UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Emerging role of mRNA epitranscriptomic regulation in chemoresistant cancer cells

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5mk1v2g4>

Journal Molecular & Cellular Oncology, 7(3)

ISSN 2372-3548

Authors Shen, Shensi Sun, Xiaoxiao

Publication Date 2020-05-03

DOI 10.1080/23723556.2020.1728467

Peer reviewed

COMMENTARY

Check for updates

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

Emergin[g](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5087-8220) [r](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5087-8220)ole of mRNA [ep](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9689-9385)itranscriptomic regulation in chemoresistant cancer cells

Shensi Shen \mathbf{D}^a \mathbf{D}^a \mathbf{D}^a \mathbf{D}^a \mathbf{D}^a and Xiaoxiao Sun \mathbf{D}^b

^alnserm U981, Gustave Roussy Cancer Campus, Villejuif, France; ^bDepartment of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

ABSTRACT

Cancer persister cells remain a significant barrier to effective anti-cancer therapy. We found that melanoma persister cells undergo a reversible reprogramming of mRNA translation. A subset of mRNAs, harboring N6-methyladenosine in their 5ʹ-untranslated regions, is translationally up-regulated in an eIF4A-dependent manner. Targeting eIF4A prevents the emergence of resistant clones.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 29 January 2020 Revised 5 February 2020 Accepted 6 February 2020

KEYWORDS Cancer persister cell; mRNA translation; epitranscriptomic

The most important factor that limits the success of targeted therapy against cancer is the emergence of drug resistance. Most of the focus in understanding resistance has been on geneticdriven resistance, including mutations that enable bypassing target inhibition through impaired binding of the drug or activation of alternative signaling pathways. In contrast to the resistance-conferring genetic mutations, emerging evidence raises the importance of reversible, non-genetic mechanisms in therapy evasion.¹ Even with the most effective cancer therapies that lead to complete response, a small number of residual cancer cells, that is undetectable by clinical or radiological exploration [\(Figure 1a](#page-2-0)), persists throughout the treatment.^{[2](#page-3-1),[3](#page-3-2)} These cancer persister cells can serve as a reservoir for the development of diverse acquired genetic resistance when the treatment is main-tained ([Figure 1b\)](#page-2-0).¹ Therefore, targeting cancer persister cells appears as an attractive strategy to increase the effectiveness of existing therapies before the acquisition of de novo genetic mutations that lead to resistance.

Despite the milestone discovery of the cancer persister cell in human non-small cell lung cancer in 2010 ,³ the biological mechanisms of this population of cells are still poorly defined. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the ability of the cancer persister cells to tolerate drugs *in vitro*. Activation of the histone lysine demethylase 5A and 5B (KDM5A/B) mediated by insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1R) renders lung cancer cells persist the treatment of epithelial growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors.³ In the following study, the authors found that trimethylation of lysine 9 on histone H3 (H3K9me3) represses long-interspersed repeat elements 1 (LINE-1), and counterbalance the expression interferon-stimulated genes to promote persister cell survival. 4 A dependency on glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4) was also found in multiple cancer persister cell models, and it was shown that loss of GPX4 function induces ferroptosis in persister cells and correlates with the prevention of tumor relapse.⁵ In contrast to most of the studies focusing on epigenetic and transcriptional level, our recent findings highlight the involvement of an mRNA epitranscriptomic mechanism in

BRAF^{V600E} mutated melanoma persister cell tolerance to anti-BRAF and anti-MEK targeted therapies.

mRNA methylation, particularly N6-methyladenosine (m⁶ A), is a post-transcriptional modification that largely impacts the translatome.^{[6](#page-3-5)} It has been shown that m⁶ A modification close to the stop codon or in the 3ʹuntranslated region (3ʹUTR) of mRNA can promote the mRNA degradation. However, m⁶A modification close to 5ʹ-untranslated region (5ʹUTR) of mRNA recruits translation initiation factors (i.e. eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A, eIF4A) and thus promote the mRNA translation.^{[6](#page-3-5)} m6 A is deposited by a methyltransferase complex consisting of methyltransferase like-3 (METTL3), methyltransferase like-4 (METTL14) and wilms tumor 1-associated protein (WTAP). The identification of these enzymes enables the discovery of the dynamically regulated function of m⁶A in controlling stem cell differentiation, cellular heat-shock response, DNA damage and tumorigenesis.^{[6](#page-3-5)} Using in vitro melanoma persister cell model, we found that a substantial m6 A-associated mRNA translational remodeling is correlated with the melanoma persistent state upon anti-BRAF and anti-MEK treatments.^{[7](#page-3-6)} These m⁶A-associated mRNAs are up-regulated at the translational level in spite of the global down-regulation of mRNA translation activity in mel-anoma persister cells ([Figure 1c\)](#page-2-0). Using m⁶A RNA immunoprecipitation followed by RNA sequencing, we showed that these mRNAs specifically harbor m⁶A modifications in their $5'UTRs.⁷$ $5'UTRs.⁷$ $5'UTRs.⁷$ Knocking down the methyltransferase (i.e. METTL3 or WTAP) abrogates the association of these mRNAs with the polysomes, and sensitizes melanoma cells to anti-BRAF and anti-MEK treatments. Using polysome profiling, we showed that the up-regulation of this subset of mRNAs requires the RNA helicase eIF4A, whose inhibition selectively kills the melanoma persister cells compared to treatment-naïve cells. In addition, eIF4A inhibitor decreases the association of m⁶A-modified mRNAs with polysomes and prevents the emergence of drug resistance.^{[7](#page-3-6)}

Figure 1. Translational reprogramming in chemoresistant melanoma cells.

(a) Clinical relevant view of the cancer cell persistence during the therapy. Initial response to targeted therapy rendering clinically undetectable tumor mass can sustain during months to years until tumor relapse with acquired genetic mutations. (b) Schematic view of the melanoma persister cell model. The persister cells could give rise to sensitive cells when the drug was removed and constitute a reservoir that eventually acquire irreversible genetic alterations leading to drug resistance upon continued treatment. (c) Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A (eIF4A)-mediated mRNA translational remodeling in melanoma persister cells. mRNAs harboring N6-methyladenosine (m⁶A) modification in their 5'-untranslated regions (5'UTRs) are selectively translated in melanoma persister cells and may lead to a slow-cycling cellular state. In contrast, treatment-naïve cells are dependent on eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4F (eIF4F) mediated translation leading
to a high proliferative cellular state. m7G repres to glutamic acid (E) mutation. MEK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase. ERK: extracellular regulated protein kinases.

The origin of cancer persister cells still remains to be fully established. Nevertheless, it likely involves the transition of cellular state through a slow-cycling drug persistent state. More recently, it has shown that very rare melanoma cells that transiently display high expression of AXL receptor tyrosine kinase (AXL) prior to drug exposure were more likely to survive than treatment-naïve cells[.8](#page-3-7) These studies support the idea that cancer persister cells are not a pre-existing subpopulation rather may arise stochastically from a dynamically fluctuating cell population. This is consistent with a recent single-cell study of melanoma persister cells.⁹ This study defined four melanoma persistent states, including starvation-like state, neuro crest stem cell-like state, invasive and pigmented state. In particular, all co-existing persistent states are quiescent, indicating that diversity of persistence mechanisms share similar pre-requisite slow-cycling phenotype. Our current

findings point to the possible link between mRNA translational remodeling, mRNA epitranscriptomic regulation, and slowcycling cellular phenotype. It will be important to further determine whether mRNA epitranscriptomic mediated translational remodeling is the cause or the consequence of the pre-requisite slow-cycling phenotype [\(Figure 1c](#page-2-0)). Notwithstanding, a recent study has shown that mRNA translation is involved in nonheritable resistance to apoptosis induced by TNFα-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), even though they did not quest the role of m⁶A modification in this context.¹⁰ Therefore, mRNA translation may serve as a general mechanism by which a subpopulation of cancer cells remains persistent upon drug exposure and eventually evolve bona fide resistant mutations.

Our findings suggested that mRNA translation modulation by eIF4A inhibition may be explored as a potential therapeutic strategy for targeting melanoma persister cells in combination with anti-BRAF and anti-MEK treatments.

Acknowledgments

We would also like to thank our collaborators, Sara Faouzi, Caroline Robert and Stéphan Vagner, without whom this work would not have been possible. This work was made possible by continuous financial support from INSERM, CNRS, Gustave Roussy, and was also funded by Fondation ARC pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (project PJA20161204588 to S.S.) and Sébastien Bazin (to S.S.).

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Funding

This work was supported by the Fondation ARC pour la Recherche sur le Cancer [PJA20161204588].

ORCID

Shensi Shen http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5087-8220 Xiaoxiao Sun http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9689-9385

References

- 1. Ramirez M, Rajaram S, Steininger RJ, Osipchuk D, Roth MA, Morinishi LS, Evans L, Ji W, Hsu C-H, Thurley K, et al. Diverse drug-resistance mechanisms can emerge from drug-tolerant cancer persister cells. Nat Commun. [2016;](#page-1-1)7:10690. doi:[10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10690) [ncomms10690](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10690).
- 2. Tolk H, Satzger I, Mohr P, Zimmer L, Weide B, Schäd S, Gutzmer R. Complete remission of metastatic melanoma upon BRAF inhibitor treatment - what happens after discontinuation?

Melanoma Res. [2015](#page-1-2);25:362–366. doi:[10.1097/CMR.00000000](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CMR.0000000000000169) [00000169.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CMR.0000000000000169)

- 3. Sharma SV, Lee DY, Li B, Quinlan MP, Takahashi F, Maheswaran S, McDermott U, Azizian N, Zou L, Fischbach MA, et al. A chromatin-mediated reversible drug-tolerant state in cancer cell subpopulations. Cell. [2010](#page-1-3);141:69–80. doi:[10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.027](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.027).
- 4. Guler GD, Tindell CA, Pitti R, Wilson C, Nichols K, KaiWai Cheung T, Kim H-J, Wongchenko M, Yan Y, Haley B, et al. Repression of stress-induced LINE-1 expression protects cancer cell subpopulations from lethal drug exposure. Cancer Cell. [2017](#page-1-4);32:221–237 e213. doi:[10.1016/j.ccell.2017.07.002.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2017.07.002)
- 5. Hangauer MJ, Viswanathan VS, Ryan MJ, Bole D, Eaton JK, Matov A, Galeas J, Dhruv HD, Berens ME, Schreiber SL, et al. Drug-tolerant persister cancer cells are vulnerable to GPX4 inhibition. Nature. [2017;](#page-1-5)551:247–250. doi:[10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature24297) [nature24297.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature24297)
- 6. Yang Y, Hsu PJ, Chen YS, Yang YG. Dynamic transcriptomic m (6)A decoration: writers, erasers, readers and functions in RNA metabolism. Cell Res. [2018](#page-1-6);28:616–624. doi:[10.1038/s41422-018-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41422-018-0040-8) [0040-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41422-018-0040-8).
- 7. Shen S, Faouzi S, Bastide A, Martineau S, Malka-Mahieu H, Fu Y, Sun X, Mateus C, Routier E, Roy S, et al. An epitranscriptomic mechanism underlies selective mRNA translation remodelling in melanoma persister cells. Nat Commun. [2019;](#page-1-7)10:5713. doi[:10.1038/s41467-019-13360-6.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13360-6)
- 8. Shaffer SM, Dunagin MC, Torborg SR, Torre EA, Emert B, Krepler C, Beqiri M, Sproesser K, Brafford PA, Xiao M, et al. Rare cell variability and drug-induced reprogramming as a mode of cancer drug resistance. Nature. [2017](#page-2-1);546:431–435. doi:[10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature22794) [nature22794.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature22794)
- 9. Rambow F, Rogiers A, Marin-Bejar O, Aibar S, Femel J, Dewaele M, Karras P, Brown D, Chang YH, Debiec-Rychter M, et al. Toward minimal residual disease-directed therapy in melanoma. Cell. [2018](#page-2-2);174:843–855 e819. doi:[10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.025) [cell.2018.06.025](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.025).
- 10. Baskar R, Fienberg HG, Khair Z, Favaro P, Kimmey S, Green DR, Nolan GP, Plevritis S, Bendall SC. TRAIL-induced variation of cell signaling states provides nonheritable resistance to apoptosis. Life Sci Alliance. [2019;](#page-2-3)2:e201900554. doi:[10.26508/lsa.201900554.](http://dx.doi.org/10.26508/lsa.201900554)