0.38	0.35	0.40	0.56	0.29	0.57
strongly														
Support	0.16	0.13	0.17	0.21	0.23	0.26	0.21	0.26	0.25	0.18	0.16	0.23	0.24	0.25
somewhat														
Oppose (net)	0.39	0.45	0.33	0.41	0.33	0.31	0.30	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.36	0.16	0.38	0.12
Oppose	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.19	0.15	0.14	0.20	0.16	0.09	0.17	0.15	0.08	0.15	0.09
somewhat														
Oppose	0.24	0.30	0.19	0.22	0.19	0.17	0.10	0.14	0.24	0.20	0.21	0.09	0.22	0.04
strongly														
No opinion	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.04	0.10	0.08	0.04	0.09	0.06

Table 108: Q15. Currently Californians are required to prove their voting eligibility when they register to vote but are not required to do so when they cast their ballot. A statewide ballot measure has been proposed that would require voters to verify their eligibility to vote when voting. This would mean that those choosing to vote in person would need to bring to the polls a government-issued identification card, while those voting by mail or using a drop box would need to list the last 4 digits of a valid voter identification card onto their ballot envelope. Do you support or oppose this new photo identification voting requirement? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Support (net)	0.56	0.57	0.55	0.48	0.50	0.59	0.63	0.56	0.59	0.52	0.53	0.70	0.70	0.52	0.53
Support	0.39	0.42	0.37	0.28	0.34	0.43	0.46	0.42	0.44	0.39	0.37	0.49	0.49	0.33	0.39
strongly Support	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.20	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.14
somewhat															
Oppose (net)	0.39	0.38	0.39	0.40	0.41	0.36	0.34	0.41	0.38	0.47	0.41	0.26	0.26	0.40	0.43
Oppose	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.22	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.16	0.15
somewhat															
Oppose	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.19	0.27	0.23	0.21	0.29	0.27	0.33	0.26	0.15	0.15	0.23	0.27
strongly															
No opinion	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.11	0.09	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.04

Table 109: Q15. Currently Californians are required to prove their voting eligibility when they register to vote but are not required to do so when they cast their ballot. A statewide ballot measure has been proposed that would require voters to verify their eligibility to vote when voting. This would mean that those choosing to vote in person would need to bring to the polls a government-issued identification card, while those voting by mail or using a drop box would need to list the last 4 digits of a valid voter identification card onto their ballot envelope. Do you support or oppose this new photo identification voting requirement? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Education					Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
	Total	High school grad or less	Some college/school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Support (net)	0.56	0.63	0.61	0.52	0.42	0.48	0.60	0.58	0.58	0.56	0.50	0.58	0.53	0.59	0.47	0.59	0.50	0.51	0.57
Support	0.39	0.47	0.44	0.35	0.26	0.31	0.41	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.34	0.43	0.35	0.44	0.34	0.44	0.30	0.37	0.40
strongly Support	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.20	0.14	0.17
somewhat																			
Oppose (net)	0.39	0.28	0.33	0.43	0.53	0.37	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.40	0.46	0.39	0.39	0.37	0.46	0.37	0.40	0.45	0.37
Oppose	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.17	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.20	0.12	0.18	0.16	0.14
somewhat																			
Oppose	0.24	0.15	0.21	0.28	0.36	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.29	0.26	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.22	0.28	0.23
strongly																			
No opinion	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.15	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.04	0.06

Table 110: Q15. Currently Californians are required to prove their voting eligibility when they register to vote but are not required to do so when they cast their ballot. A statewide ballot measure has been proposed that would require voters to verify their eligibility to vote when voting. This would mean that those choosing to vote in person would need to bring to the polls a government-issued identification card, while those voting by mail or using a drop box would need to list the last 4 digits of a valid voter identification card onto their ballot envelope. Do you support or oppose this new photo identification voting requirement? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Demo- crat	Not strong Demo- crat	Republican (net)	Strong Re- pub- lican	Not strong Re- pub- lican	Independent other (net)	Lean Demo- crat	Lean Re- pub- lican	Pure In- de- pend- ent/other	Regular voter	Not reg- ular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Support (net)	0.56	0.35	0.92	0.57	0.96	0.89	0.68	0.36	0.18	0.37	0.32	0.47	0.93	0.96	0.87	0.59	0.33	0.97	0.67	0.50	0.62
Support	0.39	0.16	0.84	0.37	0.91	0.73	0.45	0.15	0.07	0.16	0.14	0.21	0.86	0.92	0.74	0.43	0.11	0.93	0.51	0.36	0.43
strongly Support	0.16	0.19	0.08	0.19	0.05	0.15	0.23	0.21	0.11	0.21	0.18	0.27	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.16	0.22	0.04	0.16	0.14	0.19
somewhat																					
Oppose (net)	0.39	0.59	0.06	0.36	0.04	0.10	0.25	0.56	0.75	0.56	0.62	0.44	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.34	0.60	0.01	0.24	0.47	0.29
Oppose	0.15	0.20	0.03	0.16	0.01	0.05	0.12	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.14	0.23	0.01	0.11	0.15	0.14
somewhat																					
Oppose	0.24	0.39	0.03	0.20	0.03	0.05	0.13	0.32	0.53	0.37	0.42	0.25	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.20	0.37	0.01	0.13	0.32	0.15
strongly																					
No opinion	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.01	0.09	0.03	0.09

Table 111: Q16. Supporters say photo identification laws are necessary to prove the identity of all voters to prevent fraud and prohibit non-citizens from voting. Opponents say voters have already proven their identity when registering to vote and note that many eligible voters may find it difficult to find and produce an unexpired drivers' license, passport or similar document, and thus could be denied the right to vote. When weighing these arguments, are you now more likely to support the voter identification laws, more likely to oppose them, or do they have no effect on your opinion? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
More likely to support	0.37	0.33	0.40	0.37	0.47	0.32	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.30	0.42	0.33	0.39	0.45	0.42	0.36	0.27	0.30
More likely to oppose	0.35	0.37	0.29	0.35	0.31	0.39	0.31	0.33	0.29	0.38	0.35	0.37	0.34	0.31	0.30	0.37	0.46	0.38
No effect on opinion	0.24	0.23	0.28	0.23	0.19	0.25	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.27	0.21	0.25	0.23	0.21	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.28
Don't know	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.05

Table 112: Q16. Supporters say photo identification laws are necessary to prove the identity of all voters to prevent fraud and prohibit non-citizens from voting. Opponents say voters have already proven their identity when registering to vote and note that many eligible voters may find it difficult to find and produce an unexpired drivers' license, passport or similar document, and thus could be denied the right to vote. When weighing these arguments, are you now more likely to support the voter identification laws, more likely to oppose them, or do they have no effect on your opinion? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
More likely to support	0.37	0.34	0.41	0.33	0.38	0.39	0.43	0.44	0.42	0.31	0.38	0.57	0.31	0.66
More likely to oppose	0.35	0.37	0.33	0.39	0.32	0.27	0.28	0.25	0.32	0.39	0.36	0.16	0.36	0.10
No effect on opinion	0.24	0.27	0.19	0.23	0.25	0.28	0.25	0.26	0.19	0.25	0.20	0.13	0.27	0.16
Don't know	0.05	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.13	0.06	0.07

Table 113: Q16. Supporters say photo identification laws are necessary to prove the identity of all voters to prevent fraud and prohibit non-citizens from voting. Opponents say voters have already proven their identity when registering to vote and note that many eligible voters may find it difficult to find and produce an unexpired drivers' license, passport or similar document, and thus could be denied the right to vote. When weighing these arguments, are you now more likely to support the voter identification laws, more likely to oppose them, or do they have no effect on your opinion? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
More likely to support	0.37	0.40	0.34	0.26	0.32	0.41	0.44	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.34	0.49	0.49	0.32	0.35
More likely to oppose	0.35	0.31	0.39	0.38	0.34	0.29	0.33	0.39	0.36	0.43	0.37	0.27	0.27	0.37	0.36
No effect on opinion	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.29	0.28	0.25	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.16	0.25	0.18	0.18	0.25	0.25
Don't know	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.03

Table 114: Q16. Supporters say photo identification laws are necessary to prove the identity of all voters to prevent fraud and prohibit non-citizens from voting. Opponents say voters have already proven their identity when registering to vote and note that many eligible voters may find it difficult to find and produce an unexpired drivers' license, passport or similar document, and thus could be denied the right to vote. When weighing these arguments, are you now more likely to support the voter identification laws, more likely to oppose them, or do they have no effect on your opinion? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
More likely to support	0.37	0.44	0.42	0.33	0.26	0.32	0.40	0.42	0.39	0.36	0.32	0.40	0.34	0.41	0.34	0.42	0.28	0.34	0.38
More likely to oppose	0.35	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.41	0.34	0.35	0.32	0.34	0.38	0.35	0.34	0.36	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.37	0.37	0.34
No effect on opinion	0.24	0.21	0.21	0.25	0.31	0.23	0.19	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.31	0.23	0.24	0.21	0.28	0.19	0.28	0.25	0.23
Don't know	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.05

Table 115: Q16. Supporters say photo identification laws are necessary to prove the identity of all voters to prevent fraud and prohibit non-citizens from voting. Opponents say voters have already proven their identity when registering to vote and note that many eligible voters may find it difficult to find and produce an unexpired drivers' license, passport or similar document, and thus could be denied the right to vote. When weighing these arguments, are you now more likely to support the voter identification laws, more likely to oppose them, or do they have no effect on your opinion? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification									Voting frequency		
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
More likely to support	0.37	0.15	0.77	0.36	0.85	0.71	0.43	0.13	0.07	0.16	0.15	0.19	0.81	0.87	0.69	0.40	0.13	0.78	0.49	0.33	0.41
More likely to oppose	0.35	0.53	0.06	0.32	0.05	0.11	0.26	0.51	0.62	0.54	0.58	0.46	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.28	0.50	0.01	0.20	0.40	0.29
No effect on opinion	0.24	0.27	0.15	0.26	0.09	0.16	0.24	0.31	0.29	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.13	0.10	0.21	0.27	0.35	0.20	0.24	0.25	0.23
Don't know	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.02	0.07

Table 116: Q17. California’s voter ID ballot measure is sponsored by Republican Assemblymember Carl DeMaio who believes the state needs greater security in our elections to prevent voter fraud. By contrast, Democrats in California have said that voter ID is part of President Trump’s larger agenda to keep Latinos, Asian Americans, and Black voters who may not have a current driver’s license or passport from voting. How about you? Do you think California’s voter ID is the right approach because our elections need more protections against voter fraud, or do you think voter ID is the wrong approach because it will deny some otherwise eligible citizens from voting? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Right approach	0.39	0.33	0.49	0.38	0.47	0.36	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.32	0.45	0.34	0.41	0.49	0.45	0.38	0.30	0.36
Wrong approach	0.52	0.57	0.43	0.52	0.47	0.58	0.44	0.46	0.42	0.59	0.49	0.55	0.51	0.44	0.47	0.55	0.61	0.56
No opinion	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.08

Table 117: Q17. California’s voter ID ballot measure is sponsored by Republican Assemblymember Carl DeMaio who believes the state needs greater security in our elections to prevent voter fraud. By contrast, Democrats in California have said that voter ID is part of President Trump’s larger agenda to keep Latinos, Asian Americans, and Black voters who may not have a current driver’s license or passport from voting. How about you? Do you think California’s voter ID is the right approach because our elections need more protections against voter fraud, or do you think voter ID is the wrong approach because it will deny some otherwise eligible citizens from voting? (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Right approach	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.31	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.43	0.36	0.38	0.52	0.33	0.68
Wrong approach	0.52	0.56	0.51	0.57	0.48	0.44	0.48	0.47	0.45	0.55	0.55	0.31	0.55	0.18
No opinion	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.14	0.11	0.09	0.07	0.18	0.12	0.14

Table 118: Q17. California’s voter ID ballot measure is sponsored by Republican Assemblymember Carl DeMaio who believes the state needs greater security in our elections to prevent voter fraud. By contrast, Democrats in California have said that voter ID is part of President Trump’s larger agenda to keep Latinos, Asian Americans, and Black voters who may not have a current driver’s license or passport from voting. How about you? Do you think California’s voter ID is the right approach because our elections need more protections against voter fraud, or do you think voter ID is the wrong approach because it will deny some otherwise eligible citizens from voting? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Nativity of Voter			Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.0	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.0	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Right approach	0.39	0.43	0.36	0.3	0.36	0.42	0.46	0.41	0.42	0.40	0.37	0.48	0.48	0.33	0.39
Wrong approach	0.52	0.48	0.56	0.6	0.54	0.48	0.46	0.54	0.52	0.56	0.55	0.39	0.39	0.57	0.54
No opinion	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.1	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.13	0.13	0.09	0.07

Table 119: Q17. California’s voter ID ballot measure is sponsored by Republican Assemblymember Carl DeMaio who believes the state needs greater security in our elections to prevent voter fraud. By contrast, Democrats in California have said that voter ID is part of President Trump’s larger agenda to keep Latinos, Asian Americans, and Black voters who may not have a current driver’s license or passport from voting. How about you? Do you think California’s voter ID is the right approach because our elections need more protections against voter fraud, or do you think voter ID is the wrong approach because it will deny some otherwise eligible citizens from voting? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Right approach	0.39	0.46	0.43	0.36	0.28	0.31	0.38	0.43	0.43	0.40	0.36	0.43	0.35	0.45	0.35	0.41	0.31	0.36	0.40
Wrong approach	0.52	0.42	0.48	0.56	0.66	0.52	0.54	0.50	0.50	0.53	0.56	0.51	0.54	0.49	0.56	0.50	0.58	0.57	0.51
No opinion	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.17	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.09

Table 120: Q17. California’s voter ID ballot measure is sponsored by Republican Assemblymember Carl DeMaio who believes the state needs greater security in our elections to prevent voter fraud. By contrast, Democrats in California have said that voter ID is part of President Trump’s larger agenda to keep Latinos, Asian Americans, and Black voters who may not have a current driver’s license or passport from voting. How about you? Do you think California’s voter ID is the right approach because our elections need more protections against voter fraud, or do you think voter ID is the wrong approach because it will deny some otherwise eligible citizens from voting? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Right approach	0.39	0.13	0.86	0.39	0.92	0.78	0.45	0.13	0.06	0.14	0.11	0.21	0.89	0.94	0.80	0.44	0.12	0.95	0.51	0.36	0.43
Wrong approach	0.52	0.78	0.10	0.49	0.06	0.16	0.40	0.76	0.91	0.77	0.83	0.66	0.07	0.05	0.14	0.45	0.80	0.03	0.31	0.58	0.45
No opinion	0.09	0.09	0.04	0.12	0.02	0.06	0.15	0.10	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.14	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.11	0.08	0.03	0.18	0.05	0.12

Table 121: Q18. Do you support or oppose the Federal Voting Rights Act, enacted in 1965 to reduce racial discrimination in voting and ensure that all citizens have the right to vote regardless of race? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento/San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras		Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Support (net)	0.91	0.92	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.93	0.88	0.90	0.87	0.91	0.88	0.92	0.91	0.89	0.90	0.93	0.93	0.87
Support	0.85	0.87	0.83	0.86	0.86	0.88	0.82	0.85	0.80	0.86	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.82	0.85	0.87	0.87	0.79
strongly Support	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07
somewhat																		
Oppose (net)	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.07
Oppose	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.05
somewhat																		
Oppose	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02
strongly																		
Don't know	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.07

Table 122: Q18. Do you support or oppose the Federal Voting Rights Act, enacted in 1965 to reduce racial discrimination in voting and ensure that all citizens have the right to vote regardless of race? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Support (net)	0.91	0.92	0.90	0.95	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.85	0.95	0.90	0.90	0.91	0.92	0.83
Support	0.85	0.87	0.85	0.90	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.76	0.91	0.79	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.76
strongly Support	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07
somewhat														
Oppose (net)	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.07	0.01	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.05
Oppose	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.07	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.04
somewhat														
Oppose	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01
strongly														
Don't know	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.12

Table 123: Q18. Do you support or oppose the Federal Voting Rights Act, enacted in 1965 to reduce racial discrimination in voting and ensure that all citizens have the right to vote regardless of race? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Nativity of Voter			Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Support (net)	0.91	0.89	0.92	0.89	0.90	0.89	0.92	0.93	0.92	0.94	0.91	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.91
Support	0.85	0.84	0.87	0.84	0.86	0.83	0.86	0.87	0.86	0.89	0.86	0.84	0.84	0.85	0.86
strongly															
Support	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05
somewhat															
Oppose (net)	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Oppose	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01
somewhat															
Oppose	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
strongly															
Don't know	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06

Table 124: Q18. Do you support or oppose the Federal Voting Rights Act, enacted in 1965 to reduce racial discrimination in voting and ensure that all citizens have the right to vote regardless of race? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some col- lege/trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not mar- ried/ live to- gether	Separated/ di- vorced/ wid- owed	Single/ never mar- ried	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Support (net)	0.91	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.93	0.84	0.89	0.91	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.94	0.90	0.90	0.93	0.90
Support	0.85	0.84	0.85	0.85	0.87	0.81	0.84	0.85	0.88	0.87	0.86	0.85	0.86	0.86	0.88	0.85	0.85	0.89	0.84
strongly																			
Support	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.06
somewhat																			
Oppose (net)	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Oppose	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02
somewhat																			
Oppose	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
strongly																			
Don't know	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.07

Table 125: Q18. Do you support or oppose the Federal Voting Rights Act, enacted in 1965 to reduce racial discrimination in voting and ensure that all citizens have the right to vote regardless of race? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Support (net)	0.91	0.96	0.84	0.90	0.81	0.87	0.89	0.94	0.98	0.96	0.97	0.92	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.89	0.97	0.78	0.85	0.93	0.89
Support	0.85	0.92	0.74	0.84	0.72	0.76	0.82	0.91	0.96	0.92	0.95	0.86	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.83	0.94	0.66	0.79	0.87	0.83
strongly Support	0.06	0.03	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.03	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.06
somewhat																					
Oppose (net)	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.04
Oppose	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02
somewhat																					
Oppose	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02
strongly																					
Don't know	0.06	0.03	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.02	0.16	0.11	0.05	0.08

Table 126: Q19. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 provided voters with strong protections against voting discrimination and unequal access to the ballot among voters of color. Over the past 15 years the United States Supreme Court has issued rulings that have rolled back some of these protections and is currently considering another case that could roll back these protections further. Do you support or oppose the Supreme Court’s acting to further limit the provisions of the Voting Rights Act? (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very di-verse	Somewhat di-verse	Not too di-verse	Not at all di-verse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Support (net)	0.20	0.18	0.26	0.18	0.26	0.22	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.15	0.20	0.18	0.21	0.25	0.25	0.19	0.13	0.20
Support	0.12	0.09	0.15	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.15	0.11	0.12	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.07	0.13
strongly Support	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.07
somewhat																		
Oppose (net)	0.65	0.70	0.56	0.69	0.57	0.66	0.57	0.57	0.56	0.71	0.60	0.68	0.63	0.58	0.59	0.69	0.75	0.69
Oppose	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.10
somewhat																		
Oppose	0.56	0.61	0.48	0.59	0.50	0.58	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.63	0.53	0.60	0.55	0.50	0.51	0.60	0.65	0.59
strongly																		
No opinion	0.15	0.12	0.18	0.13	0.17	0.12	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.14	0.20	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.13	0.12	0.11

Table 127: Q19. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 provided voters with strong protections against voting discrimination and unequal access to the ballot among voters of color. Over the past 15 years the United States Supreme Court has issued rulings that have rolled back some of these protections and is currently considering another case that could roll back these protections further. Do you support or oppose the Supreme Court’s acting to further limit the provisions of the Voting Rights Act? (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Support (net)	0.20	0.18	0.23	0.19	0.18	0.14	0.24	0.25	0.17	0.22	0.21	0.37	0.14	0.37
Support	0.12	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.12	0.13	0.22	0.08	0.18
strongly Support	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.12	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.14	0.06	0.19
somewhat														
Oppose (net)	0.65	0.68	0.60	0.73	0.68	0.66	0.68	0.66	0.70	0.68	0.62	0.49	0.74	0.41
Oppose	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.24	0.14	0.08	0.06	0.14	0.11	0.19
somewhat														
Oppose	0.56	0.60	0.52	0.64	0.56	0.53	0.55	0.42	0.57	0.60	0.55	0.35	0.64	0.21
strongly														
No opinion	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.08	0.14	0.19	0.08	0.09	0.13	0.10	0.18	0.14	0.12	0.22

Table 128: Q19. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 provided voters with strong protections against voting discrimination and unequal access to the ballot among voters of color. Over the past 15 years the United States Supreme Court has issued rulings that have rolled back some of these protections and is currently considering another case that could roll back these protections further. Do you support or oppose the Supreme Court’s acting to further limit the provisions of the Voting Rights Act? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Support (net)	0.20	0.24	0.17	0.12	0.18	0.23	0.24	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.18	0.29	0.29	0.16	0.19
Support	0.12	0.15	0.09	0.05	0.11	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.18	0.18	0.10	0.11
strongly Support	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.08
somewhat															
Oppose (net)	0.65	0.61	0.69	0.74	0.65	0.58	0.60	0.67	0.65	0.69	0.67	0.56	0.56	0.69	0.67
Oppose	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.08	0.13	0.13	0.08	0.07
somewhat															
Oppose	0.56	0.52	0.60	0.64	0.57	0.51	0.52	0.58	0.58	0.57	0.59	0.43	0.43	0.60	0.59
strongly															
No opinion	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.16	0.11	0.13	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14

Table 129: Q19. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 provided voters with strong protections against voting discrimination and unequal access to the ballot among voters of color. Over the past 15 years the United States Supreme Court has issued rulings that have rolled back some of these protections and is currently considering another case that could roll back these protections further. Do you support or oppose the Supreme Court’s acting to further limit the provisions of the Voting Rights Act? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some col- lege/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post grad- uate educa- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not mar- ried/ live to- gether	Separated/ divorced/ widowed	Single/ never mar- ried	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Support (net)	0.20	0.24	0.23	0.18	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.18	0.23	0.18	0.24	0.22	0.22	0.14	0.19	0.21
Support	0.12	0.17	0.14	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.10	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.06	0.11	0.12
strongly Support	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.09
somewhat																			
Oppose (net)	0.65	0.59	0.61	0.67	0.75	0.65	0.65	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.70	0.62	0.68	0.61	0.67	0.62	0.72	0.68	0.64
Oppose	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09
somewhat																			
Oppose	0.56	0.51	0.52	0.60	0.66	0.56	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.57	0.62	0.54	0.60	0.52	0.60	0.55	0.64	0.61	0.55
strongly																			
No opinion	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.10	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.11	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15

Table 130: Q19. The Voting Rights Act of 1965 provided voters with strong protections against voting discrimination and unequal access to the ballot among voters of color. Over the past 15 years the United States Supreme Court has issued rulings that have rolled back some of these protections and is currently considering another case that could roll back these protections further. Do you support or oppose the Supreme Court’s acting to further limit the provisions of the Voting Rights Act? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate liberal	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Support (net)	0.20	0.08	0.47	0.17	0.57	0.39	0.22	0.05	0.03	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.47	0.54	0.33	0.21	0.05	0.48	0.24	0.18	0.22
Support	0.12	0.05	0.28	0.09	0.39	0.20	0.12	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.29	0.35	0.15	0.11	0.02	0.25	0.14	0.11	0.13
strongly Support	0.08	0.03	0.19	0.08	0.18	0.20	0.10	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.10	0.03	0.23	0.10	0.07	0.09
somewhat																					
Oppose (net)	0.65	0.86	0.25	0.67	0.21	0.32	0.59	0.88	0.94	0.86	0.89	0.80	0.24	0.20	0.35	0.60	0.90	0.18	0.51	0.69	0.60
Oppose	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.13	0.14	0.06	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.15	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.08	0.09
somewhat																					
Oppose	0.56	0.79	0.15	0.57	0.15	0.18	0.45	0.82	0.92	0.79	0.84	0.69	0.14	0.11	0.20	0.51	0.81	0.10	0.41	0.61	0.51
strongly																					
No opinion	0.15	0.06	0.29	0.17	0.22	0.29	0.20	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.10	0.28	0.27	0.32	0.19	0.05	0.34	0.25	0.13	0.17

Table 131: Q20. Would you support or oppose California expanding its own Voting Rights Act to guarantee that state voters are protected should the U.S. Supreme Court continue to roll back the protections included in the Federal Voting Right Act? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Support (net)	0.69	0.75	0.60	0.68	0.66	0.72	0.61	0.65	0.58	0.75	0.68	0.75	0.67	0.61	0.65	0.72	0.79	0.75
Support	0.56	0.60	0.47	0.59	0.54	0.61	0.48	0.52	0.44	0.62	0.55	0.60	0.55	0.47	0.53	0.57	0.65	0.62
strongly Support	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.13
somewhat																		
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.16	0.29	0.23	0.23	0.17	0.26	0.24	0.27	0.16	0.20	0.16	0.22	0.27	0.25	0.19	0.13	0.17
Oppose	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.08
somewhat																		
Oppose	0.15	0.11	0.21	0.16	0.17	0.12	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.16	0.21	0.19	0.14	0.08	0.09
strongly																		
No opinion	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.09	0.13	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.08

Table 132: Q20. Would you support or oppose California expanding its own Voting Rights Act to guarantee that state voters are protected should the U.S. Supreme Court continue to roll back the protections included in the Federal Voting Right Act? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Support (net)	0.69	0.69	0.70	0.76	0.76	0.70	0.71	0.62	0.83	0.77	0.68	0.82	0.81	0.53
Support	0.56	0.57	0.56	0.69	0.59	0.49	0.55	0.41	0.69	0.64	0.54	0.68	0.64	0.33
strongly Support	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.07	0.17	0.22	0.16	0.22	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.20
somewhat														
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.22	0.18	0.12	0.15	0.16	0.22	0.30	0.13	0.15	0.20	0.07	0.12	0.33
Oppose	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.14	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.17
somewhat														
Oppose	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.08	0.10	0.16	0.04	0.07	0.16
strongly														
No opinion	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.08	0.12	0.11	0.08	0.15

Table 133: Q20. Would you support or oppose California expanding its own Voting Rights Act to guarantee that state voters are protected should the U.S. Supreme Court continue to roll back the protections included in the Federal Voting Right Act? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Support (net)	0.69	0.65	0.73	0.78	0.72	0.69	0.64	0.67	0.67	0.68	0.69	0.71	0.71	0.73	0.68
Support	0.56	0.52	0.60	0.63	0.56	0.55	0.52	0.56	0.55	0.58	0.57	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.56
strongly															
Support	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.12
somewhat															
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.25	0.16	0.11	0.16	0.20	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.16	0.22
Oppose	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05
somewhat															
Oppose	0.15	0.19	0.11	0.06	0.11	0.15	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.13	0.10	0.17
strongly															
No opinion	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.10

Table 134: Q20. Would you support or oppose California expanding its own Voting Rights Act to guarantee that state voters are protected should the U.S. Supreme Court continue to roll back the protections included in the Federal Voting Right Act? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Support (net)	0.69	0.66	0.67	0.71	0.77	0.73	0.70	0.72	0.69	0.69	0.70	0.66	0.74	0.65	0.74	0.69	0.76	0.70	0.70
Support	0.56	0.55	0.53	0.57	0.64	0.61	0.57	0.56	0.57	0.55	0.57	0.52	0.61	0.52	0.65	0.56	0.61	0.60	0.56
strongly Support																			
Support somewhat	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.09	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.14
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.21	0.17	0.13	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.15	0.25	0.17	0.21	0.13	0.21	0.20
Oppose	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06
somewhat Oppose																			
strongly Oppose	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.13	0.12	0.08	0.10	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.11	0.18	0.12	0.16	0.09	0.16	0.14
No opinion	0.10	0.16	0.11	0.08	0.06	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.11

Table 135: Q20. Would you support or oppose California expanding its own Voting Rights Act to guarantee that state voters are protected should the U.S. Supreme Court continue to roll back the protections included in the Federal Voting Right Act? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Support (net)	0.69	0.90	0.31	0.70	0.30	0.39	0.65	0.89	0.96	0.92	0.95	0.85	0.30	0.27	0.38	0.63	0.92	0.20	0.56	0.70	0.69
Support	0.56	0.78	0.19	0.55	0.23	0.23	0.44	0.73	0.92	0.80	0.86	0.65	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.48	0.76	0.09	0.39	0.57	0.55
strongly Support	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.15	0.07	0.17	0.20	0.16	0.04	0.12	0.08	0.20	0.12	0.09	0.19	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.17	0.12	0.14
somewhat																					
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.05	0.52	0.17	0.54	0.44	0.21	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.53	0.58	0.43	0.23	0.04	0.61	0.23	0.22	0.18
Oppose	0.06	0.02	0.11	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.07	0.02	0.16	0.07	0.05	0.06
somewhat																					
Oppose	0.15	0.03	0.41	0.10	0.44	0.33	0.13	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.40	0.45	0.31	0.17	0.02	0.45	0.16	0.17	0.12
strongly																					
No opinion	0.10	0.05	0.17	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.09	0.16	0.15	0.20	0.13	0.04	0.19	0.21	0.08	0.13

Table 136: Q21(1). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - When considering changes to voting laws, discrimination against voters because of their race, ethnicity or religion should be prohibited (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	South Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Agree (net)	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.93	0.88	0.89	0.90	0.92	0.88	0.91	0.97	0.91	0.91	0.89	0.89	0.94	0.92	0.89
Strongly agree	0.84	0.83	0.85	0.85	0.83	0.84	0.81	0.84	0.78	0.84	0.95	0.84	0.84	0.83	0.82	0.87	0.86	0.83
Somewhat agree	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06
Disagree (net)	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.06
Somewhat disagree	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04
Strongly disagree	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03
No opinion	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.04

Table 137: Q21(1). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - When considering changes to voting laws, discrimination against voters because of their race, ethnicity or religion should be prohibited (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Agree (net)	0.91	0.94	0.87	0.88	0.90	0.91	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.87	0.83	0.92	0.82
Strongly agree	0.84	0.88	0.81	0.80	0.82	0.82	0.77	0.76	0.84	0.81	0.81	0.79	0.85	0.69
Somewhat agree	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.05	0.09	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.13
Disagree (net)	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.08
Somewhat disagree	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.04
Strongly disagree	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.04
No opinion	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.04	0.09

Table 138: Q21(1). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - When considering changes to voting laws, discrimination against voters because of their race, ethnicity or religion should be prohibited (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Agree (net)	0.91	0.90	0.91	0.91	0.89	0.90	0.90	0.93	0.92	0.94	0.91	0.88	0.88	0.90	0.92
Strongly agree	0.84	0.83	0.85	0.84	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.87	0.86	0.88	0.85	0.80	0.80	0.83	0.86
Somewhat agree	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06
Disagree (net)	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04
Somewhat disagree	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02
Strongly disagree	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03
No opinion	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.03

Table 139: Q21(1). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - When considering changes to voting laws, discrimination against voters because of their race, ethnicity or religion should be prohibited (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Agree (net)	0.91	0.85	0.90	0.94	0.93	0.84	0.88	0.89	0.91	0.93	0.94	0.92	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.90	0.89	0.92	0.91
Strongly agree	0.84	0.78	0.83	0.85	0.89	0.76	0.80	0.82	0.85	0.86	0.88	0.85	0.83	0.85	0.85	0.84	0.82	0.86	0.83
Somewhat agree	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07
Disagree (net)	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05
Somewhat disagree	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02
Strongly disagree	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03
No opinion	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.05

Table 140: Q21(1). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - When considering changes to voting laws, discrimination against voters because of their race, ethnicity or religion should be prohibited (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Party registration				Political ideology				Party identification										Voting frequency	
		Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Agree (net)	0.91	0.95	0.84	0.90	0.81	0.89	0.88	0.94	0.98	0.95	0.96	0.93	0.84	0.84	0.87	0.90	0.97	0.83	0.85	0.94	0.87
Strongly agree	0.84	0.91	0.71	0.83	0.67	0.76	0.80	0.91	0.96	0.91	0.93	0.86	0.70	0.68	0.75	0.83	0.94	0.71	0.77	0.87	0.80
Somewhat agree	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.07	0.14	0.13	0.09	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.07	0.04	0.12	0.08	0.07	0.07
Disagree (net)	0.05	0.03	0.09	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.01	0.10	0.05	0.03	0.06
Somewhat disagree	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.03
Strongly disagree	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.04
No opinion	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.01	0.07	0.09	0.03	0.06

Table 141: Q21(2). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Voters living in communities where limited English-speakers meet a minimum threshold should be allowed to receive their voting materials in their preferred language (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Agree (net)	0.75	0.82	0.71	0.73	0.72	0.72	0.69	0.71	0.67	0.78	0.61	0.80	0.72	0.65	0.73	0.75	0.81	0.77
Strongly agree	0.59	0.64	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.62	0.47	0.66	0.55	0.48	0.57	0.60	0.65	0.56
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.13	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.21
Disagree (net)	0.20	0.14	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.17	0.35	0.15	0.23	0.30	0.22	0.21	0.15	0.19
Somewhat disagree	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.11
Strongly disagree	0.14	0.08	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.10	0.22	0.10	0.15	0.21	0.16	0.14	0.10	0.08
No opinion	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05

Table 142: Q21(2). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Voters living in communities where limited English-speakers meet a minimum threshold should be allowed to receive their voting materials in their preferred language (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Agree (net)	0.75	0.72	0.77	0.79	0.82	0.84	0.89	0.89	0.82	0.72	0.76	0.85	0.82	0.82
Strongly agree	0.59	0.56	0.64	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.70	0.66	0.67	0.61	0.62	0.74	0.66	0.60
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.19	0.19	0.23	0.15	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.22
Disagree (net)	0.20	0.24	0.17	0.13	0.13	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.06	0.14	0.09
Somewhat disagree	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.03
Strongly disagree	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.12	0.10	0.14	0.03	0.08	0.05
No opinion	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.04	0.09

Table 143: Q21(2). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Voters living in communities where limited English-speakers meet a minimum threshold should be allowed to receive their voting materials in their preferred language (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Agree (net)	0.75	0.70	0.79	0.84	0.78	0.76	0.70	0.70	0.73	0.65	0.74	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.73
Strongly agree	0.59	0.54	0.64	0.73	0.63	0.61	0.53	0.51	0.53	0.47	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.65	0.57
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.15	0.18	0.18	0.13	0.16
Disagree (net)	0.20	0.25	0.16	0.11	0.15	0.18	0.26	0.27	0.24	0.32	0.21	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.23
Somewhat disagree	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07
Strongly disagree	0.14	0.17	0.10	0.07	0.11	0.13	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.14	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.16
No opinion	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04

Table 144: Q21(2). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Voters living in communities where limited English-speakers meet a minimum threshold should be allowed to receive their voting materials in their preferred language (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Agree (net)	0.75	0.73	0.73	0.77	0.79	0.77	0.78	0.78	0.74	0.73	0.75	0.71	0.79	0.72	0.80	0.71	0.80	0.77	0.74
Strongly agree	0.59	0.62	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.65	0.60	0.59	0.59	0.55	0.52	0.66	0.55	0.65	0.54	0.68	0.64	0.58
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.10	0.15	0.18	0.16	0.11	0.13	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.20	0.18	0.13	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.16
Disagree (net)	0.20	0.19	0.23	0.20	0.17	0.12	0.16	0.19	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.25	0.15	0.24	0.16	0.25	0.13	0.20	0.21
Somewhat disagree	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.07
Strongly disagree	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.11	0.07	0.12	0.12	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.17	0.10	0.17	0.11	0.16	0.09	0.14	0.14
No opinion	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.11	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.05

Table 145: Q21(2). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Voters living in communities where limited English-speakers meet a minimum threshold should be allowed to receive their voting materials in their preferred language (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Agree (net)	0.75	0.91	0.42	0.78	0.38	0.54	0.72	0.91	0.95	0.92	0.94	0.89	0.42	0.37	0.54	0.71	0.90	0.37	0.68	0.73	0.76
Strongly agree	0.59	0.77	0.24	0.61	0.23	0.33	0.51	0.74	0.88	0.78	0.81	0.72	0.23	0.19	0.31	0.55	0.76	0.19	0.51	0.56	0.63
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.14	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.20	0.20	0.16	0.07	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.23	0.16	0.14	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.14
Disagree (net)	0.20	0.06	0.52	0.16	0.59	0.41	0.20	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.53	0.60	0.37	0.23	0.07	0.55	0.22	0.24	0.17
Somewhat disagree	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.06	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.14	0.08	0.08	0.05
Strongly disagree	0.14	0.02	0.39	0.10	0.49	0.29	0.12	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.40	0.47	0.26	0.15	0.03	0.41	0.14	0.16	0.12
No opinion	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.08	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.09	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.10	0.03	0.07

Table 146: Q21(3). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Californians should be given the tools to challenge in state court any law that has the effect of reducing or suppressing voter turnout in elections (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	South Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Agree (net)	0.79	0.82	0.76	0.79	0.77	0.80	0.76	0.78	0.74	0.82	0.81	0.83	0.77	0.76	0.77	0.81	0.86	0.79
Strongly agree	0.64	0.66	0.55	0.63	0.61	0.66	0.61	0.63	0.59	0.67	0.65	0.68	0.61	0.59	0.63	0.64	0.70	0.64
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.15	0.21	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.14	0.17	0.15	0.15
Disagree (net)	0.12	0.10	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.15	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.07	0.12
Somewhat disagree	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.09
Strongly disagree	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.09	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.03	0.03
No opinion	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.09

Table 147: Q21(3). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Californians should be given the tools to challenge in state court any law that has the effect of reducing or suppressing voter turnout in elections (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Agree (net)	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.82	0.79	0.84	0.76	0.84	0.84	0.78	0.81	0.84	0.69
Strongly agree	0.64	0.64	0.65	0.70	0.64	0.60	0.69	0.55	0.66	0.66	0.65	0.64	0.66	0.51
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.10	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.21	0.18	0.17	0.13	0.18	0.18	0.19
Disagree (net)	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.19	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.05	0.09	0.15
Somewhat disagree	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.15	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.11
Strongly disagree	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.04
No opinion	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.13	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.14	0.07	0.16

Table 148: Q21(3). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Californians should be given the tools to challenge in state court any law that has the effect of reducing or suppressing voter turnout in elections (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Agree (net)	0.79	0.77	0.82	0.84	0.80	0.77	0.76	0.80	0.77	0.84	0.80	0.78	0.78	0.81	0.79
Strongly agree	0.64	0.60	0.67	0.65	0.63	0.62	0.61	0.66	0.65	0.68	0.64	0.61	0.61	0.64	0.65
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.14
Disagree (net)	0.12	0.15	0.09	0.06	0.11	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.10	0.12
Somewhat disagree	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05
Strongly disagree	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.04	0.07
No opinion	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08

Table 149: Q21(3). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Californians should be given the tools to challenge in state court any law that has the effect of reducing or suppressing voter turnout in elections (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Agree (net)	0.79	0.76	0.79	0.79	0.83	0.80	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.79	0.78	0.77	0.82	0.78	0.82	0.79	0.81	0.81	0.79
Strongly agree	0.64	0.61	0.64	0.63	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.64	0.67	0.63	0.59	0.61	0.67	0.62	0.69	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.64
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.16
Disagree (net)	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.08	0.12	0.12
Somewhat disagree	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Strongly disagree	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.06
No opinion	0.09	0.14	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.09

Table 150: Q21(3). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Californians should be given the tools to challenge in state court any law that has the effect of reducing or suppressing voter turnout in elections (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Party registration				Political ideology				Party identification										Voting frequency	
		Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Agree (net)	0.79	0.93	0.53	0.81	0.50	0.63	0.76	0.92	0.96	0.93	0.95	0.90	0.52	0.48	0.62	0.76	0.94	0.49	0.73	0.80	0.78
Strongly agree	0.64	0.80	0.33	0.64	0.34	0.39	0.57	0.78	0.89	0.81	0.86	0.69	0.32	0.31	0.34	0.60	0.82	0.26	0.55	0.65	0.62
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.12	0.21	0.17	0.17	0.24	0.19	0.14	0.07	0.13	0.09	0.21	0.21	0.17	0.28	0.16	0.12	0.23	0.18	0.15	0.16
Disagree (net)	0.12	0.03	0.31	0.09	0.37	0.23	0.12	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.33	0.36	0.25	0.13	0.03	0.33	0.13	0.13	0.11
Somewhat disagree	0.05	0.02	0.12	0.05	0.12	0.10	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.06	0.02	0.14	0.07	0.05	0.05
Strongly disagree	0.07	0.01	0.19	0.04	0.25	0.13	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.24	0.12	0.06	0.01	0.19	0.06	0.08	0.05
No opinion	0.09	0.05	0.15	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.11	0.03	0.19	0.15	0.07	0.11

Table 151: Q21(4). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - The Federal government and FBI should not be given access to or attempt to purge voters from California’s voter registration rolls (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Agree (net)	0.72	0.76	0.66	0.71	0.66	0.71	0.66	0.65	0.66	0.78	0.67	0.77	0.69	0.62	0.66	0.74	0.83	0.77
Strongly agree	0.64	0.66	0.55	0.64	0.61	0.66	0.58	0.59	0.57	0.70	0.60	0.68	0.63	0.53	0.58	0.66	0.74	0.69
Somewhat agree	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.08
Disagree (net)	0.23	0.18	0.30	0.26	0.27	0.24	0.27	0.30	0.25	0.17	0.31	0.18	0.25	0.31	0.27	0.22	0.13	0.19
Somewhat disagree	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.10
Strongly disagree	0.17	0.12	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.18	0.12	0.27	0.12	0.19	0.24	0.21	0.16	0.09	0.09
No opinion	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04

Table 152: Q21(4). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - The Federal government and FBI should not be given access to or attempt to purge voters from California’s voter registration rolls (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Agree (net)	0.72	0.72	0.71	0.79	0.76	0.72	0.78	0.76	0.77	0.77	0.71	0.73	0.80	0.58
Strongly agree	0.64	0.66	0.61	0.70	0.65	0.61	0.66	0.63	0.68	0.65	0.62	0.58	0.70	0.44
Somewhat agree	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.15	0.11	0.14
Disagree (net)	0.23	0.25	0.21	0.14	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.21	0.18	0.23	0.13	0.15	0.28
Somewhat disagree	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.14
Strongly disagree	0.17	0.20	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.07	0.10	0.14
No opinion	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.11	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.14	0.05	0.14

Table 153: Q21(4). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - The Federal government and FBI should not be given access to or attempt to purge voters from California’s voter registration rolls (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Agree (net)	0.72	0.67	0.76	0.80	0.73	0.71	0.66	0.70	0.69	0.72	0.72	0.71	0.71	0.76	0.71
Strongly agree	0.64	0.59	0.67	0.67	0.65	0.62	0.59	0.65	0.64	0.67	0.65	0.59	0.59	0.66	0.64
Somewhat agree	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.07
Disagree (net)	0.23	0.28	0.18	0.12	0.20	0.23	0.29	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.18	0.25
Somewhat disagree	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06
Strongly disagree	0.17	0.21	0.13	0.05	0.13	0.18	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.20	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.11	0.19
No opinion	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.04

Table 154: Q21(4). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - The Federal government and FBI should not be given access to or attempt to purge voters from California’s voter registration rolls (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Agree (net)	0.72	0.69	0.68	0.74	0.80	0.75	0.72	0.75	0.70	0.70	0.73	0.68	0.76	0.69	0.75	0.70	0.78	0.73	0.72
Strongly agree	0.64	0.62	0.59	0.65	0.74	0.66	0.63	0.65	0.63	0.62	0.66	0.61	0.67	0.61	0.67	0.64	0.67	0.66	0.63
Somewhat agree	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.07	0.08
Disagree (net)	0.23	0.22	0.25	0.23	0.17	0.12	0.21	0.20	0.24	0.26	0.23	0.27	0.18	0.27	0.20	0.25	0.16	0.23	0.23
Somewhat disagree	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.06
Strongly disagree	0.17	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.13	0.07	0.14	0.14	0.18	0.20	0.16	0.21	0.12	0.20	0.15	0.20	0.09	0.18	0.16
No opinion	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.12	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.06

Table 155: Q21(4). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - The Federal government and FBI should not be given access to or attempt to purge voters from California’s voter registration rolls (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Agree (net)	0.72	0.92	0.31	0.75	0.26	0.43	0.70	0.92	0.97	0.93	0.95	0.89	0.28	0.21	0.44	0.68	0.96	0.23	0.63	0.73	0.71
Strongly agree	0.64	0.86	0.21	0.66	0.20	0.30	0.59	0.85	0.93	0.87	0.91	0.78	0.19	0.15	0.28	0.59	0.89	0.13	0.52	0.67	0.60
Somewhat agree	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.13	0.11	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.16	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.11
Disagree (net)	0.23	0.04	0.61	0.18	0.67	0.50	0.22	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.64	0.72	0.45	0.25	0.03	0.70	0.25	0.24	0.21
Somewhat disagree	0.06	0.02	0.14	0.06	0.11	0.14	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.14	0.13	0.18	0.08	0.02	0.19	0.08	0.05	0.08
Strongly disagree	0.17	0.02	0.47	0.12	0.56	0.35	0.14	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.50	0.59	0.28	0.17	0.01	0.51	0.17	0.19	0.14
No opinion	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.07	0.01	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.08

Table 156: Q21(5). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Reducing the number of local polling places in heavily ethnic communities is a form of voter suppression and should not be allowed (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Agree (net)	0.78	0.81	0.76	0.78	0.74	0.77	0.74	0.78	0.71	0.81	0.78	0.80	0.78	0.74	0.75	0.82	0.83	0.74
Strongly agree	0.65	0.67	0.60	0.66	0.62	0.66	0.60	0.62	0.59	0.69	0.67	0.68	0.64	0.59	0.62	0.68	0.71	0.63
Somewhat agree	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.10
Disagree (net)	0.15	0.14	0.18	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.18	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.13	0.12	0.20
Somewhat disagree	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.08
Strongly disagree	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.06	0.12
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.07

Table 157: Q21(5). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Reducing the number of local polling places in heavily ethnic communities is a form of voter suppression and should not be allowed (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Agree (net)	0.78	0.81	0.74	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.85	0.82	0.80	0.76	0.60	0.82	0.76
Strongly agree	0.65	0.67	0.62	0.70	0.67	0.65	0.69	0.68	0.72	0.65	0.65	0.45	0.69	0.57
Somewhat agree	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.16	0.11	0.16	0.11	0.14	0.11	0.15	0.13	0.19
Disagree (net)	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.15	0.12	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.27	0.13	0.12
Somewhat disagree	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.10	0.07	0.02
Strongly disagree	0.09	0.07	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.04	0.10	0.16	0.06	0.10
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.14	0.05	0.11

Table 158: Q21(5). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Reducing the number of local polling places in heavily ethnic communities is a form of voter suppression and should not be allowed (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Agree (net)	0.78	0.75	0.81	0.83	0.77	0.77	0.73	0.80	0.79	0.81	0.79	0.74	0.74	0.79	0.79
Strongly agree	0.65	0.60	0.70	0.70	0.65	0.62	0.60	0.68	0.68	0.69	0.66	0.58	0.58	0.66	0.67
Somewhat agree	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.13
Disagree (net)	0.15	0.18	0.12	0.09	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.19	0.19	0.15	0.14
Somewhat disagree	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.06
Strongly disagree	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.08
No opinion	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07

Table 159: Q21(5). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Reducing the number of local polling places in heavily ethnic communities is a form of voter suppression and should not be allowed (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Agree (net)	0.78	0.72	0.76	0.81	0.85	0.75	0.76	0.76	0.79	0.79	0.82	0.78	0.79	0.78	0.83	0.77	0.79	0.79	0.78
Strongly agree	0.65	0.59	0.64	0.67	0.72	0.60	0.65	0.64	0.67	0.67	0.66	0.64	0.67	0.63	0.71	0.66	0.68	0.68	0.64
Somewhat agree	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.14
Disagree (net)	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.15	0.18	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.12	0.15	0.15
Somewhat disagree	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06
Strongly disagree	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.09
No opinion	0.07	0.11	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.14	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.07

Table 160: Q21(5). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Reducing the number of local polling places in heavily ethnic communities is a form of voter suppression and should not be allowed (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Agree (net)	0.78	0.89	0.57	0.78	0.51	0.62	0.74	0.91	0.96	0.90	0.91	0.88	0.55	0.52	0.63	0.75	0.93	0.48	0.72	0.81	0.75
Strongly agree	0.65	0.82	0.35	0.64	0.34	0.39	0.57	0.82	0.92	0.82	0.86	0.73	0.34	0.33	0.36	0.61	0.82	0.27	0.56	0.69	0.61
Somewhat agree	0.13	0.07	0.22	0.14	0.17	0.23	0.17	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.15	0.22	0.19	0.27	0.14	0.10	0.21	0.16	0.12	0.13
Disagree (net)	0.15	0.07	0.32	0.12	0.36	0.29	0.16	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.33	0.36	0.26	0.16	0.05	0.39	0.15	0.14	0.16
Somewhat disagree	0.06	0.02	0.15	0.06	0.14	0.14	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.15	0.16	0.12	0.08	0.02	0.21	0.07	0.06	0.07
Strongly disagree	0.09	0.05	0.18	0.06	0.22	0.15	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.18	0.20	0.15	0.08	0.03	0.18	0.09	0.08	0.09
No opinion	0.07	0.03	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.09	0.10	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.02	0.14	0.13	0.05	0.09

Table 161: Q21(6). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - When redrawing the maps of election districts, combining voters in communities with large numbers of ethnic voters with voters from neighboring districts should be avoided because it weakens the collective voices of the ethnic community (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Agree (net)	0.53	0.55	0.55	0.52	0.51	0.53	0.49	0.50	0.49	0.54	0.47	0.55	0.53	0.46	0.51	0.54	0.56	0.54
Strongly agree	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.31	0.25	0.32	0.31	0.28	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.28
Somewhat agree	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.23	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.18	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.26
Disagree (net)	0.26	0.23	0.26	0.27	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.31	0.27	0.24	0.29	0.23	0.27	0.32	0.27	0.26	0.22	0.27
Somewhat disagree	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.16
Strongly disagree	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.15	0.18	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.11
No opinion	0.21	0.22	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.25	0.22	0.24	0.22	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.22	0.20

Table 162: Q21(6). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - When redrawing the maps of election districts, combining voters in communities with large numbers of ethnic voters with voters from neighboring districts should be avoided because it weakens the collective voices of the ethnic community (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Agree (net)	0.53	0.50	0.53	0.58	0.62	0.59	0.76	0.80	0.56	0.56	0.52	0.58	0.61	0.66
Strongly agree	0.31	0.29	0.32	0.36	0.36	0.33	0.43	0.46	0.34	0.34	0.32	0.35	0.35	0.40
Somewhat agree	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.22	0.26	0.27	0.33	0.35	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.23	0.26	0.27
Disagree (net)	0.26	0.29	0.24	0.20	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.11	0.19	0.23	0.25	0.21	0.18	0.18
Somewhat disagree	0.12	0.14	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.07
Strongly disagree	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.10	0.10	0.15	0.09	0.08	0.12
No opinion	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.23	0.12	0.09	0.24	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.15

Table 163: Q21(6). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - When redrawing the maps of election districts, combining voters in communities with large numbers of ethnic voters with voters from neighboring districts should be avoided because it weakens the collective voices of the ethnic community (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Nativity of Voter			Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Agree (net)	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.58	0.54	0.50	0.50	0.52	0.51	0.54	0.52	0.57	0.57	0.56	0.51
Strongly agree	0.31	0.30	0.32	0.34	0.31	0.28	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.32	0.30	0.35	0.35	0.32	0.29
Somewhat agree	0.22	0.23	0.21	0.24	0.23	0.22	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.24	0.21
Disagree (net)	0.26	0.28	0.24	0.17	0.22	0.26	0.30	0.31	0.32	0.30	0.26	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.29
Somewhat disagree	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.13
Strongly disagree	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.07	0.12	0.14	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.15
No opinion	0.21	0.19	0.24	0.26	0.24	0.24	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.21

Table 164: Q21(6). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - When redrawing the maps of election districts, combining voters in communities with large numbers of ethnic voters with voters from neighboring districts should be avoided because it weakens the collective voices of the ethnic community (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Agree (net)	0.53	0.53	0.51	0.53	0.57	0.56	0.48	0.54	0.55	0.54	0.51	0.51	0.54	0.52	0.54	0.53	0.54	0.56	0.52
Strongly agree	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.30	0.31	0.33	0.31	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.27	0.30	0.32	0.30	0.34	0.32	0.31	0.32	0.31
Somewhat agree	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.23	0.26	0.23	0.17	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.19	0.21	0.23	0.24	0.21
Disagree (net)	0.26	0.23	0.28	0.27	0.23	0.17	0.28	0.23	0.24	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.23	0.29	0.26	0.25	0.21	0.25	0.26
Somewhat disagree	0.12	0.09	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.08	0.15	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.12
Strongly disagree	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.11	0.09	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.14
No opinion	0.21	0.24	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.27	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.17	0.21	0.20	0.23	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.25	0.19	0.22

Table 165: Q21(6). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - When redrawing the maps of election districts, combining voters in communities with large numbers of ethnic voters with voters from neighboring districts should be avoided because it weakens the collective voices of the ethnic community (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Party registration				Political ideology				Party identification										Voting frequency	
		Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Agree (net)	0.53	0.63	0.37	0.51	0.35	0.43	0.48	0.59	0.68	0.64	0.66	0.58	0.37	0.35	0.42	0.48	0.60	0.28	0.45	0.53	0.53
Strongly agree	0.31	0.38	0.22	0.28	0.25	0.23	0.27	0.34	0.40	0.39	0.42	0.33	0.23	0.24	0.21	0.26	0.32	0.13	0.26	0.31	0.31
Somewhat agree	0.22	0.25	0.15	0.23	0.10	0.19	0.21	0.25	0.28	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.14	0.11	0.20	0.22	0.28	0.16	0.20	0.22	0.21
Disagree (net)	0.26	0.18	0.43	0.24	0.46	0.39	0.28	0.18	0.13	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.44	0.48	0.36	0.28	0.19	0.49	0.26	0.28	0.24
Somewhat disagree	0.12	0.11	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.11	0.13	0.11
Strongly disagree	0.14	0.07	0.28	0.12	0.34	0.23	0.13	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.29	0.33	0.20	0.15	0.06	0.32	0.15	0.15	0.13
No opinion	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.19	0.18	0.25	0.23	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.26	0.18	0.17	0.23	0.24	0.21	0.23	0.29	0.19	0.24

Table 166: Q21(7). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Local governments with a past record of racial discrimination should be required to prove that any changes they propose making to voting laws do not discriminate on the basis of race before they go into effect (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Agree (net)	0.83	0.84	0.78	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.80	0.81	0.78	0.84	0.82	0.84	0.82	0.79	0.80	0.84	0.88	0.84
Strongly agree	0.67	0.68	0.64	0.71	0.68	0.70	0.64	0.65	0.63	0.67	0.63	0.70	0.65	0.63	0.64	0.68	0.74	0.69
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.19	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.14
Disagree (net)	0.10	0.08	0.14	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.06	0.10
Somewhat disagree	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.07
Strongly disagree	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.03
No opinion	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.06

Table 167: Q21(7). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Local governments with a past record of racial discrimination should be required to prove that any changes they propose making to voting laws do not discriminate on the basis of race before they go into effect (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Agree (net)	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.85	0.85	0.88	0.84	0.81	0.85	0.87	0.76
Strongly agree	0.67	0.66	0.68	0.77	0.68	0.64	0.65	0.55	0.75	0.69	0.68	0.71	0.70	0.52
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.30	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.24
Disagree (net)	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.09
Somewhat disagree	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.07
Strongly disagree	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.02
No opinion	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.15

Table 168: Q21(7). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Local governments with a past record of racial discrimination should be required to prove that any changes they propose making to voting laws do not discriminate on the basis of race before they go into effect (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Nativity of Voter			Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Agree (net)	0.83	0.79	0.86	0.84	0.80	0.80	0.81	0.86	0.84	0.87	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.85	0.82
Strongly agree	0.67	0.62	0.72	0.67	0.64	0.65	0.65	0.72	0.71	0.74	0.67	0.66	0.66	0.68	0.67
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.15
Disagree (net)	0.10	0.13	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.10
Somewhat disagree	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05
Strongly disagree	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.05
No opinion	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.07

Table 169: Q21(7). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Local governments with a past record of racial discrimination should be required to prove that any changes they propose making to voting laws do not discriminate on the basis of race before they go into effect (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Agree (net)	0.83	0.82	0.83	0.81	0.84	0.82	0.86	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.82	0.84	0.82	0.84	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.83
Strongly agree	0.67	0.69	0.68	0.65	0.67	0.68	0.72	0.69	0.70	0.67	0.61	0.65	0.69	0.66	0.69	0.71	0.67	0.69	0.67
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.20	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.16	0.15	0.16
Disagree (net)	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.09
Somewhat disagree	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05
Strongly disagree	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05
No opinion	0.08	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.12	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.08

Table 170: Q21(7). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Local governments with a past record of racial discrimination should be required to prove that any changes they propose making to voting laws do not discriminate on the basis of race before they go into effect (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Agree (net)	0.83	0.93	0.65	0.82	0.64	0.69	0.79	0.92	0.96	0.94	0.95	0.91	0.66	0.63	0.73	0.78	0.95	0.55	0.73	0.84	0.81
Strongly agree	0.67	0.81	0.43	0.66	0.46	0.44	0.62	0.79	0.89	0.81	0.85	0.72	0.43	0.42	0.45	0.63	0.83	0.32	0.57	0.69	0.65
Somewhat agree	0.16	0.12	0.22	0.16	0.19	0.26	0.18	0.13	0.08	0.13	0.10	0.19	0.23	0.21	0.29	0.16	0.12	0.23	0.16	0.15	0.16
Disagree (net)	0.10	0.03	0.22	0.08	0.24	0.19	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.22	0.26	0.14	0.12	0.02	0.27	0.13	0.09	0.10
Somewhat disagree	0.05	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.11	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.06	0.02	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.05
Strongly disagree	0.05	0.01	0.12	0.04	0.15	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.15	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.16	0.05	0.05	0.05
No opinion	0.08	0.04	0.13	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.03	0.18	0.14	0.06	0.10

Table 171: Q21(8). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Voters should be notified if their local registrar believes the signature on their mail ballot looks different than the one on file to enable the voter to verify that their signature is real (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
		Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Agree (net)	0.91	0.89	0.90	0.91	0.91	0.92	0.91	0.94	0.89	0.92	0.95	0.90	0.91	0.91	0.89	0.94	0.91	0.89
Strongly agree	0.77	0.73	0.77	0.80	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.84	0.73	0.77	0.81	0.76	0.78	0.76	0.76	0.79	0.77	0.75
Somewhat agree	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.14
Disagree (net)	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.08
Somewhat disagree	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04
Strongly disagree	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05
No opinion	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.02

Table 172: Q21(8). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Voters should be notified if their local registrar believes the signature on their mail ballot looks different than the one on file to enable the voter to verify that their signature is real (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
		White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Agree (net)	0.91	0.94	0.88	0.85	0.90	0.89	0.90	0.87	0.94	0.88	0.89	0.84	0.92	0.80
Strongly agree	0.77	0.81	0.74	0.72	0.73	0.69	0.71	0.69	0.79	0.75	0.75	0.67	0.75	0.64
Somewhat agree	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.13	0.14	0.17	0.17	0.16
Disagree (net)	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.12
Somewhat disagree	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.08
Strongly disagree	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.05
No opinion	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.10	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.07

Table 173: Q21(8). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Voters should be notified if their local registrar believes the signature on their mail ballot looks different than the one on file to enable the voter to verify that their signature is real (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Nativity of Voter			Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Agree (net)	0.91	0.90	0.91	0.89	0.89	0.89	0.91	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.91	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.92
Strongly agree	0.77	0.76	0.77	0.73	0.76	0.76	0.77	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.78	0.73	0.73	0.75	0.79
Somewhat agree	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.13
Disagree (net)	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.04
Somewhat disagree	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.02
Strongly disagree	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
No opinion	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04

Table 174: Q21(8). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Voters should be notified if their local registrar believes the signature on their mail ballot looks different than the one on file to enable the voter to verify that their signature is real (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Agree (net)	0.91	0.85	0.91	0.93	0.92	0.83	0.89	0.88	0.90	0.94	0.95	0.93	0.89	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.89	0.92	0.91
Strongly agree	0.77	0.73	0.77	0.78	0.79	0.67	0.76	0.73	0.76	0.81	0.79	0.79	0.75	0.79	0.82	0.77	0.73	0.79	0.76
Somewhat agree	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.10	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.14
Disagree (net)	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05
Somewhat disagree	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Strongly disagree	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
No opinion	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04

Table 175: Q21(8). California policy makers are debating different proposals related to voting regulations and protections. For each of the following statements, please indicate whether you support or oppose them. - Voters should be notified if their local registrar believes the signature on their mail ballot looks different than the one on file to enable the voter to verify that their signature is real (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Agree (net)	0.91	0.92	0.90	0.89	0.91	0.90	0.88	0.93	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.89	0.96	0.88	0.83	0.93	0.88
Strongly agree	0.77	0.80	0.75	0.73	0.78	0.71	0.71	0.78	0.85	0.79	0.81	0.75	0.75	0.77	0.71	0.75	0.85	0.70	0.68	0.80	0.73
Somewhat agree	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.16	0.12	0.18	0.17	0.14	0.09	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.19	0.14	0.11	0.18	0.16	0.13	0.15
Disagree (net)	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.05
Somewhat disagree	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.03
Strongly disagree	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
No opinion	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.06	0.10	0.03	0.06

Table 176: Q22. Do you believe state government has a responsibility to expand voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups in California elections? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region											Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very di-verse	Somewhat di-verse	Not too di-verse	Not at all di-verse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Yes	0.69	0.75	0.63	0.67	0.68	0.66	0.64	0.62	0.66	0.72	0.65	0.74	0.67	0.60	0.67	0.71	0.73	0.71
No	0.20	0.15	0.26	0.20	0.21	0.26	0.25	0.27	0.23	0.16	0.24	0.15	0.23	0.28	0.23	0.19	0.15	0.17
Don't know	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.12

Table 177: Q22. Do you believe state government has a responsibility to expand voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups in California elections? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race/Ethnicity										Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Yes	0.69	0.65	0.74	0.79	0.77	0.70	0.82	0.78	0.83	0.78	0.72	0.84	0.79	0.71
No	0.20	0.24	0.16	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.16	0.07	0.17	0.18	0.04	0.12	0.12
Don't know	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.16	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.17

Table 178: Q22. Do you believe state government has a responsibility to expand voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups in California elections? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Gender			Age							Nativity of Voter		Generational status		
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Generation	Second Generation	Third+ Generation
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Yes	0.69	0.64	0.74	0.80	0.72	0.68	0.62	0.67	0.67	0.66	0.68	0.74	0.74	0.75	0.66
No	0.20	0.26	0.14	0.12	0.18	0.20	0.26	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.21	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.23
Don't know	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.11

Table 179: Q22. Do you believe state government has a responsibility to expand voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups in California elections? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Education					Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
	Total	High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Yes	0.69	0.72	0.68	0.68	0.73	0.76	0.75	0.71	0.71	0.68	0.63	0.64	0.75	0.64	0.75	0.69	0.76	0.72	0.69
No	0.20	0.17	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.12	0.13	0.18	0.19	0.22	0.28	0.25	0.15	0.25	0.17	0.18	0.14	0.20	0.20
Don't know	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.13	0.09	0.08	0.11

Table 180: Q22. Do you believe state government has a responsibility to expand voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups in California elections? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology						Party identification								Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00
Yes	0.69	0.86	0.38	0.70	0.36	0.47	0.64	0.83	0.93	0.88	0.90	0.82	0.40	0.36	0.49	0.63	0.86	0.29	0.56	0.67
No	0.20	0.06	0.47	0.18	0.52	0.40	0.20	0.08	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.46	0.52	0.34	0.24	0.07	0.57	0.26	0.23
Don't know	0.11	0.08	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.10	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.13	0.07	0.15	0.18	0.10

Table 181: Q23. Would you support or oppose state government expanding voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups, such as younger adults and people of color, and voters who do not speak English well? (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento/San Joaquin Valley	North Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras		Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very diverse	Somewhat diverse	Not too diverse	Not at all diverse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Support (net)	0.74	0.79	0.67	0.73	0.72	0.72	0.67	0.66	0.67	0.78	0.70	0.79	0.72	0.64	0.70	0.76	0.81	0.75
Support	0.55	0.58	0.50	0.54	0.53	0.53	0.50	0.48	0.51	0.58	0.55	0.60	0.52	0.44	0.52	0.57	0.60	0.53
strongly Support	0.19	0.21	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.20	0.15	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.19	0.21	0.22
somewhat																		
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.15	0.27	0.19	0.21	0.24	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.15	0.26	0.14	0.23	0.29	0.22	0.20	0.13	0.18
Oppose	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.14	0.06	0.09	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.12
somewhat																		
Oppose	0.12	0.08	0.16	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.11	0.07	0.06
strongly																		
No opinion	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.07

Table 182: Q23. Would you support or oppose state government expanding voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups, such as younger adults and people of color, and voters who do not speak English well? (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Race/Ethnicity									Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English dominant	Latino Spanish dominant	AAPI English dominant	AAPI Asian language dominant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Support (net)	0.74	0.71	0.76	0.80	0.82	0.79	0.87	0.84	0.86	0.78	0.75	0.85	0.83	0.81
Support	0.55	0.51	0.60	0.66	0.60	0.49	0.68	0.63	0.67	0.64	0.58	0.70	0.61	0.56
strongly Support	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.14	0.22	0.31	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.14	0.17	0.15	0.22	0.25
somewhat														
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.23	0.16	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.17	0.18	0.04	0.13	0.09
Oppose	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.06	0.05
somewhat														
Oppose	0.12	0.14	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.11	0.12	0.02	0.07	0.04
strongly														
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.11	0.05	0.10

Table 183: Q23. Would you support or oppose state government expanding voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups, such as younger adults and people of color, and voters who do not speak English well? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Nativity of Voter			Generational status		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Support (net)	0.74	0.68	0.79	0.83	0.76	0.72	0.68	0.71	0.71	0.71	0.73	0.76	0.76	0.79	0.72
Support	0.55	0.49	0.60	0.66	0.57	0.53	0.48	0.52	0.52	0.51	0.55	0.56	0.56	0.61	0.52
strongly															
Support	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.19
somewhat															
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.25	0.14	0.10	0.15	0.21	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.22
Oppose	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09
somewhat															
Oppose	0.12	0.15	0.08	0.05	0.09	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.13
strongly															
No opinion	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06

Table 184: Q23. Would you support or oppose state government expanding voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups, such as younger adults and people of color, and voters who do not speak English well? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Education				Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
		High school grad or less	Some col- lege/trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not mar- ried/ live to- gether	Separated/ di- vorced/ wid- owed	Single/ never mar- ried	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Support (net)	0.74	0.73	0.72	0.74	0.80	0.80	0.78	0.75	0.75	0.72	0.71	0.69	0.79	0.70	0.78	0.74	0.80	0.74	0.74
Support	0.55	0.58	0.54	0.52	0.58	0.63	0.62	0.54	0.56	0.54	0.49	0.49	0.60	0.51	0.63	0.52	0.61	0.58	0.54
strongly																			
Support	0.19	0.15	0.18	0.21	0.22	0.17	0.16	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.22	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.15	0.22	0.19	0.16	0.20
somewhat																			
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.16	0.21	0.21	0.17	0.10	0.13	0.17	0.19	0.23	0.24	0.25	0.14	0.25	0.16	0.20	0.13	0.21	0.19
Oppose	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.08
somewhat																			
Oppose	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.08	0.14	0.10	0.12	0.07	0.13	0.11
strongly																			
No opinion	0.07	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.07

Table 185: Q23. Would you support or oppose state government expanding voter outreach services to encourage voting participation among under-represented groups, such as younger adults and people of color, and voters who do not speak English well? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Party registration				Political ideology					Party identification										Voting frequency	
	Total	Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Support (net)	0.74	0.92	0.39	0.75	0.35	0.51	0.70	0.91	0.97	0.93	0.96	0.88	0.40	0.34	0.55	0.68	0.91	0.29	0.64	0.72	0.75
Support	0.55	0.75	0.19	0.53	0.22	0.27	0.45	0.67	0.87	0.77	0.82	0.67	0.19	0.16	0.26	0.46	0.69	0.12	0.40	0.54	0.56
strongly Support	0.19	0.17	0.20	0.22	0.13	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.09	0.16	0.14	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.29	0.22	0.22	0.18	0.24	0.18	0.20
somewhat																					
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.04	0.52	0.16	0.57	0.42	0.20	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.52	0.58	0.36	0.23	0.05	0.59	0.24	0.23	0.16
Oppose	0.08	0.02	0.19	0.07	0.16	0.17	0.10	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.09	0.04	0.22	0.08	0.09	0.07
somewhat																					
Oppose	0.12	0.01	0.34	0.09	0.41	0.26	0.10	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.32	0.38	0.19	0.14	0.01	0.37	0.15	0.14	0.09
strongly																					
No opinion	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.05	0.12	0.12	0.05	0.09

Table 186: Q24. Last year, President Trump backed the state of Texas’ redrawing its Congressional district lines to favor Republicans. In response, Governor Gavin Newsom called a special election and encouraged California voters to temporarily redraw its Congressional districts to favor Democrats. This year President Trump is proposing to change the nation’s election laws by imposing more restrictions that would make it harder for some citizens to vote in the nation’s elections. In response, Governor Newsom is proposing to pass additional state laws that he feels will add greater protections to voting rights. Do you support or oppose Governor Newsom’s efforts to counter the President’s efforts to change the nation’s election laws? (Statewide Registered Voters)

		Region										Urbanicity			Diversity of Neighborhood			
	Total	Los Angeles County	Orange County	San Diego County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Very di-verse	Somewhat di-verse	Not too di-verse	Not at all di-verse
unweighted n	5962.00	1141.00	542.00	545.00	659.00	400.00	1121.00	653.00	468.00	1416.00	138.00	2195.00	2415.00	1352.00	2000.00	2429.00	1032.00	332.00
weighted n	5962.00	1511.00	492.00	529.00	707.00	359.00	1053.00	489.00	564.00	1189.00	123.00	2637.00	2487.00	838.00	2188.00	2377.00	937.00	277.00
Support (net)	0.64	0.70	0.57	0.65	0.59	0.66	0.56	0.56	0.55	0.72	0.54	0.70	0.62	0.54	0.59	0.67	0.73	0.72
Support	0.53	0.56	0.46	0.55	0.51	0.54	0.46	0.47	0.46	0.58	0.45	0.57	0.52	0.43	0.49	0.55	0.62	0.56
strongly Support	0.12	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.14	0.09	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.12	0.16
somewhat																		
Oppose (net)	0.29	0.22	0.38	0.30	0.35	0.30	0.37	0.38	0.37	0.21	0.43	0.22	0.33	0.41	0.34	0.28	0.21	0.24
Oppose	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04
somewhat																		
Oppose	0.25	0.19	0.33	0.26	0.31	0.27	0.33	0.34	0.33	0.17	0.33	0.18	0.28	0.36	0.29	0.25	0.16	0.20
strongly																		
No opinion	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.04

Table 187: Q24. Last year, President Trump backed the state of Texas’ redrawing its Congressional district lines to favor Republicans. In response, Governor Gavin Newsom called a special election and encouraged California voters to temporarily redraw its Congressional districts to favor Democrats. This year President Trump is proposing to change the nation’s election laws by imposing more restrictions that would make it harder for some citizens to vote in the nation’s elections. In response, Governor Newsom is proposing to pass additional state laws that he feels will add greater protections to voting rights. Do you support or oppose Governor Newsom’s efforts to counter the President’s efforts to change the nation’s election laws? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race/Ethnicity										Language (Latino & AAPI respondents)			
	Total	White non- Hispanic	Latino	Black	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Filipino Am	Other AAPI	Latino English domi- nant	Latino Spanish domi- nant	AAPI English domi- nant	AAPI Asian language domi- nant
unweighted n	5962.00	3293.00	1386.00	259.00	1025.00	402.00	162.00	121.00	195.00	232.00	1110.00	267.00	812.00	187.00
weighted n	5962.00	3006.00	1690.00	393.00	956.00	313.00	175.00	114.00	232.00	214.00	1445.00	239.00	766.00	162.00
Support (net)	0.64	0.63	0.67	0.73	0.69	0.64	0.77	0.70	0.70	0.69	0.65	0.76	0.73	0.50
Support	0.53	0.53	0.56	0.62	0.50	0.43	0.51	0.39	0.52	0.57	0.55	0.62	0.55	0.26
strongly														
Support	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.19	0.21	0.26	0.31	0.18	0.12	0.10	0.15	0.18	0.24
somewhat														
Oppose (net)	0.29	0.33	0.26	0.16	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.28	0.14	0.19	0.34
Oppose	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.07
somewhat														
Oppose	0.25	0.29	0.22	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.16	0.24	0.11	0.14	0.27
strongly														
No opinion	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.14	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.16

Table 188: Q24. Last year, President Trump backed the state of Texas’ redrawing its Congressional district lines to favor Republicans. In response, Governor Gavin Newsom called a special election and encouraged California voters to temporarily redraw its Congressional districts to favor Democrats. This year President Trump is proposing to change the nation’s election laws by imposing more restrictions that would make it harder for some citizens to vote in the nation’s elections. In response, Governor Newsom is proposing to pass additional state laws that he feels will add greater protections to voting rights. Do you support or oppose Governor Newsom’s efforts to counter the President’s efforts to change the nation’s election laws? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Nativity of Voter		Generational status			
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	US	Not US Born (total)	First Genera- tion	Second Genera- tion	Third+ Genera- tion
unweighted n	5962.00	2907.00	3055.00	878.00	711.00	985.00	1534.00	1854.00	1095.00	759.00	4799.00	1130.00	1130.00	1181.00	3564.00
weighted n	5962.00	2892.00	3070.00	1060.00	1080.00	936.00	1344.00	1542.00	936.00	606.00	4911.00	1021.00	1021.00	1343.00	3496.00
Support (net)	0.64	0.60	0.69	0.73	0.67	0.62	0.59	0.63	0.64	0.63	0.65	0.64	0.64	0.70	0.63
Support	0.53	0.50	0.56	0.54	0.51	0.51	0.50	0.57	0.56	0.57	0.54	0.48	0.48	0.58	0.53
strongly Support	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.16	0.16	0.12	0.10
somewhat															
Oppose (net)	0.29	0.34	0.24	0.18	0.24	0.30	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.35	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.23	0.32
Oppose	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.04
somewhat															
Oppose	0.25	0.30	0.21	0.11	0.20	0.26	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.19	0.28
strongly															
No opinion	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05

Table 189: Q24. Last year, President Trump backed the state of Texas’ redrawing its Congressional district lines to favor Republicans. In response, Governor Gavin Newsom called a special election and encouraged California voters to temporarily redraw its Congressional districts to favor Democrats. This year President Trump is proposing to change the nation’s election laws by imposing more restrictions that would make it harder for some citizens to vote in the nation’s elections. In response, Governor Newsom is proposing to pass additional state laws that he feels will add greater protections to voting rights. Do you support or oppose Governor Newsom’s efforts to counter the President’s efforts to change the nation’s election laws? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Education					Household income						Tenure		Marital status				Union affiliation	
	Total	High school grad or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Renter/other	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No
unweighted n	5962.00	699.00	1731.00	1889.00	1617.00	428.00	525.00	620.00	1098.00	1861.00	1226.00	3458.00	2440.00	3013.00	425.00	1025.00	1424.00	1362.00	4528.00
weighted n	5962.00	942.00	2284.00	1731.00	981.00	565.00	643.00	706.00	1147.00	1718.00	994.00	2960.00	2937.00	2641.00	473.00	1032.00	1743.00	1372.00	4519.00
Support (net)	0.64	0.62	0.60	0.67	0.75	0.70	0.66	0.66	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.61	0.69	0.61	0.69	0.62	0.71	0.67	0.64
Support	0.53	0.49	0.50	0.55	0.60	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.53	0.51	0.55	0.52	0.55	0.51	0.58	0.54	0.54	0.55	0.53
strongly Support	0.12	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.17	0.12	0.11
somewhat																			
Oppose (net)	0.29	0.29	0.33	0.28	0.22	0.16	0.27	0.27	0.31	0.33	0.30	0.35	0.23	0.35	0.23	0.33	0.20	0.28	0.30
Oppose	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05
somewhat																			
strongly	0.25	0.25	0.28	0.24	0.18	0.14	0.21	0.24	0.27	0.29	0.24	0.31	0.19	0.30	0.20	0.29	0.15	0.25	0.25
Oppose																			
No opinion	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.06

Table 190: Q24. Last year, President Trump backed the state of Texas’ redrawing its Congressional district lines to favor Republicans. In response, Governor Gavin Newsom called a special election and encouraged California voters to temporarily redraw its Congressional districts to favor Democrats. This year President Trump is proposing to change the nation’s election laws by imposing more restrictions that would make it harder for some citizens to vote in the nation’s elections. In response, Governor Newsom is proposing to pass additional state laws that he feels will add greater protections to voting rights. Do you support or oppose Governor Newsom’s efforts to counter the President’s efforts to change the nation’s election laws? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Party registration				Political ideology				Party identification										Voting frequency	
		Democrat	Republican	NPP/other	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Regular voter	Not regular voter
unweighted n	5962.00	2868.00	1626.00	1468.00	666.00	917.00	1664.00	1164.00	1489.00	2653.00	1883.00	768.00	1117.00	788.00	326.00	2134.00	886.00	488.00	760.00	3842.00	2120.00
weighted n	5962.00	2700.00	1503.00	1759.00	667.00	867.00	1754.00	1125.00	1487.00	2568.00	1766.00	801.00	1063.00	746.00	314.00	2274.00	945.00	471.00	858.00	3124.00	2838.00
Support (net)	0.64	0.91	0.14	0.66	0.12	0.27	0.59	0.91	0.96	0.93	0.96	0.87	0.11	0.07	0.20	0.58	0.93	0.07	0.47	0.66	0.63
Support	0.53	0.79	0.08	0.50	0.11	0.18	0.45	0.76	0.85	0.82	0.88	0.69	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.42	0.75	0.03	0.29	0.57	0.48
strongly Support	0.12	0.12	0.06	0.16	0.01	0.09	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.11	0.08	0.19	0.05	0.03	0.10	0.15	0.18	0.05	0.18	0.09	0.15
somewhat																					
Oppose (net)	0.29	0.05	0.79	0.25	0.83	0.66	0.30	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.83	0.90	0.67	0.33	0.05	0.86	0.35	0.31	0.27
Oppose	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.09	0.06	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.07	0.03	0.12	0.08	0.03	0.05
somewhat																					
Oppose	0.25	0.03	0.71	0.19	0.78	0.57	0.23	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.76	0.85	0.56	0.27	0.02	0.74	0.27	0.28	0.22
strongly																					
No opinion	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.13	0.09	0.03	0.06	0.18	0.03	0.10