

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Negative life events and quality of life in adults with asthma

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5qw813qv>

Journal

Thorax, 62(2)

ISSN

0040-6376

Authors

Archea, C
Yen, I H
Chen, H
et al.

Publication Date

2007-02-01

Peer reviewed

Negative life events and quality of life in adult asthma

Connie Archea

Irene H. Yen

Hubert Chen

Mark D. Eisner

Patti P. Katz

Umesh Masharani

Edward H. Yelin

Gillian Earnest

Paul D. Blanc

Correspondence to:

Dr. P. D. Blanc

Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Department of Medicine

University of California San Francisco

350 Parnassus Avenue, Suite 609

San Francisco, CA 94117 USA

paul.blanc@ucsf.edu

Abstract

Background: The relationship between stress and quality of life in adult asthma has not been well studied. Stress, quantified by negative life events, may be linked to quality of life in asthma through multiple pathways, including by increasing disease severity and by adversely affecting socioeconomic status (SES).

Methods: We analyzed responses to a self-completed questionnaire assessing negative life events (NLEs) in the previous 12 months (from a 24-item checklist) among 189 adults with asthma from a well-characterized cohort. We studied the relationship between the number of NLEs reported and asthma-specific quality of life (AQOL) measured with the Marks instrument. We used general linear modeling to test the conjoint effects of NLEs, SES, and disease severity based on the Severity of Asthma Score, a validated acute and chronic disease measure.

Results: Those with annual family incomes less than \$60,000 reported significantly more NLEs than those with higher incomes ($p=0.03$). The number of NLEs did not differ significantly between those with FEV₁ below or above 80% predicted nor among those with lower compared to higher Severity of Asthma Score. The frequency of NLEs was associated with poorer (higher numerical score) AQOL ($p=0.002$). When studied together in the same model, combinations of income level and asthma severity (greater or lesser Severity of Asthma Score) ($p<0.001$) and number of NLEs ($p=0.03$) were both significantly associated with AQOL.

Conclusion: NLEs are associated with quality of life among adults with asthma, especially among those of lower SES. Clinicians should be aware of this relationship, especially in vulnerable patient subsets.

The relationship between stress and quality of life in adult asthma is believed to be important, but has not been well studied. In theory, stress may affect quality of life in asthma through multiple different mechanisms, including mediation via a step-up in disease severity or through psychosocial pathways that may modulate the impact of disease and how it is perceived at the individual level, either of which may affect quality of life.^{1,2} Quality of life in asthma is increasingly recognized as a clinically important health measure, reflecting the impact of disease from the patient's perspective.³⁻⁵

There is no single approach to assessing stress in relation to health, adding further challenges to the systematic study of this problem. One method of quantifying stress that has been applied in a number of different contexts assesses recent "major life events." This approach focuses on distinct experiences that may have occurred over a discrete period of time. These are ascertained through various survey tools, ranging from semi-structured interviews to a self-completed checklist. Although such life events were originally conceived as being either positive or negative, but most importantly engendering "social readjustment," the construct has evolved to emphasize "stressful life events," focusing in particular on experiences generally considered to be negative stressors.⁶⁻⁹ Examples of the latter include death of a partner, family member or close friend, major financial loss, and experience of a significant personal crime or injury.

We wished to test whether negative life events were associated with poorer asthma-specific quality of life among adults with asthma, consistent with an adverse effect of stress on health. For this analysis we used data from a well-characterized asthma cohort, taking into account asthma severity and personal demographics insofar as they might confound any observed effects of negative life events.

METHODS

Overview

In this cohort study of adults with asthma, we analyzed responses to a self-completed questionnaire assessing life events in relation to other survey data and measured lung function. The subjects studied represent a subset of a larger cohort of adults with asthma and chronic rhinitis followed longitudinally as part of an ongoing observational study.¹⁰ Subjects completed a life events questionnaire during a home visit at which their lung function was also assessed.¹¹ Prior to the home visit itself, extensive survey data were gathered through a comprehensive structured telephone interview. This analysis focuses on the inter-relationships among asthma severity (based on telephone interview data and lung function tested at the home visit), asthma-specific quality of life (derived from a battery included in the telephone interview), and the results of the life events questionnaire (completed during the home visit).

Subject Recruitment and Follow-up

The study cohort is derived from an original recruitment of subjects with asthma based on random sampling of pulmonary and allergy specialists in Northern California, followed by a subsequent recruitment from family practice specialists.^{12,13} Later, a third group of subjects, also in Northern California, was recruited through random digit dialing.¹⁴ This final recruitment wave included persons with a self-reported physician diagnosis of asthma, rhinitis, or both conditions. Beginning in 2000-2001, these subjects were integrated into a single ongoing cohort completing the same structured telephone interview and followed regularly thereafter.

Subject recruitment and retention is summarized in Figure 1. The combined cohort (n=548) was interviewed together for the first time in 2000-2001. Of these subjects, 102 had rhinitis alone without asthma and are not considered further in this analysis. In follow-up, carried out in 2002-2003, we successfully re-interviewed 347 of 446 with asthma (81%). Of those not re-interviewed, 6 subjects (1%) had died, 84 (19%) declined participation, and 9 (2%) could not be successfully contacted. Details of follow-up and analyses of the complete data set derived from these interviews, including subjects with rhinitis alone, have been reported previously.^{10,11,15-19}

Structured Telephone Interview Content

Data collection was performed using a structured interview averaging 45 minutes in duration. The survey instrument included questions covering asthma severity (medical history, symptoms, and medications), an asthma-specific quality of life instrument, and survey items addressing demographics and socioeconomic status.

Home Visits

Following the telephone interviews, subjects were invited to participate in the home visit component of the study. The average time elapsed between the telephone interview and the home visit was 11 weeks. The home visit protocol included multiple components, including environmental and biological sampling protocols that have been previously reported.^{11,17} Of 325 subjects with asthma living in northern California and thus geographically eligible for this component of the study, 190 (58%) underwent a home visit. We excluded one of these subjects from analysis due to missing quality of life data, leaving a final study group of 189 for this study. There were no statistically significant differences ($p > 0.20$ in all cases) in age, gender, race and ethnicity, age,

education, or smoking status between 189 asthma subjects from the cohort who were included in this analysis and the 136 who were not.

Adaptation of a Life Events Questionnaire for this Study

The life events questionnaire we administered was adapted from the Life Experiences Survey, which in turn is based on the Social Readjustment Rating Scale.^{6,8} The life events questionnaire was completed only at the time of the home visit; it was not included in the telephone survey. Consistent with the recommendations of Turner and Wheaton, we tailored our checklist so that it was consistent with the target population, retained a core set of events (consistent with life event checklists commonly used), held to a target range of 30-50 events queried, and specified a 12-month time frame for the events reported.¹²

The checklist adaptation we administered was comprised of 40 closed-ended items and two additional optional open-ended items where additional stressors could be listed by the respondent. Examples include major illness or death of a family member or close friend, purchasing a home, or starting a new business. For each item identified, subjects were asked to provide a stress weighting between 0 to 10, yielding a maximum possible score of 420 (including the two open-ended events).

Our questionnaire differed from the format of the original Life Experiences Survey in several key ways. First, we did not include items worded separately by gender or marital status or for students. Second, we used language neutral to sexual orientation (e.g., "spouse/partner"). Third, we added items related to physical assault, robbery, motor vehicle accident, and death of a pet that were not in the original checklist. Fourth, we expanded the number of checklist items concerning personal finances and working

conditions. Finally, the scoring system we used to assess event-specific stress did not require that respondents specify a “positive” or “negative” value to their experience.

The battery that we administered, which we will refer to as the Asthma Life Events Questionnaire (ALEQ), is detailed in Table 1. Consistent with the structure of the original Life Experiences Survey, some of the life experiences are inherently negative stressors, while some items query about events that may be stressful, but are not necessarily negative. Therefore, we limited this analysis to the 26 items from the complete ALEQ that more likely than not reflect negative events. For example, we included in the analysis death and loss (overwhelmingly negative), the end of a long-term relationship (nearly always a negative event), and someone moving out of the home (potentially negative or positive, but in balance more likely to have negative aspects). In contrast, we excluded starting a new job because, although this can be a major stressor, it is inherently neither positive nor negative and indeed may be more likely to be the former than the latter. This classification is consistent with generally accepted analytic approaches to major life experiences that have demonstrated the numeric count of negative events to be the most predictive of health outcomes, without added explanatory power from additional weighting (for example, by perceived stress).^{20,21}

In addition to items ascertaining events that were not clearly negative, we also excluded from the analysis two items related to illness and hospitalization. We did this because of their obvious link to the health outcomes measures of interest. This exclusion is also consistent with standard approaches to analyzing life events in relation to health outcomes.²⁰ After all exclusions, we retained 24 closed-ended items for this

analysis from the total ALEQ. We also analyzed open-ended responses, limited to those that were clearly negative events.

Disease Severity, Asthma-Specific Quality of Life, and General Health Status

These measures were based on data obtained through the structured telephone interviews. Asthma severity was quantified using the Severity of Asthma Score, a previously validated battery that is a composite of current asthma symptoms, past asthma hospital admissions, current and past systemic corticosteroid use, recent use of other asthma medications, and lifetime experience of certain types of health care utilization.^{22,23} A maximum score of 28, which is weighted heavily by therapy received, reflects greater asthma severity. There was no significant difference in scores between those included (189) and excluded (136) for this analysis: mean difference 0.5 (95% CI -0.7-1.7 points). We also classified subjects according to Global Initiative for Asthma guidelines using the algorithm of Laird and coinvestigators.²⁴ Inhaled steroid dosing and other anti-inflammatory medication use was based on direct inspection of subjects' medications at the time of the home visit. The Asthma Quality of Life questionnaire (AQOL) is a validated, asthma-specific instrument using a 20-item Likert-type scale we have adapted for telephone administration.^{25,26} It assesses four domains: breathlessness, mood, social functioning, and health concerns. A total score and subscale scores can be calculated with higher scores reflecting poorer quality of life. General health status was assessed with the SF-12 yielding both Physical Component Summary (PCS) and Mental Component Summary (MCS) scores. Normative scores are: PCS, 53±7 and MCS, 49.5 ± 9 among U.S. adults aged 18-44 without chronic morbidity.^{27,28} For those subjects from the asthma cohort included (n=189) compared to

those not included (136) in this analysis, there were no statistically significant differences in AQOL (mean difference 0.8; 95% CI -2.4-4.1; data missing for one subject); SF-12 PCS (mean difference -1.0; 95% CI -3.4-1.5); or SF-12 MCS (mean difference -0.2; 95% CI -2.1-1.5).

Lung Function and Cotinine

At the time of the home visit, we performed spirometry using an EasyOne™ Spirometer (nidd Medical Technologies, Chelmsford, MA). The EasyOne™ spirometer meets ATS 1994 diagnostic standards for spirometry measurements.²⁹ Spirometry measurements were taken using a standard protocol conforming to ATS guidelines to obtain the FEV₁ (forced expiratory volume one second).³⁰ We calculated the FEV₁ as a percent of the age, height, and gender values using the predictive equations of Hankinson.³¹ At the time of the home visit, serum cotinine levels were obtained in never and former smokers in order to assess secondhand smoke exposure.¹¹ We reclassified five subjects from ex-smoking (based on interview responses) to current smoking status because they had serum cotinine levels >14 ng per ml.

Data Analysis

In addition to analyzing the count of negative life events as a continuous variable, we also categorized the frequency using cut-points approximating quartiles. For other variables of interest, we defined strata based on cut-points approximating the median value or, in the case of FEV₁ % predicted value, the commonly accepted cut-point of 80% of predicted.

The Wilcoxon rank sum was used to test differences in the number of reported negative life events for each subject by dichotomously defined demographic variables, severity of asthma score, and FEV₁ percent predicted.

We analyzed the relationship between the number of negative life events as an independent variable and AQOL (total and subscales) as dependent variables using linear regression analysis. Further analyses for total AQOL score were stratified by income and lung function. The Severity of Asthma Score was added to these models in multiple linear regression analyses to test for mediation by asthma severity.

Generalized linear ANOVA models tested negative life events (categorized as 0-2; 3-4; 5-6; or 7 or more events) and each of four income-asthma severity combinations (categorized as low-low, low-high, high-low, and high-high) as predictors of total AQOL score. We re-tested the same predictive models with SF-12 PCS and SF-12 MCS as the dependent variables. All analyses used a standard statistical package (SAS 9.0; Cary, North Carolina).

RESULTS

Frequency of Negative Events Reported in the ALEQ

The frequencies for specific negative life events are shown in Table 1. The most frequently reported event was an increase in workload (46% of subjects). Losing or moving out of a home due to fire, flood, or other disaster (2 subjects, 1%) was the least commonly reported event. The cumulative frequency of negative events is summarized in Table 2. The mean number of negative life events reported overall was 4.3±3.1 (median=4; inter-quartile range 2-6). No events were reported among 14 subjects (7%). Fifty subjects reported at least one negative life event as an open-ended response; the

most frequently cited were concerns about finances (8 subjects) and the health of family and friends (6 subjects) (data not included in Table 2).

Demographic and Health Status Variables

Table 3 presents demographics and smoking status for study subjects. The group was predominantly female (69%), white, non-Hispanic (71%), and with high educational attainment (49% college graduates). There were few current smokers (10; 5%). The mean age was 46 ± 9 years. The mean FEV₁ % predicted was 83 ± 18 ; among all subjects the FEV₁ % predicted was <80% in 71 (38%), <70% in 46 (24%), and <60% in 23 (12%). Classified by GINA criteria (Table 4), the subjects fell into three categories of approximately similar size: Steps 1-2 (intermittent to mild asthma; 40%); Step 3 (moderate; 29%), and Step 4 (severe; 31%). The mean Severity of Asthma Score was $7.8 (\pm 5.2)$ points. It was correlated with FEV₁ % predicted (Spearman $r = -0.39$; $p < 0.001$) and with GINA classification (ANOVA $F = 26.2$; $p < 0.0001$). The SF-12 PCS (44.7 ± 11.1) and SF-12 MCS (46.8 ± 7.7) both were modestly lower than the population normative mean value of 50. Asthma-specific QOL score (mean 16.1 ± 15.2) correlated with the PCS (Spearman $r = -0.51$; $p < 0.001$) in the anticipated direction (poorer QOL [higher score] with poorer SF-12 PCS [lower score]). The correlation between asthma-specific QOL and SF-12 MCS, although also in the anticipated direction, was weaker (Spearman $r = -0.16$; $p = 0.03$).

Frequency of Negative Life Events by Demographics and Asthma Severity

There were no substantive differences in the frequency of negative life events stratified by demographic covariates except for income strata and smoking status (Table 5). The number of negative life events did not differ significantly between those with

FEV₁ below or greater or equal to 80% predicted nor among those lower compared to higher Severity of Asthma scores.

Negative Life Events in Relation to Asthma-Specific Quality of Life

We used linear regression analysis to test whether an increase in negative life events was associated with poorer asthma-specific QOL (reflected in higher AQOL scores). As shown in Table 6, with each additional negative life event, the total AQOL score increased (a decrement in quality of life) by approximately 1.1 point ($p=0.002$). When stratified by income level, there was a significant association of negative life events with poorer AQOL among those with lower incomes ($\beta=1.7$, 95% CI 0.5-2.9; $p=0.005$), but not among those with higher incomes ($\beta=0.3$, 95% CI -0.5-1.1; $p=0.43$). Among the subset of subjects with annual family incomes of \$20,000 per year or less ($n=19$, data not shown separately in Table 6) the slope of the relationship of negative life events to poorer AQOL was even steeper ($\beta=2.8$, 95% CI 0.2-5.4; $p=0.04$).

There were also differences when stratified by lung function (Table 5). The number of negative life events was associated with poorer AQOL among those without airflow obstruction (FEV₁ percent predicted > 80 %) ($\beta=1.5$, 95% CI 0.7-2.3; $p<0.001$), but there was no significant association between the number of negative events and AQOL ($p=0.26$) among those with airflow obstruction. In addition, among smokers negative life events was significantly associated with AQOL ($\beta 1.6$, 95% CI 0.5-2.8; $p=0.01$), but not among non-smokers ($p=0.14$). In contrast to these observations, there was little difference in the association of negative life events with AQOL stratified by Severity of Asthma Score below the median (1.2 ± 0.4 , 95% CI 0.4-1.9) and above (1.1 ± 0.5 , 95% CI 0.1-2.0) ($p<0.05$ in both groups).

Effect of Negative Life Events Taking into Account Severity of Asthma Score

We repeated the same analyses adjusting for Severity of Asthma Score (right columns, Table 6). The principal findings were similar to those in the unadjusted models, although the point estimates for the effect of negative life events was reduced in all cases. For example, adjusted for asthma severity, the AQOL score changed in the direction of poorer quality of life by only 0.7 point (95% CI 0.1-1.3) for each life event ($p=0.02$). Reanalyzing these models including the negative events from open-ended responses did not substantively alter the observed findings.

We repeated this analysis for each of the four asthma-specific QOL subscales. For the subscales for physical impact ($\beta=0.22$, 95% CI 0.05-0.39; $p=0.01$), emotional impact ($\beta=0.24$, 95% CI 0.06-0.41; $p=0.01$), and social impact ($\beta=0.19$, 95% CI -0.05-0.42; $p=0.12$), linear regression analyses adjusted for Severity of Asthma Score yielded similar estimates of the relationship between negative life events and AQOL (although not statistically significant for social impact). For the health concerns subscale, however, there was a lower estimated slope ($\beta=0.05$, 95% CI -0.06-0.15; $p=0.38$).

In order to evaluate the impact of demographic and smoking variables as potential confounders, we carried out a multiple linear regression analysis of AQOL score adding to negative life events and Severity of Asthma Score the following: gender, age, smoking status, race, and education. The adjusted model R^2 with the addition of these six covariates changed minimally, from 0.37 to 0.38; none of the covariates was a statistically significant independent predictor of AQOL score in this model, while both negative life events ($p=0.03$) and Severity of Asthma Score ($p<0.001$) remained statistically significant.

Combined Effects of Life Events, Income Level, and Asthma Severity

To address the relationships among income, disease severity, and negative life events, we tested together in a single model, combinations of income-asthma severity and negative life events. To accomplish this, we divided the study group into 16 possible cells based on income, asthma severity, and ordinal category of negative life events. Subject numbers per cell ranged from 7 to 24 (median=10).

The mean asthma specific QOL per cell is displayed in Figure 2. Subjects with the combination of low income-high asthma severity had the highest AQOL scores (consistent with poorer QOL) within each frequency level of negative life events. Analyzed by generalized linear modeling, the overall model testing the relationships shown in Figure 2 was significant ($p < 0.001$). Within this model, the contribution of negative life events by ordinal category ($p = 0.03$) and income-severity category ($p < 0.001$) were both statistically significant. Adding smoking status to the model did not substantively change these estimates and smoking was unrelated to AQOL ($F = 0.08$; $p = 0.78$).

We also analyzed the performance of the same predictive model for general health status (SF-12) as opposed to AQOL. Analyzing physical health (PCS) as the dependent variable, the overall model was statistically significant ($p < 0.001$), as was the contribution of income-severity group ($p = 0.001$), while negative life events were not significantly significant ($p = 0.10$). For mental health (MCS) the overall model was also significant ($p = 0.03$), but neither income-severity nor negative life events were significant in the combined model ($p = 0.11$ for each).

DISCUSSION

Our findings support the hypothesis that negative life events are associated with quality of life among adults with asthma. This association was observed within a complex set of relationships involving socioeconomic status and asthma severity. Those in the lower income stratum reported more negative life experiences in the prior 12 months, and it was within that stratum that the number of such events was associated with poorer asthma-specific quality of life. Those with more severe asthma did not report a greater frequency of negative life events and, in multivariate modeling, asthma severity explained part, but not all of the association of such events with quality of life.

The integration of these contrasting effects reveals a relationship that is shown graphically in Figure 2. Persons with severe asthma and minimal recent negative life events reported poorer quality of life across income levels. A higher frequency of negative life events, however, was associated with a decrement in asthma-specific quality of life. This was manifest with fewer negative life events within the lower income stratum, but quality of life was still negatively associated among those with higher incomes given a sufficient burden of negative life events. Among those with the greatest number of negative life events, if combined with lower income, the negative association with quality of life was present across the strata of asthma severity.

We were careful to exclude from this analysis negative life events directly related to personal health status, but we cannot disentangle fully all of the potential cause and effect relationships involved. Either negative events or severity of asthma could lead directly to lower income. Indeed, a key set of the negative events we queried have explicit negative financial effects, while others (such as loss of a spouse) certainly could

be fiscally as well as emotionally detrimental. Thus, we cannot say whether lower income is acting as a mediator of the relationship between negative life events and QOL or is simply a marker of negative events that also have financial impact. Our and other analyses have shown that asthma is associated with loss of work, decreased work effectiveness, change in working conditions, and loss of pay.^{12,14, 32-34} Theoretically, this could lead to more reports of selected negative events, yet we did not observe any substantive difference in number of events stratified by either Severity of Asthma Score or FEV₁, making this an unlikely explanation for our findings.

The present study is a cross-sectional analysis. Although the assessment of Severity of Asthma Score and AQOL was assessed via telephone survey that took place prior to home visit at which the life events questionnaire was administered, the median time elapsed between the two was only 8 weeks, whereas the recall period for life events was over 12 months prior to the home visit. We recognize also that the key study measures, by definition, are based on self-report. Thus, we cannot exclude an element of reporting bias wherein persons with certain traits, for example, anxiety, over-report negative life events and also respond to questionnaire items consistent with poorer AQOL scores. Arguing against such reporting bias as being a major factor driving our findings is the observation that the AQOL subscale "Health Concerns" was weakest in the association with reported negative life events, and yet this is the subscale that includes worry that asthma is shortening the respondent's life, worry about the future because of asthma, and fear over asthma control and medication dependence.²⁵ Although recall bias could also theoretically come into play, surveys of major life events are believed to be relatively unaffected by systematic differences in reporting by disease status.²⁰

We did not analyze the relationship between negative life events and asthma exacerbations. To the extent that increased asthma exacerbations are linked to greater disease severity, our multivariate modeling would have taken this into account and could represent “over-adjustment” for this effect. A study of severe life-threatening asthma among persons aged 15-49 found no difference in the mean number of total negative life events among cases (3.9) compared to hospital admitted non-asthma controls (3.5), but a comparison group of non-hospitalized persons with asthma did report significantly fewer events (2.8).³⁵ A survey of Finnish college students reported that 21% of those with lifetime asthma reported at least one stressful life event, a significantly higher frequency than among controls (13.4%), but exacerbations were not studied.³⁶ A study of childhood asthma (aged 6 – 13 years) found that experiencing a recent severe life event was a risk factor for disease exacerbation in a three-week period beginning one month after the event.³⁷ These studies support a possible relationship between negative life events and asthma exacerbation. Exacerbation following stressful life events has been the subject of study in a number of chronic conditions with mixed findings; the most consistent association has been observed in multiple sclerosis.³⁸

We did not explore in depth the potential relationships among psychological status (including depression), quality of life, life events, and disease severity. There was a modest association between combined income-severity and life events as joint predictors of SF-12 mental health score (MCS). MCS was weakly correlated with AQOL score as well. Analysis of more specific measures of depressive symptoms could provide additional insights into these associations. The relationship between illness severity and quality of life has been reported previously in analyses based on this cohort

and other studies.^{26,39-42} A recent systematic literature review on this subject underscores, however, that even the severity-quality of life relationship is complex, depending on the classification of severity used and mental health covariates within the study population.⁴

Limited data are available from other analyses of negative life events in relation to quality of life. An asthma-specific study including a life events battery assessed a Serbian translation of the Juniper Asthma Quality of Life instrument.⁴³ Carried out among 160 adults with asthma in Belgrade interviewed in 2000-2002, that study reported that “stressful life events and duration of disease were not limiting factors of the quality of life of asthma patient,” (sic) but did not provide data supporting this observation. Using the SF-36 as a measure of quality of life, a study of 354 adult survivors of testicular cancer found a statistically significant association between the number of negative life events and the mental health subscale, but not the physical health subscale. This analysis took into account cancer treatment and co-morbidity, as well as education (which is a common measure of socioeconomic status).⁴⁴ A study of 112 persons with breast cancer also found that the number of negative life events (from an abridged battery) was associated with poorer SF-36 mental health subscale scores assessed 12 months after diagnosis or surgery, taking cancer-related stress into account, but such a relationship was not noted for physical health.⁴⁵ Our secondary analysis, using the SF-12 rather than the SF-36 and taking into account income and severity, found a weak, non-significant relationship for physical health (PCS; $p=0.10$) and mental health (MCS; $p=0.11$). The weak association we observed may be explained by the differences in measurement of quality of life using a general health status instrument compared to a disease-specific instrument (the AQOL) and by testing a

model that took into account the combined effects of income and disease severity as well as negative life events.

Our study does suggest that negative life events are associated with a decrement in quality of life among adults with asthma, in particular among those whose baseline quality of life is relatively intact. Although wealth may not “buy” good health, better socioeconomic status appears to buffer the association of negative events on health-related quality of life. Negative life events, unfortunately, may not be preventable. Nonetheless, those caring for persons with asthma should be aware that following such events, asthma quality of life is likely to deteriorate, especially in certain subsets of patients. Among those persons, attention to this potential decline and intervention, where possible, is warranted.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by R01 ES 10906 (National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences).

The authors acknowledge the assistance of: Laura Trupin MPH for statistical review and study personnel including interviewers and home visit teams: Chito Elago, Naomi Friedling, Arleen Ervin-King, Kathryn Kern, Win Kryda, Michelle Nance, and Judith Sansone, Marissa San Pedro, Karen Van der Meulen, and Melanie San Pedro-Salcedo.

Authors' Affiliations:

All authors are with the Department of Medicine, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco California.

Copyright

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and its licensees, to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in Thorax and any other BMJPG products and to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence (<http://thorax.bmjournals.com/ifora/licence.pdf>).

References

1. Rietveld S, Everaerd W, Creer TL. Stress-induced asthma: a review of research and potential mechanisms. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2000; 30: 1058-1066.
2. Wright RJ. Stress and atopic disorders. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005; 116:1301-1306
3. Juniper EF. Interpreting quality of life data: should we listen to the patient or the clinician? *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2003; 91:115-6.
4. Chapman KR. Impact of 'mild' asthma on health outcomes: findings of a systematic search of the literature. *Respir Med* 2005; 99:1350-1362.
5. Ehrs P-O, Nokela M, Stålberg B, Hjemdahl P, Jonsson EW. Brief questionnaires for patient-reported outcomes in asthma. Validation and usefulness in a primary care setting. *Chest* 2006; 129:925-932.
6. Holmes TH, Rahe RH. The Social Readjustment Rating Scale. *J Psychosom Res* 1967; 11:213-218.
7. Tennant C, Andrews G. A scale to measure the stress of life events. *Aust N Z J Psych* 1976; 10:27-32.
8. Sarason IG, Johnson JH, Siegel JM. Assessing the impact of life changes: development of the Life Experiences Survey. *J Consult Clin Psychol*, 1978, 46:5, 932-946.
9. Paykel ES. Methodological Aspects of life events research. *J Psychosom Res* 1983; 27:341-352
10. Blanc PD, Yen IH, Chen H, Katz PP, Earnest G, Balmes JR, Trupin L, Friedling N, Yelin EH, Eisner MD. Area-level socioeconomic status and health status among adults with asthma and rhinitis. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 27:85-94.

11. Blanc PD, Eisner MD, Katz PP, Yen IH, Archea C, Earnest G, Janson S, Masharani UB, Quinlan PJ, Hammond SK, Thorne PS, Balmes JR, Trupin L, Yelin EH. Impact of the Home Indoor Environment on Adult Asthma and Rhinitis. *J Occup Environ Med* 2005; 47:362-372.
12. Blanc PD, Cisternas M, Smith S, Yelin E. Asthma, employment status, and disability among adults treated by pulmonary and allergy specialists. *Chest* 1996; 109:688-696.
Erratum: *Chest* 2000;118:564
130. Blanc PD, Eisner MD, Israel L, Yelin EH. The association between occupation and asthma in general medical practice. *Chest* 1999; 115:1259-1264. Erratum: *Chest* 2000;118:564.
14. Blanc PD, Trupin L, Eisner M, Earnest G, Katz PP, Israel I, Yelin EH. The work impact of asthma and rhinitis: findings from a population-based survey. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2001; 54:610-618.
15. Yelin EH, Trupin L, Earnest G, Katz PP, Eisner M, Blanc P. The impact of managed care on health care utilization among adults with asthma. *J Asthma* 2004; 41:229-242.
16. Chen H, Katz PP, Eisner MD, Yelin EH, Blanc PD. Health-related quality of life in adult rhinitis: the role of perceived control of disease. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004; 114:885-850.
17. Masharani U, Shiboski S, Eisner MD, Katz PP, Janson SL, Granger DA, Blanc PD. Impact of exogenous glucocorticoid use on salivary cortisol measurements among adults with asthma and rhinitis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2005; 30:744-752.

18. Chen H, Katz PP, Shiboski S, Blanc PD. Evaluating change in health-related quality of life in adult rhinitis: responsiveness of the Rhinosinusitis Disability Index. *Health Quality Life Outcomes* 2005, 3:68 (doi:10.1186/1477-7525-3-68).
19. Yen IH, Yelin EH, Katz P, Eisner MD, Blanc PD. Perceived neighborhood problems and quality of life, physical functioning, and depressive symptoms. *Am J Pub Health* 2006; 96:873-879.
20. Turner RJ, Wheaton B. Checklist measurement of stressful life events. In Cohen S, Kessler RC, Gordon LU (eds). *Measuring stress. A guide for health and social scientists*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1997, pp. 29-58.
21. Zuckerman MA, Oliver JM, Hollingsworth HH, Austrin HR. The comparison of life events scoring methods as predictors of psychological symptomatology. *J Human Stress* 1986; 12: 64-70.
22. Blanc PD, Jones M, Besson C, Katz P, Yelin E. Work disability among adults with asthma. *Chest* 1993; 104:1371-1377
23. Eisner MD, Katz PP, Yelin EH, Henke J, Smith S, Blanc PD. Assessment of asthma severity in adults with asthma treated by family practitioners, allergists, and pulmonologists. *Med Care* 1998; 36:1567-1577. Erratum *Med Care* 2000; 38:880-885.
24. Liard R, Leynaert B, Zureik M, Beguin F-X, Neukirch F. Using the Global Initiative for Asthma guidelines to assess asthma severity in populations. *Eur Respir J* 2000; 16:615-620.
25. Marks, GB, Dunn SM, Woolcock AJ. A scale for the measurement of quality of life in asthma. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1992; 45:461-472.

26. Katz PP, Eisner MD, Henke J, Smith S, Shiboski S, Yelin EH, Blanc PD. The Marks asthma quality of life questionnaire: further validation and examination of responsiveness to change. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1999; 52:667-675. Erratum *J Clin Epidemiol* 2001; 54:105-107.
27. Ware JY, Kosinski M, Keller SD. How to score the SF-12 health survey. Boston, MA: The Health Institute, New England Medical Center, 2nd ed., 1995.
28. Gandek B, Ware JE, Aaronson NK, Apolone G, Bjorner JB, Brazier JE, Billinger M, Leplege A, Prieto L, Sullivan M. Cross-validation of item selection and scoring for the SF-12 health survey in nine countries. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1998; 51:1171-8.
29. Mortimer KM, Fallot A, Balmes JR, Tager IB. Evaluating the use of a portable spirometer in a study of pediatric asthma. *Chest*. 2003 Jun;123(6):1899-907.
30. American Thoracic Society. Standardization of spirometry. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1994;152:1107-1136.
31. Hankinson JL, Odencrantz JR, Faden KB. Spirometric Reference Values from a Sample of the General U.S. Population. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; 159: 179-187, 1999.
32. Eisner MD, Yelin EH, Katz PP, Iribarren C, Blanc PD. Risk factors for work disability in severe adult asthma. *Am J Med* (In Press).
33. Balder B, Lindholm NB, Löwhagen O, et al. Predictors of self-assessed work ability among subjects with recent-onset asthma. *Respir Med* 1998; 92:729-734.
34. Mancuso CA, Rincon M, Charlson ME. Adverse work outcomes and events attributed to asthma. *Am J Ind Med* 2003; 44:236-245.
35. Kolbe J, Fergusson W, Vamos M, Garrett J. Case-control study of severe life threatening asthma (SLTA) in adults: psychological factors. *Thorax* 2002; 57:317-322.

36. Kilpeläinen M, Koskenvuo M, Helenius H, Terho EO. Stressful life events promote the manifestation of asthma and atopic diseases. *Clin Exp All* 2002; 32:256-263.
37. Sandberg S, Järvenpää S, Penttinen A, Paton JY, McCann DC. Asthma exacerbations in children immediately following stressful life events: a Cox's hierarchical regression. *Thorax* 2004; 59:1046-1051.
38. Mohr DC, Hart SL, Julian L Cox D, Pelletier D. Association between stressful life events and exacerbation in multiple sclerosis: a meta-analysis. *BMJ*, doi:10.1136/bmj.38041.724421.55 (published 19 March 2004).
39. Katz PP, Yelin EH, Eisner MD, Blanc PD. Perceived control of asthma and quality of life among adults with asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2002; 89:251-258.
40. Schatz M, Mosen D, Apter AJ, et al. Relationships among quality of life, severity, and control measures in asthma: An evaluation using factor analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005; 115:1049.
41. Horak E, Sawyer SM, Roberts M, Lanigan A, Carlin JB, Olinsky A, Robertson CF. Impact of disease severity on quality of life in adults with asthma. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2005; 117:462-467.
42. Eisner MD, Boland M, Tolstykh I, Mendoza G, Iribarren C. Intensive care unit admission for asthma: a marker of severe disease. *J Asthma* 2005; 42:315-323.
43. Spirić VT, Bogić M, Janković S, et al. Assessment of the Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ): Serbian translation. *Croat Med J* 2004; 45:188-194.
44. Fleeer J, Hoekstra HJ, Sleijfer DT, Tuinman MA, Klip EC, Hoekstra-Weebers JEHM. Quality of life of testicular cancer survivors and the relationship with sociodemographics, cancer-related variables, and life events. *Support Care Cancer* 2006; 14:251-259.

45. Golden-Kreutz DM, Thorton LM, Wells-Di Gregorio S, et. Al. Traumatic stress, perceived global stress, and life events: prospectively predicting quality of life in breast cancer patients. *Health Psychol* 2005; 24:288-296

Table 1. 24 Major Life Event Items Analyzed among 189 Respondents

Item	Content	Frequency	
		n	(%)
	Did this event occur during the past 12 months?		
1.	Death of a spouse or partner	4	(2%)
2.	You cared for a seriously ill or disabled family member.	62	(33%)
3.	Someone close to you died (other than spouse or partner).	46	(24%)
4.	Your pet died.	39	(21%)
5.	You lost or had to move out of a home through fire, flood, or other disaster.	2	(1%)
6.	Someone moved out of your home.	37	(20%)
7.	You (or someone close to you) experienced a physical assault or attack.	16	(8%)
8.	You (or someone close to you) were robbed or burglarized.	16	(8%)
9.	You (or someone close to you) were involved in a major car accident.	24	(13%)
10.	You (or someone close to you) were involved in a personal law suit or court case.	34	(18%)
11.	You experienced a foreclosure on a mortgage or loan.	3	(2%)
12.	You did not have enough money to pay bills.	49	(26%)
13.	You needed to provide financial assistance to someone close to you.	61	(32%)
14.	You did not get an expected wage or salary increase or promotion.	27	(14%)
15.	You (or your spouse/partner) took a cut in pay or salary.	49	(26%)
16.	Your (or your spouse's/partner's) work conditions changed for the worse.	57	(30%)
17.	Your (or your spouse's/partner's) work load increased.	87	(46%)
18.	You had trouble with employer or boss.	50	(26%)
19.	You (or your spouse/partner) were fired, laid off, or became unemployed.	33	(17%)
20.	You experienced a major increase in the number of arguments with spouse/partner.	24	(13%)
21.	You had a major argument(s) with another family member or friend.	45	(24%)
22.	Friends or family moved away.	27	(14%)
23.	You divorced or separated from your spouse/partner.	13	(7%)
24.	You ended another long-term or serious relationship.	9	(5%)

The following two health-related items were included in the battery, but excluded from the analysis because they would be anticipated to be linked to asthma severity and thus to quality of life (see Methods):

1. You became seriously ill or injured (reported by 58 [31%])
2. You had surgery or were hospitalized (reported by 43 [23%])

The following were included in the questionnaire administered, but were excluded from this analysis because they could be either positive or negative events (see Methods):

1. You moved
2. You got married
3. You bought a home
4. New person living with you
5. You took out a mortgage or a loan
6. You (or your spouse/partner) started a new job or new business
7. Your (or your spouse's/partner's) work location changed
8. Your work responsibilities changed
9. You (or your spouse/ partner) retired from work
10. You experienced a major change in your usual type or amount of recreational activities
11. You experienced a major change in your usual type or amount of social activities
12. A child or other close family member got married or had another significant life event
13. You had or adopted a baby
14. You experienced a major change in the amount of time you spend with friends or family

Table 2. Cumulative Negative Life Events Frequency Among 189 Subjects Surveyed

<u>Number of Events</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Frequency Reporting</u> <u>(%)</u>
0 – 2	59	(31%)
3 – 4	57	(30%)
5 – 6	35	(19%)
7 or more	38	(20%)

The mean negative life events = 4.3 ± 3.1 (range 0 to 17 events).

Table 3. Demographic and Smoking Variables among 189 Adults with Asthma

<u>DEMOGRAPHIC AND SMOKING VARIABLES</u>	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
Age in Years, Mean (\pm SD)	46(\pm 8.8)
Female Gender, n (%)	131 (69%)
Education	
High School or Less, n (%)	32 (17%)
Some College, n (%)	64 (34%)
College Graduate, n (%)	93 (49%)
Annual Family Income	
Less than \$20,000, n (%)	19 (10%)
\$20,000 up to \$40,000, n (%)	37 (20%)
\$40,000 up to \$80,000, n (%)	53 (28%)
\$80,000 or more, n (%)	80 (42%)
White, Non-Hispanic, n (%)	134 (71%)
Smoking Status	
Never, n (%)	124(66%)
Former smoker, n (%)	55 (29%)
Current smoker, n (%)	10 (5%)

Table 4. Health Status Variables among 189 Adults with Asthma

HEALTH STATUS VARIABLES	<u>FREQUENCY</u>
FEV ₁ % Predicted, Mean (\pm SD)	83.1 (\pm 18.4)
Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) Severity	
Step 1 (Intermittent), n (%)	49 (26%)
Step 2 (Mild Persistent), n (%)	26 (14%)
Step 3 (Moderate Persistent), n (%)	55 (29%)
Step 4 (Severe Persistent), n (%)	59 (31%)
Asthma Severity Score, Mean (\pm SD)	7.8 (\pm 5.2)
SF-12 Physical Component Score, Mean (\pm SD)	44.7 (\pm 11.1)
SF-12 Mental Component Score, Mean (\pm SD)	46.8 (\pm 7.7)
Quality of Life (Total Score), Mean (\pm SD)	16.1 (\pm 15.2)
Quality of Life Subscales	
Physical Impact, Mean (\pm SD)	4.6 (\pm 4.5)
Emotional Impact, Mean (\pm SD)	4.2 (\pm 4.2)
Social Impact, Mean (\pm SD)	4.3 (\pm 5.8)
Health Concerns, Mean (\pm SD)	2.9 (\pm 2.7)

Table 5. Frequency of Negative Life Events: Demographic and Health Status Covariates

<u>Demographic Group</u>	<u>Study N</u>	<u>Frequency of Negative Life Events</u>		<u>P value</u>
		<u>Mean (SD)</u>	<u>Median (IQR)</u>	
All Subjects	189	4.3 (3.1)	4 (2 - 6)	NA
Gender				0.90
Male	58	4.4 (3.3)	4 (2 - 5)	
Female	131	4.2 (3.0)	4 (2 - 6)	
Race/Ethnicity				0.35
White, Non-Hispanic	134	4.2 (3.1)	4 (2 - 5)	
All Other	55	4.6 (3.0)	4 (2 - 7)	
Education				0.35
Some college or Less	96	4.5 (3.2)	4 (2 - 7)	
College Grad or Higher	93	4.1 (3.0)	4 (2 - 5)	
Age				0.41
<47 Years	90	4.4 (2.7)	4 (2 - 6)	
≥47 Years	99	4.3 (3.4)	4 (2 - 6)	
Annual Household Income				0.03
<\$60,000	82	4.8 (3.2)	4 (3 - 7)	
≥\$60,000	107	3.9 (2.9)	3 (2 - 5)	
Cigarette Smoking				0.01
Never	124	3.9 (2.8)	3 (2 - 5)	
Ever	65	5.1 (3.4)	4 (3 - 7)	
FEV ₁ % Predicted				0.71
<80%	71	4.4 (3.6)	4 (2 - 6)	
≥80%	118	4.3 (2.8)	4 (2 - 6)	
Asthma Severity Score				0.51
<7	95	4.3 (2.7)	4 (2 - 6)	
≥7	94	4.3 (3.4)	4 (2 - 6)	

IQR= Interquartile range (25th to 75th percentile).

P values for all comparisons of life events frequencies are for the corresponding Wilcoxon rank sum test.

**Table 6. Negative Life Events as an Independent Predictor of Asthma-Specific Quality of Life:
Effects of Income, Lung Function, and Asthma Severity**

<u>Subjects in Analysis</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Number of Negative Life Events</u>			
		<u>Unadjusted</u>		<u>Adjusted for Asthma Severity</u>	
		<u>β (95% CI)</u>	<u>P value</u>	<u>β (95% CI)</u>	<u>P value</u>
All Subjects	189				
Asthma Quality of Life (AQOL) Summary Score		1.1 (0.4-1.8)	0.002	0.7 (0.1-1.3)	0.02
AQOL Summary Score, Stratified by Income					
Higher Income	107	0.3 (-0.5-1.1)	0.43	0.2 (-0.5-0.8)	0.67
Lower Income	82	1.7 (0.5-2.9)	0.01	1.0 (0.1-2.0)	0.03
AQOL Summary Score, Stratified by Smoking Status					
Never Smoker	124	0.7 (-0.2-1.6)	0.14	0.5 (-0.2-1.2)	0.18
Ever Smoker	65	1.6 (0.5-2.8)	0.01	1.0 (-0.04-2.0)	0.06
AQOL Summary Score, Stratified by Lung Function					
FEV ₁ ≥ 80% predicted	118	1.5 (0.7-2.3)	<0.001	1.1 (0.4-1.8)	0.002
FEV ₁ < 80% predicted	71	0.6 (-0.5-1.8)	0.26	0.3 (-0.7-1.3)	0.53
AQOL Summary Score, Stratified by Asthma Severity					
Asthma Severity Score < 7	95	1.2 (0.4-1.9)	0.003	NA	NA
Asthma Severity Score ≥ 7	94	1.1 (0.1-2.0)	0.03	NA	NA

Figure 1. Subject inclusion and retention in follow-up studies used in this analysis.




Figure 2. Association between the number of negative life events experienced in the previous 12 months and asthma-specific quality of life among 189 subjects with different income-asthma severity levels. The overall model is statistically significant ($F=11.5$; $p < 0.001$), as is the association for number of events ($F=3.1$; $p=0.03$) and asthma severity-income group ($F=19.0$, $p < 0.001$).

