UC Berkeley

The CATESOL Journal

Title

Foreign Affairs Panelists' Construction of a Scholarly Identity

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5gj4j5v4

Journal

The CATESOL Journal, 32(1)

ISSN

1535-0517

Author

Leopold, Lisa

Publication Date

2021

DOI

10.5070/B5.35911

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed



LISA LEOPOLD

Middlebury Institute of International Studies at Monterey

Foreign Affairs Panelists' Construction of a Scholarly Identity

The purpose of this study is to shed light on the ways in which experts in foreign affairs project their scholarly identities on panel presentations. While previous research has focused on the ways in which researchers in various disciplines assert their scholarly identities in written discourse and in conference presentations, no study has focused on how experts in foreign affairs build credibility when speaking on panel presentations. From a qualitative analysis of 30 panel presentations held at the Brookings Institution, the findings reveal the extensive array of rhetorical strategies panelists in foreign affairs use which appear to build credibility. The study also draws attention to the syntactic, lexical, and register choices the panelists make. Based on these findings, the study offers pedagogical recommendations to help learners make the identity shift from a student to a scholar and become competent members of their discourse communities.

Within English for Academic Purposes scholarship, academic speaking has received less attention than academic writing has (Barrett & Liu, 2016; Lee, 2016), despite the importance of presentation skills for learners' academic and professional success (Evans, 2013; Kim, 2006). However, in recent years, the academic oral communication needs of non-native speakers

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 1

of English has garnered more substantial research interest. Prior studies have investigated non-native speakers' academic oral presentation self-efficacy (Amirian & Tavakoli, 2016; Zhang et al., 2020); communication apprehension and anxiety (Radzuan & Kaur, 2011; Sabri & Qin, 2014); perception of the qualities of an effective presentation (Otoshi & Heffernen, 2008); use of linking adverbials in academic presentations (Zareva, 2011); and discourse socialization (Zappa-Hollman, 2007). Moreover, a growing body of research has focused on oral genres (Morell, 2015), such as Three Minute Thesis competitions (Hu & Liu, 2018), university lectures (Lee, 2016; Yaakob, 2013), peer seminars (Aguilar, 2004), introductions of conference presentations (Rowley-Jolivet & Carter Thomas, 2005), TED talks (Chang & Huang, 2015), and PhD defenses (Mezek & Swales, 2016).

With English as the lingua franca of academia (Barrett & Liu, 2016; Hyland, 2018), the ability to deliver strong oral presentations in English is critical for non-native English speaking aspiring scholars (Zareva, 2009), yet non-native speakers find it linguistically, culturally, and cognitively challenging to deliver oral presentations (Barrett & Liu, 2016; Kaur & Ali, 2018; Morell, 2015; Morita, 2000). In particular, studies reporting on the challenges undergraduate and graduate students face with academic oral presentations have found that students' difficulties stem from a variety of factors, including a lack of specialized or technical knowledge (Chou, 2011; Radzuan & Kaur, 2011); difficulty selecting appropriate language (Chou, 2011), formal register, and academic English (Morita, 2000; Zappa-Hollman, 2007); insufficient academic or research training (Radzuan & Kaur, 2011), and inadequate presentation skills training (Stapa et al., 2014; Zareva, 2011). Moreover, non-native English speakers find it particularly challenging to respond spontaneously to the audience's questions (Prima et al., 2010; Yang, 2010), especially if they are asked questions they might not know the answer to (Alwi & Sidhu, 2013). To address these challenges, more research is needed on oral presentation skills instruction (Evans, 2013) and the linguistic features of academic oral presentations (Barrett & Liu, 2016; Zareva, 2009), particularly in fields outside science and engineering (Swales, 2004).

Oral presentations are powerful tools for language socialization (Weissberg, 1993; Yang, 2010). As emerging scholars within their discourse

communities, students must strike a delicate balance between showcasing credibility and seeking solidarity in academic oral presentations (Morita, 2000). Previous studies have investigated native English speaking TESOL graduate students' projection of scholarly identity in academic oral presentations (cf Zareva, 2013), as well as the extent to which both native and non-native speaking TESOL students cast themselves as experts in academic oral presentations (Morita, 2000). In Zareva (2013), TESOL students asserted their scholarly identities more subtly than assertively in most cases. Morita (2000) found that TESOL graduate students conveyed their relative expertise in academic oral presentations by communicating the reasons they chose the presentation topic and by recounting personal experiences.

However, the existing research does not shed light on how professionals, within different disciplines, showcase their credibility through strategies which are likely quite different than those used by TESOL graduate students. In conference presentations, experts tend to communicate the motivations that led to their research by highlighting gaps in knowledge or flaws with current methods, technologies, or in accepted theories. Experts may also underscore a practical need or show how the topic connects to their previous research (Rowley-Jolivet & Carter-Thomas, 2005). Moreover, seasoned professionals are expected, at least in writing, to display an authoritative stance, speak as insiders within their discourse communities, contribute new knowledge to the discipline (Hyland, 2001) and promote the novelty of their research (Harwood, 2005b). In fact, it is critical for scholars to position themselves at the vanguard of their disciplines (Berkenkotter & Huckin, 1995).

There is a distinction between portraying *scholarly* expertise which requires adopting the rhetorical conventions of the discipline and creating new knowledge and *school-based* expertise which entails representing knowledge created by others who have more expertise (Geisler, 1994). This difference is evidenced in the rhetorical techniques professionals and master's degree students in educational philosophy use in journal articles and in thesis writing, respectively (Peters, 2011). Moreover, the portrayal of scholarly expertise may depend in part on discipline: graduate students in the hard sciences are expected to demonstrate expertise in academic presentations,

even when they are just learning the subject material. Without proper instruction, learners may find the identity shift from a student to a scholar difficult to navigate (Chen, 2011).

Non-native English speakers need training to master the oral tasks within their disciplines (Yang, 2010). In particular, learners need scaffolded analysis of authentic models (Barrett & Liu, 2016; Yang, 2010) and specific, language-oriented guidelines (Barrett & Liu, 2016) to learn how professionals within their discourse communities convey credibility in panel presentations, a genre that has received little research attention within English for Academic Purposes. Although previous research has not explored strategies panelists use to underscore their credibility - despite the importance of conveying credibility in conference presentations in general (Fernández-Polo, 2014) – existing research has shed light on some strategies experts use to promote their research and assert themselves as members of a disciplinary community in journal articles. These include the use of inclusive and exclusive pronouns (Harwood, 2005b), self-citation (Hyland, 2001), personal pronouns and their cotext involving boosters and attitude markers (Harwood, 2005a), and compelling research titles (Berkenkotter & Huckin, 1995). investigating academic oral discourse have shed light on how the discourse marker "I mean" helps speakers underscore the strength and importance of their findings (Fernández-Polo, 2014), how pronoun choices convey speaker identity and engagement with the audience (Rowley-Jolivet & Carter Thomas, 2005), as well as how the use of hedges, self-mention, pronouns, and boosters shows subtle disciplinary variation in the hard and soft sciences (Yang, 2014). However, the strategies panelists use to position themselves as experts remain under-studied.

From a qualitative analysis of panel presentations held at the Brookings Institute, I aim to highlight the strategies experts in the field of foreign affairs use to project their scholarly identities in panel presentations and draw pedagogical implications from these findings. Specifically, I aspire to shed light on the following research question: What do professionals in foreign affairs at the Brookings Institution do to position themselves as experts on panel presentations?

In the remaining sections, I describe the collection and analysis of the

corpus materials, highlight the strategies foreign affairs experts use for building credibility in panel presentations, make pedagogical recommendations, and elaborate upon the implications of the research findings.

Method

Context

The study was conducted to inform the teaching of English presentation skills to master's degree-seeking international students aspiring to work in an international field such as policy, development, or nuclear nonproliferation. Students enrolled in the credit-bearing Professional Presentation Skills course, which met face-to-face twice weekly for 4 hours over 15 weeks, had attained at least an 80 (though often higher) on the Internet-based TOEFL exam to be admitted to their graduate program. The primary goal of the course was to enhance students' ability to deliver a range of professional presentations in English, including informative, persuasive, panel, interactive training, and commemorative presentations. In the panel presentation, students were expected to demonstrate their credibility as expert panelists discussing a pressing global issue. The findings from this study were intended to reveal what professionals in the field of foreign affairs "do" as expert panelists so students could learn, through carefully scaffolded activities, how they might become competent members of their discourse community.

Procedure

I qualitatively analyzed and manually coded thirty transcripts of panel discussions from the foreign policy sector of the Brookings Institution to identify strategies panelists used which appeared to portray credibility of themselves and of the topics they were addressing. Foreign policy was chosen because of its relevance to the international graduate students who aspire to work in international fields.

I collected the transcripts by searching brookings.edu for events, applying foreign policy as a filter for the research program, and organizing the events by date. I selected the 30 most recent panel presentations held in the United States between March 13, 2019 and October 7, 2019. When a keynote presentation preceded a panel, only the panel discussion was

included in the corpus data.

I carefully analyzed the transcripts, looking for patterns in the ways in which panelists demonstrated expertise. As no study to date has examined panelists' strategies for building credibility, the categories were not replicated from any prior study; however, some strategies (e.g., self-citation) were influenced by findings about credibility in written discourse (Hyland, 2001).

Corpus

The corpus totaled 356,434 words, with 11,881 as the mean number of words for each panel discussion transcript, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Description of the Brookings Corpus

Number of transcripts	Number of words	Mean transcript length
30	356,434	11,881

The panels represented a range of topics such as U.S. and other countries' foreign policies, diplomacy, security, trade, politics, and the environment. Panelists were native and non-native English speaking professionals working as professors, fellows, scholars, managing and deputy directors, CEOs, and ambassadors for organizations such as The Brookings Institution, the U.S. Department of State, Congressional Research Services, The Heritage Foundation, Peterson Institute for International Economics, the U.S. Department of Defense, and The Atlantic Council.

Results

Twenty-five categories emerged from the analysis of 30 foreign policy panel discussions at the Brookings Institution. Though categories were not always mutually exclusive, the aim in identifying these categories was to provide the most comprehensive overview of the strategies panelists use to build credibility about themselves and the topics they address. Tables 2 and 3 include the definition of each strategy, an illustrative example drawn from one of the transcripts, and brief commentary about the strategy.

Table 2. Building Credibility about Oneself

	Tuble 2. Dull	aring Creation	nty about Onese	
Strategy	Definition	Source	Example	Commentar
		and date		у
		of		
		example		
Prestigious	States	Ms. Hicks,	"I don't want	Ms. Hicks
affiliation	affiliation	The future	to undersell	reveals that
	or	of U.S.	what the	she has
	collaboratio	extended	Department	served on
	n with a	deterrence	is doing, but	the National
	prestigious	, April 24,	the	Defense
	organizatio	2019	conclusion of	Strategy
	n or group		the	Commission
			commission	and worked
			on which I	at CSIS, a
			served, the	well-known,
			National	reputable
			Defense	organization
			Strategy	
			Commission,	
			and certainly	
			the work I do	
			in my job at	
			CSIS, is	
			trying to	
			point	
			repeatedly to	
			this is where	
			the problem	
			is."	
Prestigious	Names past	Ms.	"Every I	Ms. Tamir
title	or current	Tamir,	was a	demonstrate
	prestigious	Democrac	minister of	s stature by
		у,	immigration	highlighting

	position titles	nationalis m and populism: The U.S., Israel, and beyond, October 7, 2019	about 12 years ago and we thought we had brought in the last Jews from Ethiopia."	her former role as minister of immigration .
Disciplinary expertise	Highlights area(s) of professional or scholarly expertise	Ms. Maloney, Constraini ng Iran's nuclear and missile capabilitie s, March 28, 2019	"From my perspective as someone who works on Iranian internal politics and economics and on the U.SIran relationship, we are coming at the end of a long period of limbo."	Ms. Maloney shares her informed perspective as an expert on Iranian internal politics and economics.
Professional identity	Claims membershi p in a professional discourse community	Ms. Tamir, Democrac y, nationalis m, and populism: The U.S., Israel, and	"Well, it all starts with the definition as we know, as we political theorists know, and depending how you	Identifying as a political theorist, Ms. Tamir recounts the shared perspective among her

Destructional	T. J	beyond, October 7, 2019	define something, you can refer to it as more adventurous or less."	professional community.
Professional experience	Underscore s the breadth, depth, duration, or impact of one's professional experience	Ms. Scott, U.S. Voting and U.S. foreign policy: Regional focus, April 5, 2019	"If you've engaged with the Continent as I have for over 30 years now, I think we see maturation of governments, as you say, in the democratic process, I think you see maturation of markets, I think you see the rise of a middle class."	Ms. Scott indicates that she has had extensive experience working on matters pertaining to the [African] Continent for over 30 years.
Professional accomplishm ents or engagements	Highlights activities demonstrati ng stature	Ms. Rusten, The end of an era?: The INF treaty, New START,	"So I just want to say, so we first saw this. I mean, what's interesting is the original START	Ms. Rusten indicates that she helped negotiate a treaty, a notable

	1			T .
		and the	Treaty, which	achievement
		future of	I also helped	•
		strategic	negotiate,	
		stability,	had an	
		March 22,	Article V	
		2019	prohibition	
			on some of	
			the types of	
			systems that	
			we're now	
			seeing	
			prohibited:	
			underwater-	
			and air-based	
			strategic	
			range nuclear	
			systems or	
			ballistic	
			missiles I	
			think."	
Educational	Mentions	Mr. La	"But I grew	Mr. La Reau
experience	educational	Reau, A	up in New	describes his
1	institution	legacy of	Jersey and I	educational
	attended,	service:	went to the	credentials.
	area(s)	9/11	U.S.	
	studied, or	veterans	Merchant	
	degree	continuin	Marine	
	earned	g the	Academy and	
		tradition	I got my	
		of George	commission	
		H.W.	from	
		Bush and	there"	
		John		
		McCain,		
		April 9,		
		2019		
		The CATEGO	01.1122.1	- 2020 2021 - 10

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 10

Self-citation	Cites one's	Mr.	"I mean I was	Mr. McGurk
Sch-citation	own public	McGurk,	on record in	shares
	-	The	2013 about	public
	comments	counter-		-
			this rising	testimony he
		ISIS	threat and I	gave
		coalition:	testified, and	previously
		Diplomac	you can kind	about the
		y and	of see it	rising threat
		security in	coming, and	in Syria.
		action,	the foreign	
		September	fighters	
		10, 2019	flowing into	
			Syria, the fact	
			that the	
			moderate	
			opposition	
			was very	
			quickly co-	
			opted by	
			these jihadist	
			extremist	
			groups, who	
			kind of rode	
			the back of	
			what was a	
			legitimate	
			revolution	
			against the	
			Assad regime,	
			and just	
			taking it	
			(inaudible)."	
Prominent	Mentions	Mr.	"And walking	Mr. McGurk
connections	conversatio	McGurk,	into a	claims
	ns or	The	meeting with	affiliation
	L		OL Journal 22 1	l l

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 11

		T	T	1
	collaboratio	counter-	President	with
	n with	ISIS	Obama and	prominent
	prominent	coalition:	the national	individuals:
	individuals	Diplomac	security team,	he
		y and	I got a phone	communicat
		security in	call from a	ed
		action,	very senior	personally
		September	Iraqi official	with
		10, 2019	who said	President
			hundreds of	Obama, the
			ISIS gun	national
			trucks were	security
			entering	team, and a
			Baghdad, and	very senior
			Baghdad is	Iraqi official.
			falling."	
Professional	Shows one's	Ms. Pak,	"During the	Ms. Pak's
reputation	expertise is	U.S.	Fire in Fury	professional
	sought after	voting and	days I got lots	opinion was
	by others	U.S.	of phone calls	sought after
		foreign	from friends	by her
		policy:	from New	friends and
		Regional	York, to the	associates,
		focus,	Midwest, to	showing
		April 5,	L.A.	they put
		2019	wondering	great trust in
			about	her.
			whether they	
			should go on	
			their business	
			trip to South	
			Korea, or to	
			China, or to	
			Taiwan, or to	
			Japan, and it	
			really	

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 12

			hiahliahtad	
			highlighted	
			how much	
			our	
			economies	
			and our	
			and how the	
			international	
			had fused	
			with the	
			domestic.	
			Third, what	
			happened in	
			Hawaii, and	
			these phone	
			conversations	
			that I've had	
			with friends	
			and	
			colleagues	
			who are	
			asking me	
			whether it	
			was safe to go	
			to the region	
			really	
			reminded	
			reminded me	
			that America	
			is a Pacific	
			Nation."	
			11441011.	
Personal	Reveals	Mr.	"Now, the	Mr. Hamid
background	religion,	Hamid,	obvious	reveals his
	nationality,	The	disclaimer is	religious
	ethnicity or	impact of	that this was	identity as a
	other	militias on	a very	Muslim
	o thier		OI Journal 32 1	

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 13

personal	governanc	minoritarian	which lends
characterist	e and	take on Islam,	credibility to
ics relevant	geopolitic	and in my	his
to the topic	s in the	view as a	perspective
	Middle	Muslim	on Islam.
	East and	myself, a	
	North	perverted	
	Africa,	one."	
	June 28,		
	2019		

Table 3. Building Credibility about the Topic

Strategy	Definition	Source and	Example	Commentar
Strategy	Bennition	date of	Example	у
				,
0 1 1	01	example	"D 2020 1C1	3.5.01.7
Statistics	Shares	Mr. Signé,	"By 2030, Africa	Mr. Signé
	figures,	The state	will have 1.7	shares
	percentages,	of African	billion people,	extensive
	and other	security:	which is	numerical
	numerical	Six critical	important, and	data about
	data	countries,	about 80 percent	the
		August 12,	of the growth	population
		2019	will be in cities,	trends and
			major cities.	economic
			This is	conditions
			important	in Africa.
			because more	
			than 60 percent	
			of the African	
			population is	
			below the age of	
			30 and we have	
			an important	
			shortage in	
			terms of	

	1	ı	1	
			employment, so	
			unemployment	
			is very high. So	
			first off, Nigeria	
			is the most	
			prosperous	
			African country	
			and also has	
			about 20 percent	
			of the GDP of	
			the continent	
			and 75 percent	
			of the GDP of	
			the West	
			African region.	
			So Nigeria has	
			about 94 million	
			people living	
			below the	
			extreme poverty	
			line, which	
			represents over	
			47 percent of the	
			population."	
Facts	States	Mr.	"So, Hamas and	Mr. Feltman
	general	Feltman,	Hezbollah do	relays factual
	truths or	The	not appear on	information
	non-	impact of	the UN terrorist	about
	numerical	militias on	list. They do	whether
	information	governanc	appear on the	Hamas and
	which can	e and	U.S. and other	Hezbollah
	be proven	geopolitics	countries	are classified
		in the	terrorist list."	as terrorist
		Middle		groups.
		East and		
		North		
		TI. CAT	ECOL I	- 2020 2021 - 15

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 15

	I			
		Africa,		
		June 28,		
		2019		
Current	Highlights	Mr.	"Just quickly on	Mr. Temin
informati	current	Temin,	the very	highlights
on	trends or	The state	important issues	current
	events	of African	on gender	trends about
		security:	dynamics, I	women
		Six critical	want to	leading
		countries,	highlight what's	social
		August 12,	going on in	change
		2019	Sudan right	movements
			now, which has	in Sudan.
			not been a	
			country of focus	
			for us, but which	
			also is	
			undergoing a	
			remarkable	
			change, one that	
			is very much	
			driven by	
			women who	
			have been	
			leading the	
			protest	
			movement, who	
			have been taking	
			great risks, and	
			who have really	
			been out in front	
			in the change	
			that we're	
			seeing."	
			3	
	I			

Historical	Highlights	Ms.	"If you look	Ms.
informati	Highlights		7	
	past trends	Polyakova,	again at Russian	Polyakova
on	or events	Putin's	history over the	speaks about
		world,	centuries,	trends which
		March 13,	change doesn't	have defined
		2019	tend to happen	the
			from the bottom	trajectory of
			up in Russia, it	Russian
			tends to happen	history.
			from the top	
			down. We've	
			seen this in the	
			collapse of the	
			Soviet Union,	
			we saw in the	
			Bolshevik	
			Revolution. This	
			is the tendency.	
			It doesn't mean	
			it will always be	
			like this, but if	
			we kind of take	
			Putin as	
			returning Russia	
			to its historical	
			roots, if you will,	
			we talked about	
			the expansion	
			and contraction	
			patterns that	
			have been part	
			of Russian	
			identity and	
			Russian history	
			for so long, this	
			could go on for	
	l		could go on ioi	

			a very, very long time."	
Expert testimony	Quotes or paraphrases the words of individual experts, scholars, or political leaders	Mr. Vaishnav, Assessing India's 2019 election results, May 24, 2019	"And this is something that was encouraged by the Prime Minister himself, who was very clear in 2019 saying, a vote for the BJP is a vote for me, right. And that's the most important thing. And this is actually something that he said back in Gujarat. I remember being in Gujarat in 2012, which is his last reelection, where he said the person on the ballot is irrelevant. It's really about who you get to lead the government."	Mr. Vaishnav recounts the words of the Indian Prime Minister.

Perspectiv	Highlights	Mr.	"Turkey figures	Mr. Vertin
e-taking	the views of	Vertin,	in almost all of	brings forth
- ···	a group	Red Sea	these	the
	(e.g., the	rivalries:	conversations.	perspective
	general	The Gulf,	And yet, you	of Turkish
	public, a	The Horn,	know, this is an	diplomats.
	group of	and the	issue lamented	
	professional	new	by many of its	
	s, an	geopolitics	diplomats	
	organization	of the Red	because they	
	, a political	Sea, April	would argue that	
	party, or a	18, 2019	they've been in	
	government		the region	
	administrati		longer and their	
	on)		engagement has	
			been more	
			nuanced."	
Observati	States	Mr.	"And I visited all	Mr. Vertin
on	firsthand	Vertin,	three of	shares
	eyewitness	Red Sea	Djibouti's ports,	firsthand
	accounts or	rivalries: The Gulf,	including the	information
	on the	The Guil, The Horn,	port in question	from visiting Djibouti's
	ground experience	and the	that got so much attention on	ports.
	experience	new	Capitol Hill.	ports.
		geopolitics	And despite	
		of the Red	fears that it had	
		Sea, April	already been	
		18, 2019	taken over and	
		- 3, - 3, -	asserted control	
			by the Chinese	
			of its 700	
			employees, there	
			wasn't a single	

Definitio Defines a term or concept Digital intelligenc e: A comparati ve March 29, 2019 Constitution. I'll e, March 29, 2019 Constitution. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federatism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federatism comes to be understand by gof federalism; This is not a term explicitly enshrined in the U.S. and in the E.U. E.U. Constitution. I'll impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understoad in the U.S. as preserving, or even enhancing,		I	I	01.1	
Definitio Defines a term or concept Digital technology in the age of artificial intelligenc e: A comparati ve Ms. Petkova offers a nuanced understand by federalism? This is not a term explicitly enshrined in the ve U.S. perspectiv e, March 29, 2019 Constitution. I'll e, March 29, 2019 Constitution. I'll impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or					
Definitio network term or concept network netw				_ ,	
n term or concept Digital technology in the age of artificial intelligence: A comparati ve March 29, 2019 Digital technology in the age of artificial intelligence: A comparati ve March 29, 2019 Digital technology in the age of artificial intelligence: A comparati ve Ware Ware Ware Ware Ware Ware Ware War					
concept Digital technology in the age of artificial intelligenc e: A comparati ve March 29, 2019 Concept Digital technology in the age of artificial intelligenc e: A comparati ve Warrend with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or	Definitio	Defines a	Ms.	"So, let me start	Ms. Petkova
technology in the age of artificial intelligenc e: A explicitly in the U.S. perspectiv e, March 29, 2019 concept that has had a tremendous doctrinal impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or	n	term or	Petkova,	with federalism.	offers a
in the age of artificial intelligence e: A explicitly interpreted comparati enshrined in the ve U.S. and in the E.U. e, March bet it is a 29, 2019 concept that has had a tremendous doctrinal impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or		concept	Digital	First of all, what	nuanced
of artificial intelligence e: A explicitly interpreted in the U.S. and in the U.S. and in the E.U. explicitly interpreted in the U.S. and in the U.S. and in the U.S. and in the E.U. explicitly in the U.S. and in the U.S. and in the U.S. and in the E.U.			technology	do we	understandi
intelligenc e: A comparati ve U.S. perspectiv e, March 29, 2019 concept that has had a tremendous doctrinal impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or			in the age	understand by	ng of
e: A comparati ve unshrined in the ve u.S. perspectiv constitution. I'll e, March bet it is a concept that has had a tremendous doctrinal impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or			of artificial	federalism? This	federalism
comparati ve U.S. perspectiv e, March 29, 2019 concept that has had a tremendous doctrinal impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. and in the E.U.			intelligenc	is not a term	and how it is
ve			e: A	explicitly	interpreted
perspectiv e, March bet it is a concept that has had a tremendous doctrinal impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or			comparati	enshrined in the	in the U.S.
e, March 29, 2019 bet it is a concept that has had a tremendous doctrinal impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or			ve	U.S.	and in the
concept that has had a tremendous doctrinal impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or			perspectiv	Constitution. I'll	E.U.
had a tremendous doctrinal impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or			e, March	bet it is a	
tremendous doctrinal impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or			29, 2019	concept that has	
doctrinal impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				had a	
impact. As such, federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				tremendous	
federalism remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				doctrinal	
remains a disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				impact. As such,	
disputed notion. While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				federalism	
While it was associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				remains a	
associated with centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				disputed notion.	
centralization, when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				While it was	
when the U.S. Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				associated with	
Federation was still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				centralization,	
still young, more recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				when the U.S.	
recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				Federation was	
recently, federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				still young, more	
federalism comes to be understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				, -	
understood in the U.S. as preserving, or				•	
the U.S. as preserving, or				comes to be	
preserving, or				understood in	
				the U.S. as	
				preserving, or	
$_{1}$				even enhancing,	

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 20

	1	ı	Г	1
			local autonomy.	
			Conversely, in	
			the context of	
			the European	
			Union,	
			federalism tends	
			to be used	
			synonymously	
			with directing	
			more power to	
			the U.S sorry	
			to the E.U.	
			institutions,	
			and, now, of	
			course, with	
			looming Brexit,	
			the vote is	
			happening as we	
			speak, it is not a	
			term of art	
			preferred on the	
			other side of the	
			pond."	
			1	
Examples	Names	Mr.	"Right now I	Mr.
	specific	Stromseth,	think the	Stromseth
	anecdotes or	A	conventional	pinpoints
	points, often	retrospecti	wisdom is that	several
	describing	ve on a	democracy has	threats to
	situations in	changing	been declining	democracy
	other	East Asia:	in Southeast	in Southeast
	countries or	The first	Asia for several	Asia, as well
	referring to	twenty	years, people	as several
	legislation,	years of	point to the	promising
	policies,	CEAP,	military coup in	indicators of
	acts, bills,		Thailand in	its growth.
L	1	TT1 0 4 TT	FSOL Journal 32 1	-

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 21

ı			
treaties,	May 1,	2014, Duterte's	
strategies, or	2019	drug war and	
other		extrajudicial	
government		killings in the	
initiatives		Philippine, Hun	
		Sen's disillusion	
		of other political	
		parties and	
		muzzling of the	
		media, for	
		instance, in	
		Cambodia; and	
		concerns about	
		rise of religious	
		and political	
		intolerance in	
		Indonesia.	
		And even the	
		glow of sort of	
		Aung San Suu	
		Kyi's historic	
		victory in 2015,	
		in Myanmar is	
		dimming as, you	
		know, nearly	
		800,000	
		Rohingya	
		refugees have	
		escaped into	
		Bangladesh to	
		escape ethnic	
		cleansing.	
		σ.	
		But there are	
		still conspicuous	
		examples of	
		champies of	

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 22

			democratic	
			practice. We saw	
			for instance that	
			UMNO, the	
			ruling party	
			since 1957 in	
			Malaysia lost	
			power in 2018.	
			Also	
			importantly,	
			Indonesia just	
			conducted its	
			fourth direct	
			presidential	
			election since	
			the country	
			democratized in	
			1999, and that,	
			in itself I think,	
			should give	
			some hope for	
			what looks to be	
			an increasingly	
			consolidated	
			and maturing	
			democracy."	
Primary	Refers to	Mr.	"So, this is	Mr. Farrell
research	one's own	Farrell,	something that	refers to an
	publications	The U.S	Abe and I	article he
	, research,	China	described in a	and his co-
	work in	technology	recent article	author had
	progress, or	relationshi	that was	published in
	proposed	p in flux,	published in the	Internationa
	research	October 4,	journal	l Security, a
		2019	International	prominent
		TheCAT	ESOL Journal 32.1	-2020 2021-22

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 23

			Security, on a topic that we call 'Weaponize Interdependenc e'. And here, applying the insights that we have come up with there to this situation, what we would say is that the current fight over Huawei is really a kind of a marker of how it is that old notions about how globalization and the globalized economy worked, that	journal, about how the struggle over Huawei is linked to the functioning of the globalized economy.
			globalization	
			· ·	
			•	
			these ideas have,	
			for better or for	
			worse, been to a	
			great extent,	
			abandoned."	
	- C		(() 1 1	
Secondar	Refers to	Mr. Signé,	"And there may	Mr. Signé
y research	research or	The state	have been some	highlights
	publications	of African	kind of an	the
	by others	security: Six critical	understanding for all I know,	perspective of his
			but I'm still	
		countries,	FSOL Journal 32 1	colleague in

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 24

	Т	1		T
		August 12,	hopeful because,	a Foreign
		2019	as Jon wrote in	Affairs
			his Foreign	article about
			Affairs article	how
			about Angola,	incoming
			even when	presidents
			there's an effort	may change
			to sort of control	the way
			a progression,	human
			there's an	rights
			opportunity for	practices are
			a new president	addressed.
			to break from	
			the past, at least	
			to an extent, at	
			least to begin to	
			reform human	
			rights practices."	
			-	
Insider	Cites	Ms. Stent,	"And I mean	Ms. Stent
informati	information	Putin's	anyone who was	describes
on	not	world,	at the Munich	how the
	accessible to	March 13,	Security	relationship
	the	2019	Conference a	between
	layperson,		few weeks ago	Presidents
	drawn from		saw that in	Trump and
	conferences,		action. It's	Putin is
	forums,		essentially	affected by
	professional		Europe,	European
	connections,		Germany,	actors, a
	or other		whatever,	view
	sources of		between Trump	highlighted
	occluded		and Putin and	at the
	information		how do they	Munich
			possibly manage	Security
				Conference
	1		ESOI Journal 22 1	-2020 2021-25

The CATESOL Journal 32.1 • 2020-2021 • 25

			both of those challenges."	she attended.
Theory	Provides conceptual information or framing for the topic	Ms. Petkova, Digital technology in the age of artificial intelligenc e: A comparati ve perspectiv e, March 29, 2019	"Vogel's theory of a race to the top, the "California effect", based on California's first mover, high environmental standards for car manufacturers, is based on the premise that trade liberalization triggers stricter standards, developed in jurisdictions with a large market share, to force private companies in other jurisdictions, with weaker standards, either to meet the higher standard, or sacrifice a large portion of their exports."	Ms. Petkova describes Vogel's "California effect" theory whereby strict standards arising from trade liberalizatio n force private companies to either rise to this higher standard or forgo a significant percentage of their exports.

	1 1		// -	1
Critical	Underscores	Ms.	"I can tell you	Ms.
stance	one's own	Maloney,	that from all of	Maloney
	arguments	Constraini	the tea leaf	offers her
	or critical	ng Iran's	reading today,	expert
	view of the	nuclear	Iran is not	position that
	subject	and	moving in a	Iran's next
		missile	more open	leader is
		capabilitie	direction, at	likely to be
		s, March	least with	less
		28, 2019	respect to the	cooperative
			government,	in
			and the	international
			successor to the	negotiations,
			supreme leader	more
			is likely to be	skeptical of
			less well	the
			disposed toward	international
			international	community,
			negotiations,	and less
			less trusting of	knowledgea
			the international	ble about the
			community, and	history of
			frankly less well	the nuclear
			informed about	program.
			the nuclear	1 0
			program and	
			about the	
			history of where	
			we have come	
			from	
			here."	
	I .			

The results reveal that panelists in foreign affairs may build credibility about themselves and the topics they address by using a myriad of strategies.

Panelists may build credibility about themselves primarily by demonstrating their stature – through their professional achievements, prominent connections, prestigious institutional affiliations, or esteemed reputation, for example. Panelists may build credibility about the topic by using a wide variety of support which demonstrates their explicit knowledge of the topic, such as statistics, expert testimony, examples, and primary as well as secondary research. Thus, strategies for building credibility about oneself are construed more broadly to demonstrate expertise in general, whereas strategies for building credibility about the topic are construed more narrowly to focus explicitly on the issue under discussion.

Panelists often used strategies for building credibility about themselves when sharing their perspective, as opposed to facts or other verifiable information, as shown in this excerpt in which Ms. Maloney highlights her disciplinary expertise [in bold], "From my perspective as someone who works on Iranian internal politics and economics and on the U.S.-Iran relationship, we are coming at the end of a long period of limbo" (March 28, 2019). For the *perspective* to hold merit, it should come from an authority, which is perhaps why panelists used strategies for building personal credibility frequently when expressing their views. However, when verifiable information such as facts was shared, it was already credible; adding information about the panelist would not typically enhance the credibility of the facts or other verifiable information presented.

Panelists also seemed to build credibility by creating a division between insiders and outsiders. One such example is when Mr. Carotenuto stated, "And so if you read the press, much of the external threat come from issues that are developing in relationship to Somalia, particularly the Al-Shabaab insurgent group that formed in 2006" (August 12, 2019). In this excerpt, Mr. Carotenuto shares current information to build credibility about the topic. The phrase "if you read the press" draws a distinction between people, like him, who stay abreast of current events, and people who do not. This technique of drawing a distinction between those who are well-informed versus those who are not well-informed was used by other panelists with the following phrases: "if you've been following the news," (Mr. Vertin, April 18, 2019); "if you're watching the news from the country," (Ms. Maloney, March

28, 2019); and "if you watch some of the Russian media narratives in the Russian language of the U.S. President" (Ms. Polyakova, March 13, 2019). Panelists also created a divide between insiders and outsiders based on other factors, including professional experience (e.g., "if you've engaged with the Continent as I have for over 30 years now" from Ms. Scott, April 5, 2019) and familiarity with external sources (e.g., "if you read the National Security Strategy, the Military Doctrine, the writings of the chiefs of general staff, et cetera" from Mr. Giles, March 13, 2019). As shown in these examples, panelists seemed to build credibility through exclusivity: either by claiming membership in a discourse community to which not everyone belonged or by claiming to have knowledge that not everyone had.

The rhetorical and syntactic structure of sentences tended to vary depending on whether the panelist was building credibility about him or herself as opposed to the topic. When the panelist was building personal credibility, ancillary information was frequently added in a clause, without which the sentence would still make rational sense. For example, if "as I have for over 30 years now," which shows the panelist's extensive professional experience, were cut from this sentence, the main point would still remain intact. The sentence reads, "If you've engaged with the Continent as I have for over 30 years now, I think we see maturation of governments, as you say, in the democratic process, I think you see maturation of markets, I think you see the rise of a middle class" (Ms. Scott, April 5, 2019). That such information about the panelist would be embedded in a clause is logical, because the main point of the discussion is not about the panelist's professional experience but rather about economic changes in Africa. Were the panelist to separate that information about herself in another sentence, such as, "I have worked on the African Continent for over 30 years now," it might have sounded pretentious to the audience. In contrast, when panelists were building credibility about the topic, such information often appeared not in clauses within a sentence but in complete sentences, as Mr. Feltman showed when sharing these facts, "So, Hamas and Hezbollah do not appear on the UN terrorist list. They do appear on the U.S. and other countries terrorist list" (June 28, 2019). The facts represented the principal focus of the panel discussion, thereby making it logical that they would be foregrounded in separate sentences rather than embedded in clauses as ancillary information.

Although rhetorical, rather than lexical, choices were the focus of this study's investigation for building credibility, it is notable that panelists frequently used the expressions "we see," and to a lesser extent, "you see." One such example is when Ms. Polyakova cited historical information, "If you look again at Russian history over the centuries, change doesn't tend to happen from the bottom up in Russia, it tends to happen from the top down. We've seen this in the collapse of the Soviet Union, we saw in the Bolshevik Revolution" (March 13, 2019). In another example drawn from the corpus, Mr. Wise stated, "We also see this ambition colliding with the reality of political military space, particularly related to militias in the eastern Congo, where efforts to control Ebola have been undermined by militia activity and insecurity in those areas" (June 28, 2019). In Hyland's (2005) stance and engagement model, pronoun choice is one way in which engagement is expressed. Panelists appeared to draw upon this phrase "we see" to build inclusivity with their audience and express shared knowledge with members of their discourse communities. The expression "we see" reinforces the credibility of the message by making the observations appear less biased since they are commonly held perceptions.

Given that academic writing is often associated with formal register (Liardét, Black, & Bardetta, 2019), there may be an underlying assumption that academic speaking would use formal register also. It is worth noting that panelists mixed elements of formal and informal register. At times this register variation occurred within a single sentence, as this example from Mr. Signé shows, "So let's say that many of the critical factors affecting the overall economic performance and political and (inaudible) performance for the continent include the rapid urbanization and population growth" (August 12, 2019). The connector "so," (Giménez Moreno, 2010), the ordinary reporting verb "say" (Giménez Moreno, 2010), the contraction "let's" (Giménez Moreno, 2010; Liardét, Black, & Bardetta, 2019) as well as the personal pronoun "us" in that contraction (Liardét, Black, & Bardetta, 2019) which shows involvement and interactiveness (Heylighen & Dewaele, 1999) are all signs of informal register. However, the abstract subject "factors" (Biber et

al., 1999), the nominalizations "performance," "urbanization," and "growth," (Fang et al., 2006), nonfinite relative clause "affecting the overall economic performance and political and (inaudible) performance" (Biber & Gray, 2010), and post-modifying prepositional phrase in the noun phrase "for the continent" (Biber & Gray, 2010) are all indicative of formal register. Moreover, the use of "and" to link nominal elements with "the rapid urbanization and population growth" is more likely to occur in formal as opposed to informal register (Liardét, Black, & Bardetta, 2019). Students should be aware that panelists did not rely on a single register when delivering their panel presentations, and thus, the linguistic flexibility to understand register variation may be critical (Hinkel, 2003; Hyland, 2002) so they can adapt their speech appropriately for their audience and context.

Pedagogical Implications

Both awareness-raising and performance-based activities, such as those suggested below, may be used to teach students how to project their scholarly identities in panel presentations.

Through a process resembling language socialization (Ochs, 1988) whereby learners become socialized through interaction with experts (and in this case, through interaction with authentic expert input), the proposed classroom activities are intended to help novices become competent members of their discourse community. Learning is facilitated through the instructor's careful modeling and scaffolding in accordance with the sociocultural theory (Vygotsky, 1978). The instructional activities are intended to help address students' self-reported challenges with academic oral presentations: presenting specialized knowledge (Chou, 2011; Radzuan & Kaur, 2011), using appropriate language and formal register (Chou, 2011; Morita, 2000; Zappa-Hollman, 2007), and showcasing their credibility even when speaking spontaneously or responding to the audience's questions (Prima et al., 2010; Yang, 2010).

Analyzing Authentic Panelist Input

The goal of this activity is for students to learn, from analysis of authentic input, how panelists in foreign policy position themselves as experts. Authentic input is deemed essential for pragmatics instruction,

especially for awareness raising of syntactic forms and pragmatic strategies (Tateyama, 2019). Moreover, noticing activities have a long record of success in pragmatics instruction (Taguchi, 2015), and research shows that inductive instruction, whereby students derive the rules or principles themselves, leads to better retention of learning gains than deductive instruction does (Glaser, 2014; Takimoto, 2009).

In this awareness-raising activity, learners analyze authentic excerpts from the panel transcripts to determine what makes them sound credible. If they cannot determine the principles of credibility themselves, the instructor scaffolds instruction with guiding questions. After analyzing several authentic lines, learners derive the categories for building credibility which are listed in Tables 2 and 3.

For example, in this line, "For the nearly six years I worked on peace and security matters at the United Nations from inside the Secretariat, this was one of the most vexing questions we dealt with," Mr. Feltman uses a number of strategies to build credibility: he states the duration of his professional experience [six years], mentions a prestigious affiliation [with the Secretariat of the United Nations], and reveals his disciplinary expertise [on peace and security matters] (June 28, 2019).

Revising Lines for Greater Credibility

The goal of this activity is for learners compare their own pragmatic choices with authentic input (Ishihara & Cohen, 2010). They apply the expert principles for building credibility when revising lines from their own recorded presentations.

In addition to revising their own lines from previous presentations, students may also revise authentic panelist lines which fall short of demonstrating credibility and explain the revisions they made. For example, in one of the Brookings panels in the corpus, the moderator Ms. Solís asks the panelist Mr. Chen whether he has any last words, and he responds, "I'm a little bit nervous on this stage so maybe I (off mic) ---" She continues, "Is it working? I'm sorry, I think again – do you want to borrow?" Mr. Chen responds, "It's okay now. Yeah, I'm little bit nervous on this stage, so maybe we discuss later. Thank you." Students analyze why full disclosure of one's nervousness may diminish credibility, and how it might be preferable to leave

the audience with a parting point.

Another variation of this activity is for students to revise panelist lines in which the instructor has omitted some information the panelist used for building credibility. Students share their revisions and then compare their revisions with the original versions to observe similarities and differences with how they and the expert panelists built credibility. For example, students might revise this modified line from Ms. Zeya, "Now, if you were to double that amount in the most conflicted afflicted and fragile countries, you would have a cost savings." Students would then compare their revisions with the original version in which secondary research is cited and precise statistics are provided, "Now, studies have shown if you were to double that amount in the 31 most conflicted afflicted and fragile countries, you would have a cost savings over 10 years of nearly \$3 trillion" (April 5, 2019).

Role-playing Experts and Speaking Extemporaneously

The goal of this activity is for students to apply the strategies they learned for building credibility in a role-play in which they perform as expert panelists. This opportunity to role-play experts may allow students to try out new forms of academic discourse (Cannon, 2017).

Students choose their professional identity (e.g., a policy analyst, diplomat, professor, or economist) and the topic (e.g., climate change, cyber security, terrorism, or food security). All students are randomly assigned one strategy for building credibility (e.g., prestigious affiliation, self-citation, or primary research). They are to feature that credibility strategy most prominently in a one- to two-minute response to the question, "Why is this issue so important or concerning?" The class guesses which strategy for building credibility is used and peers provide feedback on its effectiveness for building credibility.

As a more open-ended caveat to this activity, learners may respond extemporaneously to the prompt using any of the strategies for building credibility, and another student whose name is randomly drawn would have up to two minutes to state agreement or disagreement with the first student's position while incorporating any of the strategies for building credibility in the response. Students would reflect on the strategies they used and receive peer and instructor feedback on their effectiveness. Students may even

transcribe their own extemporaneous speech and revise it for greater credibility if their interactions are recorded.

Conclusion

This research provides insight into the strategies professionals in the field of foreign affairs use in panel presentations, thereby contributing to a growing body of knowledge on effective presentations and providing essential guidance EAP students need for expressing themselves academically (Rowley-Jolivet & Carter-Thomas, 2005). The pedagogical activities described in this article help answer the call for the teaching of oral discourse skills and provide "specific, language-oriented guidelines" (Barrett & Liu, 2016, p. 1231) for the panel presentation.

The findings reveal that foreign affairs experts use a wide variety of strategies for building credibility about themselves and the topics they address. These include strategies emphasizing their professional experience, accomplishments, prominent connections, and prestigious affiliations for personal credibility; as well as citing facts, primary and secondary research, historical evidence, and expert testimony to showcase their depth of knowledge about the topic. The complete list of strategies can be found in Tables 2 and 3. At times panelists emphasized insider status to enhance their credibility. Panelists tended to use the strategies for building credibility about themselves when sharing their own perspectives, typically by adding that information in a non-essential clause in a sentence. Conversely, the strategies for building credibility about the topic were often featured more prominently in independent clauses. Panelists used both formal and informal register and key lexical expressions such as "we see" to build inclusivity with members of their discourse community.

One potential criticism of teaching non-native speakers these strategies is that it may uphold conformity to disciplinary norms and privilege a dominant or mainstream manner of expression (cf Benesch, 2001); however, this study included both native and non-native speaking expert panelists' strategies for learners to be intentionally exposed to a wide variety of communicative strategies within their discourse community. Throughout the lesson's activities, learners should be encouraged to reflect on their own scholarly identities and draw comparisons with the strategies in their native

languages or cultures, an approach recommended in teaching pragmatics (Cohen, 2019; Limberg, 2015). Students should be given a broad array of authentic examples to analyze and the liberty to determine which of the strategies they feel comfortable incorporating as part of their own scholarly identities rather than being compelled to follow any of them as prescriptive guidelines.

The research findings are relevant to EAP instructors who aspire to enhance students' understanding of the norms of their discourse communities. To address Spack's (1988) claim that EAP instructors are illequipped to teach outside their disciplinary communities, this research shows that EAP instructors can develop the expertise to teach their students to become competent members of discourse communities to which they do not belong (Hyland, 2018). Such instruction would equip even advanced learners with specific knowledge of the field which they may be lacking (Honga & Fong, 2012).

One limitation of the study is the relatively small size of the corpus, as it was under one million tokens which is the recommended size for producing the most helpful linguistic information (Sinclair, 1991). In addition to increasing the size of the corpus, the scope of strategies might be broadened in future studies to more thoroughly investigate presenters' lexical choices (Zareva, 2009), such as the inclusive "we" for self-promotion and solidarity (Harwood, 2005b) or the first-person pronoun "I" for projecting authority (Chen, 2020). Future studies might also investigate variation in the use of strategies to build credibility by discipline, among different oral presentation genres, and in oral versus written discourse. The extent to which the strategies outlined in this article build panelists' credibility could be further explored by investigating either the audience's or key informants' perception of the panelists' credibility. It is hoped that this study's initial findings will prompt future research into the ways in which speakers convey their scholarly selves in oral discourse.

Author

Lisa Leopold teaches English for Academic and Professional Purposes to international graduate students at the Middlebury Institute of International Studies at Monterey. Her research interests include pragmatics and public speaking pedagogy.

References

- Aguilar, M. (2004). The peer seminar, a spoken research process genre. Journal of English for Academic Academic Purposes, 3(1), 55-72. doi: 10.1016/S1475-1585(03)00043-2
- Alwi, N.F. & Sidhu, G.K. (2013). Oral presentation: Self-perceived competence and actual performance among UiTM business faculty students. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 90, 98-106. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.07.070
- Amirian, S.M., & Tavakoli, E. (2016). Academic oral presentation self-efficacy: A cross-sectional interdisciplinary comparative study. *Higher Education Research* and *Development*, 35(6), 1-16. doi:10.1080/07294360.2016.1160874
- Barrett, N.E. & Liu, G. (2016). Global trends and research aims for English academic oral presentations: Changes, challenges, and opportunities for learning technology. *Review of Educational Research*, *86*(4), 1227-1271. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654316628296
- Benesch, S. (2001). *Critical English for academic purposes: Theory, politics and practice.* Routledge.
- Berkenkotter, C., & Huckin, T. (1995). Genre knowledge in disciplinary communities. Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Biber, D., & Gray, B. (2010). Challenging stereotypes about academic writing: Complexity, elaboration, explicitness. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 9(1), 2-20.
- Biber, D., Johansson, S., Leech, G., Conrad, S., & Finegan, E. (1999). *Longman grammar of spoken and written English*. Pearson Education.
- Cannon, A. (2017). When statues come alive: Teaching and learning academic vocabulary through drama in schools. *TESOL Quarterly*, 51(2), 383-407.
- Carotenuto, M. (2019, August 12). In S. Maloney (Moderator), *The state of African security: Six critical countries* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/the-state-of-african-security-six-critical-countries/
- Chang, Y., & Huang, H. (2015). Exploring TED talks as a pedagogical resource

- for oral presentations: A corpus-based move analysis. *English Teaching & Learning*, 39(4), 29-62. doi: 10.6330/ETL.2015.39.4.02
- Chen, C.W.Y. (2011). Evaluating one's own oral academic oral presentation. *The Asian ESP Journal*, *7*(3), 5-28.
- Chen, J. (2019, September 17). In M. Solís (Moderator), *Japan, Taiwan, and the future of 'America First' trade policy* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/japan-taiwan-and-the-future-of-america-first-trade-policy/
- Chen, R. (2020). Single author self-reference: Identity construction and pragmatic competence. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 45, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2020.100856
- Chou, M. (2011). The influence of learner strategies on oral presentations: A comparison between group and individual performance. *English for Specific Purposes*, 30(4), 272–285. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2011.04.003
- Cohen, A.D. (2019). Strategy instruction for learning and performing target language pragmatics. In A.U. Chamot & V. Harris (Eds.), *Learning strategy instruction in the language classroom: Issues and implementation* (pp. 140-152). Multilingual Matters.
- Evans, S. (2013). "Just wanna give you guys a bit of an update": Insider perspectives on business presentations in Hong Kong. *English for Specific Purposes*, 32, 195-207.
- Fang, Z., Schleppegrell, M., & Cox, B. (2006). Understanding the language demands of schooling: Nouns in academic registers. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 28(3), 247-273.
- Farrell, H. (2019, October 4). In R. Hass (Moderator), *The U.S.-China technology relationship in flux* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/the-u-s-china-technology-relationship-in-flux/
- Feltman, J. (2019, June 28). In S. Maloney (Moderator), *The impact of militias on governance and geopolitics in the Middle East and North Africa* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/the-impact-of-militias-on-local-

governance-in-the-middle-east-and-north-africa/

- Fernandez-Polo, F.J. (2014). The role of *I mean* in conference presentations by EFL speakers. *English for Specific Purposes*, *34*, 58-67.
- Geisler, C. (1994). Academic literacy and the nature of expertise: Reading, writing and knowing in academic philosophy. Erlbaum.
- Giles, K. (2019, March 13). In S. Talbott (Moderator), *Putin's world* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/putins-world/
- Giménez Moreno, R. (2010). English for higher education studies. In R. Giménez Moreno (Ed.), Words for working: Professional and academic English for international business and economics (pp. 295-334). PUV.
- Glaser, K. (2014). The impact of method of instruction on the acquisition of pragmatic competence in EFL. Cambridge Scholars.
- Hamid, S. (2019, June 28). In S. Maloney (Moderator), *The impact of militias on governance and geopolitics in the Middle East and North Africa* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/the-impact-of-militias-on-local-governance-in-the-middle-east-and-north-africa/
- Harwood, N. (2005a). 'Nowhere has anyone attempted. In this article I aim to do just that': A corpus-based study of self-promotional I and we in academic writing across four disciplines. *Journal of Pragmatics*, *37*, 1207–1231.
- Harwood, N. (2005b). 'We do not seem to have a theory. The theory I present here attempts to fill this gap': Inclusive and exclusive pronouns in academic writing. *Applied Linguistics*, 26(3), 343–375.
- Heylighen, F. & Dewaele, J.M. (1999). Formality of language: Definition, measurement, and behavioral determinants. Brussel: Interner Bericht. Vrije Universiteit: Center "Leo Apostel".
- Hicks, K. (2019, April 24). In F. Rose (Moderator), *The future of U.S. extended deterrence* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/the-future-of-u-s-extended-deterrence/
- Hinkel, E. (2003). Simplicity without elegance: Features of sentences in L2 and

- L1 academic texts. TESOL Quarterly, 37, 275-301.
- Honga, L. C., & Fong, N. S. (2012). Presenting a research proposal: The examiners' expectations. *Procedia: Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *66*, 537–543. doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.11.298
- Hu, G., & Liu, Y. (2018). Three minute thesis presentations as an academic genre: A cross-disciplinary study of genre moves. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 35, 16-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2018.06.004
- Hyland, K. (2001). Humble servants of the discipline? Self-mention in research articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 20, 207-226.
- Hyland, K. (2002). Teaching and researching writing. Longman.
- Hyland, K. (2005). Stance and engagement: A model of interaction in academic discourse. *Discourse Studies*, 7(2), 173-191. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445605050365
- Hyland, K. (2018). Sympathy for the devil? A defence of EAP. *Language Teaching*, *51*(3), 383-399. doi: 10.1017/S0261444818000101
- Ishihara, N. & Cohen, A. (2010). Discourse, interaction, and language corpora. In N. Ishihara & A. Cohen (Ed.), *Teaching and learning pragmatics:* Where language and culture meet. (pp. 177-243). Pearson Longman.
- Kaur, K., & Ali, A.M. (2018). Exploring the genre of academic oral presentations: A critical review. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics* & *English Literature*, 7(1), 152-162. http://dx.doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijalel.v.7n.1p.152
- Kim, S. (2006). Academic oral communication needs of East Asian international graduate students in non-science and non-engineering fields. *English for Specific Purposes*, 25(4), 479–489. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2005.10.001
- La Reau, A. (2019, April 9). In B.R. Stickles (Moderator), *A legacy of service:* 9/11 veterans continuing the tradition of George H.W. Bush and John McCain [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/a-legacy-of-service-9-11-veterans-continuing-the-tradition-of-george-h-w-bush-and-john-mccain/
- Lee, J.J. (2016). "There's intentionality behind it...": A genre analysis of EAP

- classroom lessons. Journal of English for Academic Purposes, 23, 99-112.
- Liardét, C., Black, S., & Bardetta, V.S. (2019). Defining formality: Adapting to the abstract demands of academic discourse. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 38, 146-158.
- Limberg, H. (2015). Principles for pragmatics teaching: Apologies in the EFL classroom. *ELT Journal*, *69*(3), 275-285.
- Maloney, S. (2019, March 28). In R. Einhorn (Moderator), *Constraining Iran's nuclear and missile capabilities* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/constraining-irans-nuclear-and-missile-capabilities/
- McGurk, B. (2019, September 10). In S. Glasser (Moderator), *The counter-ISIS coalition: Diplomacy and security in action* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/the-counter-isis-coalition-diplomacy-and-security-in-action/
- Mežek, Š., & Swales, J. (2016). PhD defences and vivas. In K. Hyland & P. Shaw (Eds.), *The Routledge handbook of English for Academic Purposes* (pp. 361-375). Routledge.
- Morell, T. (2015). International conference paper presentations: A multimodal analysis to determine effectiveness. *English for Specific Purposes*, *37*, 137-150.
- Morita, N. (2000). Discourse socialization through oral classroom activities in a TESL graduate program. *TESOL Quarterly*, *34*(2), 279-310.
- Ochs, E. (1988). Culture and language development: Language acquisition and language socialization in a Samoan village. Cambridge University Press.
- Otoshi, J., & Heffernen, N. (2008). Factors predicting effective oral presentations in EFL classrooms. *The Asian EFL Journal*, *10*(1), 65-78.
- Pak, J.H. (2019, April 5). In M. O'Hanlon (Moderator), US voting and US foreign policy: Regional focus [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/women-of-diversity-in-national-security/
- Peters, S. (2011). Asserting or deflecting expertise? Exploring the rhetorical

- practices of master's theses in the philosophy of education. *English for Specific Purposes*, *30*, 176–185.
- Petkova, B. (2019, March 29). In N.T. Lee (Moderator), Digital technology in the age of artificial intelligence: A comparative perspective [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/digital-technology-in-the-age-of-artificial-intelligence-a-comparative-perspective/
- Polyakova, A. (2019, March 13). In S. Talbott (Moderator), *Putin's world* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/putins-world/
- Prima, V., Wahab, N.M., Othman, A., & Awang, M.G. (2010). A research for identifying study anxiety sources among university students. *International Education Studies*, *3*(2), 189-196.
- Radzuan, N.R., & Kaur, S. (2011). Technical oral presentations in English: Qualitative analysis of Malaysian engineering undergraduates' sources of anxiety. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *29*, 1436-1445.
- Rowley-Jolivet, E. & Carter-Thomas, S. (2005). The rhetoric of conference presentation introductions: Context, argument, and interaction. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, *15*(1), 45-70.
- Rusten, L. (2019, March 22). In F.A. Rose (Moderator), *The end of an era?:*The INF treaty, New START, and the future of strategic stability [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States.

 https://www.brookings.edu/events/the-end-of-an-era-the-inf-treaty-new-start-and-the-future-of-strategic-stability/
- Sabri, U., & Qin, T.Y. (2014). Communication apprehension among Nilai college students. *Advances in Language and Literary Studies*, *5*(5), 46-49.
- Scott, J. (2019, April 5). In M. O'Hanlon (Moderator), *US voting and US foreign policy: Regional focus* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/women-of-diversity-in-national-security/
- Signé, L. (2019, August 12). In S. Maloney (Moderator), The state of African security: Six critical countries [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/the-state-of-african-

security-six-critical-countries/

- Sinclair, J. (Ed.). (1991). *Corpus, concordance, collocation*. Oxford University Press.
- Solís, M. (2019, September 17). In M. Solís (Moderator), Japan, Taiwan, and the future of 'America First' trade policy [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/japan-taiwan-and-the-future-of-america-first-trade-policy/
- Spack, R. (1988). Initiating ESL students into the academic discourse community: How far should we go? *TESOL Quarterly 22*(1), 29–52.
- Stapa, M., Murad, N.A. & Ahmad, M. (2014). Engineering technical oral presentation: Voices of the stakeholder. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 118, 463-467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.02.063
- Stent, A. (2019, March 13). In S. Talbott (Moderator), *Putin's world* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/putins-world/
- Stromseth, J. (2019, May 1). In R. Bush (Moderator), A retrospective on a changing East Asia: The first twenty years of CEAP [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/asias-stability-glancing-back-looking-forward/
- Swales, J. M. (2004). Research genres: Explorations and applications. Cambridge University Press.
- Taguchi, N. (2015). Instructed pragmatics at a glance: Where instructional studies were, are, and should be going. *Language Teaching*, 48, 1–50.
- Takimoto, M. (2009). The effects of input-based tasks on the development of learners' pragmatic proficiency. *Applied Linguistics*, *30*, 1–25.
- Tamir, Y. (2019, October 7). In N. Sachs (Moderator), *Democracy*, nationalism, and populism: The U.S., Israel, and beyond [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/democracy-nationalism-and-populism-the-u-s-israel-and-beyond/

- Tateyama, Y. (2019). Pragmatics in a language classroom. In N. Taguchi (Ed.). The Routledge handbook of second language acquisition and pragmatics. (pp. 400-412). Routledge.
- Temin, J. (2019, August 12). In S. Maloney (Moderator), *The state of African security: Six critical countries* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/the-state-of-african-security-six-critical-countries/
- Vaishnav, M. (2019, May 24). In T. Madan (Moderator), *Assessing India's 2019 election results* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/assessing-indias-2019-election-results/
- Vertin, Z. (2019, April 18). In M. O'Hanlon (Moderator), Red Sea rivalries:

 The Gulf, The Horn, and the new geopolitics of the Red Sea [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States.

 https://www.brookings.edu/events/red-sea-rivalries-the-gulf-the-horn-and-the-new-geopolitics-of-the-red-sea/
- Vygotsky, L.S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.
- Weissberg, B. (1993). The graduate seminar: Another research-process genre. *English for Specific Purposes*, *12*, 23–36.
- Wise, P. (2019, June 28). In S. Maloney (Moderator), *The impact of militias on governance and geopolitics in the Middle East and North Africa* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/the-impact-of-militias-on-local-governance-in-the-middle-east-and-north-africa/
- Yaakob, S. (2013). A genre analysis and corpus-based study of university lecture introductions. [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. University of Birmingham.
- Yang, L. (2010). Doing a group presentation: Negotiations and challenges experienced by five Chinese ESL students of commerce at a Canadian university. *Language Teaching Research*, 14(2), 141–160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1362168809353872
- Yang, W. (2014). Stance and engagement: A corpus-based analysis of academic spoken discourse across science domains. *Language for Special*

- Purposes, 5(1), 62-78.
- Zappa-Hollman, S. (2007). Academic presentations across post-secondary contexts: The discourse socialization of non-native English speakers. Canadian Modern Language Review, 63(4), 455–485. http://dx.doi.org/10.3138/cmlr.63.4.455
- Zareva, A. (2009). Informational packaging, level of formality, and the use of circumstance adverbials in L1 and L2 student academic presentations. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, *8*, 55–68.
- Zareva, A. (2011). 'And so that was it': Linking adverbials in student academic presentations. *RELC Journal*, *42*(1), 5–15.
- Zareva, A. (2013). Self-mention and the projection of multiple identity roles in TESOL graduate student presentations: The influence of the written academic genres. *English for Specific Purposes*, *32*, 72–83.
- Zeya, U. (2019, April 5). In L. Arias (Moderator), *U.S. voting and new national security issues* [Panel presentation] Washington, D.C., United States. https://www.brookings.edu/events/women-of-diversity-in-national-security/
- Zhang, X., Ardasheva, Y., & Austin, B.W. (2020). Self-efficacy and English public speaking performance: A mixed method approach. *English for Specific Purposes*, *59*, 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esp.2020.02.001