

# UC San Diego

## UC San Diego Previously Published Works

### Title

Demographic predictors of treatments and surgical complications of lumbar degenerative diseases: An analysis of over 250,000 patients from the National Inpatient Sample.

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5kx7m1q0>

### Journal

Medicine (United Kingdom), 101(11)

### Authors

Al Jammal, Omar  
Shahrestani, Shane  
Delavar, Arash  
[et al.](#)

### Publication Date

2022-03-18

### DOI

10.1097/MD.00000000000029065

### Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

# Demographic predictors of treatments and surgical complications of lumbar degenerative diseases

## An analysis of over 250,000 patients from the National Inpatient Sample

Omar M. Al Jammal, BA<sup>a</sup>, Shane Shahrestani, MS<sup>b,c</sup>, Arash Delavar, MPH<sup>a</sup>, Nolan J. Brown, BS<sup>d,\*</sup>, Julian L. Gendreau, MD<sup>e</sup>, Brian V. Lien, BS<sup>d</sup>, Ronald Sahyouni, MS, MD, PhD<sup>a</sup>, Luis Daniel Diaz-Aguilar, MD<sup>a</sup>, Omar S. Shalakhti, BS<sup>d</sup>, Martin H. Pham, MD<sup>a</sup>

### Abstract

This was a national database study.

To examine the role of comorbidities and demographics on inpatient complications in patients with lumbar degenerative conditions.

Degenerative conditions of the lumbar spine account for the most common indication for spine surgery in the elderly population in the United States. Significant studies investigating demographic as predictors of surgical rates and health outcomes for degenerative lumbar conditions are lacking.

Data were obtained from the National Inpatient Sample from 2010 to 2014 and International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision, Clinical Modification codes were used to identify patients with a primary diagnosis of degenerative lumbar condition. Patients were stratified based on demographic variables and comorbidity status. Multivariate regression analyses were used to determine whether any individual demographic variables, such as race, sex, insurance, and hospital status predicted postoperative complications.

A total of 256,859 patients were identified for analysis. The rate of overall complications was found to be 16.1% with a mortality rate of 0.10%. Female, Black, Hispanic, and Asian/Pacific Islander patients had lower odds of receiving surgical treatment compared to White patients ( $P < .001$ ). Medicare and Medicaid patients were less likely to be surgically managed than patients with private insurance (OR=0.75, 0.37;  $P < .001$ , respectively). Urban hospitals were more likely to provide surgery when compared to rural hospitals ( $P < .001$ ). Patients undergoing fusion had more complications than decompression alone ( $P < .001$ ). Females, Medicare insurance status, Medicaid insurance status, urban hospital locations, and certain geographical locations were found to predict postoperative complications ( $P < .001$ ).

There were substantial differences in surgical management and postoperative complications among individuals of different sex, races, and insurance status. Further investigation evaluating the effect of demographics in spine surgery is warranted to fully understand their influence on patient complications.

**Abbreviations:** CCI = Charlson Comorbidity Index, CI = Confidence Interval, HTN = Hypertension, MI = Myocardial Infarction, OR = Odds Ratio, UTI = Urinary Tract Infection.

**Keywords:** decompression, degenerative lumbar surgery, disparities, fusion, laminectomy, National Inpatient Sample, postoperative complications, spinal stenosis

Editor: Arjun Ballal.

OMAJ and SS contributed equally to this work.

The authors have no funding and conflicts of interest to disclose.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

<sup>a</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, San Diego, CA, <sup>b</sup> Keck School of Medicine of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, <sup>c</sup> Department of Medical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, <sup>d</sup> University of California Irvine School of Medicine, Irvine, CA, <sup>e</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, Johns Hopkins Whiting School of Engineering, Baltimore, MD.

\* Correspondence: Nolan J. Brown, UC Irvine School of Medicine, Nolan Brown 200 Manchester Ave., Suite 212, Orange 92868, CA (e-mail: nolanb@hs.uci.edu).

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Jammal OM, Shahrestani S, Delavar A, Brown NJ, Gendreau JL, Lien BV, Sahyouni R, Diaz-Aguilar LD, Shalakhti OS, Pham MH. Demographic predictors of treatments and surgical complications of lumbar degenerative diseases: an analysis of over 250,000 patients from the National Inpatient Sample. *Medicine* 2022;101:00(e29065).

Received: 30 November 2021 / Received in final form: 23 February 2022 / Accepted: 24 February 2022

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000029065>

## 1. Introduction

Lumbar degenerative disease is a chronic pathology of the lumbar intervertebral disks or vertebral bodies, often presenting in elderly individuals and exacerbating with advanced age.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Oftentimes, degenerative disease of the lumbar intervertebral disks occurs due to reduced water content, collagen distribution, and proteoglycan concentration. The resulting degeneration may be visualized as hypointense signals on T2-weighted magnetic resonance imaging.<sup>[3]</sup> Disruption of the architecture of lumbar intervertebral disks following degenerative changes poses a high risk for disk herniation, which may impinge on adjacent nerve roots leading to neuropathy and pain. Roughly 90% of lumbar disk herniations and nerve compressions occur between L4-L5 and L5-S1, which may result in radiculopathy in the areas of corresponding dermatomes in the lower limbs.<sup>[4,5]</sup>

Although age-related degenerative diseases of the lumbar spine are well documented in the literature,<sup>[6–8]</sup> demographic predictors of poor patient outcomes, including race, insurance type, sex, and hospital status, have yet to be thoroughly evaluated. This study utilizes 5 years of a national United States administrative hospital database to query all patients diagnosed with lumbar degenerative disease, their relevant demographics, and their inpatient complication and management profiles. Through a set of multivariate analyses, the authors aim to identify demographic predictors of poor patient outcomes within the patient cohort. Such an understanding would undoubtedly aid in patient triage and risk stratification prior to surgical intervention and assist surgeons in developing proper follow up strategies depending on patient demographics and risks.

## 2. Materials and methods

Data were obtained from the National Inpatient Sample (NIS), a Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project database which includes a 20% sample of discharges from Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project-participating hospitals; this amounts to over 7 million discharges per year. This study was exempt from institutional review board approval due to the de-identified nature of this database. This study includes data from 2010 to 2014. International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision, Clinical Modification codes were used to identify all patients in the NIS with a primary diagnosis of a degenerative condition of the lumbar spine between 2010 and 2014. This includes diagnoses of lumbar or lumbosacral intervertebral disc disorder with or without myelopathy (722.1, 722.52, 722.73), spondylolysis of the lumbosacral region (756.11), lumbago (724.6), and other unspecified disc disorders of the lumbar region (724.2). A total of 256,859 patients were identified and were examined for 1 of 3 surgical outcomes: decompression alone (3, 3.09, 80.5, 80.51), simple fusion involving 3 or less vertebral levels (81, 81.04, 81.05, 81.06, 81.07, 81.08, 81.62), and complex fusion involving greater than 3 vertebral levels or a 360 degree spinal fusion (81.61, 81.63, 81.64).

The patients were stratified by different demographic variables including age, sex, race, primary insurance, hospital teaching status, and geographic region. In addition, patients were stratified both by comorbidity status using the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) as well as individual diagnoses such as spinal deformity (scoliosis, kyphosis, and lordosis), congestive heart failure, cardiac arrhythmias, pulmonary circulatory disorders, hypertension, paralysis, neurological disorders, renal failure, liver disease, coagulopathy, and fluid and electrolyte disorders.

Surgical patients were assessed for various complications including implant-related complications, wound-related complications, incidental durotomy, laceration or puncture, hemorrhage, bacteremia, postoperative infection, postoperative shock, myocardial infarction, iatrogenic stroke, neurologic complications, venous thromboembolism, urinary complications, and death.

A series of multivariate Poisson regression analyses was used to determine if any individual demographic variable predicted a surgical outcome such as the odds of receiving any surgery (decompression or fusion) or the odds of receiving a fusion in particular. Furthermore, a series of regressions was used to determine if any individual demographic variable as well as any particular type of operation (decompression, simple fusion, or complex fusion) predicted surgical complications, including the need for a revision operation. Lastly, multivariate regressions were used to assess how comorbidity status, including both Charlson indices and individual diagnoses, predicted surgical mortality. All multivariate regression models were controlled for age, sex, primary insurance type, median household income, geographic region, hospital teaching status, comorbidity status, and additional variables displayed in the Results section tables. Multivariate analyses were presented as odds ratios, with corresponding 95% confidence intervals and *P* values; [Odds Ratio (OR) (95% confidence interval), *P* < x]. Given the cohort sample size and the series of multivariate analyses, a *P* value < .05 was used to determine significance. Data extraction, analyses, and statistical tests were done with Stata version 11.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) and RStudio version 3.5.1 (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Demographics

A total of 256,859 patients were identified for analysis. Within this cohort, 221,407 (86.2%) received surgical intervention when analyzing all hospital locations. Of these, 35.7% were decompression operations, 45.1% were simple lumbar fusion operations, and 5.4% were complex fusion operations. The average age within the cohort was  $58.2 \pm 15.6$  years with 51.0% being female and an average CCI of  $0.62 \pm 1.02$ . With respect to race, 81.6% of patients were White, 7.7% of patients were Black, 6.5% of patients were Hispanic, and 1.1% of patients were Asian/Pacific Islander (Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content, <http://links.lww.com/MD/G654>). Within the entire cohort, 41.2% of patients had private insurance, 41.3% had Medicare, and 6.1% had Medicaid. A minority of patients (5.5%) were admitted to rural hospitals, while 43.2% of patients were admitted to urban non-teaching hospitals and 51.5% of patients were admitted to urban teaching hospitals. Similarly, the hospital geographic distribution included 19.4% in the Northeast, 41.5% in the South, 19.2% in the Midwest, and 19.7% in the West. Lastly, the average mortality rate within all patients included in this study was found to be 0.10% (Table 1).

### 3.2. Surgical vs conservative management

After adjusting for age, CCI, and median household income, female patients had lower odds of receiving surgery than male patients (OR = 0.79; 95% CI = 0.77–0.81; *P* < .001) as shown in Table S2, Supplemental Digital Content, <http://links.lww.com/MD/G655>. In addition, Black patients (OR = 0.51; 95% CI =

**Table 1**  
**Characteristics of patients with a primary degenerative condition of the lumbar spine from 2010 to 2014 in the National Inpatient Sample\***

Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Total patients	57,786	57,645	50,519	46,201	44,708
Total operations (%)	49,417 (85.5)	49,813 (86.4)	43,553 (86.2)	40,120 (86.8)	38,504 (86.1)
% decompression	37.0	38.0	36.8	34.5	31.3
% simple fusion	43.3	43.3	44.3	46.5	49.2
% complex fusion	5.3	5.1	5.1	5.8	5.9
Female (%)	51.5	51.0	51.1	50.8	50.5
Mean age (SD*)	58 (15.9)	58 (15.7)	57.9 (15.7)	58.4 (15.5)	58.9 (15.2)
Age categories (%)					
Age: 1–17	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3
Age: 18–44	21.4	21.1	21.1	19.8	18.6
Age: 45–64	40.8	41.0	41.0	41.1	40.9
Age: 65–84	34.3	34.5	34.5	36.0	37.3
Age: 85+	3.2	3.1	3.1	2.9	3.0
Race					
White (%)	82.9	81.7	81.3	81	80.5
Black (%)	7.7	7.5	7.6	7.9	8.1
Hispanic (%)	5.4	7.2	6.5	6.7	6.9
Asian/Pacific Islander (%)	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.4
Other (%)	2.5	2.3	3	2.7	2.7
Primary insurance					
Private (%)	42.6	42	41.3	40.2	39.3
Medicare (%)	40.1	40.6	40.9	42.2	43.2
Medicaid (%)	5.6	5.4	6.2	6.0	7.5
Hospital teaching status					
Rural	8.3	4.6	5.2	5.0	4.0
Urban, non-teaching	50.0	47.4	43.4	42.6	29.4
Urban, teaching	42.1	48	51.4	52.4	67.6
Geographic region					
Northeast (%)	18.4	18.5	20.1	20.3	20.2
South (%)	45.1	43.4	38.4	39.5	39.7
Midwest (%)	17.7	17.3	21.0	20.5	20.3
West (%)	18.8	20.1	20.4	19.8	19.7
Death (%)	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.09
Mean Charlson Comorbidity Index score (SD)	0.59 (0.99)	0.59 (0.99)	0.61 (1.02)	0.64 (1.06)	0.66 (1.07)

SD = standard deviation.

\* Unweighted data, national estimates not provided.

0.49–0.53;  $P < .001$ ), Hispanic patients (OR=0.53; 95% CI=0.50–0.55;  $P < .001$ ), and Asian/Pacific Islander patients (OR=0.77; 95% CI=0.69–0.85;  $P < .001$ ) all had lower odds of receiving surgical treatment compared to white patients. Similar trends were also found with regards to insurance type, with Medicare (OR=0.75; 95% CI=0.72–0.77;  $P < .001$ ) and Medicaid (OR=0.37; 95% CI=0.35–0.38;  $P < .001$ ) patients receiving surgical treatment at a significantly lower rate compared to patients with private insurance. Lastly, patients treated at urban non-teaching (OR=1.76; 95% CI=1.68–1.85;  $P < .001$ ) and urban teaching (OR=2.45; 95% CI=2.34–2.57;  $P < .001$ ) hospitals were found to have higher odds of receiving surgery compared to patients at rural hospitals, and patients admitted to hospitals in the Midwest (OR=1.21; 95% CI=1.17–1.25;  $P < .001$ ), South (OR=1.77; 95% CI=1.71–1.83;  $P < .001$ ), and West (OR=1.75; 95% CI=1.68–1.81;  $P < .001$ ) had a higher odds of receiving surgical treatment compared to those treated in the Northeast (Table 2). Several variables were also associated with receiving fusion specifically in contrast to decompression (Table S3, Supplemental Digital Content, <http://links.lww.com/MD/G656>).

### 3.3. Complications in patients treated with surgery

Within all patients, 1.1% had implant-related complications, 0.2% had wound-related complications, 4.2% reported incidental durotomy, 0.3% reported laceration of a non-target structure, 8.6% experienced hemorrhage, hematoma, or seroma, 0.2% had septicemia, 0.1% experienced postoperative infection, 0.02% experienced postoperative shock, 0.2% experienced myocardial infarction, 0.03% experienced stroke, 0.5% reported neurologic complications, 0.3% experienced venous thromboembolism, 2.7% developed urinary complications, and 0.08% died. The total complication rate, including any of the aforementioned complications, was 16.1% within all patients (Table 3).

Models capable of predicting whether patients developed 1 or more complications showed that patients who received simple (OR=1.74; 95% CI=1.70–1.79;  $P < .001$ ) or complex (OR=4.08; 95% CI=3.91–4.25;  $P < .001$ ) lumbar fusion had higher odds of developing a complication compared to those who received decompression surgery. Although females were found to have a higher odd of experiencing acute postsurgical complications (OR=1.33; 95% CI=1.30–1.36;  $P < .001$ ) compared to males, no significant difference in complication rates was found

**Table 2**  
Odds ratio for receiving surgery in patients with a primary degenerative condition of the lumbar spine – multivariable Poisson regression, NIS data, 2010 to 2014\*.

Demographic	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	P
Gender			
Male	Reference		
Female	0.79	0.77, 0.81	<i>P</i> < .001
Race			
White	Reference		
Black	0.51	0.49, 0.53	<i>P</i> < .001
Hispanic	0.53	0.50, 0.55	<i>P</i> < .001
Asian/Pacific Islander	0.77	0.69, 0.85	<i>P</i> < .001
Other	0.88	0.82, 0.95	<i>P</i> = .001
Primary insurance			
Private	Reference		
Medicare	0.75	0.72, 0.77	<i>P</i> < .001
Medicaid	0.37	0.35, 0.38	<i>P</i> < .001
Hospital teaching status			
Rural	Reference		
Urban, non-teaching	1.76	1.68, 1.85	<i>P</i> < .001
Urban, teaching	2.45	2.34, 2.57	<i>P</i> < .001
Geographic region			
Northeast	Reference		
Midwest	1.21	1.17, 1.25	<i>P</i> < .001
South	1.77	1.71, 1.83	<i>P</i> < .001
West	1.75	1.68, 1.81	<i>P</i> < .001
Year			
2010	Reference		
2011	1.05	1.01, 1.08	<i>P</i> = .013
2012	1.07	1.03, 1.10	<i>P</i> < .001
2013	1.15	1.10, 1.19	<i>P</i> < .001
2014	1.04	0.98, 1.08	<i>P</i> = .07
Spinal deformity			
None	Reference		
Scoliosis, kyphosis, lordosis	1.92	1.80, 2.04	<i>P</i> < .001

NIS = National Inpatient Sample.

\* Also adjusted for age, Charlson comorbidity status, and median household income.

across patients of different racial groups. Furthermore, insurance status (*P* < .001), hospital teaching status (*P* < .03), and geography (*P* < .001) were found to significantly predict postoperative complication rates (Table 4).

Lastly, models were developed to predict mortality in patients surgically treated for lumbar degenerative disease. Patients treated with simple and complex fusion procedures were found to die at a higher rate compared to those treated with decompression surgery (*P* < .001). Patient comorbidities and CCI scores were also found to independently predict mortality within patients receiving surgical treatment (Table 5).

#### 4. Discussion

In this study, the authors conducted a 5-year retrospective analysis of demographic predictors of patient management and complications in a large sample of patients diagnosed with lumbar degenerative disease. Multivariate predictive modelling allowed the study to control for patient-specific confounding variables, and the findings suggest that sex, race, insurance status, hospital type, and geography may influence whether patients receive surgical vs conservative treatment and develop postoperative complications. Furthermore, additional multivariate models

**Table 3**  
Complication rates in surgical patients with a primary degenerative condition of the lumbar spine from 2010 to 2014 in the National Inpatient Sample\*.

Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Total patients (N)	49,417	49,813	43,553	40,120	38,504
Complication rates					
Total complication rate* (%)	15.7	16.6	15.7	16.2	16.4
% implant-related complication	1.09	1.07	1.02	1.13	1.11
% wound-related complication	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.17	0.18
% incidental durotomy	4.19	4.28	4.11	4.14	4.20
% Laceration/puncture (vessel, nerve, organ)	0.46	0.33	0.31	0.23	0.28
% hemorrhage/hematoma/seroma	7.89	9.11	8.48	8.73	9.04
% bacteremia/septicemia	0.17	0.22	0.19	0.21	0.19
% postoperative infection	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.12
% postoperative shock	0.06	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00
% myocardial infarction	0.20	0.22	0.15	0.17	0.18
% iatrogenic stroke	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.00
% neurologic complication	0.58	0.56	0.50	0.54	0.46
% venous thromboembolism*	0.33	0.33	0.38	0.34	0.35
% urinary complication**	2.80	2.79	2.53	2.55	2.52
Death (%)	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.07

\* Total complication rate excluding death. Venous thromboembolism includes diagnostic codes for pulmonary embolism and thromboembolism in deep vessels of the lower extremities.

\*\* Urinary complication includes diagnostic codes for UTI and unspecified urinary complication. UTI = Urinary Tract Infection.

were created to evaluate patient factors that predict mortality which found that procedure type, individual medical comorbidities, and CCI scoring may accurately predict inpatient mortality within our cohort.

Overall during the timeframe of this study, it appears that rate of inpatient stays was decreasing from 2010 to 2014 (57,786 and 44,708 patients, respectively). This could potentially be the result of an increasing number of patients being treated through more conservative means such as spinal cord stimulators, epidural injections, or other forms of non-operative treatment.<sup>[9,10]</sup> In addition, the advent of outpatient spinal surgery for degenerative diseases such as the increasing use of outpatient decompression and fusion surgeries could also contribute to this decreasing rate of inpatient stays.<sup>[11]</sup>

Prior studies have demonstrated that patient demographics are associated with postoperative outcomes in a variety of spinal procedures. A study conducted by Triebel et al<sup>[12]</sup> in 2017 found that Swedish women who received lumbar fusion surgery for degenerative disk disease had outcomes comparable to those of men, and showed no significant difference in quality of life and return to work with 2 years of follow up. Conversely, Kim et al<sup>[13]</sup> reported that females may receive surgical treatment of lumbar degenerative disease less frequently than males when patient management is approached with a preference-based, shared decision-making process, similar to the findings described in this paper. This hypothesis is further bolstered by a recent systematic review by MacLean et al<sup>[14]</sup> which explains that females have worse absolute pain, quality of life, and disability following surgical treatment of lumbar degenerative disease compared to males. As such, conflicting hypotheses in the literature make it difficult to ascertain the role of sex on patient outcomes in those with lumbar degenerative disease. To the authors' knowledge, this study is the largest study that evaluates the role of demographics in predicting patient outcomes within the context

**Table 4**  
Odds ratio for surgical complication\* in patients with a primary degenerative lumbar condition – multivariable Poisson regression, NIS data, 2010 to 2014\*.

Demographic	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P
Surgery type			
Decompression	Reference		
Simple fusion (2–3 levels)	1.74	1.70, 1.79	<i>P</i> < .001
Complex fusion (4+ levels)	4.08	3.91, 4.25	<i>P</i> < .001
Gender			
Male	Reference		
Female	1.33	1.30, 1.36	<i>P</i> < .001
Race			
White	Reference		
Black	1.15	1.10, 1.21	<i>P</i> < .001
Hispanic	0.97	0.97, 1.02	<i>P</i> = .236
Asian/Pacific Islander	1.04	0.93, 1.16	<i>P</i> = .493
Other	1.05	0.97, 1.07	<i>P</i> = .227
Primary insurance			
Private	Reference		
Medicare	1.11	1.07, 1.14	<i>P</i> < .001
Medicaid	1.15	1.08, 1.21	<i>P</i> < .001
Hospital teaching status			
Rural	Reference		
Urban, non-teaching	1.07	1.01, 1.13	<i>P</i> = .027
Urban, teaching	1.32	1.24, 1.40	<i>P</i> < .001
Geographic region			
Northeast	Reference		
Midwest	1.19	1.14, 1.24	<i>P</i> < .001
South	1.07	1.03, 1.11	<i>P</i> < .001
West	1.20	1.16, 1.25	<i>P</i> < .001
Spinal deformity			
None	Reference		
Scoliosis, kyphosis, lordosis	1.43	1.37, 1.49	<i>P</i> < .001

Also adjusted for age, Charlson comorbidity status, and median household income. NIS = National Inpatient Sample; HTN = Hypertension.  
\* One or more surgical complications listed in Table 3, excluding death.

of lumbar degenerative disease. Such large patient numbers increase the power of the study and help establish the predictive capabilities of demographics in patient care.

Additionally, there currently exists a limited and conflicting body of literature evaluating race in the context of spinal pathology and surgery. The Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial found that White patients were significantly more likely to receive surgical treatment of spinal pathologies compared to Black patients, and this finding is further supported by the results of this multivariate analysis.<sup>[15]</sup> Similar trends, in which Black patients have a higher rate of morbidity and mortality compared to patients of other races, have also been demonstrated within anterior cervical spine surgery, spinal fusion procedures, and spinal cord tumor resection procedures.<sup>[15–18]</sup> However, similar analyses of race as a risk factor in spine surgery is limited for patients of Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islander races. In this study, the authors have a large enough patient cohort to develop multivariate models for patients who identify with several racial groups, with corresponding odds ratios and risk calculations.

Additionally, patient insurance type, hospital teaching status, and geography was found to be associated with treatments and outcomes. Over the timeframe of this database analysis, there was a decreasing rate of patients receiving treatment at rural and urban non-teaching status while an increasing rate of treatment

**Table 5**  
Odds ratio of increasing mortality in surgical patients with a primary degenerative condition of the lumbar spine – multivariable Poisson regression, NIS data, 2010 to 2014\*.

Demographic	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P
Surgery type			
Decompression	Reference		
Simple fusion (2–3 levels)	2.07	1.42, 3.07	<i>P</i> < .001
Complex Fusion (4+ levels)	2.75	1.65, 4.55	<i>P</i> < .001
Comorbidity			
Congestive heart failure	2.79	1.77, 4.30	<i>P</i> < .001
Cardiac arrhythmias	2.90	2.03, 4.10	<i>P</i> < .001
Pulmonary circulatory disorder	9.21	5.33, 15.46	<i>P</i> < .001
HTN, uncomplicated	0.59	0.41, 0.83	<i>P</i> = .003
Paralysis	2.86	1.54, 4.95	<i>P</i> < .001
Other neurological disorder	6.34	4.31, 9.15	<i>P</i> < .001
Renal failure	3.70	1.71, 7.40	<i>P</i> < .001
Liver disease	7.99	4.58, 13.31	<i>P</i> < .001
Coagulopathy	3.41	2.15, 5.26	<i>P</i> < .001
Fluid & electrolyte disorder	6.02	4.31, 8.41	<i>P</i> < .001
Comorbidity status			
Charlson Score 0	Reference		
Charlson Score 1	2.29	1.57, 3.34	<i>P</i> < .001
Charlson Score 2	2.26	1.31, 3.75	<i>P</i> = .002
Charlson Score 3	5.98	3.40, 10.11	<i>P</i> < .001
Charlson Score 4	11.89	6.36, 21.00	<i>P</i> < .001
Charlson Score 5	19.08	8.23, 38.87	<i>P</i> < .001
Charlson Score 6 or greater	7.67	1.24, 25.07	<i>P</i> = .005

HTN = Hypertension, NIS = National Inpatient Sample.

\* Also adjusted for age, insurance type, race, median household income, hospital region, and coexisting spinal deformity.

was found in hospitals with urban teaching status. This could be the result of more patients preferring to be treated by specialists located in large academic centers over time. It could also be that patient in more rural environments, or patients with less severe disease opted to be treated in outpatient centers. Nevertheless, it comes as no surprise that large urban teaching institutions operate more frequently on older patients with additional comorbidities than small rural hospitals. As a result, they often encounter higher rates of postoperative complications.<sup>[19,20]</sup> Comparably, patients with private insurance have a broader set of options with regards to hospital reputation and geography prior to receiving elective surgery and may even receive spine surgery sooner than patients with Medicare or Medicaid.<sup>[21–23]</sup> As a result, these patients may exhibit better postoperative outcomes and receive surgical treatment more frequently than their counterparts.

No doubt, demographic-based health disparities in lumbar degenerative disease originate from a wide array of contributing factors. First, implicit biases within physician populations may influence the rate at which surgical treatments are afforded to minority populations.<sup>[24,25]</sup> Second, variations in access to care, including financial, educational, and geographic barriers, may influence the rate at which certain populations seek medical care and the severity of their conditions at the time of elective surgery.<sup>[26–31]</sup> Lastly, differences in attitudes toward surgical care among those of different racial or ethnic groups may influence rates of surgical treatment for lumbar degenerative diseases.<sup>[32]</sup>

It is interesting to note that the number of patients within the ages of 1 to 17 ranged from 0.2% to 0.3% across all years of the study as having degenerative disease. Most pediatric spinal

surgery is performed due to deformity such as scoliosis or kyphosis. In addition, trauma and cancer are also prominent causes while surgery for degenerative changes is less reported.<sup>[33]</sup> Indeed, a previous database analysis of 12,000 found approximately a 0.2% rate of lumbar disc diseases in pediatric patients.<sup>[34]</sup> In addition, 1 retrospective series had patients undergoing fusion for reported degenerative changes that they suspected were due to structural malformation of the spine.<sup>[35]</sup> Nevertheless, pediatric degenerative changes appear to be not as common as in adults which is further evidenced by this study.

Finally, fusion was associated with increased mortality when compared with compression only. Previous retrospective studies and randomized controlled trials directly comparing decompression with fusion surgeries do not appreciate any differences in mortality profiles. However, these studies have low sample sizes <100.<sup>[36–38]</sup> One hypothesis for this increased in mortality with fusion is that patients requiring these surgeries were unhealthier overall, and thus had increased mortality when compared to decompression patients. Indications for fusion include traumatic causes, infection, tumor, and spinal disease causing instability, which may not be present in patients undergoing only decompression.<sup>[39]</sup>

#### 4.1. Limitations

This study has many limitations. This study has the inherent limitations of a retrospective cohort analysis. Namely, the conclusions drawn in this paper are subject to the quantity and quality of patient records included in the NIS database. The data used for analysis come from a narrow window of time and includes data from 2010 to 2014. However, this time period was selected to reduce the risk of confounding due to the mandatory transition to ICD-10 coding in 2015. Given the quality of patient records in the database it is hard to ascertain how many of these surgeries were strongly indicated – a decision to undergo surgery is subject to the individual variation of both patient and provider. Many patient variables that could influence whether or not a patient has surgery, in addition to complications after surgery, were unable to be retrieved and taken into consideration in this analysis. These include whether the patient had minimally invasive surgery, previous treatments, whether the patient had osteoporosis and also patient body mass index. Total case volume of both the hospital and surgeon were also variables that were unable to be accounted for in this study. The indication for surgical treatment likely was also heterogeneous and varied from surgeon to surgeon. The NIS transitioned to a different sampling strategy in 2012 and was thus made-up of different contributing hospitals. This could have contributed to some of the year-to-year differences in rates; our study thus did not specifically analyze changes in surgical management our complications across time.

Indeed, this database also only included data of inpatient hospital stays only, thus much of the data of patients treated conservatively without treatment or those who had decompression surgery on an outpatient basis were not retrieved. This could introduce selection bias for patients with more severe disease likely increasing the amount of patients undergoing surgery in our sample compared to the general population.

## 5. Conclusion

Lumbar degenerative disease continues to affect a significant proportion of individuals in advanced ages, and modern

treatment strategies involve surgery and conservative management. Demographics, including sex, race, geography, hospital teaching status, and insurance type are associated with how patient lumbar pathologies are managed and frequency of postoperative complications. This knowledge can be used in pre-operative counseling of patients who are deciding on surgery and the associated risks. Additionally, a deeper understanding of how surgical management and treatment outcomes differ based on demographics can better inform health policy decisions. This knowledge can inform health policies which educate surgeons and establish more equity across different demographic groups. Further longitudinal research is necessary to fully understand the influence of patient demographics on surgical management and postoperative complication rates in patients diagnosed with lumbar degenerative disease.

## Author contributions

**Conceptualization:** Omar M. Al Jammal, Shane Shahrestani, Martin H. Pham.

**Data curation:** Arash Delavar, Nolan J. Brown.

**Formal analysis:** Omar M. Al Jammal.

**Investigation:** Brian V. Lien.

**Methodology:** Shane Shahrestani.

**Project administration:** Julian Gendreau, Martin H. Pham.

**Supervision:** Martin H. Pham.

**Validation:** Martin H. Pham.

**Writing – original draft:** Omar M. Al Jammal.

**Writing – review & editing:** Shane Shahrestani, Arash Delavar, Nolan J. Brown, Julian Gendreau, Brian V. Lien, Ronald Sahyouni, Luis Daniel Diaz-Aguilar, Omar S. Shalakhti, Martin H. Pham.

## References

- [1] Hart RA, Prendergast MA. Spine surgery for lumbar degenerative disease in elderly and osteoporotic patients. *Instr Course Lect* 2007;56:257–72.
- [2] Paul CPL, Emanuel KS, Kingma I, et al. Changes in intervertebral disk mechanical behavior during early degeneration. *J Biomech Eng* 2018;140:
- [3] Yu LP, Qian WW, Yin GY, Ren YX, Hu ZY. MRI assessment of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration with lumbar degenerative disease using the Pfirrmann grading systems. *PLoS One* 2012;7:48074.
- [4] Donnally CJIII, Hanna A, Varacallo M. *Lumbar Degenerative Disk Disease*. Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls Publishing; 2020.
- [5] Mostofi K, Gharai Moghaddam B, Karimi Khouzan R, Daryabin M. The reliability of LERT's sign in L4 and L3 radiculalgia. *J Clin Neurosci* 2018;50:102–4.
- [6] Deyo RA, Cherkin DC, Loeser JD, Bigos SJ, Ciol MA. Morbidity and mortality in association with operations on the lumbar spine. The influence of age, diagnosis, and procedure. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1992;74:536–43.
- [7] Ragab AA, Fye MA, Bohlman HH. Surgery of the lumbar spine for spinal stenosis in 118 patients 70 years of age or older. *Spine* 2003;28:348–53.
- [8] Glassman SD, Carreon LY, Djurasovic M, et al. RhBMP-2 versus iliac crest bone graft for lumbar spine fusion: a randomized, controlled trial in patients over sixty years of age. *Spine* 2008;33:2843–9.
- [9] Manchikanti L, Pampati V, Vangala BP, et al. Spinal cord stimulation trends of utilization and expenditures in fee-for-service (FFS) Medicare population from 2009 to 2018. *Pain Physician* 2021;24:293–308.
- [10] Cohen SP, Doshi TL, Kurihara C, et al. Multicenter study evaluating factors associated with treatment outcome for low back pain injections. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2022;47:89–99.
- [11] Beschloss A, Ishmael T, Dicindio C, et al. The expanding frontier of outpatient spine surgery. *Int J Spine Surg* 2021;15:266–73.
- [12] Triebel J, Snellman G, Sandén B, Strömqvist F, Robinson Y. Women do not fare worse than men after lumbar fusion surgery: two-year follow-up results from 4,780 prospectively collected patients in the Swedish

- National Spine Register with lumbar degenerative disc disease and chronic low back pain. *Spine J* 2017;17:656–62.
- [13] Kim HJ, Park JY, Kang KT, Chang BS, Lee CK, Yeom JS. Factors influencing the surgical decision for the treatment of degenerative lumbar stenosis in a preference-based shared decision-making process. *Eur Spine J* 2015;24:339–47.
- [14] MacLean MA, Touchette CJ, Han JH, Christie SD, Pickett GE. Gender differences in the surgical management of lumbar degenerative disease: a scoping review. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2020;1–18.
- [15] Schoenfeld AJ, Lurie JD, Zhao W, Bono CM. The effect of race on outcomes of surgical or nonsurgical treatment of patients in the Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial (SPORT). *Spine* 2012;37:1505–15.
- [16] Alosch H, Riley LH, Skolasky RL. Insurance status, geography, race, and ethnicity as predictors of anterior cervical spine surgery rates and in-hospital mortality: an examination of United States trends from 1992 to 2005. *Spine* 2009;34:1956–62.
- [17] Cahill KS, Chi JH, Day A, Claus EB. Prevalence, complications, and hospital charges associated with use of bone-morphogenetic proteins in spinal fusion procedures. *JAMA* 2009;302:58–66.
- [18] Patil CG, Patil TS, Lad SP, Boakye M. Complications and outcomes after spinal cord tumor resection in the United States from 1993 to 2002. *Spinal Cord* 2008;46:375–9.
- [19] Nandyala SV, Marquez-Lara A, Fineberg SJ, Hassanzadeh H, Singh K. Complications after lumbar spine surgery between teaching and nonteaching hospitals. *Spine* 2014;39:417–23.
- [20] Fineberg SJ, Oglesby M, Patel AA, Pelton MA, Singh K. Outcomes of cervical spine surgery in teaching and non-teaching hospitals. *Spine* 2013;38:1089–96.
- [21] Demetriades AK. Socioeconomic status, insurance status, and outcomes in spinal surgery. *Spine* 2020;45:E974.
- [22] Gu CN, Brinjikji W, El-Sayed AM, Cloft H, McDonald JS, Kallmes DF. Racial and health insurance disparities of inpatient spine augmentation for osteoporotic vertebral fractures from 2005 to 2010. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2014;35:2397–402.
- [23] Tanenbaum JE, Alentado VJ, Miller JA, Lubelski D, Benzel EC, Mroz TE. Association between insurance status and patient safety in the lumbar spine fusion population. *Spine J* 2017;17:338–45.
- [24] FitzGerald C, Hurst S. Implicit bias in healthcare professionals: a systematic review. *BMC Med Ethics* 2017;18:19.
- [25] Santry HP, Wren SM. The role of unconscious bias in surgical safety and outcomes. *Surg Clin North Am* 2012;92:137–51.
- [26] Weinstein JN, Bronner KK, Morgan TS, Wennberg JE. Trends and geographic variations in major surgery for degenerative diseases of the hip, knee, and spine: is there a roadmap for change? *Health Aff* 2004;23:81–9.
- [27] Brodke DS, Goz V, Rane A, Abtahi AM, Lawrence BD, Spiker WR. Geographic variations in the cost of spine surgery. *Spine J* 2015;15:211–2.
- [28] Goz V, Rane A, Abtahi AM, Lawrence BD, Brodke DS, Spiker WR. Geographic variations in the cost of spine surgery. *Spine* 2015;40:1380–9.
- [29] Reiter K. A look at best practices for patient education in outpatient spine surgery. *AORN J* 2014;99:376–84.
- [30] York PJ, Gang CH, Qureshi SA. Patient education in an ambulatory surgical center setting. *J Spine Surg* 2019;5:206–11.
- [31] Kaye ID, David Kaye I, Wagner SC, et al. Financial considerations in outpatient spine surgery. *Semin Spine Surg* 2018;30:173–7.
- [32] Khoury A, Mendoza A, Charles A. Cultural Competence: Why surgeons should care. 2020. 2012. Available at: <https://bulletin.facs.org/2012/03/cultural-competence-why-surgeons-should-care/>. Accessed August 11, 2020.
- [33] Fu KMG, Smith JS, Polly DW, et al. Morbidity and mortality associated with spinal surgery in children: a review of the Scoliosis Research Society morbidity and mortality database. *J Neurosurg Pediatr* 2011;7:37–41.
- [34] Zitting P, Rantakallio P, Vanharanta H. Cumulative incidence of lumbar disc diseases leading to hospitalization up to the age of 28 years. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1998;23:2337–43.
- [35] Dang L, Chen Z, Liu X, et al. Lumbar disk herniation in children and adolescents: the significance of configurations of the lumbar spine. *Neurosurgery* 2015;77:954–9.
- [36] Miyahara J, Yoshida Y, Nishizawa M, et al. Treatment of restenosis after lumbar decompression surgery: decompression versus decompression and fusion. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2022;1–8.
- [37] de Oliveira IO, Lenza M, Antonioli E, Ferretti M. Lumbar decompression versus spinal fusion in a private outpatient setting: a retrospective study with three years of follow-up. *Rev Bras Ortop (Sao Paulo)* 2021;56:766–71.
- [38] Azizpour K, Schutte P, Arts MP, et al. Decompression alone versus decompression and instrumented fusion for the treatment of isthmic spondylolisthesis: a randomized controlled trial. *J Neurosurg Spine* 2021;20:1–11.
- [39] Mobbs RJ, Phan K, Malham G, Seex K, Rao PJ. Lumbar interbody fusion: techniques, indications and comparison of interbody fusion options including PLIF, TLIF, MI-TLIF, OLIF/ATP, LLIF and ALIF. *J Spine Surg* 2015;1:2–18.