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Scattering scanning near-field optical microscopy (s-SNOM) provides spectroscopic imaging from molecular to quantum materials with few nanometer deep sub-diffraction limited spatial resolution. However, in its conventional implementation s-SNOM is slow to effectively acquire series of spatio-spectral images, especially with large fields of view. This problem is further exacerbated for weak resonance contrast or when using light sources with limited spectral irradiance. Indeed, the generally limited signal to noise ratio prevents sampling a weak signal at the Nyquist sampling rate. Here, we demonstrate how acquisition time and sampling rate can be significantly reduced by using compressed sampling, matrix completion, and adaptive random sampling, while maintaining or even enhancing the physical or chemical image content. We use fully sampled real datasets of molecular, biological, and quantum materials as ground-truth physical data and show how deep under-sampling with a corresponding reduction of acquisition time by one order of magnitude or more retains the core s-SNOM image information. We demonstrate that a sampling rate of up to 6 times smaller than the Nyquist criterion can be applied, which would provide a 30-fold reduction in the data required under typical experimental conditions. Our smart s-SNOM approach is generally applicable and provides systematic full spatio-spectral s-SNOM imaging with a large field of view at high spectral resolution and reduced acquisition time.

s-SNOM | Compressed sensing | Matrix completion | Adaptive sampling | Denoising | Near field

Infrared vibrational scattering scanning near-field optical microscopy (IR s-SNOM) provides nano-imaging with intrinsic vibrational, phonon, and electronic resonance contrast with chemical and material specificity at deep-sub-diffraction spatial resolution (≤ 20 nm) (1–5). Recent advances in IR s-SNOM enable nanoscopic chemical imaging of diverse materials, ranging from biological to molecular and quantum systems. The meso- and macroscopic behavior of these systems is determined by interactions at the nanoscopic level and therefore require imaging techniques with high spatial resolution and large fields of view. Typical datasets for IR s-SNOM chemical imaging include two spatial dimensions across the sample surface and one spectral dimension, e.g., as obtained by scanning the reference arm mirror position in nano Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (nano-FTIR), see Fig. 1A. Broadband IR light sources are desired for measuring multiple vibrational modes but are often limited by their low brilliance, which reduces the signal to noise ratio (SNR). Laser based IR spectroscopy has high brilliance but is challenged by sample exposure when low repetition rate and high pulse energy lasers are used. Therefore, chemical nano-imaging of biological, molecular, and quantum systems with large spatial and spectral resolution over large fields of view has remained challenging because of the associated large multidimensional datasets whose achievable SNR limits the acquisition rate. Modifications of s-SNOM to increase acquisition speed have been proposed (6, 7) but have not yet taken advantage of the large redundancy in s-SNOM datasets. Previous work showed that compressed sampling can reduce nano-FTIR acquisition time using spectral sparsity (8). Further, compressed sensing has been adapted (9) for spatio-spectral nano-FTIR imaging, and augmented by spatial regularization. While compressed sampling and matrix completion have been used intensively for hyperspectral imaging (10–12), their full potential has not yet been exploited for s-SNOM. Matrix completion (13, 14) relies on the hypothesis that only a small number of chemical species, compositional characteristics, or structural features are present in the sample, which is in fact typically the case for most samples imaged with s-SNOM.

In this work we address this problem of reducing the amount of acquired data while maintaining physical relevance by using prior knowledge and an adaptive sampling algorithm tailored for s-SNOM. First, we demonstrate a reduction in data acquisition by using a combination of prior physical knowledge about the light source, the spectral sparsity, and a limited number of distinct chemical species. The analysis of the impact of each hypothesis individually, and their interplay, leads to the design of an effective reconstruction algorithm for full spatio-spectral s-SNOM imaging from compressed measurements. We show that a compression of up to 96.6% (1/30 sample) compared to acquisition under conventional uncompressed conditions can be achieved without sacrificing physically meaningful information in the nano-FTIR images or spectra. Further, we develop an adaptive algorithm for positioning the reference arm mirror at each spatial position of the sample. We note that random sampling is a universal strategy adapted for compressed sampling and matrix completion (15, 16). We propose to estimate the normalized average envelope of the local interferograms to use as a probability distribution to select the random mirror positions. This approach acquires data in the most relevant parts of the interferogram with high probability, see Fig. 1B. To study the achievable performance of this new approach of smart s-SNOM, we use fully sampled real datasets of biological, quantum, and molecular materials (17) as ground truth. A sub-sampled measurement is extracted from the ground truth measurement using smart sampling, then a reconstruction algorithm recovers the remaining not-sampled data by using prior knowledge about the light source and the sample.

Methods

Compressed sampling (CS) and matrix completion (MC) are well suited for s-SNOM to reduce the number of measurements needed to have a large field of view at high spectral resolution. In the following subsections we motivate choices
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to create reconstruction algorithms based on CS and MC and describe an adaptive scan strategy for the reference arm mirror position. Conventionally, the sample is raster scanned under an atomic force microscope (AFM) tip to image a rectangular area of the sample; the spatial points are distributed along a regular discrete grid of evenly spaced points. At a given spatial position of the tip, the reference arm mirror is scanned to acquire an interferogram. One data point of the interferogram corresponds to one mirror position, which corresponds to one optical delay between reference and signal arms. In practice, the mirror is translated with a constant speed and measurements are periodically performed to get regularly spaced delays (18). Here we propose to use only a small fraction of the mirror positions that are standard in conventional s-SNOM acquisition. In order to recover the missing data points, we exploit prior knowledge of the sample and the light source. See supplementary section "Experiment acquisition details".

Compressed sampling (CS). Infrared spectroscopy resolves spectral peaks from, e.g., molecular vibrations, which are specific to the molecular identity and their local chemical environment. Each spatial point of an s-SNOM measurement contains a mixture of distinct chemical species, which, when spectroscopically measured, yield a combination of vibrational spectra of multiple local chemical species. s-SNOM spectra are usually composed of a few resonance peaks and are thus, in principle, sparse signals.

Unfortunately, the sparsity assumption is not always correct, for instance, the free carrier response can contribute to a wide spectral range. In those cases only other prior knowledge, as described in the following sections can be used. The number of mirror positions in FTIR spectroscopy can be reduced using concepts of compressed sampling (8, 9). Compressed sampling (19–21) is a well-established technique to efficiently acquire and reconstruct a signal. Two main assumptions are required, sparsity (here of the spectrum) and mathematical incoherence of the sensing matrix (19). In our context, incoherence means that every point of an interferogram is a different linear combination of each frequency point of the corresponding spectrum. Here, the interferogram and the spectrum are linked by a Fourier transform. It is well-known in signal processing that the Fourier transform associated with a random selection of samples leads to an incoherent sensing matrix (22). Therefore, in s-SNOM, all the conditions are met to use CS on the spectral dimension. Moreover, spectral peaks can only be detected if they are within the light source bandwidth. Hence, the spectrum is reconstructed only inside the light source bandwidth and set to zero outside. When the light source is a laser, this can be used to greatly reduce the number of necessary samples acquired (6). The spectral portion outside the light source bandwidth does not affect the measurement and is considered to be composed of zeros. A truncated Fourier transform on the accessible part of the spectrum is used to reduce the problem dimension and to increase computation speed and compression factor.

Matrix Completion (MC). s-SNOM spectra are combinations of a few distinct chemical vibrational spectra. Under mild assumptions, this leads to a small rank measurement matrix when the number of chemical species in the sample is small compared to the number of spatial measurement points. Under a linear mixing assumption it can be shown that the rank of the measurement matrix will be smaller than the number of chemical species in the sample (23, 24) (see supplementary section "Low rank assumption"). Matrix completion is used to complete matrices with missing entries under the approximate low rank assumption (13, 14). Regular s-SNOM data can be rearranged in a matrix form with interferograms in rows, where each row corresponds to a given spatial position of the tip. When only a few random positions of the mirror are selected, the missing data in the matrix is suitable for recovery as missing entries because the matrix is low rank. MC is not sensitive to the complex spatial distribution of the chemical species, therefore it remains a useful tool even for samples with random uncorrelated spatial distributions of the chemical species.

Adaptive random sampling. Redundancies in s-SNOM data can be exploited to recover a full field of view and a complete spectral image from fewer measurements. In addition, we propose an adaptive selection of the most appropriate sampling positions for the reference arm mirror at the next tip position. Intuitively, the sampling should select parts of the interferogram that convey the most variations. Thus, we propose tuning the probability distribution of the random sample selection as close as possible to the envelope of the interferograms. s-SNOM samples can show a wide diversity of interferogram envelopes, which emphasizes the necessity for an adaptive strategy to select the best mirror positions to acquire data. At the beginning of an acquisition, the only prior knowledge available is the spectral bandwidth of the light source and the sparsity of the spectrum. Therefore, for the first sample spatial position, we use a uniform random distribution to select the
reference arm mirror positions where data will be collected. The number of samples to be acquired can be determined by using the Nyquist criterion and the sparsity assumption. For the following spatial position, we have more information from the previous measurement. Hence, we propose tuning the random distribution used to select the mirror positions so that it is as close as possible to the envelope of the interferogram. We reconstruct all of the interferograms at previous spatial positions and calculate their envelopes. We use the average of these envelopes to generate the probability distribution for spectral sampling at the next sample position. The sampling rate is continually reduced throughout the measurement such that the desired final compression factor is achieved (more details can be found in supplementary section "Parameter tuning"). Similar to MC, the performance gain due to our adaptive sampling strategy is sensitive to the number of pure chemical species in the sample as well as to the number of appearances of each chemical species. However, the performance gain does not depend on the spatial distribution of the chemical species.

Experimentally, smart s-SNOM moves the scanning mirror (see Fig. 1) to only some specific positions dictated by the adaptive sampling strategy. This kind of experiment is emulated by using the adaptive sampling selection rules on the data provided by a conventional s-SNOM experiment. The fully sampled dataset can then be used both as a ground truth to calculate errors, like relative mean square error, or to compare the peak positions of the reconstruction. In our algorithm, we made the choice to minimize a criterion enforcing fidelity to data using a quadratic norm with penalization added to enforce the sparsity of the spectrum and the low rank assumption. The criterion is convex and has two parameters $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_s$ to tune how sparse and how low rank the reconstruction should be, respectively. We then use a generalized forward-backward algorithm (25) to minimize this criterion. A detailed description of the criterion and of the algorithm is presented in the supplementary section "Algorithm". In the following we demonstrate how our strategy performs on different samples, and how well physically relevant information is kept in the reconstructions.

Results

s-SNOM imaging can be used on a broad class of samples (see Fig. 2), including biological, molecular, and quantum materials. We tested our algorithms with a representative member of each of these material types and with two different light sources, including a laser and a synchrotron (Advanced Light Source ALS). Light source properties affect the interferogram shape (see Fig. 2). Specifically, the synchrotron’s broad bandwidth leads to a sparser representation than that of a laser. We quantify the compression with two different metrics. The ratio between the number of acquired samples for a fully sampled acquisition and for a smart s-SNOM acquisition is called the reduction factor (R). The experimental data used as ground truth in this paper are over-sampled to ensure Nyquist sampling above the highest frequency of the light source. Furthermore, the mirror displacement range is scanned to achieve a fixed spectral instrument resolution that is narrower than the observed spectral features. Therefore we also give the ratio between minimally sampled acquisitions (at Nyquist rate and smallest mirror motion range) and smart s-SNOM sampling, which is called the compression factor (CF). We emphasize that at the experimental integration time per sample, Nyquist sampling would greatly reduce the quality of the spectrum, therefore we use R as a fair ratio to be highlighted. Laser based broadband measurements (nano-FTIR) and synchrotron IR nano spectroscopy (SINS) were performed as previously described in (17) (see also Supplementary Information). We considered a range of sample types and light sources for a robust interpretation of smart s-SNOM reconstructions.

The first dataset shown in Fig. 2A is a synchrotron radiation based measurement of 400 nm thick $\gamma$-globulin referenced to Si as described in (17). This measurement highlights the difficulty of measuring multiple chemical resonances with a low brilliance light source. The spectrum of gamma-globulin shows the characteristic amide resonances (I,II, and III) of a protein and are indicated in Fig. 2A. Only one spatial point is acquired, therefore only sparsity of the spectrum and light source bandwidth priors can be used in this case. For this particular sample the sparsity of the spectrum in the light source bandwidth does not enable a compression factor over 1. Here, only the bandwidth prior has an effect on the compression. However the reduction factor R is 17.

The second dataset shown in Fig. 2B corresponds to a laser based measurement of oriented PTFE referenced to gold. We examine the real and imaginary part of nano-FTIR spectra from PTFE, rather than the amplitude and phase, as the oscillator strength is too strong for the typical approximation between phase and imaginary spectra. The achieved compression factor is 4, well below the minimum number of the necessary points without the sparsity and small rank assumptions. Therefore, MC and/or CS are useful to improve the CF for PTFE samples. The separate effect of CS and MC is demonstrated in Fig. 3 using the PTFE dataset. The influence of R on the characterization of the two PTFE peaks is illustrated in Fig. 4.

The third dataset is a laser based measurement in a molecular electronic material of a metal carbonyl vibration (2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-Octaethyl-21H,23H-porphine ruthenium(II) carbonyl). This example demonstrates the difficulty of accurately determining multiple spectral features of varying resonant strengths. The center resonance $\omega_0$ splits into $\omega_{-1}$ and $\omega_1$, as seen in Fig. 2C, as the crystallinity of the nanocrystals are increased. These resonances are close to each other and are of similar strength, hence increasing the difficulty of reducing the number of measurements. Nonetheless, we achieve a reduction factor R of 4. It is worth noting that the ground truth spatial sampling was irregular, namely only a subset of a regular rectangular grid positions were used. Our algorithm does not use the relative position of the spatial point, therefore any spatial scanning pattern is compatible with smart s-SNOM.

The fourth dataset is a FTR measurement of the silicon dioxide (SiO$_2$) phonon performed with synchrotron radiation. The ground truth corresponds to a line scan of the SiO$_2$ sample. A reduction factor of 30 was achieved on this sample corresponding to a CF of 6.5. Therefore, having an adaptive sampling strategy is attractive to collect data only at relevant mirror positions as shown in Fig. 2D. AFM images of the four datasets are shown in Fig. S1 as well as the spatial position of the tip where s-SNOM interferograms where acquired.

Adaptive sampling influence. The impact of the adaptive sampling influence can be seen by comparing the reconstructed...
Fig. 2. Application of smart s-SNOM to different materials systems (biological, molecular, and quantum), different light sources (Laser, ALS synchrotron) and different spatial scanning (single point, line and 2D scan). Fully sampled datasets acquired experimentally (Blue curves). Mirror positions selected by our adaptive sampling strategy (Red crosses). Reconstructed spectrum in amplitude / phase or $|A(\nu)|/\Phi(\nu)$ and real / imaginary part or $\text{Re} (A(\nu))/\text{Im} (A(\nu))$ (Red and Black dotted curves respectively). For the PTFE sample, reconstruction from uniformly sampled measurements without the use of adaptive sampling (Green dotted curves). The reduction factor (R) is respectively 17, 30, 4, 30. The compression factor (CF) is respectively 1, 4, 1.6, 6.5. The regularization parameter called Nuc is respectively 0, 0.5, 0.75, 0.005. The regularization parameter $\ell_1$ is respectively $0, 10^{-6}, 0.01, 4 \times 10^{-5}$ (See supplementary section "Algorithm").

Compressed sampling influence. In Fig. 2, the $\gamma$-globulin sample is probed at only one spatial position. Therefore only spectral compressed sampling could be used to reduce the number of measurements. Unfortunately the resulting spectrum is not sparse compared to the bandwidth of the synchrotron. We observe that the compression factor is one, but nonetheless the reduction factor is 17. The knowledge of the bandwidth allows, in this case, to work with 17 times less data. Fig. 3A shows, for the PTFE sample, the relative mean square error (RMSE) between reconstruction and ground truth for four different compression factors (1, 10, 20, 30). The RMSE for only one spatial point are 0.019, 0.197, 0.440, 0.794 respectively. These errors are obtained using optimal parameter settings 0, 0.1, 0.1, 0.1 for the $\ell_1$ parameter and 0, 0, 0, 0 for the nuclear parameter respectively (See supplementary section "Algorithm"). We notice that the nuclear parameter is always 0 indicating the fact that MC is not useful when only one spatial point is probed. For comparison, we perform reconstruction with the $\ell_1$ parameter also set to 0 to see the effect of the $\ell_1$ norm on the reconstruction the resulting RMSE are 0.0185, 1.42, 1.53, 1.6 respectively. We notice that the RMSE for compression factors of 10, 20 and 30 is greatly reduced using the $\ell_1$ norm (by 70% in average). As a result, we conclude that compressed sensing improves the performance for the PTFE sample, in agreement with similar effects observed in (8, 9).

Matrix completion influence. The effect of matrix completion depends on the number of spatial points acquired. If there are fewer spatial points than the number of pure chemical mixtures in the sample, matrix completion becomes irrelevant. To show how MC is used in our reconstruction, we study the effect of the...
number of spatial points on the quality of the reconstruction of the PTFE sample. The experiment consists of emulating line scan experiments of different sizes and different compression ratios. The curves displayed in Fig. 3A show that the relative mean square error (RMSE) of the reconstruction compared to the ground truth, decrease with the number of spatial points sampled. Moreover, we see that MC enables a higher CF compared to CS alone. In Fig. 3B, the reconstruction of a 1D spatial section is shown for different number of spatial points and different reduction factor. There is a clear relation between R, the number of spatial points and the quality of the reconstruction. This shows the effectiveness of MC on the PTFE sample. We also observe that only a limited number of spatial points is needed to fully use MC (around 6 spatial points for PTFE). This is an indication that the number of chemical species is indeed small in this sample (See Fig. 3C).

Fig. 3. Illustration of the effect of low rank assumption on the reconstruction error. We use a PTFE hyperspectral array scan to emulate an acquisition of different sized line scans. The position of the spatial line scanned is indicated with a blue line on the image of panel B). A) Plots of relative mean square error as a function of the number of spatial points for different reduction factors. The largest quality improvement occurs between 1 and 6 spatial points, this is an indication of the MC influence on the reconstruction quality. This can be different for other samples with a higher diversity of chemical species. B) For each number of points used (10, 20, and 40 pixels), different reduction factors are emulated: 10, 20 and 30 by reducing more and more the number of positions used for the mirror. The spatial evolution of the spectrum amplitude at ν_{a} for ground truth is plotted in blue and the reconstructions in red. At a given reduction factor the visual quality of the reconstruction improves with the number of spatial points sampled, this is also an indication of the MC influence. C) Illustration of the principle of matrix completion. Each color red, green, and blue corresponds to one chemical species with a specific spectrum. Those images are separable in space (x, y) and frequency (ν) and are therefore considered rank one images. In the case of a linear mixing model, the final hyper spectral image is a sum of a few (rank one) of these images if the number of chemical species is small in the sample. This explains the link between small rank assumption on hyperspectral images and the number of chemical species.

Physical relevance of reconstructions. In some applications users are only interested in the characteristics of the spectral peaks. In the PTFE example, there are two peaks, corresponding to the symmetric and antisymmetric modes that convey the physically relevant information. They are characterized by their location at ν_{1} = 1168 cm⁻¹ and ν_{2} = 1241 cm⁻¹, full width at half maximum, amplitude, and phase. Fig. 4A illustrates the capacity to extract this information from smart s-SNOM data at different reduction factors. Color bars of each image correspond to an estimate of one of these parameters, each pixel corresponds to a spatial position of the sample. Ground truth value of the parameters are shown in the top images of each stack, where R=1, and are compared with the R=10 and R=30 cases. In Fig. 4B, estimation of the peak positions appears to be unbiased for a reduction factor up to 100, however the standard deviation increases with reduction factor (see error bars of blue curves). A good estimation of the peak’s position, width and relative amplitude can be achieved for R up to 30. We also observe a denoising effect of our algorithm, where the sparsity assumption and the low rank assumption used in our algorithm allow us to reject a significant part of the noise contained in the reconstruction. This is explained by the fact that the noise component of the data is not sparse in Fourier domain and is not low rank.

Discussion
While signal processing for hyper-spectral imaging is a broad field, we presented a focused development of choices to create a smart s-SNOM approach taking into account its physical properties. In this section we discuss the particular choices made and avoided as well as the limitations of the technique.

CS for spatial dimension. Similar to the temporal or spectral dimension, spatial dimensions carry redundancies because samples are composed of finite types of molecules that are typically clustered or arranged in domains. The wavelet transform of such samples is known to be sparse (26). Additionally, the 2D Curvelet transform has been proven to be sparse for images that are piece-wise smooth with smooth boundaries (27). This could be used to reduce the number of spatial positions of the tip using CS. As an example, CS has been used in AFM to increase acquisition speed (28). CS along the spatial dimensions can be combined with CS in the spectral dimension and

![Fig. 4. Extraction of physically relevant information from resonance peaks at different reduction factors. A) Characterization of the two spectral peaks of the PTFE sample located at ν_{1} = 1168 cm⁻¹ and ν_{2} = 1241 cm⁻¹. Each stack corresponds to 3 images obtained from reconstruction at different reduction factors (from top to bottom respectively 1, 10 and 30). Each peak is characterized by its estimated position (x̂) and full width at half maximum FWHM. B) Plot of the relative mean square error between reconstruction and ground truth in red. Plot of the localization of the two peaks in blue as a function of the compression factor (top axis) and to the reduction factor (bottom axis). The standard deviation of the peak localization increases with compression factor, leading to potential physical misinterpretation of the reconstruction for high reduction or compression factors.](image-url)
would fall in a family called Kronecker CS (29). In the case of a sample containing a spatially isolated chemical species, the Wavelet domain would no longer yield a sparse image and therefore would not comply with CS requirements. It is arguable whether this would be an interesting feature to be determined and hence whether the wavelet domain sparsity should be used or not. Moreover, as a practical note, performing this additional step slows down the reconstruction because at each iteration one needs to perform a spatial wavelet transform for each mirror position used. Given the small compression potential, the strong hypothesis on the sample and the added algorithmic cost, we decided not to implement this approach at this time.

Scanning. We note that mirror scanning stages have limitations not included in our model. Indeed, speed and precision of the mirror and tip motion are linked to the trajectory used. Lissajous curves are a good candidate for scanning strategy (12). Our adaptive selection of the mirror positions would need to be modified to take these limitations into account. Moreover, a multipass strategy could be used to improve the selection of the mirror position. Nonetheless, our contribution clearly demonstrates the potential of using an adaptive strategy to reduce s-SNOM acquisition time. Introducing scanning limitations in our model could lead to faster implementation of smart s-SNOM.

Algorithm. If the rank was known in advance, Non-Negative Matrix Factorization (NMF) (30) could be applied. One can argue that an upper bound of the rank can be derived. Another disadvantage of NMF is that the problem becomes non convex, therefore we decided not to use NMF. Alternatively, we chose to minimize a convex criterion with a generalized forward-backward algorithm for its simplicity, its speed, and its flexibility to add and try multiple penalization terms. We chose to use $\ell_1$ norm and $\ell_1$ nuclear norm to enforce the sparsity of the reconstructed spectra and to reduce the rank of the reconstruction respectively. Notwithstanding, one could think about many other penalization functions. For instance, we tried an $\ell_1,2$ penalization but the effect on the reconstruction quality is smaller than the two penalization functions we use. Adding a penalization function also increases the number of parameters to tune, therefore we tried to minimize the number of penalization functions. We still have two parameters to tune in our criterion, see supplementary section “Parameters tuning” for more information. An automatic tuning strategy like cross validation (31, 32) could be tested.

A key aspect of smart s-SNOM is that it decreases acquisition time without sacrificing meaningful information. This might seem counterintuitive because of the potential impact on SNR of the reduction in total integration time. However, the lost integration time from missing data points is offset by both the reconstruction and denoising effects. In fact, while conventional sampling is inefficient with the data collection as prior knowledge is not used to inform sampling, smart s-SNOM reconstruction makes use of the prior knowledge of the object observed to reject noise and to recover missing acquisitions information.

Perspective and Summary

We propose a strategy to compress s-SNOM measurements and therefore greatly reduce acquisition time. To achieve that, we reduce the number of mirror positions needed at each location of the sample by exploiting redundancies in the s-SNOM dataset. Known prior knowledge like bandwidth of the light source, spectral sparsity, and the limited number of distinct chemical species is used to reduce the necessary measurements. By using the same prior knowledge in our adaptive selection of the sampled mirror positions, we greatly improve the performance of s-SNOM. Smart s-SNOM opens the way to applications where a wide field of view and a good spectral resolution are both required apart from the nanometric resolution.
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Experimental acquisition details. The data presented here are collected using three different IR s-SNOM instruments. All of the following instruments work on the same following principle. IR light is focused onto the apex of an oscillating metalized atomic force microscope tip. The tip oscillates at $\omega_0$ which, through the nonlinear distance dependence of the near-field signal, produces harmonics. The tip scattered light is collected with a mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) detector. The near-field signal is discriminated from the far-field background by demodulating the total tip scattered light at higher harmonics of the tip tapping frequency. To get complex valued spectra from the near-field, the AFM is placed in one arm of an asymmetric Michelson interferometer. IR light is sent into this interferometer such that the tip scattered light can be amplified with the reference field from the interferometer reference arm that is scanned to change the relative path lengths between the two arms to perform Fourier Transform spectroscopy. Point, line scan, and array data are collected by positioning the AFM tip on the sample surface, then scanning the reference arm to acquire an interferogram. Line scans position the tip sequentially in a line and array scans perform repeated line scans with spacing in the orthogonal direction.

The ultrabroadband data collected using a synchrotron source was performed at Beamline 5.4, employing a specially modified AFM (Inova, Bruker), at the Advanced Light Source (ALS) at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, which supplied the IR synchrotron radiation. Spectroscopy was performed, using a modified commercial FTIR spectrometer (Nicolet 6700, Thermo-Scientific) to control the reference arm. The low frequency SiO$_2$ data was collected using a customized LHe-cooled Ge:Cu detector and Si beam splitter. The broadband measurements, collected using a laser source, were performed at the University of Colorado Boulder. Here, tunable mid-IR light was generated by difference frequency generation (DFG) of signal and idler beams (HarmoniXX DFG, APE) from a femtosecond optical parametric oscillator (OPO) (Levante OPO, APE) pumped by a low-noise Yb oscillator operating at 75.7 MHz, with a pulse duration of 93 fs and an average power of 6 W (Flint, Light Conversion). The DFG light was tunable from 4 µm (2,500 cm$^{-1}$) to 15 µm (666 cm$^{-1}$), with a pulse duration of 150 fs. The laser was tuned to relevant wavelengths for the PTFE, RuOEP and SO2 experiments. In this case, the IR light was sent into a commercial s-SNOM instrument (nanor2-s prototype, Anasys Instruments/Bruker).

Atomic force microscope images. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) images of the samples used in Fig. 2 are shown in Fig. S1. s-SNOM images require a longer acquisition time compared to AFM images. Moreover, for some applications s-SNOM acquisition can be performed on only a limited number of spatial point. Here, the AFM images are used to give an idea of the spatial distribution of the chemicals in the samples used to demonstrate smart s-SNOM.

Low rank assumption. Environmental effects can cause a progressive shift of the spectrum's peak. These can increase the rank of the measurement matrix and therefore the rank of the measurement matrix might not necessarily be smaller than the number of chemical species. Big data matrices generated by a simple generative model are of approximate low rank (see (24) for definitions). We assume the hyper-spectral imaging data considered here are generated by a simple generative model and therefore can be assumed to be of approximate low rank.

Algorithm. In this section we describe the algorithm used to exploit the physical prior knowledge like the light source bandwidth, spectrum sparsity, spatial redundancy, and small number of chemical species. The algorithm enables a reduction in the number of samples required to reconstruct the signal without loss of meaningful physical information. s-SNOM data can be represented in a sparse matrix form, called $X$ by applying a 1D Fourier transform truncated at the bandwidth of the light source along the rows of $X$, i.e. the interferograms, and optionally by applying a 2D wavelet transform along the columns.

$$\tilde{X} = WXF$$  \[1\]

where $W$ and $F$ are matrices performing a 2D wavelet transform and a truncated 1D Fourier transform respectively, when no wavelet transform is used $W$ can be replaced by the identity matrix. Otherwise, this model can be called Kronecker compressive sensing (29).

Let us call $y$ the vector of measured samples, we have:

$$y = S [W \otimes F] vect(\tilde{X})$$  \[2\]

with $\otimes$ the Kronecker product and $S$ the sampling matrix composed of one point and only one line per at the selected sample positions.

Criterion. As explained above, a low rank assumption on $X$ can be done. Similarly, it can be shown that this is also true for $\tilde{X}$. In matrix completion, a low rank assumption can be used to complete the missing entries of a matrix. Usually a nuclear norm $\| \cdot \|$ penalization is used as the regularization term (16). Indeed, this norm can be seen as the $\Omega$ norm of the singular values of the matrix, i.e. the sum of the absolute value of the singular values. If the rank was known in advance, non Negative Matrix Factorization (NMF) (30) could be applied. One can argue that a superior bound of the rank can be derived. While another disadvantage of NMF is that the problem is non convex. Therefore we decided not to use NMF. Alternatively, we use a convex criterion to minimize, enabling us easily to incorporate penalizations used in CS and MC:

$$J(X) = F(\tilde{X}) + G(\tilde{X})$$  \[3\]

$$F(\tilde{X}) = \| S [W \otimes F] vect(\tilde{X}) - y \|^2$$  \[4\]

$$G(\tilde{X}) = \lambda_1 \| \tilde{X} \|_1 + \lambda_2 \| \tilde{X} \|_*$$  \[5\]

with $\| \cdot \|_*$ the $\Omega$ norm and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ two parameters to tune. The main criterion $J$ is split in two part, a smooth and convex fidelity to data term Eq. (4) and a non differentiable convex sum of penalization part Eq. (5). A similar criterion is described in (33).

Reconstruction algorithm. To minimize criterion Eq. (3), we choose to use a generalized forward-backward algorithm for its simplicity and for the ability to add and try multiple penalization terms. This algorithm was already applied to minimize the same criterion in a different context (34) and for hyper-spectral imaging (33). Gradient Eq. (6) of the smooth part of the criterion Eq. (4) can be computed using only Fast Fourier transform, Wavelet or Curvelet transforms, the matrix $A$ never need to be constructed. Because the number of spatial points is usually smaller than the number of spectral
Algorithm 1: Generalized Forward-Backward (25)

Initialize $\tilde{X} = X_0$, $Z_i = \tilde{X}_0 \forall i$

repeat

Compute $G = \nabla F(\tilde{X})$

for $i = 0$ to $N$
do

Compute $Z_i = \text{prox}_{N \theta \tilde{H}_i}((2\tilde{X} - Z_i - \theta G))$

end

Compute $\tilde{X} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z_i$

until convergence;

return $\tilde{X}$

Discussion on Implementation. In comparing smart s-SNOM with other approaches to improve s-SNOM acquisition rates, we note that Reference [6] demonstrated an experimental implementation of the rotating frame for faster acquisition. The underlying physical mechanism through which rotating frame enables faster acquisition is not general and is only suitable for certain materials, resonances, and light sources. The work presented in references [7,9] largely differs from smart s-SNOM in that it is not an adaptive technique and does not use knowledge about signal level strengths through an interferogram.

Experimental implementation of smart s-SNOM to reach the theoretical limit requires developments to overcome hardware constraints in existing systems. To best utilize the approach, trajectory optimization through the combined tip and mirror space would be necessary in addition to careful dynamic demodulation time constant and velocity engineering. Similarly, scanning of the tip mirror space could be done in iterations and evaluated after each iteration to determine what tip and mirror positions need to be measured more densely or with higher signal to noise ratio time constants. This approach would become a second and coarser form of adaptive imaging.

Parameters tuning. We minimize criterion Eq. (3) that contains two parameters, $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_\star$. They are used to balance how strongly the priors are applied to the optimal reconstruction. These two parameters require tuning depending on the sparsity of the spectra and on the number of pure chemical species in the sample. These parameters influence the quality of the reconstruction. In Fig. S2 we show the mean square error between reconstruction and the "ground truth" with respect to these two parameters. In blue, we observe the region where the reconstruction error is smaller than when no penalization is used ($\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_\star = 0$). In addition, an automatic tuning strategy of the parameters like cross validation (31, 32) could be tested. The rule to select the number of mirror position at each spatial position also require to tune some parameters. However, those parameters depend on quantities assumed to be known like the light source bandwidth and the desired compression factor and on other parameters kept fix for all the different simulations. We have selected the following rule $S(k) = \max(N_1 - a k, N_2)$ with $a(k)$ the number of mirror position used at the $k^{th}$ spatial position. $N_1$ is the number of mirror position used at the first spatial position, this number is set to be above the Nyquist sampling criterion. We used $a = a \times (N_1 - N_2) / K$ with $K$ the total number of spatial point and $a = 10$ so that after one tenth of the spatial point are acquired, the number of mirror position stay constant (at $N_2$), then $N_2$ is tuned so that the total number of mirror position used for all spatial point is in agreement with the desired compression factor.

![PTFE and Amide. Light sources are a laser and the synchrotron, respectively, to illustrate the estimator behavior for two different spectral sparsities. Colors represent reconstruction errors, spatial coordinates correspond to $(\lambda_1, \lambda_\star)$ parameter settings. Color saturates to pure yellow for error values bigger than the error obtained with $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_\star = 0$. This way region where errors are reduced are more visible. The red circle indicates optimal settings, we observe that region around optimal settings gives similar errors. We observe that tuning the parameters is easy and does not necessarily need to be optimal to obtain relevant reconstructions.](Image)