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Introduction: The Standardized Letter of Evaluation (SLOE) is designed to assist emergency medicine (EM) residency programs in differentiating applicants and in selecting those to interview. The SLOE narrative component summarizes the student’s clinical skills as well as their non-cognitive attributes. The purpose of this qualitative investigation was to explore how students described in the SLOE as quiet are perceived by faculty and to better understand how this may impact their residency candidacy.

Methods: This retrospective cohort study included all SLOEs submitted to one EM residency program during one application cycle. We analyzed sentences in the SLOE narrative describing students as “quiet,” “shy,” and/or “reserved.” Using grounded theory, thematic content analysis with a constructivist approach, we identified five mutually exclusive themes that best characterized the usage of these target words.

Results: We identified five themes: 1) quiet traits portrayed as implied-negative attributes (62.4%); 2) quiet students portrayed as overshadowed by more extraverted peers (10.3%); 3) quiet students portrayed as unfit for fast-paced clinical settings (3.4%); 4) “quiet” portrayed as a positive attribute (10.3%); and 5) “quiet” comments deemed difficult to assess due to lack of context (15.6%).

Conclusion: We found that quiet personality traits were often portrayed as negative attributes. Further, comments often lacked clinical context, leaving them vulnerable to misunderstanding or bias. More research is needed to determine how quiet students perform compared to their non-quiet peers and to determine what changes to instructional practices may support the quiet student and help create a more inclusive learning environment. [West J Emerg Med. 2023;24(4)728–731.]

INTRODUCTION
The emergency medicine (EM) Standardized Letter of Evaluation (SLOE) is a high-stakes assessment designed to assist residency programs in differentiating applicants and is considered important in the decision to interview.¹ ² The narrative component summarizes the student’s knowledge, clinical skills, and non-cognitive attributes shown to be predictors of performance.³ ⁵ However, the narrative may be difficult to interpret due to the use of overly general language and hidden code, both common in written assessment.⁶ ⁹

Further, comments about personality often lack clinical context, which reduces their usefulness and makes them vulnerable to misinterpretation or bias.⁶ ⁸

We became interested in SLOE narratives referencing quiet students during applicant review when we observed less enthusiasm for students described as quiet, even for those with strong objective application data. While non-cognitive attributes are important components of holistic assessment, personality traits should not necessarily hinder a strong application.³ ⁵ No studies show that quiet individuals are
unsuited, for EM or are less successful in EM careers. However, in an internal medicine setting, “quiet” was interpreted by attendings as a “red flag” in clerkship written evaluations, and students described as quiet in their SLOE scored lower on both global assessment and anticipated rank list. We found no other research examining how quiet individuals perform or how they were perceived in EM. The purpose of this qualitative investigation was to explore how quiet students are described in the SLOE narrative and how this language may impact candidacy.

METHODS
Study Design and Population
We conducted a subgroup analysis of a retrospective cohort study of all core EM rotation SLOEs submitted through the Electronic Residency Application Service (ERAS) to one EM residency program during the 2016–2017 application cycle. We excluded SLOEs from non-Liaison Committee on Medical Education accredited schools and applicants who graduated from medical school before or during the application cycle. The study was approved by the institutional review board and the Association of American Medical Colleges.

Study Protocol and Data Analysis
Author JM downloaded SLOEs from ERAS into REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture tools hosted at UC San Francisco), and de-identified them prior to analysis. Analysis was performed by JKQ, EHC, and JM, all with training in medical education research methodology and education leadership experience (chief resident, associate residency director, and assistant residency director). JKQ and EHC brainstormed words typically used to describe quiet individuals and chose the target-descriptors quiet, shy, and reserved (collectively termed “quiet”) because passive, introverted, and timid were uncommon (3, 2, and 1, respectively) and always co-occurred with target-descriptors. We analyzed only the sentence containing the target-descriptors. Without a preset idea of how data would be sorted, JKQ and EHC independently began the initial coding by reading each comment and considering how it was used to describe the student. As usage patterns emerged they were coded as like-comments. JM read a subset of the data. To establish that the dataset was sufficient for the purpose of the investigation, we coded the first half of the dataset and then determined that no new patterns emerged in the second half.

We progressed to explaining our coding schemes, comparing them, and looking for similarities and differences. Through an iterative process of constant comparison we combined, deleted, and refined codes, merging them into overarching themes. We used a spreadsheet to visually organize codes and final themes.

RESULTS
We reviewed 1,582 SLOEs from 696 applicants. Of these, 117 SLOEs referenced quiet applicants and were analyzed. The adjective “quiet” occurred in 102 SLOEs. “Reserved” occurred in 28 SLOEs and co-occurred 14 times with “quiet.” “Shy” occurred in 11 SLOEs and co-occurred five times with “quiet.”

Initial coding revealed usage related to interpersonal skills, initiative, disposition, patient interactions, leadership, medical knowledge, response to feedback, work habits, and fitness for EM. Further analysis revealed that many target sentences did not fit into these categories, lacked clinical context, and were difficult to interpret. We eventually reached a consensus on a framework of five mutually exclusive overarching themes that included all comments, best represented the scope of usage patterns, and would be most meaningful in addressing our study purpose (Table 1).

Theme 1 comments, 62.4% describe quiet traits as implied-negative attributes. Comments are labeled “implied” because quiet is not explicitly called negative but is typically coupled with a contrasting positive trait that appears to be an effort to mitigate the negativity of the quiet comment (eg, “Quiet but hardworking”). The structure of the sentence makes it clear that quiet is negative, but it is not evident in what way or to what degree it is negative. A smaller number of comments linked the quiet trait with another seemingly negative attribute (eg, “Quiet and timid at times”). The implied negativity of these comments coupled with the lack of context may adversely affect the applicant’s candidacy.

Theme 2 comments (10.3%) describe quiet students as being overshadowed by more extraverted peers and thus more difficult to assess. These comments also did not explain how performance was impacted by the quiet trait, only that the student was not able to demonstrate value as a candidate or perform at the level of their peers, which presumably hinders applicant candidacy.

Theme 3 comments (3.4%) question the fitness of quiet students for fast-paced clinical settings. However, these comments did not detail how, or to what degree, the student’s quietness specifically affected performance, making them vulnerable to misinterpretation. These comments would likely also hinder candidacy, as the ability to perform well in all clinical settings is presumably seen as necessary in a successful EM resident.

Theme 4 comments (10.3%) “quiet” is portrayed as a positive attribute and tends to describe leadership style,
Table 1. Thematic analysis of 117 sentences containing the words “quiet”, “shy” or “reserved”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Subthemes</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theme 1) Implied negative (n = 73)</td>
<td>1A) Quiet nature is mitigated by associating with a positive interpersonal skill.</td>
<td>“Quiet but was always able to communicate effectively.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1B) Quiet nature is mitigated by associating with a positive attribute unrelated to quiet personality.</td>
<td>“Somewhat reserved but can be assertive when necessary.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2A) Quiet students overshadowed by more extraverted students.</td>
<td>“Quiet but hardworking.” “Can be reserved at times but is incredibly intelligent.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2B) Quiet students’ clinical skills difficult to assess due to their quiet personality.</td>
<td>“Quiet demeanor and presence of flashier students prevented a higher ranking.” “Overshadowed, quieter than peers, disappeared into background most of the month.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme 2) Quiet students may be overshadowed by others (n = 12)</td>
<td>3A) Quiet students perceived as too passive, slow, or unassertive for a busy clinical setting.</td>
<td>“Quiet, passive nature may not be suited for high paced inner-city ED.” “Quiet and unassuming personality, some noted this to be a concern, particularly in a busy county ED, others didn’t.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3B) Quiet students perceived as less adaptable to the demands of a busy clinical setting.</td>
<td>“Calm, quiet, reserved demeanor- some staff question adaptability to chaotic ED.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme 3) Quiet students may be less suited for certain clinical settings (n = 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme 4) Positive trait (n = 12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme 5) Equivocal (n = 16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ED, emergency department; EM, emergency medicine.

Our findings that quiet students are described as being overshadowed by more extraverted peers, more difficult to assess, and less fit for fast-paced clinical settings suggest the possibility that current instructional practices favor more outgoing students. In a clinical setting where being assertive is viewed favorably, quiet students may be judged unfairly as being less knowledgeable or prepared. Changes to instructional practices that may better serve quiet students include the following: providing additional student observations; using standardized assessment-tools; expanding assessment criteria to include strengths of the introvert; providing faculty development to improve quality of written assessment; using group-written SLOEs that may reduce bias; and providing student mentorship.

LIMITATIONS

This study was limited to SLOEs from applicants to a single institution during one application cycle. We analyzed only the sentence containing the target-descriptors; reading the entire narrative may have provided additional context. Target-descriptors may be defined differently by different evaluators and readers and may or may not be used interchangeably. Further, readers may interpret the
positivity or negativity of the usage differently than the investigators. The target-descriptors may not reflect student personality but rather how they were perceived by their evaluator in the clinical setting. Applicants did not receive a personality inventory nor did they self-report their personality type. We did not identify the gender of applicant or the SLOE writer, which prevented us from determining whether our findings were affected by gender. Nor did we identify the position or experience of the writer, or whether individual or group process was used. We did not attempt to associate quiet vs non-quiet status with an invitation to interview.

CONCLUSION

We found that quiet personality traits were often portrayed as negative attributes in the Standardized Letter of Evaluation. Additionally, clinical context was rarely provided, leaving comments open to variable interpretation and possible misunderstanding of student competency. These findings add to our understanding about quiet students in EM, but more research is needed to determine how quiet-labeled students perform compared to their non-quiet peers and to determine what changes to instructional practices may support the quiet student and help create a more inclusive learning environment where all students can thrive.
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