Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

EXCITED-STATE PROTON-TRANSFER REACTIONS IN 1-NAPHTHOL

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5n9128t8>

Author

Webb, S.P.

Publication Date

1984-07-01

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

CHEMICAL BIODYNAMICS DIVISION

To be published as a chapter in Ultrafast Phenomena,
D.H. Auston and K.B. Eisenthal, Springer-Verlag,
Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1984

EXCITED-STATE PROTON-TRANSFER REACTIONS
IN 1-NAPHTHOL

S.P. Webb, S.W. Yeh, L.A. Philips,
M.A. Tolbert, and J.H. Clark

July 1984

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

SEP 10 1984

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Excited-State Proton-Transfer Reactions in 1-Naphthol*

S. P. Webb, Sheila W. Yeh[†], Laura A. Philips, M. A. Tolbert[‡], and J. H. Clark[§]

Laboratory of Chemical Biodynamics, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
and

Department of Chemistry, University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

1. Introduction

Proton-transfer reactions provide the fundamental basis for acid-base chemistry in protic solvents. Literally hundreds of examples of excited-state proton-transfer reactions are known[1]. Picosecond, time-resolved measurements on spectrally distinct acid-base pairs yield direct kinetic information and provide insight into the effect of molecular structure on excited-state proton-transfer dynamics[2,3]. One particularly interesting and seemingly paradoxical system is 1-naphthol. From a Förster cycle calculation, 1-naphthol is predicted to have an excited state pK similar to 2-naphthol[4], for which steady-state emission from both the neutral (acidic) and anionic (basic) excited-state species is clearly observed. In contrast, the neutral form of excited 1-naphthol shows "very weak" fluorescence which is "extremely difficult" to measure[5]. Detailed studies of the mechanism and kinetics of excited-state proton transfer in 1-naphthol are needed to elucidate how the differences in molecular structure between these molecules affect excited-state proton-transfer processes.

2. Experimental

Samples of preparative-HPLC-purified 1-naphthol in degassed aqueous (H₂O or D₂O) solution were excited with 266 nm, ~20 ps pulses obtained by quadrupling the output of a passively modelocked Nd:YAG oscillator/amplifier system (Quantel, YG400). Temporal profiles of emission were monitored using an ultrafast streak camera (Hadland Photonics, IMACON 500) system described elsewhere in this volume[6]. The ~10⁻³ M solutions were prepared by dissolving 1-naphthol in a pH 12 solution of NaOH and adjusting to the desired pH with concentrated HCl. All solutions were maintained and studied under oxygen-free conditions. A similar procedure was used to prepare samples in D₂O using NaOD and DCl.

3. Results and Discussion

The ultimate goal of this work is to develop predictive models for excited-state proton-transfer reactions. This can be accomplished by isolating and quantitatively determining those rates that are significantly altered as the experimental conditions (e.g., molecular structure, solvent, temperature, and pressure) are changed. Kinetic schemes for coupled, two-state systems, Fig. 1, have been previously developed[1]. They provide an accurate description of

---

*Supported by U.S. Dept. of Energy Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098

[†]National Science Foundation Pre-doctoral Fellow

[‡]Present address: California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

[§]Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellow and Henry and Camille Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar

Fig. 1 Kinetic scheme for excited-state proton-transfer in 1-naphthol: k_{dp} , k_{dp}^* , k_p , and k_p^* represent the ground and excited state deprotonation and protonation rate constants, respectively; and k_r , k'_r , k_f , k'_f , k_q , and k'_q are the rate constants for radiationless processes, fluorescence, and proton-induced quenching for the neutral and anionic species, respectively

excited-state proton-transfer reactions in 1-naphthol[4]. The clear isosbestic point at ~450 nm in the picosecond, time-resolved emission spectra, Fig. 2, demonstrates the validity of a two-state model. Kinetic expressions for the time dependence of the emission intensity from the neutral, $I(\text{ROH}^*)$, and anionic, $I(\text{RO}^{*-})$, species, as derived from such a model, have the general form:

$$I(\text{ROH}^*) = A_1 e^{-\gamma_1 t} + A_2 e^{-\gamma_2 t} \quad (1)$$

$$I(\text{RO}^{*-}) = B(e^{-\gamma_2 t} - e^{-\gamma_1 t}). \quad (2)$$

The good agreement between the fall time of the emission from the neutral form and the risetime of the emission from the anion, Fig. 3, confirms the validity of the kinetic model. Previous steady-state results have not provided accurate rate constants for this system[5]. However, the complex relationship between the observed double exponential behavior of the emission and the various kinetic parameters also makes analysis based solely on time-dependent methods difficult. While all the needed rates can, in principle, be extracted from a measure of γ_1 , γ_2 , and the ratio A_1/A_2 as a function of hydrogen ion concentration, scatter in the experimental results have thus far made it impossible to obtain both the slopes and intercepts with high accuracy.

Combination of the results of steady-state measurements with direct, time-resolved measurements yields the most accurate determination of the desired rates. For example, the rate constants τ_0 ($1/\tau_0 = k_f + k_r$) and k_{dp}^* (see Fig. 1) can be readily determined from

$$\phi'/\phi_0' = k_{dp}^*/\gamma_1 = k_{dp}^*/(k_{dp}^* + 1/\tau_0), \quad (3)$$

where ϕ'/ϕ_0' is the quantum yield of fluorescence of the anion at neutral pH relative to that at high pH, and γ_1 is obtained from the neutral fall time and/or the anion risetime at pH 7. A short summary of representative results from such an analysis are given in Table 1 for both H_2O and D_2O . 1-Naphthol fluorescence in D_2O shows similar overall behavior but considerably slower kinetics, by a factor of ~3.5, compared to the H_2O results. At neutral pH, where quenching by D_3O^+ is negligible, there is still a substantial isotope effect. Both k_{dp}^* and τ_0 become markedly slower. This result strongly suggests that there is significant solvent quenching of the neutral fluorescence, and points up the importance of hydrogen bonding in these systems.

Fig. 2 Time-resolved emission spectra of 1-naphthol in pH 7 aqueous solution

Fig. 3 Time-resolved emission from the neutral (A, 350 ± 5 nm) and anionic (B, 500 ± 5 nm) forms of 1-naphthol in pH 7 aqueous solution. Best fit fall time for curve A is 35 ± 4 ps. Best fit risetime for curve B is 30 ± 3 ps

Table 1. Measured rates, lifetimes, and relative fluorescence quantum yields for 1-naphthol in H_2O and D_2O solutions. $1/\tau'_0 = k_f + k_r$

Solvent	ϕ'/ϕ'_0	γ_1^{-1} [ps]	k^*_{dp} [s^{-1}]	τ_0 [ps]	τ'_0 [ns]
H_2O	0.66	33	2.1×10^{10}	100	8.0
D_2O	0.69	105	6.6×10^9	340	20.0

4. References

1. J. F. Ireland and P. A. H. Wyatt, Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. **12**, 131 (1976).
2. J. H. Clark, S. L. Shapiro, A. J. Campillo, and K. R. Winn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **101**, 746 (1979).
3. M. Gutman, D. Huppert, and E. Pines, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **103**, 3709 (1981).
4. C. M. Harris and B. K. Sellinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **84**, 891 (1980).
5. C. M. Harris and B. K. Sellinger, J. Phys. Chem. **84**, 1366 (1980).
6. S. W. Yeh, L. A. Philips, S. P. Webb, L. F. Buhse, and J. H. Clark, in Ultrafast Phenomena, eds. D. H. Auston and K. B. Eisenthal, Springer, New York, 1984 (in press).

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720