UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Modulation of inherent dynamical tendencies of the bisabolyl cation via preorganization in epi -isozizaene synthase

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5kz563ww>

Journal Chemical Science, 6(4)

ISSN 2041-6520

Authors

Pemberton, Ryan P Ho, Krystina C Tantillo, Dean J

Publication Date

2015-04-01

DOI

10.1039/c4sc03782k

Peer reviewed

Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 2347

Received 7th December 2014 Accepted 30th January 2015 DOI: 10.1039/c4sc03782k www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

Modulation of inherent dynamical tendencies of the bisabolyl cation via preorganization in epiisozizaene synthase†

Ryan P. Pemberton, Krystina C. Ho and Dean J. Tantillo*

The relative importance of preorganization, selective transition state stabilization and inherent reactivity are assessed through quantum chemical and docking calculations for a sesquiterpene synthase (epi-isozizaene synthase, EIZS). Inherent reactivity of the bisabolyl cation, both static and dynamic, appears to determine the pathway to product, although preorganization and selective binding of the final transition state structure in the multi-step carbocation cascade that forms epi-isozizaene appear to play important roles.

Introduction

Many factors have been proposed as contributors to selectivity control in terpene synthases, $e.g.,$ reactant preorganization, $1-9$ geometric constraints imposed by the enzyme active site (not only on the reactant but on reactive species generated from it),3,10–¹⁵ selective oriented intermolecular interactions (primarily $\pi \cdots \pi$, C–H $\cdots \pi$ and C–H \cdots lone pair) with

Fig. 1 Proposed steps involved in epi-isozizane formation:⁹ (I) pyrophosphate removal, (II) pyrophosphate addition, (III) conformational change, (IV) pyrophosphate removal, (V) π -cation cyclization, (VI) 1,2hydride shift, (VII) π -cation cyclization, (VIII) π -cation cyclization, (IX) asynchronous double-alkyl shift, 3 (X) 1,2-methyl shift, 26 (XI) deprotonation. $OPP = pyrophosphate$.

intermediates and transition state structures $(TSSs),^{16,17}$ and inherent reactivity of carbocations generated from the reactant—both in terms of underlying potential energy surfaces (PESs) for carbocation rearrangements and inherent dynamical tendencies.¹⁸–²³ Herein we describe computations that bear directly on the relative importance of all of these factors for a sesquiterpene synthase—epi-isozizaene (7; Fig. 1) synthase (EIZS)—that has received considerable interest from organic chemists and mechanistic enzymologists over the past decade.^{9,24-28} To our knowledge, this is the first report that parses out the relative contributions of these factors for any enzyme (note that inherent dynamical tendencies of a substrate is by far the least studied factor of those described). **EDGE ARTICLE**

Somethics and **Modulation of inherent dynamical tendencies of**

the **bisabolyl cation via preorganization in epi-**

State that the state that is a state to the state of the state of the state of the commun

EIZS catalyzes the polycyclization of an acyclic substrate (farnesyl diphosphate, FPP), into a complex polycycle possessing three stereogenic centers via the 11 steps shown in Fig. 1. These reaction steps are proposed on the basis of previous quantum chemical calculations on reactions of the bisabolyl cation (1).³ Note that step VII is predicted to have a low barrier or no barrier, depending on the conformation of homobisabolyl cation 2, and step IX involves the merging, asynchronously, of two 1,2-alkyl shifts into a concerted process.²⁹⁻³¹ While several conformations of species involved in this pathway were examined previously,³ we have now performed an exhaustive conformational search on the TSS for step VI with an eye toward elucidating the consequences of conformational preorganization on mechanism and selectivity. Relevant conformations were then docked into EIZS to assess the importance of enzyme–substrate interactions.

Results and discussion

Conformational concerns

First, the conformational landscape associated with step VI the step after initial formation of the 6-membered ring that is the hallmark of this branch of sesquiterpene structural space—

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, USA. E-mail: djtantillo@ucdavis.edu

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Movies of representative trajectories, structures of all relevant stationary points, table of electronic and free energies, relative free energies, docking scores, and frequency information for transition state structures. See DOI: 10.1039/c4sc03782k

was examined. The previously reported global minimum of the bisabolyl cation $(1)^3$ was used as a starting point for conformational searching. Using Spartan10,³² the Merck Molecular Force Field (MMFF94)³³ was employed for a systematic search in which torsions about all rotatable bonds were sampled, yielding 86 conformers of 1. These conformers were then optimized in the gas phase at the mPW1PW91/6-31+G(d,p)^{23a,34,35} level of theory using the *Gaussian09* software suite, 36 and the lowest energy minimum was designated 1a. Manual inspection of geometries and free energies led to the removal of duplicate structures, leaving 67 unique conformations. Next, scans of forming and breaking C–H bond distances were performed for each minimum to obtain approximate geometries for the $1 \rightarrow 2$ 1,2-hydride shift TSS. These structures were then fully optimized with mPW1PW91/6-31+G(d,p). This procedure led to 67 unique TSSs covering a range of barriers of 5.6 to 12.9 kcal mol^{-1} (full details in ESI†). These results reveal the magnitude of the conformational problem faced by EIZS, a scenario not unique to this terpene synthase.³⁷ Operation Science

Non-Rychards Article. Published on 02 February 2015. The complete on 18/10/2017 11:10:28. The Creative Common Creative Common Creative Common Creative Common Creative Common Creative Common Creative Com

Each of the 67 TSSs was then docked into EIZS (PDB ID 3KB9) using the Fast Rigid Exhaustive Docking (FRED) program in the Openeye software suite. $38-41$ The pyrophosphate group lost in step IV was considered to be part of the enzyme active site and was held fixed in these docking simulations. Rankings and docking scores (here, reflecting primarily shape complementarity)³⁸⁻⁴⁰ for all TSSs can be found in the ESI,[†] but results for the TSSs that lead to the relative stereochemistry in epi-isozizaene if the reaction proceeds without significant poststep VI conformational changes (TS1b–TS1d, Fig. 2), along with several other representative structures, are shown in Table 1. **TS1b-TS1d** have some of the best docking scores (ranking 2^{nd} -4th), despite not having the lowest free energies of the 67 TSSs; they rank $62nd$, $32nd$, and $65th$, respectively. Note, however, that their predicted free energies are within 6 kcal mol⁻¹ of that of the lowest energy TSS, TS1e. TS1e is not predicted to be among the best suited for docking to the EIZS active site, suggesting that the shape of the active site plays a role in selecting the TSSs for 1,2-hydride shift that have conformations productive for subsequent reactions in the *epi*-isozizaene-forming pathway.

Product selectivity

Each carbocation (1–6) in Fig. 1, if encountered as an intermediate with a significant lifetime, represents a potential source of byproducts for EIZS. epi-Isozizaene is the predominant natural product produced by EIZS from Streptomyces coelicolor;^{9,24,27} at 20 °C, a product ratio of 93 : $5:1:1$ is reported for $7:8:9:10$ (Fig. 3; Table 2; this table also shows product distributions at other temperatures). Although EIZS does not produce a sole product, its selectivity is impressive given the number of

Fig. 2 Docked structures (bottom) of $1 \rightarrow 2$ TSS conformations (top) with the best docking scores (TS1a-d) and the lowest energy $1 \rightarrow 2$ TSS conformation (TS1e). Relative energies and docking scores are shown in Table 1. The carbons between which a bond will form in the $2 \rightarrow 3$ reaction (C6 and C10) are labeled in each TSS. In docked structures, the substrate is blue, protein sidechains are grey, the diphosphate group is red/orange and Mg ions are purple.

Table 1 Docking score (unitless) and free energy rankings of selected conformers for the 67 TSSs that afford the 1,2-hydride shift. Free energies are also shown in kcal mol $^{-1}$ relative to the computed global minimum of the bisabolyl cation, 1a. The volumes listed in the final column can be compared to the 415 $\mathrm{\AA}^3$ volume of the active site

Conformer	Docking score	Docking score ranking	Free energy (rel. to 1a)	Free energy ranking	Volume (\AA^3)	
TS1a	-81.5		7.1	10	334	
TS1b	-79.7		9.0	62	315	
TS1c	-78.2		10.9	32	373	
TS1d	-77.3		11.3	65	327	
TS _{1e}	-64.3	48	5.6		278	

Fig. 3 Natural products produced by EIZS.²⁷

Table 2 First three rows: product distributions (%) for EIZS reported in 2010 ⁹ and 2014.²⁷ Remaining rows: product distributions (%) from dynamics calculations. For EIZS, products correspond to species shown in Fig. 1 and 3 or species derived from deprotonation of indicated carbocations (1, 4, 11). For dynamics calculations (rows with bold TSS labels in first column), products correspond to carbocations or carbocation precursors to neutral species (7–10) and are listed as a percent to allow a direct comparison to experiment; 50 trajectories were generated for each transition state

Experiment	$1\quad 2$	$\overline{3}$	4	7 8 9			10	- 11	- 12	13
EIZS 2010 $(30 °C)$ 1			2	79	9		5.	2		
EIZS 2014 (20 °C)				93	5	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{1}$			
EIZS 2014 (4 °C)				99						
TS ₁ a	16							84		
TS1b	20							80		
TS1c	$\overline{4}$	66	2					28		
TS1d	6	24	20					38	10	2
TS1e	20							80		

potential exit channels from the epi-isozizaene-forming pathway and the inherent conformational flexibility of the species encountered en route to epi-isozizaene.

Dynamical tendencies. To explore whether or not the observed EIZS product distribution corresponded to the inherent dynamical preferences (here not necessarily implying non-transition state theory behavior) of the substrate, direct dynamics simulations^{18-20,42-60} were performed, using Progdyn,⁴⁸ on the five TSS conformations shown in Fig. 2. TS1b, TS1c and TS1d correspond to productive conformations of the TSS with respect to subsequent events en route to *epi*-isozizaene. **TS1a** is a non-productive conformation, despite having the best docking score. TS1e is inherently the lowest energy TSS but was not predicted to dock well (vide supra). Classical trajectories were allowed to propagate using the Verlet algorithm for 2500 fs at 298.15 K with 1 fs time steps. Starting points for dynamics trajectories were generated from a Boltzmann sampling of vibrations.^{58,59} Fifty trajectories were generated for each TSS and the resulting product distributions after 2500 fs are given in

Fig. 4 Carbocations produced during dynamics trajectories.

Table 2. Only trajectories evolving in the epi-isozizaene (7) direction were considered and only a small amount of recrossing was observed. Fig. 4 shows structures of resulting carbocations not covered in Fig. 1.

The product distributions from our dynamics calculations reveal: (1) TSS conformations TS1a, TS1b, and TS1e are not predisposed to proceed along the reaction coordinate towards 7, since trajectories for none passed the homobisabolyl cation (2) or its non-productive cyclization product 11. The inability of TS1a and TS1e to proceed toward product was expected on conformational grounds, while the inability of TS1b to do so was not so certain at the outset. The position of the "free" isoprenyl group in TS1b is apparently far enough away from the 6 membered ring (Fig. 2; note relative position of C6 and C10) that bringing these two groups close enough together for reaction requires intervention. (2) TSS conformations TS1c and TS1d, which differ from each other in the puckering of their cyclohexenyl rings and which display a more productive orientation of their isoprenyl groups than does TS1b (Fig. 2), proceeded readily to the acorenyl cation (3) region and some trajectories made it to the cedryl cation (4) region (a greater number for the more compact TS1e; molecular volumes in Table 1). (3) Dynamics trajectories for no TSSs examined reached the prezizyl (5) or zizyl (6) cation regions. Edge Article

Commission Commissio

These results suggest that conformationally preorganized (in this case, compact) TSSs can proceed directly along the pathway to the cedryl cation (4) region without spending any signicant amount of time near cations 2 or 3, consistent with the absence of byproducts expected to be derived from these two cations in the experimental product distributions. The fact that cations 4 and 11 are observed in our dynamics simulations is consistent with the observation of sesquiterpenes derived from them in the 2010 report on EIZS.⁹ Minor products 9 and 10 would not show up in our dynamics studies, since these would be formed from deprotonation of species preceding the bisabolyl cation on the epi-isozizaene-forming reaction coordinate. The observation of cations 12 and 13 for TS1d is consistent with: (a) products of their deprotonation be formed in very small amounts, (b) their conversion, given more time and/or direct enzymatic intervention, to experimentally detected products, or (c) prevention of their formation by EIZS.

The remainder of our discussion is focused on TS1d, since this TSS appears to best suited for *epi*-isozizaene formation, being the TSS that produced the most trajectories that passed the cation 3 region. For TS1d, approximately half of the trajectories exited the cation 2 region within 500 fs (some proceeding towards 3, others towards 11). Approximately 80% of the trajectories that ultimately reached cation 4 exited the cation 2 region within this first 500 fs, proceeding directly to the cation 4 region.

The free energy surface for conversion of bisabolyl cation 1 to zizyl cation 6 via TS1d (Fig. 5, solid lines) is consistent with dynamics trajectories not passing cedryl cation 4. The following three factors are expected to correlate with whether or not a barrier will "block" a direct trajectory: $43,52$ (1) the height of the barrier, (2) the height of the downslope preceding the barrier, and (3) whether or not the vibrations occurring along the

Chemical Science Edge Article

downslope are coupled to those needed to surpass the barrier. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations $61,62$ connect TS1d (called TS1-3 in Fig. 5) to the acorenyl cation (3), with the homobisabolyl geometry existing on the downslope rather than as a discrete minimum. The subsequent barrier of only 2.2 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1},$ approached from a height of 12.8 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1},$ does not provide a significant impediment to formation of 4. No trajectories surpassed the next free energy barrier, however, which is larger—6.2 kcal mol $^{-1}$ —and approached from a lesser height— 7.4 kcal mol $^{-1}$. Although firm guidelines as to the magnitudes of the downslope height and forward barrier necessary for preventing passage are not yet available, previous work by Carpenter and co-workers showed that a change in downslope height/barrier size from 7.5/3.6 kcal mol $^{-1}$ to 5.1/2.2 kcal mol $^{-1}$ (the result of calculations with two different levels of theory on the same reaction) increased the percentage of direct trajectories.⁴³ With regard to factor 3, Carpenter and co-workers showed, for ring-opening/1,5-hydride shift of $[2.1.0]$ bicyclopentene, that kinetic energy associated with traversing an initial

barrier was only directly accessible to vibrations of the same symmetry in subsequent steps.⁵² It is difficult to determine how much dynamic matching of vibrational modes contributes to the viability of the 4 to 5 reaction (a dyotropic or "double-shift" reaction).3,21,63,64 While formation of both involves stretching/ compressing of the same bond (the C2–C11 bond that is made in forming 4 breaks in forming 5), the imaginary frequency for TS3-4 corresponds primarily to a twisting of the molecule around the C6–C10 bond while that for TS4-5 corresponds primarily to formation of the C3–C11 bond (Fig. 1).

Active site restrictions. To assess the effects of shape selection in enzyme–substrate binding on the energetics of epi-isozizaene formation, we performed automated docking for intermediates and TSSs from Fig. 5 using FRED.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ Docking scores for stationary points involved in zizyl cation (6) formation via TS1d (TS1-3) are shown in Table 3. Two poses were considered for each stationary point: (1) the pose that yielded the best docking score and (2) the best pose that was productive for subsequent reaction without

Fig. 5 Computed mPW1PW91/6-31+G(d,p) free energy profile for formation of 6 via TS1d (here, labeled TS1-3) without conformational changes of intermediates (energies are relative to the global minimum of 1; note that for this series of conformations, 2 is not a minimum), along with productive docking poses (substrate is blue, protein sidechains are grey, the diphosphate group is red/orange, Mg ions are purple, groups involved in bond making/breaking are highlighted in transition state structures). Dotted lines and arrows indicate the qualitative effects of binding to EIZS, estimated based on computed docking scores for productive poses.

Table 3 Docking scores (unitless) for the stationary points described in Fig. 5. Included are the best docking score for each stationary point (column 2) and the docking scores of the poses shown in Fig. 5 (column 3)

Stationary point	Pose with best docking score	Productive pose	Volume (\AA^3)
1	-79.1	Same	377
TS1-3	-77.3	Same	327
3	-77.8	-65.9	315
TS3-4	-72.3	-68.2	313
4	-76.8	-75.9	279
TS4-5	-79.4	Same	273
5	-80.0	Same	270
TS5-6	-84.9	Same	295
6	-78.6	-74.9	303

significant "tumbling" in the active site. The latter was chosen on the basis of similarity to the best pose of TS5-6 (Fig. 5).

Although FRED docking scores are not predicted binding energies,³⁸–⁴⁰ if one assumes that they correlate qualitatively with binding energies then effects on the energetics of epi-isozizaene formation can be assessed. As shown in Fig. 5 (dotted lines; only effects corresponding to differences in docking scores of >0.5 are shown), the first two TSSs following the bisabolyl cation, along with the minima directly following them, are selectively destabilized relative to the bisabolyl cation when considering the best productive pose (also true for the best docked pose). Conversely, the final TSS, leading to the zizyl cation, is selectively stabilized. The net effect of this modulation in relative energies is to promote passage from 4 to 5 to 6. Both the $4 \rightarrow 5$ and $5 \rightarrow 6$ barriers would be lowered by selective complexation, and the height of the downslope leading to 4 would be increased, thereby reducing the lifetime of 4 and perhaps allowing for the direct passage to 5 not observed in our dynamics calculations in the absence of EIZS. The docking approach used scores primarily on the basis of the complementarity of cation and active site shape, 38-40 consistent with the idea that terpene synthase active sites resemble structures occurring later along carbocation cyclization/rearrangement reaction coordinates.⁶⁵ Note also that the substrate volume decreases monotonically from 1 to 5 , but then increases for the final two stationary points (Table 3). Although one can identify specific C-H/ H–C, C–H \cdots and C–H \cdots O contacts in docked structures (see ESI[†]), quantification of their effects on the reaction pathway will require more advanced methods (vide infra). Edge Article

The 3 Dockharacter articles Common Comm

Conclusions

On the basis of our results, avoidance of the previously postulated secondary carbocation between 4 and 5 can be ascribed to the nature of the PES in the absence of the enzyme, $3,24,66$ and avoidance of byproducts derived from putative intermediates between 1 and 4 can be ascribed to inherent dynamical tendencies.⁶⁷ Enzyme-enforced conformational restriction (of reactant and subsequent species) clearly also plays a role in directing the reactant toward TSSs that are productive for epiisozizaene formation and appears also to play a role in

promoting the conversion of 4 to 5 to 6 . That leaves only finetuning to be ascribed to specific intermolecular electrostatic interactions (with OPP and/or active site aromatics) and/or effects of enzyme dynamics (rather than inherent substrate dynamics; tunneling may also play a role³⁷); these issues will be addressed in future quantum mechanical molecular mechanics $(QM/MM)^{68-70}$ and theozyme^{18,71-73} studies on EIZS. Note how little is left to explain; while EIZS clearly plays keys roles in promoting pyrophosphate dissociation and preventing premature quenching of carbocations, we show here that its product distribution can be rationalized in large part on the basis of inherent carbocation reactivity and shape selection.⁷⁴

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (CHE-0957416 and CHE030089 for supercomputing resources via XSEDE) and the United States Department of Education (GAANN fellowship to RPP). We thank Dan Singleton (TAMU) for helpful advice and for providing Progdyn and OpenEye for providing software.

References

- 1 G. Stork and A. W. Burgstahler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1955, 77, 5068–5077.
- 2 A. Eschenmoser, L. Ruzicka, O. Jeger and D. Arigoni, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1955, 38, 1890–1904.
- 3 Y. J. Hong and D. J. Tantillo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 7999–8015.
- 4 Y. J. Hong and D. J. Tantillo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 2450–2463.
- 5 Y. J. Hong and D. J. Tantillo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 18249–18256.
- 6 J. P. Noel, N. Dellas, J. A. Faraldos, M. Zhao, B. A. Hess, L. Smentek, R. M. Coates and P. E. O'Maille, ACS Chem. Biol., 2010, 5, 377–392.
- 7 T. Gaich and P. S. Baran, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 4657–4673.
- 8 R. J. Felix, C. Munro-Leighton and M. R. Gagné, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 2319–2331.
- 9 J. A. Aaron, X. Lin, D. E. Cane and D. W. Christianson, Biochemistry, 2010, 49, 1787–1797.
- 10 Y. J. Hong and D. J. Tantillo, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 1294–1297.
- 11 Y. J. Hong and D. J. Tantillo, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 8877– 8881.
- 12 L. S. Vedula, M. J. Rynkiewicz, H.-J. Pyun, R. M. Coates, D. E. Cane and D. W. Christianson, Biochemistry, 2005, 44, 6153–6163.
- 13 D. E. Cane, G. Yang, R. M. Coates, H. J. Pyun and T. M. Hohn, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 3454–3462.
- 14 P. A. Sigala, D. A. Kraut, J. M. M. Caaveiro, B. Pybus, E. A. Ruben, D. Ringe, G. A. Petsko and D. Herschlag, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 13696–13708.
- 15 A. Warshel, P. K. Sharma, M. Kato, Y. Xiang, H. Liu and M. H. M. Olsson, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 3210–3235.
- 16 L. Pauling, Nature, 1948, 161, 707–709.
- 17 Y. J. Hong and D. J. Tantillo, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 2512–2518.
- 18 R. P. Pemberton and D. J. Tantillo, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 3301– 3308.
- 19 M. R. Siebert, J. Zhang, S. V. Addepalli, D. J. Tantillo and W. L. Hase, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 8335–8343.
- 20 M. R. Siebert, P. Manikandan, R. Sun, D. J. Tantillo and W. L. Hase, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2012, 8, 1212–1222.
- 21 Y. J. Hong and D. J. Tantillo, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 4589–4600.
- 22 R. P. Pemberton, Y. J. Hong and D. J. Tantillo, Pure Appl. Chem., 2013, 85, 1949–1957.
- 23 (a) D. J. Tantillo, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2011, 28, 1035–1053; (b) This manuscript is part 14 of our series on theoretical studies of sesquiterpene forming carbocation rearrangements. For part 13, see: M. Isegawa, S. Maeda, D. J. Tantillo and K. Morokuma, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 1555– 1560.
- 24 X. Lin, R. Hopson and D. E. Cane, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 6022–6023.
- 25 B. Zhao, X. Lin, L. Lei, D. C. Lamb, S. L. Kelly, M. R. Waterman and D. E. Cane, J. Biol. Chem., 2008, 283, 8183–8189.
- 26 X. Lin and D. E. Cane, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 6332– 6333.
- 27 R. Li, W. K. W. Chou, J. A. Himmelberger, K. M. Litwin, G. G. Harris, D. E. Cane and D. W. Christianson, Biochemistry, 2014, 53, 1155–1168.
- 28 Zizaene derivatives are key components in the scent of vetiver: E. Belhassen, N. Baldovini, H. Brevard, U. J. Meierhenrich and J.-J. Filippi, Chem. Biodiversity, 2014, 11, 1821–1842.
- 29 A. Williams, Concerted Organic and Bio-Organic Mechanisms, CRC Press, 2000.
- 30 B. A. Hess, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 10286–10287.
- 31 D. J. Tantillo, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2008, 21, 561–570.
- 32 Y. Shao, L. F. Molnar, Y. Jung, J. Kussmann, C. Ochsenfeld, S. T. Brown, A. T. B. Gilbert, L. V. Slipchenko, S. V. Levchenko, D. P. O'Neill, R. A. DiStasio Jr, R. C. Lochan, T. Wang, G. J. O. Beran, N. A. Besley, J. M. Herbert, C. Yeh Lin, T. Van Voorhis, S. Hung Chien, A. Sodt, R. P. Steele, V. A. Rassolov, P. E. Maslen, P. P. Korambath, R. D. Adamson, B. Austin, J. Baker, E. F. C. Byrd, H. Dachsel, R. J. Doerksen, A. Dreuw, B. D. Dunietz, A. D. Dutoi, T. R. Furlani, S. R. Gwaltney, A. Heyden, S. Hirata, C.-P. Hsu, G. Kedziora, R. Z. Khalliulin, P. Klunzinger, A. M. Lee, M. S. Lee, W. Liang, I. Lotan, N. Nair, B. Peters, E. I. Proynov, P. A. Pieniazek, Y. Min Rhee, J. Ritchie, E. Rosta, C. David Sherrill, A. C. Simmonett, J. E. Subotnik, H. Lee Woodcock Iii, W. Zhang, A. T. Bell, A. K. Chakraborty, D. M. Chipman, F. J. Keil, A. Warshel, W. J. Hehre, H. F. Schaefer Iii, J. Kong, A. I. Krylov, P. M. W. Gill and M. Head-Gordon, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2006, 8, 3172– 3191.
- 33 T. A. Halgren, J. Comput. Chem., 1996, 17, 490–519.
- 34 C. Adamo and V. Barone, J. Chem. Phys., 1998, 108, 664–675.
- 35 S. P. T. Matsuda, W. K. Wilson and Q. Xiong, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2006, 4, 530–543.
- 36 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision B.01, Wallingford CT, 2009. Openical Science

16. Published on 02 February 1. The Eq. (A. 2014) and Eq. (A. 2014) and the Access Article is likensed by the Access Article is likensed under a creative in the Access Article is likensed under a creativ
	- 37 (a) A striking example: B. A. Hess, L. Smentek, J. P. Noel and P. E. O'Maille, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 12632–12641; (b) While tunneling likely plays a role in navigating the potential energy surface, the barriers for hydride transfer are inherently very small.
	- 38 (a) FRED (docking): M. McGann, J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Des., 2012, 26, 897–906; (b) OMEGA (conformational searching): P. C. D. Hawkins, A. G. Skillman, G. L. Warren, B. A. Ellingson and M. T. Stahl, J. Chem. Inf. Model., 2010, 50, 572–584.
	- 39 Openeye Scientific Software, Version 1.7.4, Santa Fe, NM, http://www.eyesopen.com.
	- 40 Docked poses were scored and ranked using the Chemgauss3 scoring function, which scores poses on the basis of shape, hydrogen bonding between ligand and protein, hydrogen bonding interactions with implicit solvent, and metal–chelator interactions; for the cases described herein, the latter three are not relevant.
	- 41 After initial submission of this manuscript, a study on a related but different docking approach for carbocation transition state structures and intermediates formed in triterpene synthases was reported: B.-X. Tian, F. H. Wallrapp, G. L. Holiday, J.-Y. Chow, P. C. Babbitt, C. D. Poulter and M. P. Jacobson, PLoS Comput. Biol., 2014, 10, e1003874.
	- 42 R. Car and M. Parrinello, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1985, 55, 2471– 2474.
	- 43 B. K. Carpenter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 10329–10330.
	- 44 C. Doubleday, K. Bolton and W. L. Hase, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 5251–5252.
	- 45 L. Sun, K. Song and W. L. Hase, Science, 2002, 296, 875–878.
	- 46 D. A. Singleton, C. Hang, M. J. Szymanski and E. E. Greenwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 1176–1177.
	- 47 D. Townsend, S. A. Lahankar, S. K. Lee, S. D. Chambreau, A. G. Suits, X. Zhang, J. Rheinecker, L. B. Harding and J. M. Bowman, Science, 2004, 306, 1158–1161.
	- 48 B. R. Ussing, C. Hang and D. A. Singleton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 7594–7607.
- 49 A. E. Litovitz, I. Keresztes and B. K. Carpenter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 12085–12094.
- 50 Y. Oyola and D. A. Singleton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 3130–3131.
- 51 L. Xu, C. E. Doubleday and K. N. Houk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 3029–3037.
- 52 L. M. Goldman, D. R. Glowacki and B. K. Carpenter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 5312–5318.
- 53 L. Xu, C. E. Doubleday and K. N. Houk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 17848–17854.
- 54 K. Black, P. Liu, L. Xu, C. Doubleday and K. N. Houk, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2012, 109, 12860–12865.
- 55 S. C. Ammal, H. Yamataka, M. Aida and M. Dupuis, Science, 2003, 299, 1555–1557.
- 56 G. Ghigo, A. Maranzana and G. Tonachini, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 9041–9050.
- 57 L. Salem, Acc. Chem. Res., 1971, 4, 322–328.
- 58 D. Marx and J. Hutter, Ab Initio Molecular Dynamics: Basic Theory and Advanced Methods, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2009.
- 59 M. Paranjothy, R. Sun, Y. Zhuang and W. L. Hase, WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci., 2013, 3, 296–316.
- 60 Y. J. Hong and D. J. Tantillo, Nat. Chem., 2014, 6, 104–111.
- 61 K. Fukui, Acc. Chem. Res., 1981, 14, 363–368.
- 62 C. Gonzalez and H. B. Schlegel, J. Phys. Chem., 1990, 94, 5523–5527.
- 63 O. Gutierrez and D. J. Tantillo, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 8845– 8850.
- 64 R. L. Davis and D. J. Tantillo, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 1693– 1700.
- 65 M. Koksal, Y. Jin, R. M. Coates, R. Croteau and D. W. Christianson, Nature, 2011, 469, 116–120.
- 66 D. J. Tantillo, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 2847–2854.
- 67 The idea that thermodynamically disfavored TSSs can be accessed under kinetic conditions in a terpene synthase, i.e., "the reaction coordinate from one intermediate to the next being governed by the barrier height separating intermediates and not the relative binding energies of the intermediates," was suggested previously: M. Chen, N. Allami, M. Janvier, E. L. D'Antonio, J. A. Faraldos, D. E. Cane, R. K. Allemann and D. W. Christianson, Biochemistry, 2013, 52, 5441–5453. Edge Article

30 A. E. Litoiris, I. Rowstare Smith, I. Am. Chem, Soc., 2009, 134. (An V. Corristment, Noncom, 1990, 11:10-33. The Common Access Articles. Common Access Articles. Articles. Articles. Articles. Articles. Arti
	- 68 M. Weitman and D. T. Major, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 6349–6360.
	- 69 D. T. Major and M. Weitman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 19454–19462.
	- 70 D. T. Major, Y. Freud and M. Weitman, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2014, 21, 25–33.
	- 71 D. J. Tantillo, J. Chen and K. N. Houk, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 1998, 2, 743–750.
	- 72 D. J. Tantillo and K. N. Houk, in Stimulating Concepts in Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2000.
	- 73 J. DeChancie, F. R. Clemente, A. J. T. Smith, H. Gunaydin, Y.-L. Zhao, X. Zhang and K. N. Houk, Protein Sci., 2007, 16, 1851–1866.
	- 74 A fascinating interchange between Robinson and Eschenmoser in which the former proposes that inherent reactivity is key: G. E. W. Wolstenholme and M. O'Connor, in CIBA Foundation on the Biosynthesis of Terpenes and Sterols, Little Brown and Company, Boston, MA, 1958, p. 299.