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Abstract

Objective—To determine the prevalence and types of cognitive impairment in a sample of non-demented aged 90 and older (the oldest-old) and to examine the relationships between cognitive impairment and cardiovascular risk factors.

Participants—420 non-demented participants from The 90+ Study, a study of aging and dementia in the oldest-old. Participants were categorized into four non-overlapping groups: normal cognition, amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI), non-amnestic MCI (naMCI), and other cognitive impairment (OCI). History of cardiovascular risk factors was assessed through self-report.

Results—The overall prevalence of cognitive impairment in non-demented was 34.0% (95%CI: 29.5–38.5). The prevalence of OCI was highest (17.4%; 95%CI: 13.9–21.4) followed by aMCI (8.3%; 95%CI: 5.9–11.4) and naMCI (8.3%; 95%CI: 5.9–11.4). Normal cognition was present in 66.0% (95%CI: 61.2–70.5) of participants. History of hypertension and stroke were the only risk factors that varied between the groups, occurring more frequently in participants with naMCI (χ²=3.82; p<0.05) and OCI (χ²=5.51; p<0.05).

Conclusions—This study found a high prevalence of cognitive impairment in a sample of non-demented oldest-old. We did not find a strong relationship between cardiovascular risk factors and the cognitive impairment groups other than between hypertension and naMCI and stroke and OCI. Future studies comparing the incidence of dementia in these groups will ultimately determine their predictive utility in the oldest-old.
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1. Background

The prevalence and incidence of dementia are extremely high in the oldest-old, people aged 90 and older1, with approximately twenty percent of non-demented people aged 90 or over becoming demented every year2. Although the oldest-old are the fastest growing age group in the United States3 and are at the greatest risk of developing dementia, little is known about oldest-old who are not demented but have cognitive impairment.

In recent years, researchers have focused on categorizing non-demented but cognitively impaired participants into groups based on cognitive performance in order to help predict which people are most likely to develop dementia. Terms such as cognitive impairment not demented (CIND) and others have been used for this purpose4, 5. However, the definitions of CIND, etc., often vary widely between studies, leading to markedly different prevalence estimates and calculations of dementia incidence6, 7. Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is perhaps the most widely used of the cognitive groupings8, 9. MCI has been defined by Petersen and colleagues as: a subjective memory complaint, an objective memory impairment, preserved general cognitive function, intact activities of daily living, and no dementia10. Many researchers have further divided people with MCI into subgroups based on the specific cognitive impairment present 11–13. Participants with memory deficits are labeled amnestic MCI (aMCI) and those with other types of cognitive deficits are called non-amnestic MCI (naMCI). It has been suggested that participants with aMCI may be more likely to develop Alzheimer’s disease whereas participants with naMCI may be more likely to develop other types of dementia (vascular, fronto-temporal dementia, etc)12, 14.

The purpose of this study is to examine the prevalence and types of cognitive impairment in a sample of non-demented individuals aged 90 and older. Few studies have calculated the prevalence of cognitive impairment in this age group. Specifically this paper will present prevalence values for aMCI, naMCI, other cognitive impairment (OCI)13, 15, and normal cognition. We will also examine the relationships between types of cognitive impairment and age, gender, and cardiovascular risk factors.

2. Methods

2.1 Study Population

In 1981, all residents of Leisure World, a southern California retirement community, were mailed a health survey. The residents who completed the survey (n=13,978) comprised the Leisure World Cohort study16. These participants were followed longitudinally through several follow-up surveys. All Leisure World Cohort study participants who were alive and aged 90 and older on January 1, 2003, and again on January 1, 2008, were invited to join The 90+ Study, a prospective study of aging and dementia in the oldest-old. As of December 31, 2008, The 90+ Study consisted of 1153 participants (77% female) aged 90 and older (average=94 years). Consistent with the demographics of the community from which they were recruited, The 90+ Study participants are predominantly Caucasian (99%) and are well educated (63% have at least some college education).

2.2 Assessments

There are several levels of participation in The 90+ Study: people assessed in-person, people assessed over the telephone, and people assessed through a friend or relative (by informant). Demographics, medical history, and medication information are collected from all participants or their informants. Only people who participated in-person with complete neuropsychological and neurological evaluations were eligible for the current study. A flow-chart detailing the participant inclusion criteria is shown in Figure 1. Participants in The 90+
Study who agree to longitudinal in-person follow-up receive a semiannual visit by trained neuropsychological testers and neurological examiners (physicians or nurse practitioners) to evaluate health, functional, and cognitive status. A self-report medical history is compiled at each visit to assess past and current medical conditions. The neurological examiners determine if the participant has any functional impairment in activities of daily living (ADLs) or instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs) due to physical or cognitive difficulties using selected items from the Functional Activities Questionnaire. During the examination, participants receive a neuropsychological test battery including the Mini-Mental State Examination, a measure of general cognitive function, tests of memory (California Verbal Learning Test II Short Form), language (Category Verbal Fluency), praxis (Constructions), and executive function (Digit Span Backwards) among others, previously described. Sensory deficits are assessed before testing, amplifiers are provided for participants who are extremely hard of hearing, and visual stimuli are presented in size 90 boldface font to increase visibility. All procedures are approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California, Irvine and all participants give informed consent.

2.3 Determination of Cognitive Status

The neurological examiners determined dementia status at each visit according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition (DSM-IV) criteria. The neurological examiners also determined functional status. For this study, requiring assistance with the task from another person due to cognitive impairment was considered “functional impairment due to cognition”. Having difficulty with the item, but still being able to perform the task independently was considered no functional impairment. Modified Petersen’s criteria for MCI were applied to all non-demented participants’ first visit with a complete neurological examination and neuropsychological battery. Participants were considered aMCI if they had: 1) objective memory impairment (CVLT long-delay >1.5SD below age- and gender-specific norms), 2) normal general cognitive function (MMSE ≥ 24), and 3) no functional impairment in ADLs or IADLs due to cognition. Participants categorized as aMCI could have impairment in additional cognitive domains such as language, executive function, and praxis as well. Participants were considered nMCI if they had: 1) impairment in one or more non-memory domains such as language, executive function, or praxis (animal fluency, digit span backwards, or constructions > 1.5SD below age-specific norms), 2) normal general cognitive function (MMSE ≥ 24), 3) no functional impairment in ADLs or IADLs due to cognition, and 4) no memory impairment (as defined above). Although normative data for The 90+ Study were previously published, they were not gender specific. Therefore, the gender-specific normative data used to determine the 1.5SD cut-off scores was calculated from all non-demented participants in the current study (n=420). Subjective memory complaints were not required for MCI group inclusion in the current study. Participants were considered OCI if they had a MMSE<24 or functional impairment in ADLs or IADLs due to cognition, or both, and thus, did not meet aMCI or nMCI criteria. Participants with OCI could have impairment>1.5SD below age-specific norms in one or more cognitive domains. Participants were considered normal if they did not meet any of the above criteria.

2.4 Determination of Cardiovascular Risk Factors

All cardiovascular risk factor data were acquired from a self-report medical history questionnaire completed at the same visit as the neurological examination and neuropsychological testing. Participants responded “yes” or “no” to ever being diagnosed with hypertension, coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, atrial fibrillation, congestive heart failure, stroke, transient ischemic attack, or diabetes.
2.5 Statistical analysis

All non-demented participants with a complete neurological examination and neuropsychological test battery were included in the prevalence analysis. Demographic comparisons between the groups were made using one-way ANOVAs for continuous variables and χ² analyses for categorical variables. Contrasts for specific group comparisons were only performed on variables with a significant main-effect. Prevalence of cognitive impairment and normal cognition in non-demented participants was calculated by gender and age group (90–94 and 95+). Binomial 95% confidence intervals (CI) were obtained for each prevalence calculation. Logistic regression models were used to determine the effects of age and gender on the prevalence of the cognitive groups. Logistic regression models were also used to determine differences in cardiovascular risk factors between the cognitive groups. All analyses were adjusted for age, gender, and education.

3. Results

There were 420 participants who had complete in-person visits and were included in the final analysis. Basic demographic information for the participants is shown in Table 1. Gender (χ²=7.65; p=0.05) and MMSE total score (F=133.44; p<0.01) were the only variables that significantly differed between the groups. There was a lower proportion of women in the aMCI group than in the normal group (χ²=7.52; p<0.01) and a trend in the same direction in the OCI group (χ²=3.94; p=0.06). The MMSE total score significantly differed between all cognitive groups except aMCI and naMCI (all p < 0.01). While there was no significant difference between the groups by presence of an apolipoprotein E (APOE) e4 allele (χ²=3.45; n.s.), there was a trend towards a higher proportion of aMCI participants having an APOE e4 allele than both normal participants (χ²=2.71; p=0.10) and participants with OCI (χ²=3.12; p=0.08).

Table 2 shows the prevalence values for all cognitive groups. Two-thirds (66.0%; 95%CI=61.2–70.5) of non-demented participants were normal. The prevalence of aMCI was 8.3% (95%CI=5.9–11.4) and the prevalence of naMCI was 8.3% (95%CI=5.9–11.4). OCI was twice as common as either sub-type of MCI (17.4%, 95%CI=13.8–21.4). The majority of the 73 participants included in the OCI group had MMSE scores too low for MCI criteria (82%); the remainder had normal MMSE scores but had functional impairment due to cognitive deficits. Among OCI participants, 21.9% had impairment>1.5SD in memory, and 8% had impairment>1.5SD in both memory and non-memory domains.

Age-specific prevalence values and 95%CI for each cognitive group are shown in Table 2. No significant differences were found by age. We also examined prevalence by gender for each cognitive group (Table 3). There was a trend towards men being more likely to have cognitive impairment than women (OR=1.54, 95%CI: 1.00–2.38; p=0.052). In addition, men were more likely to have aMCI (OR=2.86, 95%CI: 1.38–5.91; p<0.01).

We compared self-reported cardiovascular risk factors between the cognitive groups (Table 4). Compared to participants with normal cognition, participants with aMCI, naMCI, and OCI were not significantly more likely to have a history of hypertension. However, posthoc comparisons showed that participants with naMCI were more likely to have a history of hypertension than participants with OCI (χ²=3.94; p<0.05) and aMCI (χ²=2.82; p=0.09). Participants with OCI were more likely to have a history of stroke compared to participants with normal cognition (OR=2.40, 95%CI: 1.11–5.21; p<0.05). There were no other differences in cardiovascular risk factors between any of the cognitive groups. Adjusting the cardiovascular analysis for presence of an APOEe4 allele reduced the number of participants...
available for analysis. The significant relationship between hypertension in OCI and naMCI became non-significant, but no other results were affected.

Not included in the study were 253 non-demented participants who were not seen in-person and 67 non-demented participants who were seen in-person but did not complete the neuropsychological testing. Compared to study participants, the 253 people not seen in-person were older (93.3 years versus 95.0 years; \( p<0.001 \)), more likely to be women (66% women versus 77% women; \( p<0.01 \)), and more likely to have a history of congestive heart failure (9% versus 27%; \( p<0.001 \)), stroke (10% versus 17%; \( p<0.01 \)), and TIA (16% versus 25%; \( p<0.01 \)). Participants not included due to lack of in-person visit did not differ from study participants in education. Compared to study participants, the 67 non-participants with an incomplete visit were older (93.3 years versus 94.3 years; \( p<0.01 \)), more likely to be women (66% women versus 78% women; \( p=0.06 \)) and had a lower MMSE score (26.3 versus 25.0; \( p<0.01 \)). This group of non-participants did not differ from the study participants in education or history of any cardiovascular risk factors.

4. Discussion

In this study, we found that 34.0% of non-demented oldest-old had impaired cognition. The most common type of cognitive impairment was OCI, which was more common than both aMCI and naMCI combined. Participants with OCI were too impaired for MCI criteria (MMSE < 24, functional impairment due to cognition, or both), yet did not meet criteria for dementia. There was no significant relationship between age and cognitive impairment group. Men were more likely to have aMCI than women. We did not find many differences in cardiovascular risk factors between the cognitive groups although history of hypertension occurred more frequently in participants with naMCI and history of stroke was found more frequently in participants with OCI.

A few studies have investigated the prevalence of MCI in the oldest-old and have reported varying results. These studies had different definitions of MCI, as well as variable subject populations and sample sizes. A community-based cohort study in Italy found that 55% of non-demented nonagenarians and centenarians had MCI, but only 20 people were included in the study and the criteria for their MCI definition were not specified in the article27. Researchers using data from the Mayo Oldest-Old Study, a population-based study, found that 18% of 69 non-demented participants had aMCI28. Although the researchers used MCI criteria similar to the current study, a neurologist made the determination of memory impairment after a neurological examination. This is in contrast to the current study, which used a 1.5SD cut-off score on a verbal memory test to define memory impairment.

Other studies examined cognitive impairment in “older” elderly, although not specifically those over 90, but generally had relatively few people in the oldest age groups29–31. Nonetheless, the prevalence of cognitive impairment in these studies was similar to the prevalence of cognitive impairment found in the current study sample. Results from these studies comparing prevalence of aMCI and naMCI have been mixed; some found higher prevalence of naMCI than aMCI12, 32 whereas others found the opposite33, 34. In the current study, one of the first studies to report the relative prevalence of aMCI and naMCI in the oldest-old, we found aMCI and naMCI to be equally prevalent.

The other cognitive impairment group, OCI, was the largest group and included 17.4% of non-demented participants making it larger than the two MCI groups combined. While we were hesitant to define a new group of cognitively impaired individuals in the cognitive impairment literature, this group is the largest in the current study and we feel it represents a group of participants distinctly different from those with normal cognition or MCI. Other
studies have reported data from cognitive groupings similar to OCI. One population study in France used a similar definition of OCI and found a prevalence of 12.5% in a younger sample. They found that OCI was more prevalent than MCI (3.5%) and equally as predictive of future dementia.13 Another study, which modified MCI criteria to include participants with low general cognitive functioning, found higher prevalence rates compared to traditional MCI criteria and higher dementia incidence rates.15 Therefore, OCI is an important cognitive grouping in non-demented elderly in both prevalence and likelihood of developing dementia.

In the current study, we found that aMCI was more prevalent in men than in women. Studies in younger elderly subjects have found similar results. In a cross-sectional analysis of a population-based epidemiological study, researchers found that men were nearly twice as likely to have MCI than women. Another study found that men were 1.5 times as likely as women to have MCI, even after controlling for potential confounding variables such as number of comorbidities. It has long been known in the neuropsychology literature that men score lower on verbal memory tests than women. This difference was accounted for in the current study by using both gender- and age-specific norms to determine the 1.5 SD cut-off scores on the CVLT. Thus, the gender difference in aMCI prevalence does not appear to merely be an artifact of the normative data. One possible explanation for the sex difference is that the duration of aMCI may be longer in men than women. This seems unlikely, however, because the incidence of dementia among non-demented oldest-old participants in our study is equal in men and women.2 Future studies are necessary to determine if duration differences in aMCI in the oldest-old exist between men and women. Another possible explanation for the gender difference is diagnostic bias. The only criterion in the current study that does not rely on objective test scores is the determination of functional status. Although the prevalence rates of OCI in men and women are identical (indicating that women were not placed in the OCI group rather than the aMCI group due to functional impairment), women have been shown to have a higher prevalence of overall functional disability than men in The 90+ Study and other studies. If a gender bias exists in determination of functional status then dementia diagnoses could also be gender biased, as functional impairment is included in the DSM-IV criteria for dementia. Future studies with larger populations of participants with aMCI are needed to more completely examine these gender differences.

Previous studies hypothesized that participants with aMCI are at a higher risk of Alzheimer’s disease and participants with naMCI are at a higher risk of vascular dementia.12, 14. Thus, we predicted that oldest-old participants with naMCI would be more likely than participants with aMCI to have a history of cardiovascular risk factors. History of hypertension was more common in naMCI than aMCI. However, other cardiovascular risk factors did not significantly differ between the groups. Several other studies have found associations between naMCI and hypertension. Data from a sample of seniors (aged 65 and older) in Manhattan found that both a history of hypertension and current hypertensive status were related to incidence of naMCI but not aMCI.41 Researchers from the Canadian Study of Health and Aging found that naMCI participants with current hypertension were more likely to develop dementia in five years than those without hypertension.42 Although we examined prevalence rather than incidence in our participants, our results concerning hypertension and naMCI converge nicely with the results of studies in younger elderly.

Although the current study did not find relationships between naMCI and most cardiovascular risk factors in the oldest-old, studies in younger elderly subjects have noted this relationship. Two studies with large groups of participants with MCI (average age 75 and 80) found that heart disease was more common in naMCI than aMCI.43 A history of stroke has also been linked to naMCI in younger age groups. Interestingly, these
relationships between naMCI and cardiovascular risk factors were not found in this study of oldest-old. One hypothesis is that the mortality associated with these risk factors is very high and the oldest-old who survive these challenges represent a different population than those who possess the risk factors at younger ages. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that we did find a relationship between naMCI and hypertension, a risk factor with a relatively low mortality rate and a risk factor that exerts its effects over many decades. However, other possibilities exist for the lack of a strong relationship between cardiovascular risk factors and naMCI, including problems associated with the use of self-report variables rather than using a medical record search. Future investigations of cardiovascular risk factors in the oldest-old and their significance to cognitive impairment and other health factors will shed more light on the current findings.

In addition to comparing cardiovascular risk factors between aMCI and naMCI, we examined cardiovascular risk factors in the OCI and normal groups as well. The only significant difference was that OCI participants were more likely to have a history of stroke compared to normal participants. This result is not surprising considering that strokes can cause cognitive impairment, sometimes severe, as well as physical impairment. Participants with low general cognitive function made up the majority of the OCI group.

A risk factor for Alzheimer’s disease, APOE, was also examined in this study. Although the association between APOE e4 and dementia in the oldest-old is not clear in the literature, we found that the proportion of participants with an APOE e4 allele was greater in aMCI than in the other groups. The difference trended towards significance when comparing the rates in aMCI (30%) to both normal cognition (18%) and OCI (15%). The small sizes of the aMCI and naMCI groups likely kept us from finding a significant difference between these groups, however, 30% of participants with aMCI have an APOE e4 allele compared to 16% of naMCI participants. Controlling for factors related to Alzheimer’s and vascular dementia such as APOE status and education in future analyses examining incident dementia in these cognitive groups will be important.

This study of cognitive impairment and cardiovascular risk factors in the oldest-old has several strengths. Given the extreme age of the participants, the size of the current study is an advantage. Previous studies with oldest-old participants estimated prevalence of cognitive impairment with much smaller populations. Additionally, we applied well-defined criteria for the different cognitive groupings (aMCI, naMCI, OCI). Frequently these criteria are not specified well, making comparing studies challenging.

There are several limitations of this study. First, this study did not require a subjective memory complaint for inclusion in the aMCI group. Previous studies have shown that subjective memory complaints are useful for predicting cognitive impairment and decline, especially in the oldest-old. Unfortunately, a large portion of participants included in the current study did not have data concerning subjective memory complaints so this criterion was not used in the aMCI definition. Second, the participants in this study had very high levels of education. It is very likely that our sample of oldest-old is more highly educated than average for this age group, which may lead us to underestimate the level of cognitive impairment in the overall population of non-demented oldest-old. Third, while we made considerable effort to compensate for any sensory losses in vision and hearing that might compromise performance, it is possible that some participants who were classified as cognitively impaired performed poorly due to sensory loss rather than cognitive decline. Lastly, this study’s requirements included an in-person visit with a complete set of neuropsychological test scores and a full neurological examination. Compared to study participants, the 253 people who were not included because they did not have an in-person visit were older, more likely to be women, and more likely to have a history of cardiac
events. Based on the information known about these non-participants, they likely would have had a greater prevalence of cognitive impairment than the participants included in the study. Also, people with an incomplete battery of tests were not included in the study. The reasons varied but frequently listed were fatigue and participant time constraints (such as requesting a short visit or having another appointment). The participants with incomplete testing were older and had lower MMSE scores. Because the people not included in this study likely had worse cognition than people included in the study, it is possible that this study has a non-participation bias causing an underestimation of the prevalence of cognitive impairment in the oldest-old.

For research purposes cognition is generally categorized into discrete states (such as normal, cognitively impaired, and demented), but the process is actually a continuum. Although none of the participants in the current study met DSM-IV criteria for dementia, it is possible that some of the participants with OCI were actually in early stages of dementia. Supporting this notion, 82% of participants in the OCI group were categorized because of low MMSE score and 18% had functional impairment due to cognition. Additionally, of the OCI participants, approximately half had cognitive impairment>1.5SD in any domain. Consequently, although none of the OCI participants met DSM-IV criteria for dementia, they were more impaired than participants with aMCI and naMCI. It is likely that some of the 82% of participants with low MMSE but without function decline would have met criteria for dementia had functional decline been found. This highlights the difficulty of determining functional status in the oldest-old due to the overlap of physical and cognitive disabilities. These challenges make it possible that oldest-old participants with OCI and physical disability but without diagnosed functional decline due to cognition may represent mis-diagnosed dementia. Future studies on incidence of dementia in the oldest-old with cognitive impairment will likely reveal that participants with OCI are indeed closer to a dementia diagnosis than participants with naMCI or aMCI.

In this study, we found a very high prevalence of cognitive impairment in this sample of non-demented oldest-old. Although other studies have found associations between naMCI and cardiovascular risk factors, we found that hypertension was the only risk factor more prevalent in naMCI. We also found that participants with OCI were more likely to have a history of stroke than normal participants. Further studies of cardiovascular risk factors in the oldest-old will help elucidate the potential risks or benefits to the very elderly. In order to further examine cognitive impairment in the oldest-old, future research may examine longitudinal change in neuropsychological performance. The oldest-old age group is the fastest growing in the US. Given this statistic, the high prevalence of dementia in the oldest-old combined with the high prevalence of cognitive impairment in non-demented presented in this study have wide implications for public health. The results of studies such as this one will be useful in making public health decisions regarding cognitively impaired individuals in this age group.
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Figure 1.
Peltz: Flow Chart of Participants Included in Prevalence Study
Table 1

Participant Demographics (n=420)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal (N = 277)</td>
<td>aMCI (N = 35)</td>
<td>naMCI (N = 35)</td>
<td>OCI (N = 73)</td>
<td>Total (N = 420)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs): mean (range)</td>
<td>93.0 (90–101)</td>
<td>93.7 (90–102)</td>
<td>93.6 (90–100)</td>
<td>93.7 (90–103)</td>
<td>93.2 (90–103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women: N (%)</td>
<td>191 (69.0)</td>
<td>16 (45.7)</td>
<td>22 (62.9)</td>
<td>48 (65.8)</td>
<td>277 (66.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education: N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ high school</td>
<td>57 (20.6)</td>
<td>5 (14.3)</td>
<td>14 (40.0)</td>
<td>21 (28.8)</td>
<td>97 (23.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; college graduate</td>
<td>91 (32.9)</td>
<td>16 (45.7)</td>
<td>9 (25.7)</td>
<td>20 (27.4)</td>
<td>136 (32.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ college graduate</td>
<td>129 (46.6)</td>
<td>14 (40.0)</td>
<td>12 (34.3)</td>
<td>32 (43.8)</td>
<td>187 (44.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE: mean (SD) ‡</td>
<td>27.4 (1.7)</td>
<td>26.1 (1.6)</td>
<td>26.5 (1.9)</td>
<td>22.2 (2.9)</td>
<td>26.3 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype APOE 4+: N (%)</td>
<td>45 (17.6)</td>
<td>9 (30.0)</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
<td>10 (14.7)</td>
<td>69 (17.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMCI = amnestic mild cognitive impairment, naMCI = non-amnestic mild cognitive impairment, OCI = other cognitive impairment, MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination, SD = standard deviation, APOE 4+ = apolipoprotein E e4 allele present

*= genotype data available on a subset of participants, n = 380; Overall APOE distribution (N's): e2e2=2, e2e3=61, e2e4=7, e3e3=248, e3e4=60, e4e4=2

† = p < 0.01 between Normal and aMCI

‡ = p < 0.01 between all cognitive groups except aMCI and naMCI
Table 2

Age-Specific Prevalence of Cognitive Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive Group</th>
<th>90–94 (N = 308)</th>
<th>95+ (N = 112)</th>
<th>All Participants (N = 420)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Prevalence (95% CI)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>67.5 (62.0–72.7)</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aMCI</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.8 (5.1–11.4)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>naMCI</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8.4 (5.6–12.1)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCI</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16.2 (12.3–20.8)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Cognitively Impaired</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32.5 (27.3–38.0)</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMCI = amnestic mild cognitive impairment, naMCI = non-amnestic mild cognitive impairment, OCI = other cognitive impairment
### Table 3

Gender-Specific Prevalence of Cognitive Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive Group</th>
<th>Women (N = 277)</th>
<th>Men (N = 143)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prevalence (95% CI)</td>
<td>Prevalence (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>69.0 (63.1–74.4)</td>
<td>60.1 (51.6–68.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aMCI</td>
<td>5.8 (3.3–9.2)</td>
<td>13.3 (8.2–20.0)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>naMCI</td>
<td>7.9 (5.0–11.8)</td>
<td>9.1 (4.9–15.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCI</td>
<td>17.3 (13.1–22.3)</td>
<td>17.5 (11.6–24.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Cognitively Impaired</td>
<td>31.0 (25.6–36.5)</td>
<td>39.9 (31.0–47.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMCI = amnestic mild cognitive impairment, naMCI = non-amnestic mild cognitive impairment, OCI = other cognitive impairment

* = p < 0.05 between prevalence of aMCI in men and women
### Table 4

Percentage of Cardiovascular Risk History by Cognitive Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiovascular Variable</th>
<th>Cognitive Groups</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>aMCI</th>
<th>naMCI</th>
<th>OCI</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>55.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary Artery Disease</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial Infarction</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial Fibrillation</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive Heart Failure</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient Ischemic Attack</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aMCI = amnestic mild cognitive impairment, naMCI = non-amnestic mild cognitive impairment, OCI = other cognitive impairment

* = p = 0.09 between naMCI and aMCI
† = p < 0.05 between naMCI and OCI
‡ = p < 0.05 between OCI and Normal