



Sources and Dynamics of Semivolatile Organic Compounds in a Single-Family Residence in Northern California

Journal:	<i>Indoor Air</i>
Manuscript ID	INA-19-01-035
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Jan-2019
Complete List of Authors:	Kristensen, Kasper; University of California Berkeley Department of Environmental Science Policy and Management, ; Aarhus University, Department of Engineering Lunderberg, David; University of California Berkeley Department of Chemistry Liu, Yingjun; Peking University, Environmental Science and Engineering Misztal, Pawel; Centre for Ecology & Hydrology Tian, Yilin; University of California Berkeley, Civil and Environmental Engineering Arata, Caleb; University of California Berkeley, Chemistry Nazaroff, William; University of California, Civil & Environmental Engineering Goldstein, Allen; UC Berkeley, Dept of Env Sci, Pol & Mngmt
Keywords:	Emissions, Cooking, Cleaning, Occupancy, Ventilation, Gas/particle phase distribution

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Sources and Dynamics of Semivolatile Organic Compounds in a Single-Family Residence in Northern California

Kasper Kristensen^{1, #}, David Lunderberg², Yingjun Liu^{1, †}, Pawel K. Misztal^{1, ‡}, Yilin Tian³, Caleb Arata², William W Nazaroff³, and Allen H. Goldstein^{1, 3}

¹Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California, Berkeley, USA

²Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

³Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, USA

[#] Now at Department of Engineering, Aarhus University, Denmark

[†] Now at College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, China

[‡] Now at Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK

*Corresponding email: ahg@berkeley.edu

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Program on Chemistry of Indoor Environments via grant 2016-7050. Kasper Kristensen acknowledges support from the Carlsberg Foundation (grant number CF16-0624). The authors thank Robin Weber, Rebecca Wernis and Nathan Kreisberg for technical assistance and the house residents for allowing their home to be studied and for their patience and geniality.

Abstract

Semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) emitted from building materials, consumer products, and occupant activities alter the composition of air in residences where people spend the majority of their time. Exposures to SVOCs potentially pose risks to human health. However, little is known about the chemical complexity, total burden, and dynamic behaviour of SVOCs in residential environments. Furthermore, little is known about the influence of human occupancy on the emissions and fates of SVOCs in residential air. Here, we present the first-ever hourly

1
2
3 27 measurements of airborne SVOCs in a residence during normal occupancy. We employ state-of-
4
5 28 the-art semivolatile thermal-desorption aerosol gas chromatography (SV-TAG). Indoor air is
6
7
8 29 shown consistently to contain much higher levels of SVOCs than outdoors, in terms of both
9
10 30 abundance and chemical complexity. Time series data are characterized by temperature-dependent
11
12 31 elevated background levels for a broad suite of chemicals, underlining the importance of
13
14 32 continuous emissions from static indoor sources. Substantial increases in SVOC concentrations
15
16 33 were associated with episodic occupant activities, especially cooking and cleaning. The number of
17
18 34 occupants within the residence showed little influence on the total airborne SVOC concentration.
19
20 35 Enhanced ventilation was effective in reducing SVOCs in indoor air, but only temporarily; SVOCs
21
22 36 recovered to previous levels within hours.
23
24
25
26

27 **Key words:** emissions, cooking, cleaning, occupancy, ventilation, gas/particle phase distribution
28
29
30

31
32 39 **Practical implications:** This study contributes to a better understanding of the chemical
33
34 40 composition of air in residential environments. The results illustrate how indoor temperature,
35
36 41 occupants and occupant-related activities and processes such as cleaning, cooking, and ventilation
37
38 42 may influence the chemistry of the air breathed within homes. The findings provide novel insights
39
40 43 into the factors controlling the sources and fates of chemical air pollutants in an occupied indoor
41
42 44 environment and are therefore of value for accurately characterizing indoor exposures.
43
44
45
46

47 46 **1. Introduction**

48 47 On average, humans spend 90% of their time indoors, including about 70% in their homes.^{1,2}
49
50 48 Indoor air quality is an essential factor influencing healthy life and people's well-being.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 49 Synthetic chemical compounds are ubiquitous in building materials and consumer products used
4
5 50 in residential environments. Some chemicals in these materials have been reported to be in high
6
7 51 abundance in indoor environmental compartments, including air and dust.^{3,4} Semivolatile organic
8
9 52 compounds (SVOCs) constitute an important yet understudied class of organic chemicals indoors.⁵
10
11 53 With wide-ranging applications of SVOCs as active substances or as additives in building
12
13 54 materials and consumer products, indoor sources of SVOCs are numerous and include flooring,
14
15 55 furniture, electronics, plastic items, textiles, cleaning and cosmetic products.^{6,7} Owing to their
16
17 56 semivolatile nature (vapour pressure and boiling point between 10^{-14} to 10^{-4} atm and ~ 240 to 400
18
19 57 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, respectively ^{8,9}) SVOCs are present both as gaseous compounds and as condensed-phase
20
21
22
23 58 components of particles, surface films, and settled dust. For this reason, once emitted from their
24
25 59 original sources, SVOCs can become widely distributed in multiple compartments of the indoor
26
27
28
29 60 environment. Among the potentially important routes of exposure are inhalation of gases and
30
31 61 airborne particles, ingestion of dust, and permeation of the skin following direct physical contact
32
33 62 with surfaces or partitioning into skin oils from air.^{3,10,11} Certain SVOCs are linked with negative
34
35 63 human health outcomes, such as reprotoxic and neurotoxic effects, thus motivating national and
36
37 64 international control efforts.^{9,12-16} However, owing to their persistent nature, including wide
38
39 65 distribution on indoor surfaces, occupant exposures to SVOCs may occur long after eliminating
40
41 66 the original sources.⁹ For this reason, SVOCs that have not been routinely used indoors for many
42
43
44 67 years may continue to exhibit measurable levels in air, in dust, and in body fluids.^{4,17}

46
47 68 Multiple studies have reported on the concentrations of SVOCs identified in the air and dust of
48
49 69 diverse indoor environments.^{3,9,18-25} However, limited by analytical capabilities, the majority of
50
51 70 published studies only report on small numbers of SVOCs, thus providing restricted insight into
52
53
54 71 the chemical complexity and the total burden encountered in indoor environments. Historically,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 72 methods for analyzing SVOCs in both outdoor and indoor environments have often relied on long
4
5 73 timescale (days to weeks) collection of particulate matter and gases on filters and sorbents
6
7
8 74 followed by off-line analysis in the laboratory.²⁶ This approach provides little information on the
9
10 75 temporal changes and dynamic behavior of SVOCs in indoor air, limiting the study of important
11
12 76 processes and parameters that influence emissions, concentrations, exposures and fates of SVOCs
13
14 77 in the indoor environments, including gas/particle partitioning. As one example, environmental
15
16
17 78 parameters such as indoor temperature might be particularly important because of the temperature-
18
19 79 dependent vapor pressures of SVOCs and their rapid equilibration between surfaces and the gas
20
21
22 80 phase. Some prior studies show that temperature may exert a large influence on the concentrations
23
24 81 of SVOCs in indoor environments. Here, theory as well as laboratory-controlled studies predict
25
26 82 increased emissions from indoor sources (e.g., building materials) to indoor air at elevated
27
28 83 temperatures.^{27-30,31-35} In addition, model simulation and chamber studies on specific SVOCs have
29
30
31 84 shown that enhanced particle mass loading could facilitate partitioning of gaseous SVOCs in
32
33 85 airborne particles, thus altering the SVOC distribution and exposure.³⁶⁻³⁹ Until now, however, no
34
35 86 studies have documented the influence of temperature and particle mass loading on the indoor air
36
37
38 87 SVOC concentrations in real indoor environments under normal occupancy, thus restricting efforts
39
40 88 to validate models for the indoor environmental emissions, fates and gas/particle distributions of
41
42 89 SVOCs and associated human exposures.^{35,36,40,41}

43
44
45 90 Furthermore, the influence of human occupants on the dynamic behavior and chemical
46
47
48 91 composition of SVOCs indoors remains poorly characterized. The roles of human occupants
49
50 92 influencing indoor chemistry has been reviewed by Weschler et al.,⁴² including information about
51
52 93 direct emission of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) to the indoor air, occupant-influenced
53
54
55 94 chemical transformation from reactions on human skin oils, and removal of SVOCs through

1
2
3 95 dermal or clothing uptake and by means of inhaling gaseous and particle-bound species. However,
4
5 96 little is known about occupant-related sources of SVOCs in indoor environments and their
6
7
8 97 magnitudes relative to continuous sources such as indoor materials. Based on knowledge of VOC
9
10 98 sources, one might anticipate that occupant activities such as cooking and cleaning could be
11
12 99 important contributors to the pool of SVOCs in occupied residences.

13
14
15 100 To address these important gaps in knowledge, we report here the gas/particle distribution,
16
17 101 dynamic behavior and chemical composition of indoor air SVOCs in a San Francisco Bay Area
18
19
20 102 residence during normal occupancy. Time-resolved measurements of the total (gas plus particle
21
22 103 phase) SVOC concentrations are presented for the first time in an ordinarily occupied indoor
23
24 104 environment using semivolatile thermal desorption aerosol gas chromatography (SV-TAG). From
25
26 105 hourly measurements of airborne SVOCs, we extract novel insights into the dynamic behavior of
27
28 106 this important class of indoor pollutants. We explore here the influence of indoor temperature,
29
30 107 occupancy, and occupant-related activities, including cooking and cleaning, on the SVOC-
31
32 108 associated composition of residential indoor air.
33
34
35
36

37 109 **2. Experimental Methods**

38 39 110 *2.1 Observational Campaign*

40
41
42 111 This study was carried out in a single-story, ranch-style house (designated H2) situated in the East
43
44 112 Bay of the San Francisco Bay Area, USA. The home was occupied by a family consisting of one
45
46 113 male adult, one female adult, one teenager, and one dog. The 183 m² house built in 1948 contains
47
48 114 three bedrooms, two bathrooms, a kitchen, family room, and living room. Indoor cooking was
49
50 115 performed on a natural gas-fired cooktop and in an electric oven located in the kitchen. A floor
51
52
53 116 plan of the house is recorded in Figure S1 of the Supporting Information (SI). Indoor samples
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 117 reported in this work were drawn from the living room, a ~30 m² room, with hardwood flooring,
4
5 118 separated from the adjacent kitchen by an open doorway.
6
7

8
9 119 Extensive observational monitoring was conducted over a 9-week period starting December 2017,
10
11 120 including eight weeks of normal occupancy and one week of vacancy (vacant period: 22-28
12
13 121 December).
14
15

16 122 The house is equipped with a central forced-air heating system with supply registers in all rooms
17
18 123 except the family room. The house temperature was controlled via a programmable thermostat that
19
20 124 operated on a timed cycle to provide heating in the morning and evening of the winter months.
21
22 125 The system operated consistently during the entire campaign with periodic heating occurring twice
23
24 126 each day from 6:45 AM to 7:15 AM and again from 5:45 PM to 10:00 PM with occasional
25
26 127 variations from manual override applied in the afternoon or evening by the occupants. In addition,
27
28 128 a separate thermostat-controlled vented gas fireplace in the family room was used occasionally for
29
30 129 supplemental heating during the occupied period.
31
32
33
34

35 130 An extensive set of time-resolved metadata was collected, including the utilization of more than
36
37 131 50 wireless sensors to monitor room occupancy, appliance use, door/window open status,
38
39 132 temperature, and humidity.⁴³ Occupant-related activities such as cooking, cleaning, and candle
40
41 133 burning were logged daily, with descriptions of type and duration. House-wide cleaning was
42
43 134 performed on a biweekly basis by a professional cleaning crew. Cleaning products used by the
44
45 135 cleaning crew are listed in Table S1.
46
47
48
49

50 136 A wooden shed was constructed outside the house to contain most of the analytical instruments
51
52 137 during the study. The shed was positioned with one of its walls about 50 cm from the house
53
54 138 exterior. Two stainless steel sample tubes (outer diameter 1.6 cm (5/8") and length ~ 2 m) were
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 139 used for separate collection of outdoor and indoor air. Shed temperature was continuously
4
5 140 monitored and regulated to ~ 20 °C using a 1000 W (3500 BTU/h) portable air conditioning unit.
6
7

8 141 ***2.2 Time-resolved measurements of SVOCs***

9
10 142 Measurements of combined airborne particle-phase (PM_{2.5}) and gas-phase SVOCs were carried
11
12
13 143 out using a semivolatile thermal desorption aerosol gas chromatography instrument (SV-TAG)
14
15 144 developed by the Goldstein laboratory at UC Berkeley and Aerosol Dynamics Inc.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁷ Briefly, the
16
17 145 instrument collects airborne organic compounds on two parallel sampling cells with a flow rate of
18
19
20 146 10 L min⁻¹ for each cell. Following sampling (15 min), an internal standard (ISTD) is added and
21
22 147 each cell is analyzed in series by thermal desorption into helium saturated with a derivatizing agent
23
24 148 (n-methyl-n-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA), Sigma) and then directed to a gas
25
26 149 chromatograph (GC, Agilent model 7890A) with a quadrupole mass spectrometer using electron
27
28 150 impact ionization at 70 eV (MS, Agilent 5970C). The ISTD solution consists of a mixture of
29
30 151 deuterated organic compounds representative of a broad range of SVOCs commonly found in both
31
32 152 indoor and outdoor environments. Gas chromatographic analysis of each sample is performed in
33
34 153 14-min intervals using a non-polar GC column (Rtx-5Sil MS, 20 m × 0.18 mm × 0.18 μm; Restek)
35
36 154 for chromatographic separation of SVOCs. For each SV-TAG run (i.e., GC analysis) a total ion
37
38 155 chromatogram, or TIC, is produced from the combined signal of all measured fragments of the
39
40 156 ionized organics entering the MS from the GC. Mass-to-charge values associated with specific
41
42 157 compounds or chemical functionality may be selected and extracted from the TIC providing
43
44 158 information on the contribution of specific compounds or classes to the total analyzed organic
45
46 159 material. In the current work, compound identification was achieved through matching the
47
48 160 recorded compound-specific background-subtracted mass spectra with those of authentic standard
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 161 runs on SV-TAG (when available) and with spectra available in the NIST/EPA/NIH Mass Spectral
4
5 162 Library.^{45,48}
6
7

8
9 163 To study the gas/particle distribution of SVOCs, particle-only sampling is performed on one of the
10
11 164 two sampling cells (Cell 2) by removing gaseous compounds from the sample air flow using a
12
13 165 multichannel carbon monolith denuder (500 channels, 30 mm OD × 40.6 cm; MAST Carbon)
14
15 166 before collection on the downstream cell. Thus, through simultaneous sampling and subsequent
16
17 167 analysis of gas-plus-particle-phase compounds on Cell 1 and particle-only compounds on Cell 2,
18
19 168 the gas/particle phase distributions of the sampled SVOCs are determined.
20
21
22

23 169 Hourly indoor gas-plus-particle SVOC measurements were conducted continuously on Cell 1. A
24
25 170 four-hour sampling sequence was conducted on Cell 2, with one indoor particle-plus-gas
26
27 171 measurement, one indoor particle-only measurement, one outdoor gas-plus-particle measurement,
28
29 172 and one outdoor particle-only measurement. Thus, measurement of indoor gas-plus-particle
30
31 173 SVOCs was obtained with one-hour time resolution and indoor vs. outdoor SVOC comparisons,
32
33 174 indoor gas/particle partitioning, outdoor gas/particle partitioning, were obtained every four hours.
34
35 175 Indoor gas-plus-particle SVOCs measured on both cells simultaneously once every four hours was
36
37 176 used to cross-calibrate the cells ensuring their comparability. Once per day, a known volume (4,
38
39 177 8, or 12 μL) of an SVOC standard solution, containing >120 different SVOCs, was injected onto
40
41 178 both cells and analyzed, resulting in a three-point calibration curve every three days. A sample
42
43 179 blank containing only the ISTD was analyzed at the beginning and end of the sampling campaign.
44
45
46
47
48

49 180 In the current work, we present the total gas-plus-particle SVOC signal recorded by the SV-TAG
50
51 181 over a four-week period, from 8 December 2017 to 5 January 2018. The signal is quantified using
52
53 182 calibration curves from twenty straight-chained alkanes (C13-C32) yielding the total alkane-
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 183 equivalent SVOC concentration in $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ of air. After subtracting the internal standard, the total
4
5 184 chromatographic signal was integrated using the closest alkane standard calibration curve in
6
7
8 185 retention time (Figure S2) to yield the total SVOC concentration in $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ of air. The method
9
10 186 details are described in the SI along with estimates of the associated uncertainties.

13 187 ***2.3 Air-exchange and SVOC emission rate***

15 188 House air flows and air-exchange rates were acquired through high-time-resolution measurements
16
17
18 189 of inert tracers continuously released inside the residence. The tracers were detected using proton-
19
20 190 transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometry (PTR-TOF-MS) following previously reported
21
22 191 methods.⁴³ Using the determined flowrates ($\text{m}^3 \text{h}^{-1}$) the effective emission rate (E , mg h^{-1}) of total
23
24 192 SVOCs from indoor sources to the indoor air is estimated using the following equation:

$$28 \quad 193 \quad E = \frac{dC_{\text{Indoor}}}{dt}V + \text{FlowRate}(C_{\text{Indoor}} - C_{\text{Outdoor}}) \quad \text{Equation (1)}$$

31
32 194 Here, C_{Indoor} and C_{Outdoor} refer to the SV-TAG measured indoor and outdoor SVOC concentrations,
33
34 195 respectively (in mg m^{-3}). V is the house volume estimated to 380 m^3 . SVOC emissions are
35
36 196 calculated only during the vacant period to eliminate uncertainties in effective house volume
37
38
39 197 arising from occupants opening/closing of internal doors, or occupant activities that create
40
41 198 emissions (e.g. cooking)) thus providing better estimates of the SVOC emissions from indoor static
42
43 199 sources such as materials and surfaces. In this report, we do not attempt to describe quantitatively
44
45 200 the SVOC emissions associated with occupants and their activities.

47
48
49 201 To investigate the effect of ventilation with outdoor air on the indoor concentrations of SVOCs, a
50
51 202 house-wide venting experiment was conducted at the end of the campaign. Here, all windows and
52
53 203 exterior doors were opened for one hour. After this venting period, all doors and windows were
54
55 204 closed. The concentrations of the added inert tracer and the SVOCs was monitored by PTR-TOF-
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 205 MS and SV-TAG, respectively, throughout the venting experiment. Except for brief periods to
4
5 206 open and close the windows and exterior doors, the house was unoccupied during this experiment.
6
7

8 9 207 **3. Results**

10 11 208 *3.1 SVOCs in the indoor residence*

12
13 209 The total ion chromatograms (TIC) representing typical gas-plus-particle SV-TAG measurements
14
15 210 of indoor air during occupancy but with no cleaning or cooking activity are shown in Figure 1.
16
17 211 The SV-TAG TICs show the total combined signal from all sampled organic compounds, each
18
19 212 represented by a single signal peak at a retention time in the TIC that generally increases with
20
21 213 decreasing vapor pressure. The chromatograms reveal a complex mixture of hundreds to thousands
22
23 214 of different organic compounds in the sampled indoor air. Highlighted signal peaks in Figure 1A
24
25 215 represent a few of the many SVOCs detected by the SV-TAG. For example, 1-nonanol is a citrus
26
27 216 fragrance molecule commonly found in washing and cleaning products and in personal care
28
29 217 products. Phenoxy ethanol is a commonly used solvent found in both personal care products and
30
31 218 in building and interior finishing materials, such as paints, glues, and carpets.⁵⁰
32
33 219 Tetradecamethylcycloheptasiloxane, also known as D7, is a cyclic siloxane found in personal care
34
35 220 and other products.⁵⁰ Diethyl phthalate is a plasticizer additive in many consumer products and
36
37 221 building materials. Galaxolide is a synthetic musk ingredient. Homosalate is an organic compound
38
39 222 used in sunscreen lotions. To underline the high chemical complexity of the measured indoor air,
40
41 223 Figure 1B shows extracted ion chromatograms related to different chemical functionalities,
42
43 224 including alkanes (-C-C-), alcohols (-OH), aliphatic aromatics (-C₆H₆), and long-chain carboxylic
44
45 225 acids (-COOH). Peaks related to straight-chained alkanes, alcohols and carboxylic acids are
46
47 226 labeled according to their carbon-chain length and show how increasing GC retention times are
48
49 227 associated with compounds of increasing size (and decreasing volatility), i.e. C13 to C21 alkanes
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 228 (Figure 1B, top panel). From these chromatograms it is clear that the measured indoor air contains
4
5 229 a very large number of individual chemicals spanning a wide range of volatilities and chemical
6
7
8 230 functionalities.

9
10
11 231 Figure 2 shows TIC chromatograms from typical SV-TAG gas-plus-particle sample analyses for
12
13 232 several representative cases: outdoor air (black), indoor air during normal occupancy with no
14
15 233 activity (blue), indoor air during cleaning (green), and indoor air during a cooking event (red). A
16
17 234 large difference in both chemical complexity and in the abundance of SVOCs between indoor and
18
19
20 235 outdoor air is consistently observed, even without contributions from occupant activities. In
21
22 236 addition, relative to the baseline indoor condition, during biweekly cleaning, the SV-TAG TIC
23
24 237 (Figure 2, green) is characterized by enhanced signal intensity associated with the application of
25
26 238 cleaning products (see Table S1). Distinct organic compounds associated with the use of these
27
28
29 239 cleaning products include 1-nonanol and terpineol, fragrance additives often found in cleaning
30
31 240 products.⁵¹ As indicated in Figure 2, signal peaks arising from the elevated concentration of both
32
33
34 241 1-nonanol and terpineol are found early in the SV-TAG TIC (i.e. with shorter GC retention times)
35
36 242 indicative of the more volatile nature of the compounds showing elevated signals during the
37
38 243 cleaning event.

39
40
41 244 During the course of the campaign, the largest increases in the SV-TAG TIC were observed during
42
43 245 cooking. As evident from Figure 2, cooking significantly changed the chemical composition of the
44
45 246 indoor air with many new compounds appearing in the indoor SV-TAG measurements (Figure 2,
46
47 247 red). In general, the most abundant compounds related to cooking events include straight-chained
48
49
50 248 saturated and unsaturated fatty acids (palmitic acid, oleic acid and stearic acid), monoglycerides
51
52 249 (monomyristin, palmitoyl glycerol, monopalmitin, linoleoyl glycerol, glycerol monostearate), and
53
54
55 250 sterols (cholesterol and sitosterol). Such compounds have been identified as molecular tracers from
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 251 cooking emissions.⁵² In contrast to the identified cleaning constituents (i.e. 1-nonanol and
4
5 252 terpeneol), most chemical compounds arising from indoor cooking are significantly less volatile as
6
7
8 253 evident from their longer GC retention time.
9

10 254 *3.2 SVOC dynamics*

11
12
13 255 The time series of total indoor and outdoor alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration (gas-plus-
14
15 256 particle samples) measured by SV-TAG from 8 December 2017 to 5 January 2018 is shown in
16
17
18 257 Figure 3. On average, the indoor total SVOC concentration was consistently significantly higher
19
20 258 (by a factor of ~ 4) than measured in outdoor air, in broad agreement with previous studies of some
21
22 259 specific chemicals.^{16,53-55} During occupancy, the average alkane-equivalent indoor SVOC
23
24
25 260 concentration was $71 (\pm 16) \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. The occupied period exhibits moderate to large fluctuations
26
27 261 in the total indoor SVOC concentration, with episodic events producing concentrations in excess
28
29 262 of $200 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. In contrast, during vacancy, the indoor total SVOC shows only small changes with
30
31
32 263 an average alkane-equivalent concentration of $59 (\pm 7) \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. Common to both occupied and
33
34 264 vacant periods is the consistently elevated baseline concentration of indoor SVOCs, rarely
35
36 265 dropping below $50 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, indicative of the importance of indoor sources other than occupant
37
38
39 266 activities.
40

41
42 267 Figure 3B shows the average diurnal changes in the measured gas-plus-particle SVOC
43
44 268 concentration outdoors (black) and indoors during the occupied (blue) and vacant (teal) periods.
45
46 269 During occupancy, the indoor SVOC concentration is characterized by highest concentrations in
47
48
49 270 the late afternoons and evenings (5 PM to 11 PM) and lowest concentrations in the early morning
50
51 271 hours (~ 6 AM). During the vacant periods, the SVOC concentration follows a strong diurnal
52
53 272 pattern with rising concentrations observed every day at 6 AM and 6 PM (Figure 3). The diurnal
54
55 273 changes in the total alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration closely correlate with indoor
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 274 temperature. This feature is especially prominent during the vacant period, when the observed
4
5 275 daily increases in SVOC concentration coincide with rising temperatures following the operation
6
7
8 276 of the programmed central home heating system (Figure S4). The diurnal changes in total SVOC
9
10 277 concentration during the vacant period are evident across all compounds in the recorded TIC
11
12 278 (Figure S5) and thus are not controlled by large changes in the concentrations of only a few
13
14
15 279 abundant species. In other words, the observed changes in the total SVOC concentration do not
16
17 280 reflect large diurnal changes in the chemical composition with respect to the SVOCs measured by
18
19 281 the SV-TAG. During occupancy, and in contrast to the vacant period, occasional spikes are
20
21
22 282 apparent on top of the regular diel variation in the total SVOC concentration. These enhancements
23
24 283 coincide with occupants' activities, especially cooking.

25
26
27 284 Figure 4 shows the total (gas-plus-particle) alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration plotted as a
28
29 285 function of the indoor air temperature. Overall, the indoor SVOC concentration shows a positive
30
31 286 dependence on indoor air temperature. In particular, a strong correlation between the total indoor
32
33
34 287 SVOC concentration and temperature is observed in the vacant period ($R^2 = 0.88$, teal) showing a
35
36 288 $6 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ ($\sim 10\%$) increase in the total indoor airborne SVOC concentration per $^{\circ}\text{C}$. A similar
37
38 289 response to temperature is observed during the occupied period, but here the correlation is weaker
39
40
41 290 ($R^2 = 0.34$) owing to episodic spikes in SVOC concentration attributable to occupant activities that
42
43 291 are not closely related to temperature (Figure 3). As is evident in Figure 4, the lower SVOC
44
45 292 concentrations observed during vacancy are almost solely attributed to the lower temperatures
46
47
48 293 during this period and not related to the absence of occupants. Accordingly, TICs recorded during
49
50 294 the vacant period show a chemical composition of indoor SVOCs that is similar to that prior to the
51
52 295 departure of the occupants (Figure S6). These findings indicate that the SVOCs in the studied
53
54
55 296 residential environment are controlled to large extent by emissions from building materials,

1
2
3 297 household interior furnishings and indoor surface reservoirs. Furthermore, the indoor SVOC
4
5 298 concentrations are substantially related to indoor temperature suggesting a major influence on
6
7
8 299 airborne SVOCs in this residence of temperature-driven emissions and/or temperature-modulated
9
10 300 phase partitioning with materials and interiors.

11
12
13 301 Figure 4 displays evidence that all episodic enhancements of indoor SVOC concentrations during
14
15 302 the occupied period (Figure 3A) coincide with specific occupant-related activities, mainly cooking,
16
17 303 cleaning and candle use. With total SVOC concentrations exceeding $200 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, cooking is
18
19 304 indicated as a major contributor to the indoor organic chemical burden. With respect to cooking,
20
21 305 the largest increases in the airborne SVOC concentrations are observed with the use of the oven
22
23 306 followed by stove-top frying (Figure 5A).

24
25
26
27
28 307 Cooking events that resulted in little or no increase in the total indoor SVOC concentration include
29
30 308 boiling pasta, reheating leftovers in a microwave oven and toasting bread. No significant effect of
31
32 309 occupant number (0 – 6 occupants) on the total indoor SVOC concentration was observed during
33
34 310 the monitoring campaign (Figure 5B), suggesting that the occupant-associated indoor airborne
35
36 311 SVOC concentration is more influenced by specific activities rather than by occupant emissions
37
38 312 per se. Although the influence of temperature on the total airborne SVOC concentrations is smaller
39
40 313 (changing the indoor SVOC concentration between ~ 50 to $80 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) than the episodic increases
41
42 314 associated with human activities, the influence of indoor temperature may be more important for
43
44 315 governing overall airborne SVOCs because of the occasional nature and short duration of the
45
46 316 indoor emitting activities.

47
48
49
50
51 317 ***3.3 Gas- and particle-phase distribution of SVOCs***
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 318 Figure 6 shows the recorded TIC representing the chemical composition along with particle
4
5 319 fraction (0.0 – 1.0, color scale) of SVOCs measured in indoor air during periods with no occupant
6
7 320 activities (indoor background), during cleaning, and during cooking. For the majority of the
8
9
10 321 campaign, SVOCs in the indoor air were found primarily as gaseous species in the studied
11
12 322 residence (Figure 6A). The average recorded gas/particle phase distributions of the total SVOC
13
14 323 concentrations during the campaign (8 December 2017 to 5 January 2018) are illustrated in Figure
15
16
17 324 7. During background measurements (i.e., with no activities such as cooking and cleaning
18
19 325 performed in the residence) less than 10% of the total measured indoor airborne SVOC
20
21 326 concentration was particle-bound. In comparison, 22% of the total measured SVOC concentration
22
23 327 was found in the particle-phase of outdoor air. During the biweekly cleaning, elevated signal
24
25 328 intensities of earlier eluting compounds were observed in the indoor TIC, indicating contributions
26
27 329 of more volatile organics to the indoor air during cleaning. As no significant increase in particle-
28
29 330 bound SVOCs was observed (Figure 6B) compared to background measurements, the addition of
30
31 331 more volatile organics resulted in an overall lower SVOC particle fraction of around 4%.
32
33 332 Conversely, cooking activities, especially when involving the use of the kitchen oven, were found
34
35 333 to produce significant contributions of lower volatility organics to the indoor air. Consequently,
36
37 334 and as highlighted in Figure 6C, many of the SVOCs from oven cooking predominantly exist as
38
39 335 particle-bound compounds with particle fractions in excess of 50%. Accordingly, PM_{2.5}
40
41 336 measurements show elevated particle mass concentrations during cooking events coinciding with
42
43 337 TICs similar to that shown in Figure 6C. In general, the addition of the particle-bound SVOCs to
44
45 338 the indoor air results in an overall 25% SVOC particle fraction during oven cooking events (Figure
46
47 339 7). Interestingly, compared to background measurements, cooking events such as that represented
48
49 340 by Figure 6C reveal evidence of enhanced gas-to-particle partitioning of many semivolatile species
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 341 to the airborne particles. This inference is highlighted by an observed change of particle fraction
4
5 342 of compounds that, during background measurements, were almost exclusively found in the gas
6
7 343 phase (i.e., compounds with GC retention times of 400 to 500 s in Figure 6).
8
9

10 344 *3.4 Effect of ventilation on SVOC concentrations*

11
12
13 345 During the vacant period, a mean air-exchange rate of $0.46 (\pm 0.11) \text{ h}^{-1}$ was determined from the
14
15 346 release and measurement of the inert molecular tracers (Figure S7). Using equation (1), the mean
16
17 347 emission rate of SVOCs in the residence during vacancy is estimated to $6.3 (\pm 2.4) \text{ mg h}^{-1}$.
18
19 348 Interestingly, no discernible influence of the air-exchange rate was found on the indoor
20
21 349 concentration of airborne SVOCs during the vacant period (Figure S7). A possible explanation for
22
23 350 this observation is that the time scale to attain steady state in indoor SVOC concentrations is faster
24
25 351 than the ventilation time scale. That expectation was suggested by Weschler and Nazaroff, with
26
27 352 more rapid sorptive partitioning between air and indoor surfaces accelerating the response time
28
29 353 above that associated with air-exchange alone.⁹ It seems likely that removal of SVOCs from the
30
31 354 indoor air by means of the air exchange in this studied residence ($0.2 - 0.6 \text{ h}^{-1}$ during the vacant
32
33 355 period, Figure S7) is slow compared to the influence of the temperature-modulated partitioning
34
35 356 between the indoor air and surfaces.
36
37
38
39
40

41
42 357 Figure 8 shows total indoor and outdoor SVOC concentrations as measured by the SV-TAG during
43
44 358 the enhanced-ventilation experiment. House-wide venting was initiated at 10 AM. A significant
45
46 359 drop in the total SVOC concentration is observed, reducing the indoor airborne SVOCs to levels
47
48 360 comparable to those in outdoor air. Enhanced ventilation also resulted in a $\sim 1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ drop in indoor
49
50 361 air temperature. The spike in SVOC concentration just before the ventilation was initiated is
51
52 362 attributed to cooking activities inside the residence. After one hour of enhanced ventilation, all
53
54 363 windows and doors were closed, leading to a rapid increase in the SVOC concentration. In the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 364 hours following the house-wide enhanced ventilation, the indoor SVOC levels approach a steady-
4
5 365 state concentration similar to that occurring before enhanced ventilation was initiated (disregarding
6
7 366 the SVOC spike from cooking). Approximately six hours after venting, the indoor SVOC
8
9
10 367 concentrations had effectively recovered. From the enhanced-ventilation experiment and the
11
12 368 measured recovery of the indoor SVOC concentration we derive an e-folding time for the total
13
14 369 SVOCs (i.e., the time required to come back to within 1/e of the steady-state concentration) of 2.2
15
16
17 370 hours (see Supporting Information for details about these calculations). In comparison, the e-
18
19 371 folding time of the added inert tracer was found to be 4.5 hours (Figure S8) corresponding to an
20
21 372 air-exchange rate of 0.22 h^{-1} , for the period immediately following enhanced ventilation. The tracer
22
23
24 373 level is governed by a dynamic balance between controlled emissions and removal by means of
25
26 374 ventilation. Following the enhanced ventilation, the e-folding time of the tracer relaxing back to
27
28 375 its higher steady state value depends only on the removal rate (i.e. the air-exchange rate).
29
30
31 376 Therefore, the lower e-folding time of the SVOCs compared to the inert tracer is indicative of
32
33 377 additional SVOC removal (besides removal by ventilation) by means of adsorption onto the indoor
34
35 378 surfaces. From the derived e-folding time of the inert tracers and the total SVOC concentration we
36
37
38 379 estimate the effective first-order adsorption loss-rate coefficient (i.e. for loss of SVOCs to surfaces)
39
40 380 to be 0.23 h^{-1} (see Supporting Information) suggesting that sorptive uptake to indoor surfaces of
41
42 381 the detected SVOCs is comparable to ventilation as a removal process in the studied residence.
43
44 382 The estimated adsorption coefficient for the total SVOC is within the range of those of individual
45
46 383 SVOCs reported in previous studies.^{56,57} (Note that reported values for individual compounds vary
47
48 384 by more than an order of magnitude.^{56,57}). The reported sorptive uptake provides evidence for the
49
50
51 385 formation of SVOC-reservoirs on indoor surface from which temperature-modulated emissions
52
53
54 386 could contribute to the measured airborne SVOC concentrations in the studied residence.
55
56
57
58
59
60

387 4. Conclusions

388 In this work we have explored the chemical composition, dynamic behavior, and phase distribution
389 of SVOCs in a normally occupied northern California residence. Using a dataset with hourly time
390 resolution and extensive chemical speciation, we have assessed the influence of environmental
391 parameters along with human occupancy and activities on the indoor concentrations of airborne
392 SVOCs. The measurements and analysis reveal indoor air that contains a large number of
393 semivolatile organic compounds, spanning broad ranges of chemical functionalities and
394 volatilities. Indoor air in the studied house is characterized by elevated baseline concentrations of
395 SVOCs compared to outdoors, punctuated by episodic increases observed during occupancy that
396 are related to indoor activities such as cooking and cleaning. During a one-week vacant period,
397 little difference was observed in the total SVOC composition and concentration compared to the
398 occupied period, indicating that SVOCs in the studied residence are controlled to a large extent by
399 emissions from building materials, household interior furnishings and indoor surface reservoirs.
400 Supporting this inference, the total airborne SVOC concentration shows a positive temperature
401 dependence during both occupied and vacant periods, evidence that temperature-modulated
402 emissions and/or partitioning with indoor surfaces is a key component of indoor SVOC dynamics.

403 Addressing the influence of human occupants on indoor air, the current work shows that occupant
404 related activities are a major source of SVOCs to the indoor environment. In particular, cooking
405 and cleaning contribute to enhanced chemical complexity from the direct emissions of a wide
406 range of distinct organic compounds. With total SVOC concentrations increasing by $>100 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$
407 during some events, cooking in a normally occupied residence can be a major contributor to the
408 indoor burden of semivolatile organic compounds.

1
2
3 409 In general, we found that gas-phase SVOCs accounted for more than 90% of the total airborne
4
5 410 (gas plus particle) SVOC concentrations in this residence. However, measurement of the SVOC
6
7 411 gas/particle distributions revealed high contributions of low volatility particle-bound organics
8
9 412 during cooking events along with evidence of cooking associated enhancement of the partitioning
10
11 413 into the particle phase of many semivolatile species responding to the increased indoor particle
12
13 414 mass concentrations. This finding illustrates how human activities that emit particles (i.e., cooking)
14
15 415 may alter the phase distribution of SVOCs in indoor environments and thus consequently alter
16
17 416 patterns and phases of occupant exposures.
18
19
20
21

22 417 Venting the indoor environment with less-polluted outdoor air significantly reduces the airborne
23
24 418 concentrations of SVOCs. However, owing in part to reemission of SVOCs to the indoor air from
25
26 419 abundant indoor sources and reservoirs, transitory enhanced ventilation, such as performed here,
27
28 420 results in only temporary improvement of indoor air quality, thus emphasizing the challenges to
29
30 421 be overcome in efforts to reducing indoor exposure to SVOCs on a sustained basis.
31
32
33
34

35 422 **References**

- 36
37 423 1. Bekö G, Kjeldsen BU, Olsen Y, et al. Contribution of various microenvironments to the daily
38
39 424 personal exposure to ultrafine particles: Personal monitoring coupled with GPS tracking.
40
41 425 *Atmos Environ.* 2015;110:122-129.
42
43 426 2. Klepeis NE, Nelson WC, Ott WR, et al. The National Human Activity Pattern Survey
44
45 427 (NHAPS): a resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants. *J Expo Anal*
46
47 428 *Environ Epidemiol.* 2001;11:231-252.
48
49 429 3. Lucattini L, Poma G, Covaci A, de Boer J, Lamoree M, Leonards PEG. A review of semi-
50
51 430 volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) in the indoor environment: Occurrence in consumer
52
53 431 products, indoor air and dust. *Chemosphere.* 2018;201:466-482.
54
55 432 4. Weschler CJ. Changes in indoor pollutants since the 1950s. *Atmos Environ.* 2009;43:156-
56
57 433 172.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 434 5. Nazaroff WW, Goldstein AH. Indoor chemistry: research opportunities and challenges.
4 435 *Indoor Air*. 2015;25:357-361.
6
7 436 6. Cadogan DF, Howick CJ. Plasticizers. *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*.
8 437 2000;27:599-618.
10
11 438 7. Kemmlein S, Hahn O, Jann O. Emissions of organophosphate and brominated flame
12 439 retardants from selected consumer products and building materials. *Atmos Environ*. 2003;37:
14 440 5485-5493.
16
17 441 8. WHO, Indoor Air Quality: Organic Pollutants. Report on a WHO meeting, Berlin (West), 23-
18 442 27 August 1987. Copenhagen: World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe.
20 443 1989.
22 444 9. Weschler CJ, Nazaroff WW. Semivolatile organic compounds in indoor environments.
23 445 *Atmos Environ*. 2008;42:9018-9040.
25
26 446 10. Weschler CJ, Nazaroff WW. Dermal uptake of organic vapors commonly found in indoor air.
27 447 *Environ Sci Technol*. 2014;48:1230-1237.
29
30 448 11. Salthammer T, Zhang Y, Mo J, Koch HM, Weschler CJ. Assessing human exposure to
31 449 organic pollutants in the indoor environment. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2018;57:12228-
33 450 12263.
35
36 451 12. Melymuk L, Bohlin P, Sáňka O, Pozo K, Klánová J. Current challenges in air sampling of
37 452 semivolatile organic contaminants: Sampling artifacts and their influence on data
39 453 comparability. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2014;48:14077-14091.
41
42 454 13. Bonvallet N, Mandin C, Mercier F, Le Bot B, Glorennec P. Health ranking of ingested semi-
43 455 volatile organic compounds in house dust: an application to France. *Indoor Air*. 2010;20:458-
45 456 472.
46
47 457 14. Fournier K, Glorennec P, Bonvallet N. An exposure-based framework for grouping
48 458 pollutants for a cumulative risk assessment approach: Case study of indoor semi-volatile
50 459 organic compounds. *Environ Res*. 2014;130:20-28.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 460 15. Buser MC, Murray HE, Scinicariello F. Age and sex differences in childhood and adulthood
4 461 obesity association with phthalates: Analyses of NHANES 2007–2010. *Int J Hyg Environ*
5 462 *Health*. 2014;217:687-694.
6
7
8
9 463 16. Rudel RA, Perovich LJ. Endocrine disrupting chemicals in indoor and outdoor air. *Atmos*
10 464 *Environ*. 2009;43:170-181.
11
12
13 465 17. Bräuner EV, Andersen ZJ, Frederiksen M, et al. Health effects of PCBs in residences and
14 466 schools (HESPERUS): PCB – health cohort profile. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:24571.
15
16
17 467 18. Destailhats H, Maddalena RL, Singer BC, Hodgson AT, McKone TE. Indoor pollutants
18 468 emitted by office equipment: A review of reported data and information needs. *Atmos*
19 469 *Environ*. 2008;42:1371-1388.
20
21
22 470 19. Wang L, Zhao B, Liu C, Lin H, Yang X, Zhang Y. Indoor SVOC pollution in China: A
23 471 review. *Chin Sci Bull*. 2010;55:1469-1478.
24
25
26 472 20. Blanchard O, Glorennec P, Mercier F, et al. Semivolatile organic compounds in indoor air
27 473 and settled dust in 30 French dwellings. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2014;48:3959-3969.
28
29
30 474 21. Raffy G, Mercier F, Blanchard O, et al. Semi-volatile organic compounds in the air and dust
31 475 of 30 French schools: a pilot study. *Indoor Air*. 2017;27:114-127.
32
33
34 476 22. Ali N, Dirtu AC, Van den Eede N, et al. Occurrence of alternative flame retardants in indoor
35 477 dust from New Zealand: Indoor sources and human exposure assessment. *Chemosphere*.
36 478 2012;88:1276-1282.
37
38
39
40 479 23. Kanazawa A, Saito I, Araki A, et al. Association between indoor exposure to semi-volatile
41 480 organic compounds and building-related symptoms among the occupants of residential
42 481 dwellings. *Indoor Air*. 2010;20:72-84.
43
44
45 482 24. Cequier E, Ionas AC, Covaci A, Marcé RM, Becher G, Thomsen C. Occurrence of a broad
46 483 range of legacy and emerging flame retardants in indoor environments in Norway. *Environ*
47 484 *Sci Technol*. 2014;48:6827-6835.
48
49
50
51 485 25. Harrad S, Goosey E, Desborough J, Abdallah MA-E, Roosens L, Covaci A. Dust from U.K.
52 486 primary school classrooms and daycare centers: the significance of dust as a pathway of
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 487 exposure of young U.K. children to brominated flame retardants and polychlorinated
4 biphenylyls. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2010;44:4198-4202.
5 488
6
7 489 26. Król S, Zabiegała B, Namieśnik J. Monitoring and analytics of semivolatile organic
8 compounds (SVOCs) in indoor air. *Anal Bioanal Chem*. 2011;400:1751-1769.
9 490
10
11 491 27. MacIntosh DL, Minegishi T, Fragala MA, et al. Mitigation of building-related
12 polychlorinated biphenyls in indoor air of a school. *Environ Health*. 2012;11:24.
13 492
14
15 493 28. Mull B, Horn W, Jann O. Investigations on the emissions of biocides and PCBs under low
16 volume conditions. *Chemosphere*. 2015;118:65-71.
17 494
18
19 495 29. Rauert C, Lazarov B, Harrad S, Covaci A, Stranger M. A review of chamber experiments for
20 determining specific emission rates and investigating migration pathways of flame retardants.
21 496
22 497 *Atmos Environ*. 2014;82:44-55.
23
24
25 498 30. Wu Y, Cox SS, Xu Y, et al. A reference method for measuring emissions of SVOCs in small
26 chambers. *Build Environ*. 2016;95:126-132.
27 499
28
29 500 31. Katsumata H, Murakami S, Kato S, Hoshino K, Ataka Y. Measurement of semi-volatile
30 organic compounds emitted from various types of indoor materials by thermal desorption test
31 501
32 502 chamber method. *Build Environ*. 2008;43:378-383.
33
34 503 32. Kim JH, Kim SH, Lee CH, Nah J-W, Hahn A. DEHP migration behavior from excessively
35 plasticized PVC sheets. *Bull Korean Chem Soc*. 2003;24:345-349.
36 504
37
38 505 33. Liang Y, Caillot O, Zhang J, Zhu J, Xu Y. Large-scale chamber investigation and simulation
39 of phthalate emissions from vinyl flooring. *Build Environ*. 2015;89:141-149.
40 506
41
42 507 34. Ni Y, Kumagai K, Yanagisawa Y. Measuring emissions of organophosphate flame retardants
43 using a passive flux sampler. *Atmos Environ*. 2007;41:3235-3240.
44 508
45
46 509 35. Wei W, Mandin C, Ramalho O. Influence of indoor environmental factors on mass transfer
47 parameters and concentrations of semi-volatile organic compounds. *Chemosphere*. 2018;195:
48 510
49 511 223-235.
50
51
52 512 36. Cao J, Mo J, Sun Z, Zhang Y. Indoor particle age, a new concept for improving the accuracy
53 of estimating indoor airborne SVOC concentrations, and applications. *Build Environ*. 2018;
54 513
55 514 136:88-97.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 515 37. Benning JL, Liu Z, Tiwari A, Little JC, Marr LC. Characterizing gas-particle interactions of
4 516 phthalate plasticizer emitted from vinyl flooring. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2013;47:2696-2703.
5
6
7 517 38. Wu Y, Eichler CMA, Cao J, et al. Particle/gas partitioning of phthalates to organic and
8 518 inorganic airborne particles in the indoor environment. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2018;52:3583-
9 519 3590.
10
11
12 520 39. Cao J, Liu C, Zhang Y. Influence of airborne particles on convective mass transfer of SVOCs
13 521 on flat surfaces: Novel insight and estimation formula. *Int J Heat Mass Transf.*
14 522 2017;115:127-136.
15
16
17 523 40. Zhang X, Arnot JA, Wania F. Model for screening-level assessment of near-field human
18 524 exposure to neutral organic chemicals released indoors. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2014;48:
19 525 12312-12319.
20
21
22 526 41. Moreau-Guigon E, Alliot F, Gaspéri J, et al. Seasonal fate and gas/particle partitioning of
23 527 semi-volatile organic compounds in indoor and outdoor air. *Atmos Environ.* 2016;147:423-
24 528 433.
25
26
27 529 42. Weschler CJ. Roles of the human occupant in indoor chemistry. *Indoor Air.* 2016;26:6-24.
28
29
30 530 43. Liu Y, Misztal P, Xiong J, et al. Detailed investigation of ventilation rates and airflow
31 531 patterns in a northern California residence. *Indoor Air.* 2018;28:572-584.
32
33
34 532 44. Isaacman G, Kreisberg NM, Yee LD, et al. Online derivatization for hourly measurements of
35 533 gas- and particle-phase semi-volatile oxygenated organic compounds by thermal desorption
36 534 aerosol gas chromatography (SV-TAG). *Atmos Meas Tech.* 2014;7:4417-4429.
37
38
39 535 45. Zhao Y, Kreisberg NM, Worton DR, Teng AP, Hering SV, Goldstein AH. Development of
40 536 an in situ thermal desorption gas chromatography instrument for quantifying atmospheric
41 537 semi-volatile organic compounds. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 2013;47:258-266.
42
43
44 538 46. Isaacman-VanWertz G, Yee LD, Kreisberg NM, et al. Ambient gas-particle partitioning of
45 539 tracers for biogenic oxidation. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2016;50:9952-9962.
46
47
48 540 47. Kreisberg NM, Worton DR, Zhao Y, Isaacman G, Goldstein AH, Hering SV. Development
49 541 of an automated high-temperature valveless injection system for online gas chromatography.
50 542 *Atmos Meas Tech.* 2014;7:4431-4444.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 543 48. Stein SE. Estimating probabilities of correct identification from results of mass spectral
4 544 library searches. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom.* 1994;5:316-323.
5
6
7 545 49. Reitzig M, Mohr S, Heinzow B, Knöppel H. VOC emissions after building renovations:
8 546 traditional and less common indoor air contaminants, potential sources, and reported health
9 547 complaints. *Indoor Air.* 1998;8:91-102.
10
11
12 548 50. Horii Y, Kannan K. Survey of organosilicone compounds, including cyclic and linear
13 549 siloxanes, in personal-care and household products. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol.*
14 550 2008;55:701-710.
15
16
17
18 551 51. Nazaroff WW, Weschler CJ. Cleaning products and air fresheners: exposure to primary and
19 552 secondary air pollutants. *Atmos Environ.* 2004;38:2841-2865.
20
21
22 553 52. Robinson AL, Subramanian R, Donahue NM, Bernardo-Bricker A, Rogge WF. Source
23 554 apportionment of molecular markers and organic aerosol. 3. Food cooking emissions.
24 555 *Environ Sci Technol.* 2006;40:7820-7827.
25
26
27
28 556 53. Rudel RA, Dodson RE, Perovich LJ, et al. Semivolatile endocrine-disrupting compounds in
29 557 paired indoor and outdoor air in two northern California communities. *Environ Sci Technol.*
30 558 2010;44:6583-6590.
31
32
33
34 559 54. Wilford BH, Harner T, Zhu J, Shoeib M, Jones KC. Passive sampling survey of
35 560 polybrominated diphenyl ether flame retardants in indoor and outdoor air in Ottawa, Canada:
36 561 implications for sources and exposure. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2004;38:5312-5318.
37
38
39
40 562 55. Bohlin P, Jones KC, Tovalin H, Strandberg B. Observations on persistent organic pollutants
41 563 in indoor and outdoor air using passive polyurethane foam samplers. *Atmos Environ.*
42 564 2008;42:7234-7241.
43
44
45 565 56. Singer BC, Revzan KL, Hotchi T, Hodgson AT, Brown NJ. Sorption of organic gases in a
46 566 furnished room. *Atmos Environ.* 2004;38:2483-2494.
47
48
49 567 57. Singer BC, Hodgson AT, Hotchi T, et al. Sorption of organic gases in residential rooms. *Atmos*
50 568 *Environ.* 2007;41:3251-3265.
51
52
53 569

1
2
3 570 **Figure 1. A)** Total ion chromatogram (blue) from SV-TAG analysis of indoor gas-plus-particle
4
5 571 sample during normal occupancy with no indoor activities. Signal contribution from selected
6
7 572 compounds are highlighted in pink with corresponding compound information shown in the inset
8
9
10 573 table. **B)** Extracted ion chromatograms of m/z -ratios associated with alkane (m/z 57, orange),
11
12 574 alcohol (m/z 75, blue), aromatics (i.e. aliphatic benzenes, m/z 91, green), and acid (m/z 129, red)
13
14 575 functionalities. Straight-chained alkanes, alcohols and acids are labelled according to their carbon
15
16 576 chain length (e.g. tridecane, $C_{13}H_{28}$ is labelled as C13 alkane).

17
18
19
20 577 **Figure 2.** Total ion chromatograms from SV-TAG analysis of gas-plus-particle samples from
21
22 578 outdoor (black), indoor occupied (no activity, blue), cleaning (green) and cooking (red).
23
24 579 Compounds associated with the biweekly cleaning (1-nonanol, terpineol) are labelled as well as
25
26 580 carboxylic acids (palmitic acid, oleic acid, steric acid), glycerols (linoleoyl glycerol, palmitoyl
27
28 581 glycerol, monomyristin, monopalmitin, monostearin) and sterols (cholesterol and sitosterol)
29
30 582 identified in the indoor air during cooking activities.

31
32
33
34
35 583 **Figure 3. A)** Total alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) in outdoor (black) and indoor
36
37 584 (blue) gas-plus-particle samples as measured by the SV-TAG from 8 December 2017 to 5 January
38
39 585 2018. The vacant period is highlighted in teal. **B)** Average (\pm standard deviation, shaded) total
40
41 586 (gas-plus-particle) alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) as a function of time of day
42
43 587 measured outdoors (black) and indoors during occupied (blue) and vacant (teal) period.

1
2
3 588 **Figure 4.** Total (gas-plus-particle) alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) versus indoor
4
5 589 air temperature during vacant (teal) and occupied periods (blue, no associated emitting
6
7 590 activities). Measured SVOC concentrations during indoor activities (cooking (red), cleaning
8
9 591 (green) and candle burning (yellow)) are highlighted; some show elevated concentrations that are
10
11 592 associated with emissions from occupant activities.
12
13
14

15 593 **Figure 5. A)** Box plot showing the outdoor and indoor gas-plus-particle phase SVOC
16
17 594 concentrations ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) during the vacant period and during the period of normal occupancy along
18
19 595 with the total concentrations associated with indoor activities (stovetop and oven cooking,
20
21 596 cleaning, and candle light burning). **B)** SVOC concentrations ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) during different level of
22
23 597 occupancy during vacant (teal) and occupied (blue) periods.
24
25
26
27

28 598 **Figure 6.** Total ion chromatograms (TIC) from SV-TAG analysis of gas-plus-particle samples
29
30 599 from **(A)** indoor background, **(B)** cleaning, and **(C)** cooking (oven). Particle fraction (0.0-1.0) of
31
32 600 the organic compounds in the recorded TICs are calculated from simultaneous SV-TAG particle-
33
34 601 only samples and indicated by the colour scale.
35
36
37

38 602 **Figure 7.** Gas/particle phase distribution of indoor and outdoor SVOCs measured by SV-TAG
39
40 603 from 10 December 2017 to 3 January 2018 in a single-family residence in northern California
41
42 604 during normal occupancy and during a vacant period (22-27 December 2017). Gas/particle phase
43
44 605 measurements were performed once every four hours thus not all cooking events are represented
45
46 606 (see Table S2 in Supporting Information for overview of the cooking events). The reported average
47
48 607 total (gas-plus-particle) concentrations ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ (\pm standard deviation)) are related to the SV-TAG
49
50 608 gas/particle phase measurements only. The indoor occupied gas/particle phase distribution and
51
52 609 average SVOC concentration does not include cooking and cleaning events.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

610 **Figure 8.** Gas-plus-particle SVOC concentrations in indoor (blue) and outdoor (black) air
611 measured by the SV-TAG during enhanced ventilation experiment performed on 1 February 2018.
612 Venting (opening of all doors and windows) was initiated at 10:25 AM. At 12:35 AM all doors
613 and windows were closed.

PROOF

Figures for

“Sources and Dynamics of Semivolatile Organic Compounds in a Single-Family Residence in Northern California”

Kasper Kristensen^{1, #}, David Lunderberg², Yingjun Liu^{1, †}, Pawel K. Misztal^{1, ‡}, Yilin Tian³, Caleb Arata², William W Nazaroff³, and Allen H. Goldstein^{1, 3}




Figure 1.




Figure 2.

PROOF




Figure 3.

PROOF

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 4.

PROOF




Figure 5.

PROOF




Figure 6.




Figure 7.




Figure 8.

PROOF

Supporting Information for

“Sources and Dynamics of Semivolatile Organic Compounds in a Single-Family Residence in Northern California”

Kasper Kristensen^{1, #}, David Lunderberg², Yingjun Liu^{1, †}, Pawel K. Misztal^{1, ‡}, Yilin Tian³, Caleb Arata², William W Nazaroff³, and Allen H. Goldstein^{1,3}

¹*Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California, Berkeley, USA*

²*Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA*

³*Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, USA*

[#]*Now at Department of Engineering, Aarhus University, Denmark*

[†]*Now at College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, China*

[‡]*Now at Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK*


**Corresponding email: ahg@berkeley.edu*

Table S1. Products used by the cleaning crew during the biweekly cleaning of the studied residence.

Brand	Product
Dawn®	Antibacterial hand soap
Pledge®	Furniture spray (lemon scent)
Ajax®	Powder cleanser with bleach
Clorox®	Hard surface spray cleaner, with bleach
Windex®	Glass and multisurface cleaner

Table S2. Residence occupant activity log. Activities captured by the semivolatile thermal-desorption aerosol gas chromatography (SV-TAG) gas/particle distribution measurements are marked by “x”.

Date	Time		Location	Activity	SV-TAG gas/particle distribution measurement	Date	Time		Location	Activity	SV-TAG gas/particle distribution measurement
	From	To					From	To			
12/10/2017	16:30	18:15	kitchen	oven	x	12/17/2017	12:40		kitchen	cooking	
12/10/2017	20:30		kitchen	oven		12/17/2017	17:45		kitchen	cooking	x
12/11/2017	8:50	9:00	kitchen	cooking		12/18/2017	9:00		kitchen	cooking	
12/11/2017	17:50	18:30	kitchen	oven			12:00		kitchen	cooking	
12/12/2017	7:08	7:14	kitchen	cooking		12/18/2017					
12/12/2017	8:45	8:55	kitchen	cooking	x	12/19/2017	23:20	23:46		candles	
12/12/2017	19:00	19:10	kitchen	cooking		12/20/2017	7:16	7:20	kitchen	cooking	
12/12/2017	19:30	20:30		candles		12/20/2017	7:44	7:49	kitchen	cooking	
12/13/2017	16:33		kitchen	Tea		12/21/2017	11:25	11:45	kitchen	cooking	
12/13/2017	18:08	18:35	outdoor	cooking	x	12/21/2017	17:50	18:20	kitchen	cooking	
12/13/2017	18:08	18:35	kitchen	cooking		12/29/2017			house	cleaning	x
12/13/2017	18:03	18:10	kitchen	cooking		12/29/2017	20:30		kitchen	Tea	
12/13/2017	18:50			candles		12/30/2017	9:42	9:45	kitchen	cooking	
12/14/2017	12:12	12:20	kitchen	cooking	x	12/30/2017	10:20	10:26	kitchen	cooking	
12/14/2017	18:45		kitchen	oven		12/30/2017	13:00	13:10	kitchen	cooking	
12/14/2017	18:45	19:25	kitchen	cooking	x	12/30/2017	15:45	18:00	kitchen	cooking	x
12/14/2017	20:25	21:35		candles		12/30/2017	17:00	18:12	kitchen	oven	
12/15/2017	14:30	16:00	house	cleaning	x	12/31/2017	10:45	11:45	kitchen	cooking	
12/16/2017	9:00	9:19	kitchen	cooking	x	12/31/2017	12:10	13:00	kitchen	cooking	
12/16/2017	9:41		kitchen	cooking		1/1/2018	17:52		kitchen	Tea	
12/16/2017	13:23		kitchen	cooking		1/2/2018	10:02	10:16	kitchen	cooking	
12/16/2017	15:57	16:45	kitchen	oven	x	1/2/2018	14:21		kitchen	popcorn	
12/16/2017	22:45					1/2/2018	17:20	17:25	kitchen	cooking	
12/17/2017	10:27	10:56	kitchen	oven		1/2/2018	17:00	17:45	kitchen	oven	
12/17/2017	9:40		kitchen	cooking	x	1/3/2018	17:00	17:05	kitchen	cooking	x
						1/3/2018	18:50	19:10	kitchen	cooking	



37 **Figure S1.** House floor plan at site House 2 (H2). Sampling for semivolatile organic compounds
38 (SVOCs) was done through stainless steel tubes from the living room and from outside the instrument
39 shed. The door between the kitchen and living room was open throughout the experimental monitoring
40 period.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Total alkane-equivalent semivolatile organic compound (SVOC) concentration calibration

Figure S2 shows the total peak signals of the alkane standards (blue) used for the quantification of the recorded semivolatile thermal-desorption aerosol gas chromatography (SV-TAG) total ion chromatograms (TIC). The signal response of the alkane standard series is applied for the quantification of SVOCs with similar gas chromatography (GC) retention time/volatility (grey and white areas of the TIC) yielding total alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration. Changes in the overall performance of the mass spectrometer (MS) electron impact ionization source over the course of the campaign was corrected for by normalization to the fitted signal of deuterated pentadecanol in the added internal standard (ISTD).




Figure S2. Total ion chromatogram (TIC) from typical gas-plus-particle SV-TAG measurement (black). Blue peaks represent overlaid signal from the non-deuterated straight-chained alkanes from separate SV-TAG measurement of standard solution (12 ng of each alkane) added to the sampling cells. The signal response of the alkane standard series is applied for the quantification of SVOCs with similar GC retention time/volatility (grey and white areas of the TIC) yielding total alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration.

1
2
3 To estimate the uncertainties associated with this method, the TICs recorded from internal and external
4 standard runs are quantified and the resulting total alkane-equivalent concentrations are compared with
5 the known amount of standard material added to the SV-TAG cell. The ISTD includes 44 different
6 deuterated organic compounds covering the following chemical classes; alkanes (36%), alkanolic and
7 benzoic acids (29%), alkenes and polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs, 17%), alcohols and sterols (12%),
8 aldehydes (5%), esters (2%) and sugars (2%). The external standard contains 133 compounds including
9 lignins (13%), sterols (13%), alkanolic acids (13%), alkanes (12%), PAHs (11%), nitroaromatics (10%),
10 pesticides (10%), phenols (4%), esters (4%), sesquiterpenes (2%), siloxanes (2%), alcohols (2%), and
11 sugars (1%). The comparison between the derived alkane-equivalent concentrations and the known
12 amounts added to the SV-TAG cell is shown in Figure S3A. In addition, we compare the total alkane-
13 equivalent SVOC concentration of particle-only SV-TAG measurements with the total PM_{2.5}
14 concentrations measured using a GRIMM Portable Aerosol Spectrometer (Model 1.1008, size range: 0.3
15 – 35 μm) and a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) system including an electrostatic classifier (TSI
16 1080) coupled with a water-based condensation particle counter (CPC, TSI 3788). The SMPS system was
17 optimized for measurements of particles in the range of 10 – 600 nm with a sampling time of 300 s (270 s
18 upscan, 30 s downscan, aerosol flow rate = 0.6 L min⁻¹, sheath flow rate = 6 L min⁻¹). The comparison of
19 the derived particle-phase (PM_{2.5}) total alkane-equivalent SVOC and the measured total PM_{2.5} particle
20 mass is shown in Figure S3B. Based on the results shown in Figure S3 the uncertainty associated with the
21 applied quantification method is estimated to < 30%.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure S3. A) Comparison between derived alkane-equivalent mass and actual mass of standard material added to the SV-TAG cell during internal standard (ISTD, black) runs and external standard runs (blue). **B)** Comparison between derived alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration from SV-TAG particle-only measurements and PM2.5 mass measured by GRIMM Portable Aerosol Spectrometer and SMPS system.




Figure S4. Average (\pm standard deviation) total (gas plus particle) alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) and temperature (black) as a function of time of day measured in indoor air during occupied (blue) and vacant (teal) period. Sharp temperature increases during vacant period at 6 AM and 6 PM are caused by the central heating system, which was controlled by means of a programmable thermostat.




Figure S5. Total ion chromatogram (TIC) from gas-plus-particle SV-TAG measurement performed on 24 December (vacant period) at 6 AM (indoor temperature = 16 °C, blue) and 8 PM (indoor temperature = 19 °C, red). Bottom panel shows the 8 PM/6 AM ratio (i.e. 19 °C /16 °C-ratio) of the SVOC signals across the TIC.




Figure S6. Total ion chromatogram (TIC) from gas-plus-particle SV-TAG measurement performed during the occupied period shortly before the occupants vacated the residence (blue) and during the vacant period (teal).




Figure S7. Indoor total airborne (gas-plus-particle phase) alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, blue), temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$, pink), air exchange rate (AER, h^{-1} , red) and the derived SVOC emission rate (mg h^{-1} , black) during the vacant period. SVOC emission rates are calculated using Equation (1) from the main article.

Calculation of e -folding time and the SVOC-surface sorption coefficient

Using the measured tracer and SVOC concentration rebound following venting we estimate the SVOC and tracer e -folding times, τ . For a step-change in conditions that would cause a transformation from steady concentration, C_1 , to a new steady concentration, C_2 , the e -folding time is defined as the time required for a fraction equal to $1-(1/e)$ ($= 63\%$) of the transformation to have occurred. For first-order removal processes, the e -folding times can be represented as

$$\tau_{\text{tracer}} = \frac{1}{k_{\text{tracer}}} \quad \& \quad \tau_{\text{SVOC}} = \frac{1}{k_{\text{SVOC}}} \quad \text{Equation (S1)}$$

where k_{tracer} and k_{SVOC} are the rate constants associated with tracer and SVOC removal processes, respectively, for the post-venting period. For SVOCs, the rate constant (k_{SVOC}) is derived by representing the SVOC concentration rebound after venting with the following equation, in which $k = k_{SVOC}$:

$$C(t) = C(0)e^{-kt} + \frac{S}{k}(1 - e^{-kt}) \quad \text{Equation (S2)}$$

Figure S8A shows the measured SVOC concentrations following the enhanced venting period along with the SVOC concentration as represented by Equation S2 ($C(t)$) consisting of the two terms: $C(0)e^{-kt}$ and $\frac{S}{k}(1 - e^{-kt})$; the latter is compared with that of the inert tracer in Figure S8B which also shows the derived e -folding times, τ .




Figure S8. **A)** Indoor total airborne (gas-plus-particle phase) alkane-equivalent SVOC concentration as measured by SV-TAG ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, markers) along with the SVOC concentration as represented by Equation 4 after all windows and doors were closed following the enhanced-venting experiment. **B)** Comparison of the increase in indoor concentrations following venting of SVOC as represented by $\frac{S}{k}(1 - e^{-kt})$ in Equation S2 and the added inert tracer (butane-d3) along with the derived e -folding times (τ_{tracer} and τ_{SVOC}).

To estimate λ_a , the first-order loss rate coefficient of SVOCs associated with sorption onto indoor surfaces, we adopt the following model from Singer et al.,¹

$$\frac{dC}{dt}V = -(\lambda_v + \lambda_a)CV + \lambda_dMA \quad \text{Equation (S3)}$$

Here, C is the airborne species concentration ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$), V is the house volume (m^3), A is the exposed interior surface area (m^2) and λ_v , λ_a , and λ_d are the rate coefficients (h^{-1}) describing the rates of removal by ventilation, sorptive uptake to surfaces and desorption from surfaces, respectively; M is the sorbed-phase species abundance ($\mu\text{g m}^{-2}$). For the purposes of the SVOC rebound in our study, i.e. over a short experimental period immediately after closing the windows and doors, we treat M as time invariant, specifically $dM/dt \sim 0$, which is justified by the expectation that the sorbed quantity of SVOCs is much greater than the amount that would be found in the house air at any time. In the case of $dM/dt \sim 0$, Equation S3 may be treated as a classic material balance of this form:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -LC + S \quad \text{Equation (S4)}$$

The characteristic time to respond to a step change in conditions in such a situation is $\tau \sim 1/L$. In this case, for the SVOC signal:

$$\tau_{SVOC} \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_a + \lambda_v} \quad \text{Equation (S5)}$$

In the case of the inert tracer, the removal only depends on ventilation. Consequently,

$$\tau_{tracer} \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_v} \quad \text{Equation (S6)}$$

From the evaluation of e-folding times for SVOC and for the tracer, we can estimate the SVOC sorption rate coefficient through application of Equations S5 and S6:

$$\lambda_a \sim \frac{1}{\tau_{SVOC}} - \frac{1}{\tau_{tracer}} \quad \text{Equation (S7)}$$

1
2
3 From the enhanced-venting experiment we find that: $\tau_{tracer} = 4.5$ h and $\tau_{SVOC} = 2.2$ h, and so:
4
5

$$\lambda_a \sim \frac{1}{2.2 \text{ h}} - \frac{1}{4.5 \text{ h}}$$

$$\lambda_a \sim 0.23 \text{ h}^{-1}$$

16 **References**

- 17
18
19
20 1. Singer BC, Hodgson AT, Hotchi T, et al. Sorption of organic gases in residential rooms. *Atmos*
21 *Environ.* 2007;41:3251-3265.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60